

Table of Contents

**PART I
CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION
AND APPREHENSION**

**Chapter 1
Arrest, Search, and Seizure**

	<i>Page</i>
1.1 General Principles Relating To Arrest, Search, and Seizure	1-1
1.11 General Principles For Determining Scope Of Protection Afforded By Federal And State Constitutions	1-1
1.111 Reasonable (i.e., legitimate) expectations of privacy protected	1-1
1.1111 In general	1-1
1.1112 Burden of proof as to reasonable (i.e., legitimate) expectation of privacy (see sec. 1.9122)	1-2
1.1113 Items in which person may, or may not, have reasonable (i.e., legitimate) expectation of privacy	1-2
1.11131 Item in which defendant expressly disclaims interest	1-2
1.11132 Item person knowingly exposes to the public	1-2
1.11133 Item police reasonably believe dangerous	1-3
1.11134 Item that has been abandoned	1-3
1.11135 Item that is contraband (i.e., item individual has no right to possess)	1-3
1.11136 Item (e.g., personal travel case owned by defendant) that was put in place (e.g., automobile) in which defendant has no legitimate expectation of privacy	1-3
1.11137 Item owned by defendant that was unlawfully or illegally placed upon premises belonging to another	1-3
1.11138 Air space surrounding vehicle in public place	1-3
1.1114 Places in which person may, or may not, have reasonable (i.e., legitimate) expectation of privacy	1-3
1.11141 Places shared with others in general	1-3
1.11142 Classroom	1-3
1.11143 Public school bus	1-3
1.1115 Factors to be considered in determining whether reasonable (i.e., legitimate) expectation of privacy exists	1-3
1.11151 Whether reasonable expectation of privacy exists with respect to particular property or premises	1-3
1.11152 Whether reasonable expectation of privacy exists with respect to particular oral communication	1-4
1.1116 Whether person has legitimate expectation of privacy in container that is searched is not determined by person's subjective beliefs	1-4
1.1117 Reasonable expectation of privacy embodies balance between society's interest in law enforcement and privacy interest asserted by individual	1-4

1.1118	Expectation of privacy is reasonable if it has source outside Fourth Amendment, either by reference to concepts of real or personal property law or to understandings that are recognized and permitted by society	1-4
1.1119	Other matters	1-4
1.11191	Appellate review of trial court's finding that defendant had reasonable (i.e., legitimate) expectation of privacy that was infringed by search or seizure at issue (see sec. 1.9822)	1-4
1.11192	In determining reasonableness of expectation of privacy, court must consider totality of circumstances	1-4
1.11193	There is lesser expectation of privacy in personal property found in automobiles	1-4
1.11194	Ownership alone will not establish reasonable expectation of privacy	1-4
1.11195	Possession alone will not establish reasonable expectation of privacy	1-4
1.11196	No one has lesser expectation of privacy than arrestee	1-4
1.112	Ultimate standard is reasonableness	1-4
1.113	Need to balance intrusion on individual's Fourth Amendment interests against legitimate governmental interests served by such intrusion	1-6
1.1131	In general	1-6
1.1132	Balancing test applies in determining whether one has reasonable expectation of privacy (see sec. 1.1117)	1-7
1.114	Must be determined in light of contemporary norms and conditions	1-7
1.115	Property law (e.g., law of trespass) not controlling, but relevant	1-7
1.116	All governmental action covered, not simply police activity	1-7
1.117	Other principles	1-7
1.1171	Only governmental action covered, not private activity (see sec. 1.21)	1-8
1.1172	In determining extent of protection afforded by Fourth Amendment, Supreme Court is guided by traditional protections against unreasonable searches and seizures afforded by common law at time of framing of amendment	1-8
1.1173	In determining extent of protection afforded by Fourth Amendment, framers' intent demonstrated by, e.g., enactments of first Congress is relevant	1-8
1.1174	Gravity of threat alone cannot be dispositive of questions concerning what means law enforcement officers may employ	1-8
1.1175	The greater the intrusion, the greater must be the reason for conducting search	1-8
1.1176	Fact that activity engaged in is not authorized by, or is contrary to, state law does not mean it violates Fourth Amendment	1-8
1.118	Other principles (cont'd)	1-8
1.1181	Protection not limited to searches for, or seizures of, evidence of criminal conduct	1-8
1.1182	Requirement of reasonableness extends to manner in which search or seizure conducted	1-8
1.1183	Reasonableness to be judged by objective standard	1-8

1.1184	Fourth Amendment protects property even where privacy or liberty not implicated; Fourth Amendment protects property as well as privacy	1-8
1.1185	Fourth Amendment protects against unreasonable seizures even though no search has taken place	1-9
1.1186	Different forms of intrusion trigger different levels of protection	1-9
1.1187	Police safety is of paramount importance	1-9
1.1188	When search proper as to particular individual, third party whose privacy interest is also infringed by search has no right to complain (see sec. 1.967)	1-9
1.1189	Fourth Amendment to be construed in light of what was deemed unreasonable search and seizure when Fourth Amendment was adopted	1-9
1.119	Other principles (cont'd)	1-9
1.1191	Fourth amendment protects persons, not places or property	1-9
1.1192	Reasonableness requirement of Fourth Amendment does not require that assessment of magistrate or police officer always be correct, but only that it always be reasonable	1-9
1.11921	In general	1-9
1.11922	But arresting officer's incorrect, albeit reasonable, construction of statute for violation of which defendant is arrested negates probable cause for arrest if incorrect construction of statute is necessary to make it applicable to defendant's conduct (see sec. 1.31992)	1-9
1.1193	Interests implicated in, affected by, or searches and seizures	1-9
1.11931	In general	1-9
1.11932	Interests affected by, or implicated in, searches	1-9
1.11933	Interests affected by, or implicated in, seizures	1-10
1.1194	In determining reasonableness of expectation of privacy, privacy concepts embodied in the law of a particular state are not decisive	1-10
1.1195	That which is knowingly exposed to public is not subject to Fourth Amendment protection	1-10
1.1196	Fourth Amendment does not require that only the "least intrusive" search practicable can be reasonable under the fourth amendment	1-10
1.1197	Reasonableness to be judged under totality of circumstances	1-10
1.1198	Consistency of police activity with Fourth Amendment is not to be judged by bright-line, or per se blanket, rule	1-10
1.1199	Fourth Amendment to be construed in manner that will serve public interests as well as interests and rights of individual citizens	1-10
1.12	Standing To Challenge Arrest, Search, Or Seizure (i.e., Determining Whether Arrest, Search, Or Seizure Infringed On Interest Of Defendant That Fourth Amendment Was Designed To Protect)	1-10
1.121	Standing to challenge arrest or other seizure of the person (i.e., determining whether arrest or other seizure of person infringed on interest of defendant that Fourth Amendment was designed to protect)	1-10
1.1211	General principles	1-10
1.1212	Standing in particular situations	1-11

1.12121	Standing of passenger in automobile to challenge stop of automobile	1-11
1.12122	Standing of escapee from prison to challenge warrantless apprehension in private home in which he is staying	1-11
1.12123	Standing of passenger in automobile to object to questions posed to other passengers by officer who stopped vehicle	1-11
1.12124	Other situations	1-11
1.122	Standing to challenge entry into, or search of, places and things and/or seizure of things (i.e., determining whether entry, search, or seizure infringed on privacy or possessory interest of defendant that Fourth Amendment was designed to protect)	1-11
1.1221	General principles	1-11
1.12211	In general	1-11
1.12212	Person has standing when he or she has legitimate expectation of privacy in invaded place or seized item	1-12
1.12213	Factors to be considered in determining whether person has legitimate expectation of privacy in invaded place or thing or seized item (see sec. 1.1115)	1-12
1.12214	Standing to be determined by considering totality of circumstances	1-12
1.12215	Standing to be determined on case-by-case basis	1-12
1.12216	When search proper as to particular individual, third party whose privacy interest is also infringed by search has no right to complain (see sec. 1.967)	1-12
1.12217	When defendant's reasonable expectation of privacy is linked to what may be permissibly accomplished against third person, defendant has right to challenge propriety of search or seizure as to that third person	1-13
1.12218	"Standing" is shorthand for existence of privacy or possessory interest sufficient to assert Fourth Amendment claim	1-13
1.1222	General principles (cont'd)	1-13
1.12221	Possession alone will not confer standing	1-13
1.12222	Ownership alone will not confer standing (see sec. 1.12232)	1-13
1.12223	Whether person has legitimate expectation of privacy in container that is searched, and thus has standing to challenge search, is not determined by person's subjective beliefs (see sec. 1.1116)	1-13
1.12224	When defendant has no reasonable expectation of privacy in place (e.g., automobile), can he claim reasonable expectation of privacy in his items (e.g., personal travel case belonging to him) that were put in that place? (see sec. 1.11136)	1-13
1.12225	There is no exception to general standing requirements for coconspirator	1-13

1.12226	Scope of reviewable evidence to make standing determination: court may consider fruits of entry or search in determining whether person had standing to challenge entry or search	1-13
1.1223	Automatic standing	1-13
1.12231	In general	1-13
1.12232	Automatic standing not conferred by ownership of property searched or seized	1-13
1.12233	Automatic standing not conferred when possession of evidence sought to be suppressed is element of crime	1-13
1.12234	Automatic standing not conferred by person's being legitimately on premises searched	1-14
1.12235	Automatic standing not conferred by "target theory," i.e., by fact that search was directed at person who is now challenging it	1-14
1.12236	Automatic standing not conferred by possession of property searched or seized (see sec. 1.12221)	1-14
1.1224	Standing in particular situations	1-14
1.12241	Standing of passenger in vehicle to challenge search for, or seizure of, evidence from vehicle	1-14
1.12242	Standing of occupant of hotel room after checkout time has passed	1-14
1.12243	Standing of owner of home to challenge search of home	1-14
1.12244	Standing of temporary occupant of cabin owned by occupant's mother	1-14
1.12245	Standing of overnight guest legitimately on premises that are entered and/or searched	1-14
1.12246	Standing of guest on premises whose host has no lawful right to be on premises	1-15
1.12247	Standing of guest on premises who has remained past the period of time granted by host	1-15
1.12248	Standing of guest who is on premises for relatively short time for purposes of commercial transaction	1-15
1.12249	Standing of owner of car who has surrendered control of car to another	1-15
1.1225	Standing in particular situations (cont'd)	1-15
1.12251	Standing of babysitter and houseguest in residence that was searched	1-15
1.12252	Standing of non-owner driver of automobile that was searched or from which evidence was seized	1-15
1.12253	Standing of person who has placed drugs in purse of companion	1-15
1.12254	Standing of mere guest on premises who denies any possessory interest in premises or property therein	1-15
1.12255	Standing of person unlawfully on premises	1-15
1.12256	Standing of thief to challenge search for, or seizure of, stolen property	1-15
1.12257	Standing of escapee from prison to challenge warrantless search for, and seizure of, evidence in private home in which he is staying	1-15

1.12258	Standing of coconspirator who has supervisory role in conspiracy or joint control over the place or property involved in the search or seizure	1-16
1.12259	Standing of person who denies any interest in property searched	1-16
1.1226	Standing in particular situations (cont'd)	1-16
1.12261	Standing of person sharing living quarters with parolee to challenge parole officer's search of living quarters	1-16
1.12262	Standing of arrested person to challenge search or seizure of property taken from his person for inventory by the police	1-16
1.12263	Standing of co-occupant of premises in general	1-16
1.12264	Standing of social guest on premises	1-16
1.12265	Standing of occupant of public restroom or restroom stall: in general	1-16
1.12266	Standing of occupant of public restroom or restroom stall: when occupant occupies stall with another person, leaves door slightly ajar and unlatched, and evinces no indication stall is being used for its intended purpose	1-16
1.12267	Standing of occupant of public restroom or restroom stall: when occupant is in locked restroom for extended period of time and does not respond to knock on door of restroom	1-16
1.12268	Standing to challenge search of item (e.g., personal travel case belonging to defendant) that was placed in automobile in which defendant has no legitimate expectation of privacy (see sec. 1.11136)	1-17
1.1227	Standing in particular situations (cont'd)	1-17
1.12271	Standing to challenge search of item owned by defendant that that was unlawfully or illegally placed upon premises belonging to another (see sec. 1.11137)	1-17
1.12272	Standing of person driving rental vehicle, who was not named in rental agreement	1-17
1.12273	Standing of person whose possession of, and authority to fly, airplane is unexplained	1-17
1.12274	Standing of person holding car under statutory lien for debt and not in possession of car at time of search	1-17
1.12275	Standing of person in possession of stolen car	1-17
1.12276	Standing of owner of premises to challenge entry into premises on basis that knock-and-announce requirement was not met, when owner was not present at time of entry (see sec. 1.42592)	1-17
1.12277	Standing of person in trailer owned by friend's mother, who is temporarily at trailer with mother's permission, but who is not overnight guest, and who is using trailer for commercial purpose (e.g., production of controlled substance)	1-17
1.12278	Standing of person found in automobile he does not own and in which he had no authorization to be	1-17

1.12279	Standing of possessor of cell phone to challenge seizure and/or search of cell phone	1-17
1.1228	Standing in particular situations (cont'd)	1-17
1.12281	Standing with respect to mail or package sent or received using fictitious name in general	1-17
1.12282	Standing to challenge search or seizure of mail or package sent by unknown person to fictitious person at address that was vacant, with respect to which mail or package, challenger stated that it belonged to someone else	1-18
1.12283	Standing of resident of premises to challenge search of package delivered by mail to residence, but with name on it other than that of resident	1-18
1.123	Waiver of right to raise issue of standing	1-18
1.124	Burden of proof with respect to question of standing (see secs. 1.9122 and 1.9123)	1-18
1.125	Appellate review of trial court's determination regarding standing (see sec. 1.9822)	1-18
1.13	What Constitutes Search Or Seizure Covered By Constitutional Provisions	1-18
1.131	What constitutes seizure of person	1-18
1.1311	What constitutes seizure of person in general	1-18
1.13111	In general	1-18
1.13112	Whether seizure of person occurred determined by applying objective, reasonable person standard	1-19
1.13113	Reasonable person test presupposes an innocent person	1-19
1.13114	Seizure only occurs when there has been governmental termination of freedom of movement through means intentionally applied	1-20
1.13115	When seizure does not involve physical force, but only show of authority, person must submit to that show of authority before a seizure is deemed to have occurred	1-20
1.13116	Particular circumstance: person's awareness of officers' presence in doorway of residence in which person claiming to have been seized is present	1-20
1.13117	Mere police questioning does not constitute seizure	1-20
1.13118	When officer makes traffic stop, seizure continues while officer retains person's driver's license or other official documents	1-20
1.13119	Particular circumstance: police officer pulling up his marked squad car behind vehicle that driver has already stopped on his own and activating overhead emergency lights	1-20
1.1312	Hodari D. test for seizure	1-20
1.13121	In general	1-20
1.13122	When test applies	1-20
1.13123	Test is followed under state constitution	1-20
1.1313	What constitutes arrest	1-20
1.13131	What constitutes arrest in general	1-20
1.13132	No distinction exists between "custodial" and "noncustodial" arrests	1-21

1.13133	Whether arrest occurred determined by applying an objective test	1-21
1.13134	"Reasonable person" used in applying objective test is reasonable "innocent" person	1-21
1.13135	Not dependent on subjective intent or belief of officer or arrestee	1-21
1.13136	Request that detained person perform field sobriety test does not convert temporary investigative stop into arrest (see sec. 1.52593)	1-21
1.13137	In determining whether arrest occurred, should court consider which party (state or defendant) is contending that arrest occurred?	1-22
1.13138	Factors that may, or may not, convert temporary stop into arrest (see sec. 1.525)	1-22
1.1314	What constitutes other seizure of the person requiring probable cause	1-22
1.1315	What constitutes seizure of person requiring reasonable suspicion	1-22
1.1316	Determining whether particular activity constitutes a seizure of the person; determining whether seizure occurred under particular circumstances	1-23
1.132	What constitutes search for evidence or other property	1-23
1.1321	In general	1-23
1.1322	Interest in possessing contraband cannot be deemed legitimate; thus, government conduct that only reveals possession of contraband does not compromise legitimate privacy interest and, accordingly, is not search subject to Fourth Amendment	1-23
1.1323	Interests implicated in search for evidence	1-23
1.1324	Determining whether particular activity constitutes a search for evidence	1-23
1.133	What constitutes seizure of evidence or other property	1-24
1.1331	In general	1-24
1.1332	Interests implicated in seizure of evidence	1-24
1.1333	Determining whether particular activity constitutes a seizure of evidence	1-24
1.134	Other searches or activity	1-24
1.1341	"Frisk" is search	1-24
1.14	What Constitutes Required Justification For Search Or Seizure	1-24
1.141	What constitutes probable cause	1-24
1.1411	Probable cause for arrest or other seizure of the person (see sec. 1.31)	1-24
1.1412	Probable cause for search for, or seizure of, evidence (see sec. 1.32)	1-24
1.142	What constitutes reasonable suspicion	1-24
1.1421	General principles	1-24
1.14211	In general	1-24
1.14212	Fact that conduct may have innocent explanations does not mean that it cannot give rise to reasonable suspicion	1-25
1.1422	Determined by "totality of the circumstances" test	1-25

1.1423	Particular factors giving rise to reasonable suspicion	1-25
1.14231	In general	1-25
1.14232	Factors giving rise to reasonable suspicion that defendant is driving while intoxicated	1-25
1.14233	Factors giving rise to reasonable suspicion justifying investigative stop (see sec. 1.523)	1-25
1.1424	Finding of reasonable suspicion in particular situations	1-25
1.1425	Reliance on anonymous tip	1-25
1.1426	Reliance on information supplied by third parties	1-25
1.1427	Propriety of considering collective information possessed by police force in determining whether particular officer has reasonable suspicion	1-25
1.1428	Propriety of considering statements covered by testimonial privilege in determining whether reasonable suspicion exists	1-26
1.1429	Appellate review of determination whether reasonable suspicion exists (see sec. 1.98322)	1-26
1.15	Need For Probable Cause Or Reasonable Suspicion	1-26
1.151	When probable cause required for seizure of the person	1-26
1.1511	Probable cause prerequisite to valid arrest or other seizure of the person having essential attributes of arrest	1-26
1.1512	When probable cause required for other seizures of the person	1-26
1.152	When probable cause required for search for, or seizure of, evidence	1-26
1.1521	General principles	1-26
1.15211	In general	1-26
1.15212	Not required when evidence voluntarily produced	1-27
1.1522	Need for probable cause in "special needs," and other exceptional, situations	1-27
1.1523	When probable cause required for particular types of searches for, and seizures of, evidence	1-27
1.153	When reasonable suspicion required for seizure of the person	1-27
1.1531	General principles	1-27
1.1532	When reasonable suspicion required for particular types of searches for, and seizures of, evidence	1-27
1.154	When reasonable suspicion required for search for, or seizure of, evidence	1-27
1.1541	General principles	1-27
1.1542	Need for, and sufficiency of, reasonable suspicion in "special needs," and other exceptional, situations	1-28
1.1543	When reasonable suspicion required for particular types of searches for, and seizures of, evidence	1-28
1.16	Need For Warrant	1-28
1.161	When warrant required for arrest	1-28
1.1611	General rule: warrant not required	1-28
1.1612	Exception: warrant required when arrest made in private home	1-28
1.16121	In general	1-28
1.16122	But person standing in doorway of private home may be arrested without warrant	1-29
1.16123	Home of third party: when arrest made in home of third party, police need search warrant authorizing entry into home	1-29

1.16124	Overnight guest in home has standing to challenge warrantless entry to arrest him	1-29
1.16125	Exception for arrest in private home also applies to curtilage	1-29
1.16126	Violation of rule requiring warrant for arrest made in private home does not provide basis for excluding statement made by arrested defendant outside home after arrest (see secs. 1.83222 and 1.83223)	1-29
1.16127	Violation of rule requiring warrant for arrest made in private home does not provide basis for excluding identification of arrested defendant made outside home after arrest (see secs. 1.83233 and 1.83234)	1-30
1.16128	When search warrant needed in addition to arrest warrant: arrest warrant alone sufficient when police have reasonable grounds to believe that subject of arrest warrant is residing in home, but search warrant required when information known to police suggests merely that subject of arrest warrant is only visiting home	1-30
1.16129	Sufficiency of commitment order to justify entry into home to execute it	1-30
1.1613	Exception to exception: when exigent circumstances exist	1-30
1.16131	In general	1-30
1.16132	Applicability of exception when minor offense involved: in general	1-30
1.16133	Applicability of exception when minor offense involved: does exigent circumstance of hot pursuit apply only to fleeing felon?	1-30
1.1614	Exception to exception: when consent to enter given	1-31
1.1615	Exception to exception: home of probationer or parolee	1-31
1.1616	Exception to exception: when arresting officer is legitimately in home because he is executing search warrant for home; where police are lawfully in suspect's home by virtue of valid search warrant, they may make warrantless arrest of suspect prior to search if arrest is supported by probable cause	1-31
1.1617	Arrest of material witness	1-31
1.1618	Arrest of person selling obscene material	1-31
1.1619	Arrest for civil offense	1-31
1.162	When warrant required for search	1-31
1.1621	General principles	1-31
1.16211	In general	1-31
1.16212	Exceptions to warrant requirement in general	1-34
1.16213	Not required for search conducted with consent (see sec. 1.22)	1-34
1.16214	Not required when evidence voluntarily produced	1-34
1.16215	Warrant requirement applies not only to police officers, but also to other government agents	1-34
1.16216	Effect of ready availability of warrant by telephone on question whether warrant required	1-34

1.16217 When packages, books, tapes and other items have been properly seized or otherwise lawfully obtained, and are in possession of police, warrant may be required for examination of them (see sec. 1.672) 1-34

1.16218 When luggage or other containers have been properly seized or otherwise lawfully obtained, and are in possession of police, warrant may be required for examination of their contents (see sec. 1.6717) 1-34

1.1622 Need for warrant in "special needs," or other exceptional, situations 1-34

1.1623 Need for warrant may be excused when exigent circumstances exist (see sec. 1.62) 1-35

1.1624 When search warrant required to enter home to search for subject of arrest warrant (see sec. 1.16128) 1-35

1.1625 Need for search warrant for particular types of searches 1-35

1.163 When warrant required for seizure of evidence 1-35

1.2 Activities, Areas, And Articles Not Covered By Fourth Amendment Or Its State

Counterpart 1-35

1.21 Private Activity 1-35

 1.211 General principles 1-35

 1.2111 In general 1-35

 1.2112 Staff members of state hospital are government actors subject to Fourth Amendment 1-35

 1.2113 Law enforcement officer acting in private capacity is not subject to Fourth Amendment 1-35

 1.2114 Standards for determining when law enforcement officer is acting in private capacity 1-35

 1.212 Private search or seizure of property 1-35

 1.2121 General principles 1-36

 1.21211 In general 1-36

 1.21212 Private searches are not subject to Fourth Amendment because Fourth Amendment applies only to government action 1-36

 1.21213 Mere presence of government official will not necessarily transform private search into government action 1-36

 1.21214 Determination whether search is private search or government search requires consideration of totality of circumstances 1-36

 1.21215 Defendant has burden of proof on question whether search is private search or government search (see sec. 1.91291) 1-36

 1.21216 Defendant must prove that search was government search by preponderance of evidence (see sec. 1.9136) 1-36

 1.21217 Turning private search into governmental search by government official's participation; search may be deemed government search when it is "joint venture" between private and government actors 1-37

1.21218	Turning private search into governmental search because of governmental compulsion to perform search	1-37
1.21219	Turning private search into government search because scope of police search exceeds that performed by private individual	1-37
1.2122	General principles (cont'd)	1-37
1.21221	After initial invasion of privacy by private action, additional invasion of privacy by government agent is unconstitutional only to degree it exceeds scope of private search	1-37
1.2123	Particular situations	1-37
1.21231	Drawing of blood by medical personnel for purposes of diagnosis and treatment	1-37
1.21232	Administering laxative to person who has swallowed controlled substance to prevent overdose	1-37
1.21233	Private shipping company employee's opening of sealed package delivered to company by individual customer	1-37
1.21234	Law enforcement officer opening letter from defendant that was misaddressed to officer's residence	1-37
1.21235	Off-duty police officer's examination of contents of computer memory stick provided by relative, when officer did not believe stick contained pictures of criminal conduct, as relative claimed	1-38
1.213	Private detention of person	1-38
1.2131	In general	1-38
1.2132	When private party acting as agent of police	1-38
1.22	Search Or Seizure, Or Entry Into Premises, With Consent	1-38
1.221	General principles	1-38
1.2211	In general	1-38
1.2212	Need not be verbal; may be in the form of gesture or conduct	1-38
1.2213	Consent is accepted exception to probable cause and warrant requirement	1-38
1.22131	In general	1-38
1.22132	Third-party consent	1-39
1.2214	Lawfully seized person (e.g., driver stopped for traffic violation) need not be advised that he is "free to go" before his consent to search may be deemed voluntary	1-39
1.2215	Lawfully seized person (e.g., driver stopped for traffic violation) may, within reasonable time after seizure, be asked to consent to a search whose object is to uncover evidence of criminal or other activity that was not cause of initial seizure	1-39
1.22151	In general	1-39
1.22152	Is asking for consent to search after seizure terminated permissible?	1-39
1.22153	When lawfully stopped driver of vehicle, who is asked to consent to search of vehicle for evidence unrelated to cause of initial stop (e.g., drugs), refuses to give such consent, any further detention unrelated to cause of initial stop is impermissible (see sec. 1.52592)	1-40

1.22154	In case of traffic stop, seizure becomes unlawful after traffic stop is concluded if stopped person remains seized after stop concluded and, therefore, any consent given after stop concluded, but while person remains seized, is invalid	1-40
1.22155	For purposes of determining whether seizure continued after conclusion of traffic stop, key factor is whether officer returned driver's license or other official documents to driver	1-40
1.22156	If, during valid traffic stop, officer becomes aware of additional suspicious factors that are sufficient to give rise to articulable suspicion that person has committed or is committing offense or offenses separate and distinct from acts that prompted initial stop, stop may be extended and request during extension of stop for consent to search vehicle is permissible	1-40
1.2216	Reasonable suspicion is not prerequisite to seeking consent to enter home and/or surrounding area (see sec. 1.6416)	1-40
1.2217	Opening door of residence in response to knock is not consent to enter	1-40
1.222	Validity and sufficiency of consent	1-40
1.2221	General principles	1-40
1.22211	In general	1-40
1.22212	Must be determined by considering totality of circumstances, not by applying bright-line rule	1-41
1.2222	Must be voluntary	1-41
1.22221	In general	1-42
1.22222	Does not require knowledge of right to refuse consent (see sec. 1.2223)	1-42
1.22223	Lawfully seized person (e.g., driver stopped for traffic violation) need not be advised that he is "free to go" before his consent to search may be deemed voluntary (see sec. 1.2214)	1-43
1.22224	Consent granted only in acquiescence to unlawful assertion of authority is not voluntary (see sec. 1.2226)	1-43
1.22225	Initial refusal to permit search when asked militates against finding of voluntariness	1-43
1.22226	Effect of threat to get warrant (see sec. 1.22296)	1-43
1.22227	Consent not involuntary because police fail to inform defendant of all crimes which they suspect defendant has committed and/or of which they are searching for evidence	1-43
1.22228	In making voluntariness determination, must consider totality of circumstances	1-43
1.22229	Effect of deceit or trickery (see sec. 1.2225)	1-43
1.2223	Must be voluntary (cont'd)	1-43
1.22231	Defendant's cooperation with police is consideration in evaluating voluntariness of consent	1-43
1.22232	Fact that person giving consent was in custody does not alone demonstrate that consent was involuntary	1-43

1.22233	Sufficiency of evidence to show consent was voluntary in particular cases	1-43
1.2224	Effect of deceit or trickery	1-43
1.22241	In general	1-43
1.22242	Undercover officers may obtain consent through deceit and concealment of identity (see sec. 1.22293)	1-44
1.22243	By displaying subpoena that did not authorize search or seizure to defendant, which leads defendant to believe that he or she has no choice but to acquiesce in search and/or search	1-44
1.2225	Effect of claim of authority; consent granted only in acquiescence to unlawful assertion of authority is invalid	1-44
1.22251	In general	1-44
1.22252	Display to defendant of subpoena that did not authorize search or seizure, which leads defendant to believe that officers are authorized to conduct search and/or seizure, renders consent to search invalid	1-44
1.2226	Knowledge of right to refuse consent not required	1-44
1.22261	In general	1-44
1.22262	But failure to inform defendant of right to refuse consent weighs against determination of voluntary consent	1-45
1.22263	In context of encounter with passenger on bus (see sec. 1.2463)	1-45
1.2227	Relationship of right to silence to validity of consent	1-45
1.22271	Consent not invalid because consent secured after invocation of right to silence	1-45
1.22272	Miranda warnings regarding right to silence not required before defendant gives consent to search because consent is not testimonial or communicative statement	1-45
1.2228	Other principles	1-45
1.22281	Need not be verbal; may be in the form of gesture or conduct	1-45
1.22282	Effect of illegal arrest or other seizure of the person on validity and sufficiency of consent (see sec. 1.8324)	1-45
1.22283	Validity of consent to search that is obtained by conditioning benefit (e.g., placement on probation) on giving consent	1-45
1.22284	Fact that person giving consent was in custody does not alone demonstrate that consent was involuntary or otherwise vitiate consent, so long as custody was lawful	1-45
1.2229	Other principles (cont'd)	1-45
1.22291	Consent by acceptance of permit or license conditioned on grant of inspection rights to state	1-45
1.22292	Mere acquiescence is not consent	1-45
1.22293	Undercover officers may obtain consent through deceit and concealment of identity	1-46

1.22294	Lawfully seized person (e.g., driver stopped for traffic violation) need not be advised that he is "free to go" before his consent to search may be deemed voluntary (see sec. 1.2214)	1-46
1.22295	Lawfully seized person (e.g., driver stopped for traffic violation) may, within reasonable time after seizure, be asked to consent to a search whose object is to uncover evidence of criminal or other activity that was not cause of initial seizure (see sec. 1.2215)	1-46
1.22296	Effect of threat to get warrant	1-46
1.22297	Effect of officer's claim that they could and would remain on premises until warrant obtained	1-46
1.22298	Effect of presence of two officers on either side of car when driver of car asked to consent to search of it	1-46
1.22299	Consent will not justify search if consent was obtained by exploitation of prior illegal entry (see sec. 1.8354)	1-46
1.223	Scope of consent and of search conducted pursuant to it	1-46
1.2231	In general	1-46
1.2232	Consent to enter home is not necessarily consent to enter all areas of home	1-47
1.2233	Unlimited consent to search car extends to closed but unlocked containers in it	1-47
1.2234	Driver's consent to search of vehicle extends to passenger's jacket left in vehicle at time of search	1-47
1.2235	Consent to search particular place (e.g., car) for particular object does not permit search of that place for other objects	1-47
1.2236	Consent to undercover officer to enter home is not necessarily consent to other officers to enter	1-47
1.2237	Consent to undercover officer to enter home permits undercover officer to summon other police officers to assist in arrest, search or seizure that undercover officer could have performed	1-47
1.2238	Consent to strip search does not extend to visual body cavity search (see sec. 1.6353)	1-47
1.2239	Other matters	1-47
1.22391	Consent to search residence in defendant's presence not violated by asking defendant's son, outside of defendant's presence, whether there are guns in residence	1-47
1.22392	Driver's consent to search vehicle does not authorize search of passengers in vehicle	1-47
1.22393	Scope of consent of third party who consents to search of premises (see sec. 1.2246)	1-48
1.224	Consent by third party	1-48
1.2241	Authority of third party to consent	1-48
1.2242	Consent by third party valid if police reasonably believe third party possesses authority to consent, even if he does not (i.e., if third party has "apparent authority" to consent)	1-48

1.2243	Consent by third party is invalid as to occupant of premises who is present and does not consent to search; warrantless search of shared dwelling for evidence, over express refusal of consent by physically present resident, cannot be justified as reasonable as to him on basis of consent given to police by another resident	1-48
1.2244	When consent to search property is given by third party (e.g., landlord) in presence of person (e.g., tenant) who has exclusive possession of property (e.g. premises occupied by tenant), and that person does not object, police may reasonably believe that third party has authority to consent to search of property	1-49
1.2245	Consent by particular parties	1-49
1.22451	Consent by landlord to search of premises occupied by tenant	1-49
1.22452	Consent by parent to search of premises occupied by child and/or child's spouse	1-49
1.22453	Consent by spouse to search of premises jointly occupied by both spouses	1-49
1.22454	Consent by child to entry of premises occupied by parents	1-49
1.22455	Consent by child to search of premises occupied by parents	1-49
1.22456	Consent by hotel manager to search of guest's room	1-49
1.22457	Consent by hotel staff to search of guest's room	1-49
1.22458	Consent by overnight guest on premises to search of premises	1-49
1.22459	Consent by co-occupant of motel room to search of motel room	1-49
1.2246	Scope of authority of third party to consent to search of premises and scope of consent given	1-49
1.22461	When defendant challenges scope of search of premises conducted with third-party consent, threshold question is whether third party had actual or apparent authority to consent to search of particular area of, or thing on, premises	1-49
1.22462	Consent of co-occupant of motel room to search of motel room does not permit search of safe for which defendant has key and which is in room, absence showing that co-occupant had actual or apparent authority over safe	1-50
1.2247	Other matters	1-50
1.22471	Burden and standard of proof with respect to question whether search and seizure falls within third-party consent exception to warrant requirement (see secs. 1.91272 and 1.91332)	1-50
1.225	Other matters	1-50
1.2251	Doctrine of "consent once removed," which permits warrantless entry by police officers into home when consent to enter has already been granted to undercover officer or informant who has observed contraband in plain view	1-50

1.2252	Consent by out-of-state probationer to searches conducted by Wisconsin probation authorities	1-50
1.2253	Revocation of consent	1-50
1.2254	Reasonable suspicion is not prerequisite to seeking consent to enter home and/or surrounding area (see sec. 1.6416)	1-50
1.226	Related matters	1-50
1.2261	Consensual encounter (see sec. 1.246)	1-50
1.2262	Voluntary appearance at police station (see sec. 1.247)	1-50
1.23	Ordinary Sensory Perception (Plain Sight, Smell, Touch, And Hearing)	1-50
1.231	General principles	1-50
1.2311	In general	1-50
1.2312	Plain sight	1-51
1.2313	Plain smell	1-51
	1.23131 In general	1-51
	1.23132 Use of trained dog to sniff (see sec. 1.243)	1-51
1.2314	Plain touch or feel	1-51
	1.23141 In general	1-51
	1.23142 Physical manipulation of carry-on bag in overhead storage space (see sec. 1.6716)	1-51
1.2315	Plain hearing	1-51
	1.23151 In general	1-51
	1.23152 Permits use of one-party consent recordings obtained during drug investigation in prosecution for other crimes inadvertently captured on recordings (see sec. 5.11272)	1-51
1.232	Seizing items in plain sight, etc.: the plain view doctrine (see sec. 1.68)	1-51
1.233	Enhancement of natural senses	1-52
	1.2331 Flashlight or other means of illumination	1-52
	1.2332 Optical enhancement by use of binoculars, telescope, etc.	1-52
	1.2333 Electronic tracking devices (see sec. 5.12)	1-52
	1.2334 Use of trained dog to sniff (see sec. 1.243)	1-52
	1.2335 Use of infrared sensing device to detect heat (see sec. 1.2495)	1-52
1.234	Scope of scrutiny permitted	1-52
1.2341	Cursory inspection of item in plain sight	1-52
	1.23411 In general	1-52
	1.23412 To ascertain serial number or other identification marks	1-52
1.2342	Moving item in plain sight to see nonvisible part	1-52
	1.23421 In general	1-52
	1.23422 To ascertain serial number or other identification marks	1-52
1.2343	Manipulating (e.g., by squeezing, shaking, etc.) container (e.g., plastic baggie, film canister, etc.) to determine contents	1-53
	1.23431 In general	1-53
	1.23432 Physical manipulation of carry-on bag in overhead storage space (see sec. 1.6716)	1-53
1.2344	Examining or purchase of item held out for sale	1-53
	1.23441 In general	1-53
	1.23442 Examination and purchase of obscene materials in public bookstore	1-53

1.2345	Ascertainment of VIN	1-53
1.23451	By examination from outside vehicle (see sec. 1.66811)	1-53
1.23452	By entry into vehicle (see sec. 1.66812)	1-53
1.235	Other matters	1-53
1.2351	Viewing items in curtilage, but in plain sight from neighboring lands (see sec. 1.6442)	1-53
1.2352	Viewing person's public movements and relationships (see sec. 1.2421)	1-53
1.2353	Looking into building from area outside curtilage (see sec. 1.6481)	1-53
1.2354	Police do not exceed scope of search authorized by warrant simply by photographing items in plain view (see sec. 1.42639)	1-53
1.24	Other Activities	1-53
1.241	Pen registers and mail covers	1-53
1.2411	Pen registers (see sec. 5.13)	1-53
1.2412	Mail covers	1-53
1.242	Surveillance of person's public movements and relationships	1-53
1.2421	By personal surveillance	1-53
1.24211	Of private citizen	1-54
1.24212	Of arrestee	1-54
1.24213	Of nonarrested suspect	1-54
1.2422	By installation and monitoring of electronic tracking devices (see sec. 5.12)	1-54
1.243	Use of trained canine nose (dog sniff)	1-54
1.2431	In general	1-54
1.2432	Related matters	1-54
1.24321	Sufficiency of alert by trained canine to provide probable cause for search (see sec. 1.32594)	1-54
1.24322	Extension of duration of traffic stop to permit dog sniff (see sec. 1.52536)	1-54
1.244	Installation and monitoring of electronic tracking devices (see sec. 5.12)	1-54
1.245	Aerial surveillance	1-54
1.2451	In general	1-54
1.2452	Of open areas of industrial complex, employing precision aerial mapping cameras	1-54
1.2453	Of backyard and other areas surrounding private residence	1-54
1.246	Consensual encounter (e.g., approaching individual on street or in other public place, asking him if he is willing to answer questions, or putting questions to him if he is willing to listen)	1-55
1.2461	General principles	1-55
1.24611	In general	1-55
1.24612	Officer's display of official paraphernalia (e.g., badge, uniform, gun, etc.) does not turn consensual encounter into seizure	1-55
1.2462	"Factory survey" conducted by INS	1-55
1.2463	Encounter with passenger on bus	1-55
1.24631	In general	1-55
1.24632	Not necessary to inform passenger of right to refuse consent in order to obtain valid consent to search from passenger	1-55

	<i>Page</i>	
1.2464	Effect of questioning by police during encounter	1-55
1.2465	Asking person, after officer completes traffic stop, for consent to search of vehicle	1-56
1.24651	If stopped person is no longer seized after completion of traffic stop, consent is valid	1-56
1.24652	But if seizure continues after completion of traffic stop, seizure becomes invalid and consent given during continued seizure is invalid	1-56
1.24653	For purposes of determining whether seizure continued after completion of traffic stop, key factor is whether officer returned driver's license or other official documents to driver (see sec. 1.22155)	1-56
1.247	Voluntary accompaniment of police to, or appearance at, police station	1-56
1.248	Other activities	1-56
1.2481	Investigatory or other pursuit; pursuit without apprehension	1-56
1.24811	In general	1-56
1.24812	Related matter: police officer's attempt to terminate dangerous high-speed car chase that threatens lives of innocent bystanders does not violate Fourth Amendment, even when it places fleeing motorist at risk of serious injury or death (see sec. 1.95145)	1-57
1.2482	Police questioning	1-57
1.24821	In course of consensual encounter (see sec. 1.2464)	1-57
1.24822	During seizure of person that has been made for some purpose unrelated to subject matter of questioning	1-57
1.249	Other activities	1-57
1.2491	Recording serial numbers and other identification marks	1-57
1.2492	Stop of automobile in which person riding as a passenger	1-57
1.2493	Monitoring and recording TDD (telecommunications device to the deaf) communications (see sec. 1.6975)	1-57
1.2494	Playing unmarked audio cassette tape found in unlocked automobile's cassette player	1-57
1.2495	Use of infrared sensing (i.e., thermal-imaging) device to detect heat	1-57
1.24951	In general	1-57
1.24952	When officers relied, in objective good faith, upon Wisconsin Court of Appeals' holding that use of infrared sensing device to detect heat emanating from building is not search, prior to United States Supreme Court's overruling that holding, use of infrared sensing device to detect heat emanating from building provides no grounds for suppression of evidence	1-57
1.2496	Undercover officer's purchase of contraband from willing seller	1-58
1.2497	Entry into business premises to examine and purchase items held out for sale (see sec. 1.2522)	1-58
1.2498	Entry onto business property open to public for health and safety inspection (see sec. 1.2523)	1-58
1.2499	Other activities	1-58
1.24991	Asking person, after officer completes traffic stop, for consent to search of vehicle (see sec. 1.2465)	1-58

1.24992	Questioning by police during consensual encounter (see sec. 1.2464)	1-58
1.24993	Performance of field test for controlled substance (see sec. 1.695)	1-58
1.24994	Searches of inmate mail (see sec. 1.7635)	1-58
1.24995	Asking person on premises if certain item is on premises	1-58
1.25	Common And Public Areas	1-58
1.251	Common and public areas of buildings and their surroundings	1-58
1.2511	Driveways, walks, and passageways	1-58
1.2512	Halls and stairways leading to units in apartment building or other multi-unit residential premises	1-58
1.2513	Common basement area in apartment building or other multi-unit residential premises	1-58
1.2514	Porch of private residence	1-58
1.2515	Motel parking lot	1-58
1.2516	Area surrounding commercial building (see sec. 1.6516)	1-59
1.252	Public areas of businesses	1-59
1.2521	In general	1-59
1.2522	Entry into business premises to examine and purchase items held out for sale	1-59
1.2523	Entry onto business property open to public for health and safety inspection	1-59
1.253	Public roads and highways	1-59
1.254	Other common and public areas	1-59
1.26	Open Fields	1-59
1.261	In general	1-59
1.262	Curtilage (see sec. 1.644)	1-59
1.27	Other Areas	1-59
1.271	Jail cells (see sec. 1.7633)	1-59
1.272	Property owned by a nonresident alien and located in a foreign country	1-59
1.28	Abandoned Property	1-59
1.281	General principles	1-60
1.2811	In general	1-60
1.2812	Effect of abandonment of property in response to illegal police activity (e.g., impending illegal frisk)	1-60
1.282	Premises	1-60
1.283	Things	1-60
1.2831	Garbage	1-60
1.28311	In general	1-60
1.28312	Garbage in dumpster outside commercial building (see sec. 1.6517)	1-60
1.28313	Garbage in dumpster at rear of apartment building property (see sec. 1.6452)	1-60
1.2832	Items discarded by suspect	1-60
1.2833	Automobile left behind by suspect fleeing police	1-60
1.2834	Disbarred attorney's client files that have been turned over to third party (see sec. 1.2912)	1-60
1.2835	Other things	1-60
1.29	Other Articles	1-60

1.291	Records and other material in possession of third party	1-60
1.2911	In general	1-60
1.2912	Disbarred attorney's client files that have been turned over to third party	1-61
1.292	Oral communications	1-61
1.2921	Communication voluntarily confided to third party who reveals it	1-61
1.2922	Conversations exposed to third parties	1-61
1.293	Vehicle Identification Number (VIN) (see sec. 1.6681)	1-61
1.294	Property owned by a nonresident alien and located in a foreign country	1-61
1.295	TDD (telecommunications device to the deaf) communications (see sec. 1.6975)	1-61
1.296	Unmarked audio cassette tape found in unlocked automobile's cassette player	1-61
1.297	Dumpster outside commercial building (see sec. 1.6517)	1-61
1.298	Bank records (see sec. 1.6782)	1-61
1.299	Other articles	1-61
1.2991	Documents left on bench, and exposed to public view, in halls of courthouse	1-61
1.2992	Letter or package sent by unknown person to fictitious person at address that was vacant (see sec. 1.67452)	1-61
1.2993	Letters and sealed packages addressed to third party, but intended to be ultimately delivered to defendant (see sec. 1.6746)	1-61
1.3	Probable Cause For Arrest, Search, Or Seizure	1-61
1.31	Probable Cause For Arrest Or Other Seizure Of The Person Requiring Probable Cause	1-61
1.311	Test for probable cause	1-62
1.312	Based on information supplied by third parties	1-63
1.3121	Probable cause may be based on information supplied by third parties, i.e., hearsay information	1-63
1.3122	Determining when information supplied by third parties provides probable cause (see sec. 1.33)	1-63
1.313	Not dependent on good faith, or subjective belief or intent, of arresting officer (see sec. 1.34)	1-63
1.314	Determined by considering collective information possessed by police agency (see sec. 1.35)	1-63
1.315	Particular factors giving rise to, or supporting finding of, probable cause	1-63
1.3151	Flight	1-63
1.3152	Threatening gesture	1-63
1.3153	Association with one suspected of, or engaged in, criminal activity	1-63
1.3154	Smell and/or sight of burning marijuana	1-63
1.3155	Smell or odor of marijuana emanating from vehicle of which arrestee is occupant	1-64
1.31551	When arrestee is only occupant	1-64
1.31552	When arrestee is one of many occupants	1-64
1.3156	Presence of controlled substance in vehicle in which arrestee is occupant	1-64
1.31561	When arrestee is only occupant (see sec. 1.31721)	1-64

1.31562	When arrestee is one of many occupants (see sec. 1.31722)	1-64
1.3157	Fact that arrestee matches "drug courier profile"	1-64
1.3158	Furtive action	1-64
1.3159	Other factors	1-64
1.31591	Alert by dog trained to detect contraband (e.g., controlled substances)	1-64
1.31592	False denial of acquaintance with murder victim, which shows feeling of guilt	1-64
1.31593	Particular factors to be considered in related contexts	1-64
1.316	Propriety of consideration, or effect of consideration, of unconstitutionally obtained evidence	1-64
1.3161	In general	1-64
1.3162	When unconstitutionally obtained evidence not necessary to establish probable cause	1-65
1.3163	Cannot use evidence found in search incident to arrest to provide probable cause to arrest (see sec. 1.6114)	1-65
1.317	Existence in particular situations	1-65
1.3171	In general	1-65
1.3172	Presence in automobile in which controlled substance found	1-65
1.31721	When arrestee is only occupant of automobile	1-65
1.31722	When arrestee is one of many occupants of automobile	1-65
1.3173	Arrestee's emergence from area from which smell of burning marijuana is emanating	1-65
1.3174	Arrestee's occupancy of vehicle from which smell or odor of marijuana is emanating	1-65
1.3175	When husband suspected of being involved in wife's disappearance based on all the surrounding facts and circumstances	1-65
1.3176	Burglary accomplished by use of defendant's pass card and items taken in burglary offered for sale by defendant's brother	1-66
1.3177	Two men observed early in morning near vicinity of burglary call, one of whom matched description of person mentioned in burglary call, both of whom ran away when police vehicle turned in their direction; police heard dispatch concerning armed robbery possibly related to burglary; and canine picked up scent of two fleeing men and led police to defendant, who was within one-block perimeter police had set up when men fled, and who was hiding in backyard and appeared to fit description from armed robbery dispatch	1-66
1.3178	Defendant's residence was located in area known for drug dealing, defendant was outside holding in his hands folded-up money and canister that appeared to be of type typically used to transport drugs, and defendant refused to cooperate with investigation and retreated into residence when police ordered him to stop	1-66
1.3179	Other situations	1-66
1.31791	Situations giving rise to probable cause to believe person is operating vehicle under influence of intoxicant (see sec. 37.2133)	1-66

1.31792	Defendant is passenger in vehicle suspected of being involved in drug dealing and gives name to officer that cannot be verified through computer check	1-66
1.31793	Defendant's vehicle seen swerving into left lane of traffic, which resulted in vehicle being three-quarters left of center of road	1-66
1.318	Appellate review of question of probable cause	1-66
1.319	Other matters	1-67
1.3191	Only evidence available to officer at time of arrest is relevant to probable cause determination	1-67
1.3192	Probable cause to arrest under arrest warrant may exist even though arrestee not actually person named in warrant	1-67
1.3193	Fruit of search incident to arrest cannot provide probable cause justifying arrest (see sec. 1.6114)	1-67
1.3194	Need for determination of probable cause for arrest promptly after warrantless arrest made (see sec. 6.31)	1-67
1.3195	Probable cause does not require that it is more likely than not that defendant committed offense	1-67
1.3196	Fact that charge later dismissed is irrelevant in determining whether officer possessed probable cause at time of arrest	1-68
1.3197	Probable cause not governed by outcome of subsequent prosecution; probable cause not defeated by defendant's acquittal	1-68
1.3198	In determining whether probable cause exists, officer's conclusions based on officer's training and investigative experience may be considered	1-68
1.3199	Other matters	1-68
1.31991	Determination of implied consent hearing that probable cause existed for arrest does not preclude defendant from relitigating probable cause question at suppression hearing	1-68
1.31992	Probable cause to arrest exists only if officer's construction of ambiguous statute to apply to defendant's conduct is correct; if facts would support violation of statute only under a legal misinterpretation of statute, no probable cause exists; lawful stop cannot be predicated upon an officer's mistake of law	1-68
1.31993	Propriety of considering statements covered by testimonial privilege in determining whether probable cause exists (see sec. 1.361)	1-68
1.31994	Subjective intentions play no role in ordinary probable cause analysis	1-68
1.31995	When police officer is confronted with two reasonable competing inferences, one that would justify arrest and another that would not, officer is entitled to rely on reasonable inference justifying arrest	1-68
1.31996	Any inference that everyone on scene of crime is party to crime disappears if government informer singles out guilty person	1-69

1.31997	Suspect's prior convictions and prior arrests may be considered in determining whether probable cause for arrest exists	1-69
1.32	Probable Cause For Search Or Seizure Of Property	1-69
1.321	Test for probable cause	1-69
1.3211	Search for, or seizure of, evidence of crime	1-69
1.32111	In general	1-69
1.32112	Probable cause is more than possibility, but not probability, that conclusion is more likely than not	1-71
1.32113	Probable cause determination must be made on case-by-case basis, looking at totality of circumstances	1-71
1.32114	In determining probable cause, inference supporting existence of probable cause need not be only inference that can be drawn, but must be reasonable inference (see sec. 1.32992)	1-71
1.3212	Health and safety inspections	1-71
1.3213	Administrative building code inspections	1-71
1.32131	In general	1-71
1.32132	Fourth Amendment does not require showing that consent to entry of premises has been denied	1-71
1.32133	Violation of statutory requirement of showing that consent to entry of premises has been denied does not entitle defendant to suppression of evidence (see sec. 1.82332)	1-71
1.3214	Fire investigations	1-71
1.3215	Search for, and seizure of, obscene material	1-71
1.322	Based on information supplied by third parties (see sec. 1.33)	1-71
1.323	Not dependent on good faith, or subjective belief or intent, of searching officer (see sec. 1.34)	1-71
1.324	Determined by considering collective information possessed by police agency (see sec. 1.35)	1-71
1.325	Factors giving rise to, or supporting finding of, probable cause	1-71
1.3251	Smell and/or sight of marijuana	1-72
1.32511	In general	1-72
1.32512	Smell or odor of marijuana emanating from vehicle which is object of search (see sec. 1.32591)	1-72
1.32513	Smell or odor of marijuana emanating from vehicle in which person to be searched is passenger (see sec. 1.32592)	1-72
1.32514	Smell or odor of marijuana emanating from premises to be searched (see sec. 1.32593)	1-72
1.3252	Furtive gesture	1-72
1.3253	Agent's conclusions based on experience	1-72
1.3254	Drug dealers and weapons go hand in hand	1-72
1.3255	Feeling something during frisk that officer believes is controlled substance	1-72
1.3256	Fact that person in possession of place to be searched matches "drug courier profile"	1-72

1.3257	Does fact that person is engaged in dealing in large quantities of drugs provide probable cause to search person's residence for evidence of drug dealing?	1-72
1.32571	No (Wisconsin Court of Appeals' answer)	1-72
1.32572	Yes (Wisconsin Supreme Court's answer, reversing court of appeals' answer)	1-72
1.3258	Other factors	1-72
1.32581	Discovery of white powdery substance in clear plastic bag hidden under front seat of car believed to be cocaine but which actually was flour	1-72
1.32582	Fact that murder victim's body was covered with blanket is circumstantial evidence that murderer was family member or friend	1-73
1.32583	Person's commission of crime does not automatically give police probable cause to search person's house for evidence of that crime (see sec. 1.32731)	1-73
1.3259	Other factors (cont'd)	1-73
1.32591	Smell or odor of marijuana emanating from vehicle which is object of search	1-73
1.32592	Smell or odor of marijuana emanating from vehicle in which person to be searched is passenger	1-73
1.32593	Smell or odor of marijuana emanating from premises to be searched	1-73
1.32594	Alert by dog trained to detect contraband (e.g., controlled substances)	1-73
1.32595	Officer's knowledge, training, and previous experience and inferences drawn therefrom	1-73
1.32596	Flight	1-73
1.32597	Large sum of money found in glove compartment of car in which controlled substance also found	1-73
1.32598	Particular factors to be considered in related contexts	1-73
1.326	Propriety of consideration, or effect of consideration, of unconstitutionally obtained evidence	1-73
1.3261	In general	1-73
1.3262	When unconstitutionally obtained evidence not necessary to establish probable cause	1-74
1.3263	When police secured evidence in reliance on controlling pronouncement of Wisconsin appellate court that is later overruled by the United States Supreme Court, consideration of evidence provides no grounds for suppression (see sec. 1.8282)	1-74
1.327	Existence in particular situations	1-74
1.3271	Particular situations	1-74
1.32711	Controlled buy of drug	1-74
1.32712	Search for, or seizure of, controlled substance	1-74
1.32713	Search of drug dealer's residence for evidence of drug dealing	1-74
1.32714	When marijuana plants found in apartment, probable cause exists for search of apartment	1-74
1.32715	Search of drug dealer's residence for evidence of drug dealing	1-74

1.32716	When wife personally informs police that husband has hidden drugs in residence after having had firsthand opportunity to observe husband's behavior	1-74
1.32717	Defendant crashed pickup truck into vehicle, remembered waking up and crashing into vehicle, and told medical personnel she had three beers, and medical personnel smelled strong odor of alcohol on defendant	1-75
1.32718	Police investigating burglary of apartment	1-75
1.32719	Anonymous "Crime Stoppers" tip predicting that vehicle carrying large amount of marijuana would be traveling from one named place to another named place and providing specific information about car and its occupants, and officer sees vehicle matching description given by caller and, after stopping vehicle, confirms various aspects of information provided by anonymous caller	1-75
1.3272	Particular situations (cont'd)	1-75
1.32721	Following circumstances do not constitute probable cause for entry into home: (1) location was "hot spot"; (2) during short time, three people entered home, stayed for short time, and then exited; (3) two months earlier, arrests had been made at home for drug dealing; (4) defendant was riding bike outside home and, when approached by officers, fled into home	1-75
1.32722	Home of serial killer for victims' property and other evidence of crimes years after killings	1-75
1.32723	Search of son's home for evidence relating to murder of mother at different location	1-75
1.32724	Information from person arrested outside of premises implicating occupant of premises in drug transaction, followed by observation of occupant in doorway holding what appears to be controlled substance	1-75
1.32725	When results of thermal image scan in combination with electricity records shows defendant used above average amounts of electricity at his home, and confidential informant said he had seen marijuana growing in home 18 months earlier	1-76
1.32726	Report of breaking glass and man at back door of house, observation of broken pane in back door's window and broken glass nearby, return to house, after five-minute search of neighborhood, where window that was closed five minutes earlier was open, and no answer to officer's knock on door of house	1-76
1.32727	Search of home and computer of person who has been in possession of material exhibiting interest in sexual activity with children	1-76
1.32728	Search of home and computer of person who has been engaged in photographing, at person's business premises, underage girl in various states of undress	1-76

1.32729	Search of home and computer of person who has purchased access to website containing child pornography	1-76
1.3273	Particular situations (cont'd)	1-76
1.32731	Person's commission of crime, or possession of contraband, outside home does not automatically give police probable cause to search person's house for evidence of that crime	1-76
1.32732	Person's delivery of sealed package containing marijuana to private shipping company and provision of return address to which person is connected by independent investigation does not provide probable cause to search address for evidence of drug crimes	1-76
1.32733	Call to cell phone, intercepted by police officer, whose training and experience, combined with surrounding circumstances, teaches that call was from person interested in purchasing controlled substance, provides probable cause sufficient to justify seizure of phone and/or issuance of warrant to search its contents	1-77
1.32734	Identification of defendant as person who fired gun, followed short time later by stop of defendant and other passengers in car in which gun is found, and claim by one of the other passengers that gun belonged to third passenger, not defendant, provides probable cause to search defendant's home for evidence of his ownership of gun	1-77
1.32735	Confidential informant engaging in controlled drug purchase asserts that person from whom confidential informant obtained drugs said that defendant was one who supplied drugs to him, and police corroborate that assertion	1-77
1.32736	Picture on cell phone showing possessor of cell phone with marijuana blunt, combined with officer's knowledge that drug dealers often personalize phones with such images, provides probable cause sufficient to seize and retain cell phone while warrant sought for search of its contents	1-77
1.32737	Picture on cell phone showing possessor of cell with marijuana blunt, combined with officer's knowledge that drug dealers use cell phones and often personalize phones with such images, provides probable cause sufficient to justify answering incoming call to cell phone	1-77
1.3274	Other situations	1-77
1.328	Appellate review of question of probable cause	1-78
1.3281	In general	1-78
1.3282	Review of probable cause to make warrantless search	1-79

1.3283	When trial court did not apply correct law in determining whether probable cause existed, appellate court will review record to determine whether it supports finding of probable cause	1-79
1.329	Other matters	1-79
1.3291	Probable cause to search not defeated because government incorrect in assessment of what search will produce (see sec. 1.1192)	1-79
1.3292	When information too "stale" to provide probable cause	1-79
1.32921	In general	1-79
1.32922	In cases involving possession of child pornography	1-79
1.32923	In cases involving on-going drug conspiracy	1-79
1.3293	In determining whether probable cause exists, police officer may draw inferences based on his own experience	1-79
1.3294	In determining probable cause, court views facts in light of features of community	1-79
1.3295	Propriety of considering statements covered by testimonial privilege in determining whether probable cause exists (see sec. 1.361)	1-79
1.3296	If there is basis to conclude that evidence is likely to be at particular location, probable cause exists even if it may also be reasonable to conclude that the evidence may be in a second or third location as well	1-79
1.3297	When police officer is confronted with two reasonable competing inferences, one that would justify search and another that would not, officer is entitled to rely on reasonable inference justifying search	1-80
1.3298	Reliance on experience and/or special knowledge or expertise of officer	1-80
1.3299	Other matters	1-80
1.32991	In determining probable cause, court considers totality of circumstances	1-80
1.32992	In determining probable cause, inference supporting existence of probable cause need not be only inference that can be drawn, but must be reasonable inference	1-80
1.33	Based On Information Supplied By Third Parties	1-80
1.331	New rule: Whether information supplied by third parties provides probable cause determined by a "totality of the circumstances" approach	1-80
1.332	Old rule: Showing of reliability of third party, and of manner in which third party obtained information, required	1-81
1.333	General principles for determining reliability or veracity of third party and information provided by third party	1-81
1.3331	In general	1-81
1.3332	Ways in which reliability or veracity of information supplied by third parties may be established	1-81
1.33321	In general	1-81
1.33322	Fact that information provided by third party was inculpatory; fact that third party was participant in crime and information provided was statement against interest	1-81

1.33323	Fact that third party has provided reliable information in the past	1-81
1.33324	Fact that third party's information is corroborated	1-82
1.33325	Fact that third party is named	1-82
1.3333	In establishing reliability of third party, arresting officer may rely on collective information of police force conveyed to officer prior to arrest	1-82
1.3334	Need to establish reliability or veracity of person from whom third party has obtained information: when multiple layers of hearsay are proffered in support of showing of probable cause, each level of hearsay must be shown to be reliable	1-82
1.334	Establishing reliability or veracity of particular third parties	1-82
1.3341	Persons seeking favor	1-82
1.33411	Paid informer or suspect seeking favor	1-82
1.33412	Arrestee seeking favor from police	1-82
1.3342	Unnamed police informant (confidential informant)	1-82
1.3343	Citizen informant	1-82
1.3344	Fellow police officer	1-83
1.3345	Informant who testifies at warrant hearing	1-83
1.3346	Anonymous informant	1-83
1.3347	Police informant making controlled buy	1-83
1.3348	Person from whom police informant made controlled drug buy, who told informant that defendant was one who supplied drugs to him	1-83
1.335	Other matters	1-83
1.3351	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint based on information supplied by third parties (see sec. 6.135)	1-83
1.3352	Failure to establish reliability not fatal if balance of allegations sufficient to establish probable cause	1-83
1.34	Not Dependent On Good Faith, Or Subjective Belief Or Intent, Of Officer	1-83
1.341	In general	1-83
1.342	Validity of arrest not dependent on whether there is probable cause for offense stated by arresting officer at time of arrest; so long as there is probable cause for any offense, arrest is valid	1-84
1.3421	In general	1-84
1.3422	No requirement that offense establishing probable cause be "closely related" to, or based on same conduct as, offense identified by arresting officer at time of arrest	1-84
1.343	Validity of arrest not dependent on whether offense stated by arresting officer at time of arrest actually exists; so long as there is probable cause for an existing offense, arrest is valid	1-84
1.35	Determined By Considering "Collective Information" Or "Collective Knowledge" Possessed By Law Enforcement Officers Or Agency	1-84
1.351	In general	1-84
1.352	Reliance on police flier or bulletin	1-85
1.3521	In general	1-85
1.3522	One police agency may rely on bulletin or flyer issued by another police agency	1-85

1.353	Mere knowledge that other officers believe there is probable cause for arrest or search, without showing of basis for that belief, in not sort of information courts may consider in determining whether probable cause exists	1-85
1.354	Arresting or searching officer must be in communication with possessor of information	1-85
1.355	May collective information be used to negate probable cause? (see secs. 1.1427 and 1.5224)	1-85
1.356	Related matters	1-85
1.3561	Considering collective information possessed by police force in determining whether reasonable suspicion existed (see secs. 1.1427 and 1.5224)	1-85
1.36	Other Matters	1-85
1.361	Propriety of considering statements covered by testimonial privilege in determining whether probable cause exists	1-85
1.4	Warrant For Arrest Or Search	1-85
1.41	Arrest Warrant	1-85
1.411	When warrant required for arrest (see sec. 1.161)	1-85
1.412	Types of warrants for person's arrest other than warrant for defendant's arrest based on defendant's commission of crime	1-85
1.4121	Civil bench warrant (see sec. 12.871)	1-85
1.4122	Criminal bench warrant (see sec. 12.872)	1-85
1.4123	Warrant for contempt of court (see sec. 47.343)	1-86
1.4124	Material witness warrant (see sec. 20.322)	1-86
1.413	Sufficiency of evidence to support issuance of arrest warrant	1-86
1.4131	Test for determining sufficiency	1-86
1.41311	In general	1-86
1.41312	Probable cause required for issuance of warrant is less than probable cause needed to bind defendant over for trial	1-86
1.4132	Determining when probable cause for arrest exists (see sec. 1.31)	1-86
1.4133	Scope of inquiry and matters considered in determining sufficiency	1-86
1.4134	Propriety of consideration, or effect of consideration, of unconstitutionally obtained evidence (see sec. 1.316)	1-86
1.4135	Challenge to truthfulness or completeness of document (i.e., application, affidavit, or complaint) or testimony supporting warrant	1-86
1.41351	In general	1-86
1.41352	Hearsay recitation in affidavit is insufficient to trigger hearing to determine whether law enforcement personnel seeking warrant either knowingly or recklessly made false statements in application for warrant	1-86
1.4136	Warrant based on tainted evidence still valid if untainted evidence provides probable cause (see sec. 1.3162)	1-86
1.4137	Appellate review of sufficiency of evidence	1-87
1.4138	Other matters	1-87

1.41381	Need for probable cause to believe it is impracticable to secure presence by subpoena before material witness arrest warrant issued (see sec. 20.3223)	1-87
1.41382	Comparison of quantum of evidence required to support issuance of arrest warrant with that required to support issuance of search warrant (see sec. 1.42291)	1-87
1.41383	Disclosure of identity of informant who supplied information providing basis for issuance of warrant (see sec. 17.7)	1-87
1.414	Must be issued by neutral magistrate with authority to issue warrant	1-87
1.4141	Magistrate must be neutral	1-87
1.4142	Magistrate must have authority to issue warrant	1-87
1.415	Contents of arrest warrant	1-87
1.4151	Statutory requirement that warrant identify subject of warrant by name if known or, if not known, by any description by which person to be arrested can be identified with reasonable certainty	1-87
1.41511	In general	1-87
1.41512	Identifying subject of warrant solely by his DNA profile is sufficient to satisfy this requirement	1-87
1.41513	Does not absolutely require that person's name appear in warrant	1-87
1.4152	Warrant must be signed by judge	1-87
1.4153	Warrant must state name of crime and statute allegedly violated	1-87
1.416	Execution of arrest warrant	1-87
1.4161	Entry into premises to execute warrant (see sec. 1.9513)	1-87
1.4162	Use of force to execute warrant	1-87
1.41621	Non-lethal force (see sec. 1.95141)	1-87
1.41622	Deadly force (see sec. 1.95142)	1-88
1.4163	Search incident to arrest (see sec. 1.61)	1-88
1.4164	Arrest of wrong person	1-88
1.4165	Search of persons in company of arrestee (see secs. 1.6924 and 1.6925)	1-88
1.4166	Detention of persons in company of arrestee (see secs. 1.5943 and 1.5944)	1-88
1.4167	Right of arrestee to resist unlawful arrest (see sec. 1.994)	1-88
1.4168	Execution of arrest warrant outside Wisconsin	1-88
1.4169	Other matters	1-88
1.41691	Officer's authority to monitor movements of arrestee (see sec. 1.24212)	1-88
1.41692	Scope of search of home under arrest warrant	1-88
1.41693	Police may not bring members of media or other third parties into home during execution of warrant when third parties do not aid execution of warrant	1-88
1.41694	Officer may arrest pursuant to warrant without having warrant in his or her possession	1-88
1.417	Other matters relating to arrest warrant	1-88
1.4171	Material witness warrant (see sec. 1.9982)	1-88
1.4172	Disclosure of identity of informant who supplied information providing basis for issuance of warrant (see sec. 17.7)	1-88

1.4173	Arrest warrant is superfluous where suspect is already in custody	1-88
1.4174	Defendant has no due process right to notice that state is seeking arrest warrant (see sec. 22.346)	1-88
1.4175	Warrant issued by judge, magistrate, or other entity that had no authority to issue warrant is void	1-89
1.42	Search Warrant	1-89
1.421	When warrant required for search (see sec. 1.162)	1-89
1.422	Sufficiency of evidence to support issuance of search warrant	1-89
1.4221	Test for determining sufficiency	1-89
1.42211	In general	1-89
1.42212	Determining when probable cause for search exists (see sec. 1.32)	1-90
1.42213	When anticipatory search warrant issued	1-90
1.42214	In case of administrative building code inspection (see sec. 1.3213)	1-90
1.42215	Quantum of evidence necessary to support finding of probable cause for search warrant is less than that required for conviction or for bindover following preliminary examination	1-90
1.42216	Sufficiency determined by applying totality-of-the-circumstances test	1-90
1.42217	Good-faith exception to exclusionary rule has no role to play in determining whether warrant-issuing magistrate properly found probable cause	1-90
1.42218	Sufficiency of inferences to support probable cause	1-90
1.42219	When multi-unit residential building is involved	1-91
1.4222	Test for determining sufficiency (cont'd)	1-91
1.42221	Evidence is sufficient to support issuance of warrant even if inference drawn from evidence that supports finding of probable cause is not only inference that may be drawn from evidence	1-91
1.42222	When finding of probable cause based on information supplied by third parties (see sec. 1.33)	1-91
1.4223	Scope of inquiry and matters considered in determining sufficiency	1-91
1.42231	In general	1-91
1.42232	Magistrate issuing search warrant is limited to facts set forth in supporting affidavit, application or other document accompanying warrant application; subjective experiences of magistrate are not part of probable cause determination	1-91
1.42233	Reviewing court limited to information supplied in and with search warrant application (i.e., record as it existed before warrant-issuing magistrate)	1-91
1.42234	Experience and special knowledge of officers applying for warrant	1-91
1.4224	Propriety of consideration, or effect of consideration, of unconstitutionally obtained evidence (see sec. 1.326)	1-91

1.4225	Challenge to truthfulness or completeness of document (i.e., application, affidavit, or complaint) or testimony supporting warrant	1-92
1.42251	In general	1-92
1.42252	Hearsay recitation in affidavit is insufficient to trigger hearing to determine whether law enforcement personnel seeking warrant either knowingly or recklessly made false statements in application for warrant	1-92
1.42253	No need to consider challenge when reviewing court finds lack of probable cause to support issuance of warrant	1-92
1.42254	Defendant may not challenge credibility of witness upon whose testimony court relied in issuing search warrant	1-93
1.4226	Sufficiency of evidence to support search for evidence of particular crime	1-93
1.42261	Stalking	1-93
1.4227	Sufficiency of evidence to support particular aspects of warrant	1-93
1.42271	Breadth of seizure authorized by warrant: in general	1-93
1.42272	Breadth of seizure authorized by warrant: where there is probable cause to believe that there exists pervasive scheme to defraud, all records of business may be seized	1-93
1.42273	Authorization in warrant to search "all occupants" found at premises for which search warrant issued	1-93
1.4228	Appellate review of sufficiency of evidence	1-93
1.42281	In general	1-93
1.42282	When anticipatory search warrant issued (see sec. 1.42884)	1-94
1.42283	Appellate court review limited to information supplied in and with search warrant application (see sec. 1.42233)	1-94
1.42284	Reviewing court gives great deference to issuing magistrate's determination that probable cause for search exists	1-94
1.42285	Marginal cases should be determined by strong preference that officers conduct their searches pursuant to warrant	1-94
1.42286	When trial court did not apply correct law in determining whether probable cause justifying issuance of warrant existed, appellate court will review record to determine whether it supports finding of probable cause	1-95
1.42287	When search warrant based on both tainted and untainted evidence	1-95
1.42288	Appellate court reviews issuing magistrate's inferences, not police officer's	1-95
1.4229	Other matters	1-95

1.42291	Comparison of quantum of evidence required to support issuance of arrest warrant with that required to support issuance of search warrant	1-95
1.42292	Disclosure of identity of informant who supplied information providing basis for issuance of warrant (see sec. 17.7)	1-95
1.42293	Validity of warrant based on tainted evidence if untainted evidence provides probable cause (see sec. 1.3262)	1-95
1.42294	When evidence too "stale" to support issuance of search warrant (see sec. 1.3292)	1-95
1.42295	Issuing magistrate's reliance on experience and/or special knowledge or expertise of officer (see sec. 1.3298)	1-95
1.42296	Issuing magistrate's reliance on "Preferential Child Molester Information"	1-95
1.42297	Defendant not entitled to hearing to challenge credibility of witness upon whose testimony court relied in issuing search warrant (see sec. 1.42254)	1-95
1.42298	Validity of warrant based on tainted evidence if untainted evidence provides probable cause (see sec. 1.3262)	1-95
1.423	Must be issued by neutral magistrate with authority to issue warrant and supported by oath or affirmation	1-95
1.4231	Must be issued by neutral magistrate	1-95
1.42311	In general	1-95
1.42312	Participation by magistrate in search	1-96
1.42313	Defendant's filing of complaints against judge does not mean that judge was not a neutral and detached magistrate	1-96
1.42314	Judge's prior self-disqualification in cases involving defendant does not mean that judge was not neutral and detached magistrate	1-96
1.42315	Related matters	1-96
1.4232	Must be supported by oath or affirmation	1-96
1.42321	Requirement in general	1-96
1.42322	Background and history of requirement	1-96
1.42323	Failure to comply with requirement is not technical irregularity not affecting substantial rights of defendant that cannot provide grounds for suppression under Wis. Stat. § 968.22	1-96
1.42324	Investigator's sworn statement, made after warrant issued and executed, does not remedy absence of sworn statement before issuance of warrant	1-96
1.42325	Good-faith exception to exclusionary rule does not apply to failure to comply with this requirement	1-96
1.4233	Magistrate must have authority to issue warrant	1-96
1.424	Contents of search warrant	1-96
1.4241	General principles	1-96

1.42411	Court may construe contents of warrant with reference to supporting application or affidavit if warrant uses appropriate words of incorporation and supporting documentation accompanies warrant	1-97
1.4242	Description of things to be seized and place or person to be searched (particularity requirement)	1-97
1.42421	Need for, and sufficiency of, in general	1-97
1.42422	Sufficiency of, when First Amendment rights implicated	1-97
1.42423	Objectives or purposes of particularity requirement	1-97
1.42424	Effect of error in description of place to be searched	1-97
1.42425	Validity of authorization to search not only designated premises, but also "all occupants" found on premises	1-98
1.42426	Where search warrant is based on knowledge of controlled delivery, warrant may authorize search for drug paraphernalia as well as contraband	1-98
1.42427	Effect of violation of requirement of specificity	1-98
1.42428	Fact that application for warrant adequately describes things to be seized does not save warrant that fails to do so from facial invalidity	1-98
1.42429	Requirement of specificity of description when documents are object of search	1-98
1.4243	Description of things to be seized and place or person to be searched (particularity requirement) (cont'd)	1-98
1.42431	When multi-unit building is involved: in general	1-98
1.42432	When multi-unit building is involved: warrant which describes entire residential building when cause is shown for searching only one apartment is void	1-98
1.42433	When multi-unit building is involved: may unit to be searched be described by naming occupant of unit or attaching occupant's picture to warrant?	1-98
1.42434	Particularity requirement not satisfied by listing a number of addresses (e.g., addresses of several units in apartment building) and conditioning execution of warrant on law enforcement officers identifying precise unit in which particular individual lives, absent showing of why officers could not have identified precise address before applying for warrant	1-98
1.42435	Description of place to be searched, based on good faith but mistaken belief as to nature of premises described, is not invalid because it turns out to have been unnecessarily broad	1-99
1.4244	Specification of means or time of execution	1-99
1.42441	In general	1-99
1.42442	No-knock entry: in general	1-99
1.42443	No-knock entry: authorization for no-knock entry reviewed with great deference	1-99

1.42444	No-knock entry: failure to seek advance authorization for no-knock entry not fatal, even though grounds for such entry known at time of application for search warrant	1-99
1.42445	When advance authorization for no-knock entry sought, court reviewing reasonableness of no-knock execution of warrant not precluded from considering facts known to police but not included in search warrant application (see sec. 1.42554)	1-99
1.42446	Need for authorization to use ruse to obtain admittance to premises to be searched	1-99
1.42447	Nighttime execution	1-99
1.4245	Effect of reference to wrong statute	1-99
1.4246	Anticipatory search warrant does not need to specify condition(s) precedent to execution of warrant (see sec. 1.42885)	1-100
1.425	Requirement of knock and announcement before entry to execute warrant; no-knock entry	1-100
1.4251	Nature, purpose, and source of requirement	1-100
1.42511	Nature of requirement in general	1-100
1.42512	Purpose of requirement	1-101
1.42513	Source of requirement: Requirement is mandated by federal constitution	1-101
1.42514	Source of requirement: Requirement is mandated by state constitution (see sec. 1.42587)	1-101
1.4252	How long must officers wait after knock and announcement before entry?	1-101
1.42521	In general	1-101
1.42522	When officers are concerned about possible destruction of evidence (e.g., drugs)	1-101
1.4253	Requirement may be dispensed with when no-knock entry needed to protect safety of officers or to prevent destruction evidence or escape, or if knocking and announcing would be "futile"	1-101
1.42531	In general	1-101
1.42532	Law enforcement officers only need reasonable suspicion that circumstances justifying no-knock entry are present	1-102
1.4254	Is there an exception to requirement when police have search warrant, supported by probable cause, to search residence for evidence of delivery of drugs or evidence of possession with intent to deliver drugs?	1-102
1.42541	Former Wisconsin rule: Requirement not applicable when police have search warrant, supported by probable cause, to search residence for evidence of delivery of drugs or evidence of possession with intent to deliver drugs	1-102

1.42542	Present rule mandated by United States Supreme Court, overruling former Wisconsin rule: Requirement not subject to blanket exception when police have search warrant, supported by probable cause, to search residence for evidence of delivery of drugs or evidence of possession with intent to deliver drugs; police must have reasonable suspicion that announcement, under the particular circumstances, would be dangerous or futile, or that it would inhibit the effective investigation of the crime	1-103
1.4255	Matters relating to advance authorization for no-knock entry from judge issuing search warrant	1-103
1.42551	Magistrate's decision not to authorize no-knock entry does not remove officers' authority to exercise independent judgment and execute no-knock entry	1-103
1.42552	Even when search warrant authorizes no-knock entry, reasonableness of no-knock entry is determined at time warrant is executed, not at time warrant was issued; reasonable suspicion justifying warrant authorizing no-knock entry may be negated by additional facts that are revealed prior to execution of warrant	1-103
1.42553	Failure to seek advance authorization for no-knock entry not fatal, even though grounds for such entry known at time of application for search warrant (see sec. 1.42434)	1-104
1.42554	When advance authorization for no-knock entry sought, court reviewing reasonableness of no-knock execution of warrant not precluded from considering facts known to police but not included in search warrant application	1-104
1.42555	Fact that police do not seek advance authorization for no-knock entry in warrant does not bar no-knock entry if circumstances at time of entry justify it	1-104
1.4256	Applicability of exclusionary rule to violation of knock-and-announce requirement	1-104
1.42561	In general	1-104
1.42562	When officer has relied in good faith on authorization for no-knock entry in search warrant	1-104
1.42563	When officer has relied in good faith on Wisconsin Supreme Court's rule creating blanket exception to knock-and-announce requirement when police have probable cause to search premises for evidence of delivery of drugs or evidence of possession with intent to deliver drugs, prior to United States Supreme Court's overruling that blanket exception (see sec. 1.42584)	1-104
1.42564	When person (e.g., owner of premises entered) complaining about violation was not present at time of entry (see sec. 1.42592)	1-104
1.4257	Sufficiency of evidence to justify no-knock entry	1-105
1.42571	In general	1-105

1.42572	Use of prior arrest record of occupant of premises to be entered in determining whether reasonable suspicion justifying no-knock entry exists	1-105
1.4258	Other matters	1-105
1.42581	May officers rely on generalized experience in drug cases to conclude that no-knock entry needed to protect officers or prevent destruction of evidence, or must that conclusion be based on specific facts of case?	1-105
1.42582	When trial court has sustained no-knock entry based on former blanket exception to knock-and-announce requirement when search is for delivery of drugs or possession with intent to deliver drugs because that was rule in effect at time of decision, case must be remanded to trial court to determine whether circumstances existing at time of search justified no-knock entry	1-105
1.42583	Reasonable suspicion that circumstances warranting no-knock entry exist is sufficient to permit no-knock entry even when such entry involves destruction of property	1-105
1.42584	When officers relied, in objective good faith, on Wisconsin Supreme Court's rule creating blanket exception to knock-and-announce requirement when police have probable cause to search premises for evidence of delivery of drugs or evidence of possession with intent to deliver drugs, prior to United States Supreme Court's overruling that blanket exception, failure to knock and announce provides no grounds for suppression of evidence	1-106
1.42585	Federal statute codifying knock-and-announcement requirement is subject to common-law exceptions	1-106
1.42586	Knock and announcement not required when executing search warrant against unoccupied premises	1-106
1.42587	Requirement of knock and announcement is imposed by state constitution	1-106
1.42588	Reasonableness of no-knock entry must ultimately be judged by consideration of circumstances as they existed at time of entry; whenever reasonableness of no-knock entry is challenged, state must present evidence of circumstances known or reasonably suspected by police to exist at time of warrant execution that would justify no-knock entry	1-106
1.4259	Other matters (cont'd)	1-106
1.42591	Destruction of property in executing no-knock entry (see sec. 1.42662)	1-106
1.42592	Finding violation of knock-and-announce requirement, and applying exclusionary rule to remedy violation, when person (e.g., owner of premises entered) complaining about violation was not present at time of entry	1-106

1.42593	Effect of failure to announce identity and purpose before entry after knocking and receiving no response	1-106
1.426	Execution of search warrant	1-106
1.4261	Manner of execution in general	1-106
1.42611	General principles	1-107
1.42612	Requirement of knock and announcement before entry: no-knock entry (see sec. 1.425)	1-107
1.42613	Applicability of exclusionary rule to violation of Fourth Amendment requirement of reasonableness in execution of warrant (see sec. 1.4268)	1-107
1.4262	Time of execution	1-107
1.42621	Timeliness of execution	1-107
1.42622	May be executed during nighttime hours	1-107
1.4263	Scope of search and seizure under warrant	1-107
1.42631	In general	1-107
1.42632	Search of premises that is overbroad (i.e., that extends into neighboring premises of third party) due to objectively understandable and reasonable mistake as to nature of premises	1-107
1.42633	Need to discontinue search that is overbroad due to overbroad description of place to be searched when error in description of place to be searched found	1-108
1.42634	Search must cease when objects of search have been found	1-108
1.42635	Right to subject items found in search to examination designed to bring out characteristics not visible to unaided human senses (e.g., developing film, scientific analysis, etc.) to determine whether they fall within search warrant	1-108
1.42636	Right to remove items found in search to another location (e.g., police station) for further examination to determine whether they fall within search warrant	1-108
1.42637	Seizure of items not described in warrant	1-108
1.42638	Effect of seizure of items not described in warrant (i.e., items outside scope of warrant) or of other activity not authorized by warrant on admissibility of seized items that were described in warrant (i.e., items within scope of warrant): generally, remedy is to suppress only items seized outside scope of warrant, but when search is conducted with flagrant disregard for limitations found in warrant, suppression of all evidence seized is required	1-108
1.42639	Police do not exceed scope of search authorized by warrant simply by photographing items in plain view	1-108
1.4264	Scope of search and seizure under warrant (cont'd)	1-109
1.42641	Search may extend to all items found on premises that are plausible repositories for objects named in the search warrant: general rule	1-109

1.42642	Search may extend to all items found on premises that are plausible repositories for objects named in the search warrant: exception to general rule (search may not extend to repositories worn by, or in physical possession of, persons whose search is not authorized by warrant)	1-109
1.42643	Closets, drawers, chests, and other containers, as well as any other objects, may be opened and/or inspected if they could contain object of search	1-109
1.42644	Search of belongings of visitor on premises	1-109
1.42645	Premises search warrant authorizes search of any items found on premises regardless of ownership	1-109
1.42646	Warrant authorizing search of "premises" at particular location authorizes search of vehicle found on premises	1-109
1.42647	Search warrant for person encompasses search of bag or purse carried by person at time of execution of search warrant	1-110
1.42648	When objective of search is photographs (e.g., child pornography), search may extend to computer	1-110
1.42649	Search of fixed premises generally extends to entire area in which object of search may be found	1-110
1.4265	Scope of search and seizure under warrant (cont'd)	1-110
1.42651	Warrant authorizing search of "entire first floor premises" of building containing business permits search of balcony area raised up from first floor, but which did not reach second floor and which was accessible only from first floor	1-110
1.4266	Propriety of bringing third party into home during execution of warrant	1-110
1.42661	Owner of stolen property or other third party for purpose of identifying property whose seizure is authorized by warrant	1-110
1.42662	Members of the media or other third parties who do not aid execution of warrant	1-110
1.4267	Destruction of property in executing warrant	1-110
1.42671	In general	1-110
1.42672	When no-knock entry made	1-110
1.42673	Federal statute describing circumstances under which officer may damage property in executing warrant subject to common-law exceptions	1-110
1.42674	Applicability of exclusionary rule when destruction of property violates Fourth Amendment's reasonableness requirement	1-110
1.4268	Applicability of exclusionary rule to violations of Fourth Amendment's requirement that execution of warrant be reasonable	1-111
1.42681	In general	1-111
1.42682	Applicability of exclusionary rule to violation of knock-and-announce requirement (see sec. 1.836)	1-111

1.42683	Applicability of exclusionary rule when destruction of property violates Fourth Amendment's reasonableness requirement (see sec. 1.42664)	1-111
1.4269	Other matters relating to execution of search warrant	1-111
1.42691	Use of ruse to induce occupant to open premises to be searched	1-111
1.42692	Covert entry	1-111
1.42693	Requirement of knock and announcement before entry; no-knock entry (see sec. 1.425)	1-111
1.42694	Homeowner has no right to prevent officers armed with warrant from entering his or her home to execute warrant	1-111
1.42695	Police have authority under valid search warrant to forcibly execute warrant when premises are unoccupied	1-111
1.42696	Need to furnish warrant at outset of search when occupant of premises searched requests to see it	1-111
1.42697	Permissibility of requiring unclothed person in bed on premises to be searched to get out of bed and stand next to it unclothed	1-111
1.42698	Need to stop search upon discovery that occupants of premises are of different race than suspects	1-111
1.427	Return of search warrant	1-111
1.4271	Timeliness of return	1-111
1.428	Other matters relating to search warrant	1-111
1.4281	Purpose of search warrant in general	1-112
1.4282	Issuance of warrant by court commissioner or other entity other than judge	1-112
1.42821	Power of court commissioner or other entity other than judge to issue warrant	1-112
1.42822	By court commissioner who has not filed oath of office	1-112
1.4283	Effect of partial invalidity of warrant	1-112
1.4284	Severability doctrine (items seized during lawful execution of valid part of warrant are not suppressed because other items were seized pursuant to invalid portion of warrant)	1-112
1.4285	Sealing of search warrant	1-112
1.42851	In general	1-112
1.42852	When warrant issued in John Doe proceeding (see sec. 4.2232)	1-112
1.4286	Search and detention of persons on premises for which search warrant issued	1-112
1.42861	Search of persons on premises for which search warrant issued (see sec. 1.6921)	1-112
1.42862	Detention of persons on premises for which search warrant issued (see sec. 1.5941)	1-112
1.4287	Alleged misconduct of state subsequent to execution of search warrant cannot "reach back" to invalidate lawful search and seizure	1-112
1.4288	Anticipatory warrant	1-112
1.42881	In general	1-112

1.42882	What constitutes	1-113
1.42883	Validity of	1-113
1.42884	Test for determination of probable cause to issue	1-113
1.42885	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause to issue	1-113
1.42886	When warrant issued in anticipation of arrival of contraband on premises, need for, and sufficiency of, language in warrant conditioning search upon contraband's arrival there	1-113
1.42887	When warrant issued in anticipation of delivery of contraband to premises, does warrant need to allege role government agents will play in delivery?	1-113
1.42888	Anticipatory warrants are peculiar to property in transit	1-113
1.42889	Anticipatory warrant not appropriate where its execution is conditioned on verification of individual's address	1-113
1.4289	Telephonic application for search warrant	1-113
1.42891	Fourth Amendment does not require contemporaneous recording of search warrant application	1-113
1.42892	Statutory requirement that application be recorded	1-114
1.42893	Effect of failure to have application recorded	1-114
1.42894	Reconstruction of application when application has not been recorded	1-114
1.42895	Right to counsel at application or at hearing where reconstruction of unrecorded application is attempted	1-114
1.429	Other matters relating to search warrant (cont'd)	1-114
1.4291	Special inspection warrant	1-114
1.4292	When judge has issued search warrant, it is presumed that judge concluded probable cause existed and absence of declaration of that conclusion does not invalidate warrant	1-114
1.4293	Conditional or contingent search warrant	1-114
1.42931	In general	1-114
1.42932	Conditional warrant not appropriate where its execution is conditioned on verification of individual's address	1-114
1.4294	Disclosure of identity of informant who supplied information providing basis for issuance of warrant (see sec. 17.7)	1-114
1.4295	When law enforcement officers seize property pursuant to warrant, due process requires reasonable notice that property has been taken so owner can pursue available remedies for its return	1-114
1.42951	In general	1-114
1.42952	Officers need not give notice of state-law remedies for return of property when such remedies are established by published, generally available state statutes and case law	1-115
1.4296	Temporary seizure of person or property while search warrant obtained	1-115
1.42961	Of person (see sec. 1.5941)	1-115
1.42962	Of property (see sec. 1.6988)	1-115

1.4297	Statute providing that evidence seized under search warrant shall not be suppressed because of technical irregularity not affecting substantial rights of defendant (Wis. Stat. § 968.22)	1-115
1.42971	In general	1-115
1.42972	Statute does not apply to failure to comply with requirement that warrant must be based on oath or affirmation (see sec. 1.42323)	1-115
1.42973	Statute applies to search warrant's mistaken description of place to be searched when there was no reasonable probability that officers would search wrong place	1-115
1.4298	Need for contemporaneous recording of search warrant application	1-115
1.42981	Fourth Amendment does not require contemporaneous recording of search warrant application	1-115
1.42982	But right to meaningful judicial review of warrant process may require contemporaneous recording of search warrant application	1-115
1.4299	Other matters	1-115
1.42991	Right to counsel at proceedings relating to issuance of search warrant (see sec. 25.194)	1-115
1.42992	Authority to issue search warrant in John Doe proceeding (see sec. 4.2231)	1-115
1.42993	Preservation of common-law rules regarding search warrants and their execution	1-115
1.42994	Reconstruction of search warrant application when testimony supporting warrant was not recorded (see sec. 1.42894)	1-116
1.42995	Probable cause may cease to exist after warrant is issued	1-116
1.42996	Substitution of copy of lost original affidavit and complaint supporting search warrant	1-116
1.42997	Effect of seizure of items not described in warrant (i.e., items outside scope of warrant) or of other activity not authorized by warrant on admissibility of seized items that were described in warrant (i.e., items within scope of warrant): generally, remedy is to suppress only items seized outside scope of warrant, but when search is conducted with flagrant disregard for limitations found in warrant, suppression of all evidence seized is required (see sec. 1.42638)	1-116
1.42998	Warrant issued by judge, magistrate, or other entity that had no authority to issue warrant is void	1-116
1.5	Seizures Of The Person	1-116
1.51	Consensual Encounter (see sec. 1.246)	1-116
1.52	Temporary Investigative Stop Of Person (Stop And Frisk)	1-116
1.521	What constitutes temporary investigative stop (see secs. 1.1314 and 1.525)	1-116
1.522	When stop permitted	1-116
1.5221	General principles	1-116

1.52211	In general	1-116
1.52212	Reasonable suspicion required	1-117
1.52213	No need for probable cause: in general	1-119
1.52214	No need for probable cause: even when only civil infraction (e.g., non-criminal traffic violation) involved	1-119
1.52215	No need for warrant	1-119
1.52216	When person traveling in automobile stopped (see sec. 1.53)	1-119
1.52217	Stop is permissible for violation of non-criminal traffic laws	1-120
1.5222	Determining existence of "reasonable suspicion" that will justify stop or continued detention after lawful stop	1-120
1.52221	In general	1-120
1.52222	"Reasonable suspicion" standard includes completed crime as well as imminent or ongoing crime	1-121
1.52223	Must be judged against an objective standard	1-121
1.52224	Determined by "totality of the circumstances" test; court considers circumstances "collectively" in determining whether reasonable suspicion exists	1-122
1.52225	Need not rule out possibility of innocent conduct; need not dispel all innocent inferences	1-122
1.52226	Officer may draw on, and reviewing court may rely on, officer's experience and training to conclude that reasonable suspicion exists	1-122
1.52227	Includes "reasonable suspicion" that person's conduct may constitute only a civil infraction (e.g., non-criminal traffic violation) (see sec. 1.52284)	1-122
1.52228	Propriety of considering collective information possessed by police force in determining whether particular officer has reasonable suspicion: in general (see sec. 1.52282)	1-122
1.52229	Propriety of considering collective information possessed by police force in determining whether particular officer has reasonable suspicion: use of collective information to negate reasonable suspicion	1-123
1.5223	Determining existence of "reasonable suspicion" that will justify stop or continued detention after lawful stop (cont'd)	1-123
1.52231	Independent verification of informant's tip is relevant factor in determining whether reasonable suspicion exists	1-123
1.52232	When information too stale to provide reasonable suspicion (see sec. 1.9825)	1-123
1.52233	Factors to be considered	1-123
1.52234	Reasonable suspicion is considerably less than proof of wrongdoing by preponderance of evidence	1-123
1.52235	Fact that stopped person was not arrested or issued citation for conduct he was reasonably suspected of committing is of no consequence to the determination whether reasonable suspicion existed	1-123

1.52236	Officer's inchoate and unparticularized suspicion will not give rise to reasonable suspicion	1-123
1.5224	Reliance on "collective information" or "collective knowledge" possessed by law enforcement officers or agency	1-123
1.52241	In general	1-123
1.52242	Mere knowledge of suspicion of other officers, without showing of basis for that suspicion, in not sort of information courts may consider in determining whether reasonable suspicion exists	1-123
1.52243	Use of collective information to negate reasonable suspicion (see sec. 1.52229)	1-124
1.5225	Reliance on information from third parties	1-124
1.52251	In general	1-124
1.52252	Anonymous tip (see sec. 1.5226)	1-124
1.52253	Where information relates to very dangerous activity (e.g., drunk driving)	1-124
1.52254	Named or known citizen informant	1-124
1.52255	Confidential informant	1-124
1.5226	Reliance on "anonymous tip"	1-124
1.52261	In general	1-124
1.52262	Where tip relates to very dangerous activity (e.g., drunk driving)	1-125
1.52263	Anonymous tip that person is carrying gun is not, without more, sufficient to justify officer's stop and frisk of that person	1-125
1.52264	Information from informant who gives name, but cannot otherwise be identified (e.g., because information comes in telephone call made from payphone) is not functional equivalent of anonymous tip	1-125
1.52265	Face-to-face anonymous tip is inherently more reliable than anonymous telephone tip	1-125
1.52266	Fact that tipster jeopardized anonymity or risked identification by manner in which tip reported increases reliability of tip	1-125
1.5227	Statutory authorization for investigative stop	1-125
1.52271	In general	1-125
1.52272	Interpretation of scope of statutory authorization	1-126
1.52273	Requirement that detention be conducted in "vicinity" where person stopped	1-126
1.52274	Authorization to stop in "public place"	1-126
1.52275	Fact that sec. 968.24 does not authorize particular action in connection with stop does not mean that action is prohibited	1-126
1.5228	Other matters	1-126
1.52281	Stop of person found in place for which search warrant has been issued	1-126
1.52282	Stop permitted even though suspicious activity could constitute either a civil forfeiture or a crime	1-126

1.52283	Stop permitted even though suspicious activity constitutes only a civil infraction (e.g., non-criminal traffic violation)	1-126
1.52284	Stop of person traveling in automobile (see sec. 1.53)	1-126
1.52285	When officer does not act upon suspicion that warrants further investigation, but on observation of violation being committed in his presence, the question presented is whether the officer has probable cause to believe that a law has been broken	1-126
1.52286	Propriety of stop to obtain information as part of legitimate investigative function even though person stopped not suspected of unlawful activity	1-126
1.52287	Supreme Court refuses to recognize "firearm exception," under which tip alleging illegal gun would justify stop and frisk even if accusation does not provide reasonable suspicion justifying stop and frisk	1-127
1.5229	Other matters (cont'd)	1-127
1.52291	Stop permitted based on reasonable suspicion that person is violating non-criminal traffic law	1-127
1.52292	Temporary investigatory stops authorized in public places, not in homes or hotel rooms	1-127
1.52293	Stop only permitted in public place; reasonable suspicion justifying stop does not justify entry into home, hotel room, and other like places, to make stop	1-127
1.52294	Appellate review of determination whether reasonable suspicion exists	1-127
1.52295	Reliance on "wanted flyer" issued by different police department	1-127
1.52296	Reliance on "drug courier profile" or "drug trafficker profile" (see sec. 1.5232)	1-127
1.52297	Reliance on "anonymous tip" (see sec. 1.5226)	1-127
1.52298	Stop permitted for investigation of past criminal activity: in general	1-127
1.52299	Stop permitted for investigation of past criminal activity: but more than reasonable suspicion may be necessary to render stop reasonable	1-127
1.523	Factors that may, or may not, give rise to, or support or undermine finding of, reasonable suspicion justifying stop	1-127
1.5231	Flight	1-127
1.5232	Drug dealers and weapons go hand in hand	1-128
1.52321	In general	1-128
1.52322	Suspicion of drug activity is not, by itself, generally a sufficient indicator of dangerousness to justify handcuffing during stop (see sec. 1.52553)	1-128
1.5233	Stop occurred in high-crime area	1-128
1.52331	In general	1-128
1.52332	Stop in high crime area known for gang, drug and weapons activity combined with odor of marijuana emanating from vehicle in which defendant is passenger (see sec. 1.52368)	1-128

1.5234	Nervousness and/or evasiveness of person stopped	1-128
1.52341	In general	1-128
1.52342	Flight (see sec. 1.5231)	1-128
1.5235	Factors giving rise to reasonable suspicion that person was engaged in drunk driving	1-129
1.52351	In general	1-129
1.52352	Layperson's assessment that another person was drunk	1-129
1.52353	Failure to see driver actually driving vehicle is not fatal to existence of reasonable suspicion	1-129
1.52354	No bright-line rule that weaving within single lane may alone give rise to reasonable suspicion	1-129
1.52355	No bright-line rule that weaving within single lane must be erratic, unsafe, or illegal to give rise to reasonable suspicion	1-129
1.52356	Fact that defendant's companions were drinking	1-129
1.52357	Defendant was driving with three-quarters of vehicle left of center of road; vehicle moved back into proper lane but almost hit curb; and vehicle then faded back towards middle of road and nearly struck median (see sec. 1.52461)	1-129
1.5236	Other factors	1-129
1.52361	Absence of license plates from automobile	1-129
1.52362	Fact that vehicle bore "license applied for" sign, instead of license plates	1-129
1.52363	Fact that vehicle bore temporary license sticker, which officer did not see, instead of plates	1-129
1.52364	Dangerousness of suspected criminal activity	1-130
1.52365	Knowledge of person's prior criminal record	1-130
1.52366	Observation of gang insignia on person	1-130
1.52367	Fact that person was coming from city known for drug trafficking	1-130
1.52368	Stop in high crime area known for gang, drug and weapons activity combined with odor of marijuana emanating from vehicle in which defendant is passenger	1-130
1.52369	Unusual, but not illegal, operation of vehicle (e.g., longer than normal pause at stop sign, slow driving, etc.)	1-130
1.5237	Other factors (cont'd)	1-130
1.52371	Presence in area known for drug trafficking	1-130
1.52372	Being in company of person upon whom reasonable suspicion has focused	1-130
1.52373	Automobile weaving within its lane, varying speed from too fast to too slow, and "tailgating"	1-130
1.52374	Person in vehicle reaching behind passenger's seat upon police arrival	1-130
1.52375	Fact that person providing information gave his or her name	1-130

1.52376	Fact that other officers suspect defendant of crime, without showing of factual basis for that suspicion (see sec. 1.52242)	1-130
1.52377	Observation of tinted windows on vehicle that officer believes violates Wisconsin Administrative Code provision relating to tinted windows (see secs. 1.52462 and 1.52463)	1-131
1.5238	Other factors (cont'd)	1-131
1.52381	Furtive gesture	1-131
1.52382	Time that has elapsed between offense stopped person is suspected of committing and stop: when lapse of short period of time supports finding of reasonable suspicion	1-131
1.52383	Time that has elapsed between offense stopped person is suspected of committing and stop: when lapse of significant period of time undermines finding of reasonable suspicion	1-131
1.52384	Officer's belief that defendant, who was driving car, did not have driver's license	1-131
1.52385	Unusual number of air fresheners in automobile	1-131
1.52386	Time of day	1-131
1.52387	Training and experience of officers involved in investigative stop	1-131
1.52388	Suspicious activity of person indicating he may be drug courier or drug trafficker; "drug courier profile" or "drug trafficker profile"	1-131
1.52389	Other factors	1-132
1.5239	Particular factors to be considered in related contexts	1-132
1.524	Particular situations that do, and do not, give rise to reasonable suspicion for stop	1-132
1.5241	In general	1-132
1.5242	Particular situations	1-132
1.52421	Observation by officer trained and experienced in drug transactions of person's turning away from parked car in which defendant was sitting and which person was approaching after person noticed police, followed by defendant's leaving parking lot, which was known site of drug trafficking	1-132
1.52422	Observation by officer trained and experienced in drug transactions of brief meeting between two individuals in high drug-trafficking area	1-132
1.52423	Observation by officer trained and experienced in drug transactions of persons hanging around late at night in residential neighborhood known for drug dealing, briefly getting into car that stops, and then remaining in neighborhood for five to ten minutes after car leaves	1-132
1.52424	Stop at night of person who appears nervous and displays wallet with picture of mushroom on it	1-132

1.52425	Receipt of anonymous tip that unidentified individuals were dealing drugs from a vehicle parked within view of the tipster and confirmation of readily observable information offered by tipster, without independently observing any suspicious activity	1-132
1.52426	Observation of male leaving back door of house police have approached to arrest female in house, when departure from house puts male in enclosed back yard and male appeared to be concerned with police officers knocking at front door and appeared to be placing something in his jacket pocket	1-133
1.52427	Anonymous tip that person is carrying gun, without more (see sec. 1.52263)	1-133
1.52428	Refusal to cooperate, including refusal to identify oneself	1-133
1.52429	Observation (1) of vehicle sufficiently similar to vehicle operated by person suspected of domestic abuse, which vehicle was being operated within few blocks of domestic abuse incident, which had occurred four days earlier, and (2) of driver of vehicle, who was of same race as suspect	1-133
1.5243	Particular situations (cont'd)	1-133
1.52431	Unidentified motorist calling from cell phone and reporting observation of automobile weaving within its lane, varying speed from too fast to too slow, and "tailgating"	1-133
1.52432	Unusual, but not illegal, operation of vehicle (e.g., longer than normal pause at stop sign, slow driving, etc.)	1-133
1.52433	Receipt of anonymous tip that unidentified individuals were dealing drugs from a vehicle parked within view of tipster, confirmation of readily observable information offered by tipster, absence of plates from vehicle, and person in vehicle reaching behind passenger's seat, without observation of any other suspicious activity	1-133
1.52434	Stop at night of person driving vehicle, who appears nervous, who is returning from city known for drug trafficking, and who displays wallet with mushroom on it	1-133
1.52435	Stop at night of vehicle in area known for drug crime, which was from Illinois and whose passengers were known for drug activity, and one of whom appeared nervous and uneasy	1-134
1.52436	Suspect's appearance, alone in a high-crime neighborhood in a huddled position with hood up over head, leaning against a store-front at time when most stores were closed and it was dark outside, and her flight from police	1-134

1.52437	Observation of vehicle stopped, on an angle, in left-turn lane, but in middle of intersection beyond stop line painted on roadway	1-134
1.52438	Unusual number of air fresheners in automobile, contradictory information supplied by vehicle's occupants as to destination, admission by occupant to being on probation for drug-related offenses, and nervousness of occupants	1-134
1.52439	Information from clerk of drug store (1) stating that intoxicated man had come into store to buy beer, had had his credit card declined, and had left stating he would be coming back with money and (2) supplying description of truck and license plate number, which was confirmed by officer's going to store and seeing man, walking unsteadily, get into described truck	1-134
1.5244	Particular situations (cont'd)	1-134
1.52441	Call from pay phone, made by person who gives name and who states that he had seen two armed men, whose race and clothing is described, enter particular premises fourteen blocks from pay phone, and observation by police responding to call of two men, matching caller's descriptions, sitting in car one-half block from premises	1-134
1.52442	Stop by border patrol agent of vehicle (1) on back road used by smugglers, (2) not likely route for family outing, (3) at time of shift change, (4) with stiff driver who slowed down, (5) and children with knees unusually high, (6) who waived to agent in abnormal pattern	1-134
1.52443	When stopped automobile is displaying unauthorized license plates	1-135
1.52444	Appearance at door of motel room that smelled strongly of marijuana, in company of person who had rented room several hours earlier	1-135
1.52445	Automobile strikes child on straight road with "absolutely clear" conditions and no trees, obstructions, or parked cars on side of road	1-135
1.52446	Officer's acquaintance with driver for 9 to 12 years, during which officer had never known driver to have driver's license, and driver's confirmation 11 months earlier that he did not have driver's license at that time	1-135
1.52447	Anonymous "Crime Stoppers" tip predicting that vehicle carrying large amount of marijuana would be traveling from one named place to another named place and providing specific information about car and its occupants, and officer sees vehicle matching description and other information given by caller	1-135

1.52448 Finding defendant in front of house believed to be vacant, while investigating complaint of loitering and drug trafficking in area known for drug dealing, and knowledge that defendant has been previously arrested for drug offenses 1-135

1.52449 Finding person who meets vague description of person involved in shooting, when person found 26 hours after shooting ten blocks east of shooting and person pauses for one or two seconds and avoids eye contact with police officer 1-135

1.5245 Particular situations (cont'd) 1-135

1.52451 Car with persons sitting inside for long period of time at night in problem area 1-135

1.52452 Automobile displaying temporary plate 1-135

1.52453 Anonymous tip that three black men had committed robbery, that they were walking westbound from Shopko, that they were wearing heavy winter jackets, one of which was red, and that they may be walking to Greyhound bus station, followed by officer's observation of three black men walking westbound in front of Shopko, one of whom was wearing red jacket, and officer's further observation of men entering restaurant when sound of siren from police vehicle was heard 1-136

1.52454 Named citizen informant tells police that defendant was on way to Milwaukee to pick up some Oxycotin, officer drives past defendant's house and sees defendant's car parked there, informant calls back later and says defendant had already been to Milwaukee and returned, but would leave that afternoon for Madison, and defendant leaves house later, gets into his vehicle, and, before he is stopped, drives route that could have taken him to Madison 1-136

1.52455 During traffic stop, officer observes shot glass sitting on console close to driver's seat, driver appears unusually nervous, and driver rolls up windows and locks doors when he exits vehicle at officer's request 1-136

1.52456 Police officer observes car (1) traveling at slow speed, (2) stopping at intersection with no stop sign or traffic light, (3) turning into cross street and accelerating at high rate of speed, but within speed limit, and (4) pulling into legal parking space, where driver opens door and pours out what appears to be mixture of liquid and ice, and when officer pulls up and identifies himself, driver begins to walk away 1-136

1.52457 Police officer observes vehicle weaving across travel and parking lanes, weaving created discernable S-type pattern, vehicle was canted into parking lane, and incident took place at night 1-136

1.52458	Officer's knowledge that vehicle owner's license is revoked will support reasonable suspicion for traffic stop so long as officer remains unaware of any facts that would suggest that owner is not driving (see sec. 37.26312)	1-136
1.52459	Following anonymous tip that drug dealing and drug loitering activities were taking place on porch of residence that landlord told tipster was vacant in high-crime area, officers approach woman and two men on porch, who admit they do not live there and offer no explanation for their presence, and smokeable form of marijuana is observed on porch	1-137
1.5246	Particular situations (cont'd)	1-137
1.52461	Defendant was driving with three-quarters of vehicle left of center of road; vehicle moved back into proper lane but almost hit curb; and vehicle then faded back towards middle of road and nearly struck median	1-137
1.52462	Observation of tinted windows: in general	1-137
1.52463	Observation of tinted windows: when city police officer believes tinting violates city ordinance adopting Wisconsin Administrative Code provision relating to tinted windows	1-137
1.525	Scope and intensity of detention	1-137
1.5251	General principles for determining permissible scope and intensity of detention	1-137
1.52511	In general	1-137
1.52512	Seizure that is lawful at its inception can violate Fourth Amendment if its manner of execution unreasonably infringes interest protected by Fourth Amendment (see sec. 1.9698)	1-137
1.52513	Not only stop itself, but also its duration and scope, must be reasonable (see sec. 1.52991)	1-138
1.52514	When temporary detention is justified at inception, court examines circumstances to determine whether investigative means used during continued seizure are least intrusive reasonably available and whether detention lasted no longer than necessary	1-138
1.5252	Right to restrain suspect who attempts to leave	1-138
1.52521	In general	1-138
1.52522	Officer's need to identify himself and to order suspect to stop in order to trigger right to restrain suspect who attempts to leave	1-138
1.52523	Does officer have right to pursue fleeing suspect into home? (see sec. 1.6242)	1-138
1.5253	Permissible length	1-138
1.52531	In general	1-138

1.52532	When probable cause to arrest develops during legitimate period of detention (e.g., as a result of a consensual search during that period) continued detention cannot affect lawfulness of that period of detention because continued detention is justified as arrest based on probable cause	1-139
1.52533	Extended detention of driver involved in accident while officer attends to victim and investigates accident scene	1-139
1.52534	Extension of detention to pursue investigation of other criminal activity with respect to which reasonable suspicion arises after initial stop	1-139
1.52535	Person stopped for routine traffic violation may be detained only for so long as necessary to complete investigation of violation (see sec. 1.53396)	1-139
1.52536	Extension of duration of stop for traffic or other non-drug violation to permit dog sniff	1-139
1.5254	Extent of questioning permitted	1-139
1.52541	In general	1-139
1.52542	Officer may make inquiries to obtain information confirming or dispelling officer's suspicions concerning weapons and other dangerous articles	1-139
1.5255	Use of physical force, handcuffs, and weapons	1-139
1.52551	Use of physical force	1-139
1.52552	Use of handcuffs: in general	1-139
1.52553	Use of handcuffs: suspicion of drug activity is not, by itself, generally a sufficient indicator of dangerousness to justify handcuffing	1-140
1.52554	Drawing of weapons	1-140
1.5256	Transferring or moving suspect to another place	1-140
1.5257	Activity related to securing identification of person stopped	1-140
1.52571	Right to require production of identification	1-140
1.52572	Right to require suspect to identify himself	1-140
1.52573	Asking passenger in stopped vehicle to identify himself (see sec. 1.5393)	1-140
1.52574	Asking driver of stopped vehicle to identify himself and/or produce driver's license or other identification (see sec. 1.5394)	1-140
1.52575	Request for driver's license or other identification from driver of stopped vehicle after reasonable suspicion that justified stop is dispelled (e.g., after officer concludes that stopped person is not person sought) does not transform lawful stop into unlawful seizure (see sec. 1.53942)	1-141
1.52576	Suspect can be arrested and prosecuted for refusal to identify himself or herself	1-141
1.52577	Constitutionality of "stop and identify" statutes, i.e., statutes that require stopped person to identify himself or herself (see sec. 35.7911)	1-141

1.5258	May lawfully stopped person be asked questions relating to, or asked to consent to a search whose object is to uncover evidence of, criminal or other activity that was not cause of initial stop?	1-141
1.52581	In general	1-141
1.52582	Is asking for consent to search after seizure terminated permissible? (see sec. 1.22152)	1-141
1.52583	In case of traffic stop, seizure becomes unlawful after traffic stop is concluded if stopped person remains seized after stop concluded and, therefore, any consent given after stop concluded, but while person remains seized, is invalid (see sec. 1.22154)	1-141
1.5259	Other matters	1-141
1.52591	Display of detained suspect to witness for identification	1-141
1.52592	When lawfully stopped driver of vehicle, who is asked to consent to search of vehicle for evidence unrelated to cause of initial stop (e.g., drugs), refuses to give such consent, any further detention unrelated to cause of initial stop is impermissible	1-142
1.52593	Requesting detained person to undergo, or subjecting him or her to, field sobriety test	1-142
1.52594	Giving Miranda warnings	1-142
1.52595	Propriety of officer's pursuit of fleeing suspect into home (see sec. 1.6242)	1-142
1.52596	Requiring suspect to drop object believed to be weapon and seizing dropped object	1-142
1.526	When protective search or "pat-down" (hereinafter "frisk") permitted	1-142
1.5261	General principles	1-142
1.52611	In general	1-142
1.52612	Reasonable suspicion required	1-143
1.52613	No need for probable cause	1-144
1.52614	No need for warrant	1-144
1.52615	Officer's subjective belief that his safety or that of others was in danger is not prerequisite to conducting protective search for weapons	1-144
1.52616	Officer's subjective belief that his safety or that of others was in danger may be testified to and considered by court in determining whether, as objective matter, safety was threatened	1-144
1.52617	Stop during which frisk is conducted must be lawful (i.e., police must have constitutional grounds for stop); stop must be reasonable, etc.	1-144
1.52618	Reasonable suspicion must relate to need to protect officer; frisk cannot be justified by need to prevent disappearance or destruction of evidence (see sec. 1.52628)	1-144
1.5262	Determining whether "reasonable suspicion" that will justify frisk exists	1-144
1.52621	What constitutes "reasonable suspicion" that will justify frisk	1-144

1.52622	Reliance on anonymous tip	1-145
1.52623	Must be determined by applying objective test	1-145
1.52624	In determining whether reasonable suspicion exists, court may look to any fact in record so long as it was known to officer at time of frisk	1-145
1.52625	Officer's subjective belief that his safety or that of others was in danger is not prerequisite to finding of reasonable suspicion (see sec. 1.52615)	1-146
1.52626	Reasonable suspicion not automatically present because person fails to comply with officer's order to keep his hands out of pockets that could be concealing weapon	1-146
1.52627	Must be determined on case-by-case basis, evaluating totality of circumstances	1-146
1.52628	Reasonable suspicion must relate to need to protect officer; frisk cannot be justified by need to prevent disappearance or destruction of evidence	1-146
1.52629	Reasonable suspicion justifying frisk is not automatically present because person frisked is suspected of drug dealing	1-146
1.5263	Determining whether "reasonable suspicion" that will justify frisk exists (cont'd)	1-146
1.52631	Furtive gesture in automobile (e.g., leaning down suggesting concealment or acquisition of weapon) cannot alone give rise to reasonable suspicion justifying frisk	1-146
1.52632	Evidence that subject of investigative stop is engaged in criminal activity is not always necessary to justify frisk	1-146
1.52633	Single factor, if sufficiently compelling, may give rise to reasonable suspicion justifying frisk	1-146
1.52634	Officer's subjective experience is not proper focus of analysis	1-147
1.52635	Post-frisk occurrences cannot establish reasonable suspicion for frisk	1-147
1.52636	Officer's subjective belief that his safety or that of others was in danger may be testified to and considered by court in determining whether, as objective matter, safety was threatened (see sec. 1.52616)	1-147
1.52637	Impact of temporal relationship between making of stop and conducting of frisk: time when frisk conducted – at inception of officer's contact with stopped individual, rather than at conclusion of stop – appears to be important factor is assessing that frisk was permissible, i.e., that reasonable suspicion existed	1-147
1.52638	Impact of temporal relationship between making of stop or observing of suspicious behavior, and conducting of frisk: fact that significant amount of time elapses after making of stop and/or observing of suspicious behavior before frisk is conducted does not necessarily obviate existence of reasonable suspicion that will justify frisk	1-147

1.5264	Factors that may be considered in determining whether reasonable suspicion justifying frisk exists	1-147
1.52641	In general	1-147
1.52642	Fact that stop occurred in high-crime area	1-147
1.52643	Excessive or unusual nervousness on part of person stopped	1-148
1.52644	Time of stop (e.g., at night)	1-148
1.52645	Smell of intoxicants and/or illegal drugs	1-148
1.52646	Drug dealers and weapons go hand in hand: link between dangerous weapons and drug trade	1-148
1.52647	Fact that person in automobile has hand behind passenger seat	1-148
1.52648	Officer's intent to place detainee in police car	1-148
1.52649	Related matter: Factors giving rise to reasonable suspicion justifying stop during which frisk occurs (see sec. 1.523)	1-148
1.5265	Factors that may be considered in determining whether reasonable suspicion justifying frisk exists (cont'd)	1-148
1.52651	Individual's reaching into pockets: in general	1-148
1.52652	Individual's reaching into pockets: after officer's direction to keep hands in officer's sight or out of pockets; individual's putting hands in pocket despite officer's direction not to	1-149
1.52653	Fact that individual is wearing fluffy coat in which weapon could be secreted	1-149
1.52654	Officer's belief, or lack of it, that his safety or that of others was in danger	1-149
1.52655	Place of stop (e.g., isolated area)	1-149
1.52656	Unexplained reaching movement or suspicious or "furtive" gesture	1-149
1.52657	Delay in stopping vehicle in response to officer's activation of emergency lights	1-149
1.52658	Type of crime under investigation when stop made (e.g., fact that crime being investigated was burglary, which is type of crime that commonly involves weapon)	1-149
1.52659	Individual's possession of, and initial reluctance to produce, weapon or weapons	1-149
1.5266	Factors that may be considered in determining whether reasonable suspicion justifying frisk exists (cont'd)	1-149
1.52661	Stopped person's failure to explain furtive gesture when asked to	1-149
1.52662	Fact that officer had had experience in discovering weapons under similar circumstances	1-150
1.5267	Of particular persons	1-150
1.52671	Of person suspected of being drug courier or dealer	1-150
1.52672	Of person found in place for which search warrant has been issued: in general	1-150

1.52673	Of person found in place for which search warrant has been issued: when warrant authorizes search for drugs and drug-related items (see sec. 1.69212)	1-150
1.52674	Of person admitted by police to place for which search warrant has been issued: in general (see sec. 1.69221)	1-150
1.52675	Of person admitted by police to place for which search warrant has been issued: when warrant authorizes search for drugs and drug-related items (see sec. 1.69222)	1-150
1.52676	Of person in company of individual stop for temporary questioning	1-150
1.5268	Statutory authorization for frisk	1-150
1.52681	In general	1-150
1.52682	Interpretation of scope of statutory authorization	1-150
1.52683	Extends to protective searches of vehicles	1-150
1.5269	Other matters	1-150
1.52691	Propriety of considering statements covered by testimonial privilege in determining whether reasonable suspicion justifying frisk exists	1-151
1.52692	Imputing collective knowledge of police force to officer conducting frisk	1-151
1.52693	Propriety of considering collective information possessed by police force in determining whether officer has justification for frisk	1-151
1.52694	Arizona v. Gant, which deals with searches incident to arrest, does not govern protective search during investigative stop	1-151
1.52695	Fact that officer has handcuffed defendant does not deprive officer of right to conduct frisk	1-151
1.52696	Test of justification for frisk is objective one; thus, fact that officer did not subjectively rely on particular factor does not mean appellate court may not consider that factor in determining whether sufficient justification for frisk existed	1-151
1.52697	Frisk not limited to stops made in public places; may be undertaken in private residence or hotel room	1-151
1.52698	Fact that officer intends to place individual into squad car for transportation to another location does not, in itself, justify frisk (see sec. 1.7792)	1-151
1.52699	Propriety of frisk of passenger in stopped vehicle (see sec. 1.53352)	1-151
1.527	Particular situations that do, and do not, give rise to reasonable suspicion for frisk	1-151
1.5271	Particular situations	1-151
1.52711	Anonymous tip that persons selling controlled substances, which was partially corroborated, and person's reaching toward pocket upon seeing officers	1-151
1.52712	Passenger ordered out of stopped vehicle fails to comply with order to keep hands out of pockets and is wearing fluffy coat that could conceal weapon	1-151

1.52713	Driver of legitimately stopped car leans forward in car and falls down when officer conducts pat down of driver's person outside of car	1-152
1.52714	Receipt of anonymous tip that unidentified individuals were dealing drugs from a vehicle parked within view of tipster, confirmation of readily observable information offered by tipster, absence of plates from vehicle, person in vehicle reaching behind passenger's seat, without observation of any other suspicious activity, and officers in particularly vulnerable position vis-à-vis the suspects	1-152
1.52715	Person behaves erratically (he fails to pull over in timely manner when officer attempts to stop him; he exist vehicle immediately after stop and walks away; he is unusually nervous), person smells of both drugs and alcohol. and stop is made in poorly lit conditions	1-152
1.52716	Driver is stopped in high-crime area for traffic violation, driver delays pulling over after officer activates emergency lights, officer observes furtive movements, and items normally found in glove compartment are found on vehicle's seat	1-152
1.52717	Following anonymous tip that drug dealing and drug loitering activities were taking place on porch of residence that landlord told tipster was vacant in high-crime area, officers approach woman and two men on porch, who admit they do not live there and offer no explanation for their presence, and smokeable form of marijuana is observed on porch	1-152
1.52718	After conducting routine traffic stop, officer detects odor of marijuana and searches passenger in vehicle	1-153
1.52719	Officer conducting traffic stop observes driver reaching to passenger side of vehicle, after stop person appears to be excessively nervous, person repeatedly puts hands in pocket despite officer's direction not to, and officer testifies he was concerned about his safety	1-153
1.5272	Particular situations	1-153
1.52721	Person is stoppped as part of burglary investigation, keeps putting his hands in pockets, and eventually but reluctantly produces two knives in his possession	1-153
1.52722	Furtive gesture in automobile (e.g., leaning down suggesting concealment or acquisition of weapon) alone without other factor or factors suggesting person is armed (see sec. 1.52631)	1-153
1.52723	Traffic stop in poorly lit, deserted area where gunfire frequently heard at night, requested backup had not yet arrived, observation of furtive movements that officers, based on their experience, believed suggested that person might be armed, and person's failure to explain movements	1-153

1.52724	Driver of automobile repeats furtive movement indicating attempt to conceal something, driver is given change to explain what he was attempting to conceal and gives apparently disingenuous response, stop of automobile was in high-crime area, officer had experience recovering gun under similar circumstances and was genuinely concerned for his safety	1-153
1.528	Manner, scope, and intensity of protective search (frisk)	1-153
1.5281	In general	1-153
1.5282	Of stopped person	1-153
1.52821	In general	1-153
1.52822	Right to frisk stopped person for identification	1-154
1.52823	Right to tug on belt loops and give waistband a few shakes	1-154
1.5283	Of stopped person's automobile	1-154
1.52831	In general	1-154
1.52832	Trunks and other compartments of automobile	1-154
1.52833	Luggage and other containers found in automobile	1-154
1.52834	Under state law	1-155
1.52835	Arizona v. Gant, which deals with searches of vehicles incident to arrest, does not govern protective search of vehicle during investigative stop	1-155
1.5284	Of area surrounding stopped person	1-155
1.5285	Of stopped person's purse	1-155
1.5286	Seizure of items found in search (frisk)	1-155
1.52861	In general	1-155
1.52862	Right to seize contraband detected through officer's sense of touch	1-155
1.529	Other matters	1-155
1.5291	Statutory authorization for stop and frisk (see secs. 1.5227 and 1.5267)	1-155
1.5292	Temporary detention to fingerprint suspect	1-155
1.5293	Temporary investigative stop is "seizure" for purposes of fourth amendment	1-155
1.5294	Frisk is a "search" for purposes of fourth amendment	1-155
1.5295	Officer's request that stopped driver perform field sobriety tests does not convert traffic stop into arrest requiring probable cause	1-156
1.5296	Detained person has right not to respond to questions	1-156
1.5297	Propriety of officer's pursuit into home of fleeing suspect whom officers are attempting to subject to investigative stop (see sec. 1.6242)	1-156
1.5298	Officer not required to inform lawfully seized person that he or she is free to leave after conclusion of lawful stop	1-156
1.5299	Other matters	1-156
1.52991	Not only stop itself, but also its duration and scope, must be reasonable	1-156
1.52992	Definition of "frisk"	1-156
1.53	Stop And Detention Of Person Traveling In Automobile	1-156
1.531	General principles	1-156

1.5311	Stop of automobile and/or detention of occupants constitutes seizure	1-156
1.5312	Does stop of automobile constitute seizure of passenger riding in automobile?	1-157
1.53121	Wisconsin Supreme Court's answer: not necessarily	1-157
1.53122	United States Supreme Court's answer: yes	1-157
1.5313	Temporary detention of motorist upon probable cause to believe he has violated traffic laws permissible, even if reasonable officer would not have stopped the motorist absent some additional law enforcement objective (see sec. 1.5335)	1-157
1.5314	Determining permissibility of stop; when stop permitted	1-157
1.532	Stop for investigation of, or apprehension for, crime	1-157
1.5321	In general	1-157
1.5322	Determining permissibility of stop	1-157
1.5323	Stop at roadblock or checkpoint	1-158
1.53231	In general	1-158
1.53232	At checkpoint whose purpose is to detect evidence of ordinary criminal wrongdoing	1-158
1.53233	At checkpoint whose purpose is to obtain information about recent hit-and-run that occurred at place where checkpoint set up and at time of day when checkpoint set up	1-158
1.53234	At roadblock whose purpose is to apprehend fleeing criminal	1-158
1.5324	Removal of persons from vehicle	1-158
1.53241	Driver	1-158
1.53242	Passenger	1-158
1.5325	Stop based on vehicle's display of "license applied for" sign	1-158
1.5326	If police possess reasonable, articulable suspicion to seize anyone in vehicle, the stop is lawful as to any other person in vehicle	1-158
1.5327	Stop permitted for investigation of past criminal activity	1-158
1.53271	In general	1-158
1.53272	But more than reasonable suspicion may be necessary to render stop reasonable	1-158
1.533	Stop for commission of traffic or other vehicle violation	1-158
1.5331	In general	1-158
1.5332	Determining permissibility of stop; when stop permitted	1-159
1.5333	Removal of persons from vehicle; requiring occupants to get out of vehicle	1-159
1.53331	In general	1-159
1.53332	Driver	1-159
1.53333	Passenger	1-159
1.5334	Requiring occupants to remain in vehicle	1-160
1.5335	Frisk of occupants of vehicle	1-160
1.53351	Frisk of driver	1-160
1.53352	Frisk of passenger	1-160

1.5336 Continuing detention of person stopped for traffic violation does not become illegal seizure when officer's motivation for continuing stop is not related to the purpose of the original, constitutional stop and there are no articulable facts giving rise to suspicion of some separate illegal activity, so long as the detention is justified by the circumstances, viewed objectively 1-160

1.5337 Person stopped for traffic violation may, within reasonable time after stop, be asked questions relating to, or asked to consent to a search whose object is to uncover evidence of, criminal or other activity that was not cause of initial seizure (see sec. 1.9523) 1-160

1.5338 Other matters 1-160

 1.53381 Defendant seized in traffic stop need not be advised that he is "free to go" before his consent to search automobile may be deemed voluntary (see sec. 1.22294) 1-160

 1.53382 Stop based on observation of tinted windows on vehicle that officer believes violates Wisconsin Administrative Code provision relating to tinted windows (see secs. 1.52462 and 1.52463) 1-160

1.5339 Other matters (cont'd) 1-160

 1.53391 When reasonable suspicion exists for stop for drunk driving (see secs. 1.5235 and 37.2131) 1-160

 1.53392 If officer has probable cause to arrest for traffic violation, but issues citation in lieu of arrest, officer has no right to conduct full search of driver or vehicle 1-160

 1.53393 Asking passenger in stopped vehicle to identify himself (see sec. 1.5393) 1-161

 1.53394 May person stopped for traffic violation, or passenger in vehicle stopped for traffic violation, be asked questions relating to, or asked to consent to a search whose object is to uncover evidence of, criminal or other activity that was not cause of initial seizure? (see sec. 1.9521) 1-161

 1.53395 If, during valid traffic stop, officer becomes aware of additional suspicious factors that are sufficient to give rise to articulable suspicion that person has committed or is committing offense or offenses separate and distinct from acts that prompted initial stop, stop may be extended and new investigation begun, including questioning of vehicle's occupants 1-161

 1.53396 Person stopped for routine traffic violation may be detained only for so long as necessary to complete investigation of violation 1-161

 1.53397 Officer's knowledge that vehicle owner's license is revoked will support reasonable suspicion for traffic stop so long as officer remains unaware of any facts that would suggest that owner is not driving (see sec. 37.26312) 1-161

1.53398	Temporary stop and detention of motorist upon probable cause or reasonable suspicion to believe he has violated traffic laws permissible, even if it was pretext for pursuing other investigative objective	1-161
1.53399	Authority of municipal officer to stop and inspect vehicle suspected of violating city traffic ordinance incorporating Wisconsin Administrative Code equipment provision (see sec. 1.95122)	1-161
1.534	Stop for check for license or compliance with vehicle regulations	1-161
1.5341	Random stop	1-162
1.5342	Stop at roadblock	1-162
1.5343	Based on vehicle's display of "license applied for" sign	1-162
1.5344	Based on vehicle's display of temporary plate	1-162
1.5345	Based on vehicle's having tinted windows (see secs. 1.52462 and 1.52463)	1-162
1.535	Stop to enforce immigration and customs regulations	1-162
1.5351	Random stop (see sec. 1.7113)	1-162
1.5352	At fixed checkpoint (see sec. 1.7112)	1-162
1.5353	Stop away from border based on reasonable suspicion	1-162
1.536	Stop for sobriety check	1-162
1.5361	Stop at roadblock	1-162
1.537	Stop to discover and interdict illegal narcotics	1-162
1.5371	In general	1-162
1.5372	Stop at roadblock or checkpoint	1-162
1.538	Removal of persons from car	1-162
1.5381	Driver (see secs. 1.53241 and 1.53331)	1-162
1.5382	Passenger (see secs. 1.53242 and 1.53332)	1-163
1.539	Other matters	1-163
1.5391	Demanding driver's license and conducting status check at site of disabled vehicle	1-163
1.5392	Temporary investigative stop of automobile and detention of its occupants is "seizure" for purposes of Fourth Amendment (see sec. 1.5311)	1-163
1.5393	Asking passenger in stopped vehicle to identify himself	1-163
1.5394	Asking driver of stopped vehicle to identify himself and/or produce driver's license or other identification	1-163
1.53941	In general	1-163
1.53942	Request for identification after reasonable suspicion that justified stop is dispelled (e.g., after officer concludes that stopped person is not person sought) does not transform lawful stop into unlawful seizure	1-163
1.5395	Officer's knowledge that vehicle owner's license is revoked will support reasonable suspicion for traffic stop so long as officer remains unaware of any facts that would suggest that owner is not driving (see sec. 37.26312)	1-163
1.54	Other Temporary Stops Of Person	1-163
1.541	Good Samaritan stop	1-163
1.542	Public safety stop	1-163
1.543	Community caretaker stop or detention (i.e., seizure of person)	1-163
1.5431	In general	1-163

1.5432	Right to demand driver's license and conduct status check at site of disabled vehicle	1-164
1.5433	Is subjective intent or motivation of officer relevant in determining whether officer is legitimately engaged in community caretaker function?	1-164
1.5434	Must activity be "totally divorced" from law enforcement function to be deemed community caretaker activity?	1-164
1.5435	Related matters	1-164
1.54351	Community caretaker entries, searches, and seizures (see sec. 1.774)	1-164
1.544	Emergency stop	1-164
1.55	Detention At Place Person Found	1-164
1.551	While search warrant executed (see sec. 1.5941)	1-164
1.552	While investigation continues	1-164
1.5521	In general	1-164
1.5522	Person suspected of being a drug courier	1-164
1.553	While search warrant obtained	1-165
1.56	Voluntary Accompaniment Of Police To, Or Appearance At, Police Station (see sec. 1.247)	1-165
1.57	Taking To, And/Or Detention At, Police Station	1-165
1.571	In general	1-165
1.572	For purpose of interrogation	1-165
1.573	For purpose of securing fingerprints	1-165
1.574	For purpose of conducting lineup	1-165
1.58	Arrest	1-165
1.581	What constitutes (see sec. 1.13121)	1-165
1.582	Probable cause for arrest	1-165
1.5821	Need for probable cause (see sec. 1.1511)	1-165
1.5822	Determining existence of probable cause (see sec. 1.31)	1-165
1.583	Arrest warrant	1-165
1.5831	Need for warrant (see sec. 1.161)	1-165
1.5832	Issuance and execution of warrant (see sec. 1.41)	1-165
1.584	Search incident to arrest (see sec. 1.61)	1-165
1.585	Arrest of probationer or parolee for probation or parole violation (see sec. 1.5992)	1-165
1.586	Other matters in connection with arrests (see sec. 1.951)	1-165
1.59	Other Seizures Of The Person	1-165
1.591	Compelling person to participate in lineup	1-165
1.5911	In general	1-165
1.5912	Compelling person arrested for civil violation to participate in lineup on unrelated criminal charge	1-165
1.5913	Compelling person arrested on criminal charge to participate in lineup on unrelated charge	1-166
1.592	"Factory survey" conducted by INS (see sec. 1.2462)	1-166
1.593	Surveillance of the person	1-166
1.5931	Of arrestee (see secs. 1.24212 and 1.7771)	1-166
1.5932	Of nonarrested suspect (see secs. 1.24213 and 1.7772)	1-166
1.5933	Of citizen engaged in lawful activities (see sec. 1.24211)	1-166

1.5934	Of person's movements in premises when person has been kept from entering premises unaccompanied by police because of fear that he will destroy evidence for which search warrant is being sought if he is permitted to enter premises unaccompanied by police	1-166
1.594	Detention of persons having relationship to person, place, or thing to be searched or seized	1-166
1.5941	Person found in, or about to enter, place for which search warrant issued or about to be issued (detention incident to execution of valid search warrant)	1-166
1.59411	In general	1-166
1.59412	Permissibility of handcuffing person detained	1-166
1.59413	Permissibility of asking detained person questions about matters unrelated to conducting search	1-166
1.59414	Permissibility of requiring unclothed person in bed to get out of bed and to stand next to it unclothed (see sec. 1.42697)	1-166
1.5942	Person found in place for which probable cause to search exists	1-167
1.5943	Person in company of person for whom arrest or search warrant issued	1-167
1.5944	Person in company of person for whom there exists probable cause to search or arrest	1-167
1.5945	Person occupying premises that police have probable cause to search while police seek search warrant	1-167
1.595	Roadblocks	1-167
1.5951	Set up near scene of recent crime to apprehend perpetrator	1-167
1.5952	Set up to check for compliance with traffic regulations (see sec. 1.5342)	1-167
1.5953	Set up for sobriety check (see sec. 1.5361)	1-167
1.5954	Set up to enforce immigration and customs regulations (see sec. 1.7112)	1-167
1.5955	Set up to discover and interdict illegal narcotics (see sec. 1.5372)	1-167
1.596	Stop and detention of persons crossing or near border (see sec. 1.711)	1-167
1.597	Investigatory or other pursuit; pursuit without apprehension	1-167
1.5971	In general (see sec. 1.2481)	1-167
1.5972	Related matter: police officer's attempt to terminate dangerous high-speed car chase that threatens lives of innocent bystanders does not violate Fourth Amendment, even when it places fleeing motorist at risk of serious injury or death (see sec. 1.95145)	1-167
1.598	Detention by private party (see sec. 1.213)	1-167
1.599	Other seizures of the person	1-167
1.5991	Stop of occupant of vessel in coastal waters (see sec. 1.715)	1-167
1.5992	Arrest of probationer or parolee for probation or parole violation; detention of probationer on probation hold	1-167
1.5993	Demanding driver's license and conducting status check at site of disabled vehicle	1-168
1.5994	Encounter with passenger on bus (see sec. 1.2463)	1-168
1.5995	Officer's mere posing of question to person does not constitute seizure	1-168

1.5996	Refusal to let person occupying premises reenter those premises unaccompanied by police while warrant to conduct search of premises is being sought by police, who have probable cause to search premises	1-168
1.5997	Police officer's termination of dangerous high-speed car chase by means intentionally applied	1-168
1.59971	In general	1-168
1.59972	Police officer's attempt to terminate dangerous high-speed car chase that threatens lives of innocent bystanders does not violate Fourth Amendment, even when it places fleeing motorist at risk of serious injury or death (see sec. 1.95145)	1-168
1.6	Searches For And Seizures Of Evidence	1-168
1.61	Search Incident To Arrest	1-168
1.611	When permitted	1-168
1.6111	In general	1-168
1.6112	Need for lawful arrest	1-169
1.61121	In general	1-169
1.61122	Arrest must be lawful	1-169
1.61123	Need for probable cause for arrest: in general	1-169
1.61124	Need for probable cause for arrest: search not permitted when probable cause for arrest to which it is incident provided by search (see sec. 1.6115)	1-169
1.61125	Determining whether arrest has occurred; determining moment of arrest	1-169
1.61126	In determining whether arrest occurred, should court consider which party (state or defendant) is contending that arrest occurred? (see sec. 1.13137)	1-169
1.61127	Search incident to arrest not permitted when citation issued in lieu of arrest (see sec. 1.6147)	1-170
1.61128	If officer accompanies announcement of arrest with indication that citation would be issued and arrestee released, has arrest warranting search incident to arrest occurred?	1-170
1.6113	No need for probable cause, reasonable suspicion, or other justification for search	1-170
1.6114	No need for warrant	1-170
1.6115	Search not permitted when probable cause for arrest to which it is incident provided by search	1-170
1.6116	Search may be conducted incident to arrest for noncriminal offense, e.g., traffic violation	1-170
1.6117	Search may precede arrest (see sec. 1.6122)	1-170
1.6118	Search incident to arrest not permitted when citation issued in lieu of arrest (see sec. 1.6147)	1-170
1.6119	Other matters	1-170
1.61191	Determining whether arrest occurred (see sec. 1.1313)	1-170

1.61192	When search involves invasion of arrestee's body (e.g., by administering laxative to recover substance swallowed by arrestee), scope and nature of intrusion must be reasonable	1-171
1.61193	If arrest supported by probable cause, fact that arrest is prohibited by state law does not render search incident to arrest unconstitutional (see sec. 1.61492)	1-171
1.61194	Search at scene of arrest after arrestee has been removed from scene (see sec. 1.61492)	1-171
1.612	Time and place limitations on search	1-171
1.6121	In general	1-171
1.6122	Search may precede formal arrest	1-171
1.61221	General rule: search may precede arrest if probable cause for arrest exists	1-171
1.61222	Search cannot precede arrest when product of search provides the probable cause that justifies arrest (see sec. 1.6115)	1-171
1.61223	If officer has no intent to make arrest, may search preceding arrest fall within search-incident-to-arrest exception to warrant requirement? No (court of appeals' answer, overruled by supreme court)	1-171
1.61224	If officer has no intent to make arrest, may search preceding arrest fall within search-incident-to-arrest exception to warrant requirement? Yes (supreme court's answer, overruling court of appeals); officer need not subjectively intend to make arrest to render search valid under search-incident-to-arrest-exception to warrant requirement	1-171
1.61225	But a formal arrest, substantially contemporaneous with search, must actually be made	1-172
1.61226	Arrest that is made after search need not be for crime for which probable cause to arrest existed before search	1-172
1.6123	Must search be contemporaneous with arrest?	1-172
1.6124	Later search at station house of items that could have been searched at time and place of arrest (see sec. 1.6965)	1-172
1.6125	Search at scene of arrest after arrestee has been removed from scene (see sec. 1.61493)	1-172
1.613	Permissible scope of search	1-172
1.6131	General principles	1-172
1.61311	In general	1-172
1.61312	Justifications for permitting search incident to arrest that are considered in determining permissible scope of search	1-172
1.6132	Of person of arrestee	1-172
1.61321	In general	1-172
1.61322	Drawing blood from arrestee's body: in general	1-173
1.61323	Drawing blood from arrestee's body: blood may be drawn in search incident to lawful arrest for non-drunk-driving offense if police reasonably suspect that defendant's blood contains evidence of crime	1-173

1.61324	Drawing blood from arrestee's body: Bohling test for determining when blood may be withdrawn from person suspected of drunk driving is not exclusive test for judging permissibility of blood draw; court in Bohling did not say that warrantless non-consent blood draw is legal only if four Bohling prongs are met (see sec. 1.63229)	1-173
1.61325	Drawing blood from arrestee's body: for purpose of Bohling test for determining when blood may be withdrawn from person suspected of drunk driving, either probable cause to arrest or probable cause to search will substitute for requirement of lawful arrest (see sec. 1.62323)	1-173
1.61326	Seizing other samples from arrestee's body	1-173
1.6133	Of automobile's passenger compartment (under expansive reading of Belton rejected in Gant)	1-173
1.61331	In general	1-173
1.61332	Belton rule permitting search of passenger compartment of vehicle applies not only when officer makes contact with arrestee while arrestee is inside vehicle, but also when officer first makes contact with arrestee after arrestee has stepped out of vehicle and arrest is made outside of vehicle	1-174
1.61333	Belton rule permitting search of passenger compartment of vehicle applies even though defendant has been handcuffed and secured in squad car at time of search	1-174
1.61334	Belton rule permitting search of passenger compartment of vehicle does not apply when defendant has been removed from scene of arrest	1-174
1.61335	Belton rule permitting search of passenger compartment of vehicle applies even though vehicle was locked prior to initiation of search	1-174
1.61336	Use of evidence resulting from proper search of vehicle's passenger compartment to justify search of vehicle's trunk	1-174
1.61337	Search of person of passenger in automobile (see sec. 1.6137)	1-174
1.6134	Of automobile's passenger compartment (under Gant)	1-175
1.61341	General rule: search of automobile incident to recent occupant's arrest is permissible only if (1) arrestee is unsecure and within reaching distance of passenger compartment at time of search or (2) it is reasonable to believe vehicle contains evidence of offense of arrest	1-175
1.61342	Search of passenger compartment of vehicle not permitted if arrestee has been handcuffed and secured in squad car at time of search	1-175
1.6135	Trunks and other compartments (including glove compartment)	1-175
1.6136	Of luggage, purses, and other closed containers found in automobile or nearby	1-175

1.61361	In general	1-175
1.61362	Luggage, purses, and other closed containers belonging to passenger or other third party and found in passenger compartment of vehicle	1-175
1.61363	Luggage, purses, and other closed containers belonging to passenger or other third party and found outside vehicle	1-175
1.6137	Of surrounding area	1-175
1.61371	In general	1-175
1.61372	Permissibility of search of surrounding area after arrestee has been removed from area (see sec. 1.61493)	1-176
1.6138	Of items found on arrestee or in other area within which search permitted	1-176
1.61381	Notebooks or other papers found on arrestee	1-176
1.61382	Cell phone	1-176
1.6139	Of person found in company of arrestee at time of arrest (e.g. passenger in vehicle in which arrestee was present prior to arrest)	1-176
1.614	Other matters relating to search incident to arrest	1-176
1.6141	Fruits of search admissible against non-arrested person whose privacy interest has been infringed by search (see sec. 1.967)	1-176
1.6142	Relationship of statutory provisions relating to a search incident to arrest and constitutional provisions relating to such search	1-176
1.6143	Propriety of search incident to arrest when arrest is made of wrong person	1-176
1.6144	Search of probationer or parolee incident to arrest for probation or parole violation (see sec. 1.76422)	1-176
1.6145	Fruits of search admissible in prosecution for crime other than that for which arrest was made	1-176
1.6146	Later search at station house of items that could have been searched at time and place of arrest (see sec. 1.6965)	1-176
1.6147	Search incident to arrest not permitted when citation issued in lieu of arrest	1-176
1.6148	Related matter: booking search of arrestee (see sec. 1.752)	1-177
1.6149	Other matters	1-177
1.61491	When search involves invasion of arrestee's body (e.g., by administering laxative to recover substance swallowed by arrestee), scope and nature of intrusion must be reasonable (see sec. 1.61192)	1-177
1.61492	If arrest supported by probable cause, fact that arrest is prohibited by state law does not render search incident to arrest unconstitutional	1-177
1.61493	Search at scene of arrest after arrestee has been removed from scene	1-177
1.62	Search For Evidence, Or Entry Into Or Search Of Premises, Based On Exigent Circumstances	1-177
1.621	General principles	1-177
1.6211	In general	1-177

1.6212	Exigent circumstances resulting from law enforcement officer's conduct cannot justify fourth amendment intrusion; law enforcement officer cannot create exigency used to justify warrantless search	1-178
1.62121	In general	1-178
1.62122	Police may not create exigency of potential destruction or removal of evidence permitting warrantless entry into home by knocking on door of home and announcing "police," thus alerting occupants to their presence	1-178
1.62123	Does officer impermissibly create exigent circumstances by knocking on suspect's door?	1-178
1.6213	Consideration of gravity and significance of offense in determining whether exigent circumstances exist	1-178
1.62131	Gravity of offense is important factor in determining whether exigent circumstances exist	1-178
1.62132	Where relatively minor offense is involved, court will be reluctant to find exigent circumstances justifying entry into home	1-178
1.62133	Less significant the offense, more significant exigent circumstances must be to justify warrantless entry into home	1-179
1.6214	Existence of exigent circumstances determined by considering totality of circumstances	1-179
1.6215	Test of exigent circumstances is objective; subjective motivation or belief of officer is not necessary and its absence is not dispositive	1-179
1.62151	In general	1-179
1.62152	But evidence of officer's motivation or belief may be relevant to determination whether exigent circumstance existed	1-179
1.6216	When police officer is confronted with two competing inferences, one that would justify search based on exigent circumstances and another that would not, officer is entitled to rely on reasonable inference justifying search	1-179
1.6217	Consideration of alternatives to entry or search that might have obviated need for immediate entry or search	1-179
1.6218	Underlying crime need not be felony in order for exigent circumstances exception to apply	1-179
1.622	Imminent threat to health and safety; need to render emergency assistance to injured person or to protect person from imminent injury (the emergency doctrine)	1-179
1.6221	In general	1-179
1.6222	Mere presence of firearms does not create exigent circumstances	1-180
1.6223	Threat to safety cannot be created by officers' conduct	1-180
1.6224	Emergency doctrine not rendered inapplicable because officers have dual motivations (i.e., to render aid or assistance and to investigate crime); doctrine is applicable if need to provide aid or assistance was officer's primary motivator	1-180

1.6225	Test of whether imminent threat to health and safety justifying search or entry existed is objective; subjective motivation or belief of officer is not necessary and its absence is not dispositive of question whether search or entry was justified	1-181
1.62251	In general	1-181
1.62252	But evidence of officer's motivation or belief may be relevant to determination whether imminent threat to health and safety justifying search or entry existed	1-181
1.6226	Reasonableness manner of entry into premises to render aid or prevent injury	1-181
1.62261	In general	1-181
1.62262	Need for knock and/or announcement	1-181
1.6227	In kidnapping cases, emergency doctrine permits search not only for kidnap victim, but also for evidence that might lead to victim's location	1-181
1.6228	Related matters	1-181
1.62281	"Render safe" doctrine (see sec. 1.778)	1-181
1.62282	Community caretaker entry into premises and search of them (see sec. 1.774)	1-181
1.62283	Threat to safety of law enforcement officer (see sec. 1.626)	1-181
1.623	Destruction or removal of evidence	1-181
1.6231	General principles	1-181
1.62311	In general	1-181
1.62312	Existence of other comparable evidence does not remove exigency	1-182
1.62313	Concern about destruction or removal of evidence created by law enforcement officer's own actions (see sec. 1.6212)	1-182
1.6232	Blood or breath sample	1-182
1.62321	In general	1-182
1.62322	Arrestee's request for, or availability of, less invasive alternative test (e.g., breath or urine test) does not obviate exigency used to justify warrantless extraction of blood (see sec. 1.63224)	1-183
1.62323	For purpose of Bohling test for determining when blood may be withdrawn from person suspected of drunk driving, either probable cause to arrest or probable cause to search will substitute for requirement of lawful arrest	1-183
1.62324	Once individual arrested on probable cause for OWI has provided satisfactory and useable chemical test (e.g., breath test), exigent circumstances justifying warrantless and nonconsensual blood draw still exist? (court of appeals' answers no; supreme court answers yes) (see sec. 1.63228)	1-183

1.62325	Bohling test for determining when blood may be withdrawn from person suspected of drunk driving is not exclusive test for judging permissibility of blood draw; court in Bohling did not say that warrantless non-consent blood draw is legal only if four Bohling prongs are met (see sec. 1.63229)	1-183
1.62326	Blood may be drawn in search incident to lawful arrest for non-drunk-driving offense if police reasonably suspect that defendant's blood contains evidence of crime (see sec. 1.61323)	1-183
1.6233	Other circumstances that do, and do not, present threat of destruction of evidence justifying warrantless entry, seizure, or search	1-183
1.62331	Mere presence of contraband without more does not give rise to exigent circumstances	1-183
1.62332	Strong odor of marijuana coming from premises to be searched, and knowledge on part of occupants that police are standing outside, present threat of destruction of evidence that amounts to exigent circumstances justifying warrantless entry	1-183
1.62333	Drug transaction in premises, followed by occupant's opening door holding bag of controlled substance, slamming door shut after seeing undercover detective in plain clothes, and then failing to answer knocks on door to premises presents exigent circumstances justifying warrantless entry	1-184
1.62334	Defendant's residence was located in area known for drug dealing, defendant was outside holding in his hands folded-up money and canister that appeared to be of type typically used to transport drugs, and defendant refused to cooperate with investigation and retreated into residence when police ordered him to stop	1-184
1.62335	From outside premises, officers see controlled substances in plain view through wide-open door and announce their presence	1-184
1.62336	While walking up to defendant's residence to conduct "knock and talk" after controlled buy of controlled substance from defendant, officers see defendant, who was standing in doorway and observed officers' approach, fleeing into residence and slamming door	1-184
1.62337	Call to cell phone, intercepted by police officer, whose training and experience teaches that call was from person interested in purchasing controlled substance	1-184
1.62338	Return of cell phone to person believed to be drug dealer, who could then erase incriminating evidence stored in phone	1-184
1.6234	Need to show that search warrant could not have been obtained	1-184
1.624	Hot pursuit	1-184
1.6241	In general	1-184

1.6242	Propriety of officer's pursuit into home of fleeing suspect whom officers are attempting to subject to investigative stop based on reasonable suspicion	1-185
1.6243	Is hot pursuit doctrine, which permits pursuit of fleeing criminal into his home, limited to fleeing felons?	1-185
1.6244	Pursuit of suspect may be deemed continuous for purposes of hot pursuit doctrine even though pursuing officer himself arrived after crime was committed and, therefore, did not personally observe crime or fleeing suspect	1-185
1.625	Likelihood of suspect's escape	1-185
1.6251	In general	1-185
1.626	Protection of safety of law enforcement officer	1-185
1.6261	In general	1-186
1.627	Need to fight fire and to investigate its cause (see sec. 1.731)	1-186
1.628	Other matters relating to searches based on exigent circumstances	1-186
1.6281	Exigent circumstances exception not applicable to seizures of books, films, and other material expressing ideas	1-186
1.6282	Exigent circumstances exception to requirement of arrest warrant for arrest in arrestee's home or search warrant for arrest of arrestee in third party's home (see sec. 1.1613)	1-186
1.6283	Propriety, under exigent circumstances doctrine, of entry into home suspected of being site of ongoing burglary	1-186
1.6284	Proper scope of search of home entered under exigent circumstances doctrine	1-186
1.63	Body Search	1-186
1.631	Compelling bodily actions	1-186
1.6311	In general	1-186
1.6312	Handwriting specimen	1-186
1.6313	Voice exemplar	1-186
1.6314	Sobriety test	1-186
1.6315	Fingerprinting	1-186
1.6316	Compelling appearance in lineup (see sec. 1.591)	1-186
1.632	Taking samples from body and testing them	1-186
1.6321	General principles	1-186
1.63211	In general	1-186
1.63212	When samples sought from driver to prove intoxication, implied consent law does not provide sole means of collecting blood or other sample and failure to comply with implied consent law does not render test results inadmissible; police may use other constitutional means to collect evidence of intoxication	1-187
1.63213	State hospital's performance of diagnostic test to obtain evidence of patient's criminal conduct for law enforcement purposes is unreasonable search unless conducted with warrant or consent	1-187
1.63214	When sample properly taken from body, subsequent testing of it for evidentiary purposes does not require search warrant	1-187

1.63215	When bodily sample obtained, rule of reasonableness may limit number of samples that may be subsequently obtained	1-187
1.6322	Blood	1-187
1.63221	In general	1-187
1.63222	When blood sample sought from driver to prove intoxication, implied consent law does not provide sole means of collecting sample and failure to comply with implied consent law does not render test results inadmissible; police may use other constitutional means to collect it	1-188
1.63223	When blood sample properly taken from body, subsequent testing of it for evidentiary purposes does not require search warrant	1-188
1.63224	Arrestee's request for, or availability of, less invasive alternative test (e.g., breath or urine test) does not obviate exigency used to justify warrantless extraction of blood	1-189
1.63225	When law enforcement officers have constitutional grounds for withdrawing blood, they may use reasonable force to do so	1-189
1.63226	Blood draw not necessarily rendered unreasonable because it is done at police station or county jail rather than in hospital or other medical setting	1-189
1.63227	For purpose of Bohling test for determining when blood may be withdrawn from person suspected of drunk driving, either probable cause to arrest or probable cause to search will substitute for requirement of lawful arrest (see sec. 1.62323)	1-189
1.63228	Once individual arrested on probable cause for OWI has provided satisfactory and useable chemical test (e.g., breath test), do exigent circumstances justifying warrantless and nonconsensual blood draw still exist? (court of appeals' answers no; supreme court answers yes)	1-189
1.63229	Bohling test for determining when blood may be withdrawn from person suspected of drunk driving is not exclusive test for judging permissibility of blood draw; court in Bohling did not say that warrantless non-consent blood draw is legal only if four Bohling prongs are met	1-189
1.6323	Blood (cont'd)	1-189
1.63231	Blood may be drawn in search incident to lawful arrest for non-drunk-driving offense if police reasonably suspect that defendant's blood contains evidence of crime (see sec. 1.61323)	1-190
1.63232	Blood drawn by medical personnel for purposes of diagnosis and treatment (see sec. 1.2124)	1-190
1.6324	Breath	1-190
1.6325	Urine	1-190

	<i>Page</i>
1.6326 Hair	1-190
1.6327 Fingernail	1-190
1.6328 Other samples	1-190
1.63281 Stomach contents	1-190
1.63282 Saliva	1-190
1.6329 Related matters	1-190
1.63291 Use of court order to secure samples from suspect's person (see sec. 1.9983)	1-190
1.633 Surgery to remove evidence	1-190
1.634 Administration of laxative to recover substance swallowed by defendant	1-190
1.635 Strip search	1-190
1.6351 In general	1-190
1.6352 What constitutes	1-191
1.6353 Effect of failure to comply with the mandates of Wis. Stat. § 968.255 regarding strip searches	1-191
1.6354 Consent to strip search does not encompass body cavity search	1-191
1.6355 Of student (see sec. 1.7655)	1-191
1.636 Body cavity search	1-191
1.6361 In general	1-191
1.6362 Types of body cavity searches: visual and manual	1-191
1.6363 Consent to strip search does not encompass body cavity search (see sec. 1.6353)	1-191
1.64 Entry Into, And Search Of, Home And Surrounding Area	1-191
1.641 General principles	1-191
1.6411 Scope of protection afforded home and surrounding area in general	1-191
1.6412 What constitutes entry into home subject to fourth amendment constraints	1-192
1.6413 Entry into, and searches and seizures inside, home without warrant are presumptively unreasonable and illegal	1-192
1.6414 Entry into, and/or search or seizure inside, home is permissible without warrant when probable cause and exigent circumstances exist	1-193
1.64141 In general	1-193
1.64142 When exigent circumstances exist in general (see sec. 1.621)	1-193
1.64143 When threat to health or safety of person exists (see sec. 1.622)	1-193
1.64144 When threat of destruction or removal of evidence exists (see sec. 1.623)	1-193
1.64145 When law enforcement officer is in hot pursuit of fleeing suspect (see sec. 1.624)	1-193
1.64146 When there is likelihood of suspect's escape (see sec. 1.625)	1-193
1.64147 When threat to safety of law enforcement officer exists (see sec. 1.626)	1-193
1.6415 Reasonable suspicion will not justify entry into home, even when combined with exigent circumstances; probable cause is necessary	1-193

1.6416	Reasonable suspicion is not prerequisite to seeking consent to enter home and/or surrounding area	1-193
1.6417	Use of technological device not in general public use to explore details of private home that would previously have been unknowable without physical intrusion is search of home	1-194
1.6418	Entry into, and search or seizure inside, home is permissible without warrant when consent to that activity given	1-194
1.642	Interior of dwelling unit; particular portions of dwelling unit	1-194
1.6421	Porch (see sec. 1.2514)	1-194
1.6422	Interior of dwelling unit	1-194
1.6423	Bedroom	1-194
1.6424	Attached garage	1-194
1.643	Common and public areas of or surrounding residential premises	1-194
1.6431	Driveways, walks, and passageways (see sec. 1.2511)	1-194
1.6432	Halls and stairways leading to units in apartment building or other multi-unit residential premises (see sec. 1.2512)	1-194
1.6433	Common basement area in apartment building or other multi-unit residential premises (see sec. 1.2513)	1-194
1.6434	Dumpster at rear of apartment building property (see sec. 1.6452)	1-194
1.644	Curtilage of home	1-194
1.6441	In general	1-194
1.6442	Items in curtilage, but in plain sight from neighboring lands	1-195
1.6443	Particular areas associated with home (e.g., porch) might be considered non-curtilage for limited purpose of making contact with occupant of home	1-195
1.6444	Particular areas that are, and are not, within curtilage of home	1-195
	1.64441 Attached garage	1-195
	1.64442 Driveway	1-195
1.6445	Related matters	1-195
	1.64451 Curtilage of commercial premises (see sec. 1.6516)	1-195
1.645	Other places associated with home	1-195
1.6451	Outbuildings	1-195
	1.64511 Barn	1-195
	1.64512 Other outbuildings	1-195
1.6452	Dumpster at rear of apartment building property	1-195
1.646	Open fields (see sec. 1.26)	1-195
1.647	Homes of particular persons	1-195
1.6471	Third party for subject of arrest warrant (see sec. 1.16123)	1-195
1.6472	Probationer or parolee (see sec. 1.7643)	1-195
1.6473	Other persons	1-196
1.648	Activity in connection with home and surrounding area	1-196
1.6481	Looking into building from area outside curtilage	1-196
1.6482	Entry into home to make arrest (see secs. 1.1612 and 1.1615)	1-196
1.6483	Aerial surveillance (see sec. 1.2453)	1-196
1.6484	Visual surveillance	1-196
1.6485	Entry into particular areas of house and other residential premises (e.g., apartment building)	1-196

1.64851	Halls and stairways leading to residential unit in apartment building or other multi-unit residential premises	1-196
1.64852	Common basement area in apartment building or other multi-unit residential premises	1-196
1.6486	Protective sweep of home after arrest made in it (see sec. 1.7722)	1-196
1.6487	Entry into home or into room therein to find person to whom arrested juvenile can be released pursuant to statutory mandate	1-196
1.6488	Entry into home pursuant to community caretaker function (e.g., when police receive report that person in home is threatening to commit suicide, when police receive report of suspicious activity at home that may be burglary in progress, etc.)	1-196
1.6489	Use of infrared sensing device to detect heat emanating from home (see sec. 1.2495)	1-196
1.649	Activity in connection with home and surrounding area (cont'd)	1-197
1.6491	Protective sweep of home that officer has entered because probable cause exists to believe that it is site of ongoing burglary	1-197
1.65	Search Of Other Places	1-197
1.651	Commercial, industrial, or business premises	1-197
1.6511	In general	1-197
1.6512	Buildings	1-197
1.6513	Open areas of industrial complex	1-197
1.65131	In general	1-197
1.65132	Aerial surveillance (see sec. 1.2452)	1-197
1.6514	Closely regulated business	1-197
1.6515	Offices	1-197
1.6516	Area surrounding (i.e., curtilage of) commercial building	1-197
1.6517	Dumpster outside commercial building	1-197
1.6518	Administrative (e.g., health and safety, building code, etc.) inspections of commercial, industrial, or business premises	1-197
1.652	Media offices	1-198
1.653	Third party premises	1-198
1.654	Crime scene	1-198
1.6541	In general	1-198
1.6542	Arson (see sec. 1.73)	1-198
1.6543	Murder	1-198
1.655	Hotel or motel room	1-198
1.656	Burning building (see sec. 1.73)	1-198
1.657	Government employe's office (see sec. 1.7663)	1-198
1.658	Student's locker (see sec. 1.7653)	1-198
1.659	Other places	1-198
1.6591	Rental storage garage	1-198
1.6592	Motel parking lot (see sec. 1.2515)	1-198
1.6593	Dumpster outside commercial building (see sec. 1.6517)	1-198
1.6594	Tent on private property or in public campground	1-198
1.6595	Halls and stairways in multi-unit residential premises (see secs. 1.2512 and 1.64851)	1-198
1.66	Search And Seizure Of Vehicle	1-198
1.661	General principles	1-198
1.6611	In general	1-198

1.6612	Although privacy interest in vehicle is less substantial than that in home, former interest is nevertheless important and deserving of constitutional protection	1-199
1.662	Search incident to investigative stop (stop and frisk) (see sec. 1.5272)	1-199
1.663	Search incident to arrest (see sec. 1.6133)	1-199
1.664	Probable cause search of vehicle	1-199
1.6641	When permitted	1-199
1.66411	In general	1-199
1.66412	Showing of probable cause required	1-200
1.66413	No need for warrant: in general	1-200
1.66414	No need for warrant: fact that warrant readily available by telephone irrelevant	1-201
1.66415	No Need to show exigency	1-201
1.66416	Automobile need not be in public place	1-201
1.66417	Fact that automobile may have been immobilized is irrelevant	1-201
1.6642	Permissible scope	1-201
1.66421	In general	1-201
1.66422	Trunks and other compartments: in general	1-202
1.66423	Trunks and other compartments: finding material reasonably believed to be controlled substance in passenger compartment provides probable cause to search automobile, including trunk	1-202
1.66424	Luggage, purses, and other closed containers in general	1-202
1.66425	Luggage, purses, and other closed containers belonging to passenger or other third party	1-202
1.66426	Audio cassette tape found in automobile	1-202
1.66427	Occupant of vehicle	1-202
1.6643	Other matters	1-202
1.66431	Delay in conducting search after seizure of vehicle does not invalidate search	1-203
1.66432	Fact that vehicle has been impounded does not invalidate search	1-203
1.66433	Vehicle exception applies to motor homes	1-203
1.66434	Vehicle exception does not apply to camper trailer parked in backyard and not attached to motor vehicle	1-203
1.66435	Probable cause exception to warrant requirement for automobiles extends to vehicles found stationary in place like parking lot	1-203
1.665	Impoundment of vehicle	1-203
1.6651	When permitted in general	1-203
1.6652	When permitted as part of community caretaker function	1-203
1.6653	When permitted pursuant to law enforcement agency's policies and procedures	1-203
1.666	Inventory search of impounded vehicle (see sec. 1.753)	1-203
1.667	Search pursuant to consent (see sec. 1.22)	1-203
1.668	Other activity in connection with vehicles	1-203
1.6681	Ascertaining vehicle identification number (VIN)	1-203
1.66811	By examination from outside vehicle	1-203
1.66812	By entry into vehicle	1-203

1.6682	Viewing and examination of exterior of vehicle	1-203
1.6683	Examination of interior of vehicle without entry into it	1-204
1.66831	In general	1-204
1.66832	By shining flashlight into it	1-204
1.6684	Search of trunks and other compartments of vehicle	1-204
1.66841	In general	1-204
1.66842	During search incident to investigative stop (see sec. 1.52722)	1-204
1.66843	During search incident to arrest (see sec. 1.61332)	1-204
1.66844	During probable cause search (see sec. 1.66422)	1-204
1.66845	During inventory search (see sec. 1.75332)	1-204
1.6685	Search and seizure of luggage and other containers found in vehicle	1-204
1.66851	In general	1-204
1.66852	During search incident to investigative stop (see sec. 1.52723)	1-204
1.66853	During search incident to arrest (see sec. 1.61333)	1-204
1.66854	During probable cause search (see sec. 1.66424)	1-204
1.66855	During inventory search (see sec. 1.75333)	1-204
1.6686	Entry of auto to effect arrest without knock or announcement (see sec. 1.95185)	1-204
1.6687	Playing of audio cassette found in automobile	1-204
1.6688	Search of vehicle left behind by suspect fleeing police (see sec. 1.2833)	1-204
1.6689	Seizure of automobile believed to be forfeitable contraband	1-204
1.66891	In general	1-204
1.66892	Need for warrant	1-204
1.669	Search of vehicles other than automobiles and trucks	1-205
1.6691	Motor homes	1-205
1.6692	Camper trailers	1-205
1.6693	Boats	1-205
1.66931	In general	1-205
1.66932	In coastal waters (see sec. 1.715)	1-205
1.6694	Airplanes	1-205
1.6695	Buses	1-205
1.6696	Other vehicles	1-205
1.67	Search And Seizure Of Other Things	1-205
1.671	Luggage and other containers	1-205
1.6711	In general	1-205
1.6712	Luggage found in automobile (see sec. 1.6685)	1-205
1.6713	Temporary retention of luggage or other container while seeking search warrant for it	1-205
1.6714	Brief detention of luggage for exposure to narcotics detection dog	1-205
1.6715	Luggage seized but not searched at time of arrest	1-205
1.6716	Physical manipulation of carry-on bag in overhead storage space	1-205
1.6717	Need for warrant to justify search of contents of container	1-205
1.672	Packages, books, tapes, and other items properly seized, or otherwise lawfully obtained, and in possession of police	1-205
1.6721	In general	1-205

1.6722	Fact that law enforcement officer is lawfully in possession of item does not necessarily mean that officer has authority to examine its contents without obtaining warrant	1-206
1.6723	Blood (see secs. 1.63213 and 1.63223)	1-206
1.6724	Sealed package delivered to private shipping company and turned over by company to police (see sec. 1.6744)	1-206
1.673	Items found while executing search warrant or permissible warrantless search	1-206
1.6731	Items found while executing search warrant	1-206
1.67311	In general (see sec. 1.42637)	1-206
1.67312	Belongings of visitor on premises (see sec. 1.42644)	1-206
1.6732	Items found while conducting permissible warrantless search (see sec. 1.9532)	1-206
1.674	Letters and sealed packages in transit (i.e., sent by U.S. mail or private shipping or delivery company)	1-206
1.6741	In general	1-206
1.6742	Brief detention of letters and sealed packages for exposure to narcotics detection dog	1-206
1.6743	Prisoner's incoming and outgoing mail (see sec. 1.7635)	1-206
1.6744	Sealed package delivered to private shipping company	1-206
1.6745	Letters and sealed packages addressed to fictitious person	1-206
1.67451	In general	1-206
1.67452	Letter or package sent by unknown person to fictitious person at address that was vacant	1-206
1.6746	Letters and sealed packages addressed to third party, but intended to be ultimately delivered to defendant	1-206
1.675	Serial numbers and other identification marks	1-207
1.6751	By cursory inspection without disturbing item (see sec. 1.23412)	1-207
1.6752	By moving item to view number or mark (see sec. 1.23422)	1-207
1.6753	By viewing VIN from outside automobile (see sec. 1.66811)	1-207
1.6754	By entry into automobile to view VIN (see sec. 1.66812)	1-207
1.676	Books, films, and other materials expressing ideas	1-207
1.677	Oral communications	1-207
1.678	Other things	1-207
1.6781	Records and other material in possession of third party (see sec. 1.291)	1-207
1.6782	Bank records	1-207
1.6783	Cell phone	1-207
1.67831	In general	1-207
1.67832	Standing of possessor of cell phone to challenge seizure and/or search of cell phone (see sec. 1.12279)	1-207
1.67833	Seizure and search of cell phone incident to arrest (see sec. 1.61382)	1-207
1.67834	Seizure of cell phone during temporary investigative stop	1-207
1.67835	Temporary retention of cell phone while seeking warrant to search it	1-207
1.67836	Browsing through cell phone's image gallery	1-207
1.67837	Answering incoming call	1-208
1.679	Other things (cont'd)	1-208

1.6791	Items in sales area of store	1-208
1.6792	Garbage (see sec. 1.2831)	1-208
1.6793	Documents	1-208
1.6794	TDD (telecommunication device for the deaf) communications and printout of them originating in police department office (see sec. 1.6975)	1-208
1.6795	Audio cassette found in automobile's cassette player	1-208
1.6796	Belongings of visitor on premises for which search warrant issued (see sec. 1.42644)	1-208
1.6797	Items seized but not searched at time of arrest	1-208
1.6798	Private papers	1-208
1.6799	Records of legislators' communications maintained by Legislative Technology Services Bureau	1-208
1.68	Seizing Items In Plain Sight: The Plain View Doctrine	1-208
1.681	In general	1-208
1.682	Requirements of plain view doctrine	1-209
1.6821	In general	1-209
1.6822	Officer must have been in place he had right to be	1-210
1.68221	In general	1-210
1.68222	Invitation into one room of house does not give officer right to be in other rooms	1-210
1.6823	Item must have been in plain view	1-210
1.68231	In general	1-210
1.68232	Plain view includes item whose nature is apparent from sense of touch	1-210
1.68233	Item observed while searching computer	1-210
1.6824	No requirement that discovery of item must have been inadvertent	1-210
1.6825	Probable cause to believe item is connected to criminal activity must exist, i.e., object's incriminating character must be immediately apparent	1-211
1.68251	In general	1-211
1.68252	Manipulation of object to ascertain incriminating nature not permitted	1-211
1.68253	When incriminating character of object is ascertained by use of sense of touch	1-211
1.6826	Officer must have lawful right of access to object in plain view	1-211
1.683	Other matters relating to plain view doctrine	1-211
1.6831	Plain view doctrine cannot justify later seizure; item must be seized contemporaneously with or immediately following view	1-211
1.6832	Plain view doctrine extends to all human senses (e.g., touch, smell, hearing, etc.), not just sight	1-211
1.68321	In general	1-211
1.68322	Plain touch or feel	1-212
1.6833	Invocation of plain view doctrine to justify seizure that requires additional intrusion into constitutionally protected area	1-212
1.6834	Plain view doctrine does not permit manipulation of object to determine its incriminating nature (see sec. 1.68252)	1-212

1.6835	Plain hearing aspect of plain view doctrine permits use of one-party consent recordings obtained pursuant to provision permitting their use in drug prosecutions if they inadvertently capture evidence of other criminal activity (see sec. 5.11272)	1-212
1.6836	Plain view doctrine permits photocopying of documents left in plain view	1-212
1.684	Related matters	1-212
1.6841	Discovery of item by use of ordinary sensory perception (plain sight, smell, touch and hearing) without concomitant seizure (see sec. 1.23)	1-212
1.6842	Police do not exceed scope of search authorized by warrant simply by photographing items in plain view (see sec. 1.42639)	1-212
1.69	Other Searches And Seizures	1-212
1.691	Search or seizure with consent (see sec. 1.22)	1-212
1.692	Searches of persons having relationship to person, place or thing to be searched or seized	1-212
1.6921	Person found in place for which search warrant issued	1-212
1.69211	In general	1-212
1.69212	When search warrant authorizes search for drugs or drug-related items	1-213
1.6922	Person admitted by police to place for which search warrant issued	1-213
1.69221	In general	1-213
1.69222	When search warrant authorizes search for drugs or drug-related items	1-213
1.6923	Person found in place for which probable cause to search exists	1-213
1.6924	Person in company of person for whom arrest or search warrant issued	1-213
1.6925	Person in company of person for whom there exists probable cause to search or arrest	1-213
1.6926	Person found in place subject to warrantless administrative inspection	1-213
1.693	Search of property having relationship to person, place or thing to be searched or seized	1-213
1.6931	Search of property of person found in place (e.g., automobile) for which probable cause to search exists	1-213
1.694	Compelled production of documents pursuant to subpoena duces tecum (Wis. Stat. § 968.135)	1-213
1.6941	In general	1-213
1.6942	Bank records	1-214
1.6943	When judge has issued subpoena for documents, it is presumed the judge concluded probable cause existed and absence of declaration of that conclusion does not invalidate subpoena	1-214
1.6944	When subpoena not enforceable because it is overbroad	1-214
1.6945	When subpoena improperly issued, is suppression of evidence proper remedy?	1-214
1.69451	In general	1-214
1.69452	Scope of suppression of evidence: extends to derivative evidence (e.g., defendant's statement) obtained as result of issuance of subpoena	1-214

1.6946	Standing to raise violation of requirements of § 968.135	1-214
1.6947	Related matters	1-214
	1.69471 Production of records in John Doe proceeding	1-214
1.695	Performance of field test for controlled substance	1-214
1.6951	In general	1-214
1.6952	By police officer on material found by private shipping company's employee in package delivered to company for shipping	1-214
1.696	Other searches and seizures	1-214
1.6961	General investigative activity	1-214
1.6962	Wiretapping and electronic surveillance (see sec. 5.1)	1-215
1.6963	Police officer's presence in emergency room and operating room in which defendant being treated and seizure of item removed from defendant (e.g., bag of cocaine defendant ingested, bullet, etc.)	1-215
1.6964	Search of person and/or vehicle incident to issuance of traffic citation (see sec. 1.53392)	1-215
1.6965	Later search at station house of items that could have been searched at time and place of arrest	1-215
1.6966	Entry into premises to examine and purchase items held out for sale (see sec. 1.2522)	1-215
1.6967	Physical manipulation of carry-on bag (see sec. 1.6716)	1-215
1.6968	Searches and seizures of contents of computer	1-215
1.697	Other searches and seizures (cont'd)	1-215
1.6971	Dog sniff (see sec. 1.243)	1-215
1.6972	Aerial surveillance (see sec. 1.245)	1-215
1.6973	Seizure of effects not attended by justifiable expectation of privacy based on probable cause	1-215
1.6974	Pen register (see sec. 5.13)	1-215
1.6975	Monitoring and recording TDD (telecommunications device for the deaf) communications and seizing printout of communications originating in police department office	1-215
1.6976	Recording incoming and outgoing calls to police dispatcher	1-215
1.6977	Playing unmarked audio cassette tape	1-215
1.6978	Playing of cassette tape found in unlocked automobile's cassette player	1-215
1.6979	Moving item to view serial number or other identification mark (see sec. 1.2342)	1-216
1.698	Other searches and seizures (cont'd)	1-216
1.6981	Search and seizure of property taken from person of arrestee by police as part of booking search (see sec. 1.7613)	1-216
1.6982	Use of infrared sensing device to detect heat (see sec. 1.2495)	1-216
1.6983	Brief investigative detention of property	1-216
1.6984	Entry into home or into room therein to find person to whom arrested juvenile can be released pursuant to statutory mandate (see sec. 1.6487)	1-216
1.6985	Entry into premises and removal of items therefrom to render premises safe (see sec. 1.778)	1-216
1.6986	Search of stopped person for identification	1-216

1.6987	State hospital's performance of diagnostic test to obtain evidence of patient's criminal conduct for law enforcement purposes	1-216
1.6988	Temporary seizure of property while police seek search warrant authorizing search or seizure of that property	1-216
1.6989	Entry into areas of residential premises	1-216
1.69891	Halls and stairways leading to residential unit in apartment building or other multi-unit residential premises	1-216
1.69892	Common basement area in apartment building or other multi-unit residential premises	1-216
1.699	Other searches and seizures (cont'd)	1-216
1.6991	Reopening of package after controlled delivery	1-216
1.6992	Asking person on premises if certain item is on premises (see sec. 1.24995)	1-216
1.6993	Protective walk-through (i.e. sweep) of premises (see sec. 1.772)	1-217
1.6994	Answering defendant's cell phone that was in lawful possession of police and "seizing" communication of third party making call	1-217
1.7	Regulatory, Supervisory, And Protective Activity	1-217
1.71	Customs And Immigration (i.e., Border) Stops And Searches	1-217
1.711	Stop and search of persons and automobiles crossing border	1-217
1.7111	In general	1-217
1.7112	At fixed checkpoint	1-217
1.7113	At random site away from border	1-217
1.7114	Detention of traveler suspected of smuggling contraband in alimentary canal	1-217
1.7115	Propriety of removing and disassembling gas tank of car crossing border: reasonable suspicion not required	1-217
1.712	Search for persons crossing border	1-217
1.7121	At fixed checkpoint	1-217
1.7122	At random site away from border	1-217
1.713	Search of incoming goods	1-217
1.714	Search of incoming international mail	1-217
1.715	Stop and search of vessel in coastal waters and its occupants	1-217
1.7151	In general	1-217
1.7152	Boarding of vessel to inspect documentation	1-218
1.716	Other matters	1-218
1.7161	Right to search persons or things crossing state or territorial border within United States	1-218
1.72	Airport Searches And Seizures	1-218
1.721	Boarding or screening search	1-218
1.722	Search of person suspected of being a drug courier (see sec. 1.52651)	1-218
1.723	Seizure of baggage of person suspected of being a drug courier	1-218
1.73	Fire Scene Investigations	1-218
1.731	Entry to extinguish fire and determine cause	1-218
1.7311	In general	1-218
1.7312	Right of firefighter to summon police officer at scene to seize evidence in plain view	1-218
1.732	Entry to search for evidence of crime	1-218
1.733	Removal of dangerous items from scene and subjecting them to testing	1-218

1.74	Administrative Inspections	1-218
1.741	General principles	1-218
1.7411	In general	1-218
1.7412	Cannot serve as "pretext" for obtaining evidence of violation of penal laws	1-219
1.742	Health and safety inspections	1-219
1.7421	In general	1-219
1.7422	Of home	1-219
1.7423	Of business premises	1-219
1.7424	Of dairy farm	1-219
1.743	Administrative building code inspections	1-219
1.7431	In general	1-219
1.7432	Fourth Amendment does not require showing that consent to entry of premises has been denied (see sec. 1.32132)	1-219
1.7433	Violation of statutory requirement of showing that consent to entry of premises has been denied does not entitle defendant to suppression of evidence (see sec. 1.82332)	1-219
1.744	Inspection of closely regulated business	1-219
1.745	Inspection by conservation warden	1-220
1.746	Inspection by county humane officer	1-220
1.7461	Of licensed barns or stables	1-220
1.75	Custodial Or Inventory Searches	1-220
1.751	General principles	1-220
1.7511	What constitutes inventory search	1-220
1.7512	Cannot serve as "pretext" for obtaining evidence of violation of penal laws	1-220
1.752	Of arrestee and belongings on arrestee's person (booking search)	1-220
1.7521	In general	1-220
1.7522	No need for probable cause	1-220
1.7523	Search and seizure of property taken from person of arrestee as part of booking search (see sec. 1.7613)	1-220
1.7524	Strip search (see sec. 1.635)	1-220
1.7525	Body cavity search (see sec. 1.636)	1-220
1.7526	Notebooks and other papers found on arrestee	1-220
1.7527	Cell phone	1-220
1.753	Of automobile	1-221
1.7531	In general	1-221
1.7532	When permitted	1-221
1.75321	In general	1-221
1.75322	Seizure, i.e., impoundment, of vehicle must have been reasonable, i.e., constitutionally permissible	1-221
1.75323	Need for departmental policy regarding inventory search of vehicle	1-221
1.75324	Search must be conducted for inventory, not investigatory, purposes	1-221
1.7533	Permissible scope	1-221
1.75331	In general	1-221
1.75332	Trunks and other compartments	1-221
1.75333	Luggage and other closed containers (see sec. 1.6685)	1-221

	1.75334	Playing audio cassette found in automobile during inventory search	1-222
	1.7534	Cannot serve as "pretext" for obtaining evidence of violation of penal laws	1-222
1.754		Of other places or property	1-222
	1.7541	In general	1-222
	1.7542	When permitted	1-222
	1.7543	Permissible scope	1-222
	1.7544	Particular property	1-222
	1.75441	Luggage and other closed containers	1-222
	1.75442	Misplaced purse	1-222
	1.7545	Cannot serve as "pretext" for obtaining evidence of violation of penal laws	1-222
1.76		Searches Directed At Particular Persons	1-222
	1.761	Arrestees	1-222
	1.7611	Search incident to arrest (see sec. 1.61)	1-222
	1.7612	Custodial or inventory search of arrestee and belongings on arrestee's person (booking search) (see sec. 1.752)	1-222
	1.7613	Search or seizure of property taken from person of arrestee for inventory by police, i.e., property taken during booking search	1-222
	1.7614	Search of property seized at time of arrest prior to its return to arrestee in anticipation of arrestee's release	1-223
	1.7615	Later search at station house of items that could have been searched at time and place of arrest (see sec. 1.6965)	1-223
	1.762	Convicted defendants awaiting sentencing	1-223
	1.7621	General principles	1-223
	1.7622	Drug testing	1-223
	1.763	Prisoners	1-223
	1.7631	General principles	1-223
	1.7632	Search of person	1-223
	1.76321	In general	1-223
	1.76322	Strip search	1-223
	1.7633	Search of cell	1-223
	1.7634	Blood testing of prison inmates to compile DNA data bank	1-223
	1.7635	Search of incoming and outgoing mail	1-223
	1.76351	In general	1-223
	1.76352	Search of incoming mail purportedly containing legal papers: in general	1-223
	1.76353	Search of incoming mail purportedly containing legal papers: when search violates administrative regulation requiring prisoner's presence when mail opened	1-223
	1.7636	Monitoring and recording of prisoner's oral and wire communications	1-224
	1.76361	Telephone calls (see sec. 5.11151)	1-224
	1.76362	Conversations in interview rooms (see sec. 5.11152)	1-224
1.764		Probationers and parolees; persons on extended supervision	1-224
	1.7641	General principles	1-224
	1.76411	In general	1-224
	1.76412	Effect of violation of administrative regulation governing probation and parole searches	1-224

1.76413	Search and/or other activity by probation or parole agent not rendered unlawful by virtue of later reversal of conviction upon which defendant's status as probationer or parolee based	1-224
1.76414	In conducting search, probation officer may not serve as "stalking horse" for police; probation search may not be used as subterfuge to further criminal investigation; police may not instigate search	1-224
1.76415	Validity of suspicionless search of parolee who has agreed to search by parole agent or other peace officer without warrant or cause as condition of release on parole	1-225
1.7642	Search of person	1-225
1.76421	In general	1-225
1.76422	Search incident to arrest for probation or parole violation	1-225
1.76423	Validity of suspicionless search of parolee who has agreed to search by parole agent or other peace officer without warrant or cause as condition of release on parole (see sec. 1.76415)	1-225
1.7643	Search of residence	1-225
1.76431	In general	1-225
1.76432	Right of co-occupant of premises to complain about search and to obtain suppression of its fruits	1-226
1.76433	Administrative regulation requirement of notice to parolee or probationer	1-226
1.76434	Effect of police participation or cooperation in search; determining whether search is police search or probationary search	1-226
1.76435	Effect of police officers' accompaniment of probation officer at time search is carried out	1-226
1.76436	Search and/or other activity by probation or parole agent not rendered unlawful by virtue of later reversal of conviction upon which defendant's status as probationer or parolee based	1-226
1.76437	In conducting search, probation officer may not serve as "stalking horse" for police; probation search may not be used as subterfuge to further criminal investigation; police may not instigate search	1-226
1.76438	Administrative code regulation forbidding forcible entry in probationer's or parolee's absence	1-226
1.7644	Search of residence (cont'd)	1-226
1.76441	Effect of fact that, in scheduling and conducting search, probation officer is cooperating with police	1-226
1.76442	Effect of fact that police provide information that led to search	1-226
1.76443	Effect of existence of concurrent police investigation	1-227
1.76444	Effect of fact that items seized in search were turned over to police	1-227

1.76445	Determining whether reasonable grounds exist for search	1-227
1.76446	Search requires only reasonable suspicion, not probable cause	1-227
1.76447	Reasonable probation search is lawful even if probation officer relies on information from law enforcement officers that was obtained in violation of Fourth Amendment	1-227
1.76448	Appellate review of question whether search of probationer's residence is probation search or police search (see sec. 1.98284)	1-227
1.7645	Seizure of person (arrest)	1-227
1.76451	Arrest for probation or parole violation; detention on probation hold (see sec. 1.5992)	1-227
1.76452	Propriety of arrest of probationer or parolee in his or her home without warrant (see sec. 1.1615)	1-227
1.7646	Mandatory drug testing	1-227
1.7647	Effect of sending state's failure to obtain Wisconsin's approval for supervision of probationer	1-227
1.765	Students	1-227
1.7651	General principles	1-227
1.76511	In general	1-227
1.76512	Only reasonable suspicion, not probable cause, required for student searches	1-228
1.76513	Permissible scope of search; scope of search must be reasonable	1-228
1.76514	When object of search is enforcement of school rule, validity of search requires that rule be legitimate	1-228
1.7652	Search of person	1-228
1.76521	In general	1-228
1.76522	Strip search (see sec. 1.7655)	1-228
1.7653	Search of desk, locker, purse, backpack, etc.	1-228
1.76531	In general	1-228
1.76532	Search of backpack	1-228
1.7654	Drug testing	1-228
1.7655	Strip search	1-228
1.76551	In general	1-228
1.76552	What constitutes	1-228
1.76553	When permitted: Must have suspicion of either danger or student's resort to underwear for hiding evidence of wrongdoing	1-229
1.7656	Search of student's car, parked in school parking lot	1-229
1.766	Government employes	1-229
1.7661	General principles	1-229
1.7662	Drug testing	1-229
1.76621	In general	1-229
1.76622	Employes engaged in drug interdiction	1-229
1.76623	Employes carrying firearms	1-229
1.76624	Employes with access to classified information	1-229

1.76625	Employes seeking promotion to certain sensitive positions	1-229
1.7663	Search of office, desk, files, etc.	1-229
1.76631	In general	1-229
1.76632	By employer for noninvestigatory, work-related purposes or for investigation of work-related misconduct	1-229
1.7664	Records of legislators' communications maintained by Legislative Technology Services Bureau (see sec. 1.6798)	1-229
1.7665	Expectation of privacy in areas shared with others	1-229
1.767	Private employes	1-229
1.7671	General principles	1-229
1.7672	Drug testing	1-230
1.76721	In general	1-230
1.76722	Employes engaged in safety sensitive tasks	1-230
1.7673	Expectation of privacy in areas shared with others	1-230
1.768	Juveniles placed on supervision	1-230
1.7681	Requiring blood test to determine whether juvenile is father of sister's child	1-230
1.769	Other persons	1-230
1.7691	Candidates for public office	1-230
1.76911	Drug testing	1-230
1.7692	Pregnant women	1-230
1.76921	Drug testing	1-230
1.77	Other Searches And Seizures	1-230
1.771	Frisk at investigative stop (stop and frisk) (see secs. 1.526 and 1.527)	1-230
1.772	Protective walk-through (i.e. sweep) of premises	1-230
1.7721	In general	1-230
1.7722	After arrest of person on premises	1-231
1.7723	After entry of premises believed to be site of ongoing criminal activity (e.g., burglary, drug possession or dealing, etc.)	1-231
1.7724	Right of police to make protective sweep as adjunct to exercise of community caretaker function	1-231
1.7725	Scope of search permitted	1-231
1.773	Public safety searches	1-231
1.774	Community caretaker entries, searches and seizures	1-231
1.7741	In general	1-231
1.7742	Entry into home pursuant to community caretaker function (e.g., when police receive report that person in home is threatening to commit suicide, when police receive report of suspicious activity at home that may be burglary in progress, etc.) (see sec. 1.6488)	1-232
1.7743	Right of police to make protective sweep as adjunct to exercise of community caretaker function (see sec. 1.7724)	1-232
1.7744	Seizure of automobile in exercise of community caretaker function	1-232
1.7745	Is subjective intent or motivation of officer relevant in determining whether officer is legitimately engaged in community caretaker function?	1-232
1.7746	Must activity be "totally divorced" from law enforcement function to be deemed community caretaker activity?	1-232

1.7747	Related matters	1-232
1.77471	Community caretaker stop or detention (i.e., seizure) (see sec. 1.543)	1-232
1.775	Good Samaritan searches	1-232
1.776	Private health and safety searches (the emergency doctrine) (see sec. 1.622)	1-232
1.777	Surveillance of persons arrested for or suspected of criminal activity	1-232
1.7771	Arrested person	1-232
1.7772	Nonarrested suspect	1-232
1.778	"Render safe" doctrine	1-232
1.779	Other searches	1-233
1.7791	Removal of mobile home as part of eviction	1-233
1.7792	Frisk of individual who police intend to place in squad car	1-233
1.7793	Searches of inmate mail (see sec. 1.7635)	1-233
1.7794	Asking person on premises whether dangerous weapon is on premises	1-233
1.8	Effect Of Illegal Arrest, Search, Or Seizure	1-233
1.81	General Principles	1-233
1.811	In general	1-233
1.812	Illegal search or seizure does not warrant dismissal of prosecution	1-233
1.813	To warrant suppression of evidence, there must be causal connection between illegal arrest, search, or seizure and discovery of evidence	1-233
1.82	Exclusionary Rule	1-233
1.821	Nature, purpose and applicability in general	1-233
1.8211	In general	1-233
1.8212	Nature of state exclusionary rule: judge made or constitutionally mandated?	1-234
1.8213	Applicability of exclusionary rule mandated under federal constitution to states	1-234
1.8214	Affording broader scope to exclusionary rule under state constitution	1-235
1.8215	When police mistakes leading to unlawful search are result of isolated negligence attenuated from search, rather than systemic error or reckless disregard of constitutional requirements, exclusionary rule does not apply	1-235
1.8216	Purposes of exclusionary rule	1-235
1.82161	In general	1-235
1.82162	To deter police misconduct	1-235
1.82163	To preserve judicial integrity	1-235
1.822	Applicability of exclusionary rule to pleadings or proceedings other than criminal trial	1-235
1.8221	In general	1-235
1.8222	Investigative (grand jury and John Doe) proceeding	1-235
1.8223	Complaint	1-235
1.8224	Preliminary examination	1-235
1.8225	Sentencing proceeding (see sec. 9.194)	1-235
1.8226	Probation or parole revocation proceeding	1-235
1.8227	Deportation proceedings	1-236
1.8228	Civil proceedings	1-236

1.8229	Other proceedings	1-236
1.82291	Juvenile proceedings	1-236
1.82292	Probation or parole officer's decision to conduct search of probationer's or parole's residence (see sec. 1.76445)	1-236
1.823	Applicability of exclusionary rule in situations not involving violations of constitutional rights	1-236
1.8231	In general	1-236
1.8232	When administrative regulation violated	1-236
1.8233	When statute violated	1-236
1.82331	In general	1-236
1.82332	Violation of statutory provision that special inspection warrant may only be issued upon showing that consent to entry for inspection has been refused	1-237
1.8234	When non-constitutional defect occurs in issuance of warrant	1-237
1.82341	Issuance of warrant by court commissioner not authorized to do so	1-237
1.82342	Issuance of warrant by court commissioner who has not filed oath of office	1-237
1.8235	When another state's law violated	1-237
1.82351	Constitutional violation	1-237
1.82352	Statutory or other violation	1-237
1.8236	When officer obtaining evidence is acting outside his or her jurisdiction	1-237
1.8237	When treaty violated	1-237
1.8238	When subpoena for documents improperly issued under Wis. Stat. § 968.135 (see sec. 20.86428)	1-237
1.8239	Other situations	1-237
1.824	Use of illegally obtained evidence to impeach	1-237
1.8241	To impeach testifying defendant	1-237
1.8242	To impeach defense witness	1-237
1.8243	To impeach prosecution witness	1-238
1.825	Good faith exception to exclusionary rule	1-238
1.8251	General principles	1-238
1.82511	In general	1-238
1.82512	Officer may not rely on warrant based on showing "so lacking in indicia of probable cause as to render official belief in its existence entirely unreasonable"	1-238
1.8252	Applicable to clerical errors that result in fourth amendment violation	1-239
1.82521	Clerical errors of court (i.e., judicial) employees	1-239
1.82522	Clerical errors of employees of law enforcement agency	1-239
1.8253	Applicability, scope, and requirements under Wisconsin Constitution	1-239
1.82531	In general	1-239
1.82532	Requirement of "significant investigation"	1-239
1.82533	Requirement of "review by a police officer trained in, or very knowledgeable of, the legal vagaries of probable cause and reasonable suspicion, or a knowledgeable government attorney"	1-239

1.8254	Applicability to officer's reliance on search warrant that improperly authorized no-knock entry (see sec. 1.42562)	1-239
1.8255	Exception to exclusionary rule when police have relied, in objective good faith, upon controlling pronouncements of the Wisconsin Supreme Court that are later overruled by the United States Supreme Court (see sec. 1.8282)	1-239
1.8256	Good-faith exception not applicable to failure to comply with requirement that search warrant application be supported by oath or affirmation (see sec. 1.42325)	1-239
1.8257	Good-faith exception to exclusionary rule has no role to play in determining whether warrant-issuing magistrate properly found probable cause (see sec. 1.42216)	1-239
1.8258	Applicability to search performed in good-faith reliance on statute later declared unconstitutional (see sec. 1.9413)	1-240
1.8259	Other matters	1-240
1.82591	Applicability to officer's reliance on information from standard data bases showing outstanding warrant for defendant's arrest for felony, when no such warrant in fact existed	1-240
1.82592	Good-faith exception not applicable when police rely on search or arrest warrant that judge, magistrate, or other entity issuing warrant had no authority to issue	1-240
1.826	Inevitable discovery doctrine	1-240
1.8261	In general	1-240
1.8262	Is this doctrine applicable when there has been invasion of body to secure evidence?	1-240
1.8263	To bring case within inevitable discovery doctrine, state must show that law enforcement officer was actively pursuing legal alternative, on which state relies to claim inevitable discovery, before unlawful activity occurred	1-240
1.827	Independent source doctrine	1-240
1.8271	In general	1-241
1.8272	Application when search warrant issued based on both untainted (i.e., constitutionally obtained) evidence and tainted (i.e., unconstitutionally obtained) evidence	1-241
1.828	Other matters	1-241
1.8281	Exception to exclusionary rule for clerical errors that result in fourth amendment violation	1-241
1.82811	Clerical errors of court (i.e., judicial) employees	1-241
1.82812	Clerical errors of law enforcement agency employees	1-241
1.8282	Exception to exclusionary rule when police have relied, in objective good faith, upon controlling pronouncements of the Wisconsin Supreme Court that are later overruled by the United States Supreme Court	1-241
1.82821	In general	1-241
1.82822	This exception applies to exclusionary rule under state constitution	1-241
1.83	Fruit Of Poisonous Tree; Attenuation Of Taint	1-241
1.831	General principles	1-241
1.8311	In general	1-242

1.8312	Illegality does not reach backwards to taint evidence secured before it occurred	1-243
1.8313	Challenged evidence must in some sense be product of illegal governmental activity before fruit-of-poisonous-tree analysis appropriate	1-243
1.83131	In general	1-243
1.83132	If police would have engaged in particular challenged activity even in absence of earlier illegal detention or search, earlier illegal detention or search provides no grounds for suppressing product of challenged activity as fruit of earlier illegal detention or search	1-243
1.8314	Dissipation of taint by intervening voluntary consent	1-243
1.8315	Unlawful resistance to unconstitutional activity (e.g., stop without reasonable suspicion) may be viewed as intervening illegal act that can allow admissibility of evidence	1-243
1.8316	Abandonment of property in response to unconstitutional activity (e.g., illegal stop or frisk) does not attenuate connection between unconstitutional frisk and seizure of property	1-244
1.8317	Affording broader scope to fruit of poisonous tree doctrine under state constitution	1-244
1.8318	Applicability of fruit of poisonous tree doctrine to statutory violations	1-244
1.832	Effect of illegal arrest or other seizure of the person	1-244
1.8321	General principles	1-244
1.83211	In general	1-244
1.83212	Unlawful resistance to illegal arrest or other seizure of the person (e.g., stop without reasonable suspicion) may be viewed as intervening illegal act that can allow admissibility of evidence (see sec. 1.8315)	1-244
1.8322	On subsequent statement	1-244
1.83221	In general	1-244
1.83222	When arrest illegal because it was made in home without warrant: statement made in home is inadmissible	1-245
1.83223	When arrest illegal because it was made in home without warrant: statement is generally admissible if made outside home after arrest	1-245
1.83224	When arrest illegal because it was made in home without warrant: statement obtained outside home is not admissible if entry into home and arrest there provided probable cause for arrest	1-245
1.8323	On subsequent pretrial or in-court identification	1-245
1.83231	Pretrial identification	1-245
1.83232	In-court identification	1-245
1.83233	When arrest illegal because it was made in home without warrant: identification is generally admissible if made outside home after arrest	1-245

1.83234	When arrest illegal because it was made in home without warrant: identification made outside home is not admissible if entry into home and arrest there provided probable cause for arrest	1-246
1.8324	On subsequent consent to search	1-246
1.8325	On jurisdiction to try defendant	1-246
1.83251	In general	1-246
1.83252	Abduction from foreign country does not bar trial unless prohibited by extradition treaty with that country	1-247
1.83253	When arrest was illegal because it was made in home without warrant (see sec. 1.8357)	1-247
1.8326	On previously obtained evidence (see sec. 1.8312)	1-247
1.8327	On fingerprints obtained from defendant	1-247
1.8328	On item seized during period of illegal arrest or other illegal seizure of the person	1-247
1.83281	In general	1-247
1.83282	Abandonment of property in response to illegal stop or arrest does not attenuate connection between unconstitutional frisk and seizure of property (see sec. 1.8316)	1-247
1.833	Effect of illegal search	1-247
1.8331	General Principles	1-247
1.83311	In general	1-247
1.83312	Unlawful resistance to illegal search (e.g., frisk without reasonable suspicion) may be viewed as intervening illegal act that can allow admissibility of evidence (see sec. 1.8315)	1-247
1.83313	Abandonment of property in response to illegal search (e.g., frisk without reasonable suspicion) does not attenuate connection between unconstitutional frisk and seizure of property (see sec. 1.8316)	1-247
1.8332	On subsequent arrest	1-247
1.83321	In general	1-247
1.83322	When arrest is for new, distinct crime (e.g., resisting or obstructing officer, disorderly conduct, etc.) that was in response to police engaging in illegal search	1-248
1.8333	On subsequent search	1-248
1.8334	On subsequent statement	1-248
1.8335	On subsequently issued search warrant	1-248
1.8336	On subsequently issued arrest warrant	1-248
1.8337	On subsequent, separate investigation	1-248
1.83371	In general	1-248
1.83372	When investigation was prompted by suspicions arising from illegal search	1-248
1.83373	When investigation based on new and separate information, but aspect of investigation (e.g., authorization for strip search as part of search warrant based on new information) was based on information learned in illegal search	1-249

1.8338	On subsequently issued search warrant in separate investigation based on new and unrelated information, but containing authorization for particular activity (e.g., strip search) based on information discovered as part of prior illegal search	1-249
1.8339	On other matters	1-249
1.83391	On previously obtained evidence (see sec. 1.8312)	1-249
1.83392	On discovery of witness's identity and use of witness's testimony at trial	1-249
1.83393	On subsequently issued criminal complaint (see sec. 6.136)	1-249
1.834	Effect of illegal seizure of evidence or other property (e.g., automobile)	1-249
1.8341	In general	1-249
1.8342	On evidence discovered in search of item illegally seized, conducted pursuant to search warrant	1-249
1.835	Effect of warrantless entry into home or other protected area requiring warrant to enter	1-249
1.8351	In general	1-249
1.8352	On subsequent search and evidence obtained thereby	1-249
1.83521	In general	1-249
1.83522	On search incident to arrest, when warrantless entry was improperly made to effect arrest	1-250
1.8353	On subsequent statement	1-250
1.83531	In general	1-250
1.83532	When warrantless entry was made to effect arrest (see secs. 1.83222 and 1.83223)	1-250
1.8354	On subsequent consent to entry into or to search of area	1-250
1.83541	In general	1-250
1.83542	To satisfy attenuation test, officer not required to tell subject of search that he has right to refuse consent or that officer has no warrant authorizing entry	1-250
1.8355	On subsequent identification	1-250
1.83551	In general	1-250
1.83552	When warrantless entry was made to effect arrest (see secs. 1.83233 and 1.83234)	1-250
1.8356	On arrest made in home after unlawful warrantless entry into home	1-250
1.8357	On continuing custody after arrest that was unlawful because it was made after unconstitutional warrantless entry into home	1-250
1.8358	On jurisdiction to try defendant when arrest was made in home after unlawful warrantless entry into home	1-250
1.836	Effect of illegal manner of entry to execute search or arrest warrant (e.g., inappropriate no-knock entry, unreasonable destruction of property, etc.)	1-251
1.8361	In general	1-251
1.8362	On evidence discovered in search after entry	1-251
1.837	Effect of other activity prohibited by fourth amendment	1-251
1.838	Effect of illegal interrogation (see sec. 2.64)	1-251
1.839	Other and related matters	1-251

1.8391	Need for remand to trial court for findings necessary to determine whether items obtained by police in subsequent searches tainted by initial unlawful search	1-251
1.9	Other Matters Relating To Arrest, Search, Or Seizure	1-251
1.91	Burden Of Production, And Burden And Standard Of Proof, On Search And Seizure Questions	1-251
1.911	Burden of production	1-251
1.9111	Defendant's burden to produce some evidence that makes prima facie showing that Fourth Amendment rights violated	1-251
1.912	Burden of proof	1-251
1.9121	In general	1-251
1.9122	Whether defendant had subjective and legitimate (i.e., reasonable) expectation of privacy	1-252
1.9123	Whether defendant has standing to challenge search or seizure	1-252
1.9124	Whether probable cause existed for arrest or search	1-252
1.91241	When warrant issued	1-252
1.91242	When no warrant issued	1-253
1.9125	Whether warrantless entry, search, or seizure falls within exception to warrant requirement	1-253
1.91251	In general	1-253
1.91252	Entry into home	1-254
1.91253	Community caretaker exception	1-254
1.91254	Exigent circumstances exception (see sec. 1.91295)	1-254
1.9126	Whether illegal arrest, search, or other activity prohibited by Fourth Amendment tainted evidence, i.e., whether evidence is admissible despite illegality because taint has been attenuated	1-254
1.9127	On issues relating to consent	1-254
1.91271	Whether consent to search or seizure was given and was voluntary; whether search or seizure was done with consent	1-254
1.91272	Whether search and seizure falls within third-party consent exception to warrant requirement	1-255
1.9128	Whether search falls within exception to exclusionary rule	1-255
1.91281	Inevitable discovery	1-255
1.91282	Good faith	1-255
1.9129	Other issues and questions	1-255
1.91291	Whether private party who conducted search acted as agent of government; whether search was private search or government search	1-255
1.91292	Whether search occurred	1-255
1.91293	Whether search or seizure was reasonable and in compliance with fourth amendment	1-255
1.91294	Whether seizure based on reasonable suspicion was sufficiently limited in scope	1-255
1.91295	Whether exigent circumstances justifying warrantless entry into home existed	1-255
1.91296	Whether sufficient attenuation exists to permit admission of evidence	1-255
1.91297	Whether reasonable suspicion justifying stop existed	1-255

1.91298	Whether officer's conduct fell within scope of community caretaker function (see sec. 1.91253)	1-256
1.91299	Whether temporary detention was reasonable	1-256
1.913	Standard of proof	1-256
1.9131	In general	1-256
1.9132	At hearing where reconstruction of telephonic application for search warrant that was not recorded is attempted	1-256
1.9133	On issues relating to consent	1-256
1.91331	Whether consent to search and seizure was given and was voluntary	1-256
1.91332	Whether search and seizure falls within third-party consent exception to warrant requirement	1-256
1.9134	Whether defendant had subjective and legitimate (i.e., reasonable) expectation of privacy	1-256
1.9135	Whether defendant has standing to challenge search or seizure	1-256
1.9136	Whether search was government search or private search (see sec. 1.21216)	1-256
1.92	Hearing On Motion To Suppress	1-257
1.921	When hearing required	1-257
1.922	Burden and standard of proof at suppression hearing	1-257
1.9221	Standard of proof (see sec. 1.913)	1-257
1.9222	Burden of proof (see sec. 1.912)	1-257
1.923	Use at trial of defendant's testimony at suppression hearing	1-257
1.9231	In general	1-257
1.9232	For impeachment	1-257
1.924	Requirements relating to circuit court's fact finding	1-257
1.9241	Requirement that circuit court make findings of fact on record	1-257
1.9242	Circuit court not required to provide reasons on record for its credibility determinations	1-257
1.925	Use of hearsay evidence	1-257
1.926	Determination of credibility of witnesses	1-257
1.9261	In general	1-257
1.9262	Circuit court not required to provide reasons on record for its credibility determinations (see sec. 1.9242)	1-257
1.927	Reconsideration of decision based on evidence adduced at trial	1-257
1.928	Other matters	1-257
1.9281	Consideration of allegations of complaint in deciding suppression motion	1-257
1.9282	Requirement that hearing be open to public and press (see secs. 30.7214 and 46.124)	1-257
1.93	Waiver Of Fourth Amendment Rights Or Of Claim That Fourth Amendment Rights Were Violated	1-257
1.931	By failure to bring pretrial motion to suppress	1-258
1.932	By failure to pursue pretrial motion to suppress after it is made	1-258
1.933	By failure to object	1-258
1.934	By failure to present proper argument on appeal	1-258
1.935	By failure to argue with some prominence particular ground for suppression raised	1-258
1.936	By deliberate decision not to pursue motion challenging arrest	1-258
1.937	By failure to raise issue in, or present issue to, trial court	1-258

1.938	By failure to pursue particular ground for suppression	1-258
1.939	By other action or inaction	1-258
1.9391	By failure to adequately pursue Fourth Amendment grounds for pretrial motion to suppress	1-258
1.9392	In United States Supreme Court, by failure to present issue to federal district court or court of appeals	1-258
1.9393	By waiting until reply brief to raise it in court of appeals	1-258
1.9394	By consenting to activity alleged to be in violation of Fourth Amendment (see sec. 1.22)	1-258
1.9395	By accepting governmental benefit conditioned on waiver of Fourth Amendment rights	1-258
1.94	Validity Of Arrest Or Search Under Law Later Held Unconstitutional Or Misinterpreted By Arresting Or Searching Officer	1-259
1.941	Validity of arrest or search under law later held unconstitutional	1-259
1.9411	When law authorized arrest	1-259
1.9412	When law created crime for which arrest made	1-259
1.9413	When law authorized search	1-259
1.942	Validity of arrest or search under law misinterpreted by arresting or searching officer	1-259
1.9421	Probable cause to arrest exists only if officer's construction of ambiguous statute to apply to defendant's conduct is correct; if facts would support violation of statute only under a legal misinterpretation of statute, no probable cause exists; lawful stop cannot be predicated upon an officer's mistake of law (see sec. 1.31992)	1-259
1.95	Propriety Of Miscellaneous Police Activity In Connection With, And Specific Principles Relating To, Arrests, Searches And Seizures	1-259
1.951	Activity in connection with, and specific principles relating to, arrests and other seizures of the person	1-259
1.9511	Pretextual arrest or stop: arrest or stop of defendant for one type of activity (e.g., traffic violation) for purpose of interrogating him or seeking consent to search with respect to other activity (e.g., drug possession)	1-259
1.95111	In general	1-259
1.95112	Temporary detention of motorist upon probable cause to believe he has violated traffic laws permissible, even if reasonable officer would not have stopped the motorist absent some additional law enforcement objective (see sec. 1.5335)	1-260
1.9512	Right or authority to arrest for, or otherwise enforce, ordinance violation, traffic infraction, or other noncriminal offense	1-260
1.95121	In general	1-260
1.95122	Authority of municipal officer to enforce city traffic ordinance incorporating Wisconsin Administrative Code equipment provision, by stopping and inspecting vehicle suspected of violating ordinance	1-260
1.95123	Authority of state patrol and DOT traffic officers to enforce equipment violations under Wisconsin Administrative code, by stopping and inspecting vehicles	1-260

1.9513	Entry into premises to make arrest	1-260
1.95131	In general (see sec. 1.1612)	1-260
1.95132	To arrest for ordinance violation or other noncriminal offense	1-260
1.95133	Requirement of knock and announcement	1-260
1.9514	Use of force to effect arrest or other seizure of the person	1-261
1.95141	In general	1-261
1.95142	Deadly force	1-261
1.95143	Excessive force may be established without expert testimony	1-261
1.95144	Merely pointing gun at arrestee does not constitute excessive force	1-261
1.95145	Police officer's attempt to terminate dangerous high- speed car chase that threatens lives of innocent bystanders does not violate Fourth Amendment, even when it places fleeing motorist at risk of serious injury or death	1-261
1.9515	Pursuit of person to apprehend him	1-261
1.95151	"Fresh pursuit" (see sec. 1.9517)	1-261
1.95152	"Close pursuit"	1-261
1.95153	"Hot pursuit" (see sec. 1.624)	1-261
1.9516	Jurisdictional issues	1-261
1.95161	Law enforcement officer's jurisdiction and authority in general	1-261
1.95162	Law enforcement officer's jurisdiction and authority to act outside local jurisdiction when law enforcement agency from other jurisdiction requests such assistance from officer	1-261
1.95163	Execution of Wisconsin arrest warrant outside Wisconsin (see sec. 1.4158)	1-261
1.95164	Arrest by Wisconsin officer or other state's officer outside Wisconsin or that other state	1-262
1.95165	Arrest by Wisconsin officer outside local jurisdiction: in general	1-262
1.95166	Arrest by Wisconsin officer outside local jurisdiction: fresh pursuit (see sec. 1.9517)	1-262
1.95167	Arrest by Wisconsin officer outside local jurisdiction: when seizure of person at conclusion of fresh pursuit for one crime (traffic violation) results in suspicion of, or probable cause to arrest for, another crime (e.g., drunk driving), officer has authority to investigate and to ultimately arrest for that other crime (see sec. 1.95172)	1-262
1.95168	Jurisdiction of sheriffs and deputy sheriffs	1-262
1.95169	Effect of acting outside jurisdiction: does it provide grounds for suppression of evidence or overturning of arrest?	1-262
1.9517	Fresh pursuit	1-262
1.95171	In general	1-262

1.95172	When seizure of person at conclusion of fresh pursuit for one crime (traffic violation) results in suspicion of, or probable cause to arrest for, another crime (e.g., drunk driving), officer has authority to investigate and to ultimately arrest for that other crime	1-262
1.9518	Other matters	1-262
1.95181	Compelling person arrested for civil violation to participate in lineup on unrelated criminal charge (see sec. 1.5912)	1-262
1.95182	Compelling person arrested for criminal violation to participate in lineup on unrelated criminal charge (see sec. 1.5913)	1-263
1.95183	Strip searches of arrestees (see sec. 1.635)	1-263
1.95184	Reliance on "wanted flyer" issued by another police department	1-263
1.95185	Entry of auto to arrest without knock and announcement	1-263
1.95186	Right to require production of identification	1-263
1.95187	Arrest pursuant to material witness warrant (see sec. 1.9982)	1-263
1.95188	Manner of effecting arrest	1-263
1.95189	Seizure of wrong person under arrest warrant (see sec. 1.4154)	1-263
1.9519	Other matters (cont'd)	1-263
1.95191	Statutory obligation to release person arrested for traffic violation	1-263
1.95192	Implicit in authority to arrest is authority to stop vehicle of arrestee	1-263
1.95193	Protective sweep of premises in which arrest occurs (see sec. 1.772)	1-263
1.95194	Citizen's arrest (see sec. 1.9981)	1-263
1.95195	Failure to inform foreign national of right to contact his nation's consulate (see sec. 47.8781)	1-263
1.95196	Police officer does not violate substantive due process by causing death through deliberate or reckless indifference to life in high-speed automobile chase aimed at apprehending suspected offender; only purpose to cause harm unrelated to legitimate object of arrest will satisfy the element of arbitrary conduct shocking to the conscience, necessary for a due process violation (see sec. 22.891)	1-263
1.95197	Applicability of federal Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and Americans with Disabilities Act to arrests	1-264
1.95198	Right to arrest (i.e., to subject one to a full custodial arrest, including transport to police station and booking) for misdemeanor (e.g., traffic infraction punished by fine or imprisonment) committed in officer's presence	1-264
1.95199	Surveillance of arrested person (see sec. 1.24212)	1-264

1.952	Activity in connection with, and specific principles relating to, arrests and other seizures of the person (cont'd)	1-264
1.9521	May lawfully stopped person, within reasonable time after stop, be asked questions relating to, or asked to consent to a search whose object is to uncover evidence of, criminal or other activity that was not cause of initial stop?	1-264
1.95211	In general	1-264
1.95212	Is asking for consent to search after seizure terminated permissible? (see sec. 1.22152)	1-264
1.95213	In case of traffic stop, seizure becomes unlawful after traffic stop is concluded if stopped person remains seized after stop concluded and, therefore, any consent given after stop concluded, but while person remains seized, is invalid (see sec. 1.22154)	1-264
1.9522	Asking person, after officer completes traffic stop and informs person he or she is free to go, for consent to search of vehicle (see sec. 1.24991)	1-264
1.9523	Person stopped for one reason (e.g., driver stopped for traffic violation) may, within reasonable time after stop, be asked questions relating to, or asked to consent to a search whose object is to uncover evidence of, criminal or other activity that was not cause of initial stop, so long as those inquiries do not measurably extend duration of stop	1-264
1.9524	Arresting officer need not state crime for which defendant is arrested in order to effectuate valid arrest	1-265
1.9525	Legality and constitutionality of arrest does not depend on statutory authorization to make arrest	1-265
1.9526	Arrest does not violate Fourth Amendment simply because it is forbidden by state law; fact that state has chosen to provide more protection from arrest than Fourth Amendment provides is exclusively matter of state law	1-265
1.9527	Where officer has probable cause to believe person committed even minor crime in his presence, arrest is constitutionally permissible	1-265
1.953	Activity in connection with, and specific principles relating to, searches for, and seizures of, evidence	1-265
1.9531	Pretextual activity in connection with searches for, and seizures of, evidence (i.e., conducting search, inspection, seizure, etc., permitted for one purpose as pretext for obtaining incriminating evidence)	1-265
1.95311	Pretextual administrative inspection: administrative inspection cannot be pretext for obtaining evidence of violation of penal laws	1-265
1.95312	Pretextual inventory search: inventory search must not be used as pretext for general rummaging in order to obtain incriminating evidence	1-265
1.95313	Pretextual probation search: search of parolee's premises with intent to obtain evidence against non-parolee	1-265
1.9532	Right to retain items found in search	1-265

1.9533	Permissible scope of search or seizure	1-265
1.95331	In general	1-265
1.95332	Of search under warrant (see sec. 1.4263)	1-265
1.95333	Of warrantless search	1-265
1.95334	Subjecting items found in search to examination designed to bring out characteristics not visible to unaided senses (e.g., developing film, scientific analysis, etc.) to determine whether they constitute evidence sought or to reveal incriminating nature	1-266
1.95335	Removing items found in search to another location (e.g., police station) for further examination to determine whether they constitute evidence sought	1-266
1.95336	Opening containers that might contain object of search	1-266
1.95337	Right to move objects in order to conduct search	1-266
1.95338	Officer may seize property found during course of otherwise valid search even though property does not relate to purpose of search	1-266
1.95339	Right to continue search when officer discovers incriminating evidence that does not relate to the initial purpose of the search	1-266
1.9534	Permissible scope of search or seizure (cont'd)	1-266
1.95341	Seizure of items not described in search warrant (see sec. 1.42637)	1-266
1.95342	When packages, books, tapes and other items have been properly seized or otherwise lawfully obtained, and are in possession of police, warrant may be required for examination of them (see sec. 1.672)	1-266
1.95343	Moving item in plain sight to see nonvisible part (see sec. 1.2342)	1-266
1.9535	Use of devices to enhance human senses	1-266
1.95351	Flashlight (see sec. 1.2331)	1-266
1.95352	Binocular or telescope (see sec. 1.2332)	1-266
1.9536	Jurisdictional issues	1-267
1.95361	Seizure of evidence by officer acting outside his or her jurisdiction	1-267
1.95362	Effect of officer's acting outside his or her jurisdiction on evidence obtained while so acting: does suppression rule apply?	1-267
1.9537	No need for statutory authorization to engage in normal investigative practices	1-267
1.9538	When law enforcement officers seize property pursuant to warrant, due process requires reasonable notice that property has been taken so owner can pursue available remedies for its return (see sec. 1.4295)	1-267
1.9539	Other matters	1-267
1.95391	Activity in connection with execution of search warrant (see sec. 1.426)	1-267
1.95392	No-knock entry to conduct search or to engage in other activity	1-267
1.95393	Use of undercover agents	1-267

1.95394	Use of force to conduct search for, or seizure of, evidence	1-267
1.95395	When undercover officer lawfully on premises, he may summon other officers to enter premises and perform back-up and assistance with respect to activity that he was constitutionally permitted to undertake	1-267
1.95396	Searches of disabled persons	1-267
1.954	Activity in connection with, and specific principles relating to, searches for, and seizures of, evidence (cont'd)	1-267
1.9541	Lawfully stopped person may, within reasonable time after stop, be asked questions relating to, or asked to consent to a search whose object is to uncover evidence of, criminal or other activity that was not cause of initial stop	1-268
1.95411	In general	1-268
1.95412	Is asking for consent to search after seizure terminated permissible? (see sec. 1.22152)	1-268
1.9542	Asking person, after officer completes traffic stop and informs person he or she is free to go, for consent to search of vehicle (see sec. 1.24991)	1-268
1.9543	Search or seizure does not violate Fourth Amendment simply because it is forbidden by state law or not authorized by state law; states are free to impose higher standards on searches and seizures than those imposed by Fourth Amendment	1-268
1.955	Other activity	1-268
1.9551	Knock and talk	1-268
1.95511	In general	1-268
1.95512	Does exigent circumstance created by "knock and talk" allow warrantless entry and/or search? (see sec. 1.62121)	1-268
1.96	Miscellaneous Principles Relating To Arrests, Searches And Seizures	1-268
1.961	Effect of good faith or subjective intent or belief of officer making arrest, search, or seizure	1-268
1.9611	In general	1-268
1.9612	Existence of probable cause not dependent on good faith, or subjective belief or intent, of officer (see sec. 1.34)	1-269
1.9613	Reliance on incorrect reason to justify intrusion does not invalidate action so long as valid reason exists	1-269
1.9614	Reviewing court not bound by subjective assessment or motivation of officer; they are irrelevant to Fourth Amendment analysis	1-269
1.9615	Appellate court is not bound by officer's subjective reasons for search or arrest	1-269
1.9616	Evil intentions or ulterior motives irrelevant	1-269
1.9617	Action not rendered unconstitutional by officer's subjective intent to engage in additional action that would be unconstitutional	1-269
1.9618	Other principles	1-269

1.96181	Fact that officer does not have state of mind hypothecated by reasons which provide legal justification for action does not invalidate action as long as circumstances, viewed objectively, justify that action	1-269
1.96182	If officer has facts amounting to reasonable suspicion that would justify stop, stop is valid even if officer was not subjectively motivated by desire to investigate this suspicion	1-270
1.96183	Fact that officer did not subjectively rely on particular factor does not mean appellate court may not consider that factor in determining whether sufficient justification for activity in question existed	1-270
1.96184	Officer's subjective belief may be considered by court in determining whether objective test or standard has been met	1-270
1.96185	When search or seizure not supported by probable cause or reasonable suspicion, officer's subjective motivation is factor that may warrant consideration	1-270
1.9619	Other principles (cont'd)	1-270
1.96191	Person stopped for one reason (e.g., driver stopped for traffic violation) may, within reasonable time after stop, be asked questions relating to, or asked to consent to a search whose object is to uncover evidence of, criminal or other activity that was not cause of initial stop (see sec. 1.5258)	1-270
1.96192	Concurrent suspicion of criminal activity does not deprive police officers of right to pursue "render safe" activities	1-270
1.96193	Temporary detention of motorist upon probable cause to believe he has violated traffic laws permissible, even if reasonable officer would not have stopped the motorist absent some additional law enforcement objective (see sec. 1.5335)	1-270
1.96194	Continuing detention of person stopped for traffic violation does not become illegal seizure when officer's motivation for continuing stop is not related to the purpose of the original, constitutional stop and there are no articulable facts giving rise to suspicion of some separate illegal activity, so long as the detention is justified by the circumstances, viewed objectively (see sec. 1.5336)	1-271
1.96195	Actual motivation of officer is generally irrelevant in determining propriety of his or her conduct under Fourth Amendment; officer's subjective reasons for search or arrest are irrelevant to validity of search or arrest	1-271
1.96196	Subjective intent to make arrest, communicated by words and/or deeds to defendant, is necessary to justify search as incident to arrest when search precedes arrest (see sec. 1.61223)	1-271

1.96197	In determining whether exigency justifying warrantless entry or search existed, subjective motivation or belief of officer is not necessary and its absence is not dispositive of question whether search or entry was justified (see sec. 1.6215)	1-271
1.96198	Validity of arrest not dependent on whether there is probable cause for offense stated by arresting officer at time of arrest; so long as there is probable cause for any offense, arrest is valid (see sec. 1.342)	1-271
1.96199	Validity of arrest not dependent on whether offense stated by arresting officer at time of arrest actually exists; so long as there is probable cause for an existing offense, arrest is valid (see sec. 1.343)	1-271
1.962	Subsequent intrusion as continuation of first	1-271
1.963	Right to do later what one could have done earlier	1-272
1.964	Right of government agent to summon police to do what agent could have done	1-272
1.9641	In general	1-272
1.9642	Right of firefighter to summon police officer at scene of fire to seize evidence in plain view	1-272
1.965	Federal-state relationships: "silver platter" doctrine	1-272
1.966	Effect of violation of law of another state	1-272
1.9661	Constitutional violation	1-272
1.9662	Statutory or other violation	1-272
1.967	When search proper as to particular individual, third party whose privacy interest is also infringed by search has no right to complain	1-272
1.9671	In general	1-272
1.9672	When police have reasonable suspicion justifying stop of any occupant of vehicle, any other occupant of vehicle whose fourth amendment interest is also infringed by stop has no right to complain (see sec. 1.5326)	1-272
1.968	Other principles	1-272
1.9681	Need for prompt determination of probable cause after warrantless arrest (see sec. 6.31)	1-272
1.9682	Asking detained person questions about activity that was not cause of, or not related to, detention is not Fourth Amendment event that requires independent justification	1-272
1.9683	Need for knock and/or announcement before entry into premises to conduct search or to engage in other activity (see sec. 1.95392)	1-272
1.9684	Effect of seizure of items not permitted under, or outside scope of, constitutionally authorized search on admissibility of items whose seizure was permitted under, or within scope of, that search: generally, remedy is to suppress only items improperly seized	1-273
1.969	Other principles (cont'd)	1-273

1.9691	When lawfulness of official's activity is dependent upon defendant's conviction (e.g., as would be the case with a probation agent's supervisory search of defendant's premises) subsequent reversal of that conviction does not retroactively render activity unlawful	1-273
1.9692	When undercover officer lawfully on premises, he may summon other officers to enter premises and perform back-up and assistance with respect to activity that he was constitutionally permitted to undertake (see sec. 1.95395)	1-273
1.9693	Probable cause to arrest exists only if officer's construction of ambiguous statute to apply to defendant's conduct is correct; if facts would support violation of statute only under a legal misinterpretation of statute, no probable cause exists; lawful stop cannot be predicated upon an officer's mistake of law (see sec. 1.31992)	1-273
1.9694	State actor cannot constitutionally condition receipt of benefit (e.g., license or permit) on agreement to refrain from exercising Fourth Amendment rights	1-273
1.9695	Person stopped for one reason (e.g., driver stopped for traffic violation) may, within reasonable time after stop, be asked questions relating to, or asked to consent to a search whose object is to uncover evidence of, criminal or other activity that was not cause of initial stop (see sec. 1.5258)	1-273
1.9696	Wisconsin courts are bound by Supreme Court's interpretation of federal constitution	1-273
1.9697	In assessing reasonableness under Fourth Amendment, Supreme Court has generally eschewed bright-line rules, instead emphasizing fact-specific nature of reasonableness inquiry	1-273
1.9698	Seizure that is lawful at its inception can violate Fourth Amendment if its manner of execution unreasonably infringes interest protected by Fourth Amendment	1-274
1.97	Relationship Between Federal And State Constitutional Protections: Wisconsin Supreme Court Generally Conforms Interpretation Of State Constitutional Provision To Interpretation Given Fourth Amendment By United States Supreme Court	1-274
1.971	In general	1-274
1.972	Wisconsin Supreme Court's authority to grant greater protection under state constitution	1-276
1.973	Instances in which Wisconsin Supreme Court has granted greater protection under state constitution or otherwise departed from interpretation given Fourth Amendment by United States Supreme Court	1-276
1.9731	Good faith exception to exclusionary rule	1-277
1.98	Appellate Review Of Search And Seizure Issues	1-277
1.981	Review of trial court's findings	1-277
1.9811	In general	1-277
1.9812	Drawing of inference, when more than one inference is possible, is finding of fact binding on appellate court unless evidence on which inference is based is incredible as matter of law	1-283

1.9813	Finding that searching officer was actually motivated by perceived need to render aid or assistance	1-283
1.9814	Findings regarding existence, voluntariness, scope, and sufficiency of consent to search (see sec. 1.9833)	1-283
1.9815	Is finding that search was police search rather than probation search a finding of fact subject to review under clearly erroneous standard?	1-284
1.9816	Review of trial court's finding regarding credibility of witness	1-284
1.98161	In general	1-284
1.98162	Determination of credibility of witnesses is matter for trial, not appellate, court	1-284
1.98163	Trial court, as fact finder, is ultimate arbiter of credibility of witnesses	1-284
1.98164	Circuit court not required to provide reasons on record for its credibility determinations	1-284
1.9817	In reviewing trial court's findings of fact, due regard should be given to opportunity of trial court to judge credibility of witnesses	1-284
1.982	Review of trial court's ultimate conclusion (i.e., application of constitutional principles to facts, determination whether facts satisfy constitutional principles or require suppression, determination of constitutional fact, determination of constitutional reasonableness of search or seizure, etc.)	1-284
1.9821	General principles	1-284
1.98211	In general	1-284
1.98212	Determination whether facts satisfy constitutional requirement of reasonableness	1-289
1.98213	Application of constitutional principles to facts is question of law	1-289
1.98214	Determination whether facts satisfy constitutional principles is question of law for appellate court to decide	1-289
1.9822	Review of determination of standing (i.e., whether defendant had legitimate or reasonable expectation of privacy; whether defendant's Fourth Amendment rights violated)	1-289
1.9823	Review of determination of reasonableness	1-290
1.9824	Review of determination of probable cause	1-292
1.98241	In general	1-292
1.98242	Probable cause to arrest or make other seizure of person requiring probable cause (see sec. 1.318)	1-292
1.98243	Probable cause for search (see sec. 1.328)	1-292
1.9825	Review of determination of reasonable suspicion	1-292
1.98251	In general	1-292
1.98252	Determination whether reasonable suspicion justifying frisk existed (see sec. 1.98285)	1-292
1.98253	Determination whether reasonable suspicion justifying no-knock entry existed (see sec. 1.98286)	1-292
1.98254	Determination whether reasonable suspicion justifying stop existed (see sec. 1.98322)	1-292
1.9826	Review of determinations relating to arrest or search warrant	1-292

1.98261	Determination that sufficient evidence existed to permit issuance of warrant (see secs. 1.4127 and 1.4228)	1-292
1.98262	Determination to authorize no-knock entry (see sec. 1.42433)	1-292
1.98263	Determination that search warrant was executed in reasonable manner	1-292
1.98264	Determination whether language of warrant satisfies requisite constitutional requirements	1-292
1.98265	Determination whether trial court should have held Franks hearing	1-293
1.98266	Determination whether search exceeded that authorized by warrant	1-293
1.9827	Review of determinations relating to (1) searches, including entry into and searches of premises, and (2) seizures of evidence or other item found in search	1-293
1.98271	Determination of reasonableness (see sec. 1.9823)	1-293
1.98272	Determination whether search was a private search or government search	1-293
1.98273	Determination of exigent circumstances: in general	1-293
1.98274	Determination of exigent circumstances: whether facts establish exigent circumstances sufficient to justify warrantless entry into home	1-293
1.98275	Determination whether area was within curtilage	1-293
1.98276	Determination whether particular place is area from which defendant might secure weapon	1-294
1.98277	Determination of sufficiency of evidence to support issuance of search warrant (see sec. 1.4228)	1-294
1.98278	Determination whether "plain view" existed	1-294
1.98279	Determination that reasonable force was used to conduct search for, or seizure of, evidence	1-294
1.9828	Review of determinations relating to (1) searches, including entry into and searches of premises, and (2) seizures of evidence or other item found in search (cont'd)	1-294
1.98281	Determination whether search or seizure has occurred, i.e., whether police conduct constituted "search" or "seizure"	1-294
1.98282	Determinations relating to consent (see sec. 1.9833)	1-294
1.98283	Determination whether "search incident to arrest" exception to warrant requirement applicable	1-294
1.98284	Determination whether search is police search or probation search	1-294
1.98285	Determination whether reasonable suspicion justifying frisk existed	1-294
1.98286	Determination whether reasonable suspicion justifying no-knock entry existed	1-295
1.98287	Determination whether frisk met constitutional standards	1-295
1.98288	Determination of legality of warrantless search	1-295
1.983	Review of trial court's ultimate conclusion (cont'd)	1-295

1.9831	Review of determinations relating to arrests and other seizures of the person	1-295
1.98311	Determination whether seizure of person occurred, i.e., whether person was seized	1-295
1.98312	Determination of legality of stop; i.e., that stop met statutory and constitutional standards	1-295
1.98313	Determination of existence of exigent circumstances justifying warrantless arrest in home	1-296
1.98314	Determination whether detention of person was reasonable	1-296
1.98315	Determination of sufficiency of evidence to support issuance of arrest warrant (see sec. 1.4127)	1-296
1.98316	Determination whether police officer can make citizen's arrest outside of local jurisdiction	1-296
1.98317	Determination whether stop or detention met statutory and constitutional standards	1-296
1.98318	Determination whether parole violator can be arrested in home without judicially issued warrant	1-296
1.98319	Determination whether detention of person was reasonable	1-296
1.9832	Review of determinations relating to arrests and other seizures of the person (cont'd)	1-296
1.98321	Determination of probable cause to arrest (see sec. 1.318)	1-296
1.98322	Determination whether reasonable suspicion justifying temporary investigative stop existed	1-296
1.98323	Determination whether facts provide basis for constitutional investigative stop	1-297
1.98324	Determination whether reasonable suspicion existed in general (see sec. 1.9825)	1-297
1.98325	Determination whether frisk met constitutional standards (see sec. 1.98287)	1-297
1.98326	Determination of legality of temporary investigative stop	1-297
1.98327	Determination whether seizure of person was proper exercise of community caretaker function	1-297
1.9833	Determination of existence, scope, voluntariness, and sufficiency of consent	1-297
1.98331	In general	1-297
1.98332	Existence of consent	1-298
1.98333	Voluntariness of consent	1-298
1.98334	Determination whether third party had authority to consent to search	1-298
1.9834	Review of determinations relating to exclusionary rule, fruit of poisonous tree doctrine, and exceptions to exclusionary rule	1-298
1.98341	Determination whether evidence should be suppressed as fruit of fourth amendment violation	1-298
1.98342	Determination whether inevitable discovery doctrine applicable	1-299
1.98343	Determination of attenuation	1-299

1.98344	Determination whether suppression is appropriate remedy	1-299
1.98345	Determination whether good faith exception to exclusionary rule applicable	1-299
1.9835	Review of other determinations	1-299
1.9836	Review of determination whether exigent circumstances justifying warrantless entry into home existed	1-299
1.984	Remand to trial court	1-299
1.9841	For further fact finding	1-299
1.9842	For determination of legal issue	1-299
1.985	Resolution of factual issues in favor of trial court's conclusion	1-299
1.9851	In general	1-299
1.9852	Appellate court may assume facts, reasonably inferable from record, in manner that supports trial court's decision (see sec. 1.9862)	1-300
1.986	Appellate court's independent determination of facts	1-300
1.9861	In general	1-300
1.9862	Appellate court may assume facts, reasonably inferable from record, in manner that supports trial court's decision	1-300
1.987	Appellate court's independent determination of legal issue when trial court has failed to address issue	1-300
1.9871	Issue of voluntariness	1-300
1.988	Matters considered	1-300
1.9881	Appellate court may consider evidence at trial or other proceeding in addition to that adduced at suppression hearing	1-300
1.9882	Appellate court may not overturn suppression ruling based on evidence that was not part of record at suppression hearing	1-300
1.9883	May appellate court consider allegations of complaint?	1-301
1.989	Other matters	1-301
1.9891	Review of denial of pretrial suppression motion after guilty or no-contest plea entered (see sec. 7.42)	1-301
1.9892	Determination of content of fourth amendment rules and their exceptions	1-301
1.9893	Where only one reasonable inference can be drawn from evidence, drawing of that inference is question of law	1-301
1.9894	When facts are undisputed, question of whether activity is constitutionally permissible under fourth amendment is question of law that appellate court reviews without deference to trial court's decision	1-301
1.9895	Affirmance of trial court's ruling on basis not proffered to or relied upon by trial court	1-301
1.9896	Fact that officer did not subjectively rely on particular factor does not mean appellate court may not consider that factor in determining whether sufficient justification for activity in question existed (see sec. 1.96182)	1-301
1.99	Other Matters	1-301
1.991	Press access to police records relating to arrests, searches, and seizures	1-301
1.9911	Arrest records	1-301
1.9912	Rap sheets	1-301
1.992	Complexity of search and seizure law	1-301

1.993	Reconsideration of search and seizure decision and/or suppression order	1-301
1.9931	In general	1-301
1.9932	Based on evidence adduced at trial (see sec. 1.927)	1-301
1.994	Right to resist unlawful arrest	1-301
1.995	Persuasiveness of commentators on fourth amendment	1-302
1.996	Retroactivity of fourth amendment decisions	1-302
1.997	Return of seized property to owner (Wis. Stat. § 968.20)	1-302
1.9971	When state has not initiated forfeiture action, person seeking return of property is limited to procedure set forth in Wis. Stat. § 968.20	1-302
1.9972	Exception to requirement of return of seized property to owner for "contraband"	1-302
1.99721	What constitutes "contraband" in general	1-302
1.99722	"Contraband" includes money acquired through sale of, or used to purchase, controlled substances	1-302
1.99723	"Contraband" includes material that is obscene	1-302
1.99724	"Contraband" includes "gambling machines and other gambling devices"	1-302
1.99725	Video slot machine may be "gambling machine" and, consequently, "contraband" even if no payout or prize is actually given to person playing machine	1-302
1.99726	"Contraband" does not include dangerous weapon possessed by one convicted of carrying a concealed weapon	1-302
1.99727	Burden and standard of proof with respect to question whether material whose return is being sought is "contraband"	1-302
1.99728	Item may be found to be contraband regardless of whether or not criminal charges were filed or whether or not filed criminal charges were dismissed	1-303
1.99729	Sufficiency of evidence to show that property was "contraband"	1-303
1.9973	Exception to requirement of return of seized property to owner for dangerous weapon when owner committed crime involving use of weapon	1-303
1.99731	In general	1-303
1.99732	Has person convicted of carrying concealed weapon used weapon for purposes of this exception?	1-303
1.99733	Person who commits crime of possession of firearm by one ordered not to possess firearm has used weapon in commission of crime	1-303
1.99734	One need not be convicted of underlying crime; it is sufficient if state shows crime was committed	1-303
1.99735	Defendant's agreement to have underlying crime read in is sufficient to trigger exception	1-303
1.9974	Person not entitled to return of property may not sell property to third party and then seek its return to that third party	1-303

1.9975	Section 968.20 does not authorize circuit court to award monetary damages against governmental entity when governmental entity cannot return seized property because it no longer has possession of it	1-303
1.9976	Applicability of excessive fines clause to return of seized property (see sec. 30.93271)	1-303
1.998	Other matters	1-303
1.9981	Citizen's arrest	1-303
1.99811	In general	1-303
1.99812	Right to arrest for non-criminal, forfeiture offense	1-304
1.99813	Unless state action is involved, defendant has no right to suppress fruits of citizen's arrest and subsequent search	1-304
1.9982	Material witness warrant	1-304
1.99821	Authority to issue material witness warrant in John Doe proceeding (see sec. 20.3222)	1-304
1.99822	Need for probable cause to believe it is impracticable to secure presence by subpoena before issuing material witness warrant (see sec. 20.3223)	1-304
1.9983	Use of court order to secure samples from suspect's person or to compel bodily actions	1-304
1.9984	Issuance of subpoena duces tecum requiring production of business records and other documents (see sec. 1.694)	1-304
1.9985	Degree of immunity from damages accorded police officer making arrest or conducting search (see sec. 47.66)	1-304
1.9986	Return of seized property to owner	1-304
1.9987	Abandonment of motion to suppress	1-304
1.9988	Applicability of harmless-error rule to fourth amendment violation	1-304
1.9989	Federal court ruling on motion to suppress particular evidence not binding on state court considering whether to suppress that evidence	1-304
1.999	Other matters (cont'd)	1-304
1.9991	No need to consider fourth amendment issue when allegedly invalid search did not produce any evidence	1-304
1.9992	Introduction of evidence regarding defendant's invocation of fourth amendment rights (see sec. 20.63)	1-305
1.9993	When motion to suppress evidence obtained from search is decided in one prosecution, and defendant seeks to suppress same evidence from same search in another prosecution, is decision in first prosecution binding on judge in other prosecution? (see sec. 12.62135)	1-305
1.9994	Court's use of inherent authority to suppress evidence when suppression not otherwise required by constitution or statutes	1-305
1.99941	In general	1-305
1.99942	Suppression of evidence cannot be justified as exercise of inherent authority unless court has invoked inherent authority	1-305

1.9995	Determining which jurisdiction's law to apply in resolving motion to suppress evidence when evidence was obtained in another jurisdiction	1-305
1.99951	In resolving question of legality of means of obtaining evidence	1-305
1.99952	In resolving admissibility under rules of evidence (e.g., rules relating to privileges)	1-305
1.9996	When search and its fruits played no role in defendant's conviction, it is unnecessary for court to address constitutionality of search	1-305
1.9997	Fourth Amendment applicable to states	1-305
1.9998	Application of doctrine of collateral estoppel when motion to suppress evidence based on legal standard applied to historical facts is decided in prior action and in later action party seeks ruling on suppression of same evidence base on same historical facts and same legal standard (see sec. 12.62134)	1-305
1.9999	Bench warrants	1-306
1.99991	Civil bench warrant (see sec. 12.871)	1-306
1.99992	Criminal bench warrant (see sec. 12.872)	1-306

Chapter 2 Interrogation and Confessions

2.1	Compliance With Miranda	2-1
2.11	When Miranda Rights Attach And Warnings Required	2-1
2.111	General principles	2-1
2.1111	In general	2-1
2.1112	Rights attach, and defendant is entitled to invoke rights and officers are required to honor invocation of rights, only when defendant subjected to custodial interrogation	2-2
2.1113	Miranda right to counsel is not offense-specific; it attaches to questioning regarding any offense	2-2
2.112	Custodial interrogation	2-2
2.1121	In general	2-2
2.1122	What constitutes "custody"	2-3
2.11221	In general	2-3
2.11222	Whether defendant in custody determined by "reasonable person" test, not officer's unarticulated intention	2-3
2.11223	"Reasonable person," for purposes of "reasonable person" test, is "reasonable innocent person"	2-4
2.11224	Factors to be considered in determining whether person is in custody	2-4
2.11225	Requires restraint caused or created by authorities	2-4
2.11226	Denial of access to defendant by defense counsel or counsel's agent does not create custody	2-4
2.11227	Defendant in custody when formally placed under arrest	2-4

2.11228	Officer's subjective and undisclosed view concerning whether person being interrogated is a suspect is irrelevant to custody question	2-4
2.11229	Determination of custody is mixed question of law and fact warranting independent review and not subject to presumption of correctness in federal habeas corpus proceeding (see sec. 10.6441)	2-4
2.1123	What constitutes "custody" (cont'd)	2-4
2.11231	Officer's possession of arrest warrant and subjective but undisclosed intent to execute it at conclusion of interview does not establish that defendant was in custody	2-4
2.11232	Person who is incarcerated (e.g., serving time for an unrelated offense) is per se in custody for purposes of Miranda	2-4
2.11233	Whether person was in custody at time statements were obtained cannot be affected by later police activity (e.g., handcuffing)	2-4
2.11234	Is suspect's age relevant to custody inquiry?	2-4
2.11235	Suspect's prior experience with police is not relevant to custody inquiry	2-4
2.11236	Analysis for determining whether one is "in custody" for Miranda purposes is not the same as that for determining whether one is under arrest for Fourth Amendment purposes	2-4
2.11237	Does pressure to go to police station exerted by family member create custody?	2-5
2.11238	If other indicia of custody are not present, failure to tell person he is not in custody does not create custody	2-5
2.1124	What constitutes "interrogation"	2-5
2.11241	In general	2-5
2.11242	Test for "interrogation" reflects both objective foreseeability and officer's specific knowledge of suspect	2-5
2.11243	Officer's request of defendant to engage in incriminating action	2-5
2.11244	Officer's request of defendant for consent to search does not constitute interrogation	2-6
2.11245	Confronting suspect with incriminating evidence, or summarizing state's case against suspect, does not necessarily constitute "interrogation," particularly if it is done in response to suspect's inquiry regarding evidence police have	2-6
2.11246	Explanation of why defendant was arrested, particularly if defendant indicates that he does not understand why he was under arrest, does not necessarily constitute interrogation	2-6
2.1125	Attachment of Miranda rights and requirement of warnings when interrogation of person in custody is conducted by undercover police agent	2-6
2.113	Volunteered or nonresponsive statement	2-6

2.1131	Volunteered statement	2-6
2.1132	Nonresponsive statement	2-6
2.114	General on-the-scene questioning	2-6
2.115	Questioning by individuals other than those known to defendant to be police officers	2-6
2.1151	By private individual	2-6
2.1152	By undercover government agent	2-7
2.1153	By probation officer (see sec. 2.1181)	2-7
2.1154	By psychiatrist (see sec. 2.117)	2-7
2.1155	By other individuals	2-7
2.116	Questioning about noncriminal activity	2-7
2.117	Psychiatric or psychologic examination	2-7
2.1171	Psychiatric examination designed to elicit information for responsibility phase of defendant's trial	2-7
2.11711	Court-ordered psychiatric examination	2-7
2.11712	Psychiatric examination undertaken at request of prosecutor	2-7
2.11713	When defendant has initiated psychiatric evaluation or attempts to introduce psychiatric evidence	2-7
2.1172	Psychiatric examination designed to elicit information relevant to determining defendant's competency	2-7
2.1173	Examination designed to determine whether ch. 980 (sexually violent person) proceedings should be commenced (see sec. 10.79249)	2-7
2.118	Particular circumstances and situations	2-8
2.1181	Interview with probation or parole officer	2-8
2.1182	Presentence interview	2-8
2.11821	In general	2-8
2.11822	When statements will be used in later trial for perjury committed while testifying at trial of case for which presentence interview was conducted	2-8
2.1183	Before administration of sobriety test	2-8
2.1184	Questioning of injured person	2-8
2.11841	In hospital bed	2-8
2.11842	At accident scene	2-8
2.1185	After arrest for traffic offense	2-8
2.1186	Traffic or other investigative (i.e., Terry) stop	2-8
2.1187	Questioning at station house of nonarrested defendant who voluntarily appeared or accompanied police there	2-9
2.1188	Other circumstances and situations	2-9
2.11881	Questioning designed to elicit incriminating statements from suspect on whom investigation has focused	2-9
2.11882	Investigation at scene of domestic dispute	2-9
2.11883	Questioning of incarcerated suspect by person not known by suspect to be government agent (e.g., undercover government agent posing as fellow inmate; girlfriend; etc.)	2-9
2.11884	Post-crime interview conducted by state's psychiatrist	2-9
2.11885	Booking interview	2-9

2.11886	Before videotaping sobriety tests that produce oral statements by defendant while they are being administered	2-9
2.11887	Asking drunk driving suspect question whose answer may demonstrate impaired mental faculties	2-9
2.11888	Confronting suspect in custody with incriminating evidence	2-9
2.11889	Asking suspect to engage in incriminating activity such as retrieval of evidence of crime	2-9
2.1189	Other circumstances and situations (cont'd)	2-10
2.11891	When police have defendant surrounded and are attempting by telephone to negotiate his surrender	2-10
2.11892	Questioning of drunk driver who was placed under arrest on way to hospital after he has been transferred to another hospital	2-10
2.11893	Interview of juvenile regarding delinquent acts that will provide basis for CHIPS proceeding	2-10
2.11894	Interview with prisoner eliciting statements that will be used in prison disciplinary proceeding	2-10
2.11895	Interview of juvenile in anticipation of, or as part of, delinquency proceeding	2-10
2.11896	Detention while search warrant executed	2-10
2.119	Other matters	2-10
2.1191	Exceptions to Miranda requirements (see sec. 2.18)	2-10
2.1192	Miranda warnings not required before defendant gives consent to search because consent is not testimonial or communicative statement	2-10
2.1193	Propriety of practice of giving no Miranda warnings until interrogation has produced incriminating statement inadmissible under Miranda and then following obtaining of that statement with Miranda warnings and securing of second statement covering same ground; second statement is inadmissible (see sec. 2.1989)	2-10
2.12	Content Of Miranda Warnings	2-10
2.121	In general	2-10
2.122	Warning about right to presence of counsel	2-11
2.1221	In general	2-11
2.1222	Effect of officer's telling defendant that officer could not obtain lawyer for defendant and that lawyer would be provided later when charges filed (see sec. 2.13294)	2-11
2.123	No need for warning about right to stop answering questions at any time	2-11
2.124	Testimony that officer read rights from Miranda card is sufficient to establish that Miranda warnings given	2-11
2.125	Defective warning does not vitiate subsequent confession if proper advisement of rights given earlier	2-11
2.126	In case of non-English speaking suspect, warnings must be given in language that suspect understands, i.e., warnings must reasonably convey Miranda rights	2-11
2.127	In case of deaf person	2-11

	<i>Page</i>
2.128 Substance, not form, of warnings is focus of inquiry	2-11
2.13 Duties Of Interrogating Officers Under Miranda	2-11
2.131 Requirement that warnings be administered	2-11
2.1311 In general	2-11
2.1312 When Miranda rights and warnings required (see sec. 2.11)	2-12
2.1313 Content of warnings (see sec. 2.12)	2-12
2.1314 Whether Miranda warnings have been effectively and timely administered is to be determined by totality-of-circumstances test	2-12
2.1315 Testimony that officer read rights from Miranda card is sufficient to establish that Miranda warnings given	2-12
2.1316 Exceptions to requirement (see sec. 2.18)	2-12
2.1317 Defective warning does not vitiate subsequent confession if proper advisement of rights given earlier (see sec. 2.125)	2-12
2.1318 Need to readminister warning once given	2-12
2.13181 No need to readminister warnings before subsequent interrogation once they have been given at earlier interrogation (see sec. 2.1345)	2-12
2.13182 No need to readminister warnings given before start of noncustodial interrogation when that interrogation becomes custodial	2-12
2.1319 Other matters	2-12
2.13191 Miranda warnings given prior to custody are not ipso facto ineffective	2-12
2.132 Requirement that interrogation cease upon request for attorney (Edwards rule)	2-12
2.1321 In general	2-12
2.1322 What constitutes request for attorney that triggers requirement that interrogation cease (see sec. 2.1411)	2-14
2.1323 What constitutes interrogation that must cease upon request for counsel (see sec. 2.1124)	2-14
2.1324 What constitutes initiation of further communication, exchanges or conversations with police by defendant that will permit further interrogation	2-14
2.1325 Requirement only applicable when defendant is being subjected to custodial interrogation or when request for counsel made in the context of custodial interrogation	2-14
2.1326 What is mandated by requirement that interrogation cease	2-15
2.13261 Requirement mandates only that interrogation cease, not questions designed to accommodate request for counsel	2-15
2.13262 Requirement mandates only that interrogation cease, not questions normally attendant to arrest and custody	2-15
2.13263 Requirement mandates that only interrogation cease, but permits explanation of why defendant was being arrested, particularly if defendant indicates that he does not understand why he was under arrest	2-15
2.1327 Questioning by private party after defendant requests attorney	2-15
2.13271 In general	2-15
2.13272 When private party is acting as agent of police	2-15

2.1328	Other matters	2-15
2.13281	Defendant must invoke right to counsel when he is approached for interrogation or during interrogation in order for invocation to trigger prohibition on police-initiated interrogation (see sec. 2.14121)	2-15
2.13282	Requirement applies when reinterrogation deals with unrelated offense	2-15
2.1329	Other matters (cont'd)	2-16
2.13291	Defendant's consultation with attorney does not permit reinitiation of interrogation; counsel must be present at renewed interrogation	2-16
2.13292	Waiver of right to counsel after initial invocation of right (see sec. 2.1672)	2-16
2.13293	To determine who initiated interrogation, must look to particular communication at hand, not who was the initiator of all the exchanges that took place between the defendant and the police	2-16
2.13294	Effect of officer's telling defendant that officer could not obtain lawyer for defendant and that lawyer would be provided later when charges filed, after which defendant expressed desire to talk to officer	2-16
2.13295	Exceptions to requirement (see sec. 2.18)	2-16
2.13296	Requirement only applicable when request for attorney made during custodial interrogation; request made before defendant is in custody does not trigger requirement (see secs. 2.1112 and 2.14118)	2-16
2.13297	Requirement not triggered by request for counsel at court appearance on unrelated charge	2-16
2.13298	Once police illegally interrogate suspect contrary to Edwards rule, no claim that accused initiated further conversation will be heard	2-16
2.13299	Burden and standard of proof on question whether defendant failed to invoke, or waived, right to counsel at earlier interrogation, so as to render inapplicable Edwards rule forbidding further interrogation once right to counsel invoked (see secs. 2.61226 and 2.61326)	2-16
2.133	Requirement that invocation of right to silence be scrupulously honored	2-16
2.1331	In general	2-16
2.1332	What constitutes invocation of right to silence that must be scrupulously honored (see sec. 2.1412)	2-17
2.1333	Requirement only applicable when defendant is in custody	2-17
2.1334	No need to stop questioning when defendant makes unequivocal expression of selective invocation of right to silence with respect to specific question (see sec. 2.14124)	2-17
2.1335	Waiver of right to silence after initial invocation of right (see sec. 2.1671)	2-17
2.1336	Exceptions to requirement (see sec. 2.18)	2-17

2.1337	Requirement only applicable when invocation of right to silence made during custodial interrogation; invocation of right to silence before defendant is in custody does not trigger requirement (see secs. 2.1112 and 2.14126)	2-17
2.1338	Decision suggesting that interrogation can be recommenced after invocation of right to silence only if defendant "initiates further communication"	2-18
2.134	Other duties	2-18
2.1341	No need to inform inquiring attorney about plans concerning suspect	2-18
2.1342	Need to inform suspect of attorney's availability or efforts to reach him	2-18
2.13421	When suspect has not requested or summoned attorney: in general	2-18
2.13422	When suspect has not requested or summoned attorney: in case of juvenile	2-18
2.13423	When suspect has summoned attorney (see sec. 2.1695)	2-18
2.1343	No need to cease interrogation upon request for person other than attorney	2-18
2.1344	No need to correct misapprehension as to law	2-18
2.1345	No need to readminister warnings before subsequent interrogation once given at earlier interrogation	2-18
2.13451	In general	2-18
2.13452	In case of juvenile	2-18
2.13453	This rule may be qualified by requirement that record demonstrate that defendant recalled and understood warnings administered at earlier interrogation	2-19
2.1346	No need to ask suspect whether he has attorney	2-19
2.1347	No need to inform suspect of subject of interrogation	2-19
2.1348	No need to inform suspect of all information "useful" in making decision whether to talk	2-19
2.1349	No need to ask clarifying questions or to further explain rights when defendant makes equivocal request for counsel	2-19
2.135	Other duties (cont'd)	2-19
2.1351	No need to cease interrogation when defendant refuses to give written statement without attorney, but states willingness to give oral statement	2-19
2.1352	No need to refrain from seeking consent to search because defendant invoked right to silence	2-19
2.1353	No need to comply with attorney's request that defendant not be interrogated except in his presence absent a request for counsel by defendant	2-19
2.13531	In general	2-19
2.13532	In case of juvenile	2-19
2.1354	No need to allow attorney access to suspect who has not requested his representation	2-19
2.1355	No need to stop questioning because defendant raises question about extent of rights	2-19
2.1356	Officer's duty when juvenile requests parent	2-19

2.1357	Duty to honor defendant's request to stop questioning at any time	2-20
2.1358	No need to stop questioning when defendant makes unequivocal expression of selective invocation of right to silence with respect to specific question (see sec. 2.14124)	2-20
2.1359	Duties with respect to non-English speaking suspect	2-20
2.14	Invocation Of Miranda Rights	2-20
2.141	What constitutes invocation of rights	2-20
2.1411	Right to counsel	2-20
2.14111	In general	2-20
2.14112	Invocation of right to counsel must be unambiguous	2-21
2.14113	Requirement that request be unambiguous applies under state constitution as well as federal constitution	2-21
2.14114	Accused's direction to third party to call attorney for him	2-21
2.14115	Simply retaining counsel is not unequivocal invocation of right to counsel	2-21
2.14116	Right must be personally invoked by defendant	2-21
2.14117	Suspect's telling police that, if they administer Miranda warnings, he will invoke right to counsel is not unambiguous invocation of right to counsel	2-21
2.14118	To qualify as invocation of Miranda right to counsel, invocation must have occurred while defendant was in custody	2-21
2.14119	Timing of invocation vis-à-vis interrogation: when request for counsel must be made in relation to interrogation to constitute effective invocation of right to counsel	2-22
2.1412	Right to counsel (cont'd)	2-22
2.14121	Defendant must invoke right to counsel when he is approached for interrogation or during interrogation in order for invocation to trigger prohibition on police-initiated interrogation	2-22
2.1413	Right to silence	2-22
2.14131	In general	2-22
2.14132	Invocation of right to silence must be unambiguous	2-22
2.14133	Suspect's silence, standing alone, is insufficient to unambiguously invoke right to remain silent	2-22
2.14134	Unequivocal expression of selective refusal to answer specific question does not constitute invocation of right to silence that precludes further questioning	2-22
2.14135	Suspect's declaration that he did not wish to speak to specific officer is not invocation of right to silence	2-22
2.14136	To qualify as invocation of Miranda right to silence, invocation must have occurred while defendant was in custody	2-22
2.14137	Timing of invocation vis-à-vis interrogation: when invocation of right to silence must be made in relation to interrogation to constitute effective invocation of right to silence	2-23

	<i>Page</i>
2.142 Invocation of rights after initial waiver	2-23
2.143 Only defendant can invoke Miranda rights; third party cannot invoke rights on defendant's behalf	2-23
2.144 Criminal defendant who is not in custody cannot anticipatorily invoke Miranda rights (see secs. 2.1112, 2.14118, and 2.14126)	2-23
2.15 Renewal Of Interrogation After Invocation Of Rights	2-23
2.151 After invocation of right to counsel (Edwards rule) (see secs. 2.1321 and 2.1672)	2-23
2.152 After invocation of right to silence (see secs. 2.1331 and 2.1671)	2-23
2.16 Waiver Of Miranda Rights	2-23
2.161 In general	2-23
2.162 Burden and standard of proof (see secs. 2.61225 and 2.61323)	2-24
2.163 Requirement that waiver be voluntary	2-24
2.1631 In general	2-24
2.1632 Need for police coercion to show involuntariness	2-24
2.1633 Effect of officer's telling defendant that officer could not obtain lawyer for defendant and that lawyer would be provided later when charges filed (see sec. 2.13294)	2-24
2.1634 Effect of police misstatements on voluntariness of waiver	2-24
2.164 Requirement that waiver be knowingly and intelligently made	2-24
2.1641 In general	2-24
2.1642 Effect of intoxication on knowing and intelligent nature of waiver	2-25
2.1643 Admission of evidence of preliminary breath test results to demonstrate that waiver not knowingly and intelligently made	2-25
2.1644 Effect of officer's telling defendant that officer could not obtain lawyer for defendant and that lawyer would be provided later when charges filed (see sec. 2.13294)	2-25
2.1645 When defense counsel, who is present at interrogation, instructs officer not to read Miranda warnings and tells defendant that if Miranda warnings are not given, whatever he says cannot be used in court, there is no knowing and intelligent waiver of right to remain silent	2-25
2.165 No need for express waiver	2-25
2.166 Waiver after retainer or appointment of counsel	2-25
2.167 Waiver after initial invocation of rights	2-25
2.1671 After invocation of right to silence	2-25
2.1672 After invocation of right to counsel	2-25
2.16721 In general	2-25
2.16722 Effect of officer's telling defendant that officer could not obtain lawyer for defendant and that lawyer would be provided later when charges filed (see sec. 2.13294)	2-26
2.168 Waiver by particular persons	2-26
2.1681 By juvenile	2-26
2.1682 By non-English speaking suspect	2-26
2.1683 By person who is deaf	2-26
2.169 Other matters	2-26
2.1691 Effect of misrepresentation to defendant on validity of waiver	2-26
2.1692 Suspect need not be informed of subject of interrogation in order for waiver to be valid (see sec. 2.1347)	2-26

2.1693	Suspect need not be informed of all information "useful" in making waiver decision for that decision to be valid (see sec. 2.1348)	2-26
2.1694	Waiver of Miranda rights not vitiated by law enforcement officer's failure to inform defendant of attorney's availability or efforts to reach him (see sec. 2.1342)	2-27
2.1695	Waiver of right to counsel vitiated by police failure to inform defendant that attorney he has summoned is present and attempting to see him	2-27
2.1696	Sufficiency and validity in particular situations	2-27
	2.16961 Post-polygraph test interview	2-27
	2.16962 Other situations	2-27
2.1697	Effect of various factors on validity of waiver	2-27
	2.16971 Mistake of law	2-27
	2.16972 Intoxication	2-27
	2.16973 Medical treatment	2-27
2.1698	Use of private citizen to obtain waiver of rights	2-27
2.1699	Other matters	2-27
	2.16991 Refusal to sign waiver form does not preclude finding of waiver	2-27
	2.16992 Suspect must personally waive Miranda rights	2-27
	2.16993 When defense counsel, who is present at interrogation, instructs officer not to read Miranda warnings and tells defendant that if Miranda warnings are not given, whatever he says cannot be used in court, there is no knowing and intelligent waiver of right to remain silent (see sec. 2.1645)	2-27
	2.16994 Relationship between waiver of sixth amendment right to counsel and waiver of fifth amendment right to counsel (see sec. 2.337)	2-28
	2.16995 Waiver of Miranda rights not vitiated by law enforcement officer's deliberate deception of attorney in stating that questioning would not occur until he arrived	2-28
	2.16996 Waiver of Miranda rights not vitiated by law enforcement officer's failure to inform defendant that person other than counsel (e.g., family member) is waiting outside and available	2-28
	2.16997 Waiver of Miranda rights not vitiated by law enforcement officer's refusal to honor defendant's request for presence of person other than counsel (e.g., family member)	2-28
	2.16998 Holding defendant incommunicado (i.e., preventing others from contacting defendant) does not vitiate waiver of Miranda rights	2-28
	2.16999 Denial of access to attorney for brief period during which defendant is not being interrogated, followed by period during which defendant allowed access to attorney, does not necessarily vitiate waiver of Miranda rights made at subsequent interrogation	2-28

	<i>Page</i>
2.17 Use Of Statements Obtained In Violation Of Miranda	2-28
2.171 In general	2-28
2.172 To impeach testifying defendant	2-28
2.173 To impeach defense witness	2-29
2.174 To impeach prosecution witness	2-29
2.175 Statements obtained in violation of Edwards rule	2-29
2.176 Use in proceedings other than criminal trial (see sec. 2.194)	2-29
2.18 Exceptions To Miranda Requirements	2-29
2.181 Public safety exception	2-29
2.182 Private safety (i.e., rescue doctrine or emergency) exception	2-29
2.183 Routine booking question exception	2-29
2.1831 In general	2-29
2.1832 Exception recognized in Wisconsin	2-30
2.1833 Does not extend to questions regarding name and address posed at time of arrest	2-30
2.1834 In drug prosecution, this exception may apply to question regarding address even though address tends to prove possession of drugs found at address	2-30
2.184 Police safety exception	2-30
2.19 Other Matters	2-30
2.191 Harmless error	2-30
2.1911 Applicability of harmless-error rule to Miranda violations	2-30
2.19111 In general	2-30
2.19112 Violation of Edwards rule	2-30
2.1912 Test of harmless error to be applied	2-30
2.1913 Bases for finding error harmless	2-30
2.19131 Basing harmless error finding on defendant's trial testimony which revealed what inadmissible statement disclosed	2-30
2.19132 Basing harmless error finding on fact defendant gave other admissible statements disclosing same information as that contained in inadmissible statement	2-30
2.19133 Basing harmless error finding on strength of other evidence implicating defendant	2-31
2.1914 Application of harmless error rule when defendant has pleaded guilty or no contest, but seeks review of denial of suppression motion on appeal pursuant to § 971.31(10) (see sec. 7.427)	2-31
2.192 Effect of Miranda violation on matters other than statement that was product of interrogation at which violation occurred	2-31
2.1921 On arrest	2-31
2.1922 On subsequently obtained statement or evidence (fruit of poisonous tree)	2-31
2.19221 In general	2-31
2.19222 On subsequently obtained statement	2-31
2.19223 On subsequently obtained physical evidence in general	2-31
2.19224 On physical evidence (e.g., weapon used in commission of crime) whose location was revealed by defendant in statement obtained in violation of Miranda	2-32
2.19225 When Edwards rule violated	2-32

2.1923	On trial testimony of defendant when defendant testifies after admission of statement taken in violation of Miranda	2-32
2.193	Use of private citizen to obtain waiver of rights or confession	2-32
2.1931	In general	2-32
2.1932	Reinitiation of interrogation by private citizen after defendant requests attorney (see sec. 2.1328)	2-32
2.194	Application of Miranda and its exclusionary rule in proceedings other than criminal trial	2-32
2.1941	In general	2-32
2.1942	In noncriminal proceedings in general	2-32
2.1943	CHIPS proceeding	2-32
2.1944	Probation or parole revocation proceeding	2-32
2.1945	Sexual predator proceeding	2-33
2.195	Waiver of objection to Miranda violation (see sec. 2.63)	2-33
2.196	Miranda and the state constitution	2-33
2.1961	Adoption of Miranda under state constitution	2-33
2.1962	Extending greater protection for Miranda rights and on Miranda-related matters under state constitution	2-33
2.19621	In general	2-33
2.19622	With respect to need to stop interrogation upon ambiguous request for counsel (see sec. 2.14112)	2-33
2.19623	With respect to scope of exclusionary rule applied to Miranda violations	2-33
2.197	Other matters	2-33
2.1971	Police deception of attorney attempting to contact defendant regarding plans concerning defendant does not require suppression of defendant's statement under Miranda	2-33
2.1972	Miranda is not violated by failure to provide warnings; violation occurs, if at all, only upon admission of unwarned statement into evidence at trial	2-33
2.1973	Right to silence has two components: (1) right, prior to questioning, to remain silent; (2) right, after questioning commences, to cut off further questioning	2-33
2.1974	Purpose of Miranda warnings	2-33
2.198	Other matters (cont'd)	2-33
2.1981	Nature of Miranda rights (are they constitutionally required?)	2-33
2.1982	Commenting on, and introducing evidence of, defendant's invocation of Miranda rights (see secs. 8.42122, 8.42124, 8.4222, 20.6123, 20.6125, and 20.621)	2-34
2.1983	Miranda requirements with respect to non-English speaking suspect	2-34
2.1984	"In custody" determination for Miranda purposes is not question of fact warranting presumption of correctness in federal habeas corpus proceeding (see sec. 10.6441)	2-34
2.1985	Appellate review of Miranda issues (see sec. 2.652)	2-34
2.1986	When statement taken in violation of Miranda constitutes prejudicial error	2-34
2.1987	Miranda rights are commonly known	2-34
2.1988	Burden and standard of proof on Miranda issues (see secs. 2.6122 and 2.6132)	2-34

2.1989	Propriety of practice of giving no Miranda warnings until interrogation has produced incriminating statement inadmissible under Miranda and then following obtaining of that statement with Miranda warnings and securing of second statement covering same ground; second statement is inadmissible	2-34
2.199	Other matters (cont'd)	2-35
2.1991	In assessing whether Miranda violation occurred, one officer's knowledge is imputed as a matter of law to the entire police force	2-35
2.1992	Relationship of Miranda right to counsel and sixth amendment right to counsel (see sec. 2.353)	2-35
2.1993	Propriety of considering Miranda issue in federal habeas corpus proceeding	2-35
2.1994	Relationship between federal and state requirements with respect to giving of warnings and securing waiver of rights (see sec. 2.665)	2-35
2.1995	Granting same or greater protection from compelled self-incrimination in interrogation context under state constitution	2-35
2.1996	Affording greater protection on Miranda-related matters under state constitution (see sec. 2.19621)	2-35
2.1997	Federal statute attempting to overrule Miranda and to replace its test for admissibility with a test that turns solely on question of voluntariness is unconstitutional	2-35
2.1998	Good faith exception to Miranda's requirements	2-35
2.19981	It is not proper role of court of appeals to create good faith exception to Miranda's requirements	2-35
2.2	Requirement Of Voluntariness	2-35
2.21	General Principles Relating To Requirement Of Voluntariness	2-35
2.211	Existence of requirement	2-35
2.212	Source of requirement	2-35
2.213	Purposes of requirement	2-36
2.22	Test For Determining Voluntariness	2-36
2.221	In general	2-36
2.222	Requires balancing defendant's personal characteristics against police pressures and stratagems	2-37
2.2221	In general	2-37
2.2222	Under the balancing test, pressures that are not normally coercive may be coercive if defendant's mental or physical condition renders him or her uncommonly susceptible to police pressures	2-38
2.2223	Under balancing test, the more sophisticated and less vulnerable suspect is, the more likely it is that statements were voluntary	2-38
2.223	Must consider totality of circumstances	2-38
2.224	Need to show police coercion to trigger balancing test and to support finding of involuntariness	2-39
2.2241	In general	2-39
2.2242	Police pressures that are not normally coercive may be coercive if defendant's mental or physical condition renders him or her uncommonly susceptible to police pressures (see sec. 2.2222)	2-40

2.2243	Coercive activity by third party, acting on his or her own without police or other governmental involvement, will not render confession inadmissible (see sec. 2.2251)	2-40
2.225	Effect of coercive activity by private party	2-40
2.2251	When private party acting on own, without police or other governmental involvement, confession is admissible	2-40
2.2252	When private party acting as police agent, confession inadmissible	2-40
2.226	Confession obtained by private party	2-40
2.2261	Effect of coercive activity by private party (see sec. 2.225)	2-40
2.2262	Coercive activity by police does not render confession inadmissible	2-40
2.227	Ignorance of full consequences of decision to speak does not vitiate voluntariness	2-40
2.228	Burden and standard of proof	2-40
2.2281	In general (see secs. 2.6123 and 2.6133)	2-40
2.2282	State needs to meet burden of proof only with respect to ultimate issue of voluntariness, not with respect to credibility of particular witness's testimony	2-41
2.229	Other matters	2-41
2.2291	Fact that confession is product of nonprosecution agreement later repudiated by state because of defendant's material breach of it does not render confession involuntary	2-41
2.2292	Truth or falsity of statement is irrelevant to question of voluntariness	2-41
2.22921	In general	2-41
2.22922	Decision noting, without apparent disapproval, that in resolving voluntariness issue trial court considered that defendant's statement contained detail of crime that uninvolved person would not have known	2-41
2.2293	Involuntary confessions are suppressed regardless of truthfulness	2-41
2.2294	Defendant's subjective belief that coercive promise was made cannot be dispositive	2-41
2.2295	Facts about which defendant has no knowledge cannot be coercive	2-41
2.2296	Refusal to allow writing or recording of statement does not preclude finding of voluntariness	2-41
2.2297	Test for determining admissibility of witness's statement that defendant contends was coerced	2-41
2.22971	Test is same as that applied in determining voluntariness and admissibility of defendant's statement (court of appeals' holding)	2-41
2.22972	Test for determining admissibility of witness's statement requires greater showing before suppression is mandated (supreme court's holding, rejecting court of appeals' holding) (see sec. 22.457)	2-41
2.2298	Voluntariness of juvenile's confession	2-42
2.22981	"Special caution" must be exercised in assessing voluntariness of juvenile confession	2-42

2.22982	Effect of failure to honor, i.e., denial of , juvenile's request to call parent (see sec. 2.24983)	2-42
2.22983	Need to give juvenile opportunity to consult with parent or interested adult (see sec. 2.545)	2-42
2.22984	Requirement that statements of juvenile be electronically recorded as prerequisite to admissibility (see sec. 2.667)	2-42
2.2299	Other matters	2-42
2.22991	Police pressures that are not normally coercive may be coercive if defendant's mental or physical condition renders him or her uncommonly susceptible to police pressures (see sec. 2.2222)	2-42
2.22992	Coercion need not be physical or brutal in nature; psychological compulsion may render statement involuntary	2-42
2.23	Personal Characteristics Of Defendant	2-42
2.231	In general	2-42
2.232	Education, intelligence and understanding	2-43
2.233	Emotional state (e.g., mental stress)	2-43
2.234	Mental disease or defect	2-43
2.235	Knowledge of rights	2-43
2.236	Prior experience with police	2-43
2.237	Under influence of intoxicants or drugs	2-43
2.238	Physical pain, injury, distress, or other defect	2-44
2.239	Other characteristics	2-44
2.2391	Juvenile's lack of knowledge that statement might be used against him in adult court	2-44
2.2392	Ignorance of full consequences of decision to speak	2-44
2.2393	Age; youth; maturity	2-44
2.2394	Fact that defendant is deaf	2-44
2.2395	Prior conviction	2-44
2.2396	Child of police officer	2-44
2.24	Pressures Brought To Bear And Stratagems Used By Police	2-44
2.241	In general	2-44
2.2411	Pressures and stratagems to be considered in general	2-44
2.2412	Coercive police activity is necessary predicate to finding of involuntariness (see sec. 2.224)	2-45
2.2413	Coercion need not be physical or brutal in nature; psychological compulsion may render statement involuntary (see sec. 2.22992)	2-45
2.242	Confrontation with incriminating evidence	2-45
2.243	Promises	2-45
2.2431	In general	2-45
2.2432	Particular promises	2-45
2.24321	Promise by police that statements would not be used against defendant in court	2-45
2.24322	Promise to consolidate all charges into single count	2-45
2.24323	Promise to consolidate all charges in single county	2-45
2.24324	Promise that defendant would be allowed to go home to be with children if she gave statement	2-45

2.24325	Promise that police interrogator would not tell anyone about the statement	2-45
2.2433	Defendant's subjective belief that coercive promise was made cannot be dispositive (see sec. 2.2294)	2-45
2.244	Statement that cooperation would be beneficial	2-45
2.2441	In general	2-45
2.2442	Telling defendant that prosecutor would look at case differently if he persisted in denials	2-46
2.245	Offer to obtain help	2-46
2.246	Use of deceit or misrepresentation	2-46
2.2461	In general	2-46
2.2462	Police agent's misrepresentation of who he is and why he is seeking confession	2-46
2.2463	Misrepresentation of nature or strength of evidence against accused	2-46
2.24631	In general	2-46
2.24632	Does not in itself render statement involuntary	2-46
2.24633	Triggers application of totality of circumstances test to determine whether statement involuntary	2-46
2.2464	Promise that statements would not be used against defendant in court (see sec. 2.24321)	2-46
2.2465	Police agent's misrepresentation of purpose of, or reason for, questioning	2-46
2.2466	Police agent's portrayal of himself as defendant's ally	2-46
2.2467	Law enforcement officer's misrepresentation of statement made by defendant's daughter regarding defendant's interaction with child-victim in child-homicide case	2-46
2.2468	Other deceit	2-46
2.247	Threats and violence	2-47
2.2471	In general	2-47
2.2472	Physical violence	2-47
2.2473	Threat of revocation of probation unless probationer complies with condition that he account for whereabouts and activities	2-47
2.2474	Threat to cut off state aid (welfare payments)	2-47
2.2475	Threat to take children	2-47
2.2476	Threat to government employee (e.g., police officer) that, if he or she did not give statement, he or she would lose job	2-47
2.24761	In general (see sec. 2.5471)	2-47
2.24762	When statement is false and is basis for prosecution for crimes such as perjury or obstruction of justice (see sec. 2.5472)	2-47
2.248	Other pressures and stratagems	2-47
2.2481	Telling defendant he should think about consequences of obstructing investigation	2-47
2.2482	Telling defendant that if he did not cooperate, district attorney would look at case differently	2-47
2.2483	Suggestion that if defendant did not cooperate and victims were forced to testify, victims would suffer trauma	2-47
2.2484	Use of private person (e.g., defendant's mother) to obtain confession	2-47

2.2485	Pressing interrogation in spite of defendant's repeated requests to stop	2-48
2.2486	Failure to inform defendant of Miranda rights	2-48
2.2487	Failure to honor defendant's invocation of rights	2-48
2.2488	Psychological pressures and inducements	2-48
2.24881	In general	2-48
2.24882	References to foster mother, who was also taken into custody	2-48
2.2489	Conducting interrogation prison setting	2-48
2.249	Other pressures and stratagems (cont'd)	2-48
2.2491	Description of range of possible charges and penalties	2-48
2.2492	Refusal to allow defendant to go to hospital until statement made	2-48
2.2493	Display of photos of victim to defendant	2-48
2.2494	Appeal to religious convictions	2-48
2.2495	Failure to advise suspect of immediate availability of attorney	2-48
2.2496	Holding defendant incommunicado	2-48
2.24961	In general	2-48
2.24962	Conditioning outside contact upon accession to police demands	2-48
2.24963	Denial of access to attorney (see secs. 2.24972 and 2.24973)	2-49
2.2497	Other pressures and stratagems	2-49
2.24971	Prosecutor's agreement not to prosecute, which is repudiated by prosecutor when defendant materially breaches agreement	2-49
2.24972	Denial of access to attorney: in general	2-49
2.24973	Denial of access to attorney: when denial is for brief period during which defendant is not being interrogated, followed by period during which defendant allowed access to attorney, which defendant declines to exercise, before interrogation starts	2-49
2.2498	Other pressures and stratagems (cont'd)	2-49
2.24981	Compelling testimony in judicial proceeding under threat of contempt	2-49
2.24982	Elapse of time between arrest and confession	2-49
2.24983	Failure to honor, i.e., denial of, juvenile's request to call parent	2-49
2.24984	Use of loud and/or confrontational tone in questioning	2-49
2.24985	Placement in holding cell	2-49
2.24986	Administration of polygraph test or voice stress analysis before taking of statement	2-49
2.24987	Failure to inform defendant that person other than counsel (e.g., family member) is waiting outside and available	2-49
2.24988	Refusal to honor defendant's request for presence of person other than counsel (e.g., family member)	2-49
2.24989	Failure to ask clarifying questions or to further explain rights when defendant makes equivocal request for counsel	2-50

	<i>Page</i>
2.2499 Other pressures or stratagems (cont'd)	2-50
2.24991 Length of interrogation and/or custody	2-50
2.24992 "Good cop/bad cop" stratagem	2-50
2.24993 Fact that defendant has already given statement taken in violation of Miranda (see sec. 2.1922)	2-50
2.24994 Misstatement regarding ability to obtain counsel for defendant	2-50
2.24995 Failure to provide defendant with requested cigarette	2-50
2.24996 Use of physical restraints (e.g., handcuffing)	2-50
2.24997 Handcuffing defendant to ring on wall during breaks in interrogation	2-50
2.24998 Deprivation of sleep	2-50
2.24999 Use of "relay team" questioning	2-50
2.25 Determining Whether Statements Were Voluntary In Particular Situations	2-50
2.26 Use Of Involuntary Statements	2-51
2.261 In general	2-51
2.262 Statements made to probation officer in compliance with requirement that probationer account for whereabouts and activities	2-52
2.263 Indirect use of statement to frame question for witness	2-52
2.264 With respect to use of defendant's involuntary statements, rights conferred by due process clause and Fifth Amendment are coextensive (see sec. 2.28993)	2-52
2.265 Cannot be used to impeach defendant's inconsistent testimony at trial	2-52
2.27 Harmless error	2-52
2.271 Applicability of harmless-error rule	2-52
2.2711 In general	2-52
2.2712 Related matters	2-52
2.27121 Applicability of harmless-error rule to errors occurring at hearing on motion to suppress defendant's statement (see sec. 2.6294)	2-52
2.272 Bases for finding error harmless	2-53
2.2721 Basing harmless error finding on defendant's trial testimony which revealed what confession disclosed	2-53
2.28 Other Matters	2-53
2.281 Effect of involuntariness	2-53
2.2811 On subsequently obtained statement or evidence (fruit of the poisonous tree)	2-53
2.28111 In general	2-53
2.28112 On subsequently obtained statement	2-53
2.28113 On subsequently obtained physical evidence	2-53
2.2812 On trial testimony of defendant when defendant testifies after admission of involuntary statement	2-53
2.282 Waiver of objection on grounds of involuntariness (see sec. 2.63)	2-53
2.283 Reason for excluding involuntary statement	2-53
2.284 Respective functions of court and jury regarding voluntariness of statement	2-53
2.285 Use of private citizen to obtain confession (see secs. 2.225 and 2.226)	2-53
2.286 Facts about which defendant has no knowledge cannot be coercive	2-54
2.287 Appellate review of question of voluntariness (see sec. 2.653)	2-54

2.288	Granting same or greater protection from compelled self-incrimination in interrogation context under state constitution	2-54
2.289	Determination of voluntariness is mixed question of fact and law to which presumption of correctness is not applicable in federal habeas corpus proceeding (see sec. 10.6442)	2-54
2.29	Other Matters (cont'd)	2-54
2.291	Involuntary statement of witness may be inadmissible (see sec. 22.457)	2-54
2.292	Burden and standard of proof on question of voluntariness (see secs. 2.6123 and 2.6133)	2-54
2.293	Does coercive interrogation violate privilege against self-incrimination if statement that is coerced is never used as evidence against person from whom it has been elicited?	2-54
2.294	Requirement that there be a full and reliable determination of voluntariness	2-54
2.295	Other factors in addition to personal characteristics of defendant and pressures brought to bear and stratagems used by police	2-54
2.2951	Inconsistencies between statement given by defendant and those of other witnesses to crime	2-54
2.296	With respect to use of defendant's involuntary statements, rights conferred by due process clause and Fifth Amendment are coextensive (see sec. 22.8993)	2-54
2.297	State's right to call defendant to testify at hearing held on his claim of involuntariness (see sec. 2.6242)	2-54
2.298	When law enforcement officers have adhered to dictates of Miranda, defendant will rarely be able to succeed on claim that statement was involuntary	2-54
2.3	Sixth Amendment Right To Counsel	2-54
2.31	When Counsel Required	2-54
2.311	In general	2-54
2.312	Right to counsel is triggered whenever police attempt to deliberately elicit incriminating statements from defendant after initiation of judicial proceedings	2-55
2.3121	In general	2-55
2.3122	"Interrogation," in Fifth Amendment sense, not necessarily required	2-55
2.313	What defendant must do to trigger application of right to counsel in interrogation context so as to preclude initiation or continuation of interrogation	2-55
2.3131	In general	2-55
2.3132	Appointment of counsel, or request for counsel, at initial appearance or other preliminary proceeding does not trigger right to counsel's presence at subsequent interrogation by police, in absence of which police may not initiate or continue interrogation	2-56
2.3133	Defendant must invoke right to counsel when he is approached for interrogation or during interrogation in order to preclude initiation or continuation of interrogation	2-56
2.314	When statement elicited by undercover government agent	2-56
2.3141	When statement elicited after charge is filed	2-56

	<i>Page</i>
2.3142	When statement elicited before charges filed 2-56
2.315	When statement heard by undercover government agent who did not deliberately elicit it 2-56
2.316	Attachment of right to counsel on one charge does not give rise to right to counsel on other, uncharged offense; Sixth Amendment right to counsel is offense-specific 2-56
2.3161	General rule: right to counsel is offense-specific; applies only to charged offense 2-56
2.3162	Is there exception to general rule where other, uncharged offense is "closely related" to offense on which right to counsel has attached? 2-57
2.31621	No (United States Supreme Court's answer) 2-57
2.31622	Yes (Wisconsin Court of Appeals' answer) 2-57
2.3163	Determination of whether offense has been charged in this context is to be determined by applying Blockburger test 2-57
2.317	State's agreement not to talk to defendant in attorney's absence does not give rise to right to counsel 2-57
2.318	Right attaches at post-indictment interview with law enforcement authorities 2-57
2.3181	In general 2-57
2.3182	Right attaches even though interview occurs in non-custodial setting 2-57
2.319	Other principles and situations 2-57
2.3191	Attachment of right does not depend on whether defendant is in custody or not 2-57
2.32	Prohibition On Police-Initiated Interrogation After Defendant Invokes, Or Otherwise Exercises, Right To Counsel (Edwards-Jackson Rule) 2-57
2.321	In general 2-57
2.322	Prohibition does not extend to interrogation about other, uncharged offense 2-58
2.323	To determine who initiated interrogation, must look to particular communication at hand, not who was the initiator of all the exchanges that took place between the defendant and the police 2-58
2.324	What constitutes invocation or exercise of right to counsel that will trigger prohibition on police-initiated interrogation 2-58
2.3241	In general 2-58
2.3242	Appointment of counsel, or request for counsel, at initial appearance or other preliminary proceeding does not trigger prohibition on police-initiated interrogation (Montejo holding, overruling Jackson) 2-58
2.32421	In general 2-58
2.32422	Overruling of Jackson overrules Wisconsin Supreme Court decision in Dagnall 2-59
2.32423	Overruling of Jackson applies under state constitution 2-59
2.3243	Defendant must invoke right to counsel when he is approached for interrogation or during interrogation in order for invocation to trigger prohibition on police-initiated interrogation 2-59
2.325	In determining whether defendant invoked or exercised right to counsel, one state actor's knowledge is imputed to another 2-59
2.326	Michigan v. Jackson overruled 2-59

	<i>Page</i>
2.3261 In general	2-59
2.3262 Edwards rule survives overruling of Michigan v. Jackson and prohibits police-initiated interrogation after invocation of right to counsel when invocation of right to counsel made in interrogation context	2-59
2.3263 Overruling of Jackson overrules Wisconsin Supreme Court decision in Dagnall (see sec. 2.32422)	2-59
2.3264 Overruling of Jackson applies under state constitution (see sec. 2.32423)	2-59
2.33 Waiver Of Right To Counsel	2-59
2.331 In general	2-59
2.332 After request for counsel (see sec. 2.32)	2-59
2.333 After appointment or retainer of counsel	2-59
2.334 Sufficiency of administration of Miranda rights and securing of waiver of them to establish waiver of Sixth Amendment right to counsel	2-60
2.335 No need to inform defendant that charges have been filed in order to secure valid waiver of Sixth Amendment right to counsel	2-60
2.336 Sufficiency and validity in particular situations	2-60
2.337 Relationship between waiver of sixth amendment right to counsel and waiver of fifth amendment right to counsel	2-60
2.338 Waiver must be voluntary, knowing, and intelligent	2-60
2.3381 In general	2-60
2.3382 Waiver must be voluntary	2-60
2.3383 In determining whether waiver was knowing and voluntary, there is no reason to distinguish unrepresented defendant from represented one	2-60
2.339 Other matters	2-60
2.3391 Waiver need not itself be counseled to be effective, whether or not defendant is already represented by counsel	2-60
2.34 Use Of Statements Obtained In Violation Of Sixth Amendment	2-60
2.341 In general	2-60
2.342 Statements obtained in violation of prophylactic rule proscribing police-initiated interrogation once defendant has invoked right to counsel	2-60
2.343 Statements obtained in violation of defendant's right to counsel are admissible to impeach defendant's inconsistent testimony at trial	2-61
2.35 Other Matters	2-61
2.351 Effect on subsequently obtained statement or evidence when earlier statement taken in violation of right to counsel(fruit of poisonous tree)	2-61
2.3511 In general	2-61
2.3512 On subsequently obtained statement	2-61
2.3513 On trial testimony of defendant when defendant testifies after admission of statement taken in violation of right to counsel	2-61
2.3514 On subsequently obtained physical evidence	2-61
2.35141 In general	2-61
2.35142 When evidence would have been inevitably discovered	2-61
2.352 After request for, or any other exercise of right to, counsel, any waiver of right to counsel at police-initiated interrogation is invalid (see sec. 2.32)	2-61
2.353 Relationship of Miranda right to counsel and sixth amendment right to counsel	2-61

2.354	Deliberate delay in charging to avoid attachment of sixth amendment right to counsel	2-61
2.355	Harmless error	2-61
2.3551	Applicability of harmless error rule to admission of statement obtained in violation of sixth amendment right to counsel	2-61
2.3552	When defendant testifies in own defense and repeats inculpatory statement obtained in violation of right to counsel	2-61
2.3553	Determining whether error harmless in particular situations	2-62
2.356	Remedy for denial of right to counsel in connection with obtaining of statement, other than suppression of statement (e.g., dismissal or grant of new trial)	2-62
2.357	When statement impermissibly taken in counsel's absence, violation of right to counsel occurs at time of taking of statement, not at time of admission of statement at trial	2-62
2.358	Protection of right to counsel in interrogation context under state constitution	2-62
2.3581	In general	2-62
2.3582	Extending greater protection of right to counsel under state constitution than that afforded under federal constitution	2-62
2.4	Requirement Of Prompt Appearance	2-62
2.41	Nature Of Requirement	2-62
2.42	Application In Particular Situations	2-62
2.421	In general	2-62
2.422	When defendant lawfully in custody on another charge	2-62
2.423	When defendant arrested for probation violation	2-62
2.43	Effect Of Violation Of Requirement	2-62
2.44	Other And Related Matters	2-63
2.441	Suppression of statement, in federal court, for failure to comply with prompt presentation requirement of federal rules or statutes (McNabb-Mallory rule) (see sec. 2.549)	2-63
2.5	Grounds For Suppression Of Defendant's Statement	2-63
2.51	Grounds Covered Above	2-63
2.511	Noncompliance with Miranda (see sec. 2.1)	2-63
2.512	Involuntariness (see sec. 2.2)	2-63
2.513	Denial of sixth amendment right to counsel (see sec. 2.3)	2-63
2.514	Unreasonably long detention (see sec. 2.4)	2-63
2.52	Other Grounds	2-63
2.521	Statement was product of earlier statement obtained in unconstitutional manner (see sec. 2.64)	2-63
2.522	Statement was product of grant of immunity	2-63
2.523	Statement was product of an illegal arrest or other detention or an illegal search (i.e., statement was product of fourth amendment violation) (see secs. 1.8322 and 1.8334)	2-63
2.524	Statement was associated with inadmissible polygraph examination (see sec. 18.34)	2-63
2.525	Statement was made without counsel's presence at interrogation during juvenile detention (see sec. 42.224)	2-63

2.526	Statement was part of testimony offered by defendant in prior trial to meet state's introduction of inadmissible confession	2-63
2.527	Statement was made by juvenile who was not told that confession might be used in adult court after waiver of juvenile court jurisdiction	2-63
2.528	Statement was made in connection with guilty or no contest plea (see sec. 13.353)	2-63
2.529	Statement was offer to plead guilty (see sec. 13.351)	2-63
2.53	Other Grounds (cont'd)	2-63
2.531	Statement was made without compliance with Wis. Stat. § 967.06, requiring that suspect be informed of right to counsel as soon as practicable after arrest or detention	2-63
2.532	Statement was made in attorney's absence after state's agreement not to talk to defendant without attorney present	2-64
2.533	Statement was made to presentence investigator as part of presentence investigation	2-64
2.5331	When statement was made to presentence investigator as part of presentence investigation ordered by court (see sec. 9.126)	2-64
2.5332	When statement was made to presentence investigator as part of presentence investigation conducted on defendant's behalf (see sec. 9.19922)	2-64
2.534	Statement was product of outrageous governmental conduct (e.g., outrageous misrepresentation, tricks, or other methods of deception to obtain confession)	2-64
2.535	Failure to comply with requirements of jurisdiction in which statement obtained (see sec. 2.6611)	2-64
2.536	Pretextual arrest: Arrest of defendant for one crime or other reason when real reason for arrest was to interrogate him about another crime	2-64
2.537	Fact that statement lacks incriminatory or probative value does not provide grounds for suppression	2-64
2.538	Taking of statement was unreasonable extension of "investigatory" detention to obtain body samples	2-64
2.539	Statement was product of unlawful delay in making required determination of probable cause for continued detention after arrest (Gerstein requirement) (see sec. 6.3152)	2-64
2.54	Other Grounds (cont'd)	2-64
2.541	Violation of provision of Vienna Convention on Consular Relations requiring notice to arrestee, who is foreign national, of right to contact his nation's consulate (see sec. 47.87835)	2-64
2.542	Statement is so unreliable that its probative value is substantially outweighed by danger of prejudice and confusion	2-64
2.543	Statement was obtained in violation of ethical rule prohibiting attorney's communication with party who is represented by counsel	2-64
2.5431	In general	2-64
2.5432	Does violation of this ethical rule provide grounds for suppression?	2-65
2.544	Statement was obtained in violation of electronic surveillance control law ("wiretap" statute) (see sec. 5.11)	2-65
2.545	Failure to provide juvenile opportunity to consult with parent or interested individual	2-65

2.5451	Supreme court decision rejecting this as per se ground for suppressing statement	2-65
2.546	Statement was not electronically recorded	2-65
2.5461	Failure to electronically record statement given by juvenile (see sec. 2.667)	2-65
2.5462	Failure to electronically record statement given by adult	2-65
2.547	Statement was given by government employee (e.g., police officer) who reasonably believed that he or she would lose job if she did not give statement	2-65
2.5471	In general	2-65
2.5472	When statement is false and is basis for prosecution for crimes such as perjury or obstruction of justice	2-65
2.548	Statement was given under threat of discharge from public employment	2-65
2.5481	General rule: such statements are compelled and may not be used in criminal proceeding	2-65
2.5482	Applicability of general rule when statement is false and is basis for prosecution for crimes such as perjury or obstruction of justice	2-65
2.549	Failure to comply with prompt presentation requirement of federal rules or statutes (McNabb-Mallory rule)	2-65
2.5491	Nature of McNabb-Mallory rule in general	2-65
2.5492	18 U.S.C. § 3501 modified McNabb-Mallory rule to render it inapplicable to confession made within six hours of arrest; it did not entirely supplant rule	2-65
2.6	Other Matters Relating To Interrogation And Confessions	2-65
2.61	Burden And Standard Of Proof On Interrogation And Confession Issues	2-66
2.611	In general	2-66
2.612	Burden of proof	2-66
2.6121	In general	2-66
2.6122	On Miranda issues	2-66
2.61221	In general	2-66
2.61222	On question whether defendant was subjected to custodial interrogation	2-66
2.61223	On whether defendant received and understood Miranda warnings	2-66
2.61224	On whether warnings were sufficient in substance	2-66
2.61225	On question whether defendant validly waived Miranda rights. i.e., whether there was knowing and intelligent waiver of Miranda rights	2-66
2.61226	On whether defendant failed to invoke, or waived, right to counsel at earlier interrogation, so as to render inapplicable Edwards rule forbidding further interrogation once right to counsel invoked	2-67
2.6123	On voluntariness issue	2-67
2.61231	In general	2-67
2.613	Standard of proof	2-68
2.6131	In general	2-68
2.6132	On Miranda issues	2-68
2.61321	In general	2-68

2.61322	On question whether defendant was subjected to custodial interrogation	2-68
2.61323	On whether defendant received and understood Miranda warnings	2-68
2.61324	On question whether defendant validly (i.e., knowingly and intelligently) waived Miranda rights	2-68
2.61325	On question of sufficiency of Miranda warnings	2-69
2.61326	On whether defendant failed to invoke, or waived, right to counsel at earlier interrogation, so as to render inapplicable Edwards rule forbidding further interrogation once right to counsel invoked	2-69
2.6133	On voluntariness issue	2-69
2.614	State needs to meet burden of proof only with respect to ultimate issue, not with respect to credibility of particular witness's testimony	2-69
2.615	Trial court should not usurp state's burden of proof by taking over presentation of evidence to sustain burden; when it does, state will have failed to sustain burden of proof	2-70
2.616	Unsworn police report will not likely be sufficient to sustain state's burden of proof	2-70
2.617	If state establishes prima facie case of waiver of Miranda rights and voluntariness of statement, then, in absence of countervailing evidence, statement should be admitted	2-70
2.618	With respect to some issues relating to admissibility of statement, state may have burden of production and proof only if defendant timely puts state on notice that he or she is raising that issue	2-70
2.62	Hearing On Motion To Suppress Defendant's Statement	2-70
2.621	When required	2-70
2.622	Burden and standard of proof (see sec. 2.61)	2-70
2.623	Evidentiary issues	2-70
2.6231	Rules of evidence not applicable at suppression hearing	2-70
2.6232	Use of hearsay; need for live testimony	2-70
2.6233	Admission of results of preliminary blood test to show involuntariness of Miranda waiver or statement	2-70
2.6234	Truthfulness of statement is irrelevant to question of voluntariness (see sec. 2.2292)	2-70
2.6235	Scope of evidence that may be considered in resolving search and seizure issues	2-70
2.62351	General rule: fruits of search or seizure may not be considered in determining its permissibility	2-70
2.62352	Exception to general rule: when question presented is standing to attack search or seizure (see sec. 1.12219)	2-71
2.6236	Unsworn police report will not likely be sufficient to sustain state's burden of proof (see sec. 2.616)	2-71
2.6237	Determination of credibility of witnesses	2-71
2.62371	In general	2-71
2.62372	Circuit court not required to provide reasons on record for its credibility determinations (see sec. 2.6262)	2-71
2.624	Defendant's testifying at hearing	2-71
2.6241	Defendant's right to testify	2-71
2.6242	State's right to call defendant to testify	2-71

	<i>Page</i>
2.625 Use at trial of defendant's testimony at suppression hearing	2-71
2.6251 In general	2-71
2.6252 For impeachment	2-71
2.626 Requirements relating to circuit court's fact finding	2-71
2.6261 Requirement that circuit court make findings of fact on record	2-71
2.6262 Circuit court not required to provide reasons on record for its credibility determinations	2-71
2.627 Procedures at hearing when Miranda warnings given in language other than English	2-71
2.6271 In general	2-71
2.6272 Need for record of warnings when warnings administered in language other than English	2-71
2.628 Waiver of objection to asserted error at hearing	2-71
2.6281 Need for objection on specific ground: objection on ground of irrelevance sufficient to preserve claim that evidence of truthfulness of statement was irrelevant to question of voluntariness	2-72
2.629 Other matters	2-72
2.6291 Subpoenaing district attorney to testify at hearing	2-72
2.6292 No need to inquire into unsupported defense allegations	2-72
2.6293 Defendant's right to closing argument	2-72
2.6294 Applicability of harmless-error rule to errors occurring at hearing	2-72
2.6295 Requirement of full, fair, and reliable hearing	2-72
2.6296 Denial of right to full and fair hearing by trial court's usurpation of role of prosecutor in presenting evidence	2-72
2.6297 Nature of hearing in general	2-72
2.6298 Requirement that hearing be open to public and press (see secs. 30.7214 and 46.124)	2-72
2.63 Waiver Of Objection To Admission Of Defendant's Statement	2-72
2.631 By failure to move to suppress before trial	2-72
2.632 By failure to object at trial	2-72
2.633 By failure to pursue filed motion to suppress	2-72
2.634 By failing to argue with some prominence particular ground for suppression	2-72
2.635 By failure to pursue particular issue relating to admission of defendant's statement	2-72
2.636 By other action or inaction	2-72
2.64 Effect Of Illegality Of Method Of Obtaining Statement On Subsequently Obtained Statement Or Evidence (Fruit Of Poisonous Tree)	2-72
2.641 In general	2-73
2.642 On subsequently obtained consent to search and on search conducted pursuant to it	2-73
2.643 On defendant's subsequent testimony at trial	2-73
2.644 Inevitable discovery doctrine	2-73
2.645 Independent source doctrine	2-73
2.65 Appellate Review Of Interrogation And Confession Issues	2-73
2.651 Standard of review in general	2-73
2.6511 In general	2-73
2.6512 Of factual findings	2-76

	<i>Page</i>
2.6513 Of credibility determinations	2-76
2.65131 In general	2-77
2.65132 Circuit court not required to provide reasons on record for its credibility determinations	2-77
2.6514 Of application of constitutional principles to facts; whether facts resulted in constitutional violation; whether facts meet constitutional standard	2-77
2.652 Of Miranda issues	2-77
2.6521 In general	2-77
2.6522 Question whether defendant was in custody for purposes of Miranda	2-77
2.6523 Question whether Miranda warnings required	2-78
2.6524 Whether fruit of poisonous tree doctrine applies to Miranda violation	2-78
2.6525 Whether defendant was subjected to interrogation	2-78
2.6526 Whether defendant made request for counsel; whether defendant effectively invoked right to counsel	2-78
2.6527 Whether defendant invoked right to remain silent	2-78
2.6528 Whether physical evidence should be suppressed as fruit of Miranda violation	2-78
2.6529 Other Issues	2-78
2.65291 Whether defendant made valid waiver of Miranda rights; whether waiver of Miranda rights was knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently made	2-78
2.65292 Whether defendant initiated further communication with police after invocation of right to counsel	2-78
2.653 Of voluntariness issue	2-78
2.6531 In general	2-78
2.654 Of Sixth Amendment (right to counsel) issues	2-79
2.6541 In general	2-79
2.6542 Whether Sixth Amendment right to counsel applies	2-79
2.655 Matters considered	2-79
2.6551 Propriety of appellate court's consideration of evidence at trial or other proceeding in addition to that adduced at suppression hearing	2-79
2.656 Appellate court's response to inadequate fact finding in trial court	2-79
2.6561 Remand to trial court for further fact finding	2-79
2.6562 Appellate court's independent determination of facts	2-79
2.6563 Assumption that trial court determined factual issues in support of its decision	2-80
2.6564 Conclusion that trial court's findings are implicit in decision	2-80
2.657 Remand to trial court	2-80
2.6571 For fact finding (see sec. 2.6561)	2-80
2.6572 For determination of legal issue	2-80
2.65721 In general	2-80
2.65722 When trial court determined legal issue under improper burden and standard of proof	2-80
2.658 Other matters	2-80
2.6581 Conflicts in testimony resolved in favor of trial court's finding	2-80
2.6582 Review of credibility of witnesses (see sec. 2.6513)	2-80

	<i>Page</i>
2.6583	Review of denial of pretrial suppression motion after guilty or no-contest plea entered (see sec. 7.42) 2-80
2.6584	"In custody" determination for Miranda purposes is mixed question of fact and law subject to independent review 2-80
2.66	Other Matters 2-80
2.661	Determining which jurisdiction's law to apply in resolving motion to suppress statement when evidence was obtained in another jurisdiction 2-80
2.6611	Legality of means of obtaining statement is generally governed by law of jurisdiction in which statement secured 2-81
2.66111	In general 2-81
2.66112	But when statement is taken in other jurisdiction by Wisconsin official charged with duty to gather evidence for use in Wisconsin criminal prosecution, Wisconsin law applies 2-81
2.6612	Admissibility under rules of evidence (e.g., rules relating to privileges) governed by laws of forum state 2-81
2.662	Defendant not prejudiced by trial court's erroneous decision that defendant's statements were admissible in state's case-in-chief, when state does not introduce such statements in its case-in-chief 2-81
2.663	Sending confession into jury room during deliberations (see sec. 8.6222) 2-81
2.664	Abandonment of motion to suppress 2-81
2.665	Relationship between federal and state constitutional protections relating to defendant's statements 2-81
2.666	Granting same or greater protection from compelled self-incrimination in interrogation context under state constitution 2-81
2.667	Requirement that juvenile interrogation be electronically recorded 2-81
2.6671	In general 2-81
2.6672	Source of requirement: supreme court's supervisory authority 2-81
2.668	Admission at trial of evidence of intoxication to show untrustworthiness of statement 2-82
2.6681	In general 2-82
2.6682	Admission of preliminary breath test (see sec. 13.39952) 2-82
2.669	Other matters 2-82
2.6691	"In custody" determination for Miranda purposes is not question of fact warranting presumption of correctness in federal habeas corpus proceeding (see sec. 10.6441) 2-82
2.6692	Determination of voluntariness is mixed question of fact and law to which presumption of correctness is not applicable in federal habeas corpus proceeding (see sec. 10.6442) 2-82
2.6693	Reconsideration of suppression order 2-82
2.6694	Application of doctrine of collateral estoppel when motion to suppress evidence based on legal standard applied to historical facts is decided in prior action and in later action party seeks ruling on suppression of same evidence base on same historical facts and same legal standard (see sec. 12.62134) 2-82
2.6695	When trial court has suppressed statement, defendant's complaint that trial court should have suppressed statement is moot 2-82
2.67	Other Matters (cont'd) 2-82

2.671	Finding error in admitting defendant's statement harmless because defendant testified at trial and repeated what statement disclosed	2-82
2.6711	In general	2-82
2.6712	Two-part test for determining whether error harmless: trial court must determine whether defendant would have (1) testified even if statement had not been admitted and (2) repeated incriminating portions of statement	2-82
2.6713	Burden and standard of proof on issue	2-82
2.6714	Nature and scope of hearing on issue	2-83
2.67141	Is not evidentiary hearing, but rather paper review of entire record already created	2-83
2.67142	Trial court may not rely on personal observations of events not contained in record	2-83
2.6715	Finding error harmless in particular situations	2-83
2.672	Requirement of recording of custodial interrogation and admission of statement in absence of recording (Wis. Stat. §§ 968.072 and 972.115)	2-83
2.6721	In general	2-83
2.6722	Effective date of requirement	2-83
2.6723	Relevancy of non-recording of interrogation in cases in which interrogation occurred before effective date of requirement of recording	2-83

Chapter 3
Identification Procedures

3.1	Requirement of Due Process	3-1
3.11	Two-Pronged Test For Determining When Due Process Violated By Out-Of-Court Identification Other Than Showup: Impermissible Suggestiveness Of Procedure And Reliability Of Identification	3-1
3.111	In general	3-1
3.112	Not to be applied to unplanned confrontation, i.e., "spontaneous" identification resulting from "accidental" confrontation	3-1
3.1121	In general	3-1
3.1122	But identification resulting from unplanned confrontation may be excluded under Wis. Stat. § 904.03 (see sec. 3.39)	3-1
3.113	Not to be applied to identification made by co-participant in crime who was well acquainted with defendant	3-1
3.114	Applicability to identification procedure conducted in court	3-2
3.12	First Prong: When Out-Of-Court Identification Procedure Impermissibly Suggestive	3-2
3.121	General principles	3-2
3.1211	In general	3-2
3.1212	Whether identification procedure unnecessarily suggestive must be determined on case-by-case basis, not by application of per se rule	3-2
3.1213	Unique identifying feature does not necessarily render procedure unduly suggestive	3-2

3.1214	Fact that defendant differs significantly from other in display (e.g., in height, in weight, etc.) does not necessarily make procedure impermissibly suggestive	3-2
3.1215	Effect of failure to conform identification procedure to OAG Model Policy	3-2
3.122	One-to-one identification (show-up)	3-2
3.1221	Pre-Dubose decisions	3-2
3.1222	Dubose analysis presently required (see sec. 3.14)	3-2
3.123	Photographic display	3-2
3.1231	Single photo	3-3
3.12311	Pre-Dubose decisions	3-3
3.12312	Dubose analysis presently required (see sec. 3.14)	3-3
3.1232	Array of photos	3-3
3.12321	In general	3-3
3.12322	Photographic display not per se unnecessarily suggestive simply because defendant's is only photo to appear in both present display and earlier display	3-3
3.12323	Dubose does not change analysis to be applied to identification resulting from photo array	3-3
3.124	Line-up	3-3
3.1241	In general	3-3
3.1242	Fact that defendant differs significantly from others in lineup (e.g., in height, in weight, etc.) does not necessarily make lineup impermissibly suggestive	3-3
3.125	Unplanned confrontation, i.e., "spontaneous" identification resulting from "accidental" confrontation (see sec. 3.112)	3-3
3.126	Voice identification	3-3
3.127	In-court identification procedure	3-3
3.128	Possibility that less suggestive procedure might have been employed does not render procedure employed impermissibly suggestive	3-3
3.13	Second Prong: When Out-Of-Court Identification Nevertheless Reliable	3-3
3.14	Test For Determining When Due Process Violated By Out-Of-Court Identification Resulting From Showup: Identification Is Inadmissible Unless (1) Showup Was Necessary and (2) Care Was Taken To Minimize Suggestiveness (Dubose Test)	3-4
3.141	In general	3-4
3.142	What constitutes "showup"	3-4
3.143	Whenever probable cause exists to justify detention of suspect, regardless of whether it exists on offense under investigation, showup is not necessary	3-4
3.144	Not to be applied to unplanned, i.e., accidental, confrontation for which law enforcement authorities were not responsible	3-4
3.145	Retroactivity of Dubose	3-4
3.146	Dubose did not change test for determining admissibility of identification resulting from photo array (see sec. 3.12323)	3-5
3.15	Does Due Process Require Exclusion Of Identification That Is Unreliable?	3-5
3.151	Court of appeals' answer in Hibl: yes	3-5
3.1511	In general	3-5

3.1512	Exclusion of unreliable identification resulting from unplanned, i.e., accidental, confrontation for which law enforcement authorities were not responsible	3-5
3.152	Supreme court's implicit answer on review of Hibl: not necessarily	3-5
3.16	Admissibility Of In-Court Identification	3-5
3.161	In general	3-5
3.162	When pretrial showup identification has been held inadmissible	3-5
3.163	When defendant moves to suppress pretrial identification on ground it was impermissibly suggestive, but court holds pretrial identification admissible, must defendant object to in-court identification to preserve contention that it should have been excluded because it was fruit of impermissibly suggestive pretrial identification?	3-5
3.17	Other Matters	3-5
3.171	Requirement of retention of photos used in photo array	3-5
3.172	Need for governmental action, i.e., governmental involvement in identification process, as predicate for suppression of identification on due process grounds	3-5
3.173	Effect of failure to conform identification procedure to OAG Model Policy (see sec. 3.1215)	3-6
3.2	Right To Counsel	3-6
3.21	When Counsel Required	3-6
3.22	Effect Of Denial Of Counsel When Required	3-6
3.221	In general	3-6
3.222	Identification conducted in violation of right to counsel must be suppressed	3-6
3.223	Admissibility of in-court identification	3-6
3.2231	In general	3-6
3.2232	Propriety of considering witness's level of certainty in determining admissibility	3-6
3.2233	Comparison of test for determining admissibility of in-court identification and test for determining whether unnecessarily suggestive out-of-court identification procedure requires exclusion of out-of-court identification	3-6
3.2234	Appellate review of determination whether in-court identification is admissible	3-6
3.23	Purpose And Function Of Counsel At Identification Procedure	3-6
3.24	Other Matters	3-6
3.3	Admissibility Of Identification Under Particular Circumstances	3-6
3.31	When Identification Procedure Impermissibly Suggestive (see sec. 3.1)	3-6
3.32	When Right To Counsel Denied (see sec. 3.2)	3-6
3.33	When Lineup Held After Arrest For Offense Other Than That Which Prompted Lineup	3-6
3.34	When Person Arrested For Civil Violation Compelled To Participate In Lineup On Unrelated Criminal Charge	3-6
3.35	When Identification Unreliable (Pre-Hibl Law)	3-7
3.351	In general	3-7
3.352	Because of inconsistencies and contradictions in eyewitness's testimony	3-7
3.36	When Identification Product Of Illegal Arrest (see sec. 1.8323)	3-7

	<i>Page</i>
3.37 When Officer Shown Photograph Of Defendant Prior To Crime	3-7
3.38 When Identification Was Result Of Unplanned Confrontation, i.e., Was "Spontaneous" Product Of "Accidental" Confrontation	3-7
3.381 In general	3-7
3.382 Identification cannot normally be excluded as violation of due process (see sec. 3.112)	3-7
3.383 But identification may be excluded under Wis. Stat. § 904.03 (see sec. 3.39)	3-7
3.39 When Trial Court Concludes That Probative Value Is Substantially Outweighed By Countervailing Consideration (e.g., Prejudicial Impact) Under Wis. Stat. § 904.03	3-7
3.391 In general	3-7
3.392 When identification was result of unplanned confrontation, i.e., was "spontaneous" product of "accidental" confrontation	3-7
3.4 Other Matters Relating To Identification Procedures	3-7
3.41 Burden Of Proof On Identification Issues	3-7
3.42 Hearing On Motion To Suppress Identification	3-7
3.421 When required	3-7
3.422 Requirement that hearing be open to public and press (see secs. 30.7214 and 46.124)	3-7
3.43 Waiver Of Objection To Identification	3-7
3.44 Appellate Review Of Identification Issues	3-8
3.441 Standards of review	3-8
3.4411 In general	3-8
3.4412 Of findings of fact	3-8
3.4413 Of determination of admissibility of in-court identification after earlier identification procedure that violated right to counsel	3-8
3.4414 Of determination whether independent source existed for in- court identification made after unconstitutional identification procedure	3-8
3.4415 Of whether constitutional principles were correctly applied to facts presented	3-8
3.442 On review, appellate court bound by record made in trial court	3-8
3.45 Other Matters	3-8
3.451 Conducting in-court line-up at trial (see secs. 20.3895 and 20.3896)	3-8
3.452 Voice identification	3-8
3.4521 Suppression on grounds that procedures used to obtain identification were impermissibly suggestive (see sec. 3.126)	3-8
3.4522 Propriety of court's order requiring defendant to give voice exemplar at trial (see sec. 24.62122)	3-8
3.4523 Informing jury of defendant's refusal to provide a voice sample (see sec. 24.62123)	3-9
3.4524 Denial of defendant's request for an in-court voice lineup (see sec. 24.62124)	3-9
3.453 Expert testimony on credibility of identification (see sec. 20.812)	3-9

Chapter 4
Judicial and Other Investigative Proceedings

4.1 Grand Jury Proceeding	4-1
4.11 Constitutionality Of Proceeding	4-1
4.111 Exclusion of members of particular race from grand jury	4-1
4.112 Discrimination in selection of members of grand jury (see sec. 4.1212)	4-1
4.113 Discrimination in selection of grand jury foreman (see sec. 4.1222)	4-1
4.114 Prosecutor has no duty to disclose exculpatory evidence to grand jury (see sec. 4.197)	4-1
4.12 Procedures	4-1
4.121 Selection of members of grand jury	4-1
4.1211 In general	4-1
4.1212 Discrimination in selection	4-1
4.12121 In general	4-1
4.12122 Standing to raise	4-1
4.1213 Exclusion of members of defendant's race from grand jury (see sec. 4.111)	4-1
4.1214 Exclusion of members of particular race from grand jury (see sec. 4.1212)	4-1
4.122 Selection of grand jury foreman	4-1
4.1221 In general	4-1
4.1222 Discrimination in selection	4-1
4.12221 In general	4-1
4.12222 Standing to raise	4-2
4.123 Requirement that only specified persons be present at grand jury proceeding	4-2
4.124 Effect of violation of grand jury procedural rules on subsequent conviction	4-2
4.125 Right to appeal order denying motion to dismiss criminal prosecution because rule requiring grand jury secrecy violated by government	4-2
4.126 Indictment (see sec. 6.696)	4-2
4.13 Maintaining Secrecy Of Proceedings	4-2
4.131 In general	4-2
4.132 Defendant's access to record	4-2
4.133 Prosecution's access to record	4-2
4.1331 State attorney general's access to federal grand jury proceedings relating to federal anti-trust violations	4-2
4.1332 Justice department attorneys' access to federal grand jury proceedings for use in preparing and conducting possible civil suit	4-2
4.1333 Internal Revenue Service access to federal grand jury proceedings for use in audit to determine civil income tax liability	4-2
4.134 Cannot punish press for publishing confidential information	4-2
4.135 Prohibition on grand jury witness's disclosure of own testimony after term of grand jury has ended is unconstitutional	4-2

4.136	Right to appeal order denying motion to dismiss criminal prosecution because rule requiring grand jury secrecy violated by government	4-3
4.14	Rights Of Witnesses	4-3
4.141	Counsel	4-3
4.1411	In general	4-3
4.1412	No right to have counsel present during proceeding	4-3
4.1413	Does witness have right to have attorney present outside jury room?	4-3
4.142	Self-incrimination	4-3
4.143	Testimonial privileges	4-3
4.144	Target warning	4-3
4.15	Requiring Production Of Evidence Other Than Testimony	4-3
4.151	Showing necessary to enforce production of evidence	4-3
4.152	Procedures for production	4-3
4.1521	Subpoena duces tecum	4-3
4.1522	Motion and order	4-3
4.153	Production of particular types of evidence	4-3
4.1531	Handwriting specimens	4-3
4.1532	Voice exemplars	4-3
4.1533	Blood and saliva samples	4-3
4.1534	Hair	4-3
4.1535	Documentary evidence	4-3
4.15351	In general	4-3
4.15352	Income tax returns	4-3
4.15353	Other documentary evidence	4-3
4.154	Fifth amendment limitations on requiring production of evidence (see secs. 4.142 and 24.6)	4-3
4.155	Witness's right to invoke testimonial privileges	4-4
4.156	Need to resort to court to compel appearance of witness and production of evidence	4-4
4.16	Grant Of Immunity To Witness	4-4
4.17	Contempt Arising Out Of Proceeding	4-4
4.171	Procedures	4-4
4.172	Propriety of same judge presiding over contempt proceedings	4-4
4.173	Other matters	4-4
4.18	Limitations On Use Of Proceeding	4-4
4.19	Other Matters	4-4
4.191	Nature of grand jury proceeding in general	4-4
4.192	Defendant's standing to challenge errors and defects in proceeding	4-4
4.193	Admission of evidence	4-4
4.1931	Use of illegally obtained evidence	4-4
4.19311	Evidence obtained in violation of fourth amendment (see sec. 1.8222)	4-4
4.19312	Evidence obtained in violation of privilege against self-incrimination	4-4
4.1932	Applicability of rules of evidence	4-4
4.19321	In general	4-4
4.19322	Hearsay rule	4-4
4.1933	Testimonial privileges (see sec. 4.143)	4-4
4.1934	Privilege against self-incrimination (see sec. 4.142)	4-4

4.194	Disqualification of counsel	4-4
4.195	Effect, on subsequent conviction, of defects in grand jury proceeding that produced indictment of defendant	4-5
4.1951	In general	4-5
4.1952	Determining whether discrimination in selection of federal grand jury foreperson requires dismissal of indictment	4-5
4.196	Dismissal of indictment on account of prosecutorial misconduct before grand jury, when prosecutorial errors did not prejudice the defendant	4-5
4.197	Prosecutor has no duty to disclose exculpatory evidence to grand jury	4-5
4.198	Presumption that grand jury acts within legitimate scope of authority	4-5
4.199	Other matters	4-5
4.1991	Indictment resulting from grand jury proceeding (see sec. 6.696)	4-5
4.2	John Doe Investigation	4-5
4.21	Constitutionality Of Proceeding	4-5
4.211	In general	4-5
4.212	When John Doe judge issues complaint after district attorney has refused to issue one	4-5
4.213	Law enforcement officer's questioning of witness does not constitute violation of due process	4-5
4.22	Procedures	4-5
4.221	Procedural matters in general	4-5
4.2211	John Doe proceedings involve four-step process	4-5
4.2212	Private party may commence John Doe proceeding when district attorney has decided not to prosecute (see secs. 4.2989 and 4.2999)	4-5
4.222	First step in process: determination whether complainant has alleged facts sufficient to require hearing	4-5
4.2221	In general	4-5
4.2222	In determining whether allegations require hearing, court may not assess credibility and choose between competing inferences	4-6
4.2223	In determining whether hearing required, may court consider documents (e.g., police reports) not attached to complaint or petition?	4-6
4.2224	In determining whether allegations require hearing, judge has discretion to request additional information to assist citizen complainant	4-6
4.2225	Judge not required to find probable cause	4-6
4.2226	Review of denial of complainant's petition, i.e., denial of hearing	4-6
4.223	Second step in process: holding of hearing	4-6
4.2231	Maintaining secrecy at hearing (see sec. 4.23)	4-6
4.2232	Obtaining witness's presence at hearing (see sec. 4.222)	4-6
4.2233	Rights of witnesses at hearing (see sec. 4.24)	4-6
4.2234	Requiring production of evidence other than testimony at hearing (see sec. 4.25)	4-6
4.2235	Right of judge to exclude witness's attorney	4-6
4.2236	Does law enforcement officer's questioning of witness constitutes unauthorized practice of law?	4-6
4.2237	Permitting law enforcement officer to be present during hearing	4-6

4.2238	Judge is not required to examine all witnesses complainant produces at hearing	4-7
4.2239	Judge is not required to subpoena all witness complainant wishes to produce	4-7
4.224	Third step in process: judge must determine whether it appear probable that crime has been committed and who committed it	4-7
4.2241	In general	4-7
4.2242	Judge makes probable cause determination by assessing only plausibility of witness's testimony, not credibility or trustworthiness of witness	4-7
4.225	Fourth step in process: judge must determine whether to issue criminal complaint	4-7
4.2251	In general	4-7
4.2252	Judge has discretion whether or not to issue criminal complaint upon finding of probable cause	4-7
4.226	Obtaining witness's presence at hearing	4-7
4.2261	By use of material witness warrant	4-7
4.22611	Authority to issue material witness warrant	4-7
4.22612	Need for probable cause to believe it is impracticable to secure presence by subpoena	4-7
4.2262	By use of subpoena	4-7
4.22621	John Doe judge has power to subpoena witnesses	4-7
4.22622	Private party filing John Doe petition may compel witnesses to appear on his or her behalf by having subpoena issued by clerk of court (court of appeals' holding, rejected by supreme court)	4-7
4.22623	Judge's power to subpoena witnesses is exclusive; private party filing John Doe petition may not compel witness to appear on his or her behalf by having subpoena issued by clerk of court	4-8
4.22624	Is judge required to subpoena every witness that John Doe petitioner requests?	4-8
4.2263	Judge is not required to subpoena all witnesses complainant wishes to produce (see sec. 4.2239)	4-8
4.227	Use of search warrant	4-8
4.2271	Authority to issue search warrant	4-8
4.2272	Authority to seal warrant	4-8
4.228	Right of district attorney to issue complaint prior to conclusion of John Doe proceeding (see sec. 4.2996)	4-8
4.229	Other procedural matters	4-8
4.2291	Law enforcement officer's questioning of witness does not constitute violation of due process (see sec. 4.213)	4-8
4.2292	John Doe judge has power to subpoena witnesses (see sec. 4.22221)	4-8
4.2293	Jurisdiction to conduct proceeding not dependent on finding of probable cause	4-8
4.23	Maintaining Secrecy Of Proceeding	4-8
4.231	In general	4-8
4.232	Permissible scope of secrecy order	4-8
4.2321	In general	4-8

4.2322	Cannot prohibit witness from disclosing what he or she knows about incident or subject of inquiry	4-9
4.233	Defendant's access to record	4-9
4.2331	Defendant entitled to access to record before trial only	4-9
4.234	Prosecution's access to record	4-9
4.235	Press or public access to record	4-9
4.2351	In general	4-9
4.2352	Right of public and press access to immunity hearing in John Doe proceeding	4-9
4.2353	Access to search warrant issued as part of proceeding	4-9
4.2354	Press or public access to writ of prohibition filed to challenge conduct of proceeding	4-9
4.236	Right to seal search warrant issued as part of proceeding	4-9
4.2361	In general	4-9
4.2362	Must apply balancing test (state's interest versus public right of access)	4-9
4.2363	Decision to seal committed to trial court's discretion	4-9
4.2364	Requires record with fact finding sufficient for appellate court review	4-9
4.237	Permitting law enforcement officer to be present during proceeding (see sec. 4.2257)	4-9
4.238	Secrecy aspect of proceeding does not infringe upon witness's First Amendment right to free speech	4-9
4.239	Other matters	4-9
4.2391	John Doe judge has no authority to require attorney for witness to take oath of secrecy when proceeding already subject to secrecy order	4-9
4.2392	Sealing appellate record to preserve secrecy of proceeding (see sec. 4.2932)	4-9
4.2393	Reasons for secrecy of proceeding	4-9
4.24	Rights Of Witnesses	4-10
4.241	Counsel	4-10
4.2411	In general	4-10
4.2412	Right of judge to exclude witness's attorney (see sec. 4.2255)	4-10
4.2413	Right of judge to disqualify counsel (see sec. 4.294)	4-10
4.242	Self-incrimination	4-10
4.243	Target warning	4-10
4.244	Need not be apprized of scope of investigation	4-10
4.25	Requiring Production Of Evidence Other Than Testimony	4-10
4.251	Required showing of relevancy	4-10
4.252	Procedures for production	4-10
4.2521	Subpoena duces tecum	4-10
4.25211	In general	4-10
4.25212	Requirement that subpoena must be supported by probable cause that material sought will produce evidence of crime	4-10
4.25213	Showing that must be made in application for subpoena when material sought is meant to establish criminal conduct and may be material in which person has reasonable expectation or privacy	4-10

	4.25214	When subpoena not enforceable because it is overbroad	4-10
	4.2522	Motion and order	4-10
	4.2523	Issuance of search warrant (see sec. 4.223)	4-10
4.253		Production of particular types of evidence	4-10
	4.2531	Handwriting specimens	4-10
	4.2532	Voice exemplars	4-10
	4.2533	Blood and saliva samples	4-10
	4.2534	Hair	4-11
	4.2535	Documentary evidence	4-11
	4.25351	In general	4-11
	4.25352	Income tax returns	4-11
	4.25353	Other documentary evidence	4-11
4.2536		Records of legislators' communications maintained by Legislative Technology Services Bureau	4-11
	4.25361	In general	4-11
	4.25362	Records are not protected by privilege created by Wis. Stat. § 13.96, which provides for confidentiality of records	4-11
	4.25363	Protection for records afforded by speech and debate clause of constitution	4-11
	4.25364	Records are not protected by separation of powers doctrine	4-11
	4.25365	Wis. Stat. § 13.96, which provides for confidentiality of records, is not rule of proceeding under Wis. Const., art. IV, sec. 8, that renders question of enforceability of subpoena for records a non-justiciable political question	4-11
4.254		Fifth amendment limitations on requiring production of evidence (see secs. 4.242 and 24.6)	4-11
4.26		Grant Of Immunity To Witness	4-11
4.27		Contempt Arising Out Of Proceeding	4-11
	4.271	Procedures	4-11
	4.272	Propriety of same judge presiding over contempt proceedings	4-11
	4.273	Other matters	4-11
4.28		Limitations On Use Of Proceeding	4-11
	4.281	Not to be used as discovery device for pending prosecution	4-11
	4.282	Limited to subject matter of complaint upon which proceeding commenced	4-12
	4.283	Can continue with proceeding for legitimate purposes after complaint issued	4-12
	4.284	Remedy for exceeding lawful scope	4-12
	4.285	When facts adduced at hearing make clear that person is probably guilty of offense, it is improper to continue hearing	4-12
4.29		Other Matters	4-12
	4.291	Nature, purpose, and scope of John Doe proceeding in general	4-12
	4.2911	In general	4-12
	4.2912	Judge's broad discretion to determine nature and extent of proceeding	4-12
	4.292	Powers and authority of judge conducting proceeding	4-12
	4.2921	In general	4-12

4.2922	Judge has broad authority in conducting proceeding	4-12
4.2923	Judge does not enjoy statutory powers of court	4-12
4.2924	Authority to disqualify counsel (see sec. 4.294)	4-12
4.2925	Judge's powers not limited to those enumerated in statute; judge has inherent authority to exercise powers necessary to fulfill jurisdictional mandate	4-13
4.2926	Judge has no authority to require attorney for witness to take oath of secrecy when proceeding already subject to secrecy order (see sec. 4.2391)	4-13
4.2927	Power and authority to issue subpoena (see secs. 4.22221, 4.22223, and 4.22224)	4-13
4.293	Appellate and other review of John Doe proceeding	4-13
4.2931	Mode of review	4-13
4.29311	Court of appeals has authority to exercise supervisory jurisdiction over action of judge in proceeding	4-13
4.29312	Appealability of orders issued by John Doe judge	4-13
4.29313	Use of writ of prohibition	4-13
4.29314	Use of writ of mandamus	4-13
4.2932	Sealing appellate record to preserve secrecy of proceeding	4-13
4.29321	Authority of court of appeals to seal record	4-13
4.29322	Procedure to be utilized in sealing record	4-13
4.29323	Press or public access to writ of prohibition filed to challenge conduct of proceeding (see sec. 4.2354)	4-13
4.2933	Standard of review	4-13
4.29331	When review of John Doe judge's action sought by use of writ of mandamus	4-13
4.294	Disqualification of counsel	4-13
4.2941	Right of judge to disqualify counsel in general	4-13
4.2942	Need for judge to create record relating to disqualification of counsel	4-14
4.2943	Right of judge to disqualify counsel because of conflict of interest	4-14
4.2944	Judge may not disqualify attorney for witness because attorney refuses to take oath of secrecy when proceeding already subject to secrecy order	4-14
4.2945	Disqualification of assistant district attorney who is appearing in John Doe proceeding commenced by private party	4-14
4.295	Substitution of judge	4-14
4.2951	In general	4-14
4.296	Use of material witness warrant (see sec. 4.2221)	4-14
4.297	Other matters	4-14
4.2971	Jurisdiction to conduct proceeding not dependent on finding of probable cause	4-14
4.2972	Effect of running of statute of limitations on crime that is subject of proceeding	4-14
4.2973	Propriety of ex parte communications between court and district attorney when private party initiates proceeding	4-14
4.2974	History of John Doe statute	4-14
4.2975	Judge is to oversee John Doe hearing in such a way as to ensure that proceeding is conducted in orderly and expeditious manner	4-14

	<i>Page</i>
4.298 Other matters (cont'd)	4-14
4.2981 Communications between judge and complainant or prosecutor	4-14
4.2982 Remedy for permitting law enforcement officer to question witness, assuming that law enforcement officer was thereby engaging in unauthorized practice of law	4-14
4.2983 Does law enforcement officer's questioning of witness constitute unauthorized practice of law? (see sec. 4.2256)	4-15
4.2984 Law enforcement officer's questioning of witness does not constitute violation of due process (see sec. 4.213)	4-15
4.2985 Judge conducting John Doe proceeding is "judicial tribunal"	4-15
4.2986 Defendant's standing to challenge errors and defects in proceeding	4-15
4.2987 Use of illegally obtained evidence (see sec. 1.8222)	4-15
4.2988 Private party filing John Doe petition may compel witnesses to appear on his or her behalf by having subpoenas issued by clerk of court (court of appeals' holding, rejected by supreme court) (see sec. 4.22222)	4-15
4.2989 Judge's power to subpoena witnesses is exclusive; private party filing John Doe petition may not compel witness to appear on his or her behalf by having subpoena issued by clerk of court (see sec. 4.22223)	4-15
4.299 Other matters (cont'd)	4-15
4.2991 Propriety of John Doe judge presiding over resultant contempt proceeding	4-15
4.2992 Right of public and press access to immunity hearing in John Doe proceeding	4-15
4.2993 Right of prosecutor to dismiss charge issued by judge at conclusion of John Doe hearing	4-15
4.2994 Judge, not court, conducts John Doe proceeding	4-15
4.2995 Complaint resulting from John Doe proceeding no different than any other criminal complaint	4-15
4.2996 District attorney's right to issue complaint prior to conclusion of proceeding	4-15
4.2997 Remedy for abuse of John Doe proceeding	4-16
4.2998 Effect of defects in proceeding leading to prosecution of defendant on subsequent conviction	4-16
4.2999 Private party may commence John Doe proceeding when district attorney has decided not to prosecute	4-16
4.3 Inquest Into Death	4-16
4.31 Constitutionality Of Proceeding	4-16
4.32 Procedures	4-16
4.33 Rights Of Witnesses	4-16
4.34 Requiring Production Of Evidence	4-16
4.35 Grant Of Immunity To Witness	4-16
4.36 Contempt Arising Out Of Proceeding	4-16
4.37 Limitations On Use Of Proceeding	4-16
4.38 Other Matters	4-16
4.4 Other Investigative Proceedings	4-16

	<i>Page</i>
4.41 Administrative Investigations	4-16
4.411 Use and enforcement of subpoena duces tecum	4-16
4.412 Other matters	4-16
4.42 IRS Investigative Proceeding	4-16
4.421 Propriety, in proceeding to enforce IRS subpoena for documents, of placing restrictions upon IRS dissemination of documents	4-16
4.43 Other Proceedings	4-16

Chapter 5 Other Investigative Matters

5.1 Wiretapping And Other Mechanically Or Electronically Assisted Surveillance	5-1
5.11 Interception Of Oral Or Wire Communications	5-1
5.111 Constitutional limitations on eavesdropping	5-1
5.1111 In general	5-1
5.1112 Interception with one party's consent	5-1
5.1113 Illegal disclosure of lawfully intercepted communications does not violate fourth amendment	5-1
5.1114 First Amendment protects person who discloses communication that was unlawfully obtained by another person, but which person lawfully obtained from that other person, and which deals with matter of public interest	5-1
5.1115 Communications of prison and jail inmates	5-1
5.11151 Telephone conversations	5-1
5.11152 Conversations in interview rooms	5-1
5.112 Statutory limitations on eavesdropping imposed by Wisconsin Electronic Surveillance Control Law (WESCL)	5-1
5.1121 Statutory requirements relating to authorization to intercept oral or wire communication	5-1
5.11211 In general	5-1
5.11212 Minimization requirement	5-1
5.11213 Court may authorize interception only for offenses enumerated in Wis. Stat. § 968.28	5-2
5.11214 Suppression of contents of communication for violation of statutory requirements (see sec. 5.1133)	5-2
5.1122 What constitutes "wire," "electronic," or "oral" communication subject to Wisconsin Electronic Surveillance Control Law	5-2
5.11221 "Wire" communication	5-2
5.11222 "Electronic" communication: in general	5-2
5.11223 "Electronic" communication: does not include any communication from tracking device (see sec. 5.1127)	5-2
5.11224 "Oral" communication	5-2
5.1123 Applicability of state wiretap law to cordless telephone transmissions	5-2
5.1124 Admissibility of communication intercepted with one party's consent under former provision	5-2
5.11241 Communication is not admissible	5-2
5.1125 Admissibility of communication intercepted with one party's consent under present provision	5-2

5.11251	Communication is generally admissible in felony prosecution	5-2
5.11252	Communication not admissible if intercepted by person not acting under color of law for purpose of committing criminal or tortious act or any other injurious act	5-2
5.11253	Prison or jail inmate has given implied consent to monitoring and recording of telephone calls when he or she is on notice that telephone call is subject to monitoring and recording and nonetheless proceeds with call	5-3
5.11254	Fact that all calls from particular prison or jail are recorded does not preclude admission of inmate's recorded conversation with non-attorney, made with implied consent to recording, on ground that system records conversations with attorneys in violation of Wis. Stat. § 968.30(10)	5-3
5.11255	Meaning of "person acting under color of law" in one-party-consent provision: in general	5-3
5.11256	Meaning of "person acting under color of law" in one-party-consent provision: includes law enforcement officer, whether or not officer is acting in concert with cooperating private citizen	5-3
5.1126	Law enforcement exception to statutory limitations	5-3
5.11261	Does this exception apply to monitoring and recording of telephone calls of jail and prison inmates?	5-3
5.1127	Tracking device exception to statutory limitations	5-3
5.11271	In general	5-3
5.11272	Exception includes GPS tracking device	5-3
5.1128	Provision sanctioning admission of one-party consent recordings if defendant accused of drug offense	5-3
5.11281	In general	5-3
5.11282	One-party consent recordings legitimately obtained under this provision may be used to prove other criminal offenses inadvertently captured with recording devices	5-3
5.1129	Other matters	5-3
5.11291	Requirement, under federal wiretapping statute, that recording of eavesdropping be sealed	5-4
5.11292	First Amendment protects person who discloses communication that was unlawfully obtained by another person, but which person lawfully obtained from that other person, and which deals with matter of public interest	5-4
5.113	Statutory limitations on eavesdropping (cont'd)	5-4
5.1131	Prohibition on interception of any communication between attorney and client (see sec. 5.11254)	5-4
5.11311	In general	5-4

5.1132	Fact that all calls from particular prison or jail are recorded does not preclude admission of inmate's recorded conversation with non-attorney, made with implied consent to recording, on ground that system records conversations with attorneys in violation of this prohibition	5-4
5.1133	Remedies for violation of this prohibition	5-4
5.1132	Are e-mails and instant messages covered by WESCL?	5-4
5.1133	Interpreting provision of WESCL (see sec. 5.1149)	5-4
5.114	Other matters	5-4
5.1141	Use of legally intercepted communications in criminal complaint	5-4
5.11411	Validity of use in general	5-4
5.11412	Need to submit complaint containing intercepted communications to court under seal	5-4
5.11413	Effect of failure to submit complaint to court under seal	5-4
5.1142	Use of legally intercepted communications in criminal briefs and memoranda	5-4
5.1143	Suppression of evidence derived from violation of statutory limitations	5-5
5.11431	In general	5-5
5.11432	Opening door to admission of illegally recorded oral communications	5-5
5.11433	Use of illegally taped oral communication as "defensive impeachment evidence"	5-5
5.11434	For violation of requirement that interception may be authorized only for offenses enumerated in Wis. Stat. § 968.28	5-5
5.11435	When affidavit providing probable cause for authorization of interception contains deliberately or recklessly false statement (see sec. 5.1148)	5-5
5.1144	Even though statutory requirements violated, party to communication may still testify regarding its contents	5-5
5.1145	Remedy for violations of statutory requirements	5-5
5.1146	Constraints on admission of tape recordings imposed by rules of evidence (see sec. 20.53)	5-5
5.1147	Rule prohibiting inmates from having recording devices does not render tape recording made by inmate working undercover inadmissible	5-5
5.1148	Appellate review of ruling relating to interception of oral and wire communications	5-5
5.11481	Standard of review	5-5
5.1149	Interpreting provisions of Wisconsin Electronic Surveillance Control Law (WESCL)	5-5
5.11491	In interpreting WESCL, court looks to federal courts' interpretation of Title III of the Omnibus Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968	5-5
5.11492	In interpreting WESCL, court looks to legislative history of Title III of the Omnibus Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968	5-6
5.115	Other matters (cont'd)	5-6

5.1151	Effect of failure to follow administrative regulations governing otherwise constitutional interception	5-6
5.1152	Covert entry to install intercepting device (see sec. 5.153)	5-6
5.1153	Court's power to order technical assistance (see sec. 5.152)	5-6
5.1154	Suppression of evidence derived from violation of statutory limitations (see sec. 5.1133)	5-6
5.1155	Admission of illegally recorded oral communications found to be harmless error	5-6
5.1156	Criminal prohibition on interception and disclosure of wire, electronic or oral communications (see sec. 38.89)	5-6
5.1157	Distinction between lawfulness of interception and compliance with WESCL needed to render interception admissible in court	5-6
5.1158	Use of Franks test to determine whether deliberately or recklessly false statements in application for authorization of interception of communication requires suppression of contents of intercepted communication	5-6
5.11581	Applicability of Franks	5-6
5.11582	Under Franks, suppression not required if, putting false statements aside, there remains probable cause for authorization	5-6
5.12	Tracking Devices	5-6
5.121	In general	5-6
5.122	Installation and use of "beeper" (i.e., radio transmitter emitting periodic signals that permit tracking with radio receiver)	5-7
5.123	Installation and use of GPS (i.e., device that periodically receives and stores location information from satellite, which, upon retrieval of device, will reveal detailed history, including time information, of device's location and, hence, of location of vehicle or other thing to which it was attached)	5-7
5.124	Movements that may, or may not, consistent with constitutional protections against unreasonable searches and seizures, be tracked without court authorization	5-7
5.1241	Public movements, including into and out of private places	5-7
5.1242	Movement in private places	5-7
5.125	Entry onto private property (e.g., driveway) to install device	5-7
5.126	Effect of impermissible tracking of private movements on information secured regarding public movements	5-7
5.127	Tracking device exception to requirements of Wisconsin Electronic Surveillance Law (see sec. 5.1127)	5-7
5.13	Pen Register	5-7
5.14	Devices To Enhance Optical Observations (see sec. 1.233)	5-7
5.15	Other Matters	5-7
5.151	Revelation of communication by party to it (see sec. 1.2921)	5-7
5.152	Court's power to order technical assistance	5-7
5.153	Covert entry to conduct surveillance	5-7
5.154	Use of infrared sensing device to detect heat (see sec. 1.2495)	5-7
5.2	Entrapment	5-7
5.21	Nature And Elements Of Entrapment	5-7
5.211	In general	5-8

	<i>Page</i>
5.212 Predisposition	5-8
5.2121 In general	5-8
5.2122 Predisposition must exist before government contact	5-8
5.22 Burden Of Production And Proof	5-8
5.23 Admission Of Evidence	5-8
5.231 Evidence that subsequent to criminal conduct with respect to which defendant contends he was entrapped, defendant refused to engage in other instances of such conduct	5-8
5.24 When Evidence Sufficient To Require Instruction On Entrapment	5-8
5.241 In general	5-8
5.242 Defendant's denial of one or more elements of crime does not warrant denial of instruction	5-8
5.25 Instructing On Entrapment	5-8
5.26 Sufficiency Of Evidence To Establish Or Negate Entrapment	5-9
5.27 Other Matters	5-9
5.271 Public policy behind recognition of this defense	5-9
5.272 Defendant may deny elements of crime, yet raise defense of entrapment	5-9
5.273 Difference between defense of entrapment and defense of outrageous governmental conduct (see sec. 5.314)	5-9
5.274 When defendant claims, or trial court finds, entrapment, jury may not be instructed on, and trial court acting as fact finder cannot convict of, lesser-included offense	5-9
5.275 Interpretation of entrapment defense is matter of law	5-9
5.3 Other Investigative Matters	5-9
5.31 Outrageous Governmental Conduct In Procuring Defendant's Commission Of Crime	5-9
5.311 General principles	5-9
5.312 Defense of outrageous governmental conduct requires that government be enmeshed in criminal activity	5-9
5.313 Defense of outrageous governmental conduct requires that specific constitutional right has been violated	5-9
5.314 Difference between defense of entrapment and defense of outrageous governmental conduct	5-9
5.315 Particular situations	5-9
5.3151 State's denial of methadone to heroin addict causing him to use heroin	5-9
5.316 Related matters	5-9
5.3161 Outrageous governmental conduct in securing confession (see sec. 2.534)	5-10
5.3162 Outrageous governmental conduct in prosecuting case (see sec. 22.883)	5-10

**PART II
CRIMINAL PROCEDURE**

**Chapter 6
Pretrial Procedure**

6.1 Criminal Complaint	6-1
6.11 Procedure For Issuance	6-1
6.111 In general	6-1
6.112 Filing of complaint with judge's permission when district attorney refuses to issue it	6-1
6.1121 Attributes of hearing on request for permission to file complaint	6-1
6.11211 Hearing is presumptively open to public	6-1
6.11212 Hearing is ex parte proceeding	6-1
6.1122 Constitutionality of statute permitting such filing	6-1
6.1123 Review of judge's decision on whether to permit filing of complaint	6-1
6.11231 Appealability of judge's refusal to permit filing of complaint	6-1
6.11232 Motion for reconsideration of decision by person charged is not permitted	6-1
6.11233 Use of supervisory writ to obtain review of decision to permit filing of complaint	6-1
6.11234 Use of motion to dismiss in circuit court after complaint filed	6-1
6.1124 Statute permitting filing with judge's permission covers only criminal complaints, not uniform traffic citations	6-2
6.1125 Power to permit filing of complaint does not grant judge authority to order district attorney to file a different or additional charge than the charge or charges already brought	6-2
6.1126 Right of prosecutor to dismiss charges issued by judge at conclusion of John Doe hearing (see sec. 12.442)	6-2
6.1127 Determining whether prosecutor has "refused" to issue complaint	6-2
6.1128 Judge has discretion whether or not to issue complaint	6-2
6.113 Need for complaint to be sworn	6-2
6.1131 Statute requires complaint to be sworn	6-2
6.1132 Sworn complaint not required by constitution	6-2
6.114 Need to submit portions of complaint containing intercepted communications to court at time of filing to permit court to seal them (see sec. 5.11312)	6-2
6.115 Prosecutor may issue complaint prior to completion of John Doe proceeding investigating charges made in complaint (see sec. 4.2996)	6-2
6.116 Need to submit complaint containing intercepted communications to court under seal (see sec. 5.11312)	6-2
6.117 Prosecutorial discretion in deciding to charge or selecting charge (see secs. 12.42 and 12.43)	6-2
6.118 Attaching documents (e.g., police reports) to complaint to provide probable cause or to meet other requirements for valid and sufficient complaint (see sec. 6.1985)	6-2
6.119 Other matters	6-2
6.1191 Identification of person charged	6-2
6.11911 In general	6-2
6.11912 Identifying person being charged solely by his DNA profile is sufficient: in general	6-2

6.11913	Identifying person being charged solely by his DNA profile is sufficient: fact that complaint uses RFLP-DNA analysis and defendant ultimately identified using PCR-DNA analysis makes no difference	6-3
6.11914	Person's name does not need to appear in complaint	6-3
6.1192	Defendant has no due process right to notice that state is issuing complaint (see sec. 22.346)	6-3
6.12	Place And Time Limitations On Issuance	6-3
6.121	Venue of complaint (see sec. 12.2)	6-3
6.122	Statute of limitations (see sec. 12.3)	6-3
6.13	Sufficiency Of Complaint To Establish Probable Cause	6-3
6.131	Showing of probable cause required	6-3
6.1311	In general	6-3
6.1312	Determining whether substantive due process gives defendant right to be free from prosecution without probable cause	6-4
6.1313	Showing may be made by documents attached to complaint	6-4
6.132	Test for determining sufficiency	6-4
6.1321	In general	6-4
6.1322	Need not recite facts justifying underlying search giving rise to criminal charge	6-5
6.1323	If equally reasonable, competing inferences may be drawn, one of which establishes probable cause, complaint is sufficient	6-5
6.133	Scope of inquiry into sufficiency	6-5
6.134	Challenge to truthfulness or completeness of complainant's statements	6-5
6.1341	In general	6-5
6.1342	Effect of material omissions	6-5
6.1343	Challenge to truthfulness or completeness of statements appropriate whether complaint used as basis for issuance of arrest warrant or as basis for initiation of prosecution	6-5
6.135	When based on information supplied by third parties (i.e., hearsay)	6-5
6.1351	Showing of reliability required	6-5
6.1352	Establishing reliability of particular informants	6-6
6.13521	In general	6-6
6.13522	Police officer acting in official capacity	6-6
6.136	Propriety of considering unconstitutionally obtained evidence in determining sufficiency of complaint to establish probable cause	6-6
6.137	Negating statutory exceptions to liability for offense	6-6
6.138	Comparison of probable cause showing necessary for complaint with probable cause in other contexts	6-6
6.1381	Probable cause required to support issuance of complaint is less than that needed to bind defendant over for trial (see sec. 6.4422)	6-6
6.139	Other matters relating to showing of probable cause	6-6
6.1391	Need not recite facts justifying underlying search giving rise to criminal charge (see sec. 6.1995)	6-6
6.1392	Effect of failure to allege probable cause in complaint (see sec. 6.1952)	6-6
6.1393	Complaint may be based upon circumstantial evidence	6-6
6.1394	Attaching documents (e.g., police reports) to complaint to provide probable cause (see sec. 6.1985)	6-6

6.1395	Appellate review of sufficiency of complaint to establish probable cause	6-6
6.1396	Sufficiency of complaint to show "who" is being charged	6-7
6.13961	In general	6-7
6.13962	Identifying person being charged solely by his DNA profile is sufficient: in general	6-7
6.13963	Identifying person being charged solely by his DNA profile is sufficient: fact that complaint uses RFLP-DNA analysis and defendant ultimately identified using PCR-DNA analysis makes no difference	6-7
6.13964	There is no absolute requirement that person's name appear in complaint	6-7
6.14	Sufficiency Of Complaint To Charge Offense And To Inform Accused Of Nature Of Accusation	6-7
6.141	In general	6-7
6.142	Alleging time of offense	6-7
6.143	Alleging place of offense	6-8
6.1431	In general	6-8
6.1432	Crime involving failure to act is committed at place where act is required to be performed	6-8
6.144	Alleging elements of offense	6-8
6.1441	In general	6-8
6.1442	Incorporation of elements through citation to statute	6-8
6.1443	When word used to charge offense renders complaint too vague to give required notice of charge	6-8
6.1444	Penalty enhancer is not necessarily element of offense	6-8
6.1445	Effect of failure to allege elements of offense (see sec. 12.1254)	6-8
6.145	Negating statutory exceptions to liability for offense	6-8
6.146	Effect of reference to wrong or nonexistent statutory subsection	6-8
6.147	Sufficiency to charge particular offense	6-9
6.148	When complaint charges defendant with multiple counts based on multiple acts violating same criminal statute, it should tie specific act to each count charged	6-9
6.149	Other matters	6-9
6.1491	Remedy when charging document mischaracterizes degree of offense, e.g., when it designates crime charged as felony when it is actually misdemeanor	6-9
6.15	Joinder Of Defendants In Same Complaint	6-9
6.151	In general	6-9
6.152	Severance of defendants (see sec. 6.93)	6-9
6.153	Consolidation of charges against different defendants (see sec. 6.921)	6-9
6.154	Improper joinder can be harmless error	6-9
6.16	Joinder Of Offenses In Same Complaint	6-9
6.161	In general	6-9
6.162	Severance of offenses (see sec. 6.94)	6-10
6.163	Consolidation of charges against single defendant (see sec. 6.922)	6-10
6.164	Harmless error	6-10
6.1641	Improper joinder can be harmless error	6-10
6.1642	Test for harmless error	6-10

6.1643	Determining whether improper joinder is or is not harmless error under particular circumstances	6-10
6.165	Joinder of civil forfeiture counts with criminal counts	6-10
6.166	Waiver of claim of prejudicial joinder	6-10
6.167	"Retroactive misjoinder": effect of reversal on appeal on one count on remaining counts (see sec. 11.9241)	6-10
6.168	Federal decisions on joinder persuasive	6-10
6.169	Appellate review of question of joinder	6-10
6.17	Multiplicity And Duplicity In Complaint	6-10
6.171	Multiplicity (charging single offense in more than one count): general principles	6-10
6.1711	Two-part test for determining multiplicity	6-10
6.17111	In general	6-10
6.17112	Only first part of multiplicity test implicates double jeopardy	6-12
6.1712	First part of test: Are charged offenses identical in law and in fact?	6-13
6.17121	In general	6-13
6.1713	Second part of test: Did legislature intend to authorize multiple punishments?	6-13
6.17131	In general	6-13
6.17132	When legislature describes prohibition on possession of item by describing the items in the singular and modifying them by the term "any," that is indication that legislature intends prosecution for each item possessed	6-13
6.17133	Wis. Stat. § 939.66 does not indicate legislative intent to prohibit multiple convictions and punishments for multiple offenses in situations described in its subsections, when multiple offenses are alleged in charging document	6-13
6.1714	Multiplicity challenge based on evidence adduced at trial	6-13
6.17141	In general	6-13
6.17142	State not required to anticipate challenge and to produce sufficient evidence to defeat it during presentation of its case to jury	6-13
6.17143	Court may allow state to produce additional evidence on issue when multiplicity challenge raised at trial	6-13
6.1715	Waiver of multiplicity challenge	6-13
6.17151	In general	6-13
6.17152	By failure to raise multiplicity claim at trial before case is submitted to jury when basis for claim is evidence state adduced at trial	6-13
6.17153	By entry of guilty plea (see sec. 7.3236)	6-13
6.1716	Appellate review of multiplicity issue	6-14
6.1717	Other and related matters	6-14
6.17171	Determining appropriate unit of prosecution (see sec. 31.73)	6-14
6.17172	No need to remand for resentencing when improper multiple charges have resulted in concurrent sentences	6-14

6.17173	When defendant contends two charges are multiplicitous, acquittal on one of them renders issue moot	6-14
6.17174	Multiplicity issue is for judge, not jury, to decide	6-14
6.17175	Burden of proof on multiplicity issue	6-14
6.172	Multiplicity (charging single offense in more than one count): particular situations	6-14
6.1721	Particular situations	6-14
6.17211	Charging multiple counts of sexual assault based on single act that violates multiple sections of sexual assault statute	6-14
6.17212	Charging multiple counts for separate forms or acts of sexual contact or intercourse during single assautive episode or transaction	6-14
6.17213	Charging attempted murder and injury by conduct regardless of life based on same act or acts	6-14
6.17214	Charging burglary/battery and intermediate battery	6-15
6.17215	Charging multiple counts of issuance of worthless check during fifteen-day period based on groups of checks having a total value of more than \$1,000	6-15
6.17216	Charging both homicide by intoxicated use of a vehicle and homicide by negligent operation of a vehicle as a result of the death of a single victim	6-15
6.17217	Charging multiple counts of bail jumping based on failure to comply, in two cases, with condition of single bond that covered both cases (e.g. failure to appear at preliminary hearings in two cases when preliminary hearings were scheduled for same time and requirement of appearance at hearings was contained in single bond that covered both cases)	6-15
6.17218	Charging multiple counts of bail jumping based on multiple violations of single bond at same time and place	6-15
6.17219	Charging multiple counts of bail jumping based on single act violating same condition in multiple bonds	6-15
6.1722	Particular situations (cont'd)	6-15
6.17221	Charging both second-degree reckless homicide and homicide by intoxicated use of a vehicle where defendant's criminal conduct resulted in death of one person	6-15
6.17222	Charging multiple counts of second-degree reckless endangerment arising out of one episode of reckless driving	6-15
6.17223	Charging both child enticement and attempted child exploitation	6-15
6.17224	Charging multiple counts of perjury based on separate and distinct false declarations in testimony that are all related and arise out of the same transaction or subject matter	6-16

6.17225	Charging two inchoate crimes (e.g., conspiracy and attempt) which both have the same completed crime as their objective	6-16
6.17226	Charging multiple counts of sexual assault of a child based on different touchings of breasts within relatively short period of time	6-16
6.17227	Charging multiple homicides as result of single act of drunk driving resulting in deaths of multiple victims	6-16
6.17228	Charging multiple counts of obscenity for sale of multiple obscene magazines in one transaction	6-16
6.17229	Charging multiple counts of theft by fraud based on multiple fraudulent invoices submitted by defendant	6-16
6.1723	Particular situations (cont'd)	6-16
6.17231	Charging multiple counts of theft each based on different firearm taken during single act of taking, i.e., single episode of theft	6-16
6.17232	Prosecution and punishment for operating while under the influence of an intoxicant, sixth offense, and causing injury to another person while operating while under the influence of an intoxicant	6-16
6.17233	Prosecution and punishment for operating while having a prohibited alcohol concentration, sixth offense, and causing injury to another person while operating while having a prohibited alcohol concentration	6-16
6.17234	Charging multiple counts of possession of child pornography each based on separate and distinct pornographic image on computer disk	6-16
6.17235	Charging carjacking based on initial taking of vehicle, and operating without owner's consent based on later operation of same vehicle	6-17
6.17236	Charging battery under Wis. Stat. § 940.19 and battery (special circumstances) under Wis. Stat. § 940.20	6-17
6.17237	Charging aggravated battery and first-degree recklessly endangering safety based on the same act	6-17
6.17238	Charging burglary with intent to steal with a dangerous weapon in violation of Wis. Stat. § 943.10(2)(a) and burglary with intent to steal while committing a battery upon person lawfully in burglarized enclosure in violation of Wis. Stat. § 943.10(2)(d)	6-17
6.17239	Charging multiple counts of conspiracy based on single conspiracy that has as its object commission of multiple crimes	6-17
6.1724	Particular situations (cont'd)	6-17
6.17241	Charging multiple counts of witness intimidation based on each individual act of intimidation (see sec. 33.6161)	6-17
6.17242	Charging multiple counts of first-degree reckless injury based on separate blows struck and injuries inflicted	6-17
6.17243	Charging multiple counts of violation of Wis. Stat. § 29.951 (resisting warden) for acts that occurred during one encounter with warden (see sec. 38.95311)	6-17

6.17244	Charging multiple counts of child enticement when there is one enticement but multiple counts are based on each different act that the defendant intended to commit	6-17
6.17245	Charging first-degree reckless homicide by delivery of controlled substance and contributing to delinquency of child with death as consequence based on same act	6-18
6.173	Duplicity (charging more than one offense in single count)	6-18
6.1731	General Principles	6-18
6.1732	Particular situations	6-18
6.17321	Charging in disjunctive the alternative ways of committing the offense of embezzlement	6-18
6.17322	Charging multiple acts of sexual assault in single count	6-18
6.17323	Charging both attempt to entice child and completed child enticement in single count (see sec. 38.64742)	6-18
6.1733	When separate criminal offenses of same type occur during one continuous criminal transaction, prosecutor may join these acts in single count	6-18
6.1734	Waiver of objection to duplicity by failure to object to complaint on duplicity grounds	6-19
6.1735	Duplicity problem may be cured by jury instruction requiring jurors to agree on offense defendant committed	6-19
6.1736	Remedy for duplicitous charge	6-19
6.17361	Proper remedy is not dismissal of complaint, but rather requiring state to elect which charge it will pursue at trial or to separate the acts into separate counts	6-19
6.17362	Duplicity problem may be cured by jury instruction requiring jurors to agree on offense defendant committed (see sec. 6.1725)	6-19
6.18	Challenging The Complaint	6-19
6.181	Time for challenge	6-19
6.182	Mode of challenge	6-19
6.1821	Pretrial motion	6-19
6.1822	Habeas corpus	6-19
6.1823	Other	6-19
6.183	Waiver of challenge	6-19
6.1831	In general	6-19
6.1832	Waiver of objection to duplicity by failure to object to complaint on duplicity grounds (see sec. 6.1724)	6-19
6.184	Other matters	6-19
6.1841	Challenge to complaint not rendered moot or immaterial by conviction	6-19
6.19	Other Matters	6-19
6.191	Delay in charging	6-19
6.1911	In general (see sec. 22.312)	6-19
6.1912	For particular purposes	6-20
6.19121	To prejudice defense (see sec. 22.312)	6-20
6.19122	To avoid juvenile court jurisdiction (see secs. 42.42 and 42.92)	6-20

6.192	Prosecutorial discretion in deciding to charge or selecting charge (see secs. 12.42 and 12.43)	6-20
6.193	Charging party to a crime (see sec. 31.25)	6-20
6.194	Charging in the disjunctive	6-20
6.195	Effect of defects in complaint	6-20
6.1951	Failure to charge offense (see sec. 12.1254)	6-20
6.1952	Failure to establish probable cause	6-20
6.19521	In general	6-20
6.19522	Failure to establish probable cause deprives court of personal jurisdiction	6-20
6.1953	Reference to wrong or nonexistent statutory subsection (see sec. 6.146)	6-20
6.196	Amendment of complaint	6-20
6.1961	In general	6-20
6.1962	To allege repeater status (see sec. 31.6243)	6-20
6.1963	At trial, to conform to proof	6-21
6.197	Relationship of allegations of complaint to proof at trial	6-21
6.1971	No need to prove every alternative alleged in charging document (see sec. 19.511)	6-21
6.1972	No need to prove precise facts alleged in charging document (see sec. 19.512)	6-21
6.1973	Surplus allegations may be disregarded (see sec. 19.513)	6-21
6.1974	Proof at trial need not be limited to allegations of complaint (see sec. 19.514)	6-21
6.198	Other matters	6-21
6.1981	Waiver of objection to complaint (see sec. 6.183)	6-21
6.1982	Need to submit complaint containing intercepted communications to court under seal (see sec. 5.11312)	6-21
6.1983	Effect of failure to submit complaint containing intercepted communications to court under seal (see sec. 5.11313)	6-21
6.1984	Propriety of joining criminal counts and civil forfeiture counts in criminal complaint (see sec. 6.165)	6-21
6.1985	Attaching documents (e.g., police reports) to complaint to provide probable cause or to meet other requirements for valid and sufficient complaint	6-21
6.19851	Propriety of in general	6-21
6.19852	No need to use "incorporated by reference" or other magic words in complaint to allow use and consideration of attached document	6-21
6.19853	Sufficiency of non-eyewitness complainant's attestation to truthfulness and reliability of attached document	6-21
6.1986	Disciplinary rule requiring prosecutor to refrain from prosecuting charge that prosecutor knows is not supported by probable cause	6-21
6.19861	Rule requires actual knowledge, not simply reason to know, that charge is not supported by probable cause (see sec. 12.42821)	6-22
6.1987	Remedy when charging document mischaracterizes degree of offense, e.g., when it designates crime charged as felony when it is actually misdemeanor (see sec. 6.1491)	6-22

	<i>Page</i>
6.199 Other matters (cont'd)	6-22
6.1991 Appellate review of sufficiency of complaint	6-22
6.1992 Sending complaint into jury room during deliberations (see sec. 8.625)	6-22
6.1993 Dismissal of complaint by prosecutor	6-22
6.19931 Prosecutor's discretion to dismiss complaint (see sec. 12.44)	6-22
6.19932 Right of prosecutor to dismiss charges issued by judge at conclusion of John Doe hearing (see sec. 12.442)	6-22
6.1994 Use of document other than long form criminal complaint to charge criminal offense	6-22
6.19941 Use of uniform traffic citation to charge traffic crimes (see sec. 37.131)	6-22
6.19942 Use of police reports to charge misdemeanors	6-22
6.1995 No need to allege facts justifying search and seizure giving rise to criminal charge	6-22
6.1996 Challenge to complaint not rendered moot or immaterial by conviction (see sec. 6.1841)	6-23
6.1997 Need to submit portions of complaint containing intercepted communications to court at time of filing to permit court to seal them (see sec. 5.11312)	6-23
6.1998 Reissuance of complaint	6-23
6.1999 Use of legally intercepted communications in criminal complaint (see sec. 5.11311)	6-23
6.2 Securing Defendant's Presence	6-23
6.21 By Arrest	6-23
6.22 By Summons	6-23
6.23 By Citation (In Misdemeanor Case)	6-23
6.231 In general	6-23
6.232 Requirement of reasonable grounds	6-23
6.24 By Interstate Detainer	6-23
6.241 Procedures under Interstate Agreement on Detainers (IAD) in general	6-23
6.242 Lodging detainer	6-23
6.2421 What constitutes detainer	6-23
6.24211 In general	6-23
6.24212 Writ of habeas corpus ad prosequendum is not detainer and therefore does not trigger IAD and its protections	6-23
6.24213 Does Wisconsin arrest warrant indicating that nationwide extradition would be used if defendant was arrested outside of state constitute detainer?	6-24
6.24214 Does entry of nationwide arrest warrant into NCIC constitute detainer?	6-24
6.24215 Detainer exists when, upon inquiry from non- Wisconsin prison confining defendant, employee of Wisconsin sheriff's department confirms existence of Wisconsin criminal complaint and nationwide arrest warrant and then faxes warrant and complaint to prison with request for notification of defendant's release	6-24

6.24216	Determination whether particular document constitutes determiner is question of law that appellate court determines independently of circuit court	6-24
6.2422	Sufficiency of documentation	6-24
6.2423	Propriety of lodging successive detainer requests	6-24
6.2424	When previous, identical detainer proceeding has been dismissed, requesting state cannot reuse documents from first proceeding to initiate second proceeding to obtain defendant's transfer; new documents, approved by judge in requesting state, must be issued	6-24
6.243	Requirement of pre-transfer hearing	6-24
6.2431	In general	6-24
6.2432	Requirement that hearing be held within thirty days	6-24
6.2433	Remedy for failure to hold hearing	6-24
6.244	Requirement of trial within specified time	6-24
6.2441	In general	6-24
6.2442	Sufficiency of request for final disposition that triggers requirement of trial within specified time	6-25
6.24421	In general	6-25
6.24422	Prisoner must strictly comply with requirements of Interstate Agreement on Detainers	6-25
6.2443	Determining date by which trial must be held	6-25
6.24431	Determining whether time period under article III or under article IV applies	6-25
6.24432	Time for trial begins running on date demanding state receives request for final deposition	6-25
6.2444	Withdrawal of detainer, so as to obviate requirement of trial within specified time	6-25
6.24441	In general	6-25
6.24442	Once detainer is filed, its withdrawal does not obviate requirement unless underlying charge is dismissed	6-25
6.2445	Excluded time periods	6-25
6.24451	Time while case on appeal after trial court has granted stay pending appeal	6-25
6.2446	Grant of continuance	6-26
6.2447	Waiver of requirement or right to relief based on its violation	6-26
6.24471	In general	6-26
6.24472	By agreeing to trial date outside 180-day limit (see sec. 6.2448)	6-26
6.24473	By affirmatively stating on the record that he is not requesting speedy trial	6-26
6.24474	By discharging attorney shortly before scheduled trial	6-26
6.24475	By pleading guilty or no contest to charge(s)	6-26
6.2448	Defendant's or defense counsel's agreement to trial date outside time period required by Interstate Agreement on Detainers bars defendant from seeking dismissal because trial did not occur within that period	6-26
6.24481	In general	6-26

6.24482	Defense counsel may agree, on behalf of defendant, to trial outside required time period, and thus waive defendant's right to trial within required time period; personal agreement or waiver by defendant is not required	6-26
6.2449	Other matters	6-26
6.24491	Requirement not applicable to detainers based on probation-violation charges	6-26
6.24492	Cognizability in federal habeas corpus proceeding of failure to comply with time limitation on holding trial (see sec. 10.61386)	6-26
6.24493	Time limitation does not apply to detainer requests where prisoner has already been convicted but not sentenced	6-27
6.24494	Service of writ of habeas corpus ad prosequendum does not trigger time requirements	6-27
6.24495	Defendant's right to dismissal with prejudice for failure to hold trial within required time does not require defendant to make showing of prejudice	6-27
6.245	Applicability of provisions of extradition act	6-27
6.2451	Requirements of extradition act apply to state-initiated transfer	6-27
6.2452	Prisoner who initiates transfer must waive extradition, thereby rendering provisions of extradition act inapplicable	6-27
6.246	Propriety of lodging successive detainer requests (see sec. 6.2323)	6-27
6.247	Anti-shuttling prohibition	6-27
6.2471	In general	6-27
6.2472	Nature of right created by prohibition: fundamental, constitutional, or statutory?	6-27
6.2473	Service of writ of habeas corpus ad prosequendum does not trigger anti-shuttling provision	6-27
6.2474	Waiver of prohibition	6-27
6.24741	No need for knowing and intentional relinquishment of right to enforce prohibition	6-27
6.24742	By requesting treatment inconsistent with prohibition	6-27
6.2475	Effect of violation of anti-shuttling prohibition: dismissal of charge required	6-27
6.248	Appellate review of violations of Interstate Agreement on Detainers	6-27
6.2481	In general	6-27
6.2482	Standard of appellate review	6-27
6.249	Other matters	6-28
6.2491	Nature of interstate agreement on detainers, and of rights conferred by agreement, in general	6-28
6.24911	Nature of interstate agreement on detainers in general	6-28
6.24912	Nature of rights conferred by interstate agreement on detainers in general	6-28
6.2492	Purpose and function of interstate agreement on detainers in general	6-28
6.2493	Waiver of rights provided by Interstate Agreement on Detainers	6-28
6.24931	In general	6-28

6.24932	Of requirement of trial within specified time (see sec. 6.2347)	6-28
6.2494	Remedy of dismissal for violation of Interstate Agreement on Detainers (IAD)	6-28
6.24941	In general	6-28
6.24942	Not required when other state violates IAD	6-28
6.24943	Defendant's right to dismissal with prejudice for failure to hold trial within required time does not require defendant to make showing of prejudice (see sec. 6.24495)	6-28
6.2495	Interpreting provisions of interstate agreement on detainers	6-28
6.24951	Federal and state decisions construing interstate agreement on detainers accorded considerable weight in interpreting Wisconsin detainer provisions	6-28
6.24952	In interpretation of interstate agreement on detainers, federal decisions trump state decisions because interstate agreement on detainers is federal compact subject to federal interpretation	6-28
6.24953	State law does not drive interpretation of IAD, which is federal compact subject to federal construction	6-29
6.24954	To accord consistency with IAD interpretations of federal and other state courts, Wisconsin court may employ federal rules of construction in interpreting it	6-29
6.24955	Interstate agreement on detainers is remedial statute that is construed liberally in favor of prisoner	6-29
6.24956	Object of interpretation of interstate agreement on detainers is to provide uniformity and consistency in its interpretation	6-29
6.2496	Service of writ of habeas corpus ad prosequendum does not trigger IAD and its protections	6-29
6.2497	State may use writ of habeas corpus ad prosequendum instead of Interstate Agreement on Detainers to secure defendant's presence in state for trial	6-29
6.2498	Scope of application of interstate agreement of detainers, and of rights and procedures created by and under it	6-29
6.24981	Does not apply to probationer or parolee who is sought by requesting state because of probation or parole violation	6-29
6.24982	Does not apply to person on parole from sending state when Wisconsin attempts to subject person to ch. 980 commitment upon completion of his or her Wisconsin sentence (see sec. 6.23991)	6-29
6.2499	Other matters	6-29

6.24991	When person imprisoned under criminal sentence in another state has waived his right to extradition and been sent to Wisconsin from another state for trial on criminal charges under provisions of interstate agreement on detainers, has been tried and sentenced on those charges, has been sent back to sending state to complete sentence in that state, has been paroled by sending state to Wisconsin sentence, and is about to complete Wisconsin sentence, neither interstate agreement on detainers or waiver of extradition bars Wisconsin from commencing ch. 980 commitment proceeding	6-30
6.24992	Federal authorities' use of interstate agreement on detainers and of writ of habeas corpus ad prosequendum	6-30
6.24993	Use of interstate agreement on detainers to secure presence of parolee or probationer for revocation hearing (see sec. 6.23981)	6-30
6.24994	Purposes of Interstate Agreement on Detainers	6-30
6.24995	Cognizability in federal habeas corpus proceeding of failure to comply with time limitation on holding trial (see sec. 10.61386)	6-30
6.25	By Intrastate Detainer	6-30
6.251	Requirement of trial within specified time	6-30
6.2511	In general	6-30
6.2512	Sufficiency of demand for trial within specified time	6-30
6.2513	Once district attorney receives request for prompt disposition of case, responsibility for prompt disposition is placed squarely on district attorney	6-30
6.2514	Waiver of request for prompt disposition of case	6-30
6.25141	In general	6-30
6.25142	Defendant does not waive right to trial within specified time by defense attorney's failing to correct district attorney's statement that no request for prompt disposition has been made and saying that adjournment was "all right" or "fine"	6-31
6.2515	When trial not held within specified time, remedy is dismissal, and trial court has discretion to direct that dismissal be with prejudice	6-31
6.25151	In general	6-31
6.25152	Factors to be considered in determining whether dismissal should be with or without prejudice	6-31
6.252	Remedy for failure to comply with provisions of Intrastate Detainer Act	6-31
6.2521	Failure to hold trial within specified time (see sec. 6.2415)	6-31
6.26	By Extradition From Another State	6-31
6.261	General principles	6-31
6.2611	Nature and purpose of extradition law	6-31
6.2612	Extradition is not right conferred on prisoner, but rather right of asylum state	6-31
6.2613	Supremacy of federal law	6-31

6.2614	Failure to properly use extradition process does not affect power of state to try and punish prisoner	6-31
6.262	Requirement of fugitive status	6-31
6.263	Arrest and detention of defendant in asylum state	6-32
6.2631	Period of detention may not exceed ninety days without governor's warrant	6-32
6.26311	In general	6-32
6.26312	If fugitive released because warrant fails to arrive prior to expiration of deadline, asylum state retains authority to rearrest person when warrant is received	6-32
6.2632	Time limits on detention only pertain to fugitives not already in custody pending other charges or serving other sentences	6-32
6.2633	Arrest of Indian on Indian reservation	6-32
6.264	Extradition procedures	6-32
6.2641	Authentication of extradition documents	6-32
6.2642	Requirement of pre-transfer hearing	6-32
6.2643	No need for warrant from demanding state	6-32
6.2644	No requirement that governor be neutral	6-32
6.265	Waiver of extradition by defendant	6-32
6.2651	In general	6-32
6.2652	When person imprisoned under criminal sentence in another state has waived his right to extradition and been sent to Wisconsin from another state for trial on criminal charges under provisions of interstate agreement on detainers, has been tried and sentenced on those charges, has been sent back to sending state to complete sentence in that state, has been paroled by sending state to Wisconsin sentence, and is about to complete Wisconsin sentence, neither interstate agreement on detainers or waiver of extradition bars Wisconsin from commencing ch. 980 commitment proceeding (see sec. 6.23991)	6-32
6.266	Use of habeas corpus to test extradition	6-32
6.2661	Availability of habeas corpus to test extradition	6-32
6.2662	Time limits on holding hearing on habeas petition	6-33
6.267	Judicial review in asylum state	6-33
6.2671	Scope of review in general	6-33
6.2672	Review of facial validity of extradition documents	6-33
6.2673	Review of defendant's status as a fugitive	6-33
6.2674	When court in asylum state needs to make probable cause determination	6-33
6.2675	Trial court's obligation to grant stay of order quashing writ	6-33
6.2676	No need for extradition documents to make showing of probable cause when person being extradited has been convicted of crime	6-33
6.2677	Proceedings in demanding state are clothed with presumption of regularity	6-33
6.268	Waiver of right to extradite	6-33
6.269	Other matters	6-33
6.2691	Extradition of persons of unsound mind (see sec. 12.6871)	6-34
6.2692	Power of federal court to require governor to extradite	6-34
6.2693	Extradition of witnesses	6-34

6.2694	Prisoner transported out of state to serve Wisconsin sentence by confinement in another state and then transported back to Wisconsin through another state has no right to insist that he be extradited from that other state in order for Wisconsin to retain jurisdiction over him	6-34
6.2695	Wisconsin does not waive jurisdiction over prisoner by transporting prisoner out of state for confinement in another state and then transporting him back to Wisconsin through another state without using extradition process to extradite him from that state	6-34
6.2696	When person imprisoned under criminal sentence in another state has waived his right to extradition and been sent to Wisconsin from another state for trial on criminal charges under provisions of interstate agreement on detainers, has been tried and sentenced on those charges, has been sent back to sending state to complete sentence in that state, has been paroled by sending state to Wisconsin sentence, and is about to complete Wisconsin sentence, neither interstate agreement on detainers or waiver of extradition bars Wisconsin from commencing ch. 980 commitment proceeding (see sec. 6.23991)	6-34
6.27	By Extradition To Foreign Country	6-34
6.271	American citizen in United States may not be extradited to foreign country unless legal authority to do so is given by act of Congress or by terms of treaty	6-34
6.28	By Extradition From Foreign Country	6-34
6.281	Nature of international extradition in general	6-34
6.282	When defendant extradited pursuant to treaty, he may not be prosecuted in violation of terms of treaty	6-34
6.2821	In general	6-34
6.2822	Rule of Specialty (see sec. 6.264)	6-35
6.283	Forcible abduction from foreign country does not bar trial in the United States unless treaty with foreign country prohibits abduction	6-35
6.284	Rule of Specialty (rule requiring that extradited defendant be tried for crimes on which extradition granted, and none other)	6-35
6.2841	Nature of rule in general	6-35
6.2842	Particular applications of rule	6-35
6.2843	Standard of appellate review of issues of specialty	6-35
6.29	By Other Methods	6-35
6.291	By writ of habeas corpus ad prosequendum	6-35
6.3	Initial Proceedings And Pretrial Release	6-35
6.31	Determination Of Probable Cause For Continued Detention After Arrest (Gerstein hearing)	6-35
6.311	Requirement of determination of probable cause in general	6-35
6.312	Requirement of timely probable cause determination in general	6-35
6.3121	Requirement in general	6-35
6.3122	Requirement of prompt determination of probable cause does not apply to persons already in state's lawful custody	6-36

6.3123	Arrest for probation violation or on probation hold is not arrest for purpose of criminal prosecution and does not trigger requirement of probable cause hearing within reasonable time after arrest	6-36
6.313	Determining whether probable cause exists	6-36
6.3131	In general	6-36
6.3132	May be based on hearsay and written testimony	6-36
6.314	Procedures for determining probable cause	6-36
6.3141	In general	6-36
6.3142	Need for defendant's presence at proceeding at which determination made	6-36
6.3143	Need for sworn testimony or statement in order to find probable cause	6-36
6.3144	Authority of court commissioner to make probable cause determination	6-36
6.315	Remedies for failure to make timely determination	6-36
6.3151	In general	6-36
6.3152	Suppression of statement made during period of detention	6-36
6.316	Retroactivity of requirement	6-37
6.317	Effect of failure to make timely determination of probable cause	6-37
6.3171	Does not affect subject-matter jurisdiction of trial court	6-37
6.318	Waiver of objection to failure to properly make required probable cause determination	6-37
6.3181	By failure to raise issue	6-37
6.3182	By entry of guilty plea	6-37
6.32	Initial Appearance	6-37
6.321	Requirement of prompt initial appearance	6-37
6.3211	In general	6-37
6.3212	Requirement does not apply when defendant already in lawful physical custody of the state	6-37
6.3213	Arrest for probation violation is not arrest for purpose of criminal prosecution and does not trigger requirement of initial appearance within reasonable time after arrest	6-37
6.322	Procedures at initial appearance	6-37
6.323	Effect of delay in holding initial appearance (state rule)	6-37
6.3231	On statement of defendant (see sec. 2.4)	6-37
6.3232	On jurisdiction of court	6-37
6.324	Effect of delay in holding initial appearance (federal rule)	6-37
6.3241	If federal statutory law creates rule permitting or requiring suppression of confession if made more than six hours following arrest without initial appearance, such rule does not apply when person is in custody solely on state charges	6-37
6.325	Waiver of complaint about failure to hold prompt initial appearance	6-38
6.3251	By failure to raise issue	6-38
6.3252	By entry of guilty plea	6-38
6.326	Other matters	6-38
6.33	Pretrial Release And Bail	6-38
6.331	Constitutional requirements (see sec. 30.993)	6-38
6.332	When release permitted	6-38
6.3321	Before conviction	6-38

6.3322	During competency commitment	6-38
6.3323	After conviction, but before sentencing	6-38
6.3324	After sentencing, but before appeal	6-38
6.3325	Pending appeal	6-38
6.3326	Pending federal habeas corpus review (see sec. 10.6995)	6-38
6.333	Procedures for obtaining bail	6-38
6.3331	Pretrial	6-38
6.3332	Pending appeal	6-38
6.3333	After reversal of conviction	6-38
6.334	Factors to consider in permitting release and setting monetary and other conditions of release	6-38
6.3341	In general	6-38
6.3342	Defendant's ability to pay	6-38
6.33421	Fact that defendant is shirker irrelevant to this factor; present ability is all that matters	6-38
6.335	Monetary and other conditions of release	6-38
6.3351	In general	6-38
6.3352	Particular conditions of release	6-39
6.33521	Refraining from driving without valid license	6-39
6.33522	Prohibition on consumption of alcohol or controlled substance	6-39
6.3353	Right to impose monetary condition of release when granting release pending appeal in misdemeanor case (see sec. 11.3252)	6-39
6.3354	Conditions infringing on defendant's right to freedom of speech	6-39
6.3355	Trial court not required to accept sufficient solvent sureties in lieu of cash	6-39
6.3356	Cannot impose and enforce conditions of release unless defendant is released	6-39
6.336	Challenging excessive bail or improper conditions	6-39
6.337	Increase or reduction of bail or modification of conditions of release	6-39
6.338	Forfeiture or other disposition of bail	6-39
6.3381	Bail may be forfeited for violation of conditions of bond other than requirement that defendant attend every court appearance	6-39
6.3382	Effect of invalidity of order to appear that defendant violates	6-39
6.3383	Cannot be forfeited for defendant's absconding after sentence imposed	6-39
6.3384	Application of bail to satisfy particular obligations	6-39
6.33841	Bail may not be applied toward restitution	6-39
6.33842	To satisfy court costs imposed by court: in general	6-39
6.33843	To satisfy court costs imposed by court: court is required to order remainder of defendant's bond deposit to be applied toward payment of court costs	6-40
6.3385	Time limitations on appeal from forfeiture of bail	6-40
6.3386	Reduction of forfeiture	6-40
6.3387	Setting aside of forfeiture order	6-40
6.33871	In general	6-40
6.33872	Factors to consider	6-40
6.33873	Refusal to set aside forfeiture order requires exercise of discretion; it cannot be justified by blanket rule that set aside will never be granted	6-40

6.33874	Appellate review of refusal to set aside forfeiture	6-40
6.3388	Circuit court has discretion to enter judgment on order forfeiting bail absent motion by district attorney when defendant appears within 30 days of date of forfeiture	6-40
6.3389	Other matters	6-40
6.33891	Appropriateness of forfeiture in particular case	6-40
6.33892	Appealability of judgment ordering forfeiture	6-40
6.33893	Right of person who posted bail to participate in proceedings relating to disposition of bail (see sec. 12.68743)	6-40
6.339	Other matters	6-40
6.3391	Bail jumping (see sec. 35.494)	6-40
6.3392	Bail pending appeal (see sec. 11.32)	6-40
6.3393	Uniform misdemeanor bail schedule	6-40
6.33931	Validity of bail schedule	6-40
6.33932	Constitutionality of statutory provisions relating to Uniform Misdemeanor Bail Schedule	6-41
6.3394	Application of bail money to pay fine	6-41
6.33941	In general	6-41
6.33942	Constitutionality of statute permitting allocation of bail money to pay fine	6-41
6.3395	Denial of release (preventive detention) (see sec. 6.65)	6-41
6.3396	Meaning of terms	6-41
6.33961	"Bail" (see sec. 12.7155)	6-41
6.33962	"Bond" (see sec. 12.7156)	6-41
6.34	Other Matters	6-41
6.341	Court's power to impose conditions (e.g., no contact with victim) on defendant who has not been released pending trial	6-41
6.3411	Under chap. 969	6-41
6.3412	Under Wis. Stat. § 940.47	6-41
6.342	Orders relating to victims and witnesses (see sec. 6.68)	6-41
6.4	Preliminary Examination	6-41
6.41	Necessity Of Preliminary Examination And Finding Of Probable Cause	6-41
6.411	Necessity of preliminary examination	6-41
6.4111	In general	6-41
6.4112	Preliminary examination not constitutionally required (see sec. 6.466)	6-41
6.412	Necessity of finding of probable cause	6-41
6.42	Nature And Purpose Of Preliminary Examination	6-41
6.421	In general	6-41
6.422	Nature of preliminary examination	6-43
6.423	Purpose of preliminary examination	6-43
6.4231	In general	6-43
6.4232	Preliminary examination is not for purpose of discovery; discovery is byproduct, not objective, of preliminary examination (see sec. 6.498)	6-43
6.43	Timeliness Of Preliminary Examination	6-43
6.431	In general	6-43
6.432	Court's extension of time for holding preliminary examination for cause	6-43

	<i>Page</i>
6.433	When defendant pursues permissive appeal after initial appearance 6-43
6.434	Effect of untimely examination 6-43
6.44	Making The Probable Cause And Bindover Decision 6-43
6.441	General principles for determining probable cause 6-43
6.4411	In general 6-43
6.4412	Need only probable cause to believe a felony, not necessarily charged crime, was committed 6-45
6.4413	If reasonable inference supports finding of probable cause, judge should bind over; judge is not to choose between conflicting facts or inferences or to weigh state's evidence against evidence favorable to defendant 6-45
6.4414	Court not required to state specific felony committed 6-45
6.4415	Determination of credibility of witnesses; credibility of witnesses is not issue at preliminary examination; only issue is plausibility of witness's story 6-46
6.442	Comparison of probable needed to bind defendant over for trial with probable cause in other contexts 6-46
6.4421	Probable cause needed to bind defendant over for trial is greater than that required for issuance of arrest warrant 6-46
6.4422	Probable cause needed to bind defendant over for trial is greater than that required to support issuance of complaint 6-46
6.443	Making bindover decision in single-count complaint setting 6-46
6.4431	Need only probable cause to believe a felony, not necessarily charged crime, was committed 6-46
6.444	Making bindover decision in multiple-count complaint setting 6-46
6.4441	In general 6-46
6.4442	With respect to particular count, need only probable cause to believe that felony, not necessarily felony charged in that count, was committed 6-46
6.4443	If probable cause exists as to particular count, court should order bindover on that count and all counts transactionally related to that count 6-47
6.4444	With respect to transactionally distinct counts, court must find probable cause to believe that a felony was committed as to each count; if probable cause does not exist with respect to transactionally distinct count or counts, count or counts must be dismissed 6-47
6.445	No equal protection violation in standards applied in single-count complaint setting and in multiple-count complaint setting because the standards are the same in both settings 6-47
6.446	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause with respect to particular crimes 6-47
6.447	Sufficiency of evidence to establish identity of defendant as perpetrator of crime 6-47
6.448	Sufficiency of particular evidence to establish probable cause 6-47
6.4481	Defendant's uncorroborated confession 6-47
6.449	Other matters 6-47
6.4491	Remedy when appellate court, on appeal from judgment of conviction, concludes that evidence was insufficient at preliminary examination (see sec. 6.48716) 6-47

6.4492	Necessity of testimony of chemist or other expert to show nature of controlled substance	6-47
6.4493	Consideration of lack of motive	6-47
6.4494	Requirement that trial court exercise discretion	6-48
6.4495	In determining whether probable cause established, must exclude consideration of evidence improperly admitted	6-48
6.4496	Finding of probable cause may be based solely on unconstitutionally obtained evidence	6-48
6.4497	Belief that crimes other than charged offense committed, or that defendant is potentially subject to civil liability, does not defeat finding of probable cause	6-48
6.4498	When challenge is made to new charges contained in information following bindover when original charge stated in complaint is not repeated in information, proper test is sufficiency of evidence test (i.e., whether evidence at preliminary examination was sufficient to demonstrate probable cause to believe defendant committed felony) (see sec. 6.538)	6-48
6.45	Admission Of Evidence	6-48
6.451	Rules of evidence generally applicable	6-48
6.452	Hearsay evidence	6-48
6.4521	Admissible if it falls within hearsay exception, with no need to show compliance with right to confrontation	6-48
6.4522	Admissible to prove ownership or lack of consent	6-48
6.453	State crime lab reports	6-48
6.454	Need for trial court to exercise discretion in admitting evidence	6-49
6.455	Effect of erroneous admission of evidence when properly admitted evidence establishes probable cause	6-49
6.456	Admission of unconstitutionally obtained evidence	6-49
6.457	Review of magistrate's evidentiary rulings (see sec. 6.483)	6-49
6.46	Miscellaneous Matters Relating To Preliminary Examination	6-49
6.461	Cross-examination of witnesses	6-49
6.4611	Defendant's right to confront and cross-examine witnesses	6-49
6.4612	Scope of cross-examination	6-49
6.462	Defendant's rights at preliminary examination	6-49
6.4621	To confront and cross-examine witnesses (see sec. 6.4611)	6-49
6.4622	To call witnesses and present other evidence	6-50
6.4623	To assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.142)	6-50
6.4624	To closing argument	6-50
6.4625	To interpreter	6-50
6.4626	To transcript of preliminary examination	6-50
6.4627	To have proceeding open to public (see sec. 30.7213)	6-50
6.4628	Right to compulsory process to compel attendance of witnesses	6-50
6.463	Preliminary examination of child who is subject to original jurisdiction of adult court; "reverse waiver proceeding"	6-50
6.4631	Preliminary examination	6-50
6.46311	What court must find at preliminary examination: probable cause that defendant committed crime charged in complaint	6-50
6.4632	Constitutionality of "reverse waiver" proceeding (see sec. 42.112)	6-50

6.4633	Standards for determining, and burden of proof on question whether criminal court should retain jurisdiction	6-50
6.46331	Standards for determining whether criminal court should retain jurisdiction	6-50
6.46332	Burden of proof on question whether criminal court should retain jurisdiction	6-50
6.4634	Admission of evidence at "reverse waiver" hearing	6-50
6.46341	In general	6-50
6.46342	Admissibility of evidence contradicting offense charged in criminal complaint	6-50
6.46343	Hearsay in general	6-51
6.46344	Hearsay upon which expert based opinion	6-51
6.46345	Opinion regarding truthfulness of witness or of hearsay declarant	6-51
6.4635	Court's decision on "reverse waiver"	6-51
6.46351	In general	6-51
6.46352	May not be based on findings of fact contrary to those contained in criminal complaint	6-51
6.46353	May not be based on substantive use of hearsay evidence	6-51
6.4636	Propriety of decision retaining or relinquishing criminal court jurisdiction in particular case	6-51
6.46361	Decision retaining criminal court jurisdiction	6-51
6.46362	Decision relinquishing criminal court jurisdiction	6-51
6.4637	Appellate review of decision retaining or transferring jurisdiction	6-51
6.46371	Mode of obtaining review	6-51
6.46372	Standard of review	6-51
6.4638	Other matters	6-51
6.46381	Court assigned to juvenile division is competent to hear "reverse waiver" hearing under chief judge's order in Milwaukee County	6-51
6.464	Corporation's right to preliminary examination	6-51
6.4641	Corporation has no right to preliminary examination	6-51
6.4642	Constitutionality of denying corporation right to preliminary examination	6-52
6.465	Effect of errors relating to preliminary on jurisdiction of trial court (see secs. 6.411 and 12.1334)	6-52
6.466	Preliminary examination not constitutionally required, but rather is purely statutory right	6-52
6.467	Detention of defendant after dismissal of complaint at preliminary until new complaint filed (see sec. 6.977)	6-52
6.468	Other matters	6-52
6.4681	Disposition when evidence establishes misdemeanor, not felony	6-52
6.4682	Discovery is incidental fringe benefit of preliminary examination, but it is not a right	6-52
6.469	Other matters (cont'd)	6-52
6.4691	Effect of state's destruction of evidence	6-52
6.4692	Limiting access by public and press to preliminary examination (see secs. 30.7213 and 46.123)	6-52

6.4693	Testimony of undercover agent giving false name, known to prosecutor to be false, does not require dismissal	6-52
6.4694	Applicability of rules of evidence (see sec. 6.451)	6-52
6.4695	Waiver of defects and errors in preliminary examination (see sec. 6.4893)	6-53
6.4696	Preliminary examination is not completed until court renders decision on bindover	6-53
6.4697	Discovery rights prior to preliminary examination (see sec. 6.8984)	6-53
6.4698	Preliminary hearing may be conducted by court commissioner	6-53
6.47	State's Remedies Upon Dismissal At Preliminary Examination	6-53
6.471	Trial court review of court commissioner's dismissal of single count of multi-count complaint	6-53
6.472	Reissuance of complaint	6-53
6.4721	When reissuance appropriate or permitted	6-53
6.4722	When felony charge reduced to misdemeanor	6-53
6.4723	Detention of defendant until new complaint issued (see sec. 6.977)	6-53
6.473	Appeal	6-53
6.4731	In general	6-53
6.4732	Need to seek trial court review before appeal taken	6-53
6.48	Appellate And Other Review Of Preliminary Examination	6-53
6.481	Modes of review	6-53
6.4811	Habeas corpus	6-53
6.4812	Motion to dismiss in trial court	6-54
6.4813	Appeal	6-54
6.48131	In general	6-54
6.48132	Right to review on appeal from conviction (see sec. 6.486)	6-54
6.482	Standard and scope of review of bindover decision	6-54
6.4821	In general	6-54
6.4822	When evidence found insufficient to establish probable cause	6-55
6.4823	When trial court reviewing determination of preliminary hearing judge	6-56
6.4824	When trial court reviewing court commissioner's bindover decision	6-56
6.48241	In general	6-56
6.48242	Defendant not entitled to de novo review of court commissioner's decision binding him or her over for trial	6-56
6.4825	When appellate court is reviewing circuit court's reversal of court commissioner's determination regarding existence of probable cause at preliminary hearing	6-56
6.4826	On review, appellate court will search record for any substantial ground based on competent evidence to support circuit court's bindover decision	6-56
6.483	Standard of review of evidentiary rulings	6-56
6.4831	In general	6-56
6.4832	When trial court reviewing court commissioner's evidentiary rulings	6-56

6.4833	Denial of right to cross-examine witnesses at preliminary hearing	6-56
6.484	Standard of review of decision whether state has new or unused evidence justifying issuance of second complaint	6-56
6.4841	When appellate court is reviewing circuit court's reversal of court commissioner's determination regarding existence of new or unused evidence	6-56
6.485	Standard of review in determining legal principles to be applied by trial court in assessing whether probable cause exists	6-56
6.4851	In general	6-56
6.4852	Determining whether court at preliminary examination may choose between competing inferences	6-56
6.486	Right to review and/or relief on appeal from conviction for errors or evidentiary insufficiency at preliminary examination	6-57
6.4861	In general	6-57
6.4862	If defendant seeks leave to appeal nonfinal bindover order, but court of appeals does not accept discretionary appeal, error at preliminary hearing is preserved for review on appeal from conviction	6-57
6.48621	In general	6-57
6.48622	To preserve error for review on appeal from conviction, defendant must have raised same issue in petition for leave to appeal nonfinal order	6-57
6.4863	Review and/or relief for denial of right to counsel	6-57
6.4864	Review and/or relief for denial of right to cross-examine witnesses	6-57
6.4865	Review and/or relief for evidentiary error	6-57
6.4866	Review and/or relief for denial of right to be present at preliminary examination	6-57
6.4867	Review and/or relief for failure to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	6-57
6.487	Remedy when defects in preliminary examination are raised on appeal from judgment of conviction	6-58
6.4871	Remedy when appellate court, on appeal from judgment of conviction, concludes that evidence was insufficient or error was committed at preliminary examination	6-58
6.48711	In general	6-58
6.48712	Particular error: denial of right to counsel (see sec. 6.4863)	6-58
6.48713	Particular error: denial of right to cross-examine witnesses (see sec. 6.4864)	6-58
6.48714	Particular error: violation of rule of evidence (see sec. 6.4865)	6-58
6.48715	Particular error: defendant denied right to be present at preliminary examination (see sec. 6.4866)	6-58
6.48716	Particular error: evidence insufficient to establish probable cause, but proof beyond a reasonable doubt established at trial (see sec. 6.4867)	6-58

6.4872	Remedy when appellate court, on appeal from judgment of conviction entered upon guilty plea, concludes that evidence failed to provide factual basis for plea to felony and that evidence was insufficient at preliminary examination to provide probable cause to believe felony was committed, but evidence sufficient to show misdemeanor	6-58
6.49	Other Matters	6-58
6.491	Effect of erroneous admission of evidence when properly admitted evidence establishes probable cause (see sec. 6.455)	6-59
6.492	In determining sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause, must exclude consideration of evidence improperly admitted (see sec. 6.4495)	6-59
6.493	Any error at preliminary hearing is cured by fair and errorless trial	6-59
6.494	Waiver of errors and defects in preliminary examination	6-59
6.495	Propriety of remand for limited preliminary examination or other proceeding to cure defect	6-59
6.496	Discovery rights prior to preliminary examination (see sec. 6.8984)	6-59
6.497	Preliminary examination is not a trial	6-59
6.498	Preliminary examination is not for purpose of discovery; discovery is byproduct, not objective, of preliminary examination	6-59
6.5	Information	6-59
6.51	Nature, Necessity, And Purpose Of Information	6-59
6.511	Nature and purpose of information	6-59
6.512	Necessity of information	6-59
6.52	Filing And Service	6-59
6.521	Time for filing: within 30 days from arraignment	6-59
6.5211	In general	6-59
6.5212	Thirty-day period for filing information does not begin to run until court renders decision on bindover following preliminary examination	6-60
6.522	Time for service	6-60
6.53	Charge Made In Information	6-60
6.531	Must be based on facts elicited at preliminary examination, i.e., must be transactionally related to one or more of the felonies for which defendant has been bound over for trial	6-60
6.5311	Requirement in general	6-60
6.5312	Requirement does not apply to additional misdemeanors alleged in information	6-60
6.532	Not limited to charges advanced at preliminary examination; information may include any charge or charges so long as they are not wholly unrelated to transactions or facts considered or testified to at preliminary	6-60
6.5321	Rule in general	6-60
6.5322	Rule applies even when magistrate at preliminary examination has found lack of probable cause with respect to charge being made in information	6-61
6.5323	Rule applies even when prosecutor has successfully objected at preliminary examination to questions that were relevant to charge being added in information, but not to that originally made in complaint (see sec. 6.537)	6-61

6.5324	Additional charge may relate to victim different from victim in charges advanced at preliminary examination	6-61
6.5325	When challenge is made to new charges contained in information following bindover when original charge stated in complaint is not repeated in information, proper test is sufficiency of evidence test (i.e., whether evidence at preliminary examination was sufficient to demonstrate probable cause to believe defendant committed felony) (see sec. 6.538)	6-61
6.533	Not limited to charge made in complaint when preliminary waived	6-61
6.534	Need not be supported by probable cause	6-61
6.535	In multiple-count criminal complaint setting	6-62
6.5351	Information may include any charge transactionally related to any count on which bindover ordered	6-62
6.5352	Propriety of including in information charge previously made in complaint: information may not include a charge when probable cause not found with respect to that charge or transactionally related charge at preliminary examination	6-62
6.5353	Propriety of including in information charge not previously made in complaint: information may not include any charge based on facts arising out of transaction with respect to which no probable cause to believe that a felony was committed was found at preliminary examination	6-62
6.536	May include charges dismissed by state before testimony at preliminary examination commences	6-62
6.537	When prosecutor amends information to proper charge, defendant not prejudiced by trial court's refusal to dismiss original charge	6-62
6.538	When challenge is made to new charges contained in information following bindover when original charge stated in complaint is not repeated in information, proper test is sufficiency of evidence test (i.e., whether evidence at preliminary examination was sufficient to demonstrate probable cause to believe defendant committed felony)	6-62
6.539	Other matters	6-62
6.5391	May include charge of crime even though prosecutor has successfully objected at preliminary examination to questions that were relevant to that crime, but not to crime charged in complaint	6-62
6.5392	When information charges defendant with multiple counts based on multiple acts violating same criminal statute, it should tie specific act to each count charged (see sec. 6.148)	6-62
6.54	Sufficiency Of Content	6-63
6.541	In general	6-63
6.542	Alleging time and place of offense	6-63
6.5421	Alleging time of offense	6-63
6.5422	Alleging place of offense	6-63
6.54221	In general	6-63
6.54222	Crime involving failure to act is committed at place where act is required to be performed	6-63
6.543	Alleging elements of offense	6-63
6.5431	In general	6-63
6.5432	Incorporation of elements through citation to statute	6-63

6.5433	Penalty enhancer is not necessarily element of offense	6-64
6.5434	Effect of failure to allege elements of offense (see sec. 12.1254)	6-64
6.5435	Sufficiency with respect to particular offense	6-64
6.544	Effect of reference to wrong or nonexistent statutory subsection	6-64
6.545	Negating statutory exceptions to liability for offense (see sec. 6.145)	6-64
6.546	Charging party to a crime (see sec. 31.25)	6-64
6.547	Charging repeater status	6-64
6.5471	No need to allege repeater status in information if allegation of status has been made in complaint	6-64
6.548	When information charges defendant with multiple counts based on multiple acts violating same criminal statute, it should tie specific act to each count charged (see sec. 6.148)	6-64
6.549	Other matters	6-64
6.5491	Remedy when charging document mischaracterizes degree of offense, e.g., when it designates crime charged as felony when it is actually misdemeanor (see sec. 6.1491)	6-64
6.5492	Information is not insufficient with respect to nature of charge when defendant's misapprehension regarding nature of offense charged is attributable to incorrect reading of statute under which charge leveled rather than insufficiency in information (see sec. 30.916)	6-64
6.55	Multiplicity And Duplicity In Information	6-65
6.551	Multiplicity (see secs. 6.171 and 31.73)	6-65
6.552	Duplicity (see sec. 6.172)	6-65
6.56	Amendment Of Information	6-65
6.561	General principles	6-65
6.5611	In general	6-65
6.5612	To allege repeater status (see sec. 31.6243)	6-65
6.5613	To add charge	6-65
6.562	After arraignment, but before trial, with leave of the court	6-65
6.563	At trial, to conform to proof	6-65
6.5631	In general	6-65
6.5632	By adding charge	6-66
6.564	Defendant's rights to notice of charge and to present a defense may be violated by amendment of information on day of trial	6-66
6.565	Waiver of objection to amendment of complaint	6-66
6.5651	Defendant waives objection to amendment of information to add additional charges if he fails to object to such amendment in trial court	6-66
6.5652	Waiver of right to challenge amendment of information by requesting severance of amended count from other counts rather than requesting a continuance	6-66
6.57	Other Matters Relating To Information	6-66
6.571	Waiver of objection to information	6-66
6.572	Joinder of defendants or offenses in same information	6-66
6.5721	Of defendants (see sec. 6.15)	6-66
6.5722	Of offenses (see sec. 6.16)	6-66
6.573	Charging in the disjunctive (see sec. 6.172)	6-66
6.574	Judicial review of district attorney's choice of charge	6-66
6.575	Surplus allegations may be disregarded (see sec. 19.513)	6-66

	<i>Page</i>	
6.576	Effect of failure to charge offense (see sec. 12.1254)	6-66
6.577	PTAC theory not waived by omission of statutory cite from information	6-67
6.578	When defendant improperly charged in information, will judgment flowing from that improper charge be reversed even though defendant has had error-free trial? (see sec. 6.582)	6-67
6.579	Other matters	6-67
6.5791	Effect of defects in form of information	6-67
6.5792	When prosecutor amends information to proper charge, defendant not prejudiced by trial court's refusal to dismiss original charge (see sec. 6.537)	6-67
6.5793	When challenge is made to new charges contained in information following bindover when original charge stated in complaint is not repeated in information, proper test is sufficiency of evidence test (i.e., whether evidence at preliminary examination was sufficient to demonstrate probable cause to believe defendant committed felony) (see sec. 6.538)	6-67
6.5794	Remedy when charging document mischaracterizes degree of offense, e.g., when it designates crime charged as felony when it is actually misdemeanor (see sec. 6.1491)	6-67
6.58	Appellate Review Of Challenge To Information	6-67
6.581	In general	6-67
6.582	When defendant improperly charged in information, will judgment flowing from that improper charge be reversed even though defendant has had error-free trial?	6-67
6.5821	Yes (Wisconsin Court of Appeals' answer)	6-67
6.5822	Unanswered by Wisconsin Supreme Court, but court of appeals' answer may have been undermined by supreme court;s rejection of court of appeals' primary holding in this case	6-67
6.6	Other Pretrial Proceedings	6-67
6.61	Substitution Of Judge	6-67
6.611	Constitutionality of substitution statute	6-67
6.612	Sufficiency of request for substitution	6-68
6.613	Timeliness of request for substitution	6-68
6.6131	In general	6-68
6.6132	Statutory time limit to request substitution does not commence until assigned individual has become judge	6-68
6.6133	Provisions of, and interpretation of time limits in, civil substitution statute do not control or inform time limits imposed by criminal substitution statute	6-68
6.6134	Timeliness of request for substitution of trial judge subsequently assigned: request must be made prior to commencement of proceedings	6-68
6.614	Effect of substitution request	6-68
6.6141	In general	6-68
6.6142	Limits trial judge's competency to act, but does not deprive trial judge of jurisdiction	6-68
6.615	Waiver of substitution request and of objection to denial of substitution	6-68
6.6151	Waiver of substitution request	6-68
6.61511	In general	6-68

6.61512	By entry of guilty or no contest plea after substitution request made	6-69
6.61513	Failure to object to judge's presiding over case after substitution request filed does not waive objection to judge's continuing to preside over case	6-69
6.61514	In case of subsequently assigned judge: request must be made before commencement of proceedings (see sec. 6.6133)	6-69
6.6152	Waiver of objection to denial of substitution	6-69
6.61521	By failing to promptly seek review of it	6-69
6.61522	By entry of guilty or no contest plea after substitution request denied	6-69
6.616	Withdrawal of request for substitution	6-69
6.617	Substitution of judge other than trial judge originally assigned	6-69
6.6171	Substitution of judge assigned to preliminary examination (Wis. Stat. § 971.20(3))	6-69
6.6172	Substitution of trial judge subsequently assigned (Wis. Stat. § 971.20(5))	6-69
6.61721	In general	6-69
6.61722	This provision allows for substitution only prior to time defendant is determined to be guilty or not guilty, whether by factfinder or based on guilty or no-contest plea	6-69
6.61723	This provision does not allow defendant to seek substitution of judge assigned to handle sentencing after revocation	6-69
6.6173	Substitution of judge following appeal (Wis. Stat. § 971.20(7))	6-69
6.618	Appellate review of refusal to honor request	6-69
6.6181	In general	6-69
6.6182	Mode of review	6-70
6.6183	Standard of review	6-70
6.619	Other matters	6-70
6.6191	Violation of substitution-of-judge statute does not necessarily violate defendant's constitutional rights (see sec. 30.583)	6-70
6.6192	Substitution in sexually violent person (i.e., ch. 980) proceeding	6-70
6.6193	Effect of improper denial of substitution request	6-70
6.6194	When assigned judge is from another county and holds court in that county, all documents executed by assigned judge are to be filed with the circuit court of the county where the records and files of the matter are kept	6-70
6.6195	Trial court not required to disclose information to defendant that might bear on decision whether to request substitution of judge	6-70
6.6196	Related matters	6-70
6.6197	What constitutes granting of request for substitution that bars judge from continuing to preside over case	6-70
6.62	Arraignment	6-70
6.621	Timeliness of arraignment	6-70
6.6211	Effect of untimely arraignment to which defendant does not object	6-70
6.622	Required reading of information by district attorney	6-70

	<i>Page</i>	
6.623	Required inquiry of multiple defendants represented by same attorney	6-70
6.624	Plea (see sec. 6.96)	6-71
6.625	Effect of defects in arraignment that are not objected to	6-71
6.63	Proceedings Relating To Competency Of Defendant To Proceed	6-71
6.631	Requirement of competency	6-71
6.6311	During proceedings and at trial leading to conviction	6-71
6.6312	During postconviction proceedings (see sec. 10.165)	6-71
6.6313	During proceedings for revocation of probation or parole (see sec. 41.31291)	6-71
6.632	Test for competency	6-71
6.6321	Competency to proceed to trial	6-71
6.63211	In general	6-71
6.63212	Test remains same regardless of stage of proceedings at which competency issue is raised	6-72
6.63213	Test is the same for pleading guilty or waiving counsel as for standing trial	6-72
6.63214	Focus of question of competency is on defendant's capacity to understand proceedings and assist in defense at time of proceedings, not at some time in past	6-72
6.63215	Effect of amnesia	6-72
6.63216	Comparison of test for competency to stand trial and of competency to waive counsel and represent oneself (see sec. 30.44)	6-72
6.6322	Competency during postconviction proceedings (see sec. 10.165)	6-72
6.6323	Competency in probation or parole revocation proceeding (see sec. 41.31291)	6-72
6.633	Inquiry into competency to stand trial	6-72
6.6331	When competency evaluation required	6-72
6.6332	Burden of proof on question of competency	6-73
6.63321	Burden of proof in general	6-73
6.63322	Constitutionality of placing burden of proof on defendant to prove competency	6-73
6.63323	Constitutionality of placing burden of proof on defendant to prove incompetency by preponderance of evidence	6-73
6.63324	Constitutionality of placing burden on defendant to prove incompetency by clear and convincing evidence	6-73
6.63325	Constitutionality of burden of proof placed on state to show by clear and convincing evidence that defendant is incompetent when defendant claims he is competent	6-73
6.6333	Presumption of competency	6-73
6.63331	Existence in general	6-73
6.63332	Constitutionality of	6-73
6.6334	Constitutional issues attending inquiry into competency	6-73
6.63341	Constitutionality of presumption of competency (see sec. 6.63332)	6-73
6.63342	Constitutionality of placing burden of proof on defendant to prove competency (see sec. 6.63322)	6-73

6.63343	Constitutionality of placing burden of proof on defendant to prove incompetency by preponderance of evidence (see sec. 6.63323)	6-73
6.63344	Constitutionality of placing burden on defendant to prove incompetency by clear and convincing evidence (see sec. 6.63324)	6-74
6.6335	Procedures for determining competency	6-74
6.63351	In general	6-74
6.63352	No need for court to address defendant personally and receive his personal waiver of competency hearing after receipt of report by expert on defendant's condition	6-74
6.63353	No right to bail during pendency of competency proceedings	6-74
6.6336	What court may or must consider at inquiry into competency	6-74
6.63361	Evidence of defendant's past mental condition, e.g., that defendant was competent during earlier criminal proceeding years before	6-74
6.63362	Character and competence of counsel who represented defendant in prior proceedings and testifies as to defendant's competence in them	6-74
6.63363	Opinions, perceptions, and impressions of counsel representing defendant in present proceedings	6-74
6.63364	Opinions, perceptions, and impressions of counsel who represented defendant in prior criminal proceeding	6-74
6.63365	Defendant's demeanor in prior criminal proceeding before same judge	6-74
6.63366	Fact that defendant's attorney did not raise issue of competence in prior proceeding (see sec. 6.63373)	6-74
6.6337	Evidentiary issues	6-74
6.63371	Judicial notice: Propriety of judge's taking judicial notice of character and competence of counsel who represented defendant in prior proceedings and who testifies at present proceeding as to defendant's competence in prior proceeding	6-75
6.63372	Attorney-client privilege: Consistency, with attorney-client privilege, of permitting present or past counsel for defendant to testify about opinions, perceptions, and impressions regarding defendant's competency	6-75
6.63373	It is not reasonable to infer defendant's competence from fact that his or her attorney did not raise issue of competence in prior proceeding	6-75
6.6338	Sufficiency issues	6-75
6.63381	Sufficiency of inquiry into competency	6-75
6.63382	Sufficiency of evidence to prove competency	6-75
6.6339	Waiver of right to hearing on question of competency	6-75
6.63391	Defendant's waiver of right to competency hearing in general	6-75
6.63392	No need for court to address defendant personally and receive his personal waiver of competency hearing after receipt of report by expert on defendant's condition	6-75

	<i>Page</i>
6.634 Inquiry into competency to stand trial (cont'd)	6-75
6.6341 Appellate review of trial court's inquiry into competency	6-75
6.63411 In general	6-75
6.63412 Of trial court's finding of competency	6-75
6.63413 In conducting review, appellate court limited to evidence that was before trial court at competency hearing	6-75
6.6342 Other matters	6-76
6.63421 Remedy for failure to conduct competency evaluation when required	6-76
6.63422 Fifth amendment not applicable at psychiatric examination	6-76
6.63423 Later finding of incompetency does not necessarily require inquiry into whether competency existed at time of prior proceeding	6-76
6.63424 Time limits on conducting competency evaluation	6-76
6.63425 When defense counsel required to raise issue of defendant's competency (see sec. 6.6393)	6-76
6.63426 When trial court required to hold additional competency proceedings after initial determination that defendant is competent	6-76
6.635 Incompetency commitment	6-76
6.6351 Duration	6-76
6.6352 Remedy for unlawful commitment	6-76
6.636 Procedures upon release of incompetent defendant	6-76
6.6361 Disposition of charges	6-76
6.6362 Reexamination	6-76
6.63621 Court's right to order	6-76
6.63622 Time limits on reexamination commitment	6-76
6.63623 Returning accused to court for reexamination	6-77
6.6363 Civil commitment (see sec. 6.637)	6-77
6.637 Civil commitment	6-77
6.6371 In general	6-77
6.6372 Closing civil commitment proceedings to public and press	6-77
6.6373 Confidentiality of civil commitment proceedings	6-77
6.638 Competency standard for standing trial is sufficient for judging competency to plead guilty or waive right to counsel	6-77
6.639 Other matters	6-77
6.6391 Appellate review of competency determination (see sec. 6.6341)	6-77
6.6392 Federal habeas corpus review of state court's finding of competency	6-77
6.6393 Counsel's obligation to raise question of competency	6-77
6.6394 When counsel required to renew question of competency	6-77
6.6395 Remedy for failure to conduct competency evaluation when required (see sec. 6.63421)	6-77
6.6396 Defendant's waiver of right to competency hearing (see sec. 6.6339)	6-77
6.6397 Constitutionality of forcing defendant to take antipsychotic drug to render him competent during trial	6-78

6.6398	Defense counsel's obligations and role with respect to competency question	6-78
6.63981	In general	6-78
6.63982	Counsel's obligation to raise question of competency (see sec. 6.6393)	6-78
6.6399	Other matters	6-78
6.63991	Proceedings relating to defendant's competency to proceed during postconviction process (see sec. 10.1653)	6-78
6.63992	Later finding of incompetency does not necessarily require inquiry into whether competency existed at time of prior proceeding (see sec. 6.63423)	6-78
6.63993	Trial court's options upon finding of incompetency	6-78
6.63994	No need for court to address defendant personally and receive his personal waiver of competency hearing after receipt of report by expert on defendant's condition (see sec. 6.63352)	6-78
6.63995	No right to bail during pendency of competency proceedings (see sec. 6.63353)	6-78
6.63996	Defense counsel is duty-bound to accurately inform court of his or her opinion of client's competency	6-78
6.64	Proceedings Related To Entry Of Insanity Plea	6-78
6.641	Entry of insanity plea	6-78
6.6411	Propriety of joining insanity plea with plea of guilty or no contest	6-78
6.6412	Time limits on entry of plea	6-78
6.642	Psychiatric examination of accused	6-78
6.6421	In general	6-78
6.6422	Indigent's constitutional right to psychiatric examination and testimony at state's expense	6-79
6.64221	In general	6-79
6.64222	Wis. Stat. § 971.16(1) is not vehicle for meeting requirement that indigent be provided psychiatric examination at state's expense	6-79
6.64223	Waiver of right by withdrawal of insanity plea	6-79
6.6423	Defendant does not have absolute statutory right to appointment of psychiatrist; trial court has discretion whether to appoint psychiatrist under Wis. Stat. § 971.16(1)	6-79
6.6424	State's right of access to report of examination (see sec. 18.571)	6-79
6.6425	Public defender responsible for payment for expert services necessary for adequate insanity defense (see sec. 6.646)	6-79
6.6426	Other matters	6-79
6.643	Withdrawal of insanity plea	6-79
6.6431	In general	6-79
6.6432	Waiver effected by withdrawal of insanity plea	6-79
6.6433	Decision whether to withdraw insanity plea is defendant's to make (see sec. 25.58391)	6-79
6.6434	Court not required to engage in personal colloquy with defendant who withdraws insanity plea to determine that waiver of insanity plea was knowing, voluntary, and intelligent	6-79

6.6435	In absence of objection from defendant, counsel may withdraw insanity plea	6-80
6.6436	Decision to withdraw insanity plea ultimately belongs to defendant	6-80
6.6437	Validly entered guilty plea automatically waives insanity defense and thereby implicitly withdraws insanity plea	6-80
6.644	State's access to records and reports regarding defendant's mental condition	6-80
6.6441	Defense psychiatrist's report (see sec. 18.571)	6-80
6.6442	Past psychiatric treatment records (see sec. 18.572)	6-80
6.645	Waiver of fifth amendment privilege by entry of insanity plea	6-80
6.646	Public defender responsible for payment for expert services necessary for adequate insanity defense	6-80
6.647	Changing plea of not guilty to plea of not guilty and not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect	6-80
6.6471	In general	6-80
6.6472	Appellate review of denial of motion to change plea	6-80
6.648	Other and related matters	6-80
6.6481	Defendant has no constitutional right to plead not guilty by reason of insanity (see sec. 8.7985)	6-80
6.65	Preventive Detention	6-80
6.651	Constitutionality of preventive detention (see sec. 22.7221)	6-80
6.652	Procedures	6-80
6.653	Remedy for failure to conduct timely preventive detention hearing under federal act	6-81
6.66	Deposition Of Witness	6-81
6.661	Videotaped deposition of witness under Wis. Stat. § 885.40-47	6-81
6.6611	When procedures of Wis. Stat. § 885.43-44, applicable	6-81
6.662	Deposition of witness under Wis. Stat. § 967.04(1)-(6)	6-81
6.6621	When deposition permitted	6-81
6.6622	Constitutionality of procedure	6-81
6.663	Videotaped deposition of child-witness under Wis. Stat. § 967.04(7)	6-81
6.6631	Constitutionality of procedure	6-81
6.6632	Need for opportunity for defendant to cross-examine child-victim-witness	6-81
6.664	Videotaped statement of child under Wis. Stat. § 908.08 (see sec. 15.64)	6-81
6.67	Appointment Of Special Prosecutor	6-81
6.671	When permitted	6-81
6.6711	In general	6-81
6.6712	For purposes of handling refusal hearing under implied consent law	6-81
6.672	Court may appoint assistant attorney general	6-81
6.68	Court Orders Relating To Victims And Witnesses	6-81
6.69	Other Pretrial Proceedings	6-81
6.691	"Reverse waiver" proceeding (see sec. 6.463)	6-81
6.692	Consolidation of out-of-county charges	6-81
6.6921	Procedure for consolidation	6-82
6.69211	In general	6-82
6.69212	Strict compliance with procedures not essential to valid consolidation	6-82

6.6922	Effect of consolidation	6-82
6.693	Suppression hearing	6-82
6.6931	Hearing on motion to suppress evidence on Fourth Amendment grounds (see sec. 1.92)	6-82
6.6932	Hearing on motion to suppress statement (see sec. 2.62)	6-82
6.6933	Hearing on motion to suppress identification (see sec. 3.42)	6-82
6.6934	Requirement that suppression hearing be open to public and press (see secs. 30.7214 and 46.124)	6-82
6.694	Hearing on request for permission to file complaint when district attorney refuses to issue it (see sec. 6.112)	6-82
6.695	Severance of defendants or offenses (see secs. 6.93 and 6.94)	6-82
6.696	Indictment	6-82
6.6961	Sufficiency of in general	6-82
6.6962	Sufficiency to charge attempt	6-82
6.6963	Federal constitutional right to be tried only on indictment of grand jury (see sec. 30.991)	6-82
6.697	Hearing to determine whether probable cause exists to justify defendant's detention (Gerstein hearing) (see sec. 6.31)	6-82
6.698	Change of venue	6-82
6.6981	In general	6-82
6.6982	When change of venue ordered, case record is transferred to new county	6-82
6.699	Other proceedings	6-82
6.6991	Removal of criminal case to federal court	6-82
6.7	Pretrial Motions	6-82
6.71	Motion To Suppress Evidence On Account Of Fourth Amendment Violation	6-82
6.72	Motion To Suppress Defendant's Statement	6-83
6.721	On ground that Miranda rights violated (see sec. 2.1)	6-83
6.722	On ground of involuntariness (see sec. 2.2)	6-83
6.723	On ground that statement was made after unreasonably long detention (see sec. 2.4)	6-83
6.724	On ground of denial of sixth amendment right to counsel (see sec. 2.3)	6-83
6.725	On other grounds (see secs. 2.52 and 2.53)	6-83
6.726	Hearing on motion (see sec. 2.62)	6-83
6.727	Waiver of objection to statement (see sec. 2.63)	6-83
6.728	Appellate review of trial court's findings and decision (see sec. 2.65)	6-83
6.73	Motion To Suppress Identification	6-83
6.731	On ground that identification procedure was impermissibly suggestive (see sec. 3.1)	6-83
6.732	On ground of denial of right to counsel (see sec. 3.2)	6-83
6.733	On other grounds	6-83
6.7331	Unreliability of identification (inconsistencies and contradictions in eyewitness's testimony) (see sec. 3.35)	6-83
6.7332	Illegal arrest (see sec. 1.8323)	6-83
6.7333	Lineup held after arrest for offense other than that which prompted lineup (see sec. 3.33)	6-83
6.7334	Person arrested for civil violation compelled to participate in lineup on unrelated criminal charge (see sec. 3.34)	6-83

6.7335	When officer shown photograph of defendant prior to crime (see sec. 3.37)	6-83
6.74	Motion To Sever Defendants Or Offenses	6-83
6.741	Severance of defendants (see sec. 6.93)	6-83
6.742	Severance of offenses (see sec. 6.94)	6-83
6.75	Motion For Change Of Venue To Ensure Fair Trial (see sec. 30.22)	6-83
6.76	Motion To Dismiss On Ground That Statute Creating Crime Is Unconstitutional	6-83
6.761	Vagueness	6-83
6.7611	In general (see sec. 22.211)	6-84
6.7612	Standing to raise (see sec. 22.212)	6-84
6.7613	Use of standard of reasonableness does not render statute vague (see sec. 22.213)	6-84
6.762	Overbreadth (see sec. 47.48)	6-84
6.763	Creation of strict liability (see secs. 22.22 and 31.76)	6-84
6.764	Infringement on particular constitutional rights	6-84
6.7641	Right to equal protection of the laws	6-84
6.7642	Right to freedom of speech	6-84
6.7643	Right to freedom of religion	6-84
6.7644	Right to privacy	6-84
6.7645	Other rights	6-84
6.765	Waiver of challenge to unconstitutionality	6-84
6.766	General principles for adjudicating the constitutionality of statutes (see sec. 47.4)	6-84
6.77	Motion To Dismiss On Other Grounds	6-85
6.771	Denial of right to speedy trial (see sec. 30.1)	6-85
6.772	Double jeopardy	6-85
6.773	Selective or discriminatory prosecution (see secs. 23.212, 23.222, and 23.5)	6-85
6.774	Defects in earlier proceedings	6-85
6.7741	Defects in arrest	6-85
6.7742	Defects in complaint (see sec. 6.1)	6-85
6.7743	Defects in initial appearance (see sec. 6.32)	6-85
6.7744	Defects in preliminary examination (see sec. 6.4)	6-85
6.7745	Defects in information (see sec. 6.5)	6-85
6.7746	Defects in arraignment (see sec. 6.62)	6-85
6.775	Delay in institution of prosecution	6-85
6.7751	In general (see sec. 22.312)	6-85
6.7752	For particular purposes	6-85
6.77521	To prejudice defense (see sec. 22.312)	6-85
6.77522	To avoid juvenile court jurisdiction (see secs. 42.42 and 42.92)	6-85
6.776	Statute of limitations (see sec. 12.3)	6-85
6.777	Immunity (see secs. 12.64 and 24.7)	6-85
6.778	Improper venue (see sec. 12.2)	6-85
6.779	Other grounds	6-85
6.7791	Collateral estoppel (see sec. 12.621)	6-85
6.7792	Discrimination in selection of grand jury foreman (see sec. 4.1952)	6-85

6.7793	Charges against juvenile in adult court not based on same transaction considered by juvenile court at waiver proceeding (see sec. 42.392)	6-85
6.7794	Defendant's acts protected by first amendment	6-85
6.7795	Failure to disclose identity of an informant	6-86
6.7796	Immunity for merchant detaining suspected shoplifter (see sec. 40.93)	6-86
6.7797	Nonprosecution agreement (see sec. 12.681)	6-86
6.7798	Belief that crimes other than charged offense were committed, or that defendant is potentially subject to civil liability, does not provide basis to dismiss prosecution	6-86
6.7799	Other grounds	6-86
6.77991	Federal preemption (see sec. 40.984)	6-86
6.77992	Conflict of interest on part of prosecutor (see sec. 12.66492)	6-86
6.77993	Charges in adult court are based on same matter that resulted in disposition in juvenile court (see sec. 42.492)	6-86
6.77994	Defendant's conduct alleged by state does not, as matter of law, come within statute creating offense with which defendant is charged	6-86
6.78	Other Pretrial Motions	6-86
6.781	Motion for continuance (see sec. 8.821)	6-86
6.782	Motion for bill of particulars	6-86
6.783	Motion in limine	6-86
6.7831	In general	6-86
6.7832	Purpose of motion	6-86
6.7833	Circumstances under which motion is appropriate	6-86
6.7834	Need to reraise issue or object at trial after motion in limine made and disposed of at pretrial hearing (see sec. 11.4984)	6-86
6.7835	Ruling on motion in limine is provisional, subject to necessary adjustments in light of evidence and legal arguments later presented during trial	6-86
6.7836	Court of appeals' concern about pattern of witness and/or attorney violation of in limine orders	6-87
6.7837	Suggested procedures to be followed to avoid violations of in limine orders	6-87
6.784	Motion for recusal or disqualification of judge (see sec. 6.971)	6-87
6.785	Motion to appoint special prosecutor (see sec. 6.67)	6-87
6.786	Motion to substitute counsel (see sec. 6.951)	6-87
6.787	Motion to compel discovery	6-87
6.788	Motion to disqualify counsel	6-87
6.7881	To disqualify defense counsel (see sec. 25.52)	6-87
6.7882	To disqualify prosecutor (see sec. 6.954)	6-87
6.789	Motion for withdrawal of counsel (see sec. 6.952)	6-87
6.79	Other Pretrial Motions (cont'd)	6-87
6.791	Motion for summary affirmance	6-87
6.7911	This motion not appropriate in criminal case	6-87
6.792	Motion for funds to retain services of, or to hire, expert witnesses (see sec. 6.9981)	6-87

6.793	Motion for appointment of counsel for indigent defendant (see sec. 25.54)	6-87
6.794	Motion to change plea of not guilty to plea of not guilty and not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect (see sec. 6.647)	6-87
6.795	Motion to suppress witness's statement on account of involuntariness (see sec. 22.457)	6-87
6.8	Discovery And Disclosure	6-87
6.81	Disclosure Of Exculpatory Evidence	6-87
6.811	Prosecutor's duty to disclose exculpatory evidence	6-87
6.8111	General principles	6-87
6.81111	In general	6-87
6.81112	Duty extends to impeachment evidence (see sec. 6.81121)	6-89
6.81113	Duty extends to evidence known only to police investigators and not to prosecutor (see sec. 6.8116)	6-89
6.81114	Timeliness of disclosure (see sec. 6.8196)	6-89
6.81115	Prosecutor's duty to disclose exculpatory evidence at postconviction stage of prosecution	6-89
6.81116	Duty extends to evidence material not only to guilt, but also to punishment	6-89
6.8112	Disclosure of particular evidence	6-89
6.81121	Evidence relating to credibility of witness in general (e.g., impeachment evidence)	6-89
6.81122	Evidence not in sole knowledge or exclusive control or possession of state (see sec. 6.8113)	6-90
6.81123	Cumulative evidence	6-90
6.81124	Polygraph test results that would be inadmissible at trial	6-90
6.81125	Evidence relating to reliability of police investigation	6-90
6.81126	Evidence of deferred prosecution agreement with state's witness regarding unrelated charges that culminated in dismissal of those charges	6-90
6.81127	Evidence that state's witness had been convicted of unrelated ordinance violation	6-90
6.81128	Child sexual assault victim's accusation that third party sexually assaulted her	6-90
6.81129	Fact that witness who testified at trial was police informant	6-90
6.8113	Duty does not extend to evidence not within sole knowledge or control or exclusive possession of state	6-90
6.81131	In general	6-90
6.81132	This limitation does not apply when discovering and securing evidence would have placed an intolerable burden on the defense	6-90
6.81133	Statements given by defendant that are known by police officers or contained in police reports are within exclusive control of prosecution even though defendant may be aware of them	6-90
6.8114	Disclosure prior to proceedings other than trial	6-91

	<i>Page</i>
6.81141	Prior to preliminary examination 6-91
6.81142	Prior to entry of guilty or no contest plea 6-91
6.8115	Compliance with duty by opening file to defense 6-91
6.8116	Prosecutor has duty to learn of favorable evidence known to others acting on government's behalf in the case, including the police 6-91
6.8117	Duty to disclose is ongoing 6-91
6.8118	When new trial required for breach of duty (see sec. 6.815) 6-91
6.8119	Other matters 6-91
6.81191	When witness who testifies at trial is police informant, must prosecutor reveal that fact to defendant? (see sec. 17.776) 6-91
6.81192	Other matters 6-91
6.812	Defendant's right to exculpatory evidence from sources other than prosecutor and law enforcement agencies 6-91
6.813	Defendant's right to have trial court make in camera inspection of documents or records (e.g., victim's mental health treatment records) that are privileged or protected or in the possession of third parties to determine whether they contain exculpatory evidence and to have access to those documents or records if they are found to contain exculpatory evidence after in camera inspection (see sec. 6.893) 6-92
6.814	Procedure for disclosure of exculpatory evidence 6-92
6.8141	In camera inspection 6-92
6.81411	In general 6-92
6.81412	In camera inspection of documents or records (e.g., victim's past mental health treatment records) that are privileged or protected or in possession of third parties to determine whether they contain exculpatory evidence (see sec. 6.893) 6-92
6.81413	Trial court's right to conduct in camera inspection of material in state's possession to determine whether it contains exculpatory evidence (see sec. 6.816) 6-92
6.815	When new trial required for prosecutor's breach of duty to disclose exculpatory evidence 6-92
6.816	Trial court's right to conduct in camera inspection of material in state's possession to determine whether it contains exculpatory evidence 6-93
6.817	Harmless error 6-93
6.8171	Applicability of harmless-error rule to failure to comply with duty to disclose exculpatory evidence 6-93
6.8172	Finding failure to comply with duty to be harmless error 6-93
6.8173	Determining that there was violation of duty to disclose exculpatory evidence requires finding of prejudice 6-93
6.818	Determining whether particular evidence is exculpatory 6-93
6.8181	Inaudible tape recording 6-93
6.8182	Defendant's hearsay statement to fellow inmate at jail denying commission of crime 6-93
6.8183	Fact that, at some point during investigation of defendant's crime, investigating officer was informed that another person matched victim's description of assailant 6-93

6.8184	Fact that state had performed gunshot residue swabbing on defendant's hands, but had not tested results	6-93
6.8185	Evidence supporting defendant's claim of drug addiction	6-93
6.819	Other and related matters	6-93
6.8191	Duty to retain material evidence; destruction of material evidence (see sec. 22.421)	6-93
6.8192	Defendant's right to compulsory process to obtain exculpatory evidence	6-93
6.8193	Disclosure of identity of informer (see secs. 17.7 and 22.432)	6-93
6.8194	Duty to disclose exculpatory evidence does not include duty to disclose informer's identity when necessary to fair determination of case	6-94
6.8195	Defendant's right to have trial court make in camera inspection of victim's past mental health treatment records to determine whether they contain exculpatory evidence (see sec. 6.893)	6-94
6.8196	Timeliness of disclosure	6-94
6.81961	In general	6-94
6.81962	Effect of failure to disclose exculpatory evidence prior to trial when evidence actually available to defense at trial	6-94
6.8197	Remedy for failure to disclose exculpatory evidence at proceeding other than trial	6-94
6.8198	Standards of appellate review of claim of failure to disclose exculpatory evidence	6-94
6.8199	Other matters	6-94
6.81991	When failure to disclose exculpatory evidence provides grounds for withdrawal of guilty plea (see sec. 10.436)	6-94
6.81992	Does trial court have duty to disclose exculpatory evidence?	6-94
6.81993	Other	6-94
6.82	Disclosure And Inspection Under Former Discovery Statutes (Before Jan. 1, 1997)	6-94
6.821	Disclosure and inspection of defendant's statements	6-94
6.8211	Scope of obligation to provide statements	6-94
6.82111	In general	6-94
6.82112	Obligation extends only to material and information in possession or control of members of prosecutor's staff or of any other who have participated in investigation or evaluation of case and who either regularly report to, or with reference to particular case have reported to, prosecutor's office	6-95
6.8212	Continuing duty to disclose	6-95
6.8213	Determining whether obligation has been fulfilled	6-95
6.8214	Remedy for failure to provide statements	6-95
6.8215	When failure to provide statement requires reversal; harmless error rule applicable	6-95
6.822	Disclosure of criminal record of defendant and witnesses	6-95
6.823	Exchange of list of witnesses	6-95
6.8231	In general	6-95
6.8232	Continuing duty to disclose	6-95

	<i>Page</i>
6.8233 Not applicable to rebuttal witnesses	6-95
6.8234 Sanction for failure to list witness	6-95
6.82341 Constitutionality of precluding unlisted witness's testimony	6-95
6.8235 Protective order	6-95
6.824 Inspection of physical evidence	6-95
6.825 Statement of witness	6-95
6.8251 In general	6-95
6.8252 Failure to provide prior statement of witness is not prejudicial unless defendant shows how witness's statement would have helped his case	6-96
6.8253 Sanction for failure to provide statement of witness	6-96
6.83 What District Attorney Must Disclose To Defendant Under Present Discovery Statute (Wis. Stat. § 971.23(1))	6-96
6.831 General principles for determining whether prosecutor has complied with disclosure requirements of present discovery statute	6-96
6.8311 Nature and purpose of disclosure requirements imposed on prosecutor in general	6-96
6.8312 Prosecutor's discovery obligations in general; what prosecutor must disclose in general	6-96
6.8313 In determining whether there has been compliance, prosecutor and law enforcement officers must be viewed as one unit; prosecutor obligated to obtain all evidence in possession of state investigative agencies; knowledge of law enforcement officer who investigated case is imputed to prosecutor	6-96
6.8314 Test of whether evidence should be disclosed is not whether prosecutor knows of its existence, but whether he or she could have discovered it by exercise of due diligence	6-96
6.8315 Timeliness of disclosure; determining whether prosecutor has complied with requirement that disclosure be made "within a reasonable time before trial"	6-97
6.8316 Applicability of disclosure requirements at postconviction stage of criminal prosecution	6-97
6.832 Statements of defendant and witnesses thereto	6-97
6.8321 Written or recorded statement of defendant and names of witnesses to written statement	6-97
6.8322 Written summary of defendant's oral statements and names of witnesses thereto	6-97
6.83221 In general	6-97
6.83222 Need to disclose statement prosecutor does not intend to use at trial	6-97
6.83223 Meaning of phrase "plans to use in the course of the trial"	6-97
6.833 Communications intercepted with one-party consent that state intends to introduce at trial	6-97
6.834 Defendant's criminal record	6-97
6.835 List of witnesses whom district attorney intends to call at trial	6-97
6.8351 In general	6-97

6.8352	Required disclosure of witnesses district attorney intends to call does not apply to rebuttal witnesses or those called for impeachment only	6-98
6.83521	In general	6-98
6.83522	District attorney has no duty to disclose legitimate rebuttal witness, even when he or she knows defense strategy in advance and anticipates using witness at trial	6-98
6.83523	Whether witness's testimony could have been admitted in state's case-in-chief is not test of whether witness is bona fide rebuttal witness	6-98
6.8353	District attorney not required to disclose oral statements of witness (see sec. 6.83612)	6-98
6.836	Written or recorded statements of witness; expert's findings or subject matter of testimony; results of examination, test, experiment or comparison; criminal record of prosecution witnesses	6-98
6.8361	Written or recorded statements of witness	6-98
6.83611	In general	6-98
6.83612	District attorney not required to disclose oral statements of witness	6-98
6.8362	Expert's findings or subject matter of testimony; results of examination, test, experiment or comparison	6-98
6.83621	In general	6-98
6.83622	Requirement that written summary of expert's findings or subject matter of testimony be disclosed does not require that summary explain terminology used in it	6-98
6.8363	Criminal record of prosecution witness	6-98
6.83631	In general	6-98
6.83632	Requires disclosure of witness's probationary status under deferred judgment of conviction	6-99
6.83633	When failure to disclose constitutes harmless error	6-99
6.837	Physical evidence that district attorney intends to offer at trial	6-99
6.8371	General principles relating to disclosure of physical evidence district attorney intends to offer at trial	6-99
6.83711	In general	6-99
6.8372	Particular evidence	6-99
6.83721	Computer hard drive containing child pornography	6-99
6.8373	Protective order foreclosing delivery of copy of evidence to defendant when evidence is contraband (see sec. 6.8534)	6-99
6.838	Any exculpatory evidence	6-99
6.8381	In general	6-99
6.8382	Relationship of this statutory requirement to disclose "[a]ny exculpatory evidence" and constitutional obligation to disclose exculpatory evidence: are they one and the same?	6-99
6.839	Other and related matters	6-99
6.8391	Applicability of harmless-error rule state's violation of its discovery obligations (see sec. 6.8972)	6-99
6.8392	District attorney not required to disclose oral statements of witness (see sec. 6.83612)	6-99

6.84	What Defendant Must Disclose To District Attorney Under Present Discovery Statute (Wis. Stat. § 971.23(2))	6-99
6.841	General principles for determining whether defendant has complied with disclosure requirements of present discovery statute	6-99
6.8411	What defendant must disclose in general	6-99
6.842	List of witnesses whom defendant intends to call at trial	6-100
6.8421	In general	6-100
6.8422	Need for timely disclosure of witnesses	6-100
6.843	Written or recorded statements of witness	6-100
6.8431	In general	6-100
6.8432	Requirement of disclosure of written or recorded statement of witness applies even if witness is called for impeachment purposes only	6-100
6.844	Expert's findings or subject matter of testimony; results of examination, test, experiment or comparison	6-100
6.8441	Constitutionality of requiring defendant to provide prosecutor with summary of expert's findings or subject matter of expert's testimony	6-100
6.8442	Scope of what defendant is required to provide	6-100
6.845	Criminal record of defense witness	6-100
6.846	Physical evidence that defendant intends to offer at trial	6-100
6.847	What defendant is not obligated to disclose	6-100
6.8471	Defendant has no obligation to provide notice of theory of defense	6-100
6.8472	Defendant has no obligation to divulge details of his own case	6-100
6.8473	May defendant be ordered to provide summary of evidence regarding specific instances of victim's violent character that defendant intends to offer in furtherance of defense of self-defense? (see sec. 40.394)	6-100
6.85	Comment Or Instruction On Failure To Call Witness; Scientific Testing; Protective Order; In Camera Proceedings; Continuing Duty To Disclose	6-100
6.851	Comment Or Instruction On Failure To Call Witness (Wis. Stat. § 971.23(3))	6-100
6.852	Scientific Testing (Wis. Stat. § 971.23(5))	6-100
6.853	Protective order (Wis. Stat. § 971.23(6))	6-101
6.8531	In general	6-101
6.8532	Necessity of showing of "good cause" for order	6-101
6.8533	Burden of proof with respect to "good cause"	6-101
6.85331	Party seeking protective order has initial burden to establish "good cause"	6-101
6.85332	Once party seeking protective order has made showing of "good cause," other party has burden either to rebut showing of "good cause" or to demonstrate that ability to present case is hampered by provisions of protective order	6-101
6.8534	To foreclose defense from receiving copy of physical evidence when evidence is contraband	6-101
6.85341	In general	6-101
6.85342	Copy of computer hard drive containing child pornography	6-101

6.8535	Appellate review of decision whether to grant protective order	6-101
6.85351	Standard of review	6-101
6.854	In camera proceedings (Wis. Stat. § 971.23(6m))	6-101
6.855	Continuing duty to disclose (Wis. Stat. § 971.23(7))	6-101
6.86	Remedies Or Sanctions In Trial Court For Failure To Provide Discovery Or Disclosure Mandated By Statute Or Ordered By Court (Wis. Stat. § 971.23(7m))	6-101
6.861	In general	6-101
6.862	Constitutionality of sanctions when imposed on defendant (see sec. 28.67)	6-102
6.863	Particular remedy: adjournment	6-102
6.8631	In general	6-102
6.8632	Remedy of adjournment preferred over exclusion of evidence	6-102
6.864	Particular remedy: exclusion of testimony of witness	6-102
6.8641	In general	6-102
6.8642	Remedy of preclusion of witness's testimony may be applied to defendant without violating right to present witnesses in his defense	6-102
6.8643	Precluding witness from testifying when witness refuses to allow ordered in camera inspection of her past mental health treatment records	6-102
6.8644	When court excludes state's testimony of witness as sanction for discovery violation, prosecutor may dismiss case without prejudice and commence new prosecution at which evidence is admitted (see sec. 6.8671)	6-102
6.865	Particular remedy: advise jury of party's discovery violation	6-102
6.866	Particular remedy: dismissal of prosecution	6-102
6.8661	In general	6-102
6.8662	Not required when discovery violation was harmless error	6-102
6.867	Showing "good cause" for discovery violation so as to avoid sanction of exclusion	6-102
6.8671	In general	6-102
6.8672	Prosecutor's good faith will not, in itself, constitute good cause	6-103
6.8673	Due diligence in attempting to locate witness as "good cause"	6-103
6.8674	Belief that statute does not require disclosure of particular item and that, therefore, trial court's order requiring disclosure is wrong, does not constitute "good cause" for failing to disclose if belief is in error	6-103
6.868	Application to defendant	6-103
6.8681	In general	6-103
6.8682	Constitutionality of application (see sec. 28.67)	6-103
6.869	Other and related matters	6-103
6.8691	When court excludes state's evidence as sanction for discovery violation, prosecutor may dismiss case without prejudice and commence new prosecution at which evidence is admitted	6-103
6.87	Notice Of Alibi (Wis. Stat. § 971.23(8))	6-103
6.871	Constitutionality of notice of alibi statute	6-103
6.8711	In general	6-103
6.8712	Constitutionality of precluding unlisted witness's testimony as sanction for failure to give notice (see sec. 28.672)	6-103
6.872	Defendant's obligation to provide notice of alibi	6-103

6.8721	Nature and scope of obligation	6-103
6.87211	In general	6-103
6.87212	What constitutes "alibi"	6-104
6.8722	Does not extend to time of planning of offense	6-104
6.8723	Remedy for violation of obligation	6-104
6.873	Defendant's obligation to provide notice of alibi witnesses	6-104
6.8731	Nature and scope of obligation	6-104
6.8732	Remedy for violation of obligation	6-104
6.874	State's obligation to provide notice of alibi rebuttal witnesses	6-104
6.8741	Nature and scope of obligation	6-104
6.8742	Remedy for violation of obligation	6-104
6.8743	Waiver of objection to violation of obligation	6-104
6.875	Waiver of complaint about trial court's rulings relating to notice of alibi	6-104
6.8751	Waiver of complaint about exclusion of testimony because trial court concludes that testimony would concern alibi and no notice of alibi was given	6-104
6.87511	By failure to make offer of proof as to nature of testimony	6-104
6.876	Appellate review of rulings relating to notice of alibi	6-104
6.8761	Standard of review	6-104
6.877	Other matters	6-104
6.8771	When trial court rules that testimony will be excluded because of lack of notice of alibi, but offers defendant continuance to give notice, but defendant declines continuance, ruling excluding testimony does not constitute reversible error	6-104
6.88	Deoxyribonucleic Acid (DNA) Evidence (Wis. Stat. § 971.23(9))	6-105
6.881	Related matters	6-105
6.8811	Motion for postconviction deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) testing of certain evidence (Wis. Stat. § 974.07) (see sec. 10.86)	6-105
6.89	Other Discovery And Related Matters	6-105
6.891	Constitutional requirements relating to discovery	6-105
6.8911	In general	6-105
6.8912	Accused's right to disclosure of exculpatory evidence (see sec. 6.81)	6-105
6.8913	Accused's right to disclosure of identity of informant (see sec. 22.432)	6-105
6.8914	Accused's due process right to pretrial access to evidence (see secs. 22.42, 22.43, and 22.44)	6-105
6.8915	Accused's right of access to witnesses and evidence in order to effectuate his right to present witnesses in his defense (see sec. 28.64)	6-105
6.8916	Accused's right to discovery of victim's mental health treatment records (see sec. 6.8921)	6-105
6.8917	Accused's entitlement to pretrial psychological examination of victim	6-105
6.89171	In general	6-105
6.89172	When state gives notice that it intends to introduce evidence generated by psychological examination of victim by state's experts (Maday requirement)	6-106

6.89173	When state introduces evidence that sexual assault victim's post-assault behavior is consistent with that of sexual assault victim (Maday requirement)	6-106
6.89174	When state uses psychological examination of victim to obtain order allowing victim to testify through videotaped deposition	6-106
6.8918	Defendant's right to notice of evidence state plans to use to prove charges	6-106
6.89181	Defendant presently has no right to such notice	6-106
6.89182	Rule giving defendant right to such notice would be "new rule" that defendant would have no right to have recognized and applied to him in federal habeas corpus proceeding	6-106
6.89183	Need to seek continuance before complaint can be made, on constitutional grounds, that defendant did not have required notice of evidence state used to prove charges	6-106
6.892	Defendant's right to discovery of or access to particular items	6-106
6.8921	Victim's past mental health treatment records	6-106
6.89211	In general	6-106
6.89212	Access to records after trial completed	6-107
6.89213	Defendant's right to have trial court make in camera inspection of victim's past mental health treatment records to determine whether they contain exculpatory evidence (see sec. 6.893)	6-107
6.8922	State's intended use of bank records	6-107
6.8923	Prosecutor's litigating strategy	6-107
6.8924	Past addresses of complaining witness	6-107
6.8925	Existence of potential witnesses	6-107
6.8926	Identity of potential witnesses (see secs. 6.8918 and 22.443)	6-107
6.8927	Confidential records in hands of private or public agency	6-107
6.8928	Identity of informant (see secs. 17.7 and 22.432)	6-107
6.8929	Other evidence	6-107
6.89291	Evidence state plans to use to prove charges (see sec. 6.8918)	6-107
6.893	Defendant's right to have trial court make in camera inspection of documents or records (e.g., victim's mental health treatment records) that are privileged or protected or in the possession of third parties to determine whether they contain exculpatory evidence, and to have access to those documents or records if they are found to contain exculpatory evidence after in camera inspection	6-107
6.8931	General principles	6-107
6.89311	In general	6-107
6.89312	Right in postconviction context	6-108
6.8932	Requirement that defendant show that documents or records are material as prerequisite to obtaining in camera inspection	6-108
6.89321	In general	6-108
6.89322	District attorney may not concede that documents or records are material (i.e., may not waive hearing on materiality)	6-108

6.89323	When showing of materiality in motion for in camera hearing sufficient to require hearing on materiality	6-108
6.89324	In arguing on appeal that required showing made, defendant is limited to showing made in trial court; defendant may not supplement that showing by offering appellate court additional matters	6-109
6.89325	Satisfying this requirement when defendant seeks post-trial inspection of documents or records	6-109
6.8933	Rights of person whose records are being sought	6-109
6.89331	Right to notice that motion has been made to obtain records	6-109
6.89332	No right to be heard by counsel or guardian ad litem at hearing on motion seeking in camera inspection	6-109
6.89333	Right to require proof of materiality of documents or records of which in camera inspection sought	6-109
6.89334	Right to refuse consent to in camera inspection (see sec. 6.8934)	6-109
6.8934	Need for consent of victim or other person whose records are sought to in camera inspection of treatment or other confidential records	6-109
6.89341	In general	6-109
6.89342	Defendant waives complaint about lack of consent when he has requested access to treatment records	6-109
6.8935	Hearing on motion seeking in camera inspection	6-109
6.89351	When allegations of motion are sufficient to require hearing on it	6-109
6.89352	Trial court's discretion to hold hearing even when allegations are insufficient to require one	6-109
6.89353	Right of person whose records are sought to participate in hearing (see sec. 6.89332)	6-110
6.8936	Making determination, through in camera inspection, whether documents or records contain material or exculpatory evidence that must be disclosed to defendant	6-110
6.89361	Standard of materiality to be applied by trial court in conducting in camera inspection to determine whether documents or records contain material or exculpatory evidence that must be disclosed to defendant: in general	6-110
6.89362	Standard of materiality to be applied by trial court in conducting in camera inspection to determine whether documents or records contain material or exculpatory evidence that must be disclosed to defendant: in general	6-110
6.89363	Correctness of determination in particular case	6-110
6.8937	Trial court's consultation with treating physician in making in camera inspection	6-110
6.89371	Propriety of in general	6-110
6.89372	Need for victim's consent	6-110
6.89373	Need for record of consultation	6-110

6.8938	Appellate review of issues relating to in camera inspection of documents or records and their disclosure	6-110
6.89381	Standard of review of whether allegations of motion for in camera inspection are sufficient to require hearing	6-110
6.89382	Standard of review of whether defendant has shown materiality of documents or records sought so as to require in camera inspection: in general	6-111
6.89383	Standard of review of whether defendant has shown materiality of documents or records sought so as to require in camera inspection: when inspection sought for purposes of post-trial discovery	6-111
6.89384	Standard of review of decision whether documents or records of which trial court has made in camera inspection contain material or exculpatory evidence that must be disclosed to defendant	6-111
6.89385	Need for in camera inspection of records by appellate court	6-111
6.89386	Need for victim's consent to inspection of treatment records before appellate court can conduct appellate review of trial court's inspection of records	6-111
6.89387	If circuit court had authority to conduct in camera inspection of privileged records, then appellate court also has authority to do so	6-111
6.8939	Other and related matters	6-111
6.89391	Inspection of records or documents relating to non-victim witness	6-111
6.89392	Defense counsel's right of access to records on postconviction motion or on appeal after trial court has determined, as result of in camera inspection, that records or documents should not be turned over to defendant	6-111
6.89393	No requirement that records be in state's possession before defendant can obtain access to them	6-111
6.89394	Right to in camera inspection and access not limited to mental health records	6-112
6.89395	Fact that information can be obtained from non-confidential source does not provide ground for denial of access to confidential information	6-112
6.894	Matters relating to discovery that are covered elsewhere in this book	6-112
6.8941	Disclosure of identity of informant (see secs. 17.7 and 22.432)	6-112
6.8942	Requirement of retention of material evidence (see sec. 22.421)	6-112
6.8943	Psychiatric or psychological examination of complaining witness (see sec. 20.345)	6-112
6.8944	Accused's right of access to witnesses and evidence in order to effectuate his right to present witnesses in his defense (see sec. 28.64)	6-112
6.8945	Work product doctrine (see sec. 17.883)	6-112
6.895	Discovery rights in juvenile proceedings	6-112
6.8951	Delinquency proceeding (see secs. 42.251 and 42.651)	6-112
6.8952	Waiver proceeding (see secs. 42.36 and 42.815)	6-112

6.896	State's right to mental evaluation of defendant by state's expert when defendant puts mental status at issue	6-112
6.8961	In general	6-112
6.8962	When defendant charged with sexual assault offers expert testimony to show that he lacks psychological profile of sex offender	6-112
6.89621	In general	6-112
6.89622	Examination may be ordered only when expert will testify, either explicitly or implicitly, on facts surrounding crime charged	6-112
6.8963	Compelling mental status examination does not violate Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination (see secs. 24.462 and 24.463)	6-112
6.897	Appellate review of trial court's decisions regarding discovery and of state's discovery and disclosure violations	6-113
6.8971	Standards of review	6-113
6.89711	In general	6-113
6.89712	Of decision whether to grant motion requesting postconviction discovery	6-113
6.8972	When new trial required for failure to make required disclosure; harmless error rule applicable to discovery violation	6-113
6.89721	In general	6-113
6.89722	Determining whether failure to make required disclosure was harmless in particular case	6-113
6.898	Other matters	6-113
6.8981	Continuing duty to comply with discovery statutes	6-113
6.8982	Defendant's right to have state crime lab conduct analysis of evidence at his request pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 165.79(1)	6-113
6.8983	Right to discovery not authorized or mandated by constitution or statute; generally, right to discovery in criminal cases limited to that provided or mandated by constitution or statute; demand for item not covered by discovery statute or constitutional requirement of disclosure does not generally create obligation to disclose item	6-113
6.89831	In general	6-113
6.89832	This principle is not necessarily applicable when court wants to require pretrial disclosure of evidence party intends to produce at trial, so that court can determine admissibility of evidence in advance of trial	6-114
6.89833	But upon showing of "particularized need" defendant may have right to discovery beyond that mandated by discovery statutes	6-114
6.89834	Although decisions initially recognizing this principle involved defendant's request for discovery, it also applies to discovery requested by state	6-114
6.89835	Items and matters that defendant is not obligated to disclose (see sec. 6.847)	6-114
6.8984	Discovery rights prior to preliminary examination	6-114
6.89841	In general	6-114

6.89842	Defendant does not have statutory or constitutional right to compel production of police investigation reports and nonprivileged material by subpoena duces tecum prior to preliminary examination	6-114
6.8985	Discovery by person challenging administrative subpoena	6-114
6.8986	Work product doctrine (see sec. 17.883)	6-114
6.8987	State's access to records and reports regarding defendant's mental condition (see sec. 18.57)	6-114
6.8988	Attempt to obtain relevant information in possession of third parties not properly characterized as discovery	6-115
6.8989	Prosecutor's "open file" policy is purely policy choice on prosecutor's part, not legal right of defendant	6-115
6.899	Other matters (cont'd)	6-115
6.8991	Trial court does not err in refusing to order production of evidence at defendant's request if evidence would not be relevant or material to issues in case	6-115
6.8992	Use of related civil proceeding to obtain discovery for criminal prosecution	6-115
6.8993	Defendant's right to discovery to establish selective-prosecution defense (see sec. 23.551)	6-115
6.8994	Post-trial (i.e., postconviction) discovery	6-115
6.89941	In general	6-115
6.89942	When defendant entitled to hearing on request for postconviction discovery	6-115
6.8995	Waiver of objection to discovery violation	6-115
6.8996	Harmless-error rule applies to discovery violations (see sec. 6.8972)	6-115
6.8997	Purpose of discovery statutes and rights to discovery that they confer	6-115
6.8998	Timeliness of discovery motion; when discovery motion may be made	6-115
6.89981	In general	6-115
6.8999	Other matters	6-115
6.89991	Definition (i.e., meaning) of "discovery"	6-115
6.89992	What defendant is not obligated to disclose (see sec. 6.847)	6-116
6.9	Other Pretrial Matters	6-116
6.91	Right To A Speedy Trial	6-116
6.911	Under constitution (see sec. 30.1)	6-116
6.912	Under speedy trial statutes	6-116
6.9121	State speedy trial provision	6-116
6.91211	Grant of continuance under speedy trial statute	6-116
6.91212	Effect of pretrial appeal	6-116
6.91213	Need for affirmative assertion of right to trigger statute	6-116
6.91214	When defendant may assert right to speedy trial	6-116
6.91215	Difference between statutory right and constitutional right	6-116
6.9122	Federal speedy trial act	6-116
6.91221	In general	6-116

6.91222	Blanket, prospective waiver of application of act is not valid	6-116
6.91223	Defendant who executes blanket, prospective waiver of application of act is not judicially estopped from attacking its validity	6-116
6.91224	Trial judge's failure to make findings required to exclude period of delay under particular provision of act cannot be harmless error	6-116
6.913	Under interstate agreement on detainers (see sec. 6.234)	6-116
6.914	Under intrastate detainer statute (see sec. 6.241)	6-116
6.92	Consolidation Of Charges	6-116
6.921	Against different defendants	6-116
6.9211	In general	6-116
6.9212	Improper consolidation of charges may be harmless error (see sec. 6.154)	6-117
6.922	Against single defendant	6-117
6.9221	In general	6-117
6.9222	Improper consolidation of charges may be harmless error (see sec. 6.164)	6-117
6.93	Severance Of Defendants	6-117
6.931	When required in general	6-117
6.932	Particular grounds	6-117
6.9321	Antagonistic defense advanced by joint defendants	6-117
6.9322	Line of evidence relevant only to liability of, or otherwise only admissible as to, one defendant	6-117
6.93221	In general	6-117
6.93222	Statement of one defendant that implicates other defendant (see sec. 6.9324)	6-117
6.93223	When line of evidence is relevant to liability of only one defendant and trial court denies severance, limiting instruction must be given	6-118
6.9323	Defendant's need for testimony of defendant, who will not testify at joint trial	6-118
6.9324	Prosecution's intent to use statement of one defendant which implicates other defendant	6-118
6.93241	In general	6-118
6.93242	Requirement that prosecution advise court if it intends to use statement of one codefendant which implicates other co-defendant	6-118
6.93243	Prosecutor's failure to provide required notice of intent to use statement of one defendant implicating other defendant subject to harmless error rule	6-118
6.93244	Avoiding need for severance by redacting codefendant's confession implicating defendant to eliminate all references to defendant seeking severance (see sec. 6.9353)	6-118
6.93245	Requirement that trial court sever defendants when prosecutor intends to use statement of one that implicates other satisfied by single trial to multiple juries (see sec. 6.9354)	6-118

6.9325	Exculpatory evidence essential for one defendant would be unavailable at joint trial	6-118
6.9326	Other grounds	6-118
6.933	Waiver of right to severance	6-118
6.934	Appellate review of ruling on severance motion	6-119
6.935	Other matters	6-119
6.9351	Joinder of defendants in same complaint or information (see sec. 6.15)	6-119
6.9352	Consolidation of charges against different defendants (see sec. 6.921)	6-119
6.9353	Avoiding need for severance by redacting codefendant's confession implicating defendant to eliminate all references to defendant seeking severance	6-119
6.9354	Requirement that trial court sever defendants when prosecutor intends to use statement of one that implicates other satisfied by single trial to multiple juries	6-119
6.9355	Instructing when multiple defendants tried together	6-119
6.93551	In general (see sec. 8.5461)	6-119
6.93552	When line of evidence is relevant to liability of only one defendant and trial court denies severance, limiting instruction must be given (see sec. 6.93223)	6-119
6.9356	Waiver of appellate attack on failure to grant severance by failure to renew severance motion when new ground for severance becomes apparent (see sec. 11.463)	6-119
6.9357	Required notice to trial court if district attorney intends to use statement of one defendant which implicates another defendant and required severance upon such notice (see secs. 6.93242 and 6.93243)	6-119
6.9358	Failure to sever defendants when required subject to harmless error rule (see sec. 6.154)	6-119
6.94	Severance Of Offenses	6-119
6.941	When required in general	6-120
6.942	Particular grounds	6-120
6.9421	Evidence on one count inadmissible to prove other	6-120
6.9422	Defendant's desire to testify on one count but not others	6-120
6.943	Waiver of right to severance	6-120
6.9431	By failure to renew severance motion when new ground for severance becomes apparent	6-120
6.9432	By failure to seek severance on particular ground	6-120
6.944	Appellate review of ruling on severance motion	6-120
6.9441	General principles	6-120
6.9442	Failure to sever offenses when required subject to harmless error rule (see sec. 6.164)	6-120
6.945	Other matters	6-120
6.9451	Removing prejudice through curative instruction	6-120
6.9452	Severance at conclusion of trial	6-121
6.9453	Joinder of offenses in same complaint or information (see sec. 6.16)	6-121
6.9454	Consolidation of charges (see sec. 6.922)	6-121

6.9455	Waiver of appellate attack on failure to grant severance by failure to renew severance motion when new ground for severance becomes apparent (see sec. 11.463)	6-121
6.9456	Severance is preferred over dismissal as remedy for dealing with potential jury confusion if two charges tried together	6-121
6.9457	"Retroactive misjoinder": effect of reversal on appeal on one count on remaining counts (see sec. 11.9241)	6-121
6.9458	Federal decisions persuasive on severance issues	6-121
6.9459	Failure to sever offenses when required subject to harmless error rule (see sec. 6.164)	6-121
6.95	Substitution, Withdrawal, Or Disqualification Of Counsel	6-121
6.951	Substitution of counsel	6-121
6.9511	In general	6-121
6.9512	What constitutes request for substitution of counsel	6-121
6.9513	Trial court's obligation to make adequate inquiry when request for substitution of counsel made	6-121
6.9514	When substitution warranted or required	6-122
6.95141	In general	6-122
6.95142	When defendant and counsel cannot adequately communicate because of, e.g., defendant's impaired hearing	6-122
6.9515	Substitution may be denied when new attorney has actual conflict of interest or there is a serious potential for conflict	6-122
6.9516	Remedy when motion denied without adequate consideration	6-122
6.9517	Appellate review of decision on request for substitution	6-122
6.95171	Standard of review	6-122
6.95172	In reviewing trial court's decision denying defendant's request to substitute new counsel, appellate court considers reasons presented to trial court at time request was made	6-122
6.9518	Related matters	6-122
6.95181	Motion for continuance to allow substitution of counsel (see sec. 8.82124)	6-122
6.952	Withdrawal of defense counsel	6-122
6.9521	In general	6-122
6.9522	Grounds for withdrawal	6-123
6.95221	In general	6-123
6.95222	Complete breakdown in communication between defendant and counsel	6-123
6.95223	Defendant's inability to pay legal fees	6-123
6.95224	Disagreement over trial strategy	6-123
6.9523	What constitutes request for withdrawal of counsel	6-123
6.9524	Need for hearing before withdrawal granted	6-123
6.9525	No need for formal motion to withdraw before file may be closed when counsel and defendant agree that no appeal should be pursued because of no merit to appeal or for some other reason	6-123
6.9526	Withdrawal of appellate counsel	6-123
6.953	Disqualification of defense counsel (see sec. 25.52)	6-123
6.954	Disqualification of prosecutor	6-123
6.9541	In general	6-123

6.9542	Grounds for disqualification	6-123
6.95421	Because prosecutor received substantial campaign contribution from victim of defendant's criminal activity	6-123
6.95422	Because prosecutor testified at pretrial proceeding	6-123
6.95423	Prosecutor had conflict of interest	6-123
6.95424	Because prosecutor previously represented defendant in another civil or criminal proceeding ("reverse serial representation") (see sec. 25.235)	6-124
6.9543	Prosecutor may be disqualified based on appearance of impropriety	6-124
6.9544	Denial of motion to disqualify because of untimeliness	6-124
6.9545	Appellate review of disqualification issues	6-124
6.96	Pleas	6-124
6.961	Guilty plea	6-124
6.962	No-contest plea (see sec. 7.44)	6-124
6.963	Not-guilty plea	6-124
6.9631	Effect of not-guilty plea	6-124
6.9632	Propriety of joining this plea with plea of not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect	6-124
6.9633	Changing plea of not guilty to plea of not guilty and not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect (see sec. 6.647)	6-124
6.964	Not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect plea (see secs. 6.64 and 7.45)	6-124
6.97	Other Pretrial Matters	6-124
6.971	Recusal or disqualification of judge	6-124
6.9711	In general	6-124
6.9712	Waiver of request for recusal or disqualification	6-125
6.97121	Request for recusal or disqualification is waived if not made prior to trial judge's acting on matter	6-125
6.97122	Waiver of claim that trial judge should have recused himself or herself by failure to raise recusal issue in trial court	6-125
6.9713	Particular bases for recusal or disqualification	6-125
6.97131	Judge permitted juror to speak during sentencing hearing	6-125
6.97132	Judge prosecuted defendant as district attorney in previous case	6-125
6.97133	Judge is spouse or otherwise related to assistant district attorney in office that is prosecuting case	6-125
6.97134	Judge facing recall effort based on alleged leniency in sentencing (see sec. 9.2887)	6-125
6.97135	Judge witnessed activity (e.g., sleeping juror) that is ground for requested relief	6-125
6.97136	Sentencing judge's exposure to information prosecutor agreed not to provide to court	6-125
6.9714	Action by judge disqualified as matter of law is void	6-125
6.9715	Only judge whose recusal is sought has jurisdiction to decide motion	6-125

6.9716	Matter arising from judicial proceedings is not proper basis for recusal	6-126
6.9717	Violation of recusal or disqualification statute does not necessarily violate defendant's constitutional rights (see sec. 30.583)	6-126
6.9718	Trial judge may express opinion about counsel's motion without being subject to recusal	6-126
6.9719	Other matters	6-126
6.97191	Appellate review of denial of motion for recusal	6-126
6.97192	Judge's consideration of relevant information to which judge is rightfully entitled cannot, without more, form basis for recusal	6-126
6.97193	Recusal or disqualification of appellate judge (see sec. 11.3977)	6-126
6.972	Closure of pretrial proceedings to public and press; pretrial "gag" orders (see secs. 30.721, 46.12, and 46.44)	6-126
6.973	State's destruction of evidence (see sec. 22.421)	6-126
6.974	State's obligation to retain material evidence (see sec. 22.421)	6-126
6.975	Ordering psychiatric or psychological examination of prospective witness (see sec. 20.345)	6-126
6.976	Waiver of objection to court's jurisdiction (see secs. 12.127 and 12.135)	6-126
6.977	Detention of defendant after dismissal of complaint or information until new complaint or information filed	6-126
6.978	Depositions of witnesses (see sec. 6.66)	6-126
6.979	Waiver of jury trial	6-126
6.9791	Defendant's waiver of constitutional right to jury trial in general (see sec. 26.3)	6-126
6.9792	Need for consent of state	6-126
6.98	Other Pretrial Matters (cont'd)	6-126
6.981	Required inquiry of multiple defendants represented by one attorney (see sec. 6.623)	6-127
6.982	Order in which severed defendants will be tried	6-127
6.983	Pretrial protection of victims and witnesses through court order (see sec. 6.68)	6-127
6.984	Use of hypnosis to refresh witness's recollection (see sec. 20.8441)	6-127
6.985	Defendant's right to public pretrial suppression hearing	6-127
6.986	Defendant's right to be present at pretrial hearing	6-127
6.987	Need to file juvenile court's waiver order in criminal court (see sec. 42.393)	6-127
6.988	Review of denial of pretrial suppression motion when guilty plea entered or defendant found NGI (see sec. 7.42)	6-127
6.989	Jurisdiction of adult criminal court after waiver of juvenile court's jurisdiction	6-127
6.99	Other Pretrial Matters (cont'd)	6-127
6.991	Subpoenaing district attorney to testify at pretrial proceeding	6-127
6.992	Appointment of expert witness	6-127
6.9921	In general	6-127
6.9922	Expert must have expertise on precise issue in case	6-127
6.9923	Appointment of expert witness for defendant to effectuate defendant's right to present a defense (see sec. 28.64)	6-127

	<i>Page</i>
6.993 Dismissal of charge	6-127
6.9931 By court	6-127
6.99311 With prejudice (see sec. 12.6721)	6-127
6.99312 Without prejudice (see sec. 12.6722)	6-127
6.9932 By prosecutor (see sec. 12.44)	6-127
6.994 Court commissioners (see sec. 12.663)	6-127
6.995 Appointment of standby counsel (see sec. 25.591)	6-127
6.996 Defense access to witnesses (see sec. 8.83)	6-128
6.997 Competency of defendant to proceed	6-128
6.9971 Competency to proceed to trial (see sec. 6.63)	6-128
6.9972 Competency to proceed with postconviction motion or appeal (see sec. 10.165)	6-128
6.998 Other matters	6-128
6.9981 Defendant's right to retain services of, or hire, expert witnesses at state's expense	6-128
6.9982 Plea of guilty to offenses committed in several counties (see sec. 7.4981)	6-128
6.9983 Appointment of special prosecutor (see sec. 6.67)	6-128
6.9984 Imposing monetary sanction on attorney for arriving late for scheduled pretrial appearance (see sec. 12.7977)	6-128
6.9985 Dismissal of prosecution as disciplinary measure (see sec. 11.8936)	6-128
6.999 Other matters (cont'd)	6-128
6.9991 Prosecutor's intimidation of defense witness by threat of criminal prosecution	6-128
6.9992 Defense counsel's advice to state witness regarding possible charges against witness and right to refuse to testify unless granted immunity	6-128
6.9993 Continuance of trial (see sec. 8.821)	6-128
6.9994 Validity of pretrial incarceration	6-128
6.9995 Trial court has no inherent right to dismiss case over state's objection absent statutory authorization or constitutional violation requiring dismissal	6-128
6.9996 Prohibition on attorneys making pretrial statements to press that will have substantial likelihood of prejudicing an adjudicative proceeding	6-129

Chapter 7 Guilty Pleas

7.1 Plea Bargaining	7-1
7.11 Nature, Purpose, And Legitimacy Of Plea Bargaining In General	7-1
7.12 Defense Counsel's Duties In Plea Bargaining	7-1
7.121 Duty to provide effective assistance in general	7-1
7.122 Duty to communicate proffered plea bargain to defendant and to inform defendant that decision to reject plea bargain offer is for defendant to make	7-1
7.1221 In general	7-1

7.1222	To make out claim of ineffective assistance of counsel based on violation of duty to communicate proffered plea bargain to defendant, defendant must allege and show reasonable probability that he would have accepted proffered bargain	7-1
7.1223	If defendant would have rejected offer had it been communicated to him, counsel's failure to communicate offer is not prejudicial and thus does not constitute ineffective assistance of counsel	7-1
7.123	Duty to advise defendant that agreement not to reveal information to sentencing judge is illegal and will not be honored	7-2
7.124	Remedy when defense counsel provides ineffective assistance that causes defendant to reject favorable plea bargain (see sec. 25.285)	7-2
7.13	Propriety Of Prosecutorial Inducements To Defendant To Plead Guilty	7-2
7.131	In general	7-2
7.132	Promise of reduction in charge or recommendation of leniency	7-2
7.133	Threat of higher or additional charge if defendant refuses to plead guilty	7-2
7.14	Construction And Validity Of Plea Agreement	7-2
7.141	Construction of agreement	7-2
7.1411	In general	7-2
7.1412	Use of contract principles to construe agreement and determine rights of parties to agreement	7-2
7.14121	In general	7-2
7.14122	Principles that are used in contract law to interpret contract (see sec. 47.8858)	7-2
7.1413	Agreement that counts will not be considered for sentencing purposes simply means that prosecutor will make recommendation to that effect	7-2
7.1414	Agreement not to make recommendation as to sentence extends only to first sentencing hearing, not to later sentencing hearing held when probation imposed at first sentencing hearing revoked	7-3
7.1415	Court will not read into plea agreement provisions that are not there	7-3
7.1416	Agreement that count will be "dismissed outright," if not otherwise clarified in agreement, is ambiguous	7-3
7.1417	Construction of ambiguous agreement	7-3
7.14171	Determining whether agreement is ambiguous or not	7-3
7.14172	Construing agreement in manner that safeguards public interests	7-3
7.14173	Construing agreement against drafter	7-3
7.14174	Construing agreement against government	7-3
7.14175	Appellate review of question whether agreement is ambiguous	7-3
7.1418	Who bears burden to establish that agreement should be construed in particular manner?	7-3
7.142	Validity of agreement	7-3
7.1421	Agreement to withhold relevant information from court violates public policy	7-3
7.1422	Agreement that if defendant successfully completed probation, judgment would be amended to conviction of lesser offense	7-4

7.1423	Agreement to defer entry of judgment of conviction on particular count(s)	7-4
7.14231	Authority of court to defer entry of judgment of conviction (see sec. 12.73961)	7-4
7.14232	Plea agreement to defer entry of judgment of conviction on particular counts is not deferred prosecution agreement and, therefore, need not meet requirements of deferred prosecution agreement (see sec. 12.8132)	7-4
7.1424	Agreement, in burglary case, that if defendant returned substantial portion of property stolen in burglary before sentencing, state would ask court to reopen judgment of conviction and would reduce charge to felony theft	7-4
7.1425	Valid plea agreement requires meeting of minds, evidenced through assent to agreement's terms	7-4
7.1426	Use of contract principles to assess validity of agreement (see sec. 7.1962)	7-4
7.143	Effect of invalid plea agreement on guilty plea entered pursuant to it	7-4
7.144	Plea agreement may not supplant trial court's exercise of discretion in setting permissible bounds of sentencing hearing (e.g., extent to which it will permit evidence to be introduced) (see sec. 7.1986)	7-4
7.145	Plea agreement must not involve any persons conducting presentence investigation for court	7-5
7.15	Prosecutor's Obligation To Comply With Plea Agreement	7-5
7.151	General principles	7-5
7.1511	In general	7-5
7.1512	Use of contract law to determine scope of prosecutor's obligation and remedy for its violation	7-6
7.1513	Law proscribes not only explicit repudiations of plea agreements, but also "end-runs around them"	7-6
7.1514	Prosecutor not obliged to comply with terms of plea agreement after defendant's breach of agreement when express provision of agreement relieves state of obligation to comply with agreement upon defendant's breach	7-6
7.1515	Prosecutor's inadvertent breach of plea agreement (e.g., by recommending sentence in excess of that called for by agreement), which is promptly acknowledged and rectified, does not violate prosecutor's obligation to comply with plea agreement	7-6
7.1516	In determining whether prosecutor has violated obligation to comply with plea agreement, investigating officer is considered agent of state; if investigating officer engages in conduct that would violate plea agreement if engaged in by prosecutor (e.g., if he asks sentencing court to impose sentence in excess of that prosecutor agreed to recommend), plea agreement is violated	7-6
7.1517	Prosecutor obligated to act in "good faith"	7-7
7.1518	Prosecutor is not obliged to provide more than is specified in agreement itself; state is held only to those promises actually made	7-7
7.1519	Prosecutor not required to comply with plea agreement that violates public policy	7-7

7.152	Withdrawal from, or modification of, plea bargain by prosecutor after defendant's acceptance	7-7
7.1521	Before entry of plea	7-7
7.15211	In general	7-7
7.15212	When prosecutor withdraws from plea agreement after defendant's acceptance but before entry of plea, defendant must show detrimental reliance in order to enforce agreement (see sec. 7.1733)	7-7
7.1522	After entry of plea	7-7
7.15221	In general	7-7
7.15222	When prosecutor withdraws from plea agreement after defendant's acceptance and after defendant's entry of plea, there is no need to show detrimental reliance before defendant is entitled to enforcement of plea agreement (see sec. 7.1734)	7-7
7.15223	Modification by state with agreement of defendant	7-8
7.15224	Change in circumstances between time of making agreement and time of sentencing may justify and excuse prosecutor from fulfilling promises made in plea agreement (see sec. 7.157)	7-8
7.1523	Defendant's waiver of complaint about prosecutor's withdrawal from plea agreement by failure to object	7-8
7.1524	When defense counsel's failure to seek to enforce, or failure to inform defendant of his right to enforce, plea agreement constitutes ineffective assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.3964)	7-8
7.153	Prosecutor's obligations at plea hearing and sentencing	7-8
7.1531	In general	7-8
7.1532	Agreement to remain silent at sentencing is not violated when prosecutor corrects inaccurate information given to court	7-9
7.1533	Prosecutor may comment on thoroughness and completeness of presentence report even though it contains information the prosecutor agreed would not be considered in sentencing	7-9
7.1534	Prosecutor may not render less than neutral recitation of agreed-to sentence recommendation	7-9
7.1535	Fulfilling agreement not to make sentencing recommendation (e.g., recommendation on length of sentence)	7-9
7.15351	In general	7-9
7.15352	Prosecutor may comment on serious nature of offense and other aggravating factors, thereby suggesting that lengthy sentence should be imposed, without violating agreement	7-9
7.15353	When prosecutor agrees to make no sentence recommendation, prosecutor's contact with probation and parole office to complain about agent's recommendation for probation instead of incarceration, which results in amended PSI recommending incarceration, constitutes material breach of plea agreement (see sec. 7.15387)	7-10

7.15354	Agreement not to make sentencing recommendation is not violated by prosecutor's presentation of statements of victim and/or victim's family asking for imposition of maximum sentence	7-10
7.1536	Fulfilling agreement that count would be "dismissed outright"	7-10
7.15361	In general	7-10
7.15362	May prosecutor rely on facts of count that is "dismissed outright" in arguing for particular sentence on count to which defendant pleads guilty?	7-10
7.1537	Other matters	7-10
7.15371	Prosecutor must use "best efforts" to support plea agreement; prosecutor's "half-hearted" statement of agreed recommendation is no fulfillment of promise	7-10
7.15372	Prosecutor may cite aggravating factors to support sentence at high end of agreed-upon cap	7-10
7.15373	Prosecutor may not make comments that suggest that state is distancing itself from agreed-upon sentence recommendation or that cast doubt on that recommendation	7-10
7.15374	Prosecutor is prohibited from accomplishing indirectly what it promised not to do directly and may not covertly convey to court that more severe sentence is warranted than that recommended	7-10
7.15375	Prosecutor is not obliged to provide more than is specified in agreement itself; state is held only to those promises actually made (see sec. 7.1518)	7-11
7.15376	When plea agreement is silent as to whether state will recommend that sentence be concurrent or consecutive, state may recommend consecutive sentence without violating plea agreement	7-11
7.15377	Prosecutor not required to comply with plea agreement that violates public policy (see sec. 7.1518)	7-11
7.15378	Agreement to "stand silent" at sentencing only obligates prosecutor to refrain from offering sentencing recommendation; it does not require prosecutor to withhold relevant factual information from court	7-11
7.15379	No need to make sentence recommendation "forcefully or enthusiastically"	7-11
7.1538	Other matters (cont'd)	7-11
7.15381	Fulfilling agreement to allow parole eligibility on life sentence to be set by statute, rather than by court	7-11
7.15382	Prosecutor may inform court about negative information about defendant acquired after plea agreement, but may not imply that he or she has changed mind about recommendation called for by agreement	7-11
7.15383	In close case involving claimed breach of plea agreement, should plea agreement be construed in favor of defendant?	7-11

7.15384	Just because prosecutor at sentencing says there is no breach does not make it so; prosecutor who has implied harsher recommendation than that agreed to may not avoid finding of breach by simply saying he or she is not changing recommendation	7-12
7.15385	Compliance with agreement that evidence regarding nature of offense will be presented at sentencing	7-12
7.15386	When prosecutor has agreed to recommend concurrent sentence, recommendation of consecutive sentence constitutes material and substantial breach of agreement	7-12
7.15387	When prosecutor agrees to make no sentence recommendation, prosecutor's contact with probation and parole office to complain about agent's recommendation for probation instead of incarceration, which results in amended PSI recommending incarceration, constitutes material breach of plea agreement	7-12
7.15388	Prosecutor may adduce information unfavorable to defendant to support agreed-upon sentence recommendation	7-12
7.15389	In determining whether prosecutor has violated obligation to comply with plea agreement, investigating officer is considered agent of state; if investigating officer engages in conduct that would violate plea agreement if engaged in by prosecutor (e.g., if he asks sentencing court to impose sentence in excess of that prosecutor agreed to recommend), plea agreement is violated (see sec. 7.1516)	7-12
7.1539	Other matters (cont'd)	7-13
7.15391	Agreement to recommend that sentence be imposed and stayed, but placing no restriction on length of imposed and stayed sentence, not violated by prosecutorial argument listing aggravating factors justifying imposition of maximum sentence	7-13
7.15392	Agreement to recommend particular sentence not violated by prosecutor's presentation of information supporting that sentence that might arguably support greater sentence as well, but prosecutor may not imply that defendant's deserves greater sentence	7-13
7.15393	Agreement to make particular recommendation not violated by informing court that victims want to address court	7-13
7.15394	When defense counsel's failure to object to breach of plea agreement at sentencing constitutes ineffective assistance of counsel and entitles defendant to relief (see sec. 25.382)	7-13

7.15395	Prosecutor's inadvertent breach of plea agreement (e.g., by recommending sentence in excess of that called for by agreement), which is promptly acknowledged and rectified, does not violate prosecutor's obligation to comply with plea agreement (see sec. 7.1515)	7-13
7.15396	Prosecutor not required to expressly recite sentencing recommendation called for by plea agreement if, based on the entire sentencing proceeding, prosecutor's adherence to sentencing recommendation is clear despite absence of precise words expressly reciting sentencing recommendation	7-13
7.15397	Mentioning uncharged offenses after agreeing to sentence recommendation does not violate "spirit" of agreement	7-13
7.15398	Prosecutor is not obliged to say something nice or positive about defendant in order to avoid breaching agreement	7-14
7.154	Persons who are, and who are not, considered agents of state for purposes of determining whether prosecutor has breached plea agreement	7-14
7.1541	Presentence preparer is not agent of state, so preparer's actions cannot violate agreement	7-14
7.1542	Investigating officer is considered agent of state; if investigating officer engages in conduct that would violate plea agreement if engaged in by prosecutor (e.g., if he asks sentencing court to impose sentence in excess of that prosecutor agreed to recommend), plea agreement is violated (see sec. 7.1516)	7-14
7.155	Defendant's waiver of complaint about prosecutor's violation of plea agreement	7-14
7.1551	Breach of plea agreement does not entitle defendant to relief unless contemporaneous objection made	7-14
7.15511	In general	7-14
7.15512	But failure to object may provide basis for finding ineffective assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.382)	7-14
7.15513	Consideration of claimed breach, notwithstanding failure to object	7-14
7.1552	When objection to violation of plea agreement made unsuccessfully, defendant does not waive objection by attempting to ameliorate effect of violation and telling trial court he is satisfied that steps taken have had desired ameliorative effect	7-15
7.1553	Defendant waives right to complain about purported or alleged breach of plea agreement after entry of plea when, after purported or alleged breach occurs, he affirmatively declines to seek withdrawal of plea or spurns offer of withdrawal (see sec. 7.1594)	7-15
7.1554	In federal court, when defendant fails to make contemporaneous objection to violation of plea agreement, "plain error" rule applies and defendant is entitled to relief only if he can satisfy rule's requirements	7-15

7.156	Agreement not to make recommendation as to sentence extends only to first sentencing hearing, not to later sentencing hearing held when probation imposed at first sentencing hearing revoked	7-15
7.157	Effect of defendant's misconduct (e.g., defendant's commission of new crime) between time of execution of plea agreement by defendant's entry of plea pursuant to it and time of sentencing	7-15
7.1571	In general	7-15
7.1572	Does misconduct justify or excuse prosecutor from fulfilling promises made in plea agreement?	7-15
7.15721	Wisconsin appellate courts' answer: No	7-15
7.15722	United States Supreme Court's answer: Maybe	7-15
7.1573	Does provide basis for vacation of plea agreement at state's request	7-15
7.158	Standard of appellate review of question whether prosecutor violated plea agreement, entitling defendant to relief	7-15
7.1581	In general	7-15
7.1582	Of terms of agreement	7-16
7.1583	Of historical facts of state's conduct	7-16
7.15831	In general	7-16
7.15832	Meaning of prosecutor's words, as reflected in transcript, that is not dependent on trial court's appraisal of prosecutor's demeanor or credibility is question of law to be determined independently by reviewing court	7-16
7.1584	Of whether state's conduct constituted breach	7-17
7.1585	Of whether breach is material and substantial	7-17
7.1586	"Clear and convincing evidence" standard has no application to appellate review whether breach warranting relief has occurred	7-17
7.1587	"Close call," or "close case," rule has no application to appellate review whether breach warranting relief has occurred	7-17
7.1588	Credibility determinations made by circuit court are accepted by appellate court	7-17
7.15881	In general	7-17
7.15882	When express credibility determination not made, appellate court will assume it made determination that is consistent with its fact-finding	7-17
7.159	Other and related matters	7-17
7.1591	Prosecutor may not prosecute charge dismissed as result of plea bargain	7-17
7.1592	Prosecutor does not violate agreement by acquiescing in modification to it proposed by defense counsel	7-18
7.1593	Prosecutor does not breach agreement by failing to comply with its terms after defendant's breach of agreement when express provision of agreement relieves state of obligation to comply with agreement upon defendant's breach	7-18
7.1594	Defendant waives right to complain about purported or alleged breach of plea agreement after entry of plea when, after purported or alleged breach occurs, he declines to seek withdrawal of plea or spurns offer of withdrawal	7-18

7.1595	Prosecutor does not violate agreement regarding sentencing recommendation that is silent as to future ch. 980 proceeding by filing ch. 980 commitment petition at appropriate time	7-18
7.1596	Prosecutor agreeing to entry of Alford plea by sex offender does not breach agreement when state revokes probation solely because defendant continued to assert innocence during treatment program ordered as condition of probation	7-18
7.1597	To prevail on claim of breach of agreement, defendant cannot rely on his "reasonable expectations"; rather, he must show violation of specific prosecutorial promise that induced his plea (see sec. 7.165)	7-18
7.1598	Transfer of convicted defendant to out-of-state prison facility does not breach plea agreement absent specific prosecutorial promise that defendant would serve sentence in Wisconsin	7-18
7.1599	Other matters	7-18
7.15991	Prosecutor is excused from complying with terms of agreement, even after defendant's entry of plea pursuant to it, when, at time of entry of plea or thereafter, prosecutor proposes, and defendant either expressly or tacitly accepts, modification of plea agreement (see sec. 7.15223)	7-18
7.15992	Prosecutor's obligation to comply with promise not to reinstate charge with respect to which trial court allows plea withdrawal, when conviction on another charge is permitted to stand, all charges having been disposed of by guilty pleas pursuant to plea agreement (see sec. 22.8324)	7-19
7.16	Establishing Violation Of Plea Agreement And Defendant's Entitlement To Relief	7-19
7.161	Burden of proof	7-19
7.162	Requirement that violation be material and substantial	7-19
7.1621	In general	7-19
7.1622	Is violation of unarticulated requirement or condition of plea agreement (e.g., unarticulated condition that defendant not collaterally attack conviction entered pursuant to it when conviction is later invoked to increase punishment for subsequent offense) is not material and substantial?	7-20
7.16221	Court of appeals' answer: no	7-20
7.16222	Supreme court's answer: yes	7-20
7.1623	Requirement that violation be material and substantial does not turn on whether sentencing court was influenced by breach (see sec. 7.166)	7-20
7.1624	Use of contract principles to determine whether breach of plea agreement was substantial and material (see sec. 7.1964)	7-20
7.1625	Related matter: Application of harmless-error rule to breach, so as to deny any remedy for breach (see sec. 7.178)	7-20
7.163	When breach of plea agreement is substantial and material, prejudice is presumed and defendant is entitled to relief	7-20
7.164	Need for hearing to establish violation of agreement	7-20

7.165	To prevail on claim of breach of agreement, defendant cannot rely on his "reasonable expectations"; rather, he must show violation of specific prosecutorial promise that induced his plea	7-20
7.166	Inquiry into whether there has been violation of plea agreement and whether defendant entitled to relief on account of it does not turn on whether sentencing court was influenced by state's breach	7-20
7.167	Inquiry into whether there has been violation of plea agreement and whether defendant entitled to relief on account of it does not turn on whether prosecutor intended to breach agreement	7-21
7.168	Types of relief to which defendant may be entitled	7-21
	7.1681 Withdrawal of plea (see sec. 7.172)	7-21
	7.1682 Specific performance (see sec. 7.173)	7-21
	7.1683 Resentencing (see sec. 7.174)	7-21
7.169	Other matters	7-21
	7.1691 Standard of appellate review of question whether prosecutor violated plea agreement (see sec. 7.158)	7-21
	7.1692 When prosecutor violates provision of plea agreement that violates public policy, defendant is not entitled to any relief	7-21
7.17	Remedies For Violation Of Plea Agreement	7-21
	7.171 In general	7-21
	7.172 Withdrawal or rescission of plea by defendant	7-21
	7.1721 In general	7-21
	7.1722 Defendant has no right to withdraw plea entered into pursuant to plea agreement because prosecutor has failed to comply with plea agreement's terms after defendant's breach of agreement when express provision of agreement relieves state of obligation to comply with agreement upon defendant's breach	7-22
	7.1723 Less extreme remedy of specific performance is always preferred over plea withdrawal	7-22
7.173	Specific performance	7-22
	7.1731 In general	7-22
	7.1732 Defendant has no right to specific performance of plea agreement when prosecutor has failed to comply with plea agreement's terms pursuant to provision of agreement that relieves state of obligation to comply with agreement upon defendant's breach	7-22
	7.1733 When prosecutor withdraws from plea agreement after defendant's acceptance but before entry of plea, defendant must show detrimental reliance in order to enforce agreement	7-22
	7.17331 In general	7-22
	7.17332 Waiving right to preliminary examination does not constitute detrimental reliance that will permit defendant to obtain specific performance of agreement	7-22
	7.1734 When prosecutor withdraws from plea agreement after defendant's acceptance and after defendant's entry of plea, there is no need to show detrimental reliance before defendant is entitled to enforcement of plea agreement	7-22
	7.1735 When defense counsel's failure to seek to enforce, or failure to inform defendant of his right to enforce, plea agreement constitutes ineffective assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.3964)	7-23

	<i>Page</i>
7.1736 Less extreme remedy of specific performance is always preferred over plea withdrawal (see sec. 7.1723)	7-23
7.1737 When prosecutor violates provision of agreement that violates public policy, defendant is not entitled to enforcement of agreement	7-23
7.1738 Specific performance of plea agreement through new sentencing hearing may not be realistic remedy when same problem would inevitably occur at new sentencing hearing	7-23
7.174 Resentencing	7-23
7.1741 In general	7-23
7.1742 Required even when trial court asserts it was not influenced by breach	7-23
7.1743 Defendant has no right to resentencing because prosecutor has failed to comply with plea agreement's sentencing recommendation after defendant's breach of agreement when express provision of agreement relieves state of obligation to comply with agreement upon defendant's breach	7-23
7.1744 Need for resentencing to be conducted before new judge	7-23
7.175 Vacation of executed plea agreement (see sec. 7.43)	7-24
7.176 Enforcement of sanctions provided by plea agreement itself	7-24
7.177 Other remedies	7-24
7.1771 Preparation of new presentence report when breach of plea agreement has tainted presentence report	7-24
7.1772 Remedy when appellate court reaches conclusion that has effect of negating plea bargain (e.g., when it sets aside conviction on one of a number of offenses to which defendant pled guilty pursuant to plea bargain) (see sec. 7.195)	7-24
7.178 Application of harmless-error rule to breach, so as to deny any remedy for breach	7-24
7.1781 In general	7-24
7.1782 Question with regard to prejudice is not whether defendant would have entered plea had he known about future breach, but rather whether breach affected sentence	7-24
7.18 Vacation Of Executed Plea Agreement	7-24
7.181 At request of defendant because of prosecutor's breach of plea agreement (see sec. 7.431)	7-24
7.182 At request of state because of defendant's breach of plea agreement (see sec. 7.432)	7-24
7.19 Other Matters	7-24
7.191 Trial court's rejection of plea entered pursuant to plea agreement	7-24
7.1911 Trial court's right to reject plea entered pursuant to plea agreement	7-24
7.1912 What constitutes trial court rejection of plea entered pursuant to plea agreement	7-24
7.1913 After acceptance of plea, trial court may not sua sponte vacate acceptance of plea and reinstate original charges (see sec. 7.222)	7-24
7.1914 After acceptance of plea agreement by trial court, trial court is bound by its terms (see sec. 7.1922)	7-24
7.192 Determining whether sentencing court is bound by plea agreement	7-24

7.1921	Unless trial court accepts plea agreement, trial court is not bound by it	7-25
7.1922	After trial court accepts plea agreement, trial court is, as general rule, bound by it	7-25
7.1923	Plea agreement may not supplant trial court's exercise of discretion in setting permissible bounds of sentencing hearing (e.g., extent to which it will permit evidence to be introduced) (see sec. 7.1986)	7-25
7.193	Plea bargaining must not involve trial court	7-25
7.1931	In general	7-25
7.1932	But trial court may make nonthreatening and noncoercive suggestion for modification of agreement	7-25
7.1933	Trial court's commenting on strength of state's case and urging defendant to consider entry of guilty plea do not constitute direct participation in plea negotiations	7-25
7.1934	Defendant who has entered plea, following judge's participation in plea negotiation, is conclusively presumed to have entered his plea involuntarily and is entitled to withdraw it	7-26
7.1935	When plea withdrawn because judge participated in plea negotiation, case should be assigned to different judge on remand	7-26
7.194	Effect of plea agreement that is contrary to public policy	7-26
7.1941	In general	7-26
7.1942	Plea agreement to withhold relevant information from court violates public policy and will not be enforced (see sec. 7.1421)	7-26
7.195	Remedy when appellate or trial court reaches conclusion that has effect of negating plea bargain (e.g., when it sets aside conviction on one of a number of offenses to which defendant pled guilty pursuant to plea bargain)	7-26
7.1951	Generally, remedy is rescission of entire plea agreement, reinstatement of original charges, and remand for further proceedings on them	7-26
7.1952	But under certain circumstances different remedy may be in order (e.g., leaving conviction and sentence on other offenses to which defendant pled guilty intact)	7-26
7.1953	Remedy of rescission of entire plea agreement extends to all charges and convictions covered by agreement even if they were made or entered in separate case with separate judgment of conviction from which appeal was not taken	7-27
7.1954	Determination of appropriate remedy is committed to trial court's discretion and requires consideration of totality of circumstances and parties' interests	7-27
7.1955	Standard of appellate review of decision whether trial court has selected proper remedy (see sec. 10.48193)	7-27
7.196	Use of principles of contract law in plea bargaining context	7-27
7.1961	In general	7-27
7.1962	To determine validity of agreement	7-27
7.1963	To construe terms of plea agreement and determine rights of parties to agreement (see sec. 7.1412)	7-27

7.1964	To determine whether there has been material and substantial breach of agreement	7-27
7.1965	To determine appropriate remedy for breach of plea bargain	7-27
7.197	Appellate review of matters relating to plea bargaining, plea agreement, breach of agreement, and enforcement of agreement	7-27
7.1971	Standard of appellate review of question whether defendant violated plea agreement, entitling state to relief	7-27
7.19711	In general	7-28
7.19712	Of terms of agreement	7-28
7.19713	Of historical facts of party's conduct	7-28
7.19714	Of whether party's conduct constituted breach	7-28
7.19715	Of whether breach is material and substantial	7-28
7.1972	Standard of appellate review of question whether prosecutor's conduct breached terms of plea agreement, entitling defendant to relief (see sec. 7.158)	7-28
7.1973	Standard of appellate review of remedy circuit court selected for breach of agreement	7-28
7.1974	Credibility determinations made by circuit court are accepted by appellate court	7-28
7.19741	In general	7-28
7.19742	When express credibility determination not made, appellate court will assume it made determination that is consistent with its fact-finding	7-28
7.1975	Applicability of harmless-error rule	7-28
7.19751	To state's breach of plea agreement (see sec. 7.178)	7-28
7.198	Other matters	7-28
7.1981	Construction, enforcement, and validity of provision of plea agreement relieving state of obligation to comply with agreement upon defendant's breach of agreement	7-28
7.1982	Defendant's waiver of right to appeal and/or right to collaterally attack conviction (i.e., waiver of right to raise issue or issues on appeal or collateral attack that would not otherwise be waived by entry of plea) by agreeing to such waiver as part of plea bargain	7-28
7.19821	In general	7-28
7.19822	Remedy for defendant's breach of agreement	7-28
7.1983	Entry into plea agreement with knowledge of claimed error does not constitute waiver of error	7-29
7.1984	Effect of misunderstanding of validity of counts dismissed as result of plea agreement upon validity of plea (see sec. 10.4466)	7-29
7.1985	When appellate court reaches conclusion that has effect of negating plea bargain (e.g., when it sets aside conviction on one of a number of offenses to which defendant pled guilty pursuant to plea bargain), remedy is ordinarily rescission of entire plea agreement, reinstatement of original charges, and remand for further proceedings on them	7-29
7.1986	Plea agreement may not supplant trial court's exercise of discretion in setting permissible bounds of sentencing hearing (e.g., extent to which it will permit evidence to be introduced)	7-29

7.1987	Defendant who successfully withdraws plea no longer has benefit of concessions or dismissals called for by plea bargain (see sec. 10.4992)	7-29
7.1988	Prosecutor cannot bind Department of Corrections by promise made in plea bargaining regarding matter within control of department (e.g., whether defendant will be transferred to out-of-state prison)	7-29
7.199	Other matters (cont'd)	7-29
7.1991	Defense counsel cannot renegotiate plea agreement without the knowledge and consent of the defendant	7-29
7.19911	In general	7-29
7.19912	Strategic decision by defense counsel to forego objection to prosecutor's breach of plea agreement is tantamount to entering into renegotiated plea agreement; entering into renegotiated plea agreement without consulting defendant and obtaining his consent is deficient performance (see sec. 25.3824)	7-29
7.1992	Defense counsel's renegotiation of sentencing recommendation in plea agreement without defendant's knowledge or consent	7-30
7.19921	In general	7-30
7.19922	Strategic decision by defense counsel to forego objection to prosecutor's breach of plea agreement is tantamount to entering into renegotiated plea agreement; entering into renegotiated plea agreement without consulting defendant and obtaining his consent is deficient performance (see sec. 25.3824)	7-30
7.1993	Need to permit defendant to withdraw negotiated plea when court determines that it will reject sentence recommendation contained in plea agreement (see sec. 7.21984)	7-30
7.1994	When plea agreement calls for sentence recommendation, trial court is not required at sentencing to explain deviation from prosecutor's recommendation (see sec. 7.21991)	7-30
7.1995	Penalizing defendant's exercise of right to appeal by offering less favorable plea bargain after successful appeal than was offered before initial trial (see sec. 22.815)	7-30
7.1996	When plea agreement calls for amendment of charges, amendment must be approved by court	7-30
7.1997	"Read in" procedure (see sec. 9.193)	7-30
7.1998	"Package plea agreement"	7-30
7.19981	Nature of	7-30
7.19982	Validity of	7-30
7.2	Acceptance Of Guilty Plea	7-30
7.21	Trial Court's Obligations In Accepting Guilty Plea	7-30
7.211	General principles	7-30
7.2111	In general	7-30
7.2112	Obligations when plea result of negotiations	7-31
7.2113	Need for defendant to specifically articulate plea he is entering	7-31
7.21131	In general	7-31
7.21132	When Alford plea entered	7-31

7.2114	Effect of failure to comply with obligations at time of taking of plea	7-31
7.2115	Defendant's tacit acquiescence in decision to plead is insufficient to render plea valid	7-31
7.212	Determine defendant's education and general comprehension, i.e., determine defendant's capacity to make informed decisions	7-31
7.213	Establish defendant's understanding of nature of crime and range of punishments	7-31
7.2131	Nature of crime	7-32
7.21311	In general	7-32
7.21312	Not necessary to inform defendant who is pleading to multiple offenses of facts that support each charge	7-33
7.21313	Effect of faulty description of nature of crime on guilty plea questionnaire where court accurately explains nature of crime at plea hearing	7-33
7.21314	Requirement that court establish defendant's understanding of nature of crime does not require court to thoroughly explain or define every element of offense	7-33
7.21315	Requirement that court establish defendant's understanding of nature of crime does not require court to ensure that defendant specifically understands how state must prove each element	7-33
7.21316	Requirement that court establish defendant's understanding of nature of crime does not require defendant's knowledge of nuances in descriptions of elements	7-33
7.21317	When definition of term used to describe offense is element of offense, defendant must understand that definition	7-33
7.21318	Use of plea questionnaire to satisfy requirement that trial court establish defendant's understanding of nature of crime (see sec. 7.24721)	7-33
7.21319	For federal constitutional purposes, judge need not personally explain elements of charge to defendant; court may rely on counsel's assurance that defendant has been informed of nature and elements of charge	7-33
7.2132	Nature of crime (cont'd)	7-33
7.21321	Statement of defendant that he reviewed elements with attorney and understands them and/or statement of counsel that he has explained elements to defendant and defendant understands them, without more, are not sufficient to establish defendant's understanding of nature of offense	7-33
7.21322	When defendant's guilt predicated on theory that he was aider and abettor	7-34
7.2133	Possible penalty	7-34
7.21331	In general	7-34

7.21332	Requirement that defendant's understanding of possible penalty be established does not require informing him of possibility that restitution will be ordered	7-34
7.21333	Presumptive minimum sentence	7-34
7.21334	Need to inform defendant that it could set parole eligibility date pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 973.014(1) (see sec. 7.21962)	7-34
7.21335	Sanction imposed by another jurisdiction or tribunal (e.g., federal prohibition on firearms possession) is not penalty of which pleading defendant must be informed	7-34
7.21336	Requires informing defendant of direct consequences of plea (see sec. 7.2192)	7-35
7.21337	Does not require informing defendant of collateral consequences of plea (see sec. 7.2193)	7-35
7.21338	When potential sentence is overstated and defendant receives less than maximum that law allows, may defendant complain that overstatement of sentence constituted manifest injustice or otherwise provides grounds for plea withdrawal?	7-35
7.21339	Does not require informing defendant that, under truth-in-sentencing, there is no parole or good time (see secs. 7.21963 and 7.21988)	7-35
7.2134	Possible penalty (cont'd)	7-35
7.21341	When defendant is not informed, or is misinformed, about possible penalty, state may not avoid plea withdrawal by showing that lack of information or misinformation did not cause, or otherwise affect, decision to plead guilty	7-35
7.21342	In multiple-count case, court need not inform defendant that sentences could be made consecutive	7-35
7.21343	When court has not informed defendant of maximum penalty for offense, but penalty imposed is less than amount court stated could be imposed, is error harmless?	7-35
7.21344	In case of TIS sentence, court only required to inform defendant of maximum term of imprisonment; no additional dissection of sentence (in particular, no delineation of maximum term of confinement) is required	7-35
7.21345	When maximum penalty for offense may be mitigated by particular circumstance (e.g., penalty for kidnapping may be reduced if victim is released without permanent injury), must defendant be advised of different potential punishments depending on whether or not mitigating circumstance exists	7-36
7.21346	Use of plea questionnaire to satisfy requirement that trial court establish defendant's understanding of range of punishment (see sec. 7.24722)	7-36

7.2135	In determining whether this requirement met, trial court may not rely on colloquy at earlier plea hearing about whose sufficiency trial court expressed doubts at hearing at which plea ultimately taken	7-36
7.2136	Effect of failure to comply with this obligation at time of taking of plea	7-36
7.214	Ascertain voluntariness of plea (i.e., whether any promises or threats have been made)	7-36
7.2141	In general	7-36
7.2142	Defendant who has entered plea following judge's participation in plea negotiation is conclusively presumed to have entered his plea involuntarily (see sec. 7.1934)	7-36
7.2143	Need for additional inquiry into voluntariness when defendant has entered into "package plea agreement"	7-36
7.2144	Use of plea questionnaire to satisfy requirement that trial court establish voluntariness of plea (i.e., whether any promises or threats have been made) (see sec. 7.2475)	7-36
7.215	Advise unrepresented defendant about representation by counsel	7-37
7.2151	Need to alert unrepresented defendant to possible benefits of having attorney and to dangers and disadvantages of self-representation	7-37
7.21511	Wisconsin decisions indicating court must alert unrepresented defendant to possible benefits of having attorney and to dangers and disadvantages of self-representation	7-37
7.21512	United States Supreme Court decisions indicating that specific admonitions regarding possible benefits of having attorney and dangers and disadvantages of self-representation are not necessary in all cases	7-37
7.2152	Make sure indigent defendant understands right to have appointed counsel	7-37
7.2153	Effect of failure to comply with this obligation at time of taking of plea	7-37
7.216	Determine that the conduct which the defendant admits constitutes offense to which he is pleading guilty (i.e., establish factual basis for plea)	7-37
7.2161	In general	7-37
7.2162	When Alford plea entered (see sec. 7.475)	7-38
7.2163	When plea entered pursuant to plea bargain, i.e., when negotiated plea entered	7-38
7.2164	Remedies for failure to comply with this obligation	7-38
7.21641	In general: remedy is plea withdrawal when undisputed facts do not constitute crime	7-38
7.21642	In general: Bangert remedy applies when facts are disputed (see sec. 10.42412)	7-38
7.21643	Remedy when record establishes factual basis for misdemeanor, but not for felony to which defendant pleaded guilty (see sec. 7.2474)	7-39

7.21644	Remedy when, in theft prosecution, factual basis established for theft, but not for value of stolen property required to make theft a felony	7-39
7.21645	Remedy when, in kidnapping prosecution, factual basis is established for kidnapping, but not for excluding mitigating circumstance (i.e., release of victim without permanent injury) that reduces penalty from Class B to Class C felony	7-39
7.2165	When plea entered pursuant to plea bargain amending charge to lesser offense, will factual basis for greater charge satisfy this obligation even though no factual basis exists for lesser charge to which plea entered?	7-39
7.21651	In general	7-39
7.21652	When Alford plea entered (see sec. 7.4781)	7-39
7.2166	In plea bargain context, this requirement satisfied if factual basis is shown either for offense to which plea is offered or for more serious charge reasonably related to offense to which plea is offered	7-39
7.21661	General rule	7-39
7.21662	Exception: when Alford plea entered (see sec. 7.4781)	7-39
7.2167	Appellate review of trial court's determination that factual basis existed	7-39
7.21671	In general	7-39
7.21672	Standard of review	7-39
7.21673	Scope of review; what appellate court considers	7-40
7.2168	Other matters	7-40
7.21681	This requirement not satisfied by showing of factual basis for offense reasonably related to offense to which plea is offered if both offenses are of equal seriousness (i.e., maximum penalties for both offenses are the same)	7-40
7.21682	May find factual basis in complaint alone	7-40
7.21683	Factual basis exists if inculpatory inference can be drawn from complaint or facts admitted even though it may conflict with exculpatory inference elsewhere in record and defendant contends that exculpatory inference is correct one	7-40
7.21684	Less information is required to validate factual basis for plea than is needed to support conviction	7-40
7.21685	When maximum penalty for offense may be mitigated by particular circumstance (e.g., penalty for kidnapping may be reduced if victim is released without permanent injury), must court establish factual basis for excluding the mitigating circumstance?	7-40
7.21686	When, in prosecution for sexual assault of child, proffered factual basis reveals that defendant claimed he or she was sexually assaulted (i.e., raped) by alleged child-victim (see sec. 38.62223)	7-40
7.21687	When defendant's guilt predicated on theory that he was aider and abettor	7-40

	<i>Page</i>
7.2169 Other matters (cont'd)	7-40
7.21691 No need to establish factual basis for stipulated forfeiture of assets entered into as part of plea agreement	7-40
7.21692 Doctrine of judicial estoppel does not bar pleading defendant from challenging factual basis for plea	7-41
7.21693 Manner or means by which factual basis may be established in general	7-41
7.21694 Need for defendant's assent to factual basis	7-41
7.21695 While defendant may raise postconviction challenge questioning whether admitted facts constitute an offense, he may not dispute truth of facts alleged by state that constitute factual basis for plea	7-41
7.21696 Defendant who has conceded existence of factual basis for plea is not judicially estopped from later claiming that factual basis does not constitute offense	7-41
7.21697 Right to challenge lack of sufficient factual basis not waived by entry of plea (see sec. 7.3261)	7-41
7.21698 When information relied upon by trial court to establish factual basis at plea hearing is insufficient, reviewing court addressing defendant's challenge to sufficiency of factual basis may examine entire record to see if factual basis exists	7-41
7.217 Advise defendant of constitutional rights he is waiving by entry of plea and secure waiver of them	7-41
7.2171 In general	7-41
7.2172 Particular rights	7-42
7.21721 Right to unanimous jury verdict	7-42
7.21722 Right to counsel	7-42
7.2173 By use of waiver of rights forms (see sec. 7.2483)	7-42
7.2174 Effect of failure to comply with this obligation at time of taking of plea	7-42
7.2175 To establish waiver of rights in conjunction with taking of plea in high-volume traffic and misdemeanor courts, court may make en masse recitation of constitutional rights at start of proceedings and then incorporate that recitation by reference as each individual defendant's case is called	7-42
7.2176 Need to engage in more extensive colloquy about nature of rights when defendant has limited abilities, there is no waiver of rights form, and defense counsel has not provided meaningful description of discussion with defendant concerning rights	7-42
7.2177 Use of plea questionnaire to satisfy requirement that trial court advise defendant of constitutional rights he is waiving by entry of plea and secure waiver of them (see sec. 7.2473)	7-42
7.218 Statutory obligation to inform defendant that, if he or she is not citizen, plea "may result in deportation, the exclusion from admission to this country or the denial of naturalization"	7-42
7.2181 In general	7-42

7.2182	When defendant aware of likelihood of deportation when plea entered, does failure to advise of immigration consequences constitute harmless error?	7-43
7.21821	Yes (Wisconsin Court of Appeals' answer, overruled by Wisconsin Supreme Court in Douangmala)	7-43
7.21822	No (Wisconsin Supreme Court's answer in Douangmala, overruling Wisconsin Court of Appeals)	7-43
7.21823	Retroactivity of Wisconsin Supreme Court's decision answering this question "no": Douangmala does not apply retroactively to cases that were final when Douangmala was decided	7-43
7.2183	Statute requiring court to inform defendant of possibility of deportation, which sets out in quotes the language by which such information is to be imparted, should be followed to the letter	7-43
7.2184	Use of guilty plea questionnaire to inform defendant of possibility of deportation	7-44
7.2185	Effect of failure to comply with obligation: defendant entitled to have conviction vacated even if he knew of deportation consequences of plea; harmless error rule not applicable	7-44
7.21851	In general (see sec. 7.21822)	7-44
7.21852	Retroactivity of Wisconsin Supreme Court decision declaring this to be remedy for failure to comply with obligation (see sec. 7.21823)	7-44
7.2186	To obtain plea withdrawal for failure to comply with this obligation, defendant must show that "the plea is likely to result in the defendant's deportation, exclusion from admission to this county or denial of naturalization"	7-44
7.21861	In general	7-44
7.21862	Fact that, at time of plea, defendant already faces deportation because of commission of different offense does not mean that plea is not likely to result in deportation	7-44
7.21863	Because statute uses term "likely" and not "shall," defendant need not prove he definitely will be deported on the basis of the conviction at issue to secure plea withdrawal	7-44
7.2187	Other and related matters	7-44
7.21871	When required advisement of deportation consequences of plea is given, defendant's mistaken belief that he is citizen does not render plea invalid (see sec. 10.443)	7-44
7.219	Other obligations	7-44
7.2191	Inform defendant that prosecutor's recommendation and plea agreement are not binding	7-44
7.21911	Inform defendant that prosecutor's recommendation is not binding on court	7-44
7.21912	Inform defendant that plea agreement is not binding on court: in general	7-45

7.21913	Inform defendant that plea agreement is not binding on court: this information must be personally conveyed to defendant by trial court	7-45
7.21914	Inform defendant that plea agreement is not binding on court: when court fails to fulfill this obligation, appropriate remedy is that provided by Bangert	7-45
7.2192	Need to advise defendant of direct consequences of plea	7-45
7.2193	Need to advise defendant of collateral consequences of plea: general principles	7-45
7.21931	In general	7-45
7.21932	Effect of plea that results from discretionary decision of another state agency; consequence of plea that rests in hands of another government agency or different tribunal is collateral consequence	7-46
7.21933	Effect of plea that results from defendant's own behavior; consequence of plea that may be controlled by defendant's own behavior is collateral consequence	7-46
7.2194	Need to advise defendant of collateral consequences of plea: particular consequences	7-46
7.21941	Parole eligibility (see sec. 7.2196)	7-46
7.21942	Possibility of deportation	7-46
7.21943	Restitution	7-47
7.21944	That conviction for traffic violation could lead to classification as habitual traffic offender	7-47
7.21945	Consequences of probation revocation (i.e., potential maximum term to which defendant would be subjected in event probation is revoked) (see sec. 7.21986)	7-47
7.21946	Possibility of ch. 980 commitment (i.e., sexual predator commitment) (see sec. 7.21971)	7-47
7.21947	Requirement to register as convicted sex offender and possibility of fine and/or imprisonment for failure to do so	7-47
7.21948	Federal prohibition on possession of firearm	7-47
7.21949	That failure of defendant entering Alford plea to sex offense to admit guilt as part of treatment ordered as condition of probation may result in revocation of probation and imprisonment (see sec. 7.21982)	7-47
7.2195	Need to advise defendant of collateral consequences of plea: particular consequences (cont'd)	7-47
7.21951	That mandatory release date is simply presumptive and release may be denied on that date (see sec. 7.21985)	7-47
7.21952	Habitual offender penalties to which present conviction may later subject him upon commission of new crime	7-47
7.21953	Possible transfer to out-of-state prison facility	7-48
7.21954	That conviction of controlled substances offense would result in ineligibility for federal health care programs (i.e., medicare and medicaid)	7-48
7.21955	That, under truth-in-sentencing, there is no parole (see sec. 7.21963)	7-48

7.21956	That, under truth-in-sentencing, there is no good time (see sec. 7.21988)	7-48
7.21957	Effect of "read in"	7-48
7.2196	Need to advise defendant regarding parole and parole eligibility	7-48
7.21961	In general	7-48
7.21962	Need to advise that trial court could set parole eligibility date pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 973.014(1)	7-48
7.21963	No need to advise defendant that, under truth-in-sentencing, there is no parole	7-48
7.2197	Obligations with respect to sex offenders	7-48
7.21971	Need to advise defendant of possibility of ch. 980 (sexual predator) commitment	7-48
7.21972	No need to inform defendant of requirement to register as convicted sex offender and possibility of fine and/or imprisonment for failure to do so (see sec. 7.21947)	7-49
7.2198	Other obligations	7-49
7.21981	Need to inform defendant of statutory right to jury determination of forfeiture	7-49
7.21982	Need to advise defendant entering Alford plea to sex offense that his failure to admit guilt as part of treatment ordered as condition of probation may result in revocation of probation and imprisonment	7-49
7.21983	Make record of plea bargain and establish defendant's understanding of it	7-49
7.21984	No requirement that court inform defendant, upon accepting negotiated plea of guilty but before sentencing, whether court intends to reject sentence recommendation, and if so, to permit defendant to withdraw plea: in general	7-49
7.21985	No requirement that court inform defendant, upon accepting negotiated plea of guilty but before sentencing, whether court intends to reject sentence recommendation, and if so, to permit defendant to withdraw plea: but court may so inform defendant and permit defendant to withdraw plea (see sec. 7.2482)	7-49
7.21986	Need to inform defendant of consequences of probation revocation (i.e., potential maximum term to which he or she would be subjected in the event probation is revoked)	7-49
7.21987	Obligation in federal court under Fed. Rule Crim. Proc. 11 to inform pleading defendant that he could not withdraw pleas if court did not accept government's recommendations	7-50
7.21988	No need to advise defendant that, under truth-in-sentencing, there is no good time	7-50
7.21989	No need to conduct personal colloquy with pleading defendant who has previously entered NGI plea to establish that withdrawal of NGI plea was knowing, voluntary, and intelligent (see sec. 6.6434)	7-50
7.2199	Other and related obligations	7-50

7.21991	Trial court not required at sentencing to explain deviation from recommendation of prosecutor made pursuant to plea agreement	7-50
7.21992	Need to advise defendant pleading no contest of difference between no-contest and guilty plea	7-50
7.21993	Determine whether dismissed charges will be treated as read-ins and ensure defendant understands what having charge read in means	7-50
7.21994	No need to inform defendant that mandatory release date is simply presumptive and release may be denied on that date (see sec. 7.2482)	7-50
7.22	When Plea Is Result Of Negotiations	7-50
7.221	Trial court's obligation to satisfy itself that plea agreement and amended charges are in public interest	7-50
7.222	After acceptance of plea, trial court may not sua sponte vacate acceptance of plea and reinstate original charges	7-50
7.223	After acceptance of plea and agreement pursuant to which it was entered, trial court is bound by terms of plea agreement (see sec. 7.1922)	7-51
7.224	Less strict application of requirement that factual basis exists for plea when plea entered as result of negotiations (see sec. 7.2163)	7-51
7.23	Appellate Review Of Validity Of Guilty Plea	7-51
7.231	In general	7-51
7.232	Propriety of appellate challenge to validity of plea on direct appeal from judgment	7-51
7.2321	Appellate challenge to validity of plea may be made by direct appeal from judgment	7-51
7.2322	Challenge to validity of plea and request for its withdrawal cannot be made for first time on appeal (see sec. 7.493)	7-51
7.233	Need for motion to withdraw plea in trial court as prerequisite to appellate review of plea (see secs. 7.232 and 7.493)	7-51
7.24	Other Matters Relating To Acceptance Of Guilty Plea	7-51
7.241	Defendant's rights at plea hearing	7-51
7.2411	Right to counsel	7-51
7.24111	In general	7-51
7.2412	Right to effective assistance of counsel	7-51
7.24121	In general	7-51
7.24122	Failure to inform defendant of collateral consequences of plea: in general	7-51
7.24123	Failure to inform defendant of collateral consequences of plea: deportation consequences of plea	7-51
7.24124	Failure to inform defendant of collateral consequences of plea: parole eligibility	7-51
7.24125	Erroneous advice by counsel to defendant regarding parole eligibility	7-52
7.24126	Failure to seek judicial recommendation against deportation	7-52
7.2413	Right to be present	7-52
7.24131	In general	7-52
7.24132	Statutory right to be present violated by use of closed-circuit television	7-52

7.24133	Constitutional right not necessarily violated by use of closed-circuit television	7-52
7.242	Trial court's right to reject plea or plea agreement recommendation (see secs. 7.191 and 7.192)	7-52
7.243	Validity and acceptance of particular pleas	7-52
7.2431	Alford plea (see sec. 7.47)	7-52
7.2432	Conditional plea (see sec. 7.48)	7-52
7.244	Prosecutor's obligation to comply with plea agreement when plea entered (see sec. 7.1522)	7-52
7.245	Acceptance of plea of not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect unaccompanied by not guilty plea	7-52
7.2451	Procedures relating to acceptance of guilty and no contest pleas apply	7-52
7.2452	No greater procedural requirements exist	7-52
7.246	Remedy for defects in acceptance of guilty plea	7-52
7.2461	In general	7-52
7.2462	Constitutional defects	7-52
7.2463	Statutory defects	7-53
7.2464	Remedy when record establishes factual basis for misdemeanor, but not for felony to which defendant pleaded guilty	7-53
7.2465	Remedy when, in theft prosecution, factual basis established for theft, but not for value of stolen property required to make theft a felony (see sec. 7.2165)	7-53
7.247	Use of guilty plea questionnaire and waiver of rights form	7-53
7.2471	General principles	7-53
7.24711	In general	7-53
7.24712	Court may not rely entirely on form as substitute for substantive in-court plea colloquy	7-53
7.2472	To inform defendant of nature of offense and penalties for it	7-53
7.24721	Nature of offense	7-53
7.24722	Penalties for offense	7-53
7.2473	To inform defendant of constitutional rights he is waiving	7-54
7.2474	To inform defendant of consequences of plea	7-54
7.24741	In general	7-54
7.24742	To inform defendant of effect of having charges read in	7-54
7.24743	To inform defendant of effect of having charges read in	7-54
7.2475	To establish that defendant was not threatened or promised anything to induce plea	7-54
7.2476	To inform defendant of deportation consequences of plea (see sec. 7.2184)	7-54
7.2477	Use of form by state to satisfy its obligation under Bangert to demonstrate that defendant knew information trial court was required to provide as part of plea taking (see sec. 10.42434)	7-54
7.2478	Effect of errors or mistakes (e.g., inaccurate description of nature of crime to which plea entered) on form	7-54
7.2479	Other Matters	7-54

7.24791	Questionnaire that is in foreign language spoken by defendant will not suffice to sustain burden to convey required information and secure required waiver of rights in absence of English translation of form in record	7-54
7.24792	Signed plea questionnaire containing required information does not conclusively establish knowledge of that information (see sec. 10.42456)	7-54
7.248	Other matters	7-54
7.2481	After acceptance of plea, court may not sua sponte vacate acceptance of plea	7-54
7.24811	In general	7-54
7.24812	When plea was result of negotiations (see sec. 7.222)	7-55
7.2482	Court may inform defendant that it intends to exceed sentencing recommendation in plea agreement pursuant to which plea was tendered and offer defendant opportunity to withdraw plea	7-55
7.249	Other matters (cont'd)	7-55
7.2491	Competency standard for pleading guilty is same as the competency standard for standing trial	7-55
7.2492	Same standards apply in both felony and misdemeanor cases (see sec. 10.4994)	7-55
7.2493	Effect of trial court's failure to engage in mandated procedures in taking guilty plea (see sec. 10.424)	7-55
7.2494	Effect on validity of plea of defendant's misunderstanding of collateral consequences of plea (see sec. 10.442)	7-55
7.2495	Effect on validity of plea of defendant's misunderstanding that plea would not subject him to deportation (see sec. 10.443)	7-55
7.2496	Need for defendant to specifically articulate plea he is entering (see sec. 7.2113)	7-55
7.2497	Special material on guilty pleas prepared by Criminal Jury Instructions Committee should be heeded by court accepting plea, but circuit courts are not required to follow it	7-55
7.2498	To establish waiver of rights in conjunction with taking of plea in high-volume traffic and misdemeanor courts, court may make en masse recitation of constitutional rights at start of proceedings and then incorporate that recitation by reference as each individual defendant's case is called (see sec. 7.2175)	7-55
7.2499	Other matters	7-55
7.3	Waiver Effected By Entry Of Guilty Plea	7-55
7.31	General Rule: Guilty Plea Waives All Non-Jurisdictional Defects And Defenses	7-55
7.311	In general	7-55
7.312	Applies to no-contest and Alford pleas	7-57
7.313	Applies in civil forfeiture proceedings	7-57
7.314	Waiver rule also triggered by withdrawal of insanity plea (see sec. 6.6432)	7-57
7.315	Applies even though defendant attempts to preserve waived issue for appellate review	7-57
7.316	Applies even though defendant and state agreed that defendant's appellate rights will be preserved	7-57

7.317	Court's power to reach issue in its discretion notwithstanding waiver; rule is rule of administration not power to address issues	7-57
7.32	Waiver Of Particular Matters	7-58
7.321	Violation of constitutional rights and other constitutional defects	7-58
7.3211	In general	7-58
7.3212	Particular rights	7-58
7.32121	Right not to be subjected to double jeopardy (see sec. 7.3233)	7-58
7.32122	Right to speedy trial (see sec. 7.3234)	7-58
7.32123	Right to timely determination of probable cause after warrantless arrest (see sec. 6.3182)	7-58
7.32124	Right to due process in general	7-58
7.32125	Due process right to enforcement of earlier plea agreement	7-58
7.32126	Right not to be subjected to ex post facto law	7-58
7.32127	Defendant's right to counsel of his or her own choice	7-59
7.32128	Right to effective assistance of counsel: plea does not waive claim of ineffective assistance of counsel	7-59
7.3213	Unconstitutionality of statute creating crime (see sec. 7.3232)	7-59
7.3214	Waiver rule does not extend to constitutional defects in plea process itself	7-59
7.3215	Consideration of constitutional issue notwithstanding waiver	7-59
7.322	Jurisdictional defects	7-59
7.3221	In general	7-59
7.3222	Particular jurisdictional defects	7-59
7.32221	Nonexistence of crime to which defendant pled guilty	7-59
7.32222	Unconstitutionality of statute creating crime charged (see sec. 7.3232)	7-60
7.32223	Failure of complaint to allege crime	7-60
7.32224	Failure of complaint to state sufficient facts to establish probable cause to believe defendant committed crime	7-60
7.32225	Acts forming basis for criminal charge were committed outside of State of Wisconsin; absence of jurisdiction of state over crime under Wis. Stat. § 939.03	7-60
7.32226	Failure to hold Becker hearing (i.e., hearing at which prosecution required to show that delay in charging defendant for crime committed while juvenile was not for purpose of avoiding juvenile court jurisdiction) (see sec. 7.3257)	7-60
7.32227	Territorial jurisdiction: in general	7-60
7.32228	Territorial jurisdiction: when territorial jurisdiction over charged offense exists, but there is no territorial jurisdiction over lesser-included offense to which defendant pleads guilty or no contest (see sec. 12.1462)	7-60
7.3223	When facts necessary to confer subject-matter jurisdiction on trial court are pleaded in information, guilty plea to information admits those facts and precludes subsequent attack on trial court's subject-matter jurisdiction (see sec. 7.4972)	7-60
7.323	Defenses	7-60
7.3231	In general	7-60

	<i>Page</i>
7.3232 Unconstitutionality of statute creating crime	7-60
7.32321 In general	7-60
7.32322 Facial challenge to statute	7-61
7.32323 Challenge to statute as applied	7-61
7.3233 Double jeopardy	7-61
7.32331 In general	7-61
7.32332 When existing record does not allow court to resolve double jeopardy claim, waiver rule applies; defendant gives up right to fact-finding hearing on propriety of multiple charges	7-61
7.32333 Best practice is for prosecutor to secure express waiver of double jeopardy claim as part of plea process	7-61
7.3234 Denial of speedy trial	7-61
7.3235 Statute of limitations	7-62
7.3236 Multiplicity	7-62
7.32361 In general	7-62
7.32362 When existing record does not allow court to resolve multiplicity claim implicating double jeopardy, waiver rule applies; defendant gives up right to fact-finding hearing on propriety of multiple charges	7-62
7.32363 Best practice is for prosecutor to secure express waiver of multiplicity claim as part of plea process	7-62
7.324 Constitutional rights defendant is directly giving up by entering plea	7-62
7.3241 In general	7-62
7.325 Other matters	7-62
7.3251 Failure to hold timely initial appearance (see sec. 6.3252)	7-62
7.3252 Defects in juvenile waiver process	7-62
7.3253 Fact that oral amendment of charge to which defendant pled guilty did not allege particular element of crime	7-62
7.3254 Fact that oral amendment of charge to which defendant pled guilty did not allege time and particular circumstances of crime	7-62
7.3255 Prosecutor's conflict of interest	7-62
7.3256 Nonexistence of crime to which plea entered (see sec. 7.32221)	7-62
7.3257 Failure to hold Becker hearing (i.e., hearing at which prosecution required to show that delay in charging defendant for crime committed while juvenile was not for purpose of avoiding juvenile court jurisdiction)	7-62
7.3258 Defects relating to sentencing	7-62
7.3259 Prosecutor's breach of earlier plea agreement (see sec. 7.32125)	7-63
7.326 Other matters (cont'd)	7-63
7.3261 Defendant does not waive right to challenge factual basis for plea	7-63
7.3262 Defendant does not waive right to dispute whether facts set out in factual basis for plea constitute an offense	7-63
7.3263 Defendant does waive right to dispute truth of facts alleged as factual basis for plea	7-63
7.3264 Collateral attack on prior convictions that are being invoked to enhance punishment for current offense	7-63
7.32641 In general	7-63

7.32642	Court declines to apply waiver rule when legitimacy of prior convictions was fully litigated in trial court and issue is of statewide concern	7-63
7.33	Statutory Abrogation Of Waiver Rule For Denial Of Pretrial Suppression Motions (see sec. 7.42)	7-63
7.34	Other Matters	7-63
7.341	When elements of penalty enhancer are not in fact present, guilty plea to charging document alleging penalty enhancer does not waive defendant's objection that penalty enhancer is not present	7-63
7.342	Attempt to preserve issue by agreement despite guilty-plea-waiver rule is ineffective	7-63
7.343	When defendant attempts to preserve for appellate review issue waived by entry of plea, court may decide not to enforce waiver rule, which would require vacation of plea, but rather may consider issue defendant attempted to preserve on its merits and affirm conviction if issue found to be without merit	7-63
7.344	Waiver of right to raise issue on appeal that would not otherwise be waived by entry of plea by agreeing to waiver as part of plea bargain (see sec. 7.1982)	7-63
7.345	Entering into plea agreement with knowledge of error does not waive right to raise issue on appeal that would not otherwise be waived by entry of plea (see sec. 7.1983)	7-63
7.346	Refusal to apply waiver rule when interests of justice are served by such refusal	7-64
7.347	Appellate review of whether defendant waived claim of error by entering guilty plea	7-64
7.348	Refusal to apply waiver rule when issue fully litigated in trial court and issue is of statewide concern	7-64
7.349	Defendant's tacit acquiescence in decision to plead is insufficient to render plea valid (see sec. 7.2115)	7-64
7.4	Other Matters Relating To Guilty Pleas	7-64
7.41	Withdrawal Of Guilty Plea (see sec. 10.4)	7-64
7.42	Review Of Denial Of Pretrial Suppression Motion When Guilty Plea Entered (Wis. Stat. § 971.31(10))	7-64
7.421	In general	7-64
7.422	When defendant found not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect	7-64
7.423	Statute permitting review not applicable to civil forfeiture proceeding	7-65
7.424	Statute permitting review applicable to no-contest pleas (see sec. 7.4432)	7-65
7.425	Rulings to which statute permitting review is and is not applicable	7-65
7.4251	Statute permitting review extends to review of adverse rulings incident to ruling on suppression motion	7-65
7.4252	Statute permitting review not applicable to denial of motion to exclude other crimes evidence	7-65
7.4253	Statute permitting review does not apply to mere evidentiary rulings	7-65
7.4254	Statute permitting review encompasses denial of motion to dismiss based on illegal arrest, search, or seizure	7-65

7.4255	Statute permitting review not applicable when evidence trial court refused to suppress not relevant to crime for which defendant convicted	7-65
7.426	Waiver of right to review by agreeing to such waiver as part of plea agreement (see sec. 7.1982)	7-65
7.427	Application of harmless-error rule to review of denial of pretrial suppression motion when guilty plea entered	7-65
7.4271	Applicability of harmless-error rule (see sec. 11.7591)	7-65
7.4272	Test for determining whether error harmless	7-65
7.4273	Particular applications of test for determining whether error harmless	7-65
7.428	Relief to which defendant is entitled when appellate court vacates conviction and emands for new hearing on suppression issue without further direction and, at that hearing, trial court reaffirms denial of suppression motion	7-66
7.43	Vacation Of Executed Plea Agreement	7-66
7.431	At request of defendant	7-66
7.432	At request of state	7-66
7.4321	In general	7-66
7.4322	Prosecution of defendant for greater offense following vacation of conviction of lesser offense entered pursuant to plea agreement breached by defendant does not violate double jeopardy	7-66
7.4323	When defendant has breached plea agreement by securing reversal of count to which he pleaded guilty in exchange for concessions from state	7-66
7.43231	Securing reversal of count to which defendant pleaded guilty in exchange for concessions from state constitutes breach of agreement	7-66
7.43232	Remedy for breach (see sec. 7.195)	7-66
7.4324	Based on defendant's misconduct (e.g., defendant's commission of new crime) between time of execution of plea agreement by defendant's entry of plea pursuant to it and time of sentencing (see sec. 7.1573)	7-67
7.4325	Does defendant's collateral attack on prior conviction (e.g., when state seeks to use conviction to enhance potential sentence for later crime) constitute substantial and material breach of agreement warranting vacation of plea agreement and reinstatement of charges?	7-67
7.43251	Court of appeals' answer: no	7-67
7.43252	Supreme court's answer: yes	7-67
7.4326	Does violation of unarticulated requirement or condition of plea agreement (e.g., unarticulated condition that defendant not collaterally attack conviction entered pursuant to it when conviction is later invoke to increase punishment for subsequent offense) constitute material and substantial breach of agreement warranting vacation of plea agreement and reinstatement of charges (see sec. 7.1622)	7-67
7.44	No-Contest Plea	7-67
7.441	Nature and effect of plea	7-67

	<i>Page</i>
7.4411 In general	7-67
7.4412 No-contest plea to charging document alleging prior convictions constitutes admission of prior convictions for purposes of establishing predicate for sentencing as a repeater	7-67
7.4413 No-contest plea does not provide basis for invocation of doctrine of issue preclusion	7-68
7.442 Trial court should be reluctant to accept no-contest plea from sex offender	7-68
7.443 Waiver effected by entry of no-contest plea	7-68
7.4431 In general (see sec. 7.312)	7-68
7.4432 Review of denial of pretrial suppression motion when no-contest plea entered	7-68
7.444 Propriety of joining this plea with plea of not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect	7-68
7.445 Requirement of "strong proof of guilt" when Alford plea entered (see sec. 7.475)	7-68
7.446 Prohibition on collateral use of plea	7-68
7.4461 In general	7-68
7.4462 Use of plea to one charge for purposes of another charge with which first charge has been consolidated does not constitute impermissible collateral use of plea	7-68
7.45 Plea Of Not Guilty By Reason Of Mental Disease Or Defect	7-68
7.451 Requirements imposed for acceptance of guilty pleas also applicable to these pleas (see sec. 7.2461)	7-68
7.452 Effect of this plea when not joined with plea of not guilty	7-68
7.453 Propriety of joining this plea with plea of no contest	7-68
7.454 Proceedings relating to entry of plea of not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect (see sec. 6.64)	7-68
7.455 Validly entered guilty plea automatically waives insanity defense and thereby implicitly withdraws previously entered insanity plea (see sec. 6.6437)	7-68
7.456 Court not required to engage in personal colloquy with defendant who implicitly withdraws insanity plea by entering guilty plea, to determine that waiver of insanity plea was knowing, voluntary, and intelligent (see sec. 6.6434)	7-69
7.46 Right To Effective Assistance Of Counsel In Relation To Guilty Plea (see secs. 7.121, 7.2412, and 25.32)	7-69
7.47 Alford Plea	7-69
7.471 Nature of Alford plea	7-69
7.472 Validity of Alford plea	7-69
7.473 Effect of Alford plea	7-70
7.474 Entry of Alford plea	7-70
7.4741 Need for defendant to specifically articulate plea (i.e., guilty or no contest) he is entering (see sec. 7.2113)	7-70
7.475 Requirement of "strong proof of guilt" when Alford plea entered	7-70
7.4751 In general	7-70
7.4752 When plea entered pursuant to plea bargain	7-70
7.4753 Requirement precludes acceptance of Alford plea to lesser offense defendant could not have committed even though strong proof of guilt exists as to greater offense charged (see sec. 7.4781)	7-70

	<i>Page</i>
7.476 Waiver effected by entry of Alford plea	7-70
7.4761 In general (see sec. 7.312)	7-70
7.4762 Review of denial of pretrial suppression motion when Alford plea entered	7-70
7.477 Trial court's rejection of Alford plea	7-70
7.4771 Right to reject in general	7-70
7.4772 Effect of wrongful rejection of plea (see sec. 7.4784)	7-70
7.4773 Appropriate vehicle to challenge wrongful rejection of plea (see sec. 7.4785)	7-70
7.478 Other matters	7-71
7.4781 In plea bargain context, Alford plea cannot be properly entered to lesser offense defendant could not have committed even though strong proof of guilt exists as to greater offense charged	7-71
7.4782 Acceptance of Alford plea does not contain implied assurance that defendant will not have to admit his guilt either during probation or punishment (e.g., as part of sex offender treatment mandated as condition of probation)	7-71
7.4783 Trial court should be reluctant to accept Alford plea from sex offender	7-71
7.4784 Effect of wrongful rejection of plea: if defendant found guilty following "fair and errorless" trial, he or she may not claim error in trial court's rejection of tendered Alford plea	7-71
7.4785 Appropriate vehicle to challenge wrongful rejection of Alford plea: permissive appeal from nonfinal order	7-71
7.48 Validity Of Conditional Guilty Plea	7-71
7.481 When plea conditioned on preservation of defendant's right to appeal issue normally waived by plea	7-71
7.4811 In general	7-71
7.4812 Remedy other than plea withdrawal when defendant enters plea conditioned on preservation of right to appeal issue normally waived by plea (see sec. 7.343)	7-71
7.49 Other Matters	7-71
7.491 Evidence relating to plea of guilty	7-71
7.4911 Offer to plead guilty (see sec. 13.351)	7-71
7.4912 Withdrawn guilty plea (see sec. 13.352)	7-71
7.4913 Statements made in connection with guilty or no contest plea (see sec. 13.353)	7-72
7.492 Propriety of joining plea of no contest with plea of not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect	7-72
7.493 Propriety of challenge to plea and request for its withdrawal for first time on appeal without first making motion to withdraw plea in trial court	7-72
7.4931 Challenge to validity of plea and request for its withdrawal cannot be made for first time on appeal	7-72
7.4932 Appellate challenge to validity of plea may be made by direct appeal from judgment (see sec. 7.2321)	7-72
7.494 Need for contemporaneous objection to alleged breach of plea agreement by prosecutor to preserve issue (see sec. 7.1551)	7-72
7.495 Collateral attack on plea and on conviction entered pursuant to it	7-72
7.4951 In general	7-72
7.4952 On basis of lack of counsel at plea hearing	7-72

7.4953	On basis that plea was not knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily entered	7-73
7.4954	On basis of ineffective assistance of counsel	7-73
7.496	Need for defendant's presence at plea hearing	7-73
7.4961	In general	7-73
7.4962	In misdemeanor case	7-73
7.497	Nature and effect of guilty plea	7-73
7.4971	In general	7-73
7.4972	When fact necessary to confer subject-matter jurisdiction on trial court are pleaded in information, guilty plea to information admits those facts and precludes subsequent attack on trial court's subject-matter jurisdiction	7-73
7.498	Other matters	7-73
7.4981	Plea of guilty to offenses committed in several counties	7-73
7.49811	Procedures in general	7-73
7.49812	District attorney in county in which plea is to be entered cannot plea bargain with defendant regarding charges from other county or amend charges from other county	7-73
7.4982	Is counsel's concession, during closing argument, that defendant is guilty of one of the counts for which he is being tried the functional equivalent of guilty plea, requiring defendant's consent?	7-74
7.49821	Yes (court of appeals' answer, reversed by supreme court)	7-74
7.49822	No (supreme court's answer)	7-74
7.4983	Is counsel's concession, in capital (i.e., death penalty) case, that defendant is guilty of offense charged the functional equivalent of guilty plea, requiring defendant's affirmative consent	7-74
7.49831	No (United States Supreme Court's answer)	7-74
7.4984	Defendant has no right to disclosure of exculpatory evidence prior to entry of plea (see sec. 6.81142)	7-74
7.4985	Plea to legal impossibility, or based on legal impossibility, renders plea uninformed one	7-74
7.4986	Effect of fact that plea bargain was illusory (i.e., bargain failed to confer supposed benefit) on validity of plea (see sec. 10.4382)	7-74
7.4987	It is common knowledge that there is wide practice in courts of giving lighter sentences to those who plead guilty than are generally given for same crime after trial	7-74
7.4988	"Read in" procedure (see sec. 9.193)	7-74
7.499	Other matters (cont'd)	7-74
7.4991	Decision to plead guilty is personal right of defendant	7-74
7.4992	Proving denial of right to counsel in collateral attack on plea	7-74
7.4993	Trial court's sua sponte vacation of accepted guilty or no-contest plea	7-74
7.4994	Effect of granting motion to withdraw guilty plea when plea entered pursuant to plea bargain granting benefits to defendant	7-75
7.4995	Duty of defense counsel to provide effective assistance of counsel in connection with plea bargaining and guilty pleas (see secs. 7.121, 7.2412, and 25.32)	7-75

7.4996	Effect on guilty plea and sentence of plea agreement that violates public policy	7-75
7.4997	Competency standard for pleading guilty is same as competency standard for standing trial	7-75
7.4998	Remedy when appellate court reaches conclusion that has effect of negating plea bargain (e.g., when it sets aside conviction on one of a number of offenses to which defendant pled guilty pursuant to plea bargain) (see sec. 7.195)	7-75
7.4999	No need to inform defendant, upon accepting negotiated plea of guilty but before sentencing, whether court intends to reject sentence recommendation, and if so, to permit defendant to withdraw plea (see sec. 7.21984)	7-75

Chapter 8 The Trial

8.1	Jury Selection	8-1
8.11	Constitutional Rights Relating To Jury Selection	8-1
8.111	Right to jury trial in general (see sec. 26.1)	8-1
8.112	Requirement of jury pool representative of fair cross section of community (see sec. 26.21)	8-1
8.113	Requirement of jury from vicinage of crime (see sec. 12.22)	8-1
8.114	Requirement of juror impartiality (see sec. 26.23)	8-1
8.115	Requirement of juror competency (see sec. 26.24)	8-1
8.116	Number of jurors constitutionally required (see sec. 26.25)	8-1
8.117	No constitutional right to jury composed of members of defendant's class, race or sex (see sec. 26.42)	8-1
8.118	Other constitutional matters relating to jury selection	8-1
8.1181	Exclusion of jurors with particular scruples	8-1
8.11811	In general (see sec. 26.451)	8-1
8.11812	Opposition to death penalty (see sec. 26.452)	8-1
8.1182	Use of peremptory strikes to remove jurors of particular race (see secs. 8.154 and 8.16)	8-1
8.1183	Defendant's right to exclude juror in capital case who would impose death penalty regardless of facts upon conviction	8-1
8.1184	Defendant's right to jury untainted by effects of prejudicial pretrial publicity (see sec. 30.2)	8-1
8.1185	Requirement of understanding of English language (see sec. 26.271)	8-1
8.1186	Other matters (see sec. 26.4)	8-1
8.12	Juror Qualifications, Excusing Jurors, And Preparation Of Jury List	8-1
8.121	Juror qualifications (Wis. Stat. § 756.02)	8-1
8.1211	In general	8-1
8.1212	Ability to understand English language	8-2
8.12121	In general	8-2
8.12122	Effect of violation of this requirement	8-2
8.122	Excusing potential jurors (Wis. Stat. § 756.03)	8-2
8.1221	In general	8-2

8.1222	Court's questioning of jurors under Wis. Stat. § 756.03 to determine whether potential jurors should be excused on account of hardship or infirmity is not part of voir dire (see sec. 8.13291)	8-2
8.1223	Defendant has no right to be present at court's questioning of jurors under Wis. Stat. § 756.03 to determine whether potential jurors should be excused on account of hardship or infirmity (see sec. 8.81124)	8-2
8.1224	Delegation of authority to excuse jurors to clerk of court	8-2
8.1225	When excusing jurors under Wis. Stat. § 756.03, judge is acting in administrative, not judicial, capacity	8-2
8.123	Preparation of jury list (Wis. Stat. § 756.04)	8-2
8.1231	Requirement of compliance with statutory procedures in general	8-2
8.12311	In general	8-2
8.12312	Effect of failure to comply with statutory procedures	8-2
8.1232	Requirement that clerk strike from jury list person who indicates on juror qualification form that he or she does not understand English language	8-2
8.12321	In general	8-2
8.12322	Effect of failure to comply with this requirement	8-2
8.124	Other matters	8-2
8.1241	Equal protection clause forbids racial discrimination in selection of venire (see sec. 23.223)	8-2
8.13	Selection Of Jury	8-3
8.131	Voir dire examination of jurors	8-3
8.1311	Purpose of voir dire in general	8-3
8.1312	Trial court's discretion to supervise voir dire in general, i.e., to determine how voir dire is conducted, including form and number of questions asked of prospective jurors	8-3
8.1313	Need for private, individual (i.e., sequestered) examination	8-3
8.1314	Requirement that voir dire be open to public and press (see secs. 30.722 and 46.13)	8-3
8.1315	Incomplete or incorrect responses by juror on voir dire	8-3
8.1316	Examination regarding racial prejudice	8-3
8.13161	When necessary in general	8-3
8.13162	No requirement that jurors be questioned about racial prejudice simply because crime is interracial one	8-4
8.1317	Questioning about death penalty	8-4
8.13171	About opposition to death penalty	8-4
8.13172	About inclination to automatically impose death penalty regardless of facts, upon convicting defendant of crime charged	8-4
8.1318	Defendant's rights in relation to voir dire	8-4
8.13181	Defendant's right to be present at voir dire (see sec. 8.81121)	8-4
8.13182	Defendant's right to assistance of counsel at voir dire (see sec. 25.1512)	8-4
8.1319	Propriety of court conducting individual examination of particular jurors regarding sensitive matters outside of presence of defendant and/or defendant's counsel	8-4

8.132	Voir dire examination of jurors (cont'd)	8-4
8.1321	Sufficiency, to establish juror's impartiality and fitness to serve, of particular declaration of juror (see sec. 8.145)	8-4
8.1322	No right to in-depth questioning about prospective juror's views on abortion at trial of abortion protesters for criminal trespass to medical facility	8-4
8.1323	When counsel's failure to adequately voir dire jurors constitutes ineffective assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.334)	8-4
8.1324	Defendant's right to voir dire that is adequate to identify unqualified jurors	8-4
8.1325	Trial court's reference during voir dire to suppressed evidence	8-4
8.1326	No constitutional requirement that jurors be asked about content of prejudicial pretrial publicity to which they have been exposed	8-5
8.1327	Withholding, or barring revelation of, information that would identify jurors (see sec. 8.135)	8-5
8.1328	Does trial court have obligation to sua sponte follow up on responses that raise question as to juror's impartiality?	8-5
8.1329	Other matters	8-5
8.13291	Court's questioning of juror's under Wis. Stat. § 756.03 to determine whether potential jurors should be excused on account of hardship or infirmity is not part of voir dire	8-5
8.133	Disqualification of jurors for cause (see sec. 8.14)	8-5
8.134	Exercise of peremptory strikes (see sec. 8.15)	8-5
8.135	Impanelment of anonymous jury	8-5
8.1351	Permissibility of in general	8-5
8.1352	When anonymous jury may properly be impaneled	8-5
8.1353	Need to take reasonable precautions to minimize prejudicial effect	8-5
8.1354	Appellate review of decision to use anonymous jury	8-5
8.13541	Standard of review	8-5
8.13542	When improper use of anonymous jury constitutes harmless error	8-5
8.136	Impanelment of "numbers" jury (i.e., jury whose members are identified in court by number, but whose names are disclosed to parties)	8-5
8.1361	Permissibility of in general	8-5
8.1362	When "numbers" jury may properly be impaneled	8-5
8.1363	Need to take reasonable precautions to minimize prejudicial effect	8-6
8.1364	Appellate review of decision to use "numbers" jury	8-6
8.13641	Standard of review	8-6
8.13642	When improper use of "numbers" jury constitutes harmless error	8-6
8.14	Disqualification Of Jurors For Cause	8-6
8.141	General principles	8-6
8.1411	In general	8-6
8.1412	Does trial court have obligation to sua sponte remove juror whose responses have provided cause to question juror's impartiality?	8-7
8.1413	Defendant has burden of proving basis for disqualification	8-7

8.1414	Subjective state of mind of juror is important factor in determination of objective bias	8-7
8.1415	Wisconsin supreme court has generally been disinclined to create bright-line or per se rules to govern juror exclusions for cause	8-7
8.142	Particular grounds for disqualification	8-7
8.1421	Because of relationship by blood or marriage to party, victim, witness, or judge	8-7
8.14211	Relationship to party	8-7
8.14212	Relationship to victim	8-7
8.14213	Relationship to witness	8-7
8.14214	Relationship to judge	8-8
8.1422	Because of personal knowledge of or acquaintance with party, victim, or witness	8-8
8.14221	In general	8-8
8.14222	Where juror's acquaintance with witness has led to firm belief in witness's credibility	8-8
8.14223	Where juror is co-worker of witness	8-8
8.14224	Personal acquaintance and relationship with victim	8-8
8.14225	Business relationship with victim	8-8
8.1423	Because of prior jury service	8-8
8.14231	Prior jury service in case involving similar facts and issues in general	8-9
8.14232	Prior jury service during which juror rejected the same theory of defense that is to be used in the case at hand	8-9
8.14233	Prior jury service during which juror evaluated credibility of witness who is to be presented in the case at hand	8-9
8.14234	Prior jury service involving trial of same defendant and same issues	8-9
8.1424	Because of views on death penalty	8-9
8.14241	Opposition to death penalty (see sec. 26.452)	8-9
8.14242	Inclination to automatically impose death penalty regardless of facts, upon convicting defendant of crime charged	8-9
8.14243	Limitations on federal habeas courts' review of trial court's findings are not necessarily binding on state appellate court review of findings regarding juror's views on death penalty	8-9
8.1425	Because of inability to afford a fair trial	8-9
8.1426	Because prospective juror is law enforcement officer	8-9
8.14261	In general	8-10
8.14262	Police officer working in department that investigated case being tried	8-10
8.1427	Because prospective juror is government employee	8-10
8.14271	In general	8-10
8.14272	Employee of prosecutor's office (see sec. 8.14288)	8-10
8.1428	Because of personal knowledge of, acquaintance with, or other relationship to, law enforcement officer or other law enforcement authority, including prosecutor's office	8-10
8.14281	In general	8-10

8.14282	Because prospective juror is acquainted with law enforcement officers	8-10
8.14283	Because prospective juror is acquainted with law enforcement officer who will be testifying in the case at hand	8-10
8.14284	Because prospective juror is part-time employee under supervision of district attorney and sheriff	8-10
8.14285	Because prospective juror is married to, or otherwise related to, law enforcement officer	8-10
8.14286	Because prospective juror knew sheriff of county in which case was being tried	8-11
8.14287	Because prospective juror knew witness who worked in police department	8-11
8.14288	Because prospective juror is employee of prosecutor's office	8-11
8.14289	Because prospective juror has applied for employment with prosecutor's office	8-11
8.1429	Because of personal knowledge of, acquaintance with, or other relationship to, law enforcement officer or other law enforcement authority, including prosecutor's office (cont'd)	8-11
8.14291	Because prospective juror is law enforcement officer (see sec. 8.1426)	8-11
8.143	Particular grounds for disqualification (cont'd)	8-11
8.1431	Because prospective juror was victim of crime	8-11
8.14311	In general	8-11
8.14312	Crime that is same as, or similar to, crime being charged	8-11
8.1432	Because prospective juror has been convicted of crime	8-11
8.1433	Because prospective juror has formed opinion regarding very issue he or she is being called upon to decide at trial (e.g., validity of defendant's theory of defense)	8-11
8.1434	Because juror has hostile or negative attitude towards criminal justice system	8-12
8.14341	In general	8-12
8.14342	Effect of juror's previous conviction of crime (see sec. 8.1432)	8-12
8.1435	Because juror has formed opinion as to defendant's guilt	8-12
8.14351	In general	8-12
8.14352	Juror not biased if opinion regarding defendant's participation in crime is consistent with defendant's theory of defense	8-12
8.1436	Because prospective juror has had recent and continuous contact with criminal justice system	8-12
8.1437	Because prospective juror has spouse, relative, or friend who was victim of crime	8-12
8.14371	Crime that is same as, or similar to, crime being charged	8-12
8.1438	Because of past or pending experiences with criminal justice system	8-12
8.1439	Because defendant's failure to testify would be held against him	8-12

8.144	Particular grounds for disqualification (cont'd)	8-13
8.1441	Because prospective juror observed defendant's attorney arguing with defendant outside of courtroom prior to trial	8-13
8.1442	Because prospective juror has heard other prospective juror state during voir dire that she saw the defendant and his or her attorney arguing outside the courtroom prior to trial	8-13
8.1443	Because juror would "probably" find police officers' testimony more credible than that of other witnesses	8-13
8.1444	Because juror states that his physical condition would prevent him from serving as a juror	8-13
8.1445	Because juror states that he would not be impartial because of concern about financial hardship that jury service was causing	8-13
8.1446	Because juror does not understand English language (see sec. 26.271)	8-13
8.145	Sufficiency, to establish juror's impartiality and fitness to serve, of particular declaration of juror	8-13
8.1451	Juror's assertion that he or she "probably" would be able to put aside disqualifying view or view and decide case solely on evidence presented	8-13
8.1452	Juror's assertion that he or she "would try to do my best" in deciding case impartially	8-13
8.1453	Juror's assertion that he or she "would try" to put aside disqualifying opinion or view and decide case solely on evidence presented	8-13
8.1454	Juror's assertion that he or she thinks he would be able to put aside otherwise disqualifying view and decide case solely on evidence presented and instructions given	8-13
8.1455	Juror's assertion that he or she "would certainly try" and "probably" could set aside his opinion that a criminally accused defendant who was innocent would take stand and testify in his defense	8-14
8.1456	Prospective juror need not unambiguously state his or her ability to set aside bias	8-14
8.1457	Juror need not give "unequivocal assurances" of his or her impartiality	8-14
8.146	Waiver of claim that juror should have been disqualified for cause	8-14
8.1461	By failure to move to strike juror during voir dire	8-14
8.1462	By failure to raise ground for striking juror	8-14
8.1463	Party does not waive claim that juror should have been disqualified for cause by failing to cite specific decision that supports that claim	8-14
8.1464	Defendant does not waive claim that juror was disqualified to serve by failure to use peremptory strike to remove juror (see sec. 8.173)	8-14
8.1465	Even when lack of objection to disqualifying juror for cause does not waive right to complain about disqualification of juror, lack of objection may be considered in determining whether grounds for disqualification existed (see sec. 8.1474)	8-14
8.147	Appellate review of trial court's disposition of motion to disqualify prospective juror for cause	8-14

	<i>Page</i>
8.1471 Standards of appellate review in general	8-14
8.1472 Because trial court makes judgment based in part on demeanor of juror, appellate court owes deference to trial court's decision	8-15
8.1473 Deferential standard of review is applicable not only to trial court's determination that juror can set aside expressed prejudice or other potentially disqualifying view, but also to whether juror expressed prejudice or other potentially disqualifying view in the first instance	8-15
8.1474 Even when lack of objection to disqualifying juror for cause does not waive right to complain about disqualification of juror, lack of objection may be considered in determining whether grounds for disqualification existed	8-15
8.148 Other matters	8-15
8.1481 Failure of defense counsel to move to strike prospective juror for cause not prejudicial if juror removed from jury by use of peremptory challenge	8-15
8.1482 Is failure of trial court to strike juror for cause prejudicial even if objectionable juror removed from jury by use of peremptory challenge? (see sec. 8.158)	8-15
8.1483 Defendant does not waive claim that juror was disqualified to serve by failure to use peremptory strike to remove juror	8-15
8.1484 When counsel's failure to move to strike juror for cause constitutes ineffective assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.335)	8-15
8.1485 Criminal convictions do not automatically disqualify prospective jurors (see sec. 8.1432)	8-15
8.1486 When juror's "manifest bias" discovered during trial and defendant moves for mistrial because there was no alternate juror, defendant does not waive complaint about juror or about court's denial of mistrial by agreeing to trial by jury of eleven (see sec. 8.172)	8-16
8.1487 Decision whether to move to strike juror for cause is committed to counsel; there is no requirement that the defendant be personally involved in decision	8-16
8.149 Other matters (cont'd)	8-16
8.1491 Failure of trial court to strike juror for cause does not deny defendant right to impartial jury if juror removed from jury by use of peremptory challenge (see sec. 26.235)	8-16
8.1492 Does trial court's erroneous refusal to strike juror for cause, which requires defendant to use peremptory strike to exclude juror, deny defendant's rights with respect to peremptory challenges? (see sec. 8.158)	8-16
8.1493 Effect of trial court's erroneous grant of state's motion to strike prospective juror for cause	8-16
8.14931 Trial court's erroneous grant of state's motion to strike a prospective juror for cause does not effectively grant state an additional peremptory challenge and, therefore, does not deprive defendant of statutorily created right mandating equal number of peremptory challenges to both sides; error is harmless (current law, mandated by supreme court) (see sec. 8.1821)	8-16

8.14932	Trial court's erroneous grant of state's motion to strike a prospective juror for cause deprives defendant of statutorily created right mandating equal number of peremptory challenges to both sides by effectively granting the state an additional peremptory challenge (court of appeals' holding, rejected by supreme court) (see sec. 8.1822)	8-16
8.1494	Effect of defendant's theory of defense (e.g., he participated in crime but was coerced into doing so) on determination whether prospective juror's opinion regarding defendant's guilt requires trial court to strike jurors for cause	8-16
8.1495	Burden of establishing juror's bias is on party moving to remove juror for cause	8-17
8.1496	When, in response to series of questions, Juror A reveals disqualifying prejudice, and Juror B answers "probably" to a question whether he would answer an unspecified question the same way as Juror A did, Juror B's answer does not establish disqualifying prejudice	8-17
8.1497	Circuit courts are urged to err on side of striking prospective jurors who appear to be biased	8-17
8.15	Exercising Peremptory Strikes	8-17
8.151	Nature, purpose, and validity of peremptory strikes	8-17
8.1511	In general	8-17
8.1512	Peremptory challenges are not of constitutional dimension or constitutionally required	8-17
8.152	Parties generally not required to justify strikes	8-17
8.153	Prosecutor required to justify exercise of strikes against members of particular race or other cognizable group (see sec. 8.16)	8-17
8.154	Defendant required to justify exercise of strikes against members of particular group with respect to which prosecutor is required to justify exercise of strikes	8-17
8.1541	Requirement in general	8-17
8.155	Defendant's right to peremptory strikes	8-17
8.1551	In general	8-17
8.1552	When withholding, or barring revelation of, information that would identify jurors denies defendant's right to peremptory strikes	8-18
8.1553	Is defendant's right to full complement of peremptory strikes denied when defendant required to utilize peremptory strike to remove juror that should have been struck by trial court for cause (see sec. 8.158)	8-18
8.1554	Peremptory strikes not required by constitution	8-18
8.1555	Is denial of right subject to harmless-error analysis	8-18
8.15551	Wisconsin decision suggesting that it is not subject to harmless-error analysis	8-18
8.15552	United States Supreme Court decision holding that it is not structural error, requiring automatic reversal, and thus it is subject to harmless-error analysis	8-18

8.1556	Provided that all jurors seated in criminal case are qualified and unbiased, due process does not require automatic reversal of conviction because of trial court's good-faith error in denying defendant's peremptory challenge to juror	8-18
8.156	Prosecutor not required to justify strikes that remove all members of particular group (other than racial, sexual, or other group requiring heightened scrutiny) from jury	8-18
8.157	Number of strikes permitted; effect of failure to afford defendant full complement of strikes to which he is entitled	8-18
8.1571	Number of strikes permitted	8-18
8.15711	In general	8-18
8.1572	Importance of maintaining equal number of peremptory strikes in two-party cases (see sec. 8.1595)	8-19
8.1573	Effect of failure to afford defendant strikes to which he is entitled	8-19
8.15731	In general	8-19
8.15732	When defendant fails to object to, or otherwise raise issue regarding, court's failure to grant correct number of strikes	8-19
8.158	Does trial court's erroneous refusal to strike juror for cause, which requires defendant to use peremptory strike to exclude juror, deny defendant's rights with respect to peremptory challenges?	8-19
8.1581	No (United States Supreme Court's answer)	8-19
8.1582	Yes (Wisconsin Supreme Court's former answer) (see sec. 8.1591)	8-19
8.1583	No (Wisconsin Supreme Court's present answer) (see sec. 8.1592)	8-19
8.159	Other matters	8-19
8.1591	Wisconsin Supreme Court's Ramos holding that trial court's erroneous refusal to strike juror for cause, which requires defendant to use peremptory strike to exclude juror, denies defendant's rights with respect to peremptory challenges	8-19
8.15911	In general	8-19
8.15912	Denial of rights with respect to peremptory challenges occurs even if both parties received extra peremptory strike to which they were not entitled	8-20
8.1592	Wisconsin Supreme Court's Lindell holding (overruling Ramos) that trial court's erroneous refusal to strike juror for cause, which requires defendant to use peremptory strike to exclude juror, does not deny defendant's rights with respect to peremptory challenges and does not constitute reversible error	8-20
8.1593	Party does not waive challenge to juror by failing to exercise peremptory strike to remove juror (see sec. 8.1483)	8-20
8.1594	Interference with peremptory strikes through juror's withholding of information on voir dire	8-20
8.1595	Importance of maintaining equal number of peremptory strikes in two-party cases	8-20
8.1596	Party may not correct mistaken exercise of peremptory challenge (i.e., may not change peremptory challenge from one prospective juror to another prospective juror) after parties have accepted jury	8-20

8.1597	No peremptory strikes may be exercised after both parties have accepted jury	8-20
8.1598	Assuming trial court had discretion to permit defendant to correct mistaken exercise of peremptory strike after parties have approved jury, erroneous exercise of discretion in denying defendant right to correct erroneous exercise of peremptory strike would be subject to harmless error analysis	8-21
8.1599	If defendant given more peremptory challenges than he is entitled to, he cannot complain that he was forced to expend peremptory challenge on juror who should have been stricken for cause	8-21
8.16	Requirement that prosecutor and defendant justify exercise of peremptory strikes against members of particular race or other cognizable group	8-21
8.161	Requirement in general	8-21
8.162	Source of requirement	8-22
8.1621	Required by fourteenth amendment's equal protection clause	8-22
8.1622	Not required by sixth amendment's fair cross-section requirement	8-22
8.1623	Not required by sixth amendment's requirement of impartial jury	8-22
8.163	Requirement applies even when strikes exercised against members of racial or other cognizable group of which defendant is not a member	8-22
8.164	Applicability of this requirement to particular groups other than race	8-22
8.1641	Analysis to be used to determine whether particular group other than race constitutes cognizable class to which this requirement applies	8-22
8.1642	Particular groups other than race that are cognizable classes to which this requirement applies	8-22
8.16421	Sex	8-22
8.16422	Mexican-Americans	8-23
8.16423	Age	8-23
8.165	Three-step analysis to be used to determine whether strikes improperly exercised	8-23
8.1651	In general	8-23
8.1652	First step: Need for defendant to make prima facie showing that strikes exercised with discriminatory intent	8-23
8.16521	In general	8-23
8.16522	No need to consider whether defendant fulfilled obligation under first step if prosecutor has offered neutral explanation and court has ruled on question of purposeful discrimination	8-23
8.16523	At this step in analysis, defendant need not show that it is more likely than not that state's peremptory challenges, if unexplained, were based on impermissible group bias; it is sufficient if evidence allows judge to draw inference that discrimination has occurred	8-23
8.1653	Second step: State's obligation to come forward with non-discriminatory reason for using peremptory challenge	8-23
8.16531	In general	8-24

8.16532	Prosecutor must make more than credible, good faith statement that strikes were based on legitimate factors; he or she must demonstrate how there is a nexus between legitimate factors and juror who was struck	8-24
8.1654	Third step: Court's duty to determine whether purposeful discrimination established	8-24
8.16541	In general	8-24
8.16542	Fact that state's proffered reason for striking black panelist applies just as well to otherwise-similar nonblack who is permitted to serve is evidence tending to prove purposeful discrimination	8-24
8.1655	"Dual motivation test" rejected	8-24
8.166	Factors that have been recognized or rejected as non-discriminatory (i.e. neutral) reasons for striking juror	8-24
8.1661	Geographical proximity (i.e., proximity of juror's residence) to witness or evidence in case	8-24
8.1662	Familial relationship to individuals involved in criminal justice system	8-24
8.1663	Same last name as someone previously convicted by prosecutor	8-24
8.1664	Juror's residence was site of several law enforcement contacts	8-24
8.1665	Juror's failure to disclose during voir dire police contacts at his or her residence	8-24
8.1666	Juror's unemployment	8-24
8.1667	Juror resides or works in area frequented by gangs	8-25
8.1668	Juror's statement that prosecutors were unfair	8-25
8.1669	Other factors	8-25
8.16691	Juror's wife was attorney	8-25
8.16692	Juror had been foreman of criminal jury that reached a verdict	8-25
8.16693	Juror had criminal jury experience and case being tried was chap. 980 proceeding	8-25
8.16694	Juror's youth	8-25
8.16695	Juror's lack of ties to community	8-25
8.16696	Juror's nervousness	8-25
8.16697	Juror's obligations (e.g., work, school, etc.) that prosecutor asserts have led prosecutor to believe juror will want to end trial quickly and therefore favor conviction of lesser offense	8-25
8.167	Waiver of objection to prosecutor's exercise of strikes against member of particular race or other cognizable group	8-25
8.1671	In general	8-25
8.1672	To be timely, objection must be made after composition of jury is made known, but before jury is sworn	8-25
8.168	Appellate review of trial court's findings and ruling	8-25
8.1681	In general	8-25
8.1682	"Clearly erroneous" standard of appellate review may apply even when Batson inquiry is conducted after voir dire in response to postconviction motion based on alleged ineffective assistance of counsel for failing to raise Batson objection	8-26

8.1683	"Clearly erroneous" standard of appellate review applies even when there has been no questioning on voir dire of struck juror regarding matters that caused prosecutor to strike juror	8-26
8.1684	Need for transcript of voir dire	8-26
8.1685	Propriety of considering findings made by judge in response to post-conviction motion	8-26
8.1686	Finding of absence of discriminatory intent in exercising peremptory strikes is finding of fact subject to deferential review	8-26
8.1687	When federal court, in federal habeas proceeding, is dealing with issue of discriminatory use of peremptory strikes in state prosecution	8-26
8.169	Other matters	8-26
8.1691	Retroactivity of requirement	8-26
8.1692	Striking jurors based on criterion that might result in disproportionate removal of prospective jurors of particular race or other cognizable group not prohibited; proof of discriminatory intent or purpose is required	8-27
8.1693	Prosecutor may not use peremptory strike to remove person of cognizable class because prosecutor believes that a person of that class may be biased against the defendant	8-27
8.1694	Requirement applies even when strikes exercised against members of racial or other cognizable group of which defendant is not a member (see sec. 8.163)	8-27
8.1695	No need for personal, individualized questioning of struck juror regarding matters that caused prosecutor to strike him or her	8-27
8.1696	When failure to make Batson objection constitutes ineffective assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.332)	8-27
8.1697	Prosecutor does not need to strike all jurors of particular race or other cognizable group to violate this requirement; constitution prohibits striking even single prospective juror for discriminatory purpose	8-27
8.1698	When trial court denies defendant's peremptory challenge because it erroneously believes that defendant has exercised challenge in discriminatory manner and has not adequately justified challenge, due process does not require automatic reversal of conviction, provided that all jurors seated are qualified and unbiased	8-27
8.17	Waiver of objection to juror or to errors or other defects in jury selection process	8-27
8.171	In general	8-27
8.172	When juror's "manifest bias" discovered during trial and defendant moves for mistrial because there was no alternate juror, defendant does not waive complaint about juror or about court's denial of mistrial by agreeing to trial by jury of eleven (see sec. 8.172)	8-27
8.173	Party does not waive objection to potential juror by failure to use peremptory strike to remove juror	8-28
8.174	Waiver of claim that juror should have been disqualified for cause (see sec. 8.146)	8-28
8.175	Waiver of objection to prosecutor's exercise of strikes against member of particular race or other cognizable group (see sec. 8.167)	8-28
8.18	Other Matters Relating To Jury Selection	8-28

	<i>Page</i>
8.181 Discrimination In Jury Selection	8-28
8.1811 Racial	8-28
8.18111 In general (see sec. 23.223)	8-28
8.18112 Prosecutor's use of peremptory strikes to exclude jurors of particular race (see sec. 8.16)	8-28
8.1812 Gender-based	8-28
8.18121 In general (see sec. 23.213)	8-28
8.18122 Prosecutor's use of peremptory strikes to exclude jurors of particular sex (see sec. 8.16421)	8-28
8.1813 Language	8-28
8.182 Effect of trial court's erroneous grant of state's motion to strike a prospective juror for cause	8-28
8.1821 Trial court's erroneous grant of state's motion to strike a prospective juror for cause does not effectively grant state an additional peremptory challenge and, therefore, does not deprive defendant of statutorily created right mandating equal number of peremptory challenges to both sides; error is harmless (present law, mandated by supreme court)	8-28
8.1822 Trial court's erroneous grant of state's motion to strike a prospective juror for cause deprives defendant of statutorily created right mandating equal number of peremptory challenges to both sides by effectively granting the state an additional peremptory challenge (court of appeals' holding, rejected by supreme court)	8-28
8.183 Effect of failure to comply with statutory directives relating to jury selection	8-28
8.184 Permitting federal magistrate to preside over jury selection in felony trial	8-29
8.1841 Without defendant's consent	8-29
8.1842 With defendant's consent	8-29
8.18421 In general	8-29
8.18422 Defense counsel alone may consent on defendant's behalf; personal consent by defendant not required (see sec. 25.58393)	8-29
8.185 Swearing the jury	8-29
8.1851 Need to swear jury	8-29
8.1852 Effect of failure to swear jury prior to receipt of evidence	8-29
8.186 When counsel's failure to have voir dire recorded constitutes ineffective assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.336)	8-29
8.187 Impanelment of anonymous jury (see sec. 8.135)	8-29
8.188 Waiver of objection to juror (see sec. 8.17)	8-29
8.189 Other matters	8-29
8.1891 Effect of juror's failure to respond truthfully and/or completely to questions on voir dire (see sec. 8.1315)	8-29
8.1892 Requirement that voir dire be open to public and press (see secs. 30.722 and 46.13)	8-29
8.19 Related Matters Concerning Trial By Jury	8-29
8.191 Conduct of jury after commencement of trial	8-29
8.1911 In general (see sec. 8.861)	8-29
8.1912 Exposure to publicity (see sec. 8.862)	8-29
8.1913 Sequestration (see sec. 8.863)	8-29

	<i>Page</i>
8.1914	Contact with court (see sec. 8.8472) 8-29
8.1915	Juror's failure to appear during trial (see sec. 8.865) 8-29
8.1916	Problems relating to bailiff who has charge of jury during trial (see sec. 8.8952) 8-29
8.192	Deliberations and return of verdict (see sec. 8.6) 8-30
8.193	Assessing jury fees 8-30
8.1931	In general 8-30
8.1932	Court's inherent power to assess fees (see sec. 12.6783) 8-30
8.1933	Against state 8-30
8.19331	Court's authority to assess jury fees against state under Wis. Stat. § 814.51 8-30
8.19332	Propriety of court's exercise of discretion to assess jury fees against state 8-30
8.1934	Bad faith is not prerequisite to assessment of jury fees 8-30
8.194	Discharge, dismissal, or removal of juror during trial 8-30
8.1941	In general 8-30
8.1942	For failure to respond truthfully to voir dire questions 8-30
8.1943	Because juror has expressed opinion before all evidence heard 8-30
8.1944	Based on juror's demeanor (i.e., nonverbal expressions) during course of trial 8-30
8.1945	Because witness at trial has indicated that both she and defendant went to school with juror, who, when questioned, denies having seen witness before 8-30
8.1946	Discharge, dismissal, or removal need not be done by lot, as provided for in Wis. Stat. § 805.08(2) 8-30
8.1947	Discharge, dismissal, or removal of juror during deliberations (see sec. 8.692) 8-31
8.1948	Appellate review of discharge or removal of juror during trial 8-31
8.19481	Standard of review 8-31
8.195	Substitution of alternate juror during trial 8-31
8.196	Court may not direct verdict with respect to guilt or particular element (see sec. 26.43) 8-31
8.197	Requirement of submission of proof of, and finding by jury of, all essential elements of crime to jury (see sec. 26.44) 8-31
8.198	Other matters 8-31
8.1981	Examination of juror regarding validity of verdict (see sec. 20.34122) 8-31
8.1982	Impeaching jury verdict (see sec. 8.691) 8-31
8.1983	Single trial of multiple defendants before multiple juries (see sec. 8.8977) 8-31
8.1984	Removal of juror during trial based on juror's demeanor (i.e., nonverbal expressions) during course of trial (see sec. 8.8962) 8-31
8.1985	Giving preliminary instructions and opening statements to entire pool of potential jurors before voir dire (see secs. 8.213 and 8.225) 8-31
8.1986	Problems relating to bailiff who has charge of jury during trial (see sec. 8.8952) 8-31
8.199	Other matters (cont'd) 8-31
8.1991	No need for separate jury on issues of guilt and responsibility 8-31
8.1992	Waiver of right to jury trial (see sec. 26.3) 8-31

8.1993	Requirement of juror unanimity (see sec. 8.681)	8-31
8.1994	Jury nullification: power of jury to acquit even when evidence found sufficient to convict (see sec. 26.47)	8-31
8.1995	Right to jury untainted by effects of prejudicial pretrial publicity (see sec. 30.2)	8-31
8.1996	When juror's "manifest bias" discovered during trial and defendant moves for mistrial because there was no alternate juror, defendant does not waive complaint about juror or about court's denial of mistrial by agreeing to trial by jury of eleven	8-32
8.1997	Trial by jury that included juror who was hearing impaired (see sec. 22.587)	8-32
8.1998	Trial by jury that included juror who was asleep during testimony (see sec. 22.5892)	8-32
8.2	Opening Instructions And Statements	8-32
8.21	Opening Instructions	8-32
8.211	In general	8-32
8.212	Informing jury of both pleas in bifurcated trial	8-32
8.213	Giving preliminary instructions to entire pool of potential jurors before voir dire	8-32
8.22	Opening Statements	8-32
8.221	Prosecutor's	8-32
8.2211	Comment on defendant's failure to make opening statement at outset of trial	8-32
8.2212	Calling defendant "classic child molester"	8-32
8.2213	Comment on defendant's invocation of constitutional rights (see sec. 8.42)	8-32
8.2214	Commenting on defendant's race, religion, or cultural upbringing	8-32
8.2215	Appeal to racial prejudice or stereotyping	8-32
8.2216	Description of anticipated testimony of witness who then does not testify	8-32
8.222	Defendant's	8-32
8.2221	Right to reserve opening statements until close of state's case	8-32
8.2222	Propriety of stating alternative theory of case and then failing to produce evidence to support that theory of case at evidentiary portion of trial	8-32
8.22221	In general	8-32
8.22222	When evidentiary foundation for alternative theory would require defendant's testimony	8-33
8.22223	Devastating effect of failing to produce evidence to support opening statement (see sec. 8.226)	8-33
8.2223	Must defense counsel forego opening statement that would state defendant's version of facts when he or she is not positive defendant will testify?	8-33
8.223	Attorney's remarks are not evidence (see sec. 8.468)	8-33
8.224	Admissibility of attorney's opening statement in earlier proceeding at trial of later proceeding as admission of attorney's client	8-33
8.225	Giving opening statements to entire pool of potential jurors before voir dire	8-33

8.226	Failure to deliver on what was promised in opening statement can be devastating	8-33
8.227	Curing error in opening statement with jury instruction	8-33
8.228	Attorneys should not allude to matter that will not be supported by admissible evidence	8-33
8.229	Other matters	8-33
8.2291	Attorney must have good faith basis for what he says in opening statement	8-33
8.3	Production And Examination Of Witnesses	8-33
8.31	Constitutional Rights Relating To Production And Examination Of Witnesses	8-33
8.311	Right to present witnesses	8-33
8.312	Right to testify in own behalf (see sec. 30.6)	8-34
8.313	Right to confrontation	8-34
8.314	Right to compulsory process (see sec. 30.8)	8-34
8.32	Securing Witness's Attendance	8-34
8.321	By subpoena	8-34
8.3211	Service of subpoena (see sec. 20.3211)	8-34
8.3212	Quashing or modifying subpoena	8-34
8.32121	In general (see sec. 20.32121)	8-34
8.32122	Quashing subpoena for district attorney (see sec. 20.32122)	8-34
8.3213	Subpoena duces tecum (see sec. 20.8861)	8-34
8.322	By material witness warrant	8-34
8.3221	In general (see sec. 20.3221)	8-34
8.3222	Authority to issue material witness warrant in John Doe Proceeding (see sec. 20.3222)	8-34
8.3223	Need for probable cause to believe it is impracticable to secure presence by subpoena before issuing material witness arrest warrant (see sec. 20.3223)	8-34
8.323	By extradition (see sec. 6.2593)	8-34
8.33	Sequestration Of Witnesses (see sec. 20.33)	8-34
8.34	Prerequisites To Permitting Witness To Testify	8-34
8.341	Competency	8-34
8.3411	In general (see sec. 20.3411)	8-34
8.3412	Of juror	8-34
8.34121	At trial (see sec. 20.34121)	8-34
8.34122	Inquiry into validity of verdict (see sec. 20.34122)	8-34
8.3413	Of judge	8-34
8.3414	Of attorney for party (see sec. 20.373)	8-34
8.3415	Of young child (see sec. 20.3415)	8-34
8.3416	Objection to witness's competency (see sec. 20.3416)	8-35
8.3417	Voir dire examination of witness regarding competency (see sec. 20.3417)	8-35
8.342	Requirement of personal knowledge of matter testified to (see sec. 20.342)	8-35
8.343	Oath or affirmation	8-35
8.3431	In general (see sec. 20.3431)	8-35
8.3432	Authority of clerk to administer oath to witness (see sec. 20.3432)	8-35
8.3433	In case of young child (see sec. 20.3433)	8-35

	<i>Page</i>
8.344 Ability to give meaningful testimony (see sec. 20.344)	8-35
8.345 Requiring psychiatric or psychological examination of witness	8-35
8.35 Duty Of Witness To Testify	8-35
8.351 In general (see sec. 20.351)	8-35
8.352 Invocation of fifth amendment privilege	8-35
8.3521 Assertion of privilege (see sec. 24.2)	8-35
8.3522 Supplanting privilege by grant of immunity	8-35
8.3523 Striking testimony of witness who invokes fifth amendment privilege (see sec. 20.3523)	8-35
8.353 Privileges	8-35
8.354 Protecting child witness and victim of sensitive crime from unnecessary distress (see sec. 20.383)	8-35
8.355 Refusal of witness to answer because of fear (see sec. 20.355)	8-35
8.36 Questioning Of Witnesses	8-35
8.361 Leading questions (see sec. 20.361)	8-35
8.362 Hypothetical question (see sec. 20.362)	8-35
8.363 Asking question without establishing factual predicate (see sec. 20.363)	8-36
8.364 Improper but unanswered question (see sec. 20.364)	8-36
8.365 Refreshing witness's recollection	8-36
8.3651 By prior statement (see sec. 20.3651)	8-36
8.3652 By hypnosis (see sec. 20.8441)	8-36
8.366 Particular questioners	8-36
8.3661 Trial judge (see sec. 8.843)	8-36
8.3662 More than one co-counsel (see sec. 20.3662)	8-36
8.3663 Juror (see sec. 20.368)	8-36
8.367 Curtailing witness's testimony	8-36
8.3671 Cumulative testimony (see sec. 20.3671)	8-36
8.368 Questioning of witnesses by jurors (see sec. 20.368)	8-36
8.369 Other matters	8-36
8.3691 Cross-examination of witness (see sec. 16.1)	8-36
8.3692 Refusal of witness to answer because of fear (see sec. 20.355)	8-36
8.3693 Protecting child victim and victim of sensitive crimes from unnecessary distress (see sec. 20.383)	8-36
8.3694 Examining witness concerning prior statement (see sec. 20.3694)	8-36
8.3695 Who may impeach witness (see sec. 20.3897)	8-36
8.3696 Mode and order of interrogation and presentation (see sec. 20.3696)	8-36
8.37 Propriety Of Particular Conduct Relating To Examination Of Witness	8-36
8.371 Calling witness knowing he will invoke the fifth amendment (see sec. 20.371)	8-36
8.372 Asking question without establishing factual predicate (see sec. 20.363)	8-36
8.373 Attorney for party testifying as witness (see sec. 20.373)	8-36
8.374 State's knowing use of false testimony (see sec. 22.452)	8-37
8.375 Providing inducement for witness to testify (see sec. 20.375)	8-37
8.376 Calling expert witness knowing he cannot recall basis for opinion (see sec. 22.455)	8-37
8.377 Bolstering a witness's credibility before such credibility is attacked (see sec. 20.377)	8-37
8.378 Due process limitations on prosecutor's conduct relating to examination of witnesses (see sec. 22.45)	8-37

8.379	Having defense witness testify while shackled and/or in jail uniform (see sec. 22.588)	8-37
8.38	Other Matters	8-37
8.381	Production of witness's statement (see secs. 6.825, 6.8361, and 6.842)	8-37
8.382	Attacking or supporting credibility of witness	8-37
8.3821	By evidence of character or conduct (see sec. 20.821)	8-37
8.3822	By evidence of conviction of crime (see sec. 16.141)	8-37
8.3823	By revealing pretrial agreement between prosecution and witness regarding witness's testimony (see sec. 20.3823)	8-37
8.3824	By asking complainant whether he/she has commenced action for civil damages against defendant as a result of criminal conduct (see sec. 20.3824)	8-37
8.383	Protecting child victims and victims of sensitive crimes from unnecessary distress	8-37
8.3831	By shielding victim from defendant's view during testimony (see sec. 20.3831)	8-37
8.3832	By videotaping victim's testimony (see sec. 20.3832)	8-37
8.3833	By limiting cross-examination of victim	8-37
8.38331	In general (see sec. 20.38331)	8-37
8.38332	Questioning regarding victim's prior sexual conduct (see sec. 20.38332)	8-38
8.3834	By allowing child-victim-witness to testify at trial outside of defendant's presence by one-way closed circuit television (see sec. 20.3834)	8-38
8.384	Rebuttal witnesses (see sec. 20.3871)	8-38
8.385	Impeachment of witness (by extrinsic evidence)	8-38
8.3851	In general (see sec. 16.2)	8-38
8.3852	Who may impeach (see sec. 20.3897)	8-38
8.386	Evidence of character or conduct of witness	8-38
8.3861	Opinion and reputation evidence of character (see sec. 20.8211)	8-38
8.3862	Specific instances of conduct (see sec. 20.8212)	8-38
8.387	Prior statements of witness	8-38
8.3871	Admissibility in general (see sec. 20.3861)	8-38
8.3872	Examining witness concerning prior statement (see sec. 20.3694)	8-38
8.3873	Extrinsic evidence of prior inconsistent statement of witness (see sec. 16.291)	8-38
8.3874	Refreshing witness's recollection through prior statement (see sec. 20.3651)	8-38
8.3875	Production of prior statement (see secs. 6.825, 6.836, 6.842, and 20.3865)	8-38
8.388	Other matters	8-38
8.3881	Refreshing witness's recollection by hypnosis (see sec. 20.8441)	8-38
8.3882	Immunity of witnesses from damages action based on their testimony (see sec. 47.65)	8-38
8.3883	Admissibility of evidence of religious beliefs or opinions of witness (see sec. 20.3883)	8-38
8.3884	Recalling witness (see sec. 20.3884)	8-38
8.3885	Alibi testimony	8-38
8.3886	Granting immunity to defense witness (see sec. 28.212)	8-39
8.3887	Calling of witness by judge	8-39

8.3888	Prosecutor's intimidation of defense witness by threat of criminal prosecution (see sec. 22.45342)	8-39
8.3889	Striking testimony of witness who invokes fifth amendment privilege (see sec. 20.3523)	8-39
8.389	Other matters (cont'd)	8-39
8.3891	Defense counsel's advice to state witness regarding possible charges against witness and right to refuse to testify unless granted immunity (see sec. 20.3891)	8-39
8.3892	Calling district attorney as witness (see sec. 20.3892)	8-39
8.3893	Testifying defendant has no right to consult with attorney during brief break in testimony (see sec. 20.3893)	8-39
8.3894	Propriety of prosecution eliciting from its witness nature of pretrial agreement under which witness testified and what would happen to witness if he lied on the stand (see sec. 20.3894)	8-39
8.3895	Conducting in-court lineup for identification witnesses (see sec. 20.3895)	8-39
8.3896	Conducting in-court voice line-up for identification witness (see sec. 20.3896)	8-39
8.3897	Who may impeach witness (see sec. 20.3897)	8-39
8.3898	Motion to dismiss at close of state's case (see sec. 8.823)	8-39
8.3899	Other matters	8-39
8.38991	Reopening testimony after party has rested (see sec. 8.825)	8-39
8.38992	Payment of witness fees to state prisoner who testifies at federal trial pursuant to writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum (see sec. 20.38992)	8-39
8.38993	Determining credibility of witness (see sec. 20.38993)	8-39
8.38994	Permitting witness to confer with attorney (see sec. 20.38994)	8-40
8.38995	Use of interpreter for witness (see sec. 8.8972)	8-40
8.38996	Witness's privilege for testimony given in judicial proceeding (see sec. 20.38996)	8-40
8.38997	Witness's immunity from damages for testimony given in judicial proceeding (see sec. 47.65)	8-40
8.38998	Defense counsel's obligations in assessing and responding to defendant's possible perjurious testimony (see secs. 8.422, 20.62, and 25.55)	8-40
8.4	Closing Argument	8-40
8.41	Restrictions On Closing Argument In General; What May Be Done In Closing Argument In General	8-40
8.411	In general	8-40
8.412	Suggestion that jury arrive at verdict by considering factors other than evidence is impermissible	8-40
8.413	What prosecutor may do in closing argument in general	8-40
8.42	Prosecutorial Comment On Defendant's Invocation Of Constitutional Rights	8-40
8.421	Right to silence	8-40
8.4211	Pretrial silence in general	8-40
8.42111	In general	8-40

8.42112	When defendant does not remain silent during police interrogation, but rather purports to give full account of his activities at time of crime, state does not impermissibly comment on defendant's invocation of right to silence by commenting on what defendant did not tell interrogating officer	8-41
8.42113	Defendant's opening door to prosecutorial comment on silence	8-41
8.4212	Particular periods of pretrial silence	8-41
8.42121	Pre-arrest, pre-Miranda silence	8-41
8.42122	Pre-arrest, post-Miranda silence	8-41
8.42123	Post-arrest, pre-Miranda silence	8-42
8.42124	Post-arrest, post-Miranda silence	8-42
8.4213	Failure to testify at prior trial	8-42
8.4214	Failure to testify at present trial	8-42
8.42141	In general	8-42
8.42142	When defendant personally makes opening or closing statement	8-43
8.42143	When defense counsel states in closing argument that government denied defendant chance to tell his side of story	8-43
8.42144	Instructing the jury on drawing no adverse inferences from the defendant's exercise of his right not to testify at his trial (see sec. 8.5375)	8-43
8.42145	Comment on defense counsel's failure to adduce evidence to support his or her opening statement regarding defense version of facts is not improper comment on defendant's failure to testify	8-43
8.42146	When comment is fair response to defense argument	8-43
8.4215	Invocation of fifth amendment on cross-examination	8-43
8.4216	Comment on prior silence when defendant testifies at trial	8-43
8.4217	Defendant's opening door to prosecutorial comment on silence	8-43
8.4218	Restrictions on prosecutorial comment on defendant's exercise of right to silence are no greater under state constitution	8-44
8.4219	Related matters	8-44
8.42191	When reference to witness's silence constitutes comment on defendant's silence	8-44
8.422	Right to counsel	8-44
8.4221	Retaining or consulting counsel	8-44
8.4222	Request for counsel during interrogation	8-44
8.423	Right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures	8-44
8.424	Other constitutional rights	8-44
8.43	Propriety Of Particular Practices In Making Closing Argument	8-44
8.431	Discussing legal principles	8-44
8.4311	In general	8-44
8.4312	Burdens of proof	8-44
8.4313	Misstating the law	8-44
8.4314	Misstating the process by which judge decides to give lesser-included offense instruction	8-44

8.4315	Prosecutor's misstatement of law (e.g., with respect to burden of proof) that is obvious slip-of-the-tongue provides no grounds for reversal in interest of justice	8-44
8.432	Reference to facts not supported by evidence; assertion of personal knowledge of facts	8-44
8.433	Commenting on defendant	8-45
8.4331	Defendant's credibility	8-45
8.4332	Defendant's guilt (see sec. 8.437)	8-45
8.4333	Calling defendant names or making other disparaging comments to describe him	8-45
8.43331	In general	8-45
8.43332	Calling defendant "chronic alcoholic"	8-45
8.4334	Arguing that because defendant was sexually abused as a child, she was more likely to have committed sexual assaults for which she was being prosecuted	8-45
8.4335	Indicating defendant was on weekend furlough from prison sentence when crime committed	8-45
8.4336	Prosecutor's comment, in case where intoxication defense raised, that defendant's alcohol abuse and hospitalizations were factors for judge to consider in sentencing	8-45
8.4337	Defendant's failure to call or question witness	8-45
8.4338	Defendant's demeanor while witnesses testified	8-45
8.4339	Other comments on defendant	8-45
8.43391	Defendant's future dangerousness	8-45
8.43392	Defendant's prior incarceration and victim's consequent fear	8-46
8.43393	Accusing defendant of concocting his story	8-46
8.43394	Commenting that defendant, who testified in his defense, had opportunity to hear all other witnesses testify and to tailor his own testimony accordingly	8-46
8.43395	Defendant's race, religion, or cultural upbringing	8-46
8.43396	Defendant's ability to subpoena witnesses (see sec. 8.43984)	8-46
8.434	Commenting on witnesses	8-46
8.4341	Credibility of witness	8-46
8.43411	In general	8-46
8.43412	Vouching for credibility of witness	8-46
8.43413	Permissibility of saying "I believe" that witness was credible	8-46
8.4342	Witness's failure to come forward with statement earlier (see sec. 8.4694)	8-46
8.4343	Witness's failure or refusal to appear in response to subpoena	8-46
8.435	Commenting on evidence	8-46
8.4351	In general	8-46
8.4352	Reading from article introduced into evidence	8-46
8.4353	Reference to matters on which opponent has been precluded from presenting evidence	8-46
8.4354	Reference to matters on which prosecution has been precluded from introducing evidence, but with respect to which defendant has introduced evidence	8-47

8.4355	Reference to absence of evidence regarding particular matter when opponent has been precluded from presenting evidence on that matter	8-47
8.4356	Defense failure to produce evidence to support what was promised in opening statement	8-47
8.43561	In general	8-47
8.43562	When evidence needed to support opening statement is defendant's testimony	8-47
8.436	Commenting on instructions	8-47
8.4361	In general	8-47
8.4362	Misstating process by which trial court decides to give lesser-included offense instruction (see sec. 8.4314)	8-47
8.437	Prosecutor's statement of belief in defendant's guilt; prosecutor's statement of personal opinion that defendant is guilty	8-47
8.438	Other practices	8-47
8.4381	Reading from transcript	8-47
8.4382	May defense counsel concede defendant's guilt on one of the counts for which he is being tried without defendant's consent?	8-47
8.43821	No (court of appeals' answer, reversed by supreme court)	8-47
8.43822	Yes (supreme court's answer)	8-47
8.4383	May defense counsel concede defendant's guilt in capital (i.e., death penalty) case, so as to preserve credibility for penalty phase?	8-47
8.43831	Yes (United States Supreme Court's answer)	8-47
8.4384	Making "golden rule" argument, i.e., asking jurors to place themselves in victim's shoes	8-48
8.4385	Commenting on opposing counsel	8-48
8.43851	In general	8-48
8.43852	Prosecutor's disparaging comments about defense counsel and his or her role	8-48
8.43853	Defense counsel's disparaging comments about prosecutor	8-48
8.4386	Prosecutor's comment on role of district attorney (e.g., process district attorney uses to make charging decisions)	8-48
8.4387	Prosecutor's asking jurors to draw inferences from evidence that prosecutor knows or should know are not true	8-48
8.4388	Commenting on availability of appellate review, thereby leading jury to believe that it can shift part of its responsibility to another body	8-48
8.439	Other practices (cont'd)	8-48
8.4391	Exhorting jury to "do its job"	8-48
8.4392	Implying death penalty would be only guarantee against future criminal act	8-48
8.4393	Commenting on jurors' right to use common knowledge and experience	8-48
8.4394	Suggestion that, if defendant is found not guilty on self-defense grounds, that would be equivalent of finding victim guilty	8-48
8.4395	No right to argue "jury nullification"	8-48

8.4396	Appeals to "class prejudice" (e.g. defendant's or other party's status as welfare recipient)	8-48
8.4397	Reference to possible penalties; advising jury regarding sentencing issues, e.g., potential punishment for crime	8-49
8.4398	Other practices	8-49
8.43981	Presuming to speak for trial court	8-49
8.43982	Appeal to racial prejudice or stereotyping	8-49
8.43983	Prosecutor's suggesting that expert witness was vouching for credibility of complaining witness or was telling jury that crime occurred	8-49
8.43984	Telling jury that defendant has same subpoena powers as state, particularly when done in response to defendant's argument about prosecutor's failure to call specific witness	8-49
8.43985	Telling jury that state does not have means to compel testimony from witness who defendant contends state should have called	8-49
8.43986	Telling jury that witness who defendant contends state should have called would have invoked Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination	8-49
8.43987	Prosecutor's appeal to "send message to community"	8-49
8.43988	Prosecutor's appeal to hold defendant "accountable"	8-49
8.43989	Appeal to sympathy, either directly or backhandedly	8-49
8.4399	Other practices (cont'd)	8-49
8.43991	Telling jury that prosecutor was not only one who could have inquired about particular matter	8-49
8.43992	Telling jury that sexual assault cases "don't get a lot better than this"	8-49
8.43993	Commenting on opposing counsel and his or her tactics	8-50
8.43994	Discussing respective roles of defense counsel and prosecutor	8-50
8.43995	Prosecutor's statement that "not guilty" verdicts would be "injustice"	8-50
8.43996	Making argument regarding defense with respect to which there is no evidence	8-50
8.43997	Commenting on matters not in evidence	8-50
8.43998	Defendant's ability to subpoena witnesses	8-50
8.44	Preserving Error In Closing Argument For Appeal	8-50
8.441	Requirement of objection	8-50
8.4411	In general	8-50
8.4412	Review for "plain error" in absence of objection	8-50
8.4413	Review of error affecting defendant's substantial right in absence of objection	8-51
8.4414	Review of constitutional error in absence of objection	8-51
8.4415	Granting new trial in interests of justice based on error even though no objection made	8-51
8.442	Requirement of motion to strike	8-51
8.443	Requirement of motion for mistrial	8-51
8.4431	In general	8-51
8.4432	Review for "plain error" in absence of motion for mistrial	8-51

8.4433	Motion for mistrial required only when trial court has sustained objection to argument, not when it has overruled objection	8-51
8.444	Requirement of record of improper remarks	8-51
8.445	Requirement of request for curative instruction	8-51
8.45	Appellate Review Of Closing Argument	8-51
8.451	Standard of review	8-52
8.4511	In general	8-52
8.4512	Of decision denying mistrial on account of improper argument	8-52
8.452	Appellate court's review of prosecutorial comment as "plain error" (see sec. 8.4412)	8-52
8.453	Improper remarks by prosecutor are not necessarily prejudicial when objection has been promptly made and sustained and curative instruction has been given	8-52
8.454	Criminal conviction is not to be lightly overturned on basis of prosecutor's comments in closing argument standing alone; rather, comment must be viewed in context of entire trial	8-52
8.46	Other Matters	8-52
8.461	Constitutional right to closing argument	8-52
8.4611	In general (see sec. 30.981)	8-52
8.4612	No right to argument by counsel and by defendant personally	8-52
8.462	Principles of effective closing argument	8-52
8.4621	In general	8-52
8.4622	Focusing on small number of key points may be more persuasive than shotgun approach	8-52
8.4623	Face up to defects in client's case	8-52
8.4624	Avoid demanding particular verdict or suggesting what jury's findings must be	8-52
8.463	"Invited response" or "invited error"	8-52
8.464	When prosecutor's closing argument denies defendant due process or his constitutional right to fair trial	8-53
8.465	Trial court's control over length and content of closing argument	8-53
8.466	Prosecutor's right to fairly respond to defense argument	8-53
8.467	Trial court's duty to intervene sua sponte without objection when prosecutor makes incorrect statement of law and attributes it to court	8-53
8.468	Statements made during closing argument are not evidence; attorney's argument is not evidence	8-53
8.469	Other matters	8-53
8.4691	Admission of attorney's statement in closing argument at prior proceeding in later proceeding as admission of attorney's client	8-53
8.4692	Arguments of counsel cannot substitute for instruction by court (see sec. 8.5192)	8-53
8.4693	Opinions expressed during closing argument are not evidence; attorney's opinion is not evidence	8-53
8.4694	Prosecutorial comment on witness's failure to come forward with story earlier	8-54
8.4695	Curing error in closing argument with jury instruction	8-54
8.4696	Arguments of counsel generally carry less weight with juries than do instruction from court; juries generally view closing arguments as statements of advocates rather than definite and binding statements of law	8-54

8.5 Instructions	8-54
8.51 General Principles Governing Giving Of Instructions	8-54
8.511 In general	8-54
8.512 Defense requested instruction that is supported by evidence should not be denied because it is inconsistent with defendant's testimony	8-57
8.513 In determining whether defense-requested instruction should be given, court must view the evidence in the most favorable light it will reasonably admit from the standpoint of the accused	8-57
8.514 Procedure for instructions in criminal cases is same as that provided in civil actions	8-57
8.515 When case submitted on stipulation of facts, appellate court does not apply general rule that gives trial court discretion to determine what instructions the evidence reasonably requires	8-57
8.516 Jury should not be required to guess at meaning of technical words	8-57
8.517 If instructions given adequately cover law, appellate court will not find error in failing to give other instructions even though, if given, they, too, would not be erroneous	8-57
8.518 Reinstrucing jury after it retires to deliberate	8-57
8.5181 In general	8-57
8.5182 Reinstrucing as to all or any part of instructions previously given	8-57
8.5183 Providing supplementary instructions	8-57
8.51831 In general	8-57
8.51832 Instructing on lesser-included offense not previously submitted (see sec. 31.3898)	8-57
8.51833 Instructing on jury's inability to agree (see sec. 8.673)	8-57
8.5184 Court's reinstruction in response to jurors' question raised during deliberations (see sec. 8.634)	8-58
8.519 Other matters	8-58
8.5191 Effect of jury instructions on verdict	8-58
8.51911 Validity of jury's verdict depends on completeness of instructions	8-58
8.51912 Validity of jury's verdict is affected by correctness of instructions	8-58
8.5192 Arguments of counsel cannot substitute for instruction by court	8-58
8.5193 Defense requested instruction should be given if there is any foundation in evidence for it, even though evidence supporting it may be weak, insufficient, inconsistent, or of doubtful credibility	8-58
8.5194 Rules regarding jury instructions in civil actions apply to criminal actions	8-58
8.5195 Proper instruction is crucial component of fact-finding process	8-58
8.5196 Simply because fact may be undisputed does not mean that party is entitled to have jury instructed on that fact; stipulation by parties to that fact is required	8-58
8.5197 Error in instructions warrants reversal only if error probably, and not merely possibly, misled jury (see sec. 8.57197)	8-58
8.5198 Choice among requested instructions that correctly state law is matter of trial court discretion	8-58
8.5199 Purpose of jury instructions	8-58

	<i>Page</i>
8.52 Instructions On Offenses Other Than Charged Offense	8-58
8.521 General principles	8-58
8.5211 As a general rule, only instructions on lesser-included offenses permitted	8-58
8.5212 But there may be rare occasions where instruction on uncharged offense may be appropriate, not as potential subject of conviction, but to explain nature of charged offense	8-59
8.5213 Analysis to be employed to determine whether instruction on lesser offense required	8-59
8.522 Determining what constitutes lesser-included offense (see sec. 31.31)	8-59
8.523 Determining when evidence requires lesser-included offense instruction (see sec. 31.33)	8-59
8.524 Instructing jury on how to consider lesser-included offenses (see sec. 31.34)	8-59
8.525 When instruction on lesser-included offense constitutionally required (see sec. 31.35)	8-59
8.526 Effect of failure to give lesser-included offense instruction when required (see sec. 31.36)	8-59
8.527 Trial court's sua sponte offer to give, or giving of, lesser-included offense instructions	8-59
8.5271 Propriety of sua sponte offer (see sec. 31.371)	8-59
8.5272 Necessity of sua sponte offer (see sec. 31.372)	8-59
8.528 Other matters relating to instructing on lesser-included offenses	8-59
8.5281 Lesser-included offense instruction must be given if warranted by evidence even though conviction of offense barred by statute of limitations (see secs. 12.342 and 12.371)	8-59
8.5282 Allocation between counsel and defendant of right to make decision whether to request lesser-included offense instruction (see sec. 25.5833)	8-60
8.5283 Right of appellate court, upon finding evidence insufficient to support conviction, to order entry of judgment of conviction for lesser-included offense (see sec. 19.577)	8-60
8.529 Appellate review of failure to give lesser-included offense instruction (see sec. 31.39)	8-60
8.53 Instructions On Particular Matters	8-60
8.531 On particular presumptions and inferences	8-60
8.5311 Presumption that one intends the natural and probable consequences of his acts	8-60
8.5312 Presumption that one who assaults another with a dangerous weapon, likely to kill, intended to kill	8-60
8.5313 Inference to be drawn from possession of recently stolen goods	8-60
8.5314 Inference to be drawn from failure to call witness	8-60
8.5315 Inference to be drawn from defendant's refusal to answer relevant questions on cross-examination (see sec. 8.5389)	8-61
8.5316 Presumption of intoxication from blood alcohol content	8-61
8.53161 In general	8-61

8.53162	Statutory provision making particular alcohol concentration prima facie evidence of intoxication applies, and may be instructed on, when blood, breath, or urine sample was taken more than three hours after event and expert testimony establishes blood-alcohol concentration at time of event based on blood-alcohol concentration in sample	8-61
8.5317	Inference to be drawn from defendant's flight	8-61
8.5318	Other presumptions and inferences	8-61
8.53181	Presumption that one was negligent from fact that he was exceeding legal speed limit	8-61
8.53182	Prima facie evidence of intent	8-61
8.53183	Inference of possession from presence of firearm in auto occupied by defendant	8-61
8.53184	Presumption that failure to pay child support when ordered to do so is intentional	8-61
8.53185	Presumption of knowledge of alteration or removal of manufacturer's identification number, and of an intent to prevent identification of property, from possession of two or more similar items of personal property with number altered or removed	8-62
8.53186	Presumption of embezzlement from failure to return rented vehicle within five days of expiration of rental agreement	8-62
8.53187	Presumption of intent to commit theft by fraud from failure to return rented property within twenty days of demand	8-62
8.53188	Presumption that use of state resources to promote candidate in political campaign or to raise money for candidate provides candidate with dishonest advantage (see sec. 35.23352)	8-62
8.53189	Presumption that state employee who uses, or directs use, of state resources for political campaigns exercises discretionary power in manner inconsistent with duties of employment (see sec. 35.23353)	8-62
8.5319	Related matters	8-62
8.53191	Instruction on presumption that improperly shifts burden of proof is subject to harmless error analysis (see sec. 20.28)	8-62
8.532	On credibility of particular witnesses	8-62
8.5321	Accomplice	8-62
8.5322	One granted immunity	8-62
8.5323	Narcotics addict	8-62
8.5324	Defendant	8-62
8.5325	One convicted of crime	8-62
8.5326	Law enforcement officer	8-62
8.5327	Eyewitness (see sec. 8.5331)	8-62
8.5328	Police informant	8-63
8.533	On credibility of particular types of testimony	8-63
8.5331	Eyewitness identification testimony	8-63

	<i>Page</i>
8.5332 Expert testimony	8-63
8.534 On theory of defense	8-63
8.5341 In general	8-63
8.5342 On defense of accident (see sec. 8.5395)	8-64
8.5343 On defense of advice of counsel (see sec. 8.53993)	8-64
8.535 On matters related to state's burden of proof	8-64
8.5351 Presumption of innocence	8-64
8.5352 Requirement of proof beyond a reasonable doubt	8-64
8.5353 Fact that defendant is not required to prove innocence	8-64
8.5354 Sufficiency of circumstantial evidence	8-64
8.536 On matters covered elsewhere in this book	8-65
8.5361 Particular crimes and defenses	8-65
8.5362 Party to a crime (see sec. 31.26)	8-65
8.5363 Jurors' inability to agree (see sec. 8.673)	8-65
8.5364 On necessity of juror unanimity (see sec. 8.545)	8-65
8.5365 Other-crimes evidence (see sec. 14.33)	8-65
8.5366 Offense charged (see sec. 8.542)	8-65
8.5367 Defendant's self-interest (see sec. 8.5324)	8-65
8.5368 Consequences of NGI verdict (see sec. 8.753)	8-65
8.5369 Other matters	8-65
8.53691 Prohibition on instructing jury it may draw negative inference from defendant's failure to testify (see sec. 24.522)	8-65
8.53692 Rule that contributory negligence of victim is no defense (see sec. 31.712)	8-65
8.53693 On matters arising in ch. 980 (sexual predator) commitment proceeding (see sec. 10.737)	8-65
8.537 On other matters	8-65
8.5371 Circumstantial evidence	8-65
8.5372 Falsus in uno	8-65
8.5373 Alibi	8-65
8.5374 On consequence of verdict in general	8-65
8.5375 Drawing no adverse inference from defendant's failure to testify	8-65
8.53751 Failure to give instruction on this matter when requested is error	8-65
8.53752 Giving instruction on this matter over defendant's objection is not error	8-66
8.53753 Trial counsel not ineffective for failure to request this instruction	8-66
8.53754 Prohibition on instructing jury it may draw negative inference from defendant's failure to testify (see sec. 24.522)	8-66
8.5376 On consideration of prior sexual conduct between victim and defendant in sexual assault prosecution to determine whether victim consented to alleged sexual intercourse or contact	8-66
8.5377 Prior inconsistent statement of complaining witness	8-66
8.5378 Use of evidence of one crime being tried to prove another crime being tried in prosecution for multiple offenses	8-66

	8.5379	On "pedagogical device" summarizing and organizing evidence (e.g., chart summarizing witnesses' testimony prepared as witnesses testified) used during course of trial	8-66
8.538		On other matters (cont'd)	8-66
	8.5381	Missing witness	8-66
	8.5382	Confessions and admissions against interest	8-66
	8.5383	Acceptance of stipulated fact as conclusively proven	8-66
	8.5384	Defendant's conduct or whereabouts after crime committed or defendant accused of crime	8-66
		8.53841 In general	8-66
		8.53842 Flight	8-66
	8.5385	That defense witness was unavailable because of incompetency	8-67
	8.5386	Instruction to juror concerned about business commitment that obligation as juror comes first (see sec. 8.6991)	8-67
	8.5387	Inconsistent testimony	8-67
	8.5388	Right of jury to ignore statute under which defendant is prosecuted (see sec. 8.6992)	8-67
	8.5389	Defendant's invocation of fifth amendment privilege on cross-examination	8-67
8.539		On other matters (cont'd)	8-67
	8.5391	Prima facie evidence of intent (see sec. 8.53182)	8-67
	8.5392	Multiple conspiracies	8-67
	8.5393	Drawing no adverse inference from fact that child is screened from defendant while testifying	8-67
	8.5394	Time of offense	8-67
	8.5395	Defense of accident	8-67
	8.5396	Drawing no adverse inference from fact that child is screened from defendant while testifying	8-67
	8.5397	On jurors' right to use common knowledge and experience	8-67
	8.5398	On jury nullification	8-67
	8.5399	Other matters	8-68
		8.53991 On not letting defendant's guilt or innocence of crime charged in one count affect verdict on other counts (see sec. 8.54621)	8-68
		8.53992 Possible penalties for offense	8-68
		8.53993 Advice of counsel	8-68
		8.53994 Attorney's remarks are not evidence	8-68
		8.53995 Conditional relevance (see sec. 20.8896)	8-68
8.54		Particular Instruction Problems	8-68
	8.541	Curing error or prejudice through instruction	8-68
		8.5411 In general	8-68
		8.5412 Presumption that admonitory instruction followed	8-69
		8.5413 Who has obligation to request instruction	8-70
	8.542	Instructing on offense charged	8-70
		8.5421 Necessity to instruct on every element of offense charged	8-70
		8.5422 Controlled by statute creating crime, not allegations of information	8-70
		8.5423 Not limited to exact language of, or specific means of committing offense alleged in, information	8-70
		8.5424 When defense raised	8-70

8.5425	Need to require unanimity on alternative means of committing crime (see sec. 8.68121)	8-70
8.543	Instructing on presumptions	8-70
8.5431	Determining nature of presumption in jury instruction	8-70
8.5432	Constitutionality of instructions relating to presumptions (see sec. 20.24)	8-71
8.5433	Required content of instructions on presumptions in general	8-71
8.5434	Instructions relating to particular presumptions (see sec. 8.531)	8-71
8.5435	Instruction on presumption that improperly shifts burden of proof subject to harmless error analysis (see sec. 20.28)	8-71
8.5436	Other matters	8-71
8.544	Instructing in the disjunctive	8-71
8.545	Instructing on necessity of unanimity; crafting jury instructions so as to preserve defendant's right to unanimous jury verdict	8-71
8.5451	In general	8-71
8.5452	Need for instruction telling jurors they must be unanimous about specific act that forms basis for each count when evidence establishes multiple acts and verdict does not tie particular act to particular count	8-72
8.54521	In general	8-72
8.54522	When evidence regarding each act is of similar quality so that jurors would have no basis for believing one act occurred but another did not	8-72
8.5453	Instruction on necessity of unanimity not required if poll of jury shows unanimity	8-72
8.5454	When information charges defendant with multiple counts based on multiple acts violating same criminal statute, jury instructions should tie specific act to each count charged	8-72
8.54541	In general	8-72
8.54542	When information fails to tie specific act to specific count, failure may not be prejudicial when jury returns guilty verdicts on all counts charged	8-73
8.546	Instructing when multiple defendants or offenses involved	8-73
8.5461	Multiple defendants	8-73
8.5462	Multiple offenses	8-73
8.54621	In general	8-73
8.54622	Multiple violations of same statute	8-73
8.547	Limiting instructions	8-73
8.5471	Need for	8-73
8.5472	Sufficiency of	8-73
8.5473	When other crimes evidence admitted (see sec. 14.33)	8-73
8.5474	Foreclosing unconstitutional use of evidence by limiting instruction	8-73
8.5475	Presumption that jury follows limiting instruction	8-73
8.5476	Who has obligation to request instruction	8-73
8.548	Other problems	8-73
8.5481	Instructing on theory, manner, or method of committing crime under which defendant cannot properly be convicted	8-74
8.54811	Because theory, manner, or method of committing crime is not supported by evidence (see sec. 19.574)	8-74

8.54812	Because theory, manner, or method of committing crime is constitutionally or otherwise invalid	8-74
8.5482	Use of "alleged" in instructions	8-74
8.549	Other problems (cont'd)	8-74
8.5491	Instructing when jurors are unable to reach verdict (see sec. 8.673)	8-74
8.5492	Shifting burden of proof in instructions	8-74
8.5493	Court may not direct verdict with respect to guilt or particular element (see sec. 26.43)	8-74
8.5494	Singling defendant out for derogatory treatment	8-74
8.5495	Giving instruction for defendant's benefit over defendant's objection	8-74
8.5496	Use of "road map" instruction to clarify relationship between greater and lesser offenses instructed on	8-74
8.5497	Bridging or transitional instruction between offenses	8-74
8.5498	Instructing that particular thing, situation, or circumstance fulfills element of crime	8-74
8.54981	In general	8-74
8.54982	Instructing that "mobile home is a building"	8-74
8.5499	Other instruction problems	8-75
8.54991	Reinstructing jury in response to question raised by jury during deliberations (see sec. 8.634)	8-75
8.54992	Failure to read not guilty verdict to jury	8-75
8.54993	Trial judge may not comment on evidence	8-75
8.54994	Instruction that is correct statement of law may nevertheless be erroneous if it is incomplete	8-75
8.55	Other Matters Relating To Instructions	8-75
8.551	Presumption that jurors follow instructions	8-75
8.552	Persuasiveness of work of Criminal Jury Instructions Committee and weight to be accorded uniform instructions	8-76
8.553	Necessity of instructions conference	8-77
8.554	When instruction so prejudicial as to be unconstitutional	8-77
8.555	Need for reinstruction not indicative of denial of right to fair trial	8-77
8.556	Requirement that substantive instructions be given to jury in writing (see sec. 8.611)	8-77
8.557	Trial court's obligation to notify counsel of changes made in jury instructions following the instructions conference	8-77
8.558	Defendant not entitled to mid-trial advisory ruling on sufficiency of evidence to support particular instruction	8-77
8.559	Instruction on uncharged offense, not as potential subject of conviction, but to explain nature of charged offense, may be appropriate, but only under very rare circumstances (see sec. 8.5212)	8-77
8.56	Waiver Of Objection To Instructions	8-77
8.561	General rule: failure to object to instruction constitutes waiver of contention that it should not have been given or that it was erroneous	8-77
8.5611	In general	8-77
8.5612	Necessity of objection on specific ground	8-79
8.5613	Failure to object to material variance between instructions given and instructions proposed does not constitute waiver	8-79

8.5614	Rule does not apply when basis for objection (i.e., controlling appellate decision) did not exist at time of trial (see sec. 8.5654)	8-79
8.5615	Rule applies to failure to object to reinstruction that defendant claims was insufficient or incorrect	8-79
8.5616	Objection is sufficient even if it was not made when court announced instructions to be given or when court gave instructions, if it is made when jury asks for clarification regarding written instructions	8-79
8.562	General rule: failure to request instruction or object to its omission constitutes waiver of contention that it should have been given	8-79
8.5621	In general	8-79
8.5622	Necessity of particularized objection from counsel to court's refusal to give instruction	8-80
8.5623	Failure to object to omission of instruction proposed in instructions given does not constitute waiver	8-80
8.563	General rule: request for instruction constitutes waiver of contention that it should not have been given or that it was erroneous	8-80
8.564	Exceptions to general rules	8-80
8.5641	Where instruction misstates the law	8-80
8.5642	Where interests of justice require consideration	8-81
8.56421	Review of waived error in jury instruction through exercise of discretionary power of reversal in general	8-81
8.56422	Review of waived error where real controversy has not been fully tried	8-81
8.56423	Defendant must adequately develop argument for consideration in interests of justice before appellate court will consider request for review in interests of justice	8-81
8.5643	Where instruction deals with state's burden of proof	8-81
8.5644	Where interest of good judicial administration warrants review or correctness of instruction is matter of concern in the administration of courts	8-81
8.5645	Where objection goes to authority of trial judge	8-81
8.5646	Where error in instruction is so plain or fundamental that it affects substantial rights (plain error)	8-81
8.5647	Where challenge to instruction raises federal or state constitutional question	8-82
8.5648	Where "compelling circumstances" exist	8-82
8.5649	Where instruction goes to integrity of the fact finding process	8-82
8.565	Exceptions to general rules (cont'd)	8-82
8.5651	Where issue likely to recur	8-82
8.5652	Where appellate court, in exercise of discretion, chooses to consider objection	8-82
8.5653	Where failure to object is result of ineffective assistance of counsel	8-82
8.5654	Where decision showing instruction to be in error was decided after defendant's trial	8-82
8.5655	Where alleged error is of sufficient public interest to merit decision	8-83

8.5656	Where supreme court exercises its inherent authority to consider error in instruction in furtherance of its institutional law-making responsibility	8-83
8.5657	Where supreme court decides to exercise broad discretionary authority to review instruction	8-83
8.566	Respondent does not waive right to argue on appeal that instruction about whose content appellant is complaining should not have been given by requesting it or failing to object to it in trial court	8-83
8.567	Limitations on court of appeals' review of waived error in instructions	8-83
8.5671	In general	8-83
8.5672	When defendant fails to object to instructions because decision showing instructions to be erroneous not decided until after defendant's trial	8-84
8.568	Limitations on trial court's review of waived error	8-84
8.569	Other matters	8-84
8.5691	Withdrawal of request for instruction	8-84
8.5692	On appeal, failure to cite portions of record relevant to claimed error in instructions is deemed waiver of issue	8-84
8.57	Appellate Review Of Allegedly Erroneous Instructions	8-84
8.571	Principles governing review	8-84
8.5711	In general	8-84
8.5712	Review of content of instruction given	8-85
8.57121	In general	8-85
8.5713	Must determine how reasonable juror would have viewed instruction	8-86
8.5714	No grounds for reversal when instructions, considered as a whole and in their entirety, communicate correct statement of law	8-86
8.57141	In general	8-86
8.57142	Error in instruction may be rendered harmless if other correct statements of law are contained in instructions	8-86
8.5715	Instruction not to be judged in artificial isolation, but in context of overall charge; must consider instructions in their entirety or as a whole	8-86
8.5716	When defense request for instruction denied, reviewing court must view evidence in light most favorable to defendant	8-87
8.5717	"Reasonable likelihood" principles	8-87
8.57171	In assessing whether instruction was constitutionally defective, must ask whether there is "reasonable likelihood" that jury understood instructions in unconstitutional manner, not whether instruction could have been applied in unconstitutional manner	8-87
8.57172	When defendant contends that interplay of legally correct instructions impermissibly misled jury, the proper standard is whether there is a reasonable likelihood that the jury applied the challenged instructions in a manner that violates the constitution	8-88

8.57173	In determining whether language in instruction led to misunderstanding of law to be applied, reviewing court must determine whether there is reasonable likelihood that jury misunderstood instruction	8-88
8.5718	Other matters	8-88
8.57181	Whether there are sufficient facts to warrant giving of instruction is question of law that is reviewed de novo; whether evidence established basis for giving requested instruction is question of law subject to independent review of appellate court (see sec. 8.5722)	8-88
8.57182	Inquiry when appellate court considering whether interplay of challenged jury instructions violated a defendant's constitutional rights by misleading the jury	8-88
8.57183	New trial not warranted unless error is deemed prejudicial	8-88
8.57184	Review of refusal to give requested instruction	8-88
8.57185	In reviewing instructions, should appellate court consider entire context of case, including arguments of counsel?	8-88
8.57186	If instructions given adequately cover law applicable to facts, appellate court will not find error	8-88
8.57187	Error in instructions warrants reversal only if error probably, and not merely possibly, misled jury	8-88
8.57188	If instructions accurately state law, appellate court will affirm trial court's choice of instructions	8-88
8.572	Standards of review	8-89
8.5721	In general	8-89
8.5722	When issue is whether there are sufficient facts to warrant giving of instruction; whether evidence established basis for giving requested instruction is question of law subject to independent review of appellate court	8-89
8.5723	When issue is whether jury instruction deprived defendant of right to due process	8-89
8.5724	When issue is whether instructions were correct statement of the law	8-89
8.5725	Whether jury instruction is appropriate given facts of case is legal issue subject to independent review	8-89
8.5726	When instruction issue involves definition or construction of statutory words or terms	8-90
8.5727	Of question whether instructions fully and fairly informed jury of law applicable to charges being tried	8-90
8.5728	Of question whether error in instruction was harmless beyond a reasonable doubt	8-90
8.573	Court will not review trial court's mid-trial advisory opinion on sufficiency of evidence to support particular instruction	8-90
8.574	Necessity of record	8-90
8.575	Respondent does not waive right to argue on appeal that instruction should not have been given by requesting it or failing to object to it in trial court (see sec. 8.566)	8-90

8.576	Appellate court will not overturn conviction for failure to give pattern instruction not in existence at time of trial	8-90
8.577	Applicability and application of harmless error rule to instructional error	8-90
8.5771	In general	8-90
8.5772	When trial court erroneously fails to give instruction on defense (see sec. 40.155)	8-90
8.5773	When instruction not required, error in it is harmless	8-90
8.5774	In evaluating impact of erroneous instruction, appellate court views instruction in context of entire trial to determine whether there is reasonable possibility that jury was misled	8-90
8.578	Other matters	8-91
8.5781	On appeal, failure to cite portions of record relevant to claimed error in instructions is deemed waiver of issue (see sec. 8.5692)	8-91
8.5782	Discretionary reversal under Wis. Stat. § 752.35 based on error in jury instruction	8-91
8.6	Jury Deliberations And Return Of Verdict	8-91
8.61	Sending Instructions Into Jury Room	8-91
8.611	Requirement that substantive instructions be provided to the jury in writing	8-91
8.62	Providing Jury With Exhibits During Deliberations	8-91
8.621	General principles	8-91
8.6211	In general	8-91
8.6212	Factors court should consider in determining whether exhibit should be sent to jury room during deliberations	8-91
8.6213	In providing jury with exhibits, court should avoid undue emphasis on one side's case	8-91
8.622	Particular Exhibits	8-91
8.6221	Written statements	8-91
8.62211	In general	8-91
8.62212	Of defendant	8-92
8.62213	Of witness	8-92
8.62214	Of investigating officer containing statements of nontestifying declarant implicating defendant	8-92
8.6222	Photographs and other graphic exhibits	8-92
8.6223	Medical and other records	8-92
8.6224	Criminal complaint	8-92
8.6225	Audiotapes and videotapes	8-92
8.62251	General principles for determining whether to provide jury with audiotape or videotape	8-92
8.62252	Manner of providing jury with audiotape or videotape: tape should not be sent to jury room; it should be played for jury in courtroom under court's supervision	8-92
8.62253	Particular tape: Audiotape of conversation between defendant and undercover agent	8-92
8.62254	Particular tape: Audiotape of defendant's confession	8-93
8.62255	Particular tape: Videotape of interview with sexual assault victim	8-93
8.6226	Exhibit that was not received into evidence	8-93

8.623	Waiver of complaint about court's providing jury with exhibits during deliberations	8-93
8.624	Appellate review of court's rulings regarding providing jury with exhibits during deliberations	8-93
8.6241	Standard of review	8-93
8.625	Harmless error	8-93
8.6251	Court's error in sending exhibit to jury room during deliberations is subject to harmless error analysis	8-93
8.6252	Determining whether or not error was harmless in particular situation	8-93
8.63	Communication Between Court And Jurors	8-93
8.631	Need for consultation with, input from, and presence of, defense counsel	8-93
8.632	Need for consultation with, input from, and presence of, defendant	8-93
8.6321	In general	8-93
8.6322	Applicability of harmless error rule to denial of defendant's right to be present (see sec. 8.81152)	8-94
8.633	Should be done in open court	8-94
8.634	Court's response to jurors' question raised during deliberations	8-94
8.6341	In general	8-94
8.6342	Adequacy of court's response to jury's question about effect of verdict on some counts but deadlock on others	8-94
8.6343	Need for consultation with and presence of defense counsel and/or defendant	8-94
8.6344	Reinstructing jury in response to question raised during deliberations	8-94
8.6345	Presumption that jury understands judge's answer to its question	8-94
8.6346	Court's response to jurors' question about fact not unequivocally established by evidence submitted to jury, but answered by exhibit that, while admitted into evidence, was not submitted to jury	8-94
8.6347	Court has duty to respond to inquiry with sufficient specificity and clarity to resolve jury's problem	8-94
8.6348	Court not obligated to provide jury with information solely because jury believes it is important to its decision	8-95
8.6349	Other matters	8-95
8.63491	When judge responds to jury's question by directing jury's attention to precise paragraph of initial instructions given, which adequately answers question, and jury asks no follow-up question, it is presumed that jury fully understood judge's answer and appropriately applied jury instructions	8-95
8.635	Need for court's response to juror's statement	8-95
8.636	Court's ex parte contact with foreperson	8-95
8.637	Need to show prejudice	8-95
8.638	Court's communication with jury should be conducted in open court, not jury room	8-95
8.639	Other matters	8-95
8.6391	Court has duty to respond to inquiry with sufficient specificity and clarity to clarify resolve jury's problem (see sec. 8.6347)	8-95

8.6392	Court is not obligated to provide jury with information solely because jury believes it is important to its decision (see sec. 8.6348)	8-95
8.64	Communication Between Jurors And Third Parties	8-95
8.641	Sequestration of jury during deliberations (see sec. 8.695)	8-95
8.642	Communication of extraneous prejudicial information (see secs. 8.6912 and 20.34122)	8-95
8.643	Need to show prejudice	8-95
8.65	Reading Testimony For Jury	8-95
8.651	In general	8-95
8.652	When party does not request reading of testimony to jury, trial court does not abuse its discretion by failing to read testimony to jury	8-96
8.653	Defendant's right to have testimony read to jury when jury requests it	8-96
8.6531	In general	8-96
8.6532	Applicability of harmless error rule to denial of right	8-96
8.66	Juror Misconduct	8-96
8.661	Juror's informing other jurors of extraneous prejudicial information	8-96
8.662	Jury experimentation with items not introduced into evidence	8-96
8.663	Providing fellow jurors with dictionary definition (see sec. 8.69124)	8-96
8.67	Inability Of Jurors To Agree (Hung Jury); Coercing Verdict; Declaring Mistrial On Account Of Inability To Agree; Discharging Hung Jury	8-96
8.671	In general	8-96
8.672	Inquiry into numerical division of the jury	8-96
8.6721	Propriety of inquiry in general	8-96
8.6722	Applicability of harmless-error rule to improper inquiry into numerical division of jury	8-96
8.673	Instructing jury regarding inability to agree	8-96
8.674	Coercing jury verdict by placing time constraint on deliberations	8-96
8.675	Declaring mistrial on account of inability to agree	8-96
8.6751	In general	8-97
8.6752	When trial court required, if at all, to declare mistrial sua sponte	8-97
8.6753	Waiver of right to mistrial by failure to request mistrial	8-97
8.676	Discharging hung jury	8-97
8.677	Meaning, force, and effect of jury's inability to agree (i.e., of hung jury)	8-97
8.68	Verdict	8-97
8.681	Must be unanimous	8-97
8.6811	In general	8-97
8.6812	Need for unanimity on alternative means of committing offense	8-99
8.68121	Need for unanimity on alternative means of committing single offense	8-99
8.68122	Need for unanimity on alternative means of committing offense when alternative means create separate offenses	8-99
8.6813	Need for jurors to agree on particular means by which offense committed (see sec. 8.682)	8-99
8.6814	Need for unanimity when course of conduct underlies criminal charge	8-99
8.68141	Need for unanimity when multiple acts violating single statute were committed in course of one continuous, unlawful event and were charged as one count	8-99

8.68142	Need for unanimity as to each discrete act of unlawful conduct when statute authorizes charging of multiple acts as one continuing course of conduct (see sec. 8.6815)	8-100
8.6815	Need for unanimity as to each discrete act of unlawful conduct when statute authorizes charging of multiple acts as one continuing course of conduct	8-100
8.68151	In general	8-100
8.68152	Statute proscribing three or more sexual assaults on child within specified period of time involving same child, but not requiring agreement on which acts constitute the requisite assaults, does not violate requirement of jury unanimity	8-100
8.6816	Testing of unanimity by polling jury	8-100
8.68161	In general (see sec. 8.686)	8-100
8.68162	Failure to give instruction requiring unanimity does not constitute constitutional error if poll of jury shows unanimity (see sec. 8.5453)	8-100
8.6817	Waiver with respect to juror unanimity	8-100
8.68171	Right to unanimous verdict cannot be waived	8-100
8.68172	Failure of instructions to require unanimity can be waived	8-100
8.68173	Waiver of right to raise unanimity issue on appeal by failing to raise issue at trial	8-100
8.6818	Other and related matters	8-100
8.68181	Failure to give instruction requiring unanimity does not constitute constitutional error if poll of jury shows unanimity (see sec. 8.5453)	8-100
8.68182	Effect on unanimity when defendant tried by hearing-impaired juror	8-100
8.68183	Effect on unanimity when defendant tried by juror who was sleeping during testimony	8-101
8.68184	Creating risk of nonunanimity by duplicity in charging (see sec. 6.172)	8-101
8.68185	Need for instruction telling jurors they must be unanimous about specific act that forms basis for each count when evidence establishes multiple acts and verdict does not tie particular act to particular count	8-101
8.68186	Need for unanimity at insanity phase of bifurcated trial (see sec. 8.764)	8-101
8.68187	Statute proscribing three or more sexual assaults on child within specified period of time involving same child, but not requiring agreement on which acts constitute the requisite assaults, does not violate requirement of jury unanimity	8-101
8.68188	Unanimity requirement not imposed by federal constitution	8-101
8.68189	Instructing on unanimity requirement (see sec. 8.545)	8-101
8.6819	Other and related matters (cont'd)	8-101

8.68191	Validity of unanimous verdict is not dependent on what jurors agree to in jury room, but rather upon what is unanimously reported in open court (see sec. 8.68694)	8-101
8.68192	Dissent by juror from verdict of guilty accepted at guilt stage of bifurcated trial after polling of jury has determined unanimity, when jury begins deliberations at sanity phase of trial	8-101
8.68193	To preserve defendant's right to jury unanimity when complaint or information charges multiple counts based on multiple acts violating same criminal statute, charging document, instructions, and/or verdict forms must sufficiently tie specific count to specific act (see secs. 6.148, 8.5454, and 8.68443)	8-101
8.682	What jurors must agree on in arriving at verdict	8-101
8.6821	Need for jurors to agree on particular means by which single offense committed	8-101
8.6822	Need for jurors to agree on particular means by which offense committed when alternative means of committing offense create separate offenses	8-102
8.683	Need not be consistent	8-102
8.684	Form of verdict	8-103
8.6841	Form of special verdict (see sec. 8.68912)	8-103
8.6842	Adequacy of verdict form to establish time period during which offense committed	8-103
8.6843	Use of "and/or" in special verdict	8-103
8.6844	Verdict must be sufficiently specific to identify act or alternative acts on which guilt is predicated	8-103
8.68441	In general	8-103
8.68442	When evidence regarding each act is of similar quality so that jurors would have no basis for believing one act occurred but another did not	8-103
8.68443	When complaint or information charges multiple counts based on multiple acts violating same criminal statute, verdict forms should tie specific count to specific act	8-103
8.68444	When complaint or information charges multiple counts based on multiple acts violating same criminal statute, and verdict form fails to tie specific act to specific count, failure may not be prejudicial when jury returns guilty verdicts on all counts charged	8-103
8.6845	Problem with verdict form may be cured by instructions	8-103
8.6846	Waiver of objection to form of verdict	8-103
8.685	Receipt and acceptance of verdict	8-103
8.6851	When verdict deemed accepted thereby precluding further deliberations	8-104
8.6852	Trial court's right to refuse to accept verdict	8-104
8.6853	Defendant's right to have counsel present at receipt of verdict (see sec. 25.1517)	8-104
8.6854	Polling the jury (see sec. 8.686)	8-104
8.686	Polling the jury	8-104

	<i>Page</i>
8.6861 Defendant's right to have jurors individually polled	8-104
8.6862 Juror's dissent from verdict during polling	8-104
8.68621 In general	8-104
8.68622 Court's options when juror unambiguously dissents from verdict: court must either (1) grant mistrial or (2) direct jury to deliberate further	8-104
8.68623 Court's options when juror gives ambiguous assent to verdict	8-104
8.68624 Waiver of right to complain about court's response to juror's dissent from verdict	8-105
8.6863 Juror's equivocation during polling	8-105
8.6864 Reassembling jury and conducting individual poll to render harmless trial court's initial error in denying or failing to conduct poll when required	8-105
8.6865 Waiver of right to have jurors individually polled	8-105
8.68651 In general	8-105
8.68652 Need for personal waiver by defendant (see sec. 25.5825)	8-105
8.6866 Waiver of deficiency or incompleteness in individual polling undertaken by trial court by failure to call deficiency or incompleteness to attention of trial court	8-105
8.6867 Application of harmless-error rule to denial of right to have jury polled	8-105
8.68671 Applicability of harmless-error rule in general (see sec. 11.7331)	8-105
8.68672 Reassembling jury and conducting individual poll to render harmless trial court's initial error in denying poll (see sec. 8.6864)	8-105
8.6868 When failure to request polling constitutes ineffective assistance of counsel	8-105
8.6869 Other matters	8-105
8.68691 Need for defense counsel to advise defendant regarding right to have jurors individually polled	8-105
8.68692 Failure to give instruction requiring unanimity does not constitute constitutional error if poll of jury shows unanimity (see sec. 8.5453)	8-106
8.68693 Nature and purpose of jury polling in general	8-106
8.68694 Validity of unanimous verdict is not dependent on what jurors agree to in jury room, but rather upon what is unanimously reported in open court	8-106
8.68695 Appellate review of polling	8-106
8.687 Directing verdict	8-106
8.6871 With respect to particular element (see sec. 26.432)	8-106
8.6872 With respect to guilt (see sec. 26.431)	8-106
8.688 Use of sealed verdict	8-106
8.6881 In general	8-106
8.6882 Juror's dissent from verdict after verdict sealed, but before received	8-106
8.689 Other matters	8-106
8.6891 Special verdict	8-106

	<i>Page</i>
8.68911 Propriety of use of in general	8-106
8.68912 Form of	8-106
8.6892 Effect of jury's improperly returning verdicts of guilty of both charged offense and lesser-included offense	8-106
8.68921 In general	8-106
8.68922 Proper remedy is vacation of lesser, rather than greater, offense	8-106
8.6893 Juror may dissent from verdict to which he has previously agreed at any time prior to reception and recording	8-107
8.6894 Requirement of submission to jury of proof of, and finding by jury of, all essential elements of crime (see sec. 26.44)	8-107
8.6895 Effect and meaning of verdict of not guilty: does not prove innocence, only existence of reasonable doubt as to guilt	8-107
8.6896 Correcting jury verdict to reflect actual result of jury deliberations (see sec. 8.697)	8-107
8.6897 Effect of failure to read not guilty verdict to jury as part of instructions (see sec. 8.54992)	8-107
8.6898 Must be sufficient specific to identify act, or alternative forms of act, on which guilt is predicated (see sec. 8.6844)	8-107
8.6899 Other matters	8-107
8.68991 Impeaching jury verdict (see sec. 8.691)	8-107
8.68992 Validity of jury's verdict depends on completeness of instructions (see sec. 8.51911)	8-107
8.68993 Reinstrucing jury after it retires to deliberate (see sec. 8.518)	8-107
8.68994 Verdict must be based on evidence properly admitted or on matters of which court has taken judicial notice	8-107
8.68995 Dissent by juror from verdict of guilty accepted at guilt stage of bifurcated trial after polling of jury has determined unanimity, when jury begins deliberations at sanity phase of trial (see sec. 8.68192)	8-107
8.69 Other Matters	8-107
8.691 Impeaching jury verdict	8-107
8.6911 In general	8-107
8.6912 On basis of extraneous prejudicial information brought to jury's attention	8-108
8.69121 In general	8-108
8.69122 When allegations of motion seeking to impeach jury on basis of extraneous prejudicial information are sufficient to require evidentiary hearing	8-109
8.69123 Competency of juror to testify regarding extraneous prejudicial information brought to jury's attention (see sec. 20.34122)	8-109
8.69124 Particular extraneous prejudicial information: dictionary definition	8-109
8.6913 On basis that outside influence was improperly brought to bear on any juror	8-109
8.69131 In general	8-109

8.69132	Juror's impending departure on trip, with which jury deliberations interfered, and chiding that juror would take from trip companions for delaying departure, is not "outside influence"	8-109
8.6914	On basis of juror experimentation during deliberations with items not introduced into evidence	8-110
8.6915	By showing that jury verdict did not reflect actual result of jury deliberations (see sec. 8.697)	8-110
8.6916	By showing that juror or jurors gave incomplete or incorrect responses on voir dire (see sec. 8.1315)	8-110
8.6917	On basis that juror did not understand English language	8-110
8.6918	On other bases	8-110
8.69181	On basis that juror dissented from verdict of guilty accepted at guilt stage of bifurcated trial after polling of jury determined unanimity, when jury began deliberations at sanity phase of trial (see sec. 8.68192)	8-110
8.69182	On basis of speculation that verdict may have been result of compromise or of mistake on part of jury	8-110
8.6919	Other and related matters	8-110
8.69191	Competency of juror to testify at inquiry into validity of verdict (see sec. 20.34122)	8-110
8.69192	Impeachment of jury verdict on basis of, e.g., extraneous prejudicial information brought to jury's attention, may implicate constitutional rights, but reversal not mandated unless error is prejudicial	8-110
8.69193	Appellate review of issues relating to impeachment of verdict	8-110
8.692	Discharging juror during jury deliberations	8-110
8.693	Substitution of alternate juror during jury deliberations	8-110
8.694	Examination of juror regarding validity of verdict (see sec. 20.34122)	8-110
8.695	Sequestration of jury during deliberations	8-111
8.696	Juror experimentation during deliberations	8-111
8.697	Correcting jury verdict to reflect actual result of jury deliberations	8-111
8.698	Reinstructing jury in response to question raised by jury during deliberations (see sec. 8.634)	8-111
8.699	Other matters	8-111
8.6991	No need for special instruction to juror concerned about business commitment that obligation as juror comes first	8-111
8.6992	Right of jury to ignore statute under which defendant is prosecuted	8-111
8.6993	Right of jurors to use common knowledge and experience and to draw on what human experience has taught them	8-111
8.6994	Jury nullification: power of jury to acquit even though evidence found sufficient to convict (see sec. 26.47)	8-111
8.6995	Effect of jury's improperly returning verdicts of guilty of both charged offense and lesser-included offense (see sec. 8.6892)	8-111
8.6996	Once court has accepted unanimous verdict, it cannot order jury to redeliberate	8-111

8.6997	Violation of supreme court rules regarding management of jury with respect to its deliberations provides no basis, in itself, for reversing conviction	8-111
8.7	Insanity Phase Of Bifurcated Trial	8-112
8.71	General Principles Relating To Insanity Defense	8-112
8.711	In general	8-112
8.712	What Constitutes Mental Disease Or Defect	8-112
8.7121	In general	8-112
8.7122	Temporary psychosis produced by alcohol or drug ingestion	8-112
8.7123	Antisocial personality disorder manifested by more than repeated criminal or otherwise antisocial conduct	8-112
8.7124	Defendant may properly claim that combined defect of both mental disease and mental defect resulted in requisite lack of mental capacity	8-112
8.713	Constitutional issues relating to existence, substance, and scope of insanity defense	8-112
8.7131	In general	8-112
8.7132	Constitutionality of limiting defense to mental disease or defect that renders defendant incapable of knowing that criminal act was wrong	8-112
8.7133	Constitutionality of placing burden of proof with respect to defense on defendant (see sec. 8.722)	8-112
8.72	Burden Of Proof	8-112
8.721	In general	8-112
8.722	Constitutionality of placing burden of proof on defendant	8-112
8.73	Problems Relating To Jury	8-112
8.731	No need for new jury in insanity phase	8-113
8.732	Propriety of informing jury of both pleas at commencement of trial	8-113
8.733	Propriety of informing jury at insanity trial that defendant has pled guilty or no contest	8-113
8.734	Taking case from jury by directing verdict against defendant on insanity issue	8-113
8.735	Need for consent of state before defendant may waive right to jury trial	8-113
8.74	Admission Of Evidence	8-113
8.741	Psychiatric and psychologic testimony (see sec. 18.54)	8-113
8.742	Lay opinion testimony	8-113
8.743	State's right to call defense psychiatrist (see sec. 18.58)	8-113
8.744	Defendant's post-crime behavior	8-113
8.745	Defendant may not be called as adverse witness	8-113
8.75	Instructions	8-113
8.751	Content in general	8-113
8.752	In appropriate case, instruction on mental disease or defect may be phrased in conjunctive	8-113
8.753	No need for instruction on consequences of NGI verdict	8-113
8.76	Verdict	8-113
8.761	Form of verdict	8-113
8.762	Directing verdict against defendant in insanity phase (see sec. 8.734)	8-113
8.763	Phrasing special verdict's reference to mental disease or defect in the conjunctive	8-114

8.764	No need for unanimity; verdict is valid if agree to by five-sixths of jurors	8-114
8.77	Sufficiency Of Evidence To Establish Insanity Defense	8-114
8.771	In general	8-114
8.772	Propriety of trial court's finding evidence insufficient and directing verdict against defendant in insanity phase (see sec. 8.734)	8-114
8.773	Jury free to disbelieve defense experts and, even if state declines to present any experts in rebuttal, jury may conclude defendant has failed to satisfy his or her burden of proving insanity defense	8-114
8.78	Commitment Of Defendants Found NGI	8-114
8.781	Need for third phase at which determination made whether defendant is presently suffering from mental illness and in need of institutional treatment	8-114
8.782	Making decision to commit	8-114
8.7821	Test to be applied, and factors to be considered, in making commitment decision	8-114
8.78211	In general	8-114
8.7822	Standard of proof before commitment may be ordered	8-114
8.7823	Sufficiency of evidence to support decision to commit	8-115
8.783	Duration of commitment	8-115
8.7831	Constitutional limitations on duration	8-115
8.78311	May exceed length of maximum possible imprisonment for crime of which defendant was found not guilty by reason of insanity	8-115
8.78312	Cannot indefinitely continue commitment of insanity acquittee who no longer suffers from any mental illness, based on dangerousness alone (see sec. 10.512)	8-115
8.7832	Calculation of duration under state statute	8-115
8.78321	Maximum term of commitment must be based on consecutive terms	8-115
8.78322	Person found NGI of a misdemeanor is entitled to good time credit	8-115
8.7833	Trial court lacks authority to establish maximum period of commitment	8-115
8.784	Reexamination of those found not guilty by reason of insanity (see sec. 10.5)	8-115
8.785	When defendant subject to NGI commitment, any subsequent sentence imposed commences on date of imposition unless court orders stay of sentence	8-115
8.786	When defendant subject to NGI commitment, any subsequent sentence imposed cannot be made consecutive or concurrent to NGI commitment, but subsequent sentence may be stayed until discharge from NGI commitment	8-115
8.787	Appellate review of commitment decision	8-115
8.7871	Standard of review	8-115
8.79	Other Matters	8-115
8.791	Entry of insanity plea (see sec. 6.641)	8-115
8.792	Psychiatric examination of accused	8-116
8.7921	In general (see sec. 6.6421)	8-116
8.7922	Indigent's right to psychiatrist's assistance at state's expense (see sec. 6.6422)	8-116

8.7923	Trial court has discretion whether to appoint psychiatrist under Wis. Stat. § 971.16(1) (see sec. 6.6423)	8-116
8.7924	Public defender responsible for payment for expert services necessary for adequate insanity defense (see sec. 6.646)	8-116
8.7925	Other matters (see sec. 6.6426)	8-116
8.793	State's access to records and reports regarding defendant's mental condition	8-116
8.7931	Past psychiatric treatment records (see sec. 18.572)	8-116
8.7932	Defense psychiatrist's reports (see sec. 18.571)	8-116
8.794	Withdrawal of insanity plea (see sec. 6.643)	8-116
8.795	State's right to call defense psychiatrist (see sec. 18.58)	8-116
8.796	Review of denial of pretrial suppression motion when defendant found NGI (see sec. 7.422)	8-116
8.797	Review of trial errors during first phase when defendant found NGI	8-116
8.798	Other matters	8-116
8.7981	Reexamination of those found NGI (see sec. 10.5)	8-116
8.7982	Discretionary reversal, in interest of justice, of jury's rejection of insanity defense	8-116
8.7983	Application of criminal rules of procedure to insanity phase of trial in general	8-116
8.7984	Defendant's right to invoke Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination at insanity phase of bifurcated trial	8-116
8.7985	Defendant has no constitutional right to defense of insanity	8-116
8.7986	Effect of juror dissenting from verdict of guilty accepted at guilt stage of bifurcated trial after polling of jury that determined unanimity, when jury begins deliberations at sanity phase of trial (see sec. 8.68192)	8-117
8.799	Other matters (cont'd)	8-117
8.7991	Need for third phase at which determination made whether defendant is presently suffering from mental illness and in need of institutionalized treatment (see sec. 8.7811)	8-117
8.7992	Bifurcation of issues of guilt and sanity	8-117
8.79921	In general	8-117
8.79922	Constitutionality of bifurcated trial system	8-117
8.79923	History of bifurcation procedure	8-117
8.79924	Purpose of bifurcated trial system	8-117
8.79925	Bifurcated trial procedure does not shift burden of proof to defendant on intent	8-117
8.7993	Remedy for defects in insanity phase	8-117
8.79931	Need for retrial of entire case when error committed in insanity phase	8-117
8.79932	Need for retrial of entire case when trial court erroneously fails to conduct insanity phase	8-117
8.7994	Admissibility of psychiatric evidence regarding defendant's state of mind during guilt phase of bifurcated trial (see sec. 18.53)	8-117
8.7995	Waiver of fifth amendment privilege by raising insanity defense	8-118
8.7996	Nature of insanity phase of bifurcated trial in general	8-118
8.7997	Nature of insanity phase of bifurcated trial: civil or criminal?	8-118
8.7998	Public defender responsible for payment for expert services necessary for adequate insanity defense (see sec. 6.646)	8-118

8.7999	Effect of errors in guilt phase on outcome of insanity phase	8-118
8.8	Other Trial Matters	8-118
8.81	Defendant's Rights At Trial	8-118
8.811	Right to be present at all stages of trial	8-118
8.8111	In general	8-118
8.8112	Particular stages of trial	8-118
8.81121	Voir dire examination of potential jurors	8-119
8.81122	Hearing on competency of witness	8-119
8.81123	Judge's communication with jury or individual juror (e.g., judge's response to jury's question during deliberations): in general	8-119
8.81124	Judge's communication with jury or individual juror (e.g., judge's response to jury's question during deliberations): communication regarding questions of law	8-119
8.81125	Court's questioning prospective jurors whether they had hardship or infirmity reasons for not being able to serve as jurors	8-119
8.81126	Victim's testimony	8-119
8.81127	Jury view	8-120
8.81128	When court decides how to respond to question from jury; conference dealing with how to respond to question from jury	8-120
8.8113	Determining whether right can be waived	8-120
8.81131	Right to be present cannot be waived by voluntary absence except as expressly provided in Wis. Stat. § 971.04	8-120
8.81132	Right to be present at jury selection may not be waived	8-120
8.8114	Waiver of right by particular actions	8-120
8.81141	By refusal to participate in trial	8-120
8.81142	By failure to object: in general	8-120
8.81143	By failure to object: when defendant and/or counsel fail to object to court's communicating with jury in defendant's absence, alleged error is treated as direct challenge in appellate court, not as claim of ineffective assistance of counsel (see sec. 8.81174)	8-120
8.81144	By failure to appear for trial	8-120
8.81145	By absenting oneself from trial while jury is being selected	8-120
8.81146	By absenting oneself from trial after trial commences: in general	8-120
8.81147	By absenting oneself from trial after trial commences: when trial commences	8-120
8.81148	By disruptive conduct	8-120
8.81149	By explicitly waiving presence	8-121
8.8115	Applicability and application of harmless-error rule to denial of right	8-121
8.81151	Applicability in general	8-121

8.81152	Applicability when right to be present when judge responds to question posed by jurors during deliberations has been denied	8-121
8.81153	Applicability when right to be present at voir dire examination of potential jurors has been denied	8-121
8.81154	Application under particular set of circumstances	8-121
8.81155	Application when right to be present when judge responds to question posed by jurors during deliberations has been denied and there is absence of complete record of communication	8-121
8.81156	Applicability when defendant not present when court decides how to respond to question from jury during deliberations	8-121
8.8116	Appellate review of alleged denial of right	8-121
8.81161	Determining whether particular proceeding constitutes critical stage of trial at which defendant has constitutional right to be present is question of law subject to de novo review by appellate court	8-122
8.81162	Determining whether particular proceeding is within those at which defendant is granted statutory right to be present is question of law subject to de novo review by appellate court	8-122
8.8117	Other matters	8-122
8.81171	Need for interpreter for one who does not understand English (see sec. 30.971)	8-122
8.81172	Effects of defendant's abnormal physical or mental condition	8-122
8.81173	Right not denied or unconstitutionally burdened by permitting prosecutor in closing argument to call jury's attention to fact that defendant, who testified, had opportunity to hear all other witnesses testify and to tailor his own testimony accordingly	8-122
8.81174	When defendant and/or counsel fail to object to court's communicating with jury in defendant's absence, alleged error is treated as direct challenge in appellate court, not as claim of ineffective assistance of counsel	8-122
8.8118	Related matters	8-122
8.81181	Right to be present at other stages of criminal proceeding (see secs. 12.77 and 30.96)	8-122
8.812	Rights relating to jury	8-122
8.813	Rights relating to production and examination of witnesses (see sec. 20.31)	8-122
8.814	Right to effective representation of counsel	8-122
8.8141	In general (see sec. 25.2)	8-122
8.8142	No right to representation by non-attorney (see sec. 25.5952)	8-122
8.815	Right to self-representation (see sec. 30.4)	8-122
8.816	Right to interpreter (see sec. 30.971)	8-122
8.817	Rights relating to verdict (see sec. 8.68)	8-122
8.818	Right to public trial (see sec. 30.7)	8-123
8.819	Other rights	8-123

	<i>Page</i>
8.8191 Right not to be tried if incompetent (see sec. 6.631)	8-123
8.82 Trial Motions	8-123
8.821 Motions for continuance or adjournment	8-123
8.8211 General principles	8-123
8.82111 In general	8-123
8.82112 Test to be applied by trial court in addressing motion	8-123
8.82113 Test to be applied by appellate court in reviewing disposition of motion	8-123
8.82114 Waiver of motion by failure to directly make it; objection to evidence on grounds that objector will be unable to prepare and present response to evidence contains implicit request for continuance (see sec. 8.82141)	8-123
8.82115 Duty of prosecutor to exercise "reasonable diligence" in attempting to locate victims and witnesses before asking for adjournments	8-123
8.8212 Particular reasons and grounds for motion	8-123
8.82121 To obtain witness	8-123
8.82122 To obtain counsel	8-123
8.82123 To allow time for preparation	8-124
8.82124 To allow substitution of counsel	8-124
8.82125 To allow particular counsel to be present	8-124
8.82126 To meet "surprise" testimony	8-124
8.82127 To allow prejudice to subside (see sec. 30.231)	8-124
8.82128 Because defendant not in proper physical condition to go to trial	8-124
8.82129 To allow party to prepare for testimony of expert witness whose identity was disclosed shortly before trial was scheduled to begin	8-124
8.8213 Based on legislative privilege created in Wis. Stat. § 757.13: when witness, party, or attorney is member of Legislature, in session, that fact is sufficient cause for adjournment or continuance of action or proceeding	8-125
8.82131 Constitutionality of this privilege	8-125
8.82132 This privilege does not mandate that continuance or adjournment be ordered	8-125
8.8214 Waiver of motion	8-125
8.82141 By failure to directly make it; objection to evidence on grounds that objector will be unable to prepare and present response to evidence contains implicit request for continuance	8-125
8.8215 Other matters	8-125
8.82151 Court may grant party adjournment to prepare for testimony of witness whose identity was disclosed shortly before trial even if disclosure was timely under discovery rules	8-125
8.822 Motion for mistrial	8-125
8.8221 In general	8-125

8.8222	Need for litigant to seek, and trial court to consider, lesser alternatives (e.g., a continuance) when making or dealing with mistrial motion	8-126
8.8223	Because of jury deadlock (see sec. 8.675)	8-126
8.8224	Waiver of right to mistrial by failure to request mistrial	8-126
8.8225	When trial court required, if at all, to declare mistrial sua sponte	8-126
8.8226	Determining whether further proceedings are barred by double jeopardy clause when mistrial is granted (see sec. 29.41)	8-126
8.8227	Appellate review of denial of motion	8-126
8.823	Motion to dismiss at close of state's case	8-126
8.8231	Test for determining sufficiency of evidence	8-126
8.8232	Waiver of motion by presenting evidence	8-126
8.82321	In general	8-126
8.82322	Defendant does not waive motion by presenting evidence when trial court has reserved decision on motion to dismiss until end of case	8-127
8.8233	Appellate review of disposition of motion	8-127
8.82331	Standard of review: in general	8-127
8.82332	Scope of review: in general	8-127
8.82333	Scope of review: when, after denial of motion to dismiss, defendant presents evidence, appellate court considers entire record, including evidence introduced in defendant's case	8-127
8.824	Motion to dismiss at close of evidence	8-127
8.825	Motion to reopen testimony	8-127
8.826	Motion to substitute counsel (see sec. 6.951)	8-127
8.827	Motion for withdrawal of counsel (see sec. 6.952)	8-127
8.828	Motion to disqualify counsel	8-127
8.8281	To disqualify prosecutor (see sec. 6.954)	8-127
8.8282	To disqualify defense counsel (see sec. 25.52)	8-127
8.829	Motion in limine	8-127
8.8291	In general (see sec. 6.7831)	8-127
8.8292	Need to reraise issue or object at trial after motion in limine made and disposed of at pretrial hearing (see sec. 11.4984)	8-127
8.8293	Ruling on motion in limine is provisional, subject to necessary adjustments in light of evidence and legal arguments later presented during trial (see sec. 6.7835)	8-127
8.83	Defense Access To Witnesses	8-127
8.831	In general	8-128
8.832	Prosecutor's obligations relating to communication between prosecution witness and defense counsel	8-128
8.84	Conduct Of Judge During Trial	8-128
8.841	In general	8-128
8.842	Requirement of impartiality (see sec. 30.5)	8-128
8.843	Calling and/or questioning of witnesses	8-128
8.844	Comment on witnesses and evidence	8-128
8.8441	In general	8-128
8.8442	Statement, at end of colloquy with child-victim-witness designed to determine witness's competency to testify, that witness is credible	8-128

	<i>Page</i>
8.845	Comment on merit of party's position 8-128
8.846	Expressing approval of jury's verdict 8-128
8.847	Ex parte contact 8-128
8.8471	With witness 8-128
8.8472	With juror 8-128
8.8473	With counsel 8-129
8.848	Other conduct 8-129
8.8481	Reference to defendant as "the prisoner" 8-129
8.8482	Not required to sua sponte exclude inadmissible or prejudicial evidence 8-129
8.849	Other matters relating to conduct of judge during trial 8-129
8.8491	Failure to object to judicial misconduct during trial constitutes waiver of objection 8-129
8.85	Conduct Of Counsel During Trial 8-129
8.851	Conduct of prosecutor 8-129
8.852	Conduct of defense counsel 8-129
8.86	Conduct Of Jury During Trial 8-129
8.861	In general 8-129
8.862	Exposure to publicity 8-129
8.863	Sequestration 8-129
8.864	Contact with persons other than fellow jurors 8-129
8.8641	Contact with court (see sec. 8.8472) 8-129
8.8642	Contact with personnel in law enforcement agency that investigated case 8-129
8.8643	Contact with bailiff who is in charge of jury during trial (see sec. 8.8952) 8-129
8.865	Juror's failure to appear 8-129
8.866	Juror's demeanor (i.e., nonverbal expressions) during course of trial (see sec. 8.8962) 8-129
8.867	Problems relating to bailiff who has charge of jury during trial (see sec. 8.8952) 8-130
8.87	Defendant's Appearance At Trial 8-130
8.871	Shackling and other restraints (see sec. 22.541) 8-130
8.872	Clothing (see sec. 22.542) 8-130
8.873	Gagging (see sec. 22.543) 8-130
8.874	Accompaniment by uniformed security personnel (see secs. 8.8984 and 22.544) 8-130
8.88	Allocation Of Decision Making At Trial Between Counsel And Defendant 8-130
8.881	In general (see sec. 25.581) 8-130
8.882	Regarding waiver of constitutional rights (see sec. 25.582) 8-130
8.883	Regarding other matters (see secs. 25.583 and 25.584) 8-130
8.89	Other Matters 8-130
8.891	Necessity that trial proceedings be open to public 8-130
8.8911	Defendant's right to public trial (see sec. 30.7) 8-130
8.8912	Press's right of access to trial (see sec. 46.14) 8-130
8.8913	Closure of trial to public and press 8-130
8.89131	In general (see secs. 30.723 and 46.14) 8-130
8.89132	Closure of voir dire (see secs. 30.722 and 46.13) 8-130
8.892	Television, radio and photographic coverage of trial 8-130
8.8921	In general 8-130

8.8922	Defendant has right to removal of microphone from defense table	8-130
8.893	Record of proceedings; reporting of proceedings	8-130
8.8931	Need for	8-130
8.89311	In general	8-130
8.89312	Particular proceeding: communications between court and jury	8-131
8.89313	Particular proceeding: videotaped deposition or other testimony presented by videotape at trial in general	8-131
8.89314	Particular proceeding: audiovisual recordings in general	8-131
8.89315	Particular proceeding: audiovisual recording of statement of child received into evidence under Wis. Stat. § 908.08	8-131
8.89316	Particular proceedings: recordings made by undercover agents that are introduced into evidence and played for jury at trial	8-131
8.8932	Effect of loss of reporter's notes (see sec. 11.3322)	8-131
8.8933	Public defender's right to copy of transcript at public expense (see sec. 11.8924)	8-131
8.8934	Counsel's responsibility to request that matters of import be reported	8-131
8.8935	When particular proceeding is held off the record and results in ruling, appellate court will assume that off-the-record proceeding supports ruling	8-131
8.8936	Applicability of harmless error rule when court fails to preserve record of proceedings when required to do so	8-131
8.89361	In general	8-131
8.89362	When court fails to preserve required record of communications between court and jury	8-131
8.894	Spectator conduct	8-131
8.8941	In general	8-131
8.8942	Wearing button displaying victim's image	8-131
8.895	Other matters	8-132
8.8951	Continuance of trial (see sec. 8.821)	8-132
8.8952	Problems relating to bailiff who has charge of jury during trial	8-132
8.89521	In general	8-132
8.89522	When bailiff is witness for state	8-132
8.89523	When bailiff had contact with victim shortly after crime committed	8-132
8.89524	When bailiff was involved in investigation of crime	8-132
8.89525	When bailiff makes inappropriate comments to jurors	8-132
8.89526	When problems relating to bailiff require automatic reversal without harmless error analysis	8-132
8.89527	When problems relating to bailiff constitute harmless error	8-132
8.89528	When problems relating to bailiff warrant mistrial	8-132
8.896	Other matters (cont'd)	8-132
8.8961	Substitution, withdrawal, or disqualification of counsel (see sec. 6.95)	8-132

8.8962	Removal of juror during trial based on juror's demeanor (i.e., nonverbal expressions) during course of trial (see sec. 8.1944)	8-132
8.8963	Defense counsel's obligations in assessing and responding to defendant's possible perjurious testimony (see sec. 25.55)	8-132
8.8964	Off-the-record conferences and discussions	8-132
8.89641	Propriety of	8-132
8.89642	When off-the-record conference or discussion results in ruling, court will assume that it supports ruling (see sec. 8.8935)	8-132
8.8965	Trial to court	8-133
8.89651	Although there is some evidentiary leeway in trials to court, bench-trial judges may not use inadmissible evidence to decide "critical issue"	8-133
8.8966	Jury view	8-133
8.8967	Permitting magistrate judge to preside over trial in federal misdemeanor case	8-133
8.897	Other matters (cont'd)	8-133
8.8971	Competency of defendant to stand trial (see sec. 6.63)	8-133
8.8972	Use of interpreter at trial (see sec. 12.693)	8-133
8.8973	Effect of fact that juror was hearing impaired (see sec. 22.587)	8-133
8.8974	Effect of fact that juror was sleeping during testimony (see sec. 22.5892)	8-133
8.8975	Trial court's right to change its prior rulings (see sec. 47.864)	8-133
8.8976	Discharging juror during trial (see sec. 8.194)	8-133
8.8977	Single trial of multiple defendants before multiple juries	8-133
8.8978	Imposing monetary sanction on attorney for arriving late for trial (see sec. 12.7977)	8-133
8.8979	Juror's viewing of defendant in shackles or other restraints outside of courtroom (see sec. 22.5412)	8-133
8.898	Other matters (cont'd)	8-133
8.8981	Making offer of proof (see sec. 20.865)	8-133
8.8982	Courtroom demonstration or reenactment	8-133
8.8983	Order in which severed defendants are tried (see sec. 6.982)	8-133
8.8984	Deployment of uniformed law enforcement officers in courtroom	8-133
8.8985	Trial of defendant suffering from amnesia (see sec. 22.554)	8-134
8.8986	Appointment of standby counsel (see sec. 25.591)	8-134
8.8987	Conducting in-court lineup at trial (see secs. 20.3895 and 20.3896)	8-134
8.8988	Allowing defendant to sit somewhere other than at counsel table	8-134
8.8989	Respective roles of judge and jury at trial	8-134
8.899	Other matters (cont'd)	8-134
8.8991	Disqualifying district attorney from handling trial because he was witness at pretrial proceeding	8-134
8.8992	Amending charging document (see secs. 6.196 and 6.56)	8-134
8.8993	Propriety of trial to court on written stipulated facts	8-134
8.8994	Conducting in-court line-up at trial	8-134
8.8995	Conducting in-court voice line-up at trial	8-134
8.8996	Recusal or disqualification of judge (see sec. 6.971)	8-134
8.8997	Compelling defendant to take antipsychotic drug during trial	8-134

8.8998	When trial commences	8-134
8.8999	Defendant's removal of element from jury's consideration by admission of existence of element	8-134
8.89991	Removal of status element (e.g., prior convictions, etc., under Wis. Stat. § 343.307(1), that subject defendant to lower prohibited blood alcohol concentration in drunk driving prosecution) by defendant's admission of element (see sec. 26.4961)	8-135
8.89992	Cannot remove element from jury's consideration when fairness requires jury's consideration of element (e.g., when element necessary to fulfill jurors' expectations) (see sec. 26.4962)	8-135

Chapter 9 Disposition

9.1	The Sentencing Process	9-1
9.11	General Principles	9-1
9.111	In general	9-1
9.112	Sentencing proceedings not conducted with formality of trials	9-1
9.12	Presentence Investigation And Report	9-1
9.121	Nature and purpose of presentence investigation and report	9-1
9.122	Presentence investigator's interview with defendant	9-1
9.1221	In general	9-1
9.1222	No need for attorney's presence (see sec. 25.162)	9-1
9.1223	No need for Miranda warnings (see sec. 2.1182)	9-1
9.1224	No requirement that defendant participate in interview or otherwise cooperate with presentence investigator (see sec. 9.1295)	9-1
9.1225	Other matters	9-1
9.123	Presentence report	9-1
9.1231	Constitutionality of use of presentence report	9-1
9.12311	In general	9-1
9.12312	Determining when inaccuracies or defects in report violate due process	9-1
9.12313	Defendant not denied due process because probation officer who prepared presentence report testified against him at trial or participated in defendant's arrest (see sec. 9.1292)	9-2
9.1232	Use of in general	9-2
9.1233	Defendant's rights in relation to presentence report	9-2
9.12331	Right of access to presentence report (see sec. 9.192)	9-2
9.12332	Right to challenge statements and correct errors in presentence report	9-2
9.12333	Right to file his or her own presentence memorandum (see sec. 9.1391)	9-2
9.12334	Right to effective assistance of counsel (see secs. 25.384 and 25.386)	9-2
9.1234	Confidentiality of report	9-2

9.12341	In general	9-2
9.12342	Court may permit use of report in Ch. 980 (sexual predator) commitment proceeding (see sec. 10.7841)	9-2
9.12343	Propriety of "retroactive authorization" for release and use of report	9-2
9.12344	Defendant's right of access to report (see sec. 9.192)	9-2
9.1235	Effect of sentencing recommendation in report on trial court's exercise of sentencing discretion; trial court's deviation from recommendation in report	9-2
9.12351	Court not bound by recommendation in presentence report	9-2
9.12352	Is sentencing court required to explain reasons for deviating from recommendation of presentence report?	9-3
9.12353	Court not required to give any deference to recommendation	9-3
9.1236	Requirement that presentence report be accurate, reliable, and objective	9-3
9.12361	In general	9-3
9.12362	Determining when inaccuracies or defects in report violate due process (see sec. 9.12312)	9-3
9.12363	Defendant's right to evidentiary hearing when he wishes to contest factual matters set forth in presentence report (see sec. 9.1291)	9-3
9.12364	Defendant's waiver of objection to trial court's consideration of inaccurate information (see sec. 9.128)	9-3
9.12365	Defendant has right to challenge any statement in PSI that he or she believes is inaccurate or incomplete (see sec. 9.1399)	9-3
9.12366	When defendant entitled to new presentence report and new sentencing because of inaccuracies in presentence report	9-3
9.12367	When defendant, in postconviction motion attacking sentence, contends that presentence report contained inaccurate information and submits confidential, sealed juvenile records to support that contention, trial court must review those records in camera before denying motion (see sec. 9.2394)	9-4
9.1237	Requirement that presentence report for person under 21 years of age include juvenile adjudications that are less than three years old	9-4
9.12371	Does not prohibit inclusion of juvenile adjudications that are more than three years old	9-4
9.12372	Does not prohibit trial court's consideration of juvenile adjudications that are more than three years old	9-4
9.1238	Trial court's authority to correct presentence report (e.g., by striking inaccurate information)	9-4
9.12381	In general	9-4
9.12382	When correction solely related to Department of Corrections' use of report	9-4
9.1239	Other matters	9-4

9.12391	Trial court not required to order presentence report; decision to order one is discretionary	9-4
9.12392	Recommendation made by presentence investigator not subject to appellate review (see sec. 9.9522)	9-4
9.12393	Presentence report may legitimately contain information about defendant's religious beliefs and practices	9-4
9.12394	Preparation of presentence report not constitutionally required nor legislatively mandated	9-4
9.12395	Ineffective assistance of counsel in relation to presentence report (see secs. 25.384 and 25.386)	9-5
9.12396	Effect of inaccuracies in presentence report (see secs. 9.12312, 9.1236, and 9.23)	9-5
9.12397	Propriety of expert relying on presentence report in rendering opinion	9-5
9.12398	Extent to which court will rely on presentence report is discretionary	9-5
9.12399	Attorney general's review of report and disclosure of its contents when responding to challenge to sentence on appeal (see sec. 9.958)	9-5
9.124	Attributes of presentence investigator	9-5
9.1241	Presentence investigator is not agent of state, but acts exclusively on behalf of judiciary	9-5
9.1242	Requirement that presentence investigator be neutral and independent	9-5
9.12421	In general	9-5
9.12422	Bias against defendant's sexual preference	9-5
9.12423	Bias implied as matter of law when presentence investigator married to prosecutor	9-5
9.12424	Presentence investigation must be insulated from plea bargain	9-6
9.12425	Bias not present when presentence investigator married to another probation agent and two agents together were responsible for defendant's supervision	9-6
9.125	Requirement that expert (e.g., mental health professional) who conducts psychological assessment of defendant and prepares report as part of presentence investigation be neutral and independent; expert's report must be accurate, reliable and objective	9-6
9.1251	In general	9-6
9.1252	Expert who also treated defendant's victim has conflict of interest that invalidates reliance on his or her report	9-6
9.126	Admissibility of statements made by defendant to presentence investigator at proceedings other than sentencing	9-6
9.1261	Are not admissible at trial on issue of guilt of offense to which those statements relate	9-6
9.1262	Are not admissible at trial for perjury based on inconsistency between those statements and trial testimony in case in which those statements were made	9-6
9.1263	Are admissible at trial on issue of responsibility	9-6

9.1264	Admissibility of statements made to preparer of defendant's presentence report or memorandum (see sec. 9.19922)	9-6
9.127	When facts stated in presentence report are not challenged or disputed by defendant at time of sentencing, sentencing judge may appropriately consider them (see sec. 9.236)	9-6
9.128	Defendant waives objection to, and circuit court does not abuse its discretion in relying on, incorrect information in presentence report when defendant does not point out incorrect information at time of sentencing	9-6
9.129	Other matters	9-7
9.1291	Defendant's right to evidentiary hearing to contest factual matters set forth in presentence report	9-7
9.1292	Defendant not denied due process because probation officer who prepared presentence report testified against him at trial or participated in defendant's arrest	9-7
9.1293	It is improper for prosecutor to attempt to influence presentence investigator and/or his or her recommendation	9-7
9.1294	Plea agreement must not involve any persons conducting presentence investigation for court (see sec. 7.145)	9-7
9.1295	No requirement that defendant participate in interview or otherwise cooperate with presentence investigator	9-7
9.1296	Means of securing defendant's cooperation with presentence investigator	9-7
9.13	Defendant's Rights At Sentencing	9-7
9.131	In general	9-7
9.132	Right to be present	9-7
9.1321	In general	9-7
9.1322	Cannot be waived by voluntary absence from hearing	9-7
9.1323	Statutory right to be present violated by use of closed-circuit television	9-8
9.1324	Constitutional right to be present not necessarily violated by use of closed-circuit television	9-8
9.1325	Statutory right to be present at sentencing does not necessarily encompass right to be present when circuit court corrects clerical error in sentence portion of judgment of conviction	9-8
9.1326	Includes right to be present at resentencing designed to correct error in original sentence	9-8
9.1327	Consistency with right of court's prohibition on defendant looking at victim during her sentencing statement	9-8
9.1328	Denial of right is subject to harmless error analysis	9-8
9.13281	Applicability of harmless-error rule to denial of right	9-8
9.13282	Situations in which denial of right was harmless	9-8
9.133	Right of access to presentence report (see sec. 9.192)	9-8
9.134	Right to allocution	9-8
9.1341	In general	9-8
9.1342	Nature and source of right; is right constitutional or merely statutory?	9-8
9.1343	Constitutional right of allocution not necessarily violated by use of closed-circuit television	9-9
9.1344	Application of harmless error rule to denial of right	9-9

9.1345	Denial of right is harmless error when court has no discretion as to sentence to be imposed under circumstances presented	9-9
9.1346	Defendant has right to allocate before court pronounces sentence	9-9
9.1347	Defendant has right to allocate before court pronounces sentence	9-9
9.135	Right to be sentenced on the basis of true and correct information (see sec. 9.23)	9-9
9.136	Right to due process	9-9
9.137	Rights relating to counsel	9-9
9.1371	Right to counsel (see sec. 25.16)	9-9
9.1372	Right to effective assistance of counsel	9-9
9.138	Right to fair and impartial judge	9-9
9.139	Other rights	9-9
9.1391	Right to file own presentence report or memorandum	9-9
9.1392	Right to speedy sentencing (see sec. 30.176)	9-9
9.1393	Privilege against self-incrimination	9-9
9.1394	Right to present testimony	9-9
9.1395	Right to rebut evidence admitted by sentencing court	9-9
9.1396	No right to cross-examination (see sec. 9.144)	9-10
9.1397	No right to confrontation	9-10
9.1398	Constitutional right to have relevant and material factors which influenced sentencing explained on record by sentencing court (see sec. 9.225)	9-10
9.1399	Right to challenge any statement in PSI that he or she believes is inaccurate or incomplete	9-10
9.14	Admission Of Evidence At Sentencing Proceeding	9-10
9.141	Rules of evidence not applicable	9-10
9.1411	In general	9-10
9.1412	Rule regarding judicial notice not applicable at sentencing	9-10
9.142	Hearsay rule not applicable	9-10
9.143	Exclusionary rule not applicable to sentencing proceeding (see sec. 9.194)	9-10
9.144	Defendant has no right to cross-examine those presenting evidence at sentencing proceeding	9-10
9.145	Trial court's discretion to set bounds on presentation of evidence in general	9-10
9.146	Limitations on evidence relating to circumstances of crime to which defendant has pled guilty	9-11
9.147	Information upon which trial court bases sentencing decision need not be established beyond a reasonable doubt	9-11
9.148	Witnesses need not be sworn	9-11
9.15	Victim Impact Statement	9-11
9.151	Propriety of use of	9-11
9.1511	In general	9-11
9.1512	At capital sentencing proceeding (see sec. 9.5292)	9-11
9.152	Other matters	9-11
9.16	Sentencing Guidelines (State)	9-11
9.161	Under indeterminate sentencing	9-11
9.1611	Promulgation of	9-11
9.1612	Constitutionality of	9-11
9.1613	Use of, and need to follow, in general	9-11

	<i>Page</i>
9.1614 Requirement that trial court take guidelines into consideration	9-11
9.16141 In general	9-11
9.16142 How sentencing court fulfills this requirement	9-12
9.16143 Is defendant entitled to appellate review of failure to take guidelines into consideration?	9-12
9.1615 Requirement that trial court explain deviation from guidelines	9-12
9.16151 In general	9-12
9.16152 Appropriateness of appellate review of failure to explain deviation from guidelines	9-12
9.1616 Statutory bar to appellate review of court's failure to follow guidelines	9-12
9.16161 In general	9-12
9.16162 Does statutory bar extend to failure to take guidelines into consideration? (see sec. 9.16142)	9-13
9.1617 Other matters	9-13
9.16171 Application of sentencing guidelines that have been increased since crime committed violates ex post facto law prohibition (see sec. 30.9231)	9-13
9.16172 Fact that guidelines indicate probation as appropriate disposition does not bar sentence to intensive sanctions	9-13
9.16173 For purposes of guideline score sheets, prior conviction occurs at time of adjudication of guilt, not at time of sentencing and entry of judgment of conviction	9-13
9.162 Under determinate sentencing (i.e., truth-in-sentencing)	9-13
9.1621 Requirement that trial court take guidelines into consideration	9-13
9.16211 In general	9-13
9.16212 How sentencing court fulfills this requirement	9-13
9.16213 Is defendant entitled to appellate review of failure to take guidelines into consideration?	9-13
9.16214 Harmless error rule is applicable to failure to take guidelines into consideration	9-13
9.16215 Determining whether failure to take guidelines into consideration was harmless in particular case	9-14
9.1622 Statutory bar to appellate review of court's failure to follow guidelines	9-14
9.16221 In general	9-14
9.16222 Does statutory bar extend to failure to take guidelines into consideration? (see sec. 9.16212)	9-14
9.1623 Aggravating factor identified by guidelines as basis for imposing harsher sentence need not be proved beyond a reasonable doubt	9-14
9.1624 Need to explain departure from guidelines	9-14
9.17 Sentencing Guidelines (Federal)	9-14
9.171 Constitutionality of guidelines and their application	9-14
9.1711 Constitutionality of guidelines in general	9-14
9.1712 Constitutionality of enhancing sentence because defendant committed perjury at trial	9-14
9.1713 Guidelines do not violate separation of powers doctrine	9-14

9.1714	Guidelines' mandate that particular aggravating circumstance requires imposition of greater sentence, but not requiring aggravating circumstance to be proved to jury beyond a reasonable doubt, is unconstitutional	9-14
9.172	Determining meaning of guidelines	9-14
9.1721	Guidelines Manual's commentary which interprets or explains guideline is authoritative unless it violates constitution or federal statute, or is inconsistent with, or plainly erroneous reading of, that guideline	9-14
9.1722	Supreme court deference to sentencing commission as primary arbiter of meaning of sentencing guidelines	9-14
9.173	Operation and application in general	9-15
9.174	In applying guidelines, court may consider conduct underlying charges of which defendant acquitted	9-15
9.175	For purposes of statutory directive that sentencing guidelines specify prison sentence "at or near the maximum term authorized for categories of" adult offenders who commit their third felony drug offenses or violent crime, "maximum term authorized" must be read to include all applicable statutory sentencing enhancements	9-15
9.176	Propriety of particular departures from guidelines	9-15
9.1761	Pre-Booker	9-15
9.1762	Post-Booker	9-15
9.177	Departure from guidelines when government files motion for lesser sentence to reflect defendant's substantial assistance in investigation or prosecution of another person	9-15
9.1771	Defendant's right to challenge government's failure to file motion	9-15
9.1772	Government motion attesting to "substantial assistance" and requesting departure below minimum applicable sentencing guideline does not also authorize court to depart below lower statutory minimum sentence (see sec. 9.46322)	9-15
9.178	Appellate review of matters relating to application of guidelines	9-15
9.1781	Review of Trial court's determination whether offender's prior convictions were consolidated, hence "related," for purposes of sentencing	9-15
9.1782	Review of trial court's departure from guidelines when guidelines were deemed mandatory before Booker decision	9-15
9.17821	In general	9-15
9.17822	Standard of review is abuse of discretion, not de novo	9-15
9.1783	Standard of review is abuse of discretion	9-16
9.17831	In general	9-16
9.17832	Abuse of discretion standard applies whether sentence is inside, just outside, or significantly outside guidelines range	9-16
9.1784	Court of appeals' use of presumptions in reviewing sentence	9-16
9.17841	Court of appeals may apply presumption that sentence within guidelines is reasonable	9-16
9.17842	Court of appeals may not apply presumption that sentence outside of guidelines is unreasonable	9-16
9.179	Other matters relating to federal guidelines	9-16

9.1791	Guidelines provision allowing court to apply guideline most applicable to stipulated offense in case of conviction by guilty plea containing stipulation that specifically establishes offense	9-16
9.1792	Rule, originally announced in Supreme Court decision and then codified in Rule 32(h), Fed. R. Crim. Proc., that district court must give parties reasonable notice that it is contemplating upward departure from guidelines based on ground not identified either in the presentence report or in a prehearing submission by the government	9-16
9.17921	In general	9-16
9.17922	Rule does not apply to post-Booker variance from recommended guidelines range	9-16
9.1793	Judge, not jury, determines nature of defendant's conduct for purpose of applying guidelines	9-16
9.1794	For purposes of guidelines, "controlled substance offense" does not include simple possession of controlled substance	9-16
9.1795	In sentencing, federal district court does not enjoy benefit of legal presumption that guidelines sentence should apply; district court may not presume that guidelines range is reasonable	9-16
9.1796	In sentencing for offenses relating to crack cocaine, court may impose sentence based on disagreement with sentencing guidelines disparity (i.e., 100:1 ratio) for crack and powder cocaine offenses; guidelines' crack/powder disparity is not effectively mandatory	9-17
9.17961	In general	9-17
9.17962	Sentencing court may substitute ratio it believes is appropriate (e.g., 20:1 ratio)	9-17
9.18	What Defendant Must Be Told At Sentencing	9-17
9.181	No need to inform defendant, upon accepting negotiated plea of guilty but before sentencing, whether court intends to reject sentence recommendation, and if so, to permit defendant to withdraw plea (see sec. 7.21984)	9-17
9.182	Requirement that defendant be notified of appeal rights	9-17
9.183	That, as a felon, the defendant cannot legally possess a firearm	9-17
9.1831	Providing this information is not element of offense of felon in possession of firearm	9-17
9.184	Federal requirement that defendant be informed of right to appeal	9-17
9.1841	Violation of requirement does not provide grounds for relief on collateral attack if defendant in fact knows of right to appeal	9-17
9.19	Other Matters	9-17
9.191	Necessity of entry of judgment of conviction before sentencing	9-17
9.192	Defendant's right of access to presentence report	9-17
9.1921	In general	9-17
9.1922	Federal defendant's right to obtain federal presentence report under Freedom of Information Act	9-18
9.1923	Need for timely objection at sentencing hearing to denial of access to presentence report	9-18
9.1924	Public defender's right to be provided presentence report as part of postconviction proceedings	9-18

9.1925	By making post-sentencing demand for copy of presentence report under state open records law	9-18
9.1926	For purpose of responding to no-merit report filed by defendant's appellate counsel	9-18
9.193	"Read-ins" and the "read-in" procedure	9-18
9.1931	In general	9-18
9.1932	No need for personal admission of read-in by defendant before restitution may be ordered on read-in	9-18
9.1933	Agreeing to have charges read in does not provide basis for invocation of doctrine of issue preclusion	9-18
9.1934	Cannot impose requirement of registration as sex offender based on "read in" offense	9-18
9.1935	Does defendant need to understand "read in" procedure to enter knowing, intelligent, and voluntary plea? (see sec. 10.4458)	9-19
9.1936	Defendant's admission of guilt of read-in charge is not critical component of read-in procedure	9-19
9.1937	Defendant's agreement to have charge read in is not deemed admission of guilt of that charge	9-19
9.1938	Read-in offenses are not prior convictions and cannot otherwise be treated as adjudications of guilt	9-19
9.1939	Other matters	9-19
	9.19391 Read-ins cannot be used as basis for invoking repeater statute (see sec. 31.6232)	9-19
9.194	Exclusionary rule not applicable at sentencing proceeding	9-19
9.195	No right to jury trial on sentencing factors	9-19
9.196	Burden and standard of proof on sentencing information and factual findings which impact on sentencing	9-19
9.1961	No formal burden of proof requirement	9-19
9.1962	Information on which trial court bases sentencing decision need not be proved beyond a reasonable doubt	9-19
9.1963	Application of preponderance-of-evidence standard at sentencing generally satisfies due process	9-19
9.197	Disqualification of judge at sentencing	9-19
9.1971	In general	9-19
9.1972	Particular bases for disqualification	9-19
	9.19721 Judge's permitting juror to speak during sentencing	9-19
9.198	Who may appear and make statements at sentencing; limitations on content of statements	9-19
9.1981	Who may appear and make statements at sentencing	9-19
	9.19811 In general	9-19
	9.19812 Defendant (see sec. 9.132)	9-20
	9.19813 Victim	9-20
	9.19814 Defendant's family and friends	9-20
	9.19815 Juror	9-20
	9.19816 Victim's family	9-20
9.1982	Limitations on content of statements	9-20
	9.19821 Must be relevant to sentencing	9-20
9.199	Other and related matters	9-20
9.1991	Prosecutor's obligation to comply with plea agreement at sentencing proceeding (see sec. 7.153)	9-20

	<i>Page</i>
9.1992 Defendant's presentence report or memorandum	9-20
9.19921 Defendant's right to file (see sec. 9.1391)	9-20
9.19922 Admissibility of defendant's statements to preparer of presentence report or memorandum at trial of case in which those statements were made	9-20
9.19923 Admissibility of defendant's statements to preparer of presentence report or memorandum at later trial for perjury based on inconsistency between trial those statements and trial testimony in case in which those statements were made	9-20
9.1993 Information on which trial court bases sentencing decision need not be proved beyond a reasonable doubt	9-20
9.1994 Permitting juror to address court during sentencing	9-20
9.1995 Determining when defects in sentencing process violate due process	9-20
9.1996 Victim's right to provide statements concerning sentencing and to address court at sentencing	9-21
9.1997 Determination whether defendant is eligible for earned release program (ERP)	9-21
9.19971 In general	9-21
9.19972 Court has discretion whether or not to declare defendant eligible for program	9-21
9.19973 Court has discretion to decide when defendant will be eligible for program; court may establish waiting period before defendant is eligible for program	9-21
9.19974 Need for, and sufficiency of, on-the-record explanation of exercise of discretion to deny eligibility for program: in general	9-21
9.19975 Need for, and sufficiency of, on-the-record explanation of exercise of discretion to deny eligibility for program: court not required to provide completely separate explanation for eligibility decision, so long as overall sentencing rationale also justifies eligibility determination	9-21
9.19976 Factors court should consider in making determination: appellate court refuses to identify factors other than those already recognized as appropriate sentencing factors	9-21
9.19977 Appellate review of trial court's determination of eligibility for program	9-21
9.19978 Court at reconfinement hearing after revocation of supervised release has no authority to determine revoked supervisee's eligibility for ERP (see sec. 41.7441)	9-21
9.1998 Determination whether defendant is eligible for challenge incarceration ("boot camp") program (CIP)	9-21
9.19981 In general	9-21
9.19982 Court has discretion whether or not to declare defendant eligible for program	9-22

9.19983 Court has discretion to decide when defendant will be eligible for program; court may establish waiting period before defendant is eligible for program 9-22

9.19984 Court at reconfinement hearing after revocation of supervised release has no authority to determine revoked supervisee’s eligibility for CIP (see sec. 41.7441) 9-22

9.19985 Matters relating to CIP other than determination of eligibility for program (see sec. 9.4978) 9-22

9.19986 Court's eligibility determination does not place defendant into CIP; it is but one criteria considered by DOC, which makes decision whether defendant should participate in program 9-22

9.1999 Other and related matters 9-22

9.19991 Determinate sentencing; imposition of bifurcated sentence of imprisonment and extended supervision (see sec. 9.41) 9-22

9.19992 Trial court not bound by prosecutor's recommendation in determining sentence 9-22

9.19993 Information upon which trial court bases sentencing decision need not be established beyond a reasonable doubt (see sec. 9.147) 9-22

9.19994 Court's obligation to ask prosecutor if he or she has consulted with victim and has given notice of sentencing 9-22

9.19995 Right of court to order drug testing of convicted defendant prior to sentencing 9-22

9.19996 Defendant has no right of access to notes prepared by trial court for sentencing (see sec. 9.9583) 9-23

9.19997 Aggravating factor identified by trial court as basis for imposing harsher sentence does not need to be proved beyond a reasonable doubt (see sec. 30.317) 9-23

9.19998 Deferred prosecution programs (see sec. 12.81) 9-23

9.19999 Sentencing upon revocation of extended supervision (see sec. 41.74) 9-23

9.2 Exercise Of Sentencing Discretion 9-23

9.21 General Principles 9-23

9.211 In general 9-23

9.212 Discretion cannot be exercised based on preconceived, inflexible view or policy (i.e., mechanistic approach) with respect to sentence 9-26

9.213 Sentencing court should have access to and consider all information that might aid in rendering appropriate sentence 9-26

9.2131 In general 9-26

9.2132 Denial of access to information pursuant to plea or other agreement or otherwise is contrary to public policy 9-27

9.214 Sentencing court not required to specifically address on record each of the factors that have been recognized as appropriate sentencing factors; court may limit its discussion to only those factors it believes are relevant 9-27

9.215	Sentencing court not required to take ABA guidelines into consideration when imposing sentence	9-27
9.216	Matters that do not bind trial court's exercise of sentencing discretion	9-27
9.2161	Trial court not bound by plea agreement (see sec. 7.192)	9-27
9.2162	Trial court not bound by recommendation in presentence report (see sec. 9.12351)	9-27
9.217	Other matters	9-27
9.2171	When discretion is exercised based on clearly irrelevant or improper factor, there is erroneous exercise of discretion, entitling defendant to resentencing	9-27
9.2172	Principles governing exercise of discretion in sentencing upon revocation of extended supervision (see sec. 41.741)	9-28
9.2173	Weight to be given to particular sentencing factors lies within trial court's discretion (see sec. 9.261)	9-28
9.2174	Required exercise of discretion relating to imposition of DNA surcharge (see sec. 9.49845)	9-28
9.218	Other matters (cont'd)	9-28
9.2181	Sentencing while trial judge is in middle of recall effort that was based on allegations that judge was too lenient in sentencing (see sec. 9.2887)	9-28
9.2182	Requirement that court impose "minimum amount of custody" consistent with appropriate sentencing factors	9-28
9.2183	Requirement that court first consider and reject probation	9-28
9.2184	Requirement that court explain how each component of bifurcated sentence advances objectives it has specified (see sec. 9.21883)	9-28
9.2185	No requirement that court explain with detailed specificity why it chose precise sentence imposed or why it chose sentence imposed over shorter sentence, e.g., why it chose sentence of 20 years rather than 15 years	9-28
9.2186	Whether particular factor or characteristic relating to defendant will be deemed either mitigating or aggravating circumstance depends on particular defendant and particular case	9-29
9.2187	Court not required to look into future; only required to consider facts that are of record or are reasonably derived by inference from record	9-29
9.2188	Requirements governing court's exercise of sentencing discretion under truth-in-sentencing that are imposed, and not imposed, by Gallion decision	9-29
9.21881	In general	9-29
9.21882	Gallion revitalizes sentencing jurisprudence, but does not make any momentous changes in it (see sec. 9.91133)	9-29
9.21883	Gallion applies only to "future cases" (see sec. 9.91134)	9-29
9.21884	Requirement that sentencing court explain how sentence's components promote sentencing objectives	9-29
9.21885	Court not required to explain with specificity comparative weight assigned to each sentencing factor and exactly how factors translated into specific number of years	9-29

9.21886	Court must provide explanation for general range of sentence imposed, not for precise number of years chosen, and it need not explain why it did not impose lesser sentence (see sec. 9.2185)	9-29
9.21887	Principles governing trial court's exercise of sentencing discretion have not changed with advent of truth-in-sentencing: greater specification of reasons for sentence imposed not required, but there is greater need to articulate on record reasons for particular sentence imposed, and appellate courts must more closely scrutinize record to ensure that discretion was exercised and that basis of that exercise of discretion is set forth (see sec. 9.2196)	9-30
9.21888	Requirement that court first consider and reject probation (see sec. 9.2183)	9-30
9.2189	Aggravating factor identified by trial court as basis for imposing harsher sentence does not need to be proved beyond a reasonable doubt (see sec. 30.317)	9-30
9.219	Other matters (cont'd)	9-30
9.2191	Sentencing judge may use all of the information it possesses in imposing sentence	9-30
9.2192	When same judge, who presided over original sentencing, also presides at sentencing after revocation of probation, judge does not have to restate reasons supporting original sentencing; original sentencing reasons will be deemed to be implicitly adopted; appellate court will review two sentencing proceedings on global basis, treating sentencing after revocation as "continuum" of original sentencing	9-30
9.2193	When different judges preside at original sentencing and at sentencing after revocation of probation, judge presiding over sentencing after revocation of probation should familiarize himself with trial testimony, original sentencing judge's sentencing comments, and presentence report prepared for original sentencing	9-30
9.2194	Imposing sentence that is so long that it is "meaningless"	9-31
9.2195	Need for trial court to explain deviation from sentencing recommendations	9-31
9.21951	Recommendation of presentence report (see sec. 9.12352)	9-31
9.21952	Recommendation of prosecutor made pursuant to plea bargain (see sec. 7.21991)	9-31
9.2196	Principles governing trial court's exercise of sentencing discretion have not changed with advent of truth-in-sentencing; greater specification of reasons for sentence imposed not required; but there is greater need to articulate on record reasons for particular sentence imposed and appellate courts are required to more closely scrutinize record to ensure that discretion was exercised and that basis of that exercise of discretion is set forth	9-31
9.2197	Comparative information on sentencing practices, or sentencing guidelines, are not required in order to impose valid sentence	9-31

9.2198	Propriety of contrasting victim's good character with defendant's bad character	9-31
9.2199	Objectives of sentencing	9-31
9.22	Explanation For Sentence (i.e., Reasons For Sentence) Must Be Set Forth In Record	9-31
9.221	In general	9-31
9.222	Sentencing court not required to specifically address on record each of the factors that have been recognized as appropriate sentencing factors, only those it believes are relevant (see sec. 9.214)	9-32
9.223	When same judge presides at sentencing after revocation and original sentencing, judge does not have to restate reasons supporting original sentencing; original sentencing reasons will be deemed to be implicitly adopted (see sec. 9.2192)	9-33
9.224	Appellate court's duty to search record to determine whether sentence can be sustained as proper discretionary act when trial court has failed to adequately set forth reasons for sentence imposed	9-33
9.2241	In general	9-33
9.2242	Duty should not be interpreted as creating opportunity for trial court to stuff record with post-sentencing rationalizations for sentence that were absent from original sentencing decision	9-33
9.2243	Under Gallion, appellate court is not permitted to engage in "implied reasoning" by sentencing court when it reviews sentence	9-33
9.225	Defendant has constitutional right to have relevant and material factors which influenced sentencing explained on record by sentencing court	9-33
9.226	When sentence not adequately explained at time of sentencing, may trial court cure defect by explaining reasons for sentence in response to post-sentencing motion challenging sentence?	9-33
9.227	Trial court not required to explain deviation from recommendations of presentence report or prosecutor (see secs. 7.21991 and 9.12352)	9-33
9.228	Need to explain why consecutive sentence imposed (see sec. 9.4222)	9-33
9.229	Other matters	9-33
9.2291	Court must provide explanation for general range of sentence imposed, not for precise number of years chosen, and court need not explain why it did not impose lesser sentence (see sec. 9.2185)	9-33
9.2292	In federal courts	9-33
9.2293	Requirement that explanation for imposition of DNA surcharge be set forth in record (see sec. 9.49845)	9-33
9.23	Must Be Based On Correct Information	9-34
9.231	In general	9-34
9.232	Defendant asking for resentencing based on court's alleged reliance on inaccurate information must show: (1) that information was inaccurate; (2) that trial court actually relied on it	9-35
9.2321	In general	9-35
9.2322	Standard of proof by which defendant must make required showing: must defendant establish both prongs by clear and convincing evidence?	9-35
9.2323	Postconviction court's assertion of non-reliance on allegedly inaccurate sentencing information is not dispositive	9-35

9.2324	Defendant is only required to show actual reliance, not prejudicial reliance	9-35
9.233	Once defendant establishes two prongs, burden shifts to state to show error was harmless	9-35
9.2331	In general	9-35
9.2332	When inaccurate information in presentence report constitutes harmless error	9-35
9.234	Defendant waives objection to, and circuit court does not abuse its discretion in relying on, incorrect information when defendant does not point out incorrect information at time of sentencing	9-36
9.2341	In general	9-36
9.2342	Application of this rule to incorrect information in presentence report (see secs. 9.128 and 9.236)	9-36
9.2343	When court of appeals will ignore waiver	9-36
9.235	Determining when inaccuracies and defects in presentence report violate due process (see sec. 9.12312)	9-36
9.236	When facts stated in presentence report are not challenged or disputed by defendant at time of sentencing, sentencing judge may appropriately consider them	9-36
9.237	Effect of court's mistaken beliefs regarding defendant's prior convictions	9-36
9.2371	Mistaken belief regarding number of convictions, i.e., belief that defendant had more convictions than he actually had	9-36
9.23711	In general	9-36
9.23712	When defendant does not dispute underlying conduct that judge mistakenly believed had resulted in convictions	9-36
9.2372	Mistaken belief that all prior convictions were valid	9-36
9.23721	In general	9-36
9.23722	Where prior invalid convictions resulted in long prison sentence under harsh conditions	9-36
9.238	Appellate review of question whether defendant has been denied right to be sentenced based on correct information	9-37
9.2381	Standard of review	9-37
9.239	Other matters	9-37
9.2391	Competency or mental responsibility examination considered by court at sentencing is not inaccurate simply because it contains information regarding future dangerousness that defendant contends was beyond scope of examination	9-37
9.2392	When trial counsel renders ineffective assistance of counsel because of failure to object to inaccuracies or other defects in presentence report (see sec. 25.384)	9-37
9.2393	When same judge presides at sentencing and postconviction hearing, and determines that sentence would be the same with corrected information, has defendant received sufficient resentencing remedy for reliance on incorrect information at sentencing?	9-37

9.2394	When defendant, in postconviction motion attacking sentence, contends that presentence report contained inaccurate information and submits confidential, sealed juvenile records to support that contention, trial court must review those records in camera before denying motion	9-37
9.2395	Need to give defendant advance notice of matters that will be considered in sentencing so he will have opportunity to rebut false information	9-37
9.2396	When defendant's postconviction motion challenging sentence on grounds court relied on inaccurate information is sufficient to warrant evidentiary hearing	9-37
9.2397	Trial court's mistaken belief regarding when defendant would likely be paroled does not constitute inaccurate information entitling defendant to resentencing	9-37
9.24	Proper Factors To Consider	9-38
9.241	In general	9-38
9.242	Remorse, repentance, taking responsibility for actions, and cooperativeness	9-41
9.2421	Existence of	9-41
9.2422	Lack of	9-41
9.243	Defendant's criminal conduct	9-41
9.2431	In general	9-41
9.2432	Uncharged offenses	9-41
9.2433	Pending and other criminal charges	9-42
9.2434	Unproven offenses	9-42
9.2435	Prior criminal convictions (see sec. 9.254)	9-42
9.2436	Charged offenses of which the defendant was acquitted	9-43
9.2437	Read-ins	9-43
9.2438	Juvenile contacts and adjudications	9-43
9.24381	In general	9-43
9.24382	Requirement that presentence report for person under 21 years of age include juvenile adjudications that are less than three years old does not prohibit trial court's consideration of juvenile adjudications that are more than three years old (see sec. 9.12372)	9-43
9.24383	Juvenile adjudication where there is no evidence juvenile was represented by counsel	9-43
9.2439	Other criminal conduct	9-43
9.24391	Greater charged offense when jury finds defendant guilty of lesser-included offense	9-43
9.24392	Criminal conduct of defendant's co-actor of which defendant was not charged	9-43
9.24393	Behavior underlying expunged conviction	9-44
9.244	Defendant's exercise of first amendment rights	9-44
9.2441	In general	9-44
9.2442	Right to religious freedom	9-44
9.2443	Right to freedom of speech and press	9-44
9.2444	Right to freedom of association	9-44
9.2445	Right to freedom of political belief	9-44
9.245	Defendant's refusal to cooperate	9-44

	<i>Page</i>
9.2451 In general	9-44
9.2452 Refusal to name accomplices	9-44
9.24521 In general	9-44
9.24522 Refusal to name accomplices may be considered aggravating factor in sentencing even if defendant has justified fear of retaliation	9-45
9.2453 Refusal to admit guilt	9-45
9.2454 Drug defendant's refusal to name supplier	9-45
9.2455 Refusal to testify as to matters relevant to applicable penalty	9-45
9.246 Other factors	9-45
9.2461 Defendant's untruthfulness or perjury at trial	9-45
9.2462 Unfavorable matters relating to victim	9-45
9.24621 Victim's bad character	9-45
9.24622 Victim's criminal record	9-45
9.2463 Fact that defendant was committing adultery	9-45
9.2464 Fact that defendant was not paying child support	9-45
9.2465 Fact that defendant was financially bankrupt	9-46
9.2466 Revocation summary	9-46
9.2467 Report of competency or mental responsibility examination that addresses issue of defendant's future dangerousness	9-46
9.2468 Propriety of considering, in sentencing upon revocation of probation, conduct for which defendant was revoked	9-46
9.2469 Defendant's cooperation or lack of cooperation during investigation leading to issuance of criminal charges	9-46
9.247 Other factors (cont'd)	9-46
9.2471 Fact that defendant pled guilty	9-46
9.2472 Defendant's membership in prison gang	9-46
9.2473 Views of interested persons	9-46
9.24731 In general	9-46
9.24732 Victim's wishes	9-46
9.24733 Opinions of defendant's family and friends	9-46
9.24734 Recommendations of expert witnesses such as psychiatrists and psychologists	9-46
9.2474 Juror's recommendation	9-46
9.2475 Employment history and financial resources	9-46
9.2476 Defendant's motive for committing crime	9-46
9.2477 Reading material linked to defendant's criminal conduct	9-46
9.2478 Pretrial confinement	9-46
9.24781 It is generally improper to consider pretrial confinement, to which defendant will be entitled to credit on his sentence, in setting sentence (see sec. 9.31241)	9-47
9.24782 But court may properly consider pretrial confinement, to which defendant will be entitled to credit, in determining length of sentence so that defendant will spend sufficient time in prison to complete needed treatment program (see sec. 9.31242)	9-47
9.2479 Victim's good character	9-47
9.24791 In general	9-47

9.24792	Propriety of contrasting victim's good character with defendant's bad character (see sec. 9.2198)	9-47
9.248	Other factors (cont'd)	9-47
9.2481	Parole eligibility date	9-47
9.2482	Need for specialized treatment	9-47
9.2483	Deterrent effect on others	9-47
9.2484	Seriousness or aggravated nature of offense	9-47
9.24841	In general	9-47
9.24842	Where appreciation of this factor is engendered by recall effort based on allegations that sentencing judge was too lenient in sentencing	9-47
9.2485	History of undesirable behavior	9-47
9.2486	Presentence report recommendation	9-48
9.24861	In general	9-48
9.24862	Court not bound by presentence recommendation (see sec. 9.12351)	9-48
9.2487	Sentencing guidelines (see sec. 9.1613)	9-48
9.2488	Prior offenses which doubled the maximum period of imprisonment to which defendant was subject under Wis. Stat. § 161.48(2)	9-48
9.2489	Consideration, when sentencing for offense proscribed in Wis. Stat. § 346.63(1)(a), of guidelines established under Wis. Stat. § 346.65(2m) for offense proscribed in Wis. Stat. § 346.63(1)(b) (see sec. 37.21775)	9-48
9.249	Other factors (cont'd)	9-48
9.2491	Defendant's waiver of constitutional rights	9-48
9.2492	Victim impact statement	9-48
9.24921	In general (see sec. 9.151)	9-48
9.24922	In capital sentencing proceeding (see sec. 9.5292)	9-48
9.2493	Rehabilitative needs of victim	9-48
9.2494	Read-ins (see sec. 9.2437)	9-49
9.2495	Legislature's view of seriousness of crime as expressed by maximum sentence	9-49
9.2496	Defendant's bad character	9-49
9.24961	In general	9-49
9.24962	Propriety of contrasting victim's good character with defendant's bad character (see sec. 9.2198)	9-49
9.2497	Miscellaneous other matters related to defendant	9-49
9.24971	Defendant's future dangerousness	9-49
9.24972	Defendant's health	9-49
9.24973	Defendant's "laudable background"	9-49
9.24974	Defendant's age	9-49
9.24975	Defendant's life expectancy	9-49
9.2498	Impact of crime on persons other than defendant	9-49
9.24981	Impact or effect on victim: in general	9-49
9.24982	Impact or effect on victim: anonymous threats and damage to property that are not tied to defendant (see sec. 9.24994)	9-49
9.24983	Impact of victim's death in homicide case on friends and relatives	9-49

	<i>Page</i>
9.2499 Other factors	9-50
9.24991 Acts occurring after defendant placed on probation when probation revoked and sentence then imposed (see sec. 9.49745)	9-50
9.24992 Amount of time it will take defendant to pay restitution	9-50
9.24993 Rights and interest of public	9-50
9.24994 Anonymous threats to victim-witness and damage to victim-witness's property, which are not tied to defendant	9-50
9.24995 Religious beliefs of murder victim's family (e.g., spirit of murdered person cannot be set free until perpetrator is brought to justice)	9-50
9.24996 Defendant's failure to cooperate with presentence investigator, which may be indicative of bad character	9-50
9.25 Improper Factors To Consider	9-50
9.251 In general	9-50
9.252 Invocation or exercise of constitutional right	9-50
9.2521 In general	9-50
9.2522 Privilege against self-incrimination	9-50
9.2523 Defendant's exercise of first amendment rights	9-51
9.25231 In general	9-51
9.25232 Right to religious freedom	9-51
9.25233 Right to freedom of speech and press	9-51
9.25234 Right to freedom of association	9-51
9.25235 Right to freedom of political belief	9-51
9.25236 Applicability of harmless-error rule to improper interjection of defendant's exercise of first amendment rights into sentencing process	9-51
9.2524 Right to maintain innocence	9-51
9.2525 Right to jury trial	9-51
9.253 Perjury at trial	9-51
9.254 Prior conviction obtained in violation of defendant's constitutional rights	9-52
9.2541 Prior conviction obtained without counsel, i.e., in violation of right to counsel	9-52
9.2542 Prior conviction obtained in violation of other constitutional rights	9-52
9.255 Charges of which defendant acquitted and convictions later reversed	9-52
9.2551 Charges on which defendant acquitted	9-52
9.2552 Conviction that is later reversed	9-52
9.256 Defendant's expression of political beliefs	9-52
9.257 Pretrial confinement	9-52
9.2571 In general	9-52
9.2572 It is generally improper to consider pretrial confinement, to which defendant will be entitled to credit on his sentence, in setting sentence (see sec. 9.31241)	9-52
9.2573 But court may properly consider pretrial confinement, to which defendant will be entitled to credit, in determining length of sentence so that defendant will spend sufficient time in prison to complete needed treatment program (see sec. 9.31242)	9-52
9.258 Victim impact statement	9-52

	<i>Page</i>
9.2581 In general (see sec. 9.151)	9-52
9.2582 In capital sentencing proceeding (see sec. 9.5292)	9-52
9.259 Other factors	9-52
9.2591 Refusal to admit guilt (see sec. 9.2522)	9-53
9.2592 Juvenile adjudications and convictions	9-53
9.25921 Pre-Gault juvenile adjudications and convictions	9-53
9.25922 Juvenile adjudication where there is no evidence juvenile was represented by counsel (see sec. 9.24383)	9-53
9.2593 Preconceived, inflexible policy with respect to particular class of crimes (see sec. 9.212)	9-53
9.2594 Defendant's "repeater" status when less than maximum sentence imposed	9-53
9.2595 Matters outside the record	9-53
9.2596 Defendant's membership in prison gang	9-53
9.2597 Impact of sentence imposed on pending recall effort based on allegations that sentencing judge was too lenient in sentencing	9-53
9.2598 Evidence contained in sealed document to which defendant has no access	9-53
9.2599 Religious beliefs of murder victim's family (e.g., spirit of murdered person cannot be set free until perpetrator is brought to justice) (see sec. 9.24995)	9-53
9.26 Challenges To Exercise Of Sentencing Discretion Based On Claim That Court Placed Too Much Or Too Little Weight On Particular Factor	9-53
9.261 General principle: weight to attach to particular factor is within wide discretion of trial court	9-53
9.2611 In general	9-53
9.262 Particular factors	9-54
9.2621 Age	9-54
9.2622 Life expectancy	9-54
9.2623 Defendant's positive attributes	9-54
9.2624 Gravity of offense	9-54
9.2625 Mitigating factors	9-54
9.2626 Defendant's rehabilitative needs	9-54
9.27 Must Not Be Excessive (Unduly Harsh Or Unconscionable)	9-54
9.271 General principles	9-54
9.272 Disparity between harsh sentence imposed on defendant and more lenient sentence imposed on accomplice does not render defendant's sentence unduly harsh or unconscionable	9-55
9.273 Defendant who has agreed to sentence recommended by presentence report, which is then imposed by trial court, is judicially estopped from later challenging sentence as excessive (see sec. 9.936)	9-55
9.274 Effect of fact that sentence is well within statutory maximum	9-56
9.2741 Sentence well within maximum for offense is unlikely to be unduly harsh or unconscionable	9-56
9.2742 Sentence well within maximum for offense is presumptively not unduly harsh or unconscionable	9-56
9.2743 Generally, sentences within maximum for offense are not disproportionate to offense committed and are not unduly harsh or unconscionable	9-56

9.275	Where there are two victims, making sentences consecutive does not shock public sentiment or make sentences unduly harsh or unconscionable (see sec. 9.4224)	9-56
9.276	Sentence cannot be rendered excessive (i.e., unduly harsh or unconscionable), and thus an abuse of discretion, as result of events that occur after sentencing; in deciding whether sentence is unduly harsh, circuit court's inquiry is confined to whether it erroneously exercised its sentencing discretion based on information it had at time of sentencing	9-56
9.277	Particular circumstances under which sentence has, or has not, been found to be excessive (unduly harsh or unconscionable)	9-56
9.2771	Imposition of 18-year sentence with 12 years of initial confinement on defendant, who was 19 years old at time of crime, for sexual assault of fifteen-year-old victim based on allegedly consensual sexual intercourse between defendant and victim	9-56
9.278	Appellate review of trial court's finding on question whether sentence was unduly harsh or unconscionable	9-56
9.2781	When court finds that sentence was not unduly harsh or unconscionable	9-56
9.2782	When court finds that sentence was unduly harsh or unconscionable	9-56
9.279	Other matters	9-57
9.2791	Determining whether sentence is unduly harsh or unconscionable when multiple-count sentences imposed	9-57
9.2792	Sentence of life imprisonment without possibility of parole on young juvenile is not necessarily excessive, i.e., unduly harsh or unconscionable	9-57
9.2793	Court need not determine that young juvenile will remain incorrigible for rest of his or her life, or that he or she is forever irredeemable, before it may impose sentence of life imprisonment without possibility of parole	9-57
9.28	Other Matters	9-57
9.281	When sentence constitutes cruel and unusual punishment	9-57
9.2811	Death sentence (see sec. 9.5)	9-57
9.2812	Sentence other than death (see sec. 30.9313)	9-57
9.282	Use of sentencing guidelines (see sec. 9.1613)	9-57
9.283	Use of victim impact statement (see sec. 9.151)	9-57
9.284	Exercise of discretion to impose consecutive sentences (see sec. 9.422)	9-57
9.285	Propriety of trial court preparing sentencing note sheet and arriving at "tentative" sentence prior to sentencing hearing	9-57
9.286	Exercise of sentencing discretion cannot be based on preconceived, inflexible policy with respect to particular class of crimes (see sec. 9.212)	9-57
9.287	Effect of failure to consider sentencing guidelines	9-57
9.2871	Guidelines under indeterminate sentencing (see sec. 9.16142)	9-57
9.2872	Guidelines under determinate sentencing (i.e., truth-in-sentencing) (see sec. 9.16212)	9-57
9.288	Other matters	9-57
9.2881	Court may disclaim consideration of improper sentencing factors at postconviction hearing challenging sentence	9-57

9.2882	No formal burden of proof requirement for factual findings which impact on sentencing (see sec. 9.1961)	9-57
9.2883	Exercise of discretion to impose intensive sanctions (see sec. 9.496)	9-58
9.2884	Court may consider evidence suppressed at trial (see sec. 9.194)	9-58
9.2885	Defendant has no right of access to notes prepared by trial court for sentencing (see sec. 9.9583)	9-58
9.2886	When state and/or homicide victim's relatives introduce evidence of victim's good character at sentencing for homicide, does defendant have right to introduce evidence showing victim's bad character, including victim's criminal record?	9-58
9.2887	Sentencing while trial judge is in middle of recall effort that was based on allegations that judge was too lenient in sentencing	9-58
9.2888	Recusal or disqualification of judge from sentencing because he or she is facing recall effort based on alleged leniency on sentencing	9-58
9.2889	Defendant who has agreed to sentence recommended by presentence report, which is then imposed by trial court, is judicially estopped from later challenging sentence as excessive (see sec. 9.936)	9-58
9.289	Other matters (cont'd)	9-58
9.2891	Court not required to explain reasons for deviating from recommendations made in presentence report or by defense social worker (see sec. 9.12352)	9-58
9.2892	Sentencing not defective because prosecutor calls court's attention to pretrial incarceration, but trial court asserts that prosecutor's comment had no effect on sentencing	9-58
9.2893	Effect on sentence of plea agreement that violates public policy	9-58
	9.28931 In general	9-58
	9.28932 Agreement that keeps relevant information from trial court	9-58
9.2894	Need to follow ABA standards	9-58
9.2895	No need to determine that treatment is available at prison before sentencing defendant	9-59
9.2896	In reviewing trial court's exercise of sentencing discretion, appellate court may consider sentencing guidelines score sheet filled out by sentencing judge (see sec. 9.9551)	9-59
9.2897	Trial court does not abuse discretion in failing to consider factor not supported by evidence	9-59
9.2898	Court cannot bind Department of Corrections by sentencing provision addressing matter within control of department (e.g., whether defendant will be transferred to out-of-state prison)	9-59
9.2899	Trial court not bound by prosecutor's recommendation in determining sentence (see sec. 9.19992)	9-59
9.29	Other Matters (cont'd)	9-59
9.291	Trial court not bound by plea agreement in determining sentence (see sec. 7.1921)	9-59
9.292	Trial court not required to base its sentence determination on sentence imposed on accomplice (see sec. 9.431)	9-59

9.293	Whether particular factor or characteristic relating to defendant will be deemed either mitigating or aggravating circumstance depends on particular defendant and particular case (see sec. 9.2186)	9-59
9.294	Effect of court's belief that penalty (e.g., length of imprisonment, confinement portion of sentence, etc.) is greater than that actually provided by law	9-59
	9.2941 In general	9-59
	9.2942 When penalty imposed does not exceed that provided by law	9-59
9.295	Aggravating factor identified by trial court as basis for imposing harsher sentence does not need to be proved beyond a reasonable doubt (see sec. 30.317)	9-59
9.296	Punishment is valid sentencing objective	9-59
9.297	In federal court, sentence must not be unreasonable	9-59
	9.2971 In general	9-59
	9.2972 Determining whether sentence was unreasonable in particular case	9-59
9.298	Challenge to exercise of sentencing discretion based on claim that court failed to consider particular factors or matters	9-60
	9.2981 Opinion of defendant's expert	9-60
	9.2982 Sentences given for similar offenses	9-60
	9.2983 Defendant's sentencing memorandum	9-60
	9.2984 Sentencing guidelines	9-60
9.299	Other matters	9-60
	9.2991 Sentencing court is not bound by jury's view of facts	9-60
9.3	Credit For Pretrial And Other Confinement	9-60
9.31	General Principles	9-60
9.311	When credit required in general	9-60
	9.3111 In general	9-60
	9.3112 When person convicted in Wisconsin is made available to another jurisdiction (Wis. Stat. § 973.15(5))	9-60
	9.31121 In general	9-60
	9.31122 When convicted person is subject to service of Wisconsin sentence in prison (e.g., because person's parole or probation has been revoked) at time he is made available to other jurisdiction	9-61
	9.31123 When convicted person is not subject to service of Wisconsin sentence in prison (e.g., because he is on parole or probation) at time he is made available to another jurisdiction	9-61
9.3113	When order revoking probation grants credit for period of time until defendant's receipt at institution	9-61
9.3114	Requirement that time for which credit is being sought have been spent "in custody"	9-61
	9.31141 In general	9-61
	9.31142 Meaning of "custody" for purposes of sentence credit statute; determining what constitutes "custody" for which defendant entitled to credit (see sec. 9.313)	9-61

9.3115 Requirement that time in custody for which credit is sought have been "in connection with the course of conduct or which sentence was imposed" 9-61

9.31151 In general 9-61

9.31152 Meaning of phrase custody "in connection with the course of conduct or which sentence was imposed" (see sec. 9.3142) 9-61

9.31153 Requirement applies to each sentence individually, even when concurrent sentences are imposed at same time (see sec. 9.382) 9-61

9.31154 When defendant released on personal recognizance bond on particular charge, any subsequent custody is not custody "in connection with the course of conduct for which sentence was imposed" with respect to that charge (see sec. 9.316) 9-61

9.312 Method and manner of providing credit in general 9-61

9.3121 In general 9-61

9.3122 Method of determining proper sentence credit in post-probation-revocation sentencing: DOC makes sentence credit recommendation, and court considers recommendation and makes finding whether and how much sentence credit should be given 9-62

9.3123 When sentence is imposed and consecutive sentence is also imposed but stayed, credit must be awarded on first sentence; applying credit to stayed sentence could possibly deny defendant credit to which he is entitled 9-62

9.3124 In awarding credit, length of sentence should be determined first, followed by determination of credit to which defendant entitled; initial determination of credit to which defendant is entitled, followed by imposition of sentence consisting of term of years plus days of credit to which defendant entitled, is abuse of discretion 9-62

9.31241 In general 9-62

9.31242 But court may properly consider pretrial confinement, to which defendant will be entitled to credit, in determining length of sentence so that defendant will spend sufficient time in prison to complete needed treatment program 9-62

9.313 Meaning of "custody" for purposes of sentence credit statute; determining what constitutes "custody" for which defendant entitled to credit 9-62

9.3131 Definition of "custody" in escape statute used to determine whether defendant entitled to credit under sentence credit statute (pre-Magnuson rule, broadened by Magnuson decision) 9-62

9.3132 Offender's status constitutes custody for sentence credit purposes when offender is subject to escape charge for leaving that status (Magnuson rule) 9-62

9.3133 Imprisonment or confinement, other than as part of criminal proceeding or under criminal sentence, which may constitute "custody" for purposes of credit 9-63

	9.31331	Confinement in secure correctional facility for juveniles	9-63
9.314		Meaning of phrases in sentence credit statute	9-63
	9.3141	Meaning of phrase "course of conduct"	9-63
	9.3142	Meaning of phrase custody "in connection with course of conduct for which sentence was imposed"	9-63
	9.31421	In general	9-63
	9.31422	Connection must be factual connection; procedural or other tangential connection will not suffice	9-63
	9.31423	Custody is not "in connection with course of conduct for which sentence was imposed" if defendant would have been subjected to custody in question even if he had not engaged in that course of conduct	9-63
	9.31424	"In connection with" requirement applies to each sentence individually, even when concurrent sentences are imposed at same time (see sec. 9.382)	9-63
	9.31425	Sentencing on unrelated charge severs connection of custody to pending charge, so no credit on sentence imposed for pending charge is required (see sec. 9.321)	9-63
	9.3143	Meaning of phrase "confinement related to an offense for which the offender is ultimately sentenced"	9-63
	9.31431	Includes confinement related to "read-in" offense (see sec. 9.3291)	9-63
	9.3144	Meaning of phrase "custody of the convicted offender which is in whole or in part the result of a probation, extended supervision or parole hold . . . placed on the person for the same course of conduct as that resulting in the new conviction"	9-64
9.315		Imprisonment or confinement, other than sentence, to which defendant entitled to have credit applied	9-64
	9.3151	Defendant not entitled to have credit applied to incarceration or confinement imposed as condition of probation	9-64
9.316		When defendant released on personal recognizance bond on particular charge, any subsequent custody is not custody "in connection with the course of conduct for which sentence was imposed" with respect to that charge	9-64
9.317		Other principles	9-64
	9.3171	Burden of proof on demonstrating both custody and its "connection with the course of conduct for which sentence was imposed"	9-64
	9.3172	Fact that defendant is serving sentence on crime A does not always sever time in custody owing to crime B for purposes of awarding sentence credit on sentence for crime B	9-64
9.32		Credit For Particular Periods	9-64
	9.321	Time spent serving sentence on unrelated charge, but also spent awaiting trial on pending charge for which sentence is ultimately imposed: sentencing on unrelated charge severs connection of custody to pending charge, so no credit on sentence imposed for pending charge is required	9-64
	9.322	Time spent in custody in another jurisdiction (e.g., in another state)	9-65
	9.3221	In general	9-65

9.3222	When defendant in custody in other jurisdiction as result of both (1) arrest on unrelated charges in other jurisdiction and (2) Wisconsin fugitive warrant issued in case in which present sentence is being imposed	9-65
9.3223	Time spent in custody in another jurisdiction after offender convicted in Wisconsin is made available to that jurisdiction (see sec. 9.3112)	9-65
9.3224	Time spent in custody in another jurisdiction after revocation of probation in Wisconsin when order revoking probation grants credit for period of time until defendant's receipt at Wisconsin institution (see sec. 9.3113)	9-65
9.323	Periods related to DIS sanctions	9-65
9.3231	Time spent in DIS as a result of conviction for which present sentence is being imposed	9-65
9.32311	In general	9-65
9.32312	When defendant confined to residence under electronic monitoring	9-65
9.3232	Time spent awaiting trial for conduct that served as basis for imposition of DIS sanction, while DIS sanction is being served	9-65
9.3233	Time spent in DIS on unrelated charge, but also spent awaiting trial on charge for which present sentence is being imposed	9-65
9.324	Time spent in jail in another county	9-65
9.3241	After detainer filed by county in which present charge originated	9-65
9.3242	After arrest warrant and complaint issued and cash bail set on present charge	9-66
9.325	Time spent in custody on charge for which sentence is being imposed when defendant was also serving sentence or otherwise in custody on unrelated offense	9-66
9.3251	When defendant also serving sentence on unrelated offense (see sec. 9.321)	9-66
9.3252	When defendant also serving NGI commitment	9-66
9.3253	When defendant also in custody on unrelated charge on which he or she is unable to post bail	9-66
9.326	Period after admission to bail on signature bond on new offense, but during which custody continues because of probation hold on old offense resulting from commission of new offense	9-66
9.3261	In general	9-66
9.3262	No need for credit when sentence imposed upon revocation of probation on old offense has been fully served and credit already awarded on that sentence	9-66
9.327	Time spent on probation or in confinement as condition of probation	9-66
9.3271	Time spent on probation	9-66
9.32711	In general	9-66
9.32712	When probation invalidated and sentence imposed	9-66
9.3272	Time spent in confinement as condition of probation	9-66
9.32721	In general	9-66
9.32722	Time spent in jail as condition of probation imposed on unrelated offense, but also spent awaiting trial on charge for which present sentence is being imposed	9-66

9.32723	When defendant has served conditional jail time, and his or her probation is later revoked and defendant commences serving imposed and stayed sentence, defendant is entitled to sentence credit for days spent in custody while in conditional jail time status, even if that custody is concurrent with service of unrelated prison sentence	9-67
9.3273	Time spent in drug treatment facility as condition of probation	9-67
9.3274	Time spent on electronic monitoring as a condition of probation	9-67
9.328	Other periods	9-67
9.3281	Time spent awaiting trial on criminal charge that served as basis for probation revocation and imposition of sentence, while sentence imposed upon revocation is being served	9-67
9.3282	Period during which custody is based both on arrest for new offense and probation hold on old offense resulting from commission of new offense	9-67
9.3283	Time served on unrelated conviction that was voided	9-67
9.3284	Time spent in substance abuse treatment center that trial court permitted in lieu of jail custody when defendant unable to post cash bond to obtain release prior to trial	9-67
9.3285	Time spent in custody on charge for which bail set, but not posted, need not be credited on sentence imposed for charge on which, at same time, defendant was released on personal recognizance bond or upon posting cash bond	9-67
9.32851	In general	9-67
9.32852	Defendant not entitled to credit even when concurrent sentences on both charges imposed at same time	9-68
9.3286	Sentence credit not required even though custody was on charge of bail jumping that was based on violation of conditions of personal recognizance bond	9-68
9.3287	Time successfully spent on parole	9-68
9.3288	Period between reversal of first conviction for shooting offense and imposition of second sentence for shooting offense, during which period defendant remained incarcerated on sentences for other offenses committed during criminal episode which included the shooting	9-68
9.329	Other periods (cont'd)	9-68
9.3291	Time spent in custody on "read-in" offense	9-68
9.3292	Time spent in commitment during pendency of criminal proceeding	9-68
9.32921	Sex crimes presentence commitment	9-68
9.32922	Incompetency commitment	9-68
9.3293	Time during which defendant refused admission to overcrowded jail	9-68
9.32931	To begin serving sentence	9-68
9.32932	To begin serving time imposed as condition of probation	9-68
9.3294	Time after defendant was to have reported back to jail to begin serving his sentence when jail initially too crowded to permit commencement of sentence	9-68

9.3295	Period during which defendant required to remain in his home during all non-working hours as condition of bail incident to appeal	9-69
9.3296	Time spent awaiting disposition in home detention under federal consent decree	9-69
9.3297	Particular periods for which credit must be awarded under federal sentence credit statute	9-69
9.32971	Time spent released on bail but confined to community treatment center	9-69
9.3298	When sentence on conviction A is being served concurrently with sentence on conviction B, sentence on conviction B is set aside, and new consecutive sentence on conviction B is imposed	9-69
9.32981	Time spent in custody on conviction B while concurrent sentence on conviction A was being served does not need to be credited against new consecutive sentence on conviction B	9-69
9.32982	Time spent in custody following end of initial confinement on conviction A does need to be credited against new consecutive sentence on conviction B	9-69
9.33	Credit For Particular Periods (cont'd)	9-69
9.331	Time spent under electronic monitoring	9-69
9.3311	In general	9-69
9.3312	Awaiting trial (see sec. 9.333)	9-69
9.3313	As aspect of DIS (see sec. 9.32312)	9-69
9.332	Time spent in home detention or confinement	9-69
9.3321	In general	9-69
9.3322	Under electronic monitoring (see sec. 9.331)	9-69
9.3323	Awaiting trial (see sec. 9.333)	9-69
9.3324	Pending appeal (see sec. 9.3295)	9-69
9.3325	As aspect of DIS (see sec. 9.32312)	9-70
9.333	Period during which defendant released on bond to home detention with electronic monitoring pending trial	9-70
9.334	Time spent in pretrial custody on charge that is read in at sentencing	9-70
9.335	Time spent in detention pending disposition of (i.e., while awaiting evaluation and trial on) petition to commit defendant as sexually violent person under ch. 980	9-70
9.336	Time spent in custody stemming from, or related to, juvenile court adjudication	9-70
9.3361	Time spent awaiting criminal trial and simultaneously serving commitment following revocation of juvenile parole, i.e. aftercare supervision, where underlying conduct for both revocation and criminal charge was the same	9-70
9.3362	Time spent by juvenile in secure custody pending waiver to adult court	9-70
9.3363	Time spent in custody on juvenile commitment that was being served at time conduct giving rise to charge for which present sentence is being imposed	9-70
9.3364	Time spent in custody on extension of juvenile commitment that was ordered after defendant engaged in conduct giving rise to charge for which present sentence is being imposed	9-70

9.3365	Time spent in county jail, after conduct giving rise to charge for which present sentence is being imposed, by defendant subject to juvenile commitment	9-70
9.337	Other periods	9-70
9.3371	Time spent in jail between date extended supervision in earlier case was revoked and date defendant sentenced on both revoked extended supervision and new charge that caused revocation of extended supervision	9-71
9.3372	Time spent in custody which is in whole or in part result of probation, extended supervision or parole hold placed on person for same course of conduct as that resulting in new conviction	9-71
9.33721	In general	9-71
9.33722	Defendant entitled to credit if hold was at least in part due to course of conduct resulting in new conviction; credit due even if there were other reasons for hold	9-71
9.33723	Defendant entitled to credit even if defendant was released on signature bond with respect to course of conduct resulting in new conviction	9-71
9.34	Awarding Credit When Effect Is To Give Double Credit For Time Spent In Custody	9-71
9.341	When credit is sought against both of two consecutive sentences	9-71
9.342	When credit is sought against second sentence after first sentence on which credit has already been awarded has been fully served	9-71
9.343	When credit is sought against sentence defendant resumed serving after parole revocation when credit has already been awarded on sentence imposed for crime committed while on parole	9-72
9.35	Remedy When Credit Denied	9-72
9.36	Other Matters	9-72
9.361	Constitutionality of denying good time for pretrial confinement which is credited against sentence (see sec. 23.6112)	9-72
9.362	Double jeopardy clause requirement of credit for time served on earlier conviction that has been reversed or set aside (see sec. 29.778)	9-72
9.363	Retroactivity of statute granting credit	9-72
9.364	Credit required to satisfy demands of equal protection clause	9-72
9.3641	Requirement in general (see sec. 23.34)	9-72
9.3642	Retroactivity of requirement	9-72
9.3643	Crediting pretrial confinement on life sentence	9-72
9.3644	Crediting pretrial confinement toward eligibility for parole	9-72
9.3645	Credit for minimal periods of confinement	9-72
9.365	Propriety of eliminating credit wrongly granted after defendant begins serving sentence	9-72
9.366	Trial court is not to consider pretrial confinement in determining length of sentence; court should first determine appropriate sentence and then determine and credit presentence confinement	9-72
9.3661	In general	9-72
9.3662	This rule is not violated when trial court imposes sentence that matches "time served" in pretrial confinement	9-72
9.367	Requirement that credit be applied on all concurrent sentences	9-73
9.3671	In general	9-73

9.3672	Concurrent sentences need not be imposed at same time, or for offense arising from same course of conduct, in order to entitle defendant to credit on all of them	9-73
9.3673	Credit needs to be applied only on those concurrent sentences with respect to which period for which credit is sought meets "in connection with" requirement (see sec. 9.382)	9-73
9.368	Under federal sentence credit provision, it is the attorney general who computes the amount of the credit after the defendant had begun to serve his sentence	9-73
9.369	Need to award credit when trial court sets parole eligibility date for Class A felony under Wis. Stat. § 973.014	9-73
9.37	Other Matters (cont'd)	9-73
9.371	When trial court grants more credit than that to which defendant is entitled but defendant appeals claiming he should have been granted more, court of appeals declines to take away credit improperly given to avoid appearance of penalizing defendant for appeal	9-73
9.372	Court cannot evade requirement of sentence credit by constructing "peculiar" sentence designed to deprive defendant of credit	9-73
9.3721	In general	9-73
9.3722	This rule not violated by imposition of sentence equal to "time served" in pretrial confinement	9-73
9.373	Issuance of detainer or unexecuted arrest warrant insufficient to constitute custody	9-74
9.374	Use of special materials appended to Wisconsin pattern jury instructions in deciding sentence credit questions	9-74
9.375	When sentence is imposed and consecutive sentence is also imposed but stayed, credit must be awarded on first sentence; applying credit to stayed sentence could possibly deny defendant credit to which he is entitled (see sec. 9.3123)	9-74
9.376	In awarding credit, length of sentence should be determined first, followed by determination of credit to which defendant entitled; initial determination of credit to which defendant is entitled, followed by imposition of sentence consisting of term of years plus days of credit to which defendant entitled, is abuse of discretion (see sec. 9.3124)	9-74
9.377	Appellate review of question whether defendant entitled to credit for particular period	9-74
9.3771	Standard of appellate review	9-74
9.37711	In general	9-74
9.37712	Of trial court's factual findings	9-74
9.378	When record insufficient to calculate credit to which defendant entitled, remand to trial court for calculation of credit may be appropriate	9-74
9.379	Court may not use credit for presentence incarceration time to satisfy court costs (see sec. 12.7223)	9-74
9.38	Other Matters (con'td)	9-74
9.381	Legislative history of sentence credit statute	9-74
9.382	"In connection with" requirement applies to each sentence individually, even when concurrent sentences are imposed at same time	9-75

9.383	When defendant who has been released on personal recognizance bond or upon posting cash bail is arrested for new crime, he or she may be able to make himself or herself eligible for sentence credit, in prosecution in which he was released, for days spent in custody after arrest, e.g., by asking court to convert personal recognizance bond to cash bail	9-75
9.39	Related Matters	9-75
9.391	Credit on confinement time ordered as condition of probation (see sec. 9.6333)	9-75
9.4	Particular Sentencing Problems	9-75
9.41	Determinate sentencing; imposition of bifurcated sentence of confinement and extended supervision ("truth-in-sentencing")	9-75
9.411	History, purpose, applicability, and operation of truth-in-sentencing in general	9-75
9.4111	History of truth-in-sentencing	9-75
9.4112	Purpose of truth-in-sentencing	9-75
9.4113	Applicability of truth-in-sentencing	9-75
9.41131	Applicability of TIS-I provisions in general	9-75
9.41132	Applicability of TIS-II provisions in general	9-75
9.41133	Determining whether TIS-I penalty or TIS-2 penalty applies to continuing crime when course of conduct constituting continuing crime commenced after effective date of TIS-I and prior to effective date of TIS-II, but concluded after effective date of TIS-II	9-75
9.4114	Operation of truth-in-sentencing in general	9-76
9.412	Imposition of sentence of imprisonment	9-76
9.4121	In general	9-76
9.4122	Consideration of aggravating factors	9-76
9.41221	In general	9-76
9.4123	Requirement that court explain how each component of bifurcated sentence of sentence of imprisonment advances objectives it has specified (see sec. 9.21883)	9-76
9.413	Imposition of confinement portion of sentence	9-76
9.4131	In general	9-76
9.4132	Under TIS-I, maximum term of confinement for attempt to commit classified felony is one-half of maximum confinement specified for completed crime	9-76
9.414	Imposition of extended supervision portion of sentence	9-76
9.4141	In general	9-76
9.4142	Setting length of extended supervision	9-76
9.41421	In general	9-76
9.41422	Propriety of considering length of time it will take defendant to pay restitution	9-76
9.4143	Imposition of conditions of extended supervision (see secs. 9.4151 and 9.4152)	9-76
9.415	Conditions of extended supervision	9-76
9.4151	Imposition of conditions of extended supervision: general principles	9-76
9.41511	Court's exercise of discretion to impose conditions in general	9-76

9.41512	Principles relating to propriety of conditions of probation apply to conditions of extended supervision	9-77
9.41513	Need for clarity and definiteness in conditions	9-77
9.41514	Fact that other statutes impose sanctions and penalties for failure to engage in conduct that condition requires does not deprive court of authority to impose condition	9-77
9.41515	Condition need not relate directly to criminal conduct underlying conviction	9-77
9.41516	Condition must not be overly broad	9-77
9.41517	Condition must be reasonably related to person's rehabilitation or protection of state or community interest	9-77
9.41518	Imposing conditions that restrict constitutional rights (e.g., right to free association and privacy, right to freedom of travel and movement, etc.)	9-77
9.41519	In imposing conditions of extended supervision, may court impose financial or other obligation that is not permitted under more particular statute specifically dealing with that financial or other obligation (e.g., costs statute, restitution statute, sex-offender reporting requirement, etc.)?	9-77
9.4152	Imposition of conditions of extended supervision: particular conditions	9-77
9.41521	Requiring defendant to introduce any person she is "dating" to supervising agent	9-77
9.41522	Confinement in jail or other facility (e.g., confinement in jail for short period annually during period of extended supervision on anniversary of victim's death)	9-78
9.41523	Payment of restitution	9-78
9.41524	Payment of child support obligation	9-78
9.41525	Refraining from going into taverns or liquor stores or having alcoholic beverages	9-78
9.41526	Prohibiting defendant from entering political subdivision in which victim lives	9-78
9.41527	Payment to non-profit crime-prevention organization: in general	9-78
9.41528	Payment to non-profit crime-prevention organization: payment may be ordered without assessing defendant's financial ability to pay	9-78
9.41529	Requiring reimbursement of person who posted cash bail for defendant, which was forfeited when defendant violated conditions of bond	9-78
9.4153	Modification of conditions	9-78
9.41531	In general	9-78
9.41532	Provision barring petition for modification of conditions earlier than one year before inmate's scheduled date of release to extended supervision: in general	9-78

9.41533	Provision barring petition for modification of conditions earlier than one year before inmate's scheduled date of release to extended supervision: does not bar immediate attack on provision claimed to be invalid	9-78
9.41534	Court at reconfinement hearing held after revocation of extended supervision has authority to impose new conditions on extended supervision portion of sentence (see sec. 41.7442)	9-78
9.4154	Attacking conditions	9-79
9.41541	Provision barring petition for modification of conditions earlier than one year before inmate's scheduled date of release to extended supervision: does not bar immediate attack on provision claimed to be invalid (see sec. 9.41533)	9-79
9.4155	Appellate review of conditions	9-79
9.41551	Review of trial court's exercise of discretion	9-79
9.41552	Review of constitutionality of condition	9-79
9.416	Mandatory minimum sentences	9-79
9.4161	Calculation of mandatory minimum sentence and its components (i.e., confinement and extended supervision) under truth-in-sentencing	9-79
9.41611	In general	9-79
9.41612	For mandatory minimum sentences imposed under controlled substances law (see sec. 36.1826)	9-79
9.417	Sentence modification and adjustment under truth-in-sentencing	9-79
9.4171	Case law regarding sentence modification based on new factor or other ground remains valid after enactment of truth-in-sentencing law (see secs. 10.3414 and 10.391)	9-79
9.4172	Case law holding that post-sentencing conduct and/or rehabilitation is not new factor remains unchanged by truth-in-sentencing law (see sec. 10.34317)	9-79
9.4173	Statutory provision permitting request for adjustment (Wis. Stat. § 973.195) (see sec. 10.85)	9-79
9.418	Revocation of extended supervision	9-79
9.4181	The revocation process (see sec. 41.71)	9-79
9.4182	Making the revocation decision (see sec. 41.72)	9-79
9.4183	Judicial review of revocation decision (see sec. 41.73)	9-79
9.4184	Judicial determination of period of time for which defendant will be returned to prison after revocation of extended supervision (see sec. 41.74)	9-79
9.419	Other matters	9-79
9.4191	Interpreting provisions of TIS	9-79
9.41911	Use of provisions of TIS II in interpreting TIS I (see sec. 45.3944)	9-79
9.41912	Use of Wisconsin Lawyer article on TIS-II in interpreting provisions of TIS-II	9-80
9.41913	Use of Fiedler penalty chart in interpreting TIS-II	9-80
9.4192	Meaning of terms used in determinate sentencing	9-80
9.41921	"Imprisonment"	9-80

	<i>Page</i>
9.41922 "Bifurcated sentence"	9-80
9.4193 Use of penalty enhancers to increase sentence	9-80
9.41931 Under determinate sentencing, only term of confinement, not period of extended supervision, is increased by penalty enhancer (see sec. 31.6122)	9-80
9.41932 Under TIS-I version of determinate sentencing, for unclassified felonies penalty enhancer is added to underlying maximum term of confinement, which increases total term of imprisonment by same amount and then the 75% rule of Wis. Stat. § 973.01(2)(b)6 is applied to the total term of imprisonment to calculate the maximum amount of confinement (see sec. 31.6123)	9-80
9.41933 Under TIS-I version of determinate sentencing, extended supervision portion of enhanced sentence cannot exceed extended supervision portion of sentence for underlying offense, even in those cases where it then becomes impossible to impose period of extended supervision that is at least 25% of period of confinement (see sec. 31.6124)	9-80
9.41934 When court has allocated portion of confinement under enhanced sentence to underlying offense that is in excess of maximum confinement for underlying offense, remedy is remand to trial court for resentencing (see sec. 31.6125)	9-80
9.4194 Determining whether TIS-I penalty or TIS-2 penalty applies to continuing crime when course of conduct constituting continuing crime commenced after effective date of TIS-I and prior to effective date of TIS-II, but concluded after effective date of TIS-II (see sec. 9.41133)	9-81
9.4195 When one component of bifurcated sentence illegally imposed, defendant is entitled to be resentenced on both components	9-81
9.42 Consecutive Sentences	9-81
9.421 When authorized	9-81
9.4211 In general	9-81
9.4212 Sentence may be made consecutive to forfeiture and penalty assessment commitment	9-81
9.4213 Sentence may not be made consecutive to juvenile court disposition	9-81
9.4214 Propriety of making sentence consecutive to NGI commitment	9-81
9.42141 Sentence may not directly be made consecutive to NGI commitment	9-81
9.42142 But sentence may be made consecutive to NGI commitment by staying sentence until discharge from NGI commitment	9-82
9.4215 Sentence may be made consecutive to incarceration for failure to pay fine	9-82
9.4216 Sentence may not be made consecutive to jail time being served as condition of probation	9-82

9.4217	Sentence may be made consecutive to sentence previously imposed, but with respect to which defendant has been placed on probation	9-82
9.4218	Sentence may be made consecutive to sentence previously imposed, but with respect to which the defendant has been released on parole	9-82
9.4219	Sentence may be made consecutive to chap. 980 (sexually violent person) commitment by staying sentence until discharge from chap. 980 (sexually violent person) commitment	9-82
9.422	When authorized (cont'd)	9-82
9.4221	Sentence may be made consecutive to sentence earlier imposed in another jurisdiction	9-82
9.4222	Requirement, in some other jurisdictions, that particular fact be found before court is authorized to impose consecutive sentence	9-82
9.42221	In general	9-82
9.42222	Under statutory scheme that requires finding of particular fact before consecutive sentence may be imposed, it is not required that jury, rather than judge, make that finding of fact (see sec. 9.4283)	9-83
9.423	When appropriate	9-83
9.4231	In general	9-83
9.4232	Need for explanation by sentencing court why consecutive sentence appropriate	9-83
9.42321	When multiple sentences are imposed at single sentencing, court ordinarily should explain why sentences were made consecutive	9-83
9.42322	Requirement, when sentencing for multiple offenses, of explanation why consecutive sentence was imposed is not applicable to sentence separately imposed for new offense that is unrelated to past offenses for which defendant may still be serving time	9-83
9.4233	Adequacy of explanation why consecutive sentence appropriate	9-83
9.4234	Propriety of imposing consecutive sentences that defendant will never live to see	9-84
9.4235	Where there are two victims, making sentences consecutive does not shock public sentiment or make sentences unduly harsh or unconscionable	9-84
9.424	When required	9-84
9.4241	Not required when defendant sentenced for third offense driving after revocation	9-84
9.4242	For escape	9-84
9.4243	When federal sentence imposed for using or carrying a firearm during and in relation to crime of violence or a drug trafficking crime (see sec. 31.6953)	9-84
9.425	When commenced	9-84
9.4251	In general	9-84
9.4252	When probation revoked	9-84
9.426	Determining whether sentence is consecutive or concurrent	9-84
9.4261	General rule: when record is silent as to whether sentence is concurrent or consecutive, it is concurrent	9-84

9.4262	General rule: in absence of statutory or judicial declaration to the contrary, second sentence will be deemed to run concurrently with first sentence	9-84
9.42621	In general	9-84
9.42622	Bald recital of consecutive sentence in judgment of conviction is not necessarily sufficient to overcome presumption that sentence is concurrent	9-84
9.4263	General rule not applicable when trial court's intent is clearly conveyed by words and procedure used, even though it did not directly provide that sentence shall be consecutive	9-84
9.4264	Where conflict exists between court's unambiguous oral pronouncement of sentence and written judgment as to whether sentence is concurrent or consecutive, oral pronouncement controls	9-84
9.42641	In general	9-85
9.42642	Oral pronouncement must be unambiguous for this rule to apply	9-85
9.4265	Determining whether sentence is consecutive or concurrent when oral pronouncement is silent on subject	9-85
9.42651	In general	9-85
9.42652	When judgment of conviction states that sentence is consecutive	9-85
9.4266	When faced with ambiguous oral pronouncement regarding whether sentence is concurrent or consecutive, court attempts to determine trial court's sentencing intent	9-85
9.4267	When faced with ambiguous oral pronouncement regarding whether sentence is concurrent or consecutive, it is proper to look to entire record, including written judgment, to ascertain court's intention	9-85
9.4268	Effect of bald recital of consecutive sentence in judgment of conviction where trial court's oral pronouncement is silent on question	9-85
9.4269	Other matters	9-85
9.42691	Under statutory scheme that requires finding of particular fact before consecutive sentence may be imposed, it is not required that jury, rather than judge, make that finding of fact (see sec. 9.4283)	9-85
9.427	Computed as one continuous sentence	9-85
9.4271	In general	9-85
9.4272	When defendant serving consecutive indeterminate (i.e. pre-TIS) and determinate (i.e., TIS) sentences	9-85
9.42721	When defendant is serving consecutive indeterminate (i.e. pre-TIS) and determinate (i.e., TIS) sentences, sentences are treated as one continuous sentence, with confinement periods served first, followed by nonconfinement periods of parole and extended supervision, which are treated as one continuous period of supervision	9-86
9.4273	When defendant serving consecutive determinate (i.e., TIS) sentences	9-86

9.42731	When defendant is serving consecutive determinate (i.e., TIS) sentences, sentences are treated as one continuous sentence, with confinement periods served first, followed by nonconfinement periods of extended supervision, which are treated as one continuous period of supervision	9-86
9.428	Other matters	9-86
9.4281	Lack of specific guidelines for imposition of consecutive sentences does not violate due process	9-86
9.4282	When portion of sentence is invalidated, sentence that was originally made concurrent may be modified to consecutive sentence to effectuate goal and premise of sentence as originally imposed (see sec. 9.4959)	9-86
9.4283	Under statutory scheme that requires finding of particular fact before consecutive sentence may be imposed, it is not required that jury, rather than judge, make that finding of fact	9-86
9.429	Related matters	9-86
9.4291	Authority to impose consecutive periods of probation (see sec. 9.6163)	9-86
9.43	Disparity Between Sentences	9-86
9.431	Disparity between defendant and accomplice	9-86
9.432	Disparity between defendant and others committing similar offenses	9-87
9.44	Modification Of Sentence; Resentencing (see secs. 9.8 and 10.3)	9-87
9.45	Penalty Enhancers	9-87
9.451	General principles (see sec. 31.61)	9-87
9.452	For habitual criminality under state repeater statute (see sec. 31.62)	9-87
9.453	For Habitual Criminality Under State Persistent Repeater Statute Based On Two Or More Prior Convictions Of "Serious Felony" ("Three Strikes" Provision) (see sec. 31.63)	9-87
9.454	For Habitual Criminality Under State Persistent Repeater Statute Based On Prior Conviction Of "Serious Child Sex Offense" ("Two Strikes" Provision) (see sec. 31.64)	9-87
9.455	For use of dangerous weapon (see sec. 31.65)	9-87
9.456	For use of bullet proof garment (see sec. 31.66)	9-87
9.457	For concealing identity (see sec. 31.67)	9-87
9.458	For intentionally selecting victim because of victim's race, religion, etc. ("hate crime") (see sec. 31.68)	9-87
9.459	For other factors	9-87
9.4591	For committing second or subsequent offenses under state controlled substances law (see sec. 36.184)	9-87
9.4592	For committing controlled substances offense within 1,000 feet of school or other designated premises (see sec. 36.183)	9-87
9.4593	For habitual criminality under federal repeater statute (see sec. 31.694)	9-87
9.4594	For using or carrying a firearm during and in relation to crime of violence or a drug trafficking crime (federal provision) (see sec. 31.695)	9-87
9.4595	Assault or battery in secured juvenile correctional facility (see sec. 31.693)	9-87

9.4596	For conviction of firearms possessor with three previous convictions as provided in federal armed career criminal act (see sec. 31.696)	9-88
9.46	Mandatory Sentencing	9-88
9.461	Mandatory imprisonment	9-88
9.4611	Constitutionality	9-88
9.4612	When required	9-88
9.4613	Does not require that mandatory terms of imprisonment be consecutive	9-88
9.4614	Not satisfied by imposition of probation with jail time as a condition of probation	9-88
9.462	Mandatory consecutive sentences	9-88
9.4621	For escape	9-88
9.463	Mandatory minimum sentences	9-88
9.4631	Mandatory minimum sentences under controlled substances law (see sec. 36.182)	9-88
9.4632	Departure from federal mandatory minimum sentence when government files "substantial assistance" motion	9-88
9.46321	Right of defendant to challenge government's failure to file motion	9-88
9.46322	Motion attesting to "substantial assistance" and requesting departure below minimum applicable sentencing guideline does not authorize court to depart below statutory minimum sentence	9-88
9.4633	Constitutionality of imposing mandatory minimum sentence based on particular factor when that factor not proved beyond a reasonable doubt to jury (see sec. 9.465)	9-88
9.4634	Calculation of mandatory minimum sentence and its components (i.e., confinement and extended supervision) under truth-in-sentencing (see sec. 9.4161)	9-88
9.464	Other mandatory sentences	9-89
9.465	Constitutionality of imposing mandatory minimum sentence based on particular factor (e.g., defendant's use of weapon) when that factor not proved beyond a reasonable doubt to a jury	9-89
9.47	Imposition And Collection Of Fine; Imprisonment For Failure To Pay Fine	9-89
9.471	Imposition of fine	9-89
9.4711	When fine appropriate	9-89
9.47111	In general	9-89
9.47112	Use of ABA Sentencing Standards in determining whether to impose fine and its amount	9-89
9.4712	Must consider defendant's ability to pay	9-89
9.47121	In general	9-89
9.47122	In considering ability to pay fine, income received under Wis. Stat. § 49.046 (relief for needy Indian persons) is exempt	9-89
9.47123	Sentencing hearing is best time to ascertain defendant's ability to pay	9-89
9.4713	Court may impose fine subject to reconsideration of defendant's ability to pay when defendant released from prison	9-89

9.4714	If defendant unable to pay fine within time set for payment, defendant has responsibility to bring matter before court (see sec. 9.47322)	9-89
9.4715	Need for explanation of reasons for imposing fine	9-89
9.47151	In general	9-89
9.47152	No requirement that court give reasons for imposing fine that are separate and distinct from those given for imposing jail or prison time when reasons given for imposing jail or prison time also explain why fine imposed	9-90
9.472	Collection of fine	9-90
9.4721	Application of bail money to pay fine	9-90
9.47211	In general	9-90
9.47212	Constitutionality of statute permitting application of bail money to pay fine	9-90
9.473	Imposition of imprisonment for failure to pay fine	9-90
9.4731	In general	9-90
9.4732	On indigent who is unable to pay fine	9-90
9.47321	In general	9-90
9.47322	If defendant unable to pay fine within time set for payment, defendant has responsibility to bring matter before court	9-90
9.47323	Defendant cannot raise issue of his indigency prematurely	9-91
9.4733	Making imprisonment for failure to pay fine consecutive to sentence	9-91
9.4734	Total period of imprisonment for failure to pay fine may not exceed six months	9-91
9.4735	Sufficiency of commitment order to justify entry into defendant's home to execute it	9-91
9.474	Other matters	9-91
9.4741	When defendant raises question of ability to pay fine in postconviction motion, trial court has obligation to make determination of issue	9-91
9.48	Restitution	9-91
9.481	General principles	9-91
9.4811	Nature and purpose of restitution and proceedings relating thereto in general	9-91
9.4812	Need to establish fact and amount of damage	9-91
9.4813	Need to establish nexus between crime and damage for which restitution sought, i.e., that defendant's criminal activity was substantial factor in causing pecuniary injury to victim	9-91
9.4814	"Crime considered at sentencing," for which restitution may be ordered	9-92
9.48141	In general	9-92
9.48142	"Crime considered at sentencing" encompasses all facts and reasonable inferences concerning defendant's activity related to crime, not just those facts necessary to support elements of crime	9-92
9.481421	In general	9-92

9.481422	Encompasses all facts and reasonable inferences concerning defendant's activity related to crime, not just those facts necessary to support elements of crime	9-92
9.481423	Fact that crime, such as robbery, is not complete until successful escape made does not mean that officer attempting to apprehend defendant fleeing from scene of crime is victim of that crime	9-92
9.4815	Need for evidentiary hearing	9-92
9.4816	Imposing restitution as a condition of probation (see sec. 9.634)	9-92
9.4817	Procedure and burden of proof at hearing setting amount of restitution (see sec. 9.4842)	9-92
9.4818	Restitution statute is to be broadly construed to allow victims to recover damages resulting from criminal conduct	9-92
9.4819	Other principles	9-93
9.48191	Factors court must consider in determining whether to order restitution (see sec. 9.4877)	9-93
9.482	To whom restitution may or may not be awarded	9-93
9.4821	General principles	9-93
9.48211	In general	9-93
9.48212	Person receiving restitution must be "victim of a crime considered at sentencing"	9-93
9.48213	Determining whether person seeking restitution is party to crime and therefore allegedly cannot be considered "victim"	9-93
9.4822	Definition of, and determining who is, "victim of a crime considered at sentencing" for purposes of restitution statute	9-93
9.48221	In general	9-93
9.48222	"Victim" in sec. 973.20(1r) is interpreted using definition in sec. 950.02(4)(a)	9-93
9.48223	"Victim," as used in restitution statute to describe those entitled to restitution, includes governmental entities and agencies	9-93
9.48224	Mother of child-victim of crime is "victim" to whom restitution may be awarded	9-94
9.48225	Aunt of victim of crime is not "victim" to whom restitution may be awarded	9-94
9.48226	Victim's stepfather is not "victim" for purposes of restitution statute	9-94
9.48227	Police officer who (1) apprehends, or attempts to apprehend, defendant fleeing from scene of crime defendant has committed and (2) suffers injury in doing so is not victim of that crime	9-94
9.4823	Victim of offense of which defendant has been convicted	9-94
9.4824	Victim of offense that is dismissed but read-in at sentencing	9-94
9.48241	In general	9-94
9.48242	Personal admission by defendant to read-in not necessary to permit imposition of restitution for read-in	9-94
9.4825	Victim of crime not charged or read-in in present prosecution	9-94
9.4826	Police department that put up funds to purchase drugs in controlled substances case ("buy money")	9-94
9.4827	Governmental entity or agency that suffers damage or loss, or incurs cost, as a result of defendant's criminal conduct	9-95

9.48271	In general	9-95
9.48272	Where defendant's conduct indirectly causes damage or loss to governmental entity (i.e., when governmental entity incurs collateral expenses in normal course of law enforcement as a result of defendant's conduct)	9-95
9.48273	Where defendant's conduct directly causes damage or loss to governmental entity	9-95
9.48274	School district is "victim" of bomb scare that causes evacuation of school and consequent loss of services of teachers and other staff during period of evacuation	9-95
9.4828	Insurer, surety, or other person who has compensated victim for loss	9-95
9.48281	In general	9-95
9.48282	County department of health and social services that has paid for victim's hospital expenses is insurer entitled to restitution	9-95
9.48283	Includes person (e.g., stepfather) who has installed security system to alleviate effect of crime on victim	9-96
9.48284	Requirement that restitution be made "if justice so requires"	9-96
9.48285	Insurer's entitlement to restitution is dependent on insured's status as victim	9-96
9.4829	Other persons or entities	9-96
9.48291	In prosecution involving forged checks from victim's account, is bank that maintained victim's checking account a "victim"?	9-96
9.48292	In prosecution for securities fraud, victims outside of Wisconsin	9-96
9.48293	With respect to crime of bail jumping, person who posted cash bail that was forfeited as result of bail jumping is victim of crime	9-96
9.483	For what restitution may or may not be ordered	9-96
9.4831	General principles	9-96
9.48311	Restitution may be ordered for special damages, but not general damages	9-96
9.48312	What constitutes general damages in general	9-96
9.48313	What constitutes special damages in general	9-96
9.48314	Future losses: in general	9-97
9.48315	Future losses: speculative, unrealized, and unproven future losses	9-97
9.48316	Damages caused by defendant in general	9-97
9.48317	Damages suffered as a result of read-in offense (see sec. 9.4824)	9-97
9.48318	Damages attributable to completed crime when defendant only pleaded guilty to attempt to commit crime	9-97
9.48319	Harm caused by defendant's criminal acts when the acts of others contributed to victim's harm as well	9-97
9.4832	General principles (cont'd)	9-97

9.48321	Need to establish nexus between crime and damage for which restitution sought (see sec. 9.4813)	9-97
9.48322	"Crime considered at sentencing," for which restitution may be ordered, encompasses all facts and reasonable inferences concerning defendant's activity related to crime, not just those facts necessary to support elements of crime (see sec. 9.4814)	9-97
9.48323	Employee's lost time as result of crime may constitute special damages	9-97
9.48324	"Loss of use" of stolen item may constitute special damages	9-97
9.48325	Obligation to victim that has been discharged in bankruptcy (see sec. 9.48986)	9-98
9.48326	Damages to which one cannot attach fair market value	9-98
9.48327	Losses and damages associated with read-in offenses (see sec. 9.4824)	9-98
9.4833	Costs incurred, or damage suffered, by government agency as result of defendant's conduct	9-98
9.48331	In general	9-98
9.48332	Cost of fighting fire and cleaning up after fire caused by defendant's criminal operation of vehicle	9-98
9.48333	Costs incurred by police department as result of police standoff with defendant who is convicted of offenses arising out of standoff (e.g., failure to comply with officer's attempt to take person into custody, obstructing officer, etc.)	9-98
9.48334	Cost of "stop sticks" used to stop defendant fleeing from officer	9-98
9.48335	Funds used by police to make purchase of drugs that resulted in defendant's conviction ("buy money") (see sec. 9.48363)	9-98
9.48336	Damage done to governmental property as result of defendant's conduct (see sec. 9.48373)	9-99
9.48337	Cost of replacing squad car damaged as result of chase to apprehend defendant	9-99
9.48338	Loss of services of teachers and other staff during period of evacuation caused by defendant making false bomb scare	9-99
9.4834	Particular items upon conviction of crime of theft by employe	9-99
9.48341	Value of unearned vacation time and benefits during trips when defendant wrongfully used employer's credit card	9-99
9.48342	Personal use of automobile while on personal trips during which defendant wrongfully used employer's credit card	9-99
9.48343	Cost of audit	9-99
9.48344	Cost of auditor's testimony	9-99
9.48345	Cost of hiring replacement for defendant	9-99
9.48346	Cost of business trip that defendant claimed was legitimate	9-99

9.48347	Used sick leave: in general	9-99
9.48348	Used sick leave: value that used sick leave would have had as source to create, upon retirement, fund for health insurance premiums	9-99
9.48349	Loss of future earning capacity	9-99
9.4835	Attorney's fees	9-99
9.48351	In general	9-99
9.48352	Attorney's fees incurred by victim to recover damages from third party civilly responsible for defendant's criminal activity (e.g., the accounting firm for which defendant worked when he defrauded victims)	9-100
9.48353	Attorney's fees incurred by victim of theft by contractor	9-100
9.4836	Other particular items	9-100
9.48361	Victim's tuition to attend another school when crime has caused victim harassment and other problems at school she would normally attend	9-100
9.48362	Necessary medical and related professional services	9-100
9.48363	Funds used by police to make purchase of drugs that resulted in conviction ("buy money")	9-100
9.48364	State crime lab fees	9-100
9.48365	Lock purchased by victim	9-100
9.48366	Obligation to victim that has been discharged in bankruptcy (see sec. 9.48986)	9-100
9.48367	Victim's costs for mental health treatment even though mental problems were partially product of problems other than those caused by defendant	9-100
9.48368	Costs associated with victim's funeral in prosecution for hit and run in accident causing death	9-101
9.48369	Losses suffered by victims of securities fraud	9-101
9.4837	Other particular items (cont'd)	9-101
9.48371	Extradition expenses	9-101
9.48372	Damage caused by police officer in attempts to arrest defendant at crime scene	9-101
9.48373	Damage done to governmental property as result of defendant's conduct	9-101
9.48374	Victim employer's lost employee time spent investigating defendant's criminal activity	9-101
9.48375	Security system installed to alleviate effect of crime on victim	9-101
9.48376	Lost wages: limited to person against whom crime was committed; does not include relative (e.g., parent, stepfather, etc.) who is required to take off work to attend court appearances	9-101
9.48377	Rental charges for temporary replacement of stolen item	9-101

9.48378	Loss caused because defendant's criminal activity provided opportunity for third party to effect loss (e.g., loss of valuables in victim's auto and damage to victim's auto caused by third party who was provided opportunity to steal valuables and damage auto by defendant's robbery of victim at gunpoint after removing victim from vicinity of auto, which victim had just unlocked)	9-102
9.4838	Other particular items (cont'd)	9-102
9.48381	In theft by contractor case, monies expended by victim to correct work done by defendant-contractor	9-102
9.48382	Lost profits	9-102
9.484	Determining amount of restitution	9-102
9.4841	General principles	9-102
9.48411	Fixing amount of restitution in general	9-102
9.48412	Amount of restitution committed to sound discretion of trial court	9-102
9.48413	May exceed amount found by jury	9-102
9.48414	May restitution order exceed defendant's ability to pay during term of sentence or probation? (see sec. 9.4846)	9-102
9.48415	Factors court must consider in setting amount of restitution	9-102
9.4842	Procedure and burden of proof with respect to setting amount of restitution	9-102
9.48421	In general	9-102
9.48422	Burden of proof: in general	9-103
9.48423	Burden of proof: on question whether defendant entitled to setoff against amount of restitution ordered	9-103
9.48424	In setting amount of restitution, strict adherence to rules of practice, procedure, pleading, or evidence and burden of proof not required	9-103
9.48425	Time within which restitution hearing must be held and within which restitution must be set (see sec. 9.4872)	9-103
9.4843	Requirement of consideration of ability to pay	9-103
9.48431	In general	9-103
9.48432	Defendant waives right to claim he did not have ability to pay if he did not raise that objection at time restitution ordered	9-103
9.48433	Defendant waives right to claim he did not have ability to pay if he did not present testimony concerning his ability to pay at time restitution ordered	9-103
9.48434	Offender's ability to pay restitution should not be restricted to financial condition only at moment of sentencing, but may be reconsidered at later time	9-104
9.48435	Court must consider ability to pay at time of imposition of restitution; it may not defer consideration to later date	9-104
9.48436	In considering ability to pay, must court determine how much defendant will be able to pay during term of sentence or probation?	9-104

9.48437	May restitution order exceed defendant's ability to pay during term of sentence? (see sec. 9.48414)	9-104
9.4844	Offsetting or reducing amount of restitution awarded	9-104
9.48441	Need to offset reimbursement for mental health expenses when mental health problems were result not only of defendant's conduct but also of preexisting problems	9-104
9.48442	Offsetting amounts paid by defendant to victims against amount of restitution (see sec. 9.4845)	9-104
9.48443	Defendant not entitled to have restitution award reduced by amount owed by payee of award	9-104
9.48444	In theft by contractor cases, offsetting amount victim paid defendant with amount defendant expended on project: in general	9-104
9.48445	In theft by contractor cases, offsetting amount victim paid defendant with amount defendant expended on project: offset may be reduced by amount victim spent to correct defects in work defendant paid for	9-104
9.4845	Offsetting amounts paid by defendant to victims against amount of restitution	9-104
9.48451	In general	9-105
9.48452	Restitution for special damages may not be offset by defendant's payment to victim for general damages	9-105
9.48453	Defendant has burden of proving facts sufficient to show that he is entitled to set-off claimed	9-105
9.48454	When defendant has defrauded victims of money through fraudulent investment scheme, determining whether payments made by defendant are return of capital to investors or interest payments	9-105
9.4846	May restitution order exceed defendant's ability to pay during term of sentence or probation?	9-105
9.48461	No (court of appeals' answer in Loutsch)	9-105
9.48462	Yes (supreme court's answer in Fernandez, overruling Loutsch)	9-105
9.4847	Procedures for determining amount of restitution that are set out in statute are exclusive; court may not adopt different procedure (e.g., it may not order that restitution is to be determined by Department of Corrections)	9-105
9.48471	In general	9-105
9.48472	Remedy when trial court fails to follow prescribed procedure	9-105
9.4848	Failure to contest imposition of restitution and its amount at sentencing constitutes "constructive" stipulation to restitution order, foreclosing future challenge to it (see sec. 9.4861)	9-105
9.4849	Other matters	9-106
9.48491	Fixing amount of lost profits	9-106
9.48492	Court has no authority to impose interest as part of restitution award	9-106
9.485	What may, or may not, be defense to imposition and award of restitution	9-106
9.4851	In general	9-106

9.4852	Defendant was not cause of damage	9-106
9.4853	Civil statute of limitations	9-106
9.4854	Accord and satisfaction; settlement	9-106
9.4855	Set-off (see sec. 9.4845)	9-106
9.4856	Contributory negligence	9-106
9.4857	Fact that civil liability to victim had been discharged in bankruptcy	9-106
9.486	Waiver of challenge to restitution order	9-106
9.4861	Failure to object to restitution amount as inappropriate at time it is imposed constitutes waiver of claim that restitution amount was inappropriate	9-106
9.4862	Failure to contest imposition of restitution and/or its amount at sentencing constitutes "constructive" stipulation to restitution order, foreclosing future challenge to it	9-106
9.4863	Waiver of right to object to order relating to restitution by suggesting or accepting order without objection	9-107
9.4864	Person who agrees to pay all requested restitution in attempt to gain probation is not judicially estopped from challenging restitution order when imprisonment ordered	9-107
9.487	Procedure and burden of proof at hearing imposing restitution	9-107
9.4871	In general	9-107
9.4872	Time within which restitution hearing must be held and within which restitution must be set	9-107
9.48721	In general	9-107
9.48722	Time limit on holding hearing is directory not mandatory	9-107
9.48723	Court may impose restitution outside statutory time frame as long as (1) valid reasons exist for delay and (2) defendant has not be prejudiced by delay	9-107
9.48724	Even when there is no valid reason for delay, imposing restitution outside statutory time frame may be permissible if defendant has not been prejudiced by delay	9-107
9.48725	Relevance of defendant's legitimate expectation of finality in sentence without restitution in determining whether court may impose restitution outside statutory time frame	9-107
9.4873	Matter of restitution may not be held open for more than 90 days	9-108
9.4874	Procedures for determining amount of restitution that are set out in statute are exclusive; court may not adopt different procedure (e.g., it may not order that restitution is to be determined by Department of Corrections) (see sec. 9.4847)	9-108
9.4875	At restitution hearing, strict adherence to rules of practice, procedure, pleading, or evidence and burden of proof not required	9-108
9.4876	Court may hold separate restitution hearing independent of sentencing hearing	9-108
9.4877	Factors court must consider in determining whether to order restitution	9-108

9.4878	Court may consider claims for restitution submitted after sentencing, but before conclusion of restitution hearing	9-108
9.4879	Other matters	9-108
9.48791	Need for advance notice to defendant of claims for restitution being made	9-108
9.48792	Defendant's rights at hearing in general	9-108
9.48793	Defendant has no right to discovery in restitution proceeding except for good cause shown	9-108
9.488	Appellate review of trial court's decisions relating to restitution	9-108
9.4881	In general	9-108
9.4882	Standard of review in general	9-109
9.4883	Review of whether circuit court had authority to order restitution under particular set of facts	9-109
9.4884	Review of whether there was sufficient nexus between defendant's criminal conduct and damage for which restitution ordered	9-109
9.4885	Review of whether trial court had authority to order restitution for particular item	9-109
9.4886	Review of determination of amount of restitution	9-109
9.4887	Review of determination whether claimant is "victim"	9-109
9.4888	Review of decision relating to restitution that turns on question of statutory interpretation	9-110
9.489	Other matters	9-110
9.4891	Methods of obtaining restitution for victim's unmanifested injuries and possible future needs	9-110
9.4892	Cash bail may not be applied toward restitution (see sec. 6.33841)	9-110
9.4893	Effect of civil settlement on restitution	9-110
9.48931	On court's power to order restitution (see sec. 9.4854)	9-110
9.48932	On restitution award while restitution order is in effect during probation	9-110
9.48933	On restitution award after civil judgment entered for amount of unpaid restitution when probation ends	9-110
9.4894	Restitution as a condition of probation	9-110
9.48941	In general (see sec. 9.634)	9-110
9.48942	Authority to impose restitution as condition of probation is broader than authority to impose restitution when defendant sentenced (see sec. 9.63497)	9-110
9.4895	Sources from which defendant may or may not be required to satisfy restitution obligation	9-110
9.48951	May not require defendant to withdraw money in pension fund subject to anti-alienation clause required by ERISA	9-110
9.48952	Prison wages, earnings, and accounts, including gifted funds from friends and family	9-110
9.4896	Burden of proof on matters relating to award of restitution	9-110
9.48961	In general	9-110
9.48962	On amount of restitution to be ordered (see sec. 9.48422)	9-110
9.4897	Other matters	9-110

9.48971	Court need not inform defendant about possibility of restitution in order to accept valid guilty plea (see sec. 7.21943)	9-111
9.48972	Restitution requirement is mandatory; sentence that fails to provide for restitution is unlawful and subject to amendment	9-111
9.48973	Modifying judgment of conviction and sentence to include restitution after restitution initially omitted from judgment	9-111
9.48974	Amending restitution order to clarify arguably ambiguous provision does not violate double jeopardy	9-111
9.48975	Court's authority to amend restitution order to clarify arguably ambiguous provision does not depend on existence of "new factor"	9-111
9.48976	Double jeopardy protection applies to restitution orders	9-111
9.4898	Other matters (cont'd)	9-111
9.48981	Setting amount of restitution after defendant sentenced and committed to prison does not increase sentence in violation of prohibition on double jeopardy (see sec. 29.693)	9-111
9.48982	Propriety of ordering restitution for special damages when defendant incarcerated rather than placed on probation	9-111
9.48983	Application of payments made by defendant to restitution	9-111
9.48984	When restitution improperly collected, trial court lacks competency within context of criminal prosecution and ancillary proceedings to order state to refund money improperly collected	9-111
9.48985	For crimes committed before September 1, 1998, restitution obligation ceases upon commitment to prison	9-111
9.48986	Dischargeability of restitution obligation in bankruptcy	9-112
9.48987	Applicability of rules of evidence at restitution hearing	9-112
9.48988	Restitution may be ordered to be paid directly to insurer	9-112
9.4899	Other matters (cont'd)	9-112
9.48991	Standing to challenge restitution order	9-112
9.48992	Court may order that restitution be disbursed from defendant's prison wages, earnings, and accounts, including gifted funds from friends and family (see sec. 9.48952)	9-112
9.48993	When restitution order not authorized by restitution statute may state re-characterize restitution as condition of probation and attempt to defend it on ground that it was proper condition of probation?	9-112
9.48994	Defendant's right to discovery in restitution proceeding	9-112

	9.48995	Restitution statute is to be broadly construed to allow victims to recover damages resulting from criminal conduct (see sec. 9.4818)	9-112
9.49		Other Sentencing Problems	9-112
	9.491	Setting eligibility for parole or extended supervision for Class A felony	9-112
	9.4911	Constitutionality of trial court's setting of parole eligibility	9-112
	9.4912	General principles and standards for trial court's determination of appropriate parole or extended supervision eligibility date	9-113
	9.49121	In general	9-113
	9.49122	Sufficiency of explanation of factors considered in setting eligibility date	9-113
	9.4913	Date may be set beyond defendant's expected lifetime	9-113
	9.4914	Propriety of eligibility determination in particular case	9-113
	9.4915	Need to award credit for presentence custody when trial court sets parole eligibility date (see sec. 9.369)	9-113
	9.4916	When court opts to determine parole eligibility, it cannot provide that defendant shall not be eligible for parole; it must set specific parole eligibility date (rule before statute was amended to permit declaration that defendant is not eligible for parole)	9-113
	9.49161	In general	9-113
	9.49162	When court improperly declares that defendant shall not be eligible for parole, instead of setting parole eligibility date, proper remedy is remand to trial court for setting of date, not modification of judgment to provide that defendant is eligible for parole under statutory formula	9-113
	9.4917	Modification of parole eligibility date set by trial court based on defendant's good prison record	9-113
	9.4918	Appellate review of trial court's determination of parole or extended supervision eligibility date	9-113
	9.4919	Other matters	9-113
	9.49191	Trial court not required, as part of plea colloquy, to inform defendant that it could set parole eligibility date (see sec. 7.21962)	9-114
	9.49192	Because sentencing court sets parole eligibility date using same discretionary balancing of factors that govern imposition of prison sentence, court not required to separately articulate these factors twice, first for sentencing and then again for establishing parole eligibility date	9-114
9.492		Meaning of terms relating to sentencing	9-114
	9.4921	"Sentence"	9-114
	9.49211	In general	9-114
	9.49212	Probation is not sentence: in general	9-114
	9.49213	Probation is not sentence: "sentencing" may encompass imposition of probation (see sec. 9.49232)	9-115
	9.49214	Probation is not sentence: but probation is "sentence" so that motion to withdraw guilty plea after imposition of probation is governed by post-sentencing standard	9-115

9.49215	Probation is not sentence: but person on probation is "in custody under sentence" and thus entitled to seek relief under Wis. Stat. § 974.06	9-115
9.49216	Commitment under sex crimes law is not sentence (see sec. 9.773)	9-115
9.49217	Trial court's order extending maximum period of confinement under intensive sanctions program is not sentence	9-115
9.49218	Incarceration or confinement imposed as condition of probation is not sentence	9-115
9.49219	Commitment upon adjudication of juvenile delinquency is not sentence; juvenile disposition is not sentence	9-116
9.4922	"Sentence" (cont'd)	9-116
9.49221	Does time spent on a parole hold constitute time spent serving a sentence?	9-116
9.49222	Does time spent in jail as condition of probation constitute time spent serving sentence?	9-116
9.49223	Definition depends on purpose of statute	9-116
9.49224	Sentence is term of incarceration or supervision on parole which continues until final discharge	9-116
9.49225	NGI commitment is not sentence	9-116
9.49226	Meaning of "sentence" depends on particular statute involved and setting to which statute applies	9-116
9.4923	"Sentencing"	9-116
9.49231	In general	9-116
9.49232	Encompasses initial disposition of case after plea, whether or not disposition involves actual imposition of "sentence"	9-116
9.49233	Encompasses order determining period of reconfinement after revocation of extended supervision	9-116
9.4924	"Sentence of imprisonment"	9-117
9.4925	"Imprisonment"	9-117
9.49251	In general	9-117
9.49252	Jail time as condition of probation is not imprisonment	9-117
9.49253	As used in truth-in-sentencing provisions	9-117
9.49254	As used in the interstate agreement on detainees	9-117
9.4926	"Serving" or "service of" sentence	9-117
9.49261	In general	9-117
9.49262	Does not require that person be confined; defendant released on parole is serving sentence	9-117
9.4927	"Imposed"	9-117
9.4928	"Crime prevention organization" (see sec. 47.87121)	9-117
9.4929	Other terms	9-117
9.49291	"Victim"	9-117
9.49292	"Confinement"	9-117
9.49293	"Maximum term of imprisonment" for offense	9-117
9.493	Construction of sentence imposed	9-118
9.4931	In general	9-118

9.4932	Intent of sentencing judge controls determination of terms of sentence	9-118
9.4933	Oral pronouncement controls over written judgment (see sec. 9.4987)	9-118
9.4934	Determining whether sentence is consecutive or concurrent when oral pronouncement silent on the subject (see sec. 9.425)	9-118
9.4935	In determining whether sentence is ambiguous, and thus in need of construction, test of ambiguity applied in statutory-construction context is applicable	9-118
9.494	Commencement and termination of sentence	9-118
9.4941	When sentence commences	9-118
9.49411	In general	9-118
9.49412	In case of person under NGI commitment, at time sentence imposed	9-118
9.4942	In case of person under chap. 980 (sexually violent person) commitment, at time sentence imposed unless sentence stayed	9-118
9.4943	When sentence terminates	9-118
9.49431	Service of sentence less good time earned does not terminate sentence	9-118
9.495	Intensive sanctions	9-119
9.4951	Program and procedures in general	9-119
9.4952	This disposition not barred because sentencing guidelines indicate probation as appropriate disposition	9-119
9.4953	Trial court's extension of defendant's period of confinement	9-119
9.49531	In general	9-119
9.49532	Court of appeal's jurisdiction over appeal from trial court order extending defendant's period of confinement under intensive sanctions program (see sec. 9.49552)	9-119
9.49533	Constitutionality of statute permitting extension of period of confinement	9-119
9.49534	Trial court's exercise of discretion in extending period of confinement	9-119
9.49535	Defendant has no right to allocution at extension hearing	9-119
9.49536	Defendant's right to notice of claimed violation for which extension sought	9-119
9.4954	Due process hearing not required before termination from Division of Intensive Sanctions and return to prison	9-119
9.4955	Appellate review of orders and ruling relating to intensive sanctions	9-119
9.49551	Review of this disposition governed by same standards as review of ordinary exercise of sentencing discretion	9-119
9.49552	Court of appeals' jurisdiction over appeal from trial court order extending defendant's period of confinement under intensive sanctions program: order is not a sentence and, therefore, is not reviewable under Wis. Stat. § 809.30, but it is reviewable by way of common law writ of certiorari	9-119

9.4956	Defendant placed in community residential confinement as part of intensive sanctions program can be charged with escape when he cuts off electronic monitoring bracelet and fails to return to confinement (see sec. 35.44644)	9-120
9.4957	Intensive sanctions program reflects a custodial situation more akin to prison confinement than the conditional liberty associated with probation or parole	9-120
9.496	Other sentencing problems	9-120
9.4961	Increasing sentence on resentencing (see sec. 9.88)	9-120
9.4962	Credit required by statute for time spent on original sentence when defendant resentenced after setting aside or reversal of original conviction (Wis. Stat. § 973.04)	9-120
9.49621	In general	9-120
9.49622	Credit not required on incarceration or confinement imposed as condition of probation	9-120
9.49623	Credit not required when original sentence was concurrent to another sentence and new sentence is made consecutive to that other sentence	9-120
9.4963	Prosecutorial misconduct at sentencing	9-120
9.49631	In general	9-120
9.49632	Test for determining when misconduct requires new sentencing	9-120
9.49633	Waiver of complaint about misconduct by failure to object	9-120
9.49634	Particular misconduct: active and passive misrepresentation of facts to sentencing court	9-120
9.497	Other sentencing problems (cont'd)	9-120
9.4971	Diversion agreement	9-120
9.49711	Revocation of agreement, on motion of state, because defendant failed to comply with its conditions	9-120
9.49712	Vacation of agreement, on motion of defendant, because defendant allegedly did not understand its terms	9-121
9.49713	Requirement that, in revoking agreement, trial court abide by its terms (e.g., consider alternatives to revocation if that is called for by agreement)	9-121
9.49714	Effect of trial court's "over-warning" defendant of consequences of violation of diversion agreement insofar as its potential revocation is concerned	9-121
9.4972	Sentence as cruel and unusual punishment	9-121
9.49721	In general (see sec. 30.931)	9-121
9.49722	Imposition of capital punishment (see sec. 9.5)	9-121
9.4973	Remedying illegal, unconstitutional or unauthorized sentence	9-121
9.49731	Vehicle for remedying sentence: sec. 974.06 motion is not exclusive remedy; defendant may have his sentence reviewed on direct appeal	9-121
9.4974	Sentencing upon revocation of probation	9-121
9.49741	Court's duties at sentencing after revocation of probation (e.g., duty to explain reasons for sentence imposed) are same as court's duties at original sentencing	9-121

9.49742	Double jeopardy limitations on sentencing upon revocation of probation (see sec. 29.761)	9-121
9.49743	Modification of sentence when defendant acquitted of charge that produced revocation	9-121
9.49744	Use of statements made as part of treatment required as condition of probation when sentencing upon revocation of probation	9-121
9.49745	Requirement, under federal law, that if person serving probation possesses illegal drugs, court must revoke probation and sentence defendant to "not less than one-third of the original sentence"	9-121
9.49746	Court may consider all relevant information arising after defendant was placed on probation, including acts which led to revocation	9-122
9.49747	Defendant may appeal sentence imposed upon revocation of probation, but is barred from challenging underlying judgment of conviction unless relief from conviction was timely sought (see sec. 11.3172)	9-122
9.49748	When same judge, who presided over original sentencing also presides at sentencing after revocation, judge does not have to restate reasons supporting original sentencing; original sentencing reasons will be deemed to be implicitly adopted (see sec. 9.2192)	9-122
9.49749	When different judges preside at original sentencing and at sentencing after revocation, judge presiding over sentencing after revocation should familiarize himself with trial testimony, original sentencing judge's sentencing comments, and presentence report prepared for original sentencing (see sec. 9.2193)	9-122
9.4975	Sentencing upon revocation of probation (cont'd)	9-122
9.49751	Once probation is revoked, court may not again impose probation	9-122
9.49752	Related matter: sentencing upon revocation of extended supervision (see sec. 41.74)	9-122
9.4976	Legislature's role in sentencing	9-122
9.49761	Sentencing is matter of legislative policy, and power to sentence is derived solely from statutes	9-122
9.49762	Primary responsibility for fixing criminal penalties lies with legislature	9-123
9.49763	Sentencing is an area of shared powers between the judiciary and the legislative branches	9-123
9.49764	It is legislative province to prescribe punishment and judicial province to impose it	9-123
9.49765	If authority to fashion particular criminal disposition exists, it must derive from statutes	9-123
9.49766	Circuit court's sentencing authority is constrained by statute	9-123
9.4977	Earned release program (ERP)	9-123
9.49771	In general	9-123
9.49772	Constitutionality of program	9-123

9.49773	Determining at sentencing whether defendant is eligible for program (see sec. 9.1997)	9-123
9.49774	Determining whether defendant whose sentence was imposed before July 26, 2003, is eligible for program: requirement of DOC's approval of defendant's petition in general	9-123
9.49775	Determining whether defendant whose sentence was imposed before July 26, 2003, is eligible for program: DOC's policy of refusal to take action on defendant's petition constitutes approval of petition	9-124
9.49776	Determining whether defendant whose sentence was imposed before July 26, 2003, is eligible for program: what information should DOC provide court	9-124
9.4978	Challenge incarceration ("boot camp") program (CIP)	9-124
9.49781	In general	9-124
9.49782	Determining whether defendant eligible for program (see sec. 9.1998)	9-124
9.49783	Court's eligibility determination does not place defendant into CIP; it is but one criteria considered by DOC, which makes decision whether defendant should participate in program (see sec. 9.19986)	9-124
9.4979	Staying Sentence After Imposition	9-124
9.49791	In general	9-124
9.49792	Fact that defendant is under NGI commitment may constitute "legal cause" for staying sentence	9-124
9.49793	Fact that defendant is under chap. 980 (sexually violent person) commitment may constitute "legal cause" for staying sentence	9-125
9.49794	Staying sentence so as to make it consecutive to commitment imposed upon failure to pay forfeiture	9-125
9.498	Other sentencing problems (cont'd)	9-125
9.4981	No denial of equal protection in denying good time credit for period of pretrial confinement (see sec. 23.6112)	9-125
9.4982	Statute curing error in sentence in excess of that authorized by law (Wis. Stat. § 973.13)	9-125
9.49821	Operation of this statute in general	9-125
9.49822	When this statute invoked to cure error in excessive sentence, trial court may modify valid portion of sentence to effectuate goal and premise of sentence as originally imposed	9-125
9.49823	Statute applies to enhanced sentence imposed on repeater under habitual criminality statute when state has neither proven, nor gained the defendant's admission of, the defendant's repeater status	9-125
9.49824	Statute applies when defendant has been sentenced as habitual traffic offender after defendant's status as habitual traffic offender has been rescinded	9-125
9.49825	Does this statute apply when trial court has improperly used penalty enhancer to increase extended supervision portion of bifurcated sentence?	9-126

9.49826	This statute does not bar state from arguing that failure to object to evidence establishing repeater status bars consideration of that objection on appeal	9-126
9.49827	Defendant cannot use Wis. Stat. § 973.13, as interpreted in Flowers decision, to evade Escalona-Naranjo rule on any issue other than whether sentence imposed was in excess of maximum term authorized by law (which includes enhanced penalty with respect to which state has not proven, or gained defendant's admission to, prior conviction upon which enhanced penalty based) (see sec. 10.2485)	9-126
9.4983	Required credit for time spent on original sentence when defendant resentenced after setting aside or reversal of original conviction	9-126
9.49831	Credit required by due process (see sec. 22.621)	9-126
9.49832	Credit required by double jeopardy (see sec. 29.778)	9-126
9.49833	Credit required by statute (Wis. Stat. § 973.04) (see sec. 9.4962)	9-126
9.4984	Imposition of DNA surcharge	9-126
9.49841	In general	9-126
9.49842	When surcharge authorized: in general	9-126
9.49843	When surcharge authorized: under present statutes, may be ordered even when defendant not ordered to provide DNA sample	9-126
9.49844	Constitutionality of imposing DNA surcharge on convicted burglars whether or not DNA sample given (see sec. 23.667)	9-126
9.49845	In cases in which court has discretion whether or not to impose DNA surcharge, court should consider any and all pertinent factors and should set forth in record factors it considered and rationale underlying its decision to impose DNA surcharge	9-127
9.49846	In cases in which court has discretion whether or not to impose DNA surcharge, court cannot impose surcharge simply because it can or simply to support DNA database costs; such reasons would permit imposition of surcharge in every case	9-127
9.4985	Stay of sentence	9-127
9.49851	In general	9-127
9.49852	Fact that defendant under NGI commitment does not constitute "legal cause" for staying sentence	9-127
9.4986	When concurrent sentence authorized or appropriate	9-127
9.49861	In general	9-127
9.49862	Sentence may not be made concurrent to NGI commitment	9-127
9.4987	Where conflict exists between court's oral pronouncement of sentence (including restitution and other related matters) and a written judgment, the oral pronouncement controls	9-127
9.49871	In general	9-127

9.49872	Rule applies only when oral pronouncement is plain and unambiguous	9-128
9.49873	Where oral pronouncement is ambiguous, appellate court may look to written judgment to determine trial court's intent (see sec. 12.73952)	9-128
9.4988	Determining penalty that applies to continuing crime when course of conduct constituting continuing crime commences prior to date of penalty change, but concludes after that date	9-128
9.49881	In general	9-128
9.49882	When penalty change occurs before all elements of continuing crime are present	9-128
9.4989	Correction of sentence	9-128
9.49891	Correction of clerical error in sentence portion of judgment of conviction (see sec. 12.737)	9-128
9.499	Other sentencing problems (cont'd)	9-128
9.4991	Special assessment for crime victims fund established by federal law	9-128
9.49911	Constitutionality of assessment	9-128
9.4992	Effect on sentence of plea agreement that violates public policy	9-128
9.49921	In general	9-128
9.49922	Agreement that keeps relevant information from trial court	9-128
9.4993	Expungement of record of misdemeanor conviction of person under twenty-one	9-128
9.49931	Authority of court commissioner to order expungement	9-128
9.49932	Expungement provision does not apply to forfeitures	9-128
9.49933	Expungement requires physical destruction of records	9-128
9.49934	Expunged conviction cannot be used for impeachment	9-129
9.49935	Expungement does not require law enforcement agencies, such as district attorney's office, to destroy records relating to expunged conviction	9-129
9.49936	In sentencing defendant, trial court may properly consider behavior underlying expunged conviction (see sec. 9.24393)	9-129
9.4994	Service of sentences both to state prison for felonies and to county jail or house of correction for misdemeanors	9-129
9.49941	All sentences are to be served in state prison	9-129
9.49942	Person serving sentences for both felonies and misdemeanors in state prison is subject to parole, and to parole revocation, not only on felony sentences but also on misdemeanor sentences when he commits parole violation (see sec. 41.3987)	9-129
9.4995	Other sentencing problems	9-129
9.49951	Sentencing when proscribed conduct violates two statutes with different penalties	9-129
9.49952	Authority to impose restitution as condition of sentence	9-129
9.49953	Imposition of maximum sentence	9-129

9.49954	Prisoner transported out of state to serve Wisconsin sentence by confinement in another state and then transported back to Wisconsin through another state has no right to insist that he be extradited from that other state in order for Wisconsin to retain jurisdiction over him (see sec. 6.2594)	9-129
9.49955	Wisconsin does not waive jurisdiction over prisoner by transporting prisoner out of state for confinement in another state then transporting him back to Wisconsin through another state without using extradition process to extradite him from that state (see sec. 6.2595)	9-130
9.49956	Fashioning sentence is not exercise of broad inherent court powers; authority to impose particular disposition must derive from statutes (see sec. 9.49761)	9-130
9.49957	Wisconsin prisoner incarcerated in Oklahoma under Wisconsin sentence is not entitled to earned credits against sentence that are awarded Oklahoma prisoners under Oklahoma law	9-130
9.49958	Constitutionality of imposing mandatory minimum sentence based on defendant's use of weapon when use of weapon not proved beyond reasonable doubt to jury (see sec. 9.465)	9-130
9.49959	Requirement of federal Comprehensive Crime Control Act that anyone who commits felony while on release pending judicial proceedings must be sentenced to at least two years' imprisonment in addition to sentence imposed for underlying felony	9-130
9.4996	Other sentencing problems (cont'd)	9-130
9.49961	When record is silent as to whether sentence is consecutive or concurrent, it is concurrent (see sec. 9.4251)	9-130
9.49962	Federal court revoking supervised release had power to order that reincarceration imposed upon revocation of supervised release be followed by another supervised release term, prior to enactment of present provision of supervised release statute expressly authorizing imposition of another supervised release term	9-130
9.49963	Person released on parole is serving sentence (see sec. 9.49262)	9-130
9.49964	Place of imprisonment	9-130
9.49965	Reduction of period of custody for federal prisoner who successfully completes substance abuse treatment program (see sec. 41.2981)	9-131
9.49966	Prosecutor cannot bind Department of Corrections by promise made in plea bargaining regarding matter within control of department (e.g., whether defendant will be transferred to out-of-state prison) (see sec. 7.1989)	9-131

9.49967	Court cannot bind Department of Corrections by sentencing provision addressing matter within control of department (e.g., whether defendant will be transferred to out-of-state prison) (see sec. 9.2898)	9-131
9.49968	Computation of good time credits	9-131
9.49969	Bail after sentencing (see secs. 6.3324 and 6.3325)	9-131
9.4997	Other sentencing problems (cont'd)	9-131
9.49971	Authority of trial court to order crime lab fees to be paid as part of a criminal sentence	9-131
9.49972	Effect of conviction	9-131
9.49973	Authority to impose consecutive periods of probation (see sec. 9.6163)	9-131
9.49974	Need for entry of judgment of conviction before sentencing (see sec. 9.191)	9-131
9.49975	Trial court may place parole recommendation in formal judgment of conviction (see sec. 12.734)	9-131
9.49976	Propriety of "time served" sentence	9-131
9.49977	Sentencing court may not place conditions on sentence (e.g., requirements relating to treatment of defendant in prison) that DOC must follow; decisions relating to program placement and other matters relating to imprisoned defendant are committed solely to DOC	9-132
9.49978	Credit against sentence for time successfully spent on parole	9-132
9.49979	Defendant who has agreed to sentence recommended by presentence report, which is then imposed by trial court, is judicially estopped from later challenging sentence as excessive (see sec. 9.936)	9-132
9.4998	Other sentencing problems (cont'd)	9-132
9.49981	Imposing costs on defendant as part of sentencing (see sec. 12.72)	9-132
9.49982	Amending judgment of conviction to lesser offense when defendant successfully completes probation (see sec. 9.448)	9-132
9.49983	Discretion of prosecutor to make sentence recommendation	9-132
9.49984	Read-ins (see sec. 9.193)	9-132
9.49985	Initial applicability of supervised release provisions of Anti-Drug Abuse Act	9-132
9.49986	Imposition of both fine and imprisonment	9-132
9.49987	Eligibility for parole (see sec. 41.21)	9-132
9.49988	Sentencing guidelines (see secs. 9.16 and 9.17)	9-132
9.49989	Imposing juvenile disposition on juvenile over whom adult court exercised original jurisdiction	9-132
9.4999	Other sentencing problems (cont'd)	9-132
9.49991	Court may not impose harsh sentence to "shock" defendant, intending to later reduce it	9-132

9.49992	Effect of court's belief at time sentence is imposed that penalty (e.g., length of imprisonment, confinement portion of sentence, etc.) is greater than that actually provided by law (see sec. 9.294)	9-133
9.49993	Sentencing and entry of judgment of sentence after petition for removal to federal court filed	9-133
9.49994	Sentencing is not game in which misstatement by trial judge would result in windfall to defendant	9-133
9.49995	Does circuit court have authority to sentence defendant to out-of-county jail?	9-133
9.49996	When defendant is serving sentence in county jail, court has no authority to transfer defendant to another county jail	9-133
9.49997	Read-ins (see sec. 9.193)	9-133
9.5	Imposition Of Capital Punishment	9-133
9.51	Constitutional And Other Restrictions On Imposition Of Death Penalty	9-133
9.511	In general	9-133
9.512	When factors to be considered in making decision are unconstitutionally vague (see sec. 9.523)	9-133
9.513	Discriminatory application of death penalty	9-133
9.5131	In general	9-133
9.5132	Statistical study showing that black defendants who killed white victims have greater likelihood of receiving death penalty does not establish a violation of equal protection or the prohibition on cruel and unusual punishment	9-133
9.514	Constitutionality of requiring imposition of sentence of death under specified circumstances	9-133
9.5141	In general	9-133
9.5142	Mandating death penalty for prison inmate who murders while serving life sentence without possibility of parole	9-134
9.515	Constitutionality of imposition of death sentence by trial judge after jury required to impose a nonbinding death sentence upon finding defendant guilty of any of certain aggravated crimes	9-134
9.516	Constitutionality of imposition of capital punishment for particular conduct or in particular circumstances	9-134
9.5161	Imposition of capital punishment on one who did not directly kill victim or intend death	9-134
9.5162	Imposition of capital punishment where crime did not result, or was not intended to result, in death of victim	9-134
9.5163	Imposition of capital punishment for rape of adult	9-134
9.5164	Imposition of capital punishment for rape of child where crime did not result, and was not intended to result, in victim's death	9-134
9.517	Constitutional restrictions on manner and method of execution	9-134
9.5171	In general	9-134
9.5172	Firing squad	9-134
9.5173	Electrocution	9-134
9.5174	Lethal injection	9-134
9.51741	In general	9-134
9.51742	Lethal injection of three-drug "cocktail"	9-134

9.518	Other matters	9-134
9.5181	Sentencer may neither refuse to consider nor be precluded from considering any relevant mitigating evidence (see sec. 9.528)	9-134
9.5182	Eighth Amendment permits sentencing jury to consider that governor may commute sentence (see sec. 9.564)	9-134
9.5183	Must jury, as opposed to judge, impose sentence of death or make findings prerequisite to imposition of such a sentence?	9-135
9.51831	Jury must make findings that are prerequisite to imposition of death sentence: in general	9-135
9.51832	Jury must make findings that are prerequisite to imposition of death sentence: retroactivity of requirement	9-135
9.5184	Due process limitations on imposition of death penalty when life imprisonment originally imposed, but initial conviction and sentence are overturned and case is retried (see sec. 22.635)	9-135
9.5185	Defendant's right to effective assistance in capital case	9-135
9.51851	In general	9-135
9.51852	Particular defects in counsel's performance (see sec. 25.3951)	9-135
9.5186	Due process limitations on prosecutor's use of inconsistent theories in attempting to obtain death sentences for co-actors in committing crime	9-135
9.5187	Due process limitations on use of shackling and other restraints during sentencing phase of capital case (see sec. 22.5414)	9-135
9.5188	Constitutionality of requiring imposition of death penalty when sentencing jury determines that aggravating evidence and mitigating evidence are in equipoise	9-135
9.5189	Other matters	9-135
9.51891	Eighth amendment does not require state to define weight sentencing judge must give to advisory jury verdict	9-135
9.51892	Does constitution prohibit requirement that jury unanimously reject death sentence before considering other sentencing alternatives?	9-135
9.519	Other matters (cont'd)	9-135
9.5191	Double jeopardy limitations on imposition of death penalty (see sec. 29.67)	9-135
9.5192	Permissibility of executing particular classes of persons	9-136
9.51921	Insane persons	9-136
9.51922	Mentally retarded persons	9-136
9.51923	Juveniles	9-136
9.51924	Procedures for determining whether capital defendant is member of class	9-136
9.5193	No requirement that jury impose sentence of death or make findings prerequisite to imposition of such a sentence	9-136
9.5194	Constitutionality of placing burden on defendant to establish mitigating circumstances by preponderance of the evidence	9-136
9.5195	Reversal of conviction used as aggravating circumstance invalidates death sentence	9-136

9.5196	Fact that aggravating circumstance found by jury duplicated element of offense does not make death sentence constitutionally infirm	9-136
9.5197	In capital case, defendant entitled to individualized treatment in the determination whether death sentence should be imposed	9-136
9.5198	Need for adequate notice that death sentence may be imposed at sentencing hearing	9-136
9.5199	Constitutionality of President's prescribing aggravating circumstances for purposes of imposing death penalty in court-martial (see sec. 47.8732)	9-137
9.52	Capital Decisionmaking Process	9-137
9.521	Eligibility decision in general	9-137
9.522	Selection decision in general	9-137
9.523	Need for clear and objective standards to channel capital sentencer's discretion; when factors to be considered in making decision are unconstitutionally vague	9-137
9.5231	General principles	9-137
9.52311	In general	9-137
9.52312	Effect of limiting construction by state appellate court	9-137
9.52313	If state appellate court adopts limiting construction, federal courts may find that limiting construction was applied in subsequent case even though decision adopting limiting construction was not cited in that case	9-137
9.5232	Constitutionality of standard of "especially heinous, cruel or depraved" or its equivalent	9-137
9.52321	In general	9-137
9.52322	Effect of limiting construction by state appellate court	9-138
9.52323	Retroactivity of holding that standard is unconstitutional	9-138
9.52324	If state appellate court adopts limiting construction, federal courts may find that limiting construction was applied in subsequent case even though decision adopting limiting construction was not cited in that case	9-138
9.5233	Constitutionality of factor that requires sentencer to consider the "circumstances of the crime of which the defendant was convicted and the existence of any special circumstances found to be true"	9-138
9.5234	Constitutionality of factor that requires sentencer to consider the presence or absence of criminal activity involving the use or attempted use of force or violence or the express or implied threat to use force or violence	9-138
9.5235	Constitutionality of factor that requires sentencer to consider the defendant's age at the time of the crime	9-138
9.5236	Constitutionality of standard of "exhibited utter disregard for human life"	9-138
9.524	Factors that may or may not legitimately be considered in imposing death penalty	9-138
9.5241	In general	9-138

9.5242	Defendant's future dangerousness	9-138
9.5243	Victim's personal characteristics about which the defendant was unaware	9-138
9.525	Effect of court's or jury's consideration of improper, invalid, or insufficiently proved aggravating circumstance	9-138
9.5251	In general	9-138
9.5252	When proper aggravating circumstance also found	9-139
9.5253	Appellate court, after invalidating one of two or more aggravating circumstances found by sentencer, may reweigh the aggravating and mitigating evidence to uphold the death sentence (see sec. 9.573)	9-139
9.5254	Applicability of harmless-error analysis (see sec. 9.574)	9-139
9.5255	Reversal of conviction used as aggravating circumstance invalidates death sentence (see sec. 9.5195)	9-139
9.5256	Retroactivity of holding that "if the sentencing judge in a 'weighing' state is required to give deference to jury's advisory sentencing recommendation, neither judge nor jury is constitutionally permitted to weigh invalid aggravating circumstance" (see sec. 47.247)	9-139
9.526	Constitutionality of imposition of death sentence by trial judge after jury required to impose a nonbinding death sentence upon finding defendant guilty of any of certain aggravated crimes (see sec. 9.516)	9-139
9.527	Constitutionality of requiring imposition of sentence of death under specified circumstances	9-139
9.5271	In general (see sec. 9.5151)	9-139
9.5272	Mandating death penalty for prison inmate who murders while serving life sentence without possibility of parole (see sec. 9.5152)	9-139
9.528	Sentencer may neither refuse to consider, nor be precluded from considering, any relevant mitigating evidence; defendant has right to introduce relevant mitigating evidence	9-139
9.5281	In general	9-139
9.5282	Constitution prohibits state from requiring jurors unanimously to agree that particular mitigating circumstance exists before they consider that circumstance	9-140
9.52821	In general	9-140
9.52822	Retroactivity of this prohibition	9-140
9.5283	Particular mitigating evidence	9-140
9.52831	Defendant's low IQ or mental retardation	9-140
9.52832	Defendant's good character	9-140
9.52833	Circumstances of offense	9-140
9.5284	State may limit innocence-related evidence defendant can introduce at penalty phase of trial to evidence he introduced at guilt phase	9-140
9.5285	Does defendant have right at penalty phase to introduce evidence designed to cast doubt on his guilt of crime of conviction?	9-140
9.5286	State may set reasonable limits on evidence defendant can submit and may control manner in which it is submitted	9-140
9.529	Other matters	9-140

9.5291	Trial court may override jury's recommendation of life imprisonment	9-140
9.5292	Use of victim impact statement	9-141
9.5293	Sentencer's consideration of, or reliance on, aggravating circumstances not sanctioned by state law does not invalidate death penalty	9-141
9.5294	No requirement that jury impose sentence of death or make findings prerequisite to imposition of such sentence (see sec. 9.5193)	9-141
9.5295	Constitutionality of placing burden on defendant to establish mitigating circumstances by preponderance of the evidence (see sec. 9.5194)	9-141
9.5296	Sentencer must be allowed to give effect to mitigating circumstances (e.g., defendant's mental retardation)	9-141
9.5297	Defendant entitled to individualized treatment in the determination whether death sentence should be imposed (see sec. 9.5197)	9-141
9.5298	Must jury, as opposed to judge, impose sentence of death or make findings prerequisite to imposition of such sentence? (see sec. 9.5183)	9-141
9.53	Jury Selection	9-141
9.531	Exclusion of jurors opposed to capital punishment (see sec. 26.452)	9-141
9.532	Requirement that capital defendant accused of interracial crime be permitted to question jury on issue of racial bias	9-141
9.533	Defendant has right to inquire of potential jurors on voir dire whether they would automatically impose the death penalty regardless of the facts, upon convicting the defendant of the crime charged	9-141
9.534	Defendant's right to exclude for cause potential juror who would automatically impose death penalty upon conviction, regardless of facts	9-142
9.54	Admission Of Evidence	9-142
9.541	Defendant's right to adduce relevant evidence to rebut state's case	9-142
9.542	Defendant's right to adduce evidence that life imprisonment is without possibility of parole to meet state's claim of future dangerousness	9-142
	9.5421 In general	9-142
	9.5422 Retroactivity of decision recognizing right (see sec. 47.271)	9-142
9.543	Defendant's right to introduce evidence of good behavior in prison	9-142
9.544	Admission of evidence regarding defendant's prior death sentence	9-142
9.545	Fact that evidence admitted at a capital sentencing proceeding is irrelevant as a matter of state law does not render its admission federal constitutional error	9-142
9.546	Admission of psychiatric testimony on issue of whether death penalty should be imposed	9-142
9.547	When evidentiary error relating only to one of multiple aggravating circumstances found, and other aggravating circumstances are sufficient to sustain defendant's candidacy for death penalty, conviction may still need to be reversed because evidence may have affected jury's ultimate decision to impose death penalty	9-142
9.55	Closing Argument	9-142
9.551	Propriety of prosecutor's argument suggesting jury not ultimately responsible for death sentence	9-142

9.5511	In general	9-142
9.5512	Retroactivity of rule prohibiting imposition of death sentence by sentencer that has been led by prosecutor's closing argument to false belief that responsibility for determining appropriateness of capital sentence rests elsewhere (see sec. 47.237)	9-142
9.552	Misleading jury regarding its role in sentencing process so as to diminish its sense of responsibility (see sec. 9.591)	9-143
9.56	Instructions, Deliberations, And Return Of Verdict	9-143
9.561	Defendant's right to instruction that life imprisonment is without possibility of parole when state has argued defendant's future dangerousness as basis for imposing capital punishment	9-143
9.5611	In general	9-143
9.5612	Instruction not required when defendant's ineligibility for parole not established at time of jury's deliberations	9-143
9.562	Capital defendant's right to instruction on other offenses	9-143
9.5621	Requirement that jury be instructed on lesser-included offenses	9-143
9.5622	No requirement that jury be instructed on offenses that are not lesser -included offenses of charged crime under state law	9-143
9.563	Propriety of instruction that jury should not be swayed by mere sympathy	9-143
9.564	Jury may be instructed that governor may commute sentence	9-143
9.5641	In general	9-143
9.5642	Effect of failure to instruct on limitations on governor's power to commute sentence	9-143
9.565	Misleading jury regarding its role in sentencing process so as to diminish its sense of responsibility (see sec. 9.591)	9-143
9.566	Coercing death sentence from jury by use of modified Allen charge	9-143
9.567	Court not required to give mitigating circumstance instruction when no evidence is offered to support instruction	9-144
9.568	Court not required to instruct jury as to consequences of failure to agree	9-144
9.569	Other matters	9-144
9.5691	Eighth Amendment does not require that capital jury be instructed on the concept of mitigating evidence generally, or on particular statutory mitigating factors	9-144
9.5692	Instructions must not preclude jury from considering any relevant mitigating evidence (see sec. 9.528)	9-144
9.5693	Constitution is not violated when judge directs jury's attention to specific paragraph of constitutionally sufficient instruction in response to question regarding proper consideration of mitigating circumstances	9-144
9.5694	Use of poll results to determine whether particular instruction is necessary	9-144
9.5695	Instructions must allow jury to give effect to mitigating circumstances (e.g., defendant's mental retardation)	9-144
9.5696	Harmless-error analysis to be applied to erroneous jury instruction	9-144
9.56961	On federal habeas corpus review	9-144
9.57	Appellate Review Of, And Other State Post-Conviction Challenge To, Decision To Impose Capital Sentence	9-144
9.571	Requirement of proportionality review by appellate court	9-144

9.572	Third party has no standing to challenge validity of death sentence imposed on capital defendant who has elected to forego right of appeal in state court	9-144
9.573	Appellate court, after invalidating one of two or more aggravating circumstances found by sentencer, may reweigh the aggravating and mitigating evidence to uphold the death sentence	9-144
9.574	Harmless-error analysis may be applied to jury's improper consideration of invalid aggravating circumstance	9-145
9.575	Indigent's right to counsel to pursue collateral postconviction challenge to conviction and death sentence	9-145
9.576	When evidentiary error relating only to one of multiple aggravating circumstances found, and other aggravating circumstances are sufficient to sustain defendant's candidacy for death penalty, conviction may still need to be reversed because evidence may have affected jury's ultimate decision to impose death penalty (see sec. 9.547)	9-145
9.58	Federal Court's Review Of Decision To Impose Capital Sentence	9-145
9.581	Defendant's right to counsel in federal habeas corpus proceeding challenging conviction (see sec. 10.6984)	9-145
9.582	Defendant's right to stay of sentence in federal habeas corpus proceeding attacking conviction (see sec. 10.654)	9-145
9.583	Stay of execution during federal review	9-145
	9.5831 In general	9-145
	9.5832 When federal court stays execution of state capital sentence, it should proceed to prompt resolution of the matter	9-145
	9.5833 When defendant challenges method of execution	9-145
9.584	Federal review of state court's application of aggravating circumstance	9-146
9.585	Compensation of counsel appointed to represent capital defendant in proceedings before United States Supreme Court	9-146
9.586	Permitting defendant to supplement record to support claim of ineffective assistance of counsel in capital case	9-146
9.587	Federal court may not deny stay or dismiss petition because defendant waited until last minute to seek federal habeas corpus review	9-146
9.588	Third party has no standing to challenge validity of death sentence imposed on capital defendant who has elected to forego right of appeal in state court	9-146
	9.5881 In general	9-146
	9.5882 Exception: when capital defendant is unable to litigate his own cause due to mental incapacity	9-146
9.59	Other Matters Relating To Imposition Of Capital Sentence	9-146
9.591	Misleading jury regarding its role in sentencing process so as to diminish its sense of responsibility	9-146
9.592	Defendant waives challenge to method of execution (e.g., by lethal gas) if he has been afforded choice of other method of execution and has decided to select method being challenged as unconstitutional	9-146
9.593	Propriety of defendant's reliance on polling data to support argument regarding defect in capital sentencing proceeding	9-146
9.594	Use of § 1983 civil rights action to challenge method of execution (see sec. 47.87922)	9-146
9.595	Constitutional restrictions on manner and method of execution (see sec. 9.517)	9-146

9.6 Probation And Its Conditions	9-146
9.61 Imposition Of Probation	9-146
9.611 Source of court's power to impose probation	9-146
9.612 When probation permitted	9-146
9.613 When probation appropriate; exercise of discretion whether to grant probation	9-147
9.614 Commencement of probation when made consecutive to sentence	9-147
9.615 Determining maximum possible length of probation	9-147
9.6151 Under TIS-II, maximum possible length of probation determined by maximum term of confinement, not maximum term of imprisonment, for offense	9-147
9.6152 For misdemeanor	9-147
9.61521 Generally, maximum term of probation for misdemeanor conviction is two years	9-147
9.61522 Cannot invoke Wis. Stat. § 973.09(2)(b)2. to increase term of probation for misdemeanor by one year for each associated felony conviction	9-147
9.6153 For felony	9-147
9.61531 In general	9-147
9.61532 When defendant convicted of two or more crimes at same time, maximum term of probation may be increased by one year for each felony conviction (see sec. 9.6154)	9-147
9.6154 When defendant convicted of two or more crimes at same time, maximum term of probation may be increased by one year for each felony conviction (Wis. Stat. § 973.09(2)(b)2.)	9-147
9.61541 In general	9-147
9.61542 Felony for which probation is being imposed may be counted as felony for which additional year of probation may be imposed	9-147
9.61543 Felony for which sentence has been imposed may be counted as felony for which additional year of probation may be imposed	9-148
9.61544 Provision permitting increase in maximum term of probation by one year for each felony conviction does not apply to probation for misdemeanor (see sec. 9.61522)	9-148
9.6155 In determining permissible maximum probationary term for offense, one must use maximum prison sentence for the offense after that sentence has been enhanced by the provisions of the "repeater" statute	9-148
9.6156 Statute curing error in imposition of term of probation that is in excess of that authorized by law (Wis. Stat. § 973.09(2m))	9-148
9.61561 Operation in general	9-148
9.616 Setting actual length of probation	9-148
9.6161 In setting length, court may consider time necessary for defendant to pay restitution	9-148
9.6162 Setting actual length of probation is left to trial court's discretion	9-148

9.6163	For purposes of statute setting permissible length of probation when probationer is convicted of multiple crimes "at the same time," conviction occurs upon adjudication of guilt based on entry of guilty plea or finding of guilt by jury, not at time of sentencing and entry of judgment	9-148
9.617	Authority to impose probation consecutive or concurrent with sentence or other period of probation	9-148
9.6171	Authority to impose probation concurrent with sentence	9-148
9.6172	Authority to impose probation consecutive to sentence	9-148
9.6173	Authority to impose probation consecutive to another period of probation	9-148
9.618	Defendant's right to refuse probation (see sec. 9.693)	9-149
9.619	Other matters	9-149
9.6191	Person convicted of offense carrying mandatory or presumptive minimum period of one year or less of imprisonment may be placed on probation, but court must impose confinement for that period as condition of probation (Wis. Stat. § 973.09(1)(d)) (see sec. 9.6332)	9-149
9.6192	Statute curing error in imposition of term of probation that is in excess of that authorized by law (Wis. Stat. § 973.09(2m)) (see sec. 9.6154)	9-149
9.62	Imposing And Modifying Conditions Of Probation	9-149
9.621	General principles	9-149
9.6211	In general	9-149
9.6212	In imposing conditions, trial court must exercise discretion and cannot base decision on preconceived policy	9-150
9.6213	Authority to impose conditions of probation is derived from statute	9-150
9.6214	Need for condition to relate to offense for which defendant convicted; crucial question is not whether condition related to offense, but whether it is related to rehabilitation	9-150
9.6215	In imposing conditions of probation, may court impose financial or other obligation that is not permitted under more particular statute specifically dealing with that financial or other obligation (e.g., costs statute, restitution statute, sex-offender reporting requirement, etc.) (see sec. 9.6312)	9-150
9.622	Imposing conditions that impinge on constitutional rights	9-150
9.6221	General principles	9-150
9.62211	In general	9-150
9.62212	Probation conditions that impinge on constitutional rights are not subject to strict scrutiny analysis	9-151
9.62213	Determining when condition is overbroad	9-151
9.6222	On particular constitutional rights	9-151
9.62221	On freedom of association	9-151
9.62222	On freedom of speech or expression	9-151
9.62223	On freedom of religion	9-151
9.62224	On privilege against self-incrimination	9-151
9.62225	On freedom of travel and movement	9-151
9.62226	On right to privacy	9-151
9.62227	On right to procreate	9-151

9.62228	On right to bear arms	9-151
9.62229	On right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures	9-152
9.6223	On particular constitutional rights (cont'd)	9-152
9.62231	On right to engage in political activity or run for political office	9-152
9.6224	When defendant contends condition of probation violates his self-incrimination rights because he is appealing his conviction, must he move trial court to amend conditions of probation and, if motion is denied, appeal from its denial to preserve contention?; can he await revocation of probation for violation of condition and then seek review of its constitutionality in certiorari proceeding?; does waiting until certiorari review of revocation based on violation of condition results in waiver of challenge to constitutionality of condition? (see sec. 9.6267)	9-152
9.623	Need for clarity and definiteness in conditions	9-152
9.6231	In general	9-152
9.6232	When condition involves monetary payment, lack of specification of amount payable does not undermine court's authority to order payment	9-152
9.624	Appellate review of conditions of probation	9-152
9.6241	In general	9-152
9.6242	Need for motion in trial court challenging condition of probation as prerequisite to appellate challenge to condition of probation (see sec. 9.924)	9-152
9.6243	Review of question whether condition violated defendant's constitutional rights	9-152
9.6244	When defendant contends condition of probation violates his self-incrimination rights because he is appealing his conviction, must he move trial court to amend conditions of probation and, if motion is denied, appeal from its denial to preserve contention?; can he await revocation of probation for violation of condition and then seek review of its constitutionality in certiorari proceeding?; does waiting until certiorari review of revocation based on violation of condition results in waiver of challenge to constitutionality of condition? (see sec. 9.6267)	9-153
9.6245	Probation conditions that impinge on constitutional rights are not subject to strict scrutiny analysis (see sec. 9.62212)	9-153
9.625	Modification of conditions of probation	9-153
9.6251	Circuit court's authority to modify conditions in general	9-153
9.6252	Circuit court's authority to modify terms and conditions of probation established by department	9-153
9.6253	Due process requirements at modification proceeding	9-153
9.6254	Rules of evidence not applicable at modification proceeding	9-153
9.6255	Circuit courts may modify conditions of probation at any time before period of probation expires, even before period of probation begins	9-153
9.6256	Modification of confinement-to-jail condition of probation (see sec. 9.6337)	9-153
9.626	Other matters	9-153

9.6261	Validity of provision that if defendant successfully completes probation, judgment will be amended to conviction of lesser offense	9-153
9.6262	Effect on condition of failure to have defendant sign probation agreement	9-153
9.6263	Effect of revocation of probation on conditions and challenge to them	9-154
9.6264	In imposing condition of probation, trial court not required to accurately identify the specific legal authority for its order	9-154
9.6265	Propriety of imposing condition (e.g., payment of uncollected fines in unrelated cases) when other remedies available to enforce that condition	9-154
9.6266	Rule of probation imposed by agent may not validly contravene directive of statute	9-154
9.6267	When defendant contends condition of probation violates his self-incrimination rights because he is appealing his conviction, must he move trial court to amend conditions of probation and, if motion is denied, appeal from its denial to preserve contention?; can he await revocation of probation for violation of condition and then seek review of its constitutionality in certiorari proceeding?; does waiting until certiorari review of revocation based on violation of condition results in waiver of challenge to constitutionality of condition?	9-154
9.62671	Court of appeals' answer, overruled by supreme court	9-154
9.62672	Supreme court's answer	9-154
9.6268	Person convicted of offense carrying mandatory or presumptive minimum period of one year or less of imprisonment may be placed on probation, but court must impose confinement for that period as condition of probation (Wis. Stat. § 973.09(1)(d)) (see sec. 9.6332)	9-154
9.63	Particular Conditions Of Probation	9-154
9.631	General principles	9-154
9.6311	In general	9-154
9.6312	In imposing conditions of probation, may court impose financial or other obligation that is not permitted under more particular statute specifically dealing with that financial or other obligation (e.g., costs statute, restitution statute, sex-offender registration statute, etc.)?	9-155
9.63121	In general	9-155
9.63122	Financial obligation that is not permitted under costs statute	9-155
9.63123	Financial obligation that is not permitted under restitution statute	9-155
9.63124	Registration as sex offender not required under sex-offender registration statute	9-155
9.632	Payment of costs	9-155
9.6321	Repayment of costs of investigation and prosecution	9-155
9.63211	Cost cannot be imposed as a condition of probation unless it is recognized as an allowable taxable cost under the costs statute	9-155

9.63212	Costs of crime lab's analysis of controlled substance in controlled substances prosecution	9-155
9.63213	Cost of special prosecutor	9-155
9.63214	Funds used by police to make purchase that resulted in controlled substances conviction ("buy money")	9-155
9.63215	General and unspecified law enforcement expenses relating to defendant's arrest	9-156
9.63216	Cost if having private entity conduct DNA testing of defendant and/or victim	9-156
9.6322	Payment of costs assessable under costs statute	9-156
9.63221	Propriety of imposing costs assessable under costs statute as condition of probation	9-156
9.63222	What costs are assessable under costs statute (see sec. 12.72)	9-156
9.63223	Cost of standby counsel (see sec. 9.6323)	9-156
9.6323	Cost of standby counsel	9-156
9.63231	In general	9-156
9.63232	Need to determine defendant's ability to pay	9-156
9.6324	Attorney fees of public defender	9-156
9.63241	In general	9-156
9.63242	Need to determine defendant's ability to pay	9-156
9.633	Confinement to jail	9-156
9.6331	Court's power to order confinement to jail as condition of probation in general	9-156
9.6332	Provision requiring that person placed on probation for offense carrying mandatory or presumptive minimum period of one year or less of imprisonment must be confined for that period as condition of probation (Wis. Stat. § 973.09(1)(d))	9-156
9.63321	Operation in general	9-156
9.63322	Required confinement to jail when probation imposed for drug offense carrying mandatory minimum sentence of one year or less (see sec. 36.1821)	9-157
9.63323	Required confinement to jail when probation imposed for fourth and subsequent OWI and PAC drunk driving violations (see sec. 37.21791)	9-157
9.63324	Actual imprisonment must be imposed; monitored home detention not permissible alternative	9-157
9.63325	Probationer confined to jail in lieu of mandatory or presumptive minimum period of one year or less of imprisonment, pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 973.09(1)(d) is entitled to good time credit	9-157
9.6333	When defendant entitled to credit toward confinement time	9-157
9.63331	Not entitled to credit for time during which this condition of probation stayed (see sec. 9.63363)	9-157
9.6334	Placement of person on home monitoring when person is given jail time as condition of probation	9-157
9.63341	Permissibility of in general	9-157

9.63342	Not permitted when confinement as condition of probation imposed in lieu of mandatory or presumptive minimum period of one year or less of imprisonment under Wis. Stat. § 973.09(1)(d) (see sec. 9.63324)	9-157
9.63343	Court's preclusion of placement of defendant on home monitoring substantially interferes with sheriff's authority to place persons in his or her custody on home monitoring and thus violates separation of powers doctrine (see sec. 30.98659)	9-157
9.6335	Release during confinement	9-157
9.63351	In general	9-157
9.63352	Release may be permitted for more than one of the conditions recited in the release statute	9-157
9.63353	"Conducting any self-employed occupation including housekeeping and attending the needs of the person's family" may or may not include child visitation, depending on circumstances of particular case	9-158
9.63354	Release for purposes of "conducting any self-employed occupation including housekeeping and attending the needs of the person's family": cannot deny release for child care purposes based on preconceived policy that such release will be granted only when absolutely essential	9-158
9.6336	Stay of this condition of probation	9-158
9.63361	In general	9-158
9.63362	While defendant subject to extended hospitalization	9-158
9.63363	Defendant not entitled to credit toward this condition of probation while stay in effect	9-158
9.63364	Staying this condition by making it consecutive to another period of confinement imposed as a condition of probation (see sec. 9.63397)	9-158
9.6337	Modification of this condition of probation	9-158
9.63371	In general	9-158
9.63372	By staying this condition of probation while defendant subject to extended hospitalization (see sec. 9.63362)	9-158
9.63373	Cannot modify this condition in manner that interferes with sheriff's jail-oversight responsibilities	9-158
9.6338	Other matters	9-158
9.63381	Court may order that period of jail time imposed as condition of probation be served consecutively to another period of jail time imposed as condition of separate probation	9-158
9.63382	Limitation of confinement to one-year: this limitation does not apply when separate periods of probation are imposed; each period may be accompanied by jail time up to one year	9-158

9.63383	When defendant sentenced to, and received at, prison on unrelated offense during period he is serving confinement as condition of probation, conditional jail time does not stop running; conditional jail time may be served in prison concurrent to prison sentence	9-159
9.6339	Other matters (cont'd)	9-159
9.63391	Probationer confined to jail as condition of probation is not entitled to good time credit	9-159
9.63392	Court may not provide that part of confinement as condition of probation is stayed but may be imposed at discretion of probation agent	9-159
9.63393	Jail time as condition of probation is not sentence or imprisonment	9-159
9.63394	Defendant not entitled to credit against jail time imposed as condition of probation for period spent in custody awaiting trial	9-159
9.63395	When confinement to jail stayed during appeal, but probation itself not stayed, confinement to jail cannot be executed upon completion of appeal if term of probation has expired by that time	9-159
9.63396	Required confinement to jail when probation imposed for drug offense carrying mandatory minimum sentence of one year or less (see sec. 36.1821)	9-159
9.63397	Does circuit court have authority to place defendant in out-of-county jail?	9-159
9.63398	When defendant is serving time in county jail as condition of probation, court has no authority to transfer defendant to another county jail	9-159
9.63399	Court may impose county-jail term as condition of probation to be served upon release from concurrent prison sentence	9-160
9.634	Restitution	9-160
9.6341	Court's duty and power to order restitution as condition of probation in general	9-160
9.6342	Procedure and burden of proof at hearing imposing restitution and setting amount	9-160
9.6343	Fixing amount of restitution	9-160
9.63431	In general	9-160
9.63432	May exceed amount charged in information or found by jury	9-160
9.63433	Requirement of consideration of defendant's financial resources and future ability to pay	9-160
9.6344	For what restitution may and may not be ordered	9-160
9.63441	In general	9-160
9.63442	Restitution as condition of probation may not be ordered for items not permitted under restitution statute (i.e., Wis. Stat. § 973.29)	9-160
9.63443	Outstanding restitution obligations from unrelated cases	9-160

9.63444	Is authority to impose restitution as condition of probation broader than authority to impose restitution when defendant sentenced?	9-161
9.63445	Amount spent by burglary victim on burglar alarm	9-161
9.63446	Loss caused by crime that has been dismissed but read in	9-161
9.63447	Loss suffered by persons other than victims named in complaint (see sec. 9.63461)	9-161
9.63448	Loss on counts on which defendant sentenced to prison	9-161
9.63449	Loss caused by conduct that is not the basis of the offense of conviction (under federal law)	9-161
9.6345	For what restitution may and may not be ordered (cont'd)	9-161
9.63451	Speculative, unrealized, and unproven future losses	9-161
9.63452	Victim's tuition to attend another school when crime has caused victim harassment and other problems at school she would normally attend	9-161
9.63453	Necessary medical and related services	9-161
9.63454	Funds used by police to make purchase that resulted in controlled substances conviction ("buy money")	9-161
9.63455	In controlled substances prosecution, funds used by police agency for funds used to make purchase that resulted in conviction	9-161
9.6346	To whom may restitution be ordered	9-162
9.63461	Persons other than victims named in complaint	9-162
9.6347	Revocation or extension of probation for failure to pay restitution	9-162
9.63471	Revocation of probation (see sec. 41.4362)	9-162
9.63472	Extension of probation (see sec. 9.642)	9-162
9.6348	Other matters	9-162
9.63481	Restitution in delinquency proceeding (see sec. 42.2831)	9-162
9.63482	Reimposition of unsatisfied restitution as condition of probation on subsequent offense (see sec. 9.63443)	9-162
9.63483	Dischargeability of restitution obligation in bankruptcy	9-162
9.63484	Purposes of restitution	9-162
9.63485	No right to jury trial on amount of restitution	9-162
9.63486	Sale of defendant's homestead to enforce restitution obligation	9-162
9.63487	In setting length of probation, court may consider time necessary for defendant to discharge restitution obligation (see sec. 9.6151)	9-162
9.63488	Propriety, under federal Victim and Witness Protection Act, of making restitution award for loss caused by conduct that is not the basis of the offense of conviction	9-162
9.63489	Challenge to validity of restitution condition after probation revoked	9-162
9.6349	Other matters (cont'd)	9-162
9.63491	Effect of failure to fix amount of restitution	9-162
9.63492	Effect of revocation of probation on restitution requirement	9-163

9.63493	Authority to impose restitution as condition of sentence (see sec. 9.49952)	9-163
9.63494	Defendant's right to show good cause why he should not be required to pay restitution that has been ordered	9-163
9.63495	When restitution improperly collected, trial court lacks competency within context of criminal prosecution and ancillary proceedings to order state to refund money improperly collected (see sec. 9.48984)	9-163
9.63496	For crimes committed before September 1, 1998, restitution obligation ceases upon commitment to prison (see sec. 9.48985)	9-163
9.63497	Authority to impose restitution as condition of probation is broader than authority to impose restitution when defendant sentenced	9-163
9.635	Payment of fine	9-163
9.6351	In general	9-163
9.6352	Revocation of probation for failure to pay fine (see sec. 41.4361)	9-163
9.6353	Payment of uncollected fines or forfeitures in unrelated cases (see sec. 9.6394)	9-163
9.636	Conditions that impinge on, or restrict exercise of, constitutional rights	9-163
9.6361	General principles (see sec. 9.6221)	9-163
9.6362	Freedom of association (see sec. 9.62221)	9-163
9.6363	Freedom of speech or expression (see sec. 9.62222)	9-163
9.6364	Freedom of religion (see sec. 9.62223)	9-164
9.6365	Privilege against self-incrimination (see sec. 9.62224)	9-164
9.6366	Freedom of travel and movement (see sec. 9.62225)	9-164
9.6367	Right to procreate (see sec. 9.62227)	9-164
9.6368	Other rights	9-164
9.63681	Right to bear arms (see sec. 9.62228)	9-164
9.63682	Right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures (see sec. 9.62229)	9-164
9.63683	Right to engage in political activity or run for political office (see sec. 9.62231)	9-164
9.637	Participation in sex offender treatment program	9-164
9.6371	Constitutionality of requiring defendant to admit guilt of sex crime as condition for participation in the program	9-164
9.63711	In general	9-164
9.63712	When probationer has direct appeal pending	9-164
9.63713	When defendant has entered Alford plea	9-164
9.63714	Need to afford use and derivative-use immunity to overcome self-incrimination right implicated in requiring admission of guilt	9-164
9.638	Other conditions	9-164
9.6381	Conditions automatically inherent in concept of probation	9-164
9.6382	Charitable work in foreign country	9-165
9.6383	Payment to burglary victim for cost of burglar alarm installed as a result of defendant's commission of burglary	9-165
9.6384	Requirement that defendant pay victim's tuition to attend another school when crime has caused victim harassment and other problems at school she would normally attend	9-165

9.6385	Requirement that defendant deposit money to cover speculative, unrealized, and unproven future losses	9-165
9.6386	Provision that if defendant successfully completes probation, judgment will be amended to conviction of lesser offense	9-165
9.6387	Requiring defendant, in controlled substances prosecution, to reimburse police agency for funds used to make purchase that resulted in conviction	9-165
9.6388	Submission to psychiatric evaluation and treatment	9-165
9.63881	Use of statements made during psychiatric evaluation and treatment when sentencing defendant after revocation of probation (see sec. 9.49743)	9-165
9.6389	Requirement that probationer register as sex offender	9-165
9.63891	In general	9-165
9.63892	When probationer has not been sentenced for crime enumerated in sex-offender registration statute or its counterpart in sentencing code	9-165
9.63893	Cannot impose requirement of registration as sex offender based on "read in" offense (see sec. 9.1934)	9-165
9.639	Other conditions (cont'd)	9-165
9.6391	Requiring defendant to account for whereabouts and activities	9-165
9.63911	Constitutionality of this requirement	9-165
9.6392	Prohibiting defendant from entering political subdivision where victim lives	9-166
9.6393	Restrictions on association	9-166
9.63931	In general	9-166
9.63932	Prohibition on initiation on telephonic contact with women	9-166
9.63933	Prohibition on engaging in sexual relationship without obtaining agent's permission (see sec. 9.6395)	9-166
9.63934	Prohibition on defendant "going where children may congregate"	9-166
9.63935	Prohibition on having contact with "gang members"	9-166
9.63936	Prohibition on association with "drug community"	9-166
9.6394	Payment of uncollected fines or forfeitures in unrelated cases	9-166
9.6395	Requirement that probationer obtain agent's permission prior to engaging in sexual relationship	9-166
9.6396	Requirement that probationer spend every night at approved residence	9-166
9.6397	Requirement that probationer convicted of nonsupport avoid having another child unless he shows he can support that child and his current children	9-166
9.6398	Condition imposed by parole agent that defendant notify neighbors on each side that he is convicted sex offender	9-167
9.64	Extension Of Probationary Period	9-167
9.641	In general	9-167
9.642	For failure to pay restitution	9-167
9.6421	In general	9-167

9.6422	Necessity of notification pursuant to sec. 973.09(3)(b) of the sentencing court at least ninety days before probationer's term expires as a precondition for extending probationary period for failure to pay restitution	9-167
9.6423	Probationary period should not be extended simply for purposes of obtaining restitution where defendant has made good faith effort to pay restitution and state is using criminal justice system as a debt collector	9-167
9.643	Length of extension	9-167
9.6431	Maximum length of extension	9-167
9.64311	In general	9-167
9.64312	Not limited to permissible length of original term of probation provided for in Wis. Stat. § 973.09(2) (i.e., maximum term of confinement in prison for crime or three years, whichever is greater)	9-167
9.64313	Effect of imposition of extension of probationary period in excess of maximum term permitted	9-168
9.644	Waiver of right to contest extension	9-168
9.645	Effect of defective extension	9-168
9.6451	Effect of untimely extension	9-168
9.6452	Effect of invalid extension	9-168
9.64521	In general	9-168
9.64522	When extension is invalid because it is in excess of that permitted by law (see sec. 9.64313)	9-168
9.646	Hearing on extension	9-168
9.6461	Defendant's right to due process at extension hearing	9-168
9.6462	No right to counsel at hearing	9-168
9.6463	Extension hearings are not criminal proceedings or "critical stage" of criminal proceeding	9-168
9.647	Need for "good cause" for extension	9-168
9.648	Grounds for extension	9-168
9.6481	Failure to pay restitution (see sec. 9.642)	9-168
9.649	Other matters	9-168
9.6491	Collateral attack on order extending probationary period (see sec. 12.758)	9-168
9.6492	When judge who ordered extension is found by appellate court not to have been impartial, remedy is to remand case to trial court for new extension hearing	9-168
9.65	Revocation Of Probation (see secs. 41.3 and 41.5)	9-168
9.66	Discharge From Probation	9-168
9.661	In general	9-168
9.662	Effect of probation officer's mistaken belief, communicated to defendant, that defendant was released from probation	9-168
9.663	After discharge from probation, unfulfilled conditions of probation cannot be executed	9-169
9.67	Sentencing Upon Revocation Of Probation (see sec. 9.4974)	9-169
9.68	Termination Of Probation At Defendant's Request	9-169
9.681	Defendant's right to request termination of probation	9-169
9.682	No time limit on making request; request may be made at any time during probationary period	9-169

9.683	Determining whether defendant requested termination of probation	9-169
9.684	Procedural safeguards and requirements applicable when defendant requests termination	9-169
9.69	Other Matters	9-169
9.691	What probation is and is not	9-169
9.6911	Probation is not a sentence (see sec. 9.49212)	9-169
9.6912	Probation is privilege extended by grace of state, not right	9-169
9.6913	Probation is area of shared power between judicial and legislative branches	9-169
9.6914	Is probation punishment?	9-169
9.69141	No	9-169
9.69142	Yes	9-169
9.692	Status of probationer in general	9-169
9.693	Defendant's right to refuse probation	9-169
9.694	Modifying probation to incarceration when condition that defendant be institutionalized becomes unachievable	9-170
9.695	Imposing sentence or longer period of probation when original probation or condition of probation found invalid	9-170
9.696	Extent of protection afforded probationers under fourth amendment (see sec. 1.764)	9-170
9.697	Validity of agreement that if defendant successfully completes probation, judgment will be amended to conviction of lesser offense	9-170
9.698	Appellate review of imposition of probation and its conditions	9-170
9.6981	Review of constitutionality of condition of probation	9-170
9.699	Other matters	9-170
9.6991	Purpose of probation	9-170
9.6992	Probation and/or its conditions are null and void if trial court was without authority to sentence defendant	9-170
9.6993	Credit On Sentence For Time Spent On Probation (see sec. 9.327)	9-170
9.6994	Commencement of supervised release when supervised release is consecutive to sentence of imprisonment, but convictions upon which sentence to imprisonment imposed are declared invalid and defendant has therefore served too much prison time	9-170
9.6995	Where written condition of probation is in conflict with oral clarification of condition, oral pronouncement controls	9-170
9.6996	When probation condition is ripe for attack on it: defendant not required to show that he has been harmed by restriction in order to render attack ripe because probationer is entitled to know in advance the reach of a condition so that he or she may regulate his or her conduct accordingly	9-171
9.6997	When defendant contends condition of probation violates his self-incrimination rights because he is appealing his conviction, must he move trial court to amend conditions of probation and, if motion is denied, appeal from its denial to preserve contention?; can he await revocation of probation for violation of condition and then seek review of its constitutionality in certiorari proceeding?; does waiting until certiorari review of revocation based on violation of condition results in waiver of challenge to constitutionality of condition? (see sec. 9.6267)	9-171

9.7 Sex Crimes Commitments	9-171
9.71 Commitment For Examination	9-171
9.72 Hearing On Whether Commitment Should Be Ordered	9-171
9.721 In general	9-171
9.722 No need for hearing when department does not recommend treatment	9-171
9.723 Final determination on commitment is for court to make	9-171
9.73 Conditions Of Commitment	9-171
9.74 Periodic Reexamination	9-171
9.741 By department	9-171
9.7411 When required	9-171
9.7412 Requirements of due process	9-171
9.7413 Procedures in general	9-171
9.7414 Judicial review	9-171
9.742 By court	9-172
9.7421 When required	9-172
9.7422 Procedures	9-172
9.7423 Right to confrontation and cross-examination	9-172
9.7424 Admission of evidence	9-172
9.7425 Burden and standard of proof	9-172
9.7426 Closing argument	9-172
9.7427 Instructions	9-172
9.7428 Sufficiency of the evidence	9-172
9.7429 Appealability of order of discharge	9-172
9.75 Extension Of Commitment	9-172
9.751 Standard for extension	9-172
9.752 Timeliness of application for review of extension	9-172
9.753 Hearing on extension	9-172
9.7531 Necessity	9-172
9.7532 Right to due process	9-172
9.7533 Evidence	9-172
9.7534 Instructions	9-173
9.7535 Verdict	9-173
9.76 Appellate Review Of Commitment	9-173
9.761 Effect of discharge on appellate review of commitment	9-173
9.77 Other Matters	9-173
9.771 Sentence for escape from commitment may be consecutive	9-173
9.772 Power of court to vacate commitment and sentence offender	9-173
9.773 Commitment under sex crimes law is not a sentence	9-173
9.774 Provision for commitment of sexually violent person applies to persons committed under sex crimes law	9-173
9.78 Related Matters	9-173
9.781 Sexually violent person (chap. 980) commitment (see sec. 10.7)	9-173
9.8 Sentence Modification; Resentencing; Increasing Sentence On Resentencing	9-173
9.81 General Principles Relating To Sentence Modification And Resentencing	9-173
9.811 In general	9-173
9.812 Difference between resentencing and sentence modification	9-173
9.813 Propriety of converting motion for sentence modification to motion for resentencing	9-174
9.814 Trial court's inherent power to modify sentence (see sec. 10.31)	9-174

	<i>Page</i>
9.815 General principles relating to modifying sentence (see sec. 10.33)	9-174
9.816 When resentencing is required for any reason, initial sentence is nullity	9-174
9.82 Based On "New Factor" (see sec. 10.34)	9-174
9.83 Based On Error In Original Sentence	9-174
9.831 Correcting mistake in pronouncement of sentence	9-174
9.8311 In general	9-174
9.832 Correcting erroneous or illegal sentence	9-174
9.8321 In general	9-174
9.8322 When modifying sentence to correct error in original sentence, the court must base the sentence on the circumstances as they existed when the original sentence was imposed (former rule) (see sec. 9.861)	9-175
9.8323 When resentencing a defendant, whether resentencing necessitated by setting aside or reversal of original conviction and subsequent reconviction or by setting aside or reversal of original sentence, trial court should consider all relevant information about defendant, including information about events and circumstances either that the sentencing court was unaware of at the initial sentencing or that occurred after the initial sentencing (see sec. 9.862)	9-175
9.8324 When portion of sentence is invalid (e.g., enhanced penalty based on repeater status that was not proven), valid portion of sentence (e.g., its concurrent nature) may be modified to effectuate premise and goal of sentence as originally imposed (see sec. 9.4959)	9-175
9.84 Clarifying Ambiguous Sentence	9-175
9.85 Resentencing When Related Sentence Set Aside (e.g., Because Count On Which It Was Imposed Was Found Multiplicitous)	9-175
9.851 Permissibility of resentencing	9-175
9.8511 In general	9-175
9.8512 When sentence is increased (see secs. 9.885, 9.886, and 9.887)	9-175
9.852 Necessity of resentencing; need for appellate court that set aside related sentence to remand case to trial court for resentencing on remaining count(s)	9-175
9.8521 In general	9-175
9.8522 When setting aside of sentence has disturbed overall sentence structure or frustrates intent of original dispositional scheme	9-175
9.8523 When setting aside of sentence has had no affect on overall sentence structure	9-176
9.86 What Trial Court May And May Not Consider When Resentencing	9-176
9.861 When resentencing a defendant, the trial court must base the sentence upon the circumstances as they existed when the original sentence was imposed (former rule)	9-176
9.862 When resentencing a defendant, whether resentencing necessitated by setting aside or reversal of original conviction and subsequent reconviction or by setting aside or reversal of original sentence, trial court should consider all relevant information about defendant, including information about events and circumstances either that the sentencing court was unaware of at the initial sentencing or that occurred after the initial sentencing	9-176

9.863	When resentencing, court not required to defer to original sentencing objectives	9-176
9.87	Modifying Sentence To Bring It Into Conformity With Sentencing Court's Intent In Initial Imposition Of Sentence	9-176
9.871	In general	9-176
9.872	When portion of sentence is invalid (e.g., enhanced penalty based on repeater status that was not proven, penalty enhancer used to increase extended supervision portion of bifurcated sentence, etc.), valid portion of sentence (e.g., its concurrent nature, confinement portion of sentence, etc.) may be modified to effectuate premise and goal of sentence as originally imposed	9-176
9.873	When related sentence is invalid (e.g., because it was imposed on count that was multiplicitous) and is, therefore, vacated, valid sentence may be modified because valid sentence may have taken into account invalid sentence; sentence may be modified to implement original dispositional scheme (see sec. 9.8872)	9-176
9.874	When related sentence is invalid (e.g., because it was imposed on count that was multiplicitous) and is, therefore, vacated, valid sentence may be modified because valid sentence may have taken into account invalid sentence; sentence may be modified to implement original dispositional scheme (see sec. 9.9415)	9-177
9.88	Increasing Sentence Upon Resentencing	9-177
9.881	Imposing greater sentence after indication of tentative lesser sentence	9-177
9.882	When alleged "increased" sentence has been imposed simply to clarify ambiguity in sentence as originally imposed, there has been no increase in sentence	9-177
9.883	When increase in sentence is made in order to render legal, sentence that was illegal when imposed	9-177
9.884	Upon reconviction after setting aside or reversal of original conviction	9-177
9.885	After setting aside or reversal of original sentence or disposition, or a related sentence or disposition, or portion thereof	9-177
9.8851	In general	9-177
9.8852	When original sentence set aside because it was induced by defendant's fraudulent representation (see sec. 10.354)	9-178
9.8853	Requirement that increased sentence be justified by new factors: new factors include all relevant sentencing factors; they are not limited to defendant's conduct	9-178
9.8854	Requirement that increased sentence be justified by new factors: determining whether factor is new in particular situations	9-178
9.886	After setting aside or reversal of related conviction	9-178
9.887	After related or companion sentence found invalid	9-179
9.8871	In general	9-179
9.8872	When related sentence is invalid (e.g., because it was imposed on count that was multiplicitous) and is, therefore, vacated, valid sentence may be modified because valid sentence may have taken into account invalid sentence; sentence may be modified to implement original dispositional scheme	9-179
9.888	Restrictions imposed by constitution on increasing sentence upon resentencing	9-179
9.8881	Restrictions imposed by due process (see sec. 22.63)	9-179

9.8882	Restrictions imposed by double jeopardy (see secs. 29.63 and 29.64)	9-179
9.89	Other Matters	9-179
9.891	Post-conviction motion to modify sentence (see sec. 10.3)	9-179
9.892	Modification by appellate court (see sec. 9.9)	9-179
9.893	Use of sec. 974.06 motion to obtain review or modification of sentence	9-179
9.894	Amending judgment of conviction to lesser offense when defendant successfully completes probation	9-179
9.8941	In general	9-179
9.8942	Amendment not permitted even if motion to reopen and amend is filed before defendant completes probationary term	9-180
9.895	Double jeopardy limitations on modifying sentence (see sec. 29.6)	9-180
9.896	Only court, not executive branch, has power to reduce sentence by amending judgment	9-180
9.897	Determining whether defendant agreed to resentencing	9-180
9.9	Review Of Disposition On Appeal	9-180
9.91	General Principles Governing Review	9-180
9.911	General principles governing review of trial court's exercise of sentencing discretion	9-180
9.9111	In general	9-180
9.9112	When same judge presides at sentencing after revocation and original sentencing, appellate court will consider original sentencing reasons to be implicitly adopted; later sentencing will be treated as continuation of first (see sec. 9.2192)	9-184
9.9113	Does advent of truth-in-sentencing law require heightened appellate scrutiny over trial court sentencing decisions?	9-184
9.91131	Court of appeals' answer: no	9-184
9.91132	Supreme court's answer in Gallion: truth-in-sentencing does not change appellate standard of review of trial court's exercise of sentencing discretion, but appellate courts are required to more closely scrutinize record to ensure that discretion was exercised and that basis of that exercise of discretion is set forth	9-184
9.91133	Gallion revitalizes sentencing jurisprudence, but does not make any momentous changes in it	9-184
9.91134	Gallion applies only to "future cases"	9-184
9.91135	Under Gallion, appellate court is not permitted to engage in "implied reasoning" by sentencing court when it reviews sentence (see sec. 9.2243)	9-184
9.9114	Trial court erroneously exercises sentencing discretion if exercise of discretion is based on error of law	9-184
9.9115	General principles governing review of trial court's exercise of sentencing discretion in determining period of time for which defendant will be returned to prison after revocation of extended supervision (see sec. 41.742)	9-185
9.9116	Appellate court's duty to search record to determine whether sentence can be sustained as proper discretionary act when trial court has failed to properly exercise discretion	9-185
9.91161	In general	9-185

9.91162	Appellate court's duty to search record to determine whether sentence can be sustained as proper discretionary act when trial court has failed to adequately set forth reasons for sentence imposed (see sec. 9.224)	9-185
9.9117	General principles governing review of federal district court's exercise of sentencing discretion	9-185
9.91171	Federal court of appeals may apply presumption that sentence within guidelines is reasonable (see sec. 9.1795)	9-185
9.91172	Federal court of appeals may not apply presumption that sentence outside of guidelines is unreasonable (see sec. 9.1796)	9-185
9.912	General principles governing review of question whether court had statutory authority to impose sentence it did	9-185
9.913	General principles governing review of whether trial court was required to impose particular sentence or other disposition but failed to do so	9-185
9.92	Requirement Of Motion In Trial Court Challenging Sentence As Prerequisite To Appellate Review	9-185
9.921	In general	9-185
9.922	When claim made that portion of sentence is void as a matter of law, motion challenging sentence need not be filed in trial court	9-186
9.923	No need for motion when defect raised at time of sentencing	9-186
9.924	Applicability of requirement to challenge to aspect of condition of probation	9-186
9.925	When compelling circumstances excusing compliance with requirement exist	9-186
9.926	Requirement applies to appeals brought not only under Wis. Stat. § 973.19, but also to those brought under Wis. Stat. § 809.30	9-186
9.927	Requirement applies when defendant seeks modification of sentence imposed at resentencing, even though sentence is identical to that previously imposed	9-186
9.93	Waiver of errors relating to sentencing; defendant's and state's forfeiture of right to complain about sentence or about errors relating to sentencing	9-186
9.931	By failure to object when trial court commits error	9-186
9.9311	In general	9-186
9.9312	Failure to object when trial court commits error does not forfeit right to complain about error if defendant files postconviction motion raising alleged error	9-186
9.932	By failing to file motion in trial court challenging sentence (see sec. 9.92)	9-186
9.933	Defendant waives objection to reliance on incorrect information in sentencing by not pointing out incorrect information at time of sentencing (see sec. 9.128)	9-186
9.934	Waiver of objection to reliance on improper factor in sentencing by not objecting when trial court's considers improper factor	9-187
9.935	Waiver of claim that trial judge should have recused himself from sentencing because bases for recusal were not presented to trial court	9-187
9.936	Defendant who has agreed to sentence recommended by presentence report, which is then imposed by trial court, is judicially estopped from later challenging sentence as excessive	9-187

9.937	When sentence is in excess of that authorized by law, failure to object to it does not constitute waiver	9-187
9.938	State waives objection to error in sentencing benefiting defendant by failing to cross-appeal when defendant takes appeal attacking sentence	9-187
9.94	Appellate Remedies For Errors In Sentencing	9-187
9.941	Correction of erroneous sentence by appellate court	9-187
9.9411	In general	9-187
9.9412	Increasing sentence to conform it to judge's intent as part of correction of sentence	9-187
9.9413	Automatic correction of sentence by statute curing errors in sentencing (see sec. 9.49993)	9-187
9.9414	Appellate court may not correct erroneous sentence to appealing defendant's detriment absent cross-appeal attacking erroneous sentence by government (see sec. 9.9598)	9-187
9.9415	When record is clear as to sentencing court's intent and only sentencing modification required rests on mathematical calculation, appellate court may modify sentence rather than remanding matter to circuit court	9-187
9.942	Remand for resentencing	9-187
9.9421	In general	9-188
9.9422	When sentence on one count set aside, need for, and propriety of, remand for resentencing on remaining counts (see sec. 9.852)	9-188
9.943	When portion of sentence invalid, appellate court may remand for correction of invalid portion of sentence, leaving remainder of sentence intact	9-188
9.95	Other Matters	9-188
9.951	Need for presentence report as part of record on appeal	9-188
9.952	Matters not subject to review on appeal	9-188
9.9521	Statutory bar to appellate review of trial court's failure to follow sentencing guidelines (see secs. 9.1616 and 9.1622)	9-188
9.9522	Recommendation made by presentence investigator	9-188
9.953	Increasing sentence on review	9-188
9.954	No need to consider argument that trial court abused discretion in sentencing when matter remanded for resentencing on other grounds	9-188
9.955	Matters considered on review	9-188
9.9551	Sentencing guidelines sheet filled out by sentencing court	9-188
9.9552	Appellate court may consider trial court's disclaimer of consideration of improper sentencing factors at postconviction hearing challenging sentence (see sec. 9.2881)	9-188
9.9553	Entire record, including any postconviction proceedings	9-188
9.956	Use of sec. 974.06 motion to obtain review of sentence	9-189
9.9561	In general (see sec. 9.831)	9-189
9.9562	When defendant is challenging his sentence as unauthorized, sec. 974.06 motion is not exclusive remedy; defendant may have sentence reviewed on direct appeal	9-189
9.957	Applicability of harmless-error rule to errors in sentencing	9-189
9.9571	In general	9-189
9.9572	Improper interjection of defendant's exercise of first amendment rights into sentencing process	9-189

9.958 Attorney general's review of presentence report and disclosure of its contents when responding to challenge to sentence on appeal 9-189

9.9581 Need to seek authorization from court to review presentence report and disclose its contents 9-189

9.9582 Court from whom attorney general should seek authorization to review presentence report and disclose its contents 9-189

9.959 Other matters 9-189

9.9591 Public defender's right to obtain copy of presentence report as part of postconviction proceedings 9-189

9.9592 Statute curing error in excessive sentence (see sec. 9.49993) 9-189

9.9593 In mounting appellate challenge to sentence, defendant has no right of access to notes prepared by trial court for sentencing 9-189

9.9594 When original judgment of conviction placed defendant on probation, but probation was revoked and new judgment of conviction has been entered sentencing defendant to imprisonment, defendant may file motion to modify sentence and appeal from its denial in manner provided in Wis. Stat. §§ 809.30(2) and 973.19(1) (see sec. 10.3224) 9-189

9.9595 Appellate court's duty to search record to determine whether sentence can be sustained as proper discretionary act when trial court has failed to adequately set forth reasons for sentence imposed (see sec. 9.224) 9-190

9.9596 Federal appellate court's review of sentence imposed by federal court 9-190

9.95961 Jurisdiction under §3742(a)(1) to review sentence "imposed in violation of law" 9-190

9.95962 When federal government may seek review of sentence 9-190

9.95963 Appellate court may not correct erroneous sentence to appealing defendant's detriment absent cross-appeal attacking erroneous sentence by government (see sec. 9.9598) 9-190

9.9597 Defendant has right to meaningful appellate review of sentence 9-190

9.9598 Appellate court may not correct erroneous sentence to appealing defendant's detriment absent cross-appeal attacking erroneous sentence by government 9-190

Chapter 10
Post-Trial Proceedings

10.1 Motion For New Trial 10-1

10.11 Time For Making 10-1

10.111 In general 10-1

10.112 Treating untimely sec. 809.30 motion as sec. 974.06 motion 10-1

10.12 Grounds 10-1

10.121 Trial error 10-1

10.122 Newly discovered evidence 10-1

10.1221 In general 10-1

10.1222	First requirement for granting new trial based on newly discovered evidence: evidence must have come to moving party's knowledge after trial	10-2
10.1223	Second requirement for granting new trial based on newly discovered evidence: moving party must not have been negligent in seeking to discover it	10-2
10.1224	Third requirement for granting new trial based on newly discovered evidence: evidence must be material to issue	10-2
10.1225	Fourth requirement for granting new trial based on newly discovered evidence: evidence must not be merely cumulative to testimony which was introduced at trial	10-2
10.1226	Fifth requirement for granting new trial based on newly discovered evidence: evidence must create reasonable probability that outcome would be different on retrial	10-2
10.12261	In general	10-2
10.12262	Meaning of "reasonable probability"	10-3
10.12263	In determining whether there is reasonable probability that a different result would obtain on retrial, trial court may make, and rely on, assessment that newly discovered evidence is not credible	10-3
10.12264	This requirement not governed by any standard of proof; defendant not required to establish it by clear and convincing evidence	10-3
10.12265	Does this requirement impose "outcome determinative" standard?	10-3
10.12266	After determining that both parties presented credible evidence, it is not trial court's role to weigh evidence in determining whether there is reasonable probability of different result	10-3
10.1227	Victim's or other witness's recantation	10-3
10.12271	In general	10-3
10.12272	Need for corroboration	10-4
10.12273	Remedy when appellate court finds error in trial court's denial of new trial	10-4
10.12274	Using this ground as basis for seeking withdrawal of guilty plea in general (see sec. 10.43431)	10-4
10.12275	Using this ground as basis for seeking withdrawal of guilty plea before sentencing (see sec. 10.43432)	10-4
10.1228	Burden and standard of proof to be applied in determining whether defendant entitled to new trial based on newly discovered evidence	10-4
10.12281	In general	10-4
10.12282	Fifth requirement – reasonable probability that outcome would be different – not governed by any standard of proof; defendant not required to establish it by clear and convincing evidence (see sec. 10.12264)	10-4
10.1229	Using this ground as basis for withdrawal of plea	10-4
10.12291	In general (see sec. 10.4341)	10-4

	<i>Page</i>
10.12292 Before sentencing (see sec. 10.4342)	10-4
10.123 Newly discovered evidence (cont'd)	10-5
10.1231 What does, and does not, constitute newly discovered evidence in general	10-5
10.12311 Newly available testimony from codefendant is not newly discovered evidence warranting new trial where defendant was aware of possible testimony before or at trial and codefendant previously declined to testify for fear of self- incrimination	10-5
10.12312 Newly discovered evidence does not include the "new appreciation of the importance of evidence previously known but not used"	10-5
10.12313 Is testimony or other evidence that simply affects credibility or is "only impeaching in character" newly discovered evidence warranting new trial?	10-5
10.1232 Determining whether particular evidence constitutes newly discovered evidence	10-5
10.12321 Discovery, through DNA testing, that material in victim's fingernail scrapings could not have come from either defendant or victim	10-5
10.12322 Shift in mainstream medical opinion regarding evidence that state relied on in securing conviction	10-5
10.12323 Expert witness's false testimony regarding his or her credentials	10-5
10.1233 Appellate review of trial court's determination whether evidence constituted newly discovered evidence	10-5
10.12331 In general	10-5
10.12332 When defendant contends that due process requires grant of new trial based on newly discovered evidence (see sec. 22.8712)	10-5
10.1234 Other matters	10-6
10.12341 When constitutional right to due process requires grant of new trial based on newly discovered evidence (see sec. 22.871)	10-6
10.12342 Test is not what counsel knows or is aware of, but what his or her client is or should be aware of	10-6
10.12343 Defendant's right to have trial court make postconviction in camera inspection of documents or records (e.g., victim's mental health treatment records) to determine whether they contain newly discovered evidence	10-6
10.12344 Motions for new trial based on newly discovered evidence are entertained with great caution	10-6
10.124 In the interest of justice	10-6
10.1241 In general	10-6
10.1242 May be based on finding that real controversy was not fully tried	10-6
10.1243 Need for showing of likelihood of different result on retrial	10-6

10.1244	Scope of errors reached	10-6
10.1245	On appeal from denial of § 974.06 motion, does supreme court have authority to remand to circuit court for motion for postconviction relief based upon interest of justice? (see sec. 10.288)	10-6
10.1246	Remand from appellate court to trial court for motion for postconviction relief in interest of justice (see sec. 11.876)	10-7
10.1247	Appellate review of trial court's denial of new trial in interest of justice (see sec. 11.8151)	10-7
10.13	Evidentiary Hearing	10-7
10.131	When required (i.e., when allegations of defendant's motion sufficient to require evidentiary hearing)	10-7
10.1311	In general	10-7
10.1312	When motion based on claim of newly discovered evidence	10-7
10.1313	In determining whether allegations are sufficient, admissibility and/or credibility are irrelevant	10-7
10.132	Defendant's rights at hearing	10-7
10.1321	Right to be present (see sec. 10.164)	10-7
10.1322	No right to confrontation	10-7
10.133	Burden and standard of proof	10-7
10.1331	In general	10-7
10.1332	On question whether new trial should be granted on basis of newly discovered evidence (see sec. 10.1228)	10-7
10.134	Propriety of conducting hearing on motion telephonically (see sec. 10.167)	10-7
10.14	When Granting New Trial Constitutes Error	10-7
10.141	Where error has not prejudiced the moving party	10-7
10.142	When instructional error upon which grant of new trial was predicated was harmless	10-8
10.143	Failure to consider interest of victim in avoiding new trial	10-8
10.15	Appellate Review Of Disposition Of Motion	10-8
10.151	Standard of review	10-8
10.152	When denial of motion appealable	10-8
10.153	No need to consider correctness of dismissal of motion on procedural grounds when appellate court considers issues defendant raised and finds them meritless	10-8
10.16	Other Matters	10-8
10.161	Effect of defendant's escape during pendency of motion	10-8
10.1611	In general	10-8
10.1612	Dismissal because of escape is dismissal on the merits	10-8
10.1613	Defendant's fugitive status during pendency of postconviction motion constitutes forfeiture of relief sought by motion	10-8
10.162	Effect of defendant's death during pendency of motion	10-8
10.1621	In general	10-8
10.1622	When defendant commits suicide	10-9
10.163	Need for motion for new trial to preserve error for appellate review (see sec. 11.452)	10-9
10.164	Defendant's right to be present at hearing	10-9

10.165	Defendant's competency during postconviction proceedings, including motion for new trial and appeal	10-9
10.1651	Need for competency	10-9
10.1652	Standard and determination of competency	10-9
10.1653	Procedures to follow when defendant incompetent	10-9
10.166	Clerk's entry of order denying postconviction motion if decision on motion not made within sixty days of its filing	10-9
10.167	Propriety of conducting hearing on motion telephonically	10-9
10.168	Defendant's right to postconviction discovery	10-9
10.1681	In general (see sec. 6.8994)	10-9
10.1682	Defendant's right of access to victim's mental health treatment records as part of bringing of postconviction motion for new trial (see sec. 6.89212)	10-9
10.1683	Defendant's right to have trial court make postconviction in camera inspection of documents or records (e.g., victim's mental health treatment records) that are privileged or protected or in the possession of third parties to determine whether they contain exculpatory evidence, and to have access to those documents or records if they are found to contain exculpatory evidence after in camera inspection (see sec. 6.89312)	10-10
10.169	Other matters	10-10
10.1691	Court's right to explain apparently erroneous ruling as misstatement	10-10
10.1692	Defendant has no right to raise issues pro se in motion for new trial in trial court during pendency of appeal in which he is represented by counsel	10-10
10.1693	Treating untimely sec. 809.30 motion as sec. 974.06 motion (see sec. 10.112)	10-10
10.2	Section 974.06 Motion	10-10
10.21	Scope Of Motion	10-10
10.211	In general	10-10
10.212	Does not reach ground or issue that has been "finally adjudicated" (e.g., disposed of in prior appeal)	10-11
10.2121	In general	10-11
10.2122	Ground has been finally adjudicated when it has been raised in postconviction motion that is dismissed because defendant escaped	10-11
10.2123	Ground has been finally adjudicated when it has been raised in postconviction motion, and appeal from denial of motion has been dismissed for failure to comply with appellate rules	10-11
10.2124	Ground has been finally adjudicated when it has been considered in no- merit decision	10-11
10.2125	Rephrasing same issue in slightly different terms does not create new issue that may be considered in sec. 974.06 motion	10-11

10.2126	Ground has not been finally adjudicated when evidence offered in support of ground in prior motion was entirely different in character from evidence offered in current motion	10-11
10.213	Does not reach grounds that could have been, but were not, raised in prior proceedings attacking judgment of conviction	10-11
10.2131	Grounds that could have been, but were not, raised on prior sec. 974.02 motion or appeal (see secs. 10.242 and 10.243)	10-11
10.2132	Grounds that could have been, but were not, raised in prior sec. 974.06 motion (see sec. 10.244)	10-12
10.2133	Grounds that could have been, but were not, raised on prior no-merit appeal (see sec. 10.245)	10-12
10.214	Does not reach ground based on "new rule" announced after defendant's conviction became final (see sec. 10.298)	10-12
10.215	Does not reach request for new trial in interest of justice	10-12
10.2151	In general	10-12
10.2152	On appeal from denial of § 974.06 motion, does supreme court have authority to remand to circuit court for motion for postconviction relief based upon interest of justice? (see sec. 10.288)	10-12
10.216	Other matters generally not reached	10-12
10.2161	Does not reach request for modification of sentence based on "new factors"	10-12
10.2162	Does not reach request for modification of sentence based on challenge to court's exercise of sentencing discretion	10-12
10.2163	Does not reach attack on waiver of juvenile court jurisdiction (see sec. 42.3988)	10-12
10.2164	Does not reach request for award of money judgment against state (e.g., refund of restitution monies improperly collected by state)	10-12
10.2165	Does not reach failure to instruct on lesser-included offense	10-12
10.2166	Does not reach error in sentencing	10-12
10.2167	Does not reach claim of ineffective assistance of appellate counsel (see sec. 25.26111)	10-12
10.217	Particular errors and other matters reached	10-12
10.2171	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	10-12
10.2172	Failure to establish factual basis for plea	10-13
10.2173	Whether newly discovered evidence warranting new trial exists	10-13
10.2174	Defendant's claim that prosecutor breached plea agreement, which raises due process claim	10-13
10.2175	Constitutional and jurisdictional issues that were not raised at original trial	10-13
10.2176	At trial	10-13
10.218	Other matters	10-13
10.2181	Fact that ultimate constitutional issue will be resolved by determination of nonconstitutional question does not keep issue from being constitutional issue reachable by sec. 974.06 motion	10-13
10.22	Time For Bringing	10-13

10.221	In general	10-13
10.222	Cannot bring before conclusion of any proceedings related to Wis. Stat. § 974.02 motion	10-13
10.23	Who May Bring – Requirement Of "Custody Under Sentence"	10-13
10.231	In general	10-13
10.232	"Custody" includes control and supervision of probation	10-13
10.233	"Under sentence" includes being on straight probation with sentence withheld; defendant placed on probation, with withheld sentence, is "in custody under sentence of a court"	10-14
10.24	Effect Of Failure To Raise Issue In Prior Proceedings Attacking Judgment Of Conviction: Motion Does Not Reach Grounds That Could Have Been, But Were Not, Raised In Prior Proceedings Attacking Judgment Of Conviction (Escalona-Naranjo Rule)	10-14
10.241	Rule in general	10-14
10.2411	In general	10-14
10.2412	Retroactivity of rule	10-14
10.2413	Escalona-Naranjo rule is not "ironclad"; issue waived under rule may still be addressed by court in its discretion	10-14
10.242	Grounds that could have been, but were not, raised by Wis. Stat. § 809.30 (i.e., Wis. Stat. § 974.02) motion for new trial	10-14
10.2421	In general	10-14
10.2422	Bar on raising issue that defendant failed to raise by motion for new trial only applies when motion for new trial was actually brought	10-15
10.243	Grounds that could have been, but were not, raised on earlier direct appeal from conviction	10-15
10.2431	In general	10-15
10.2432	When defendant is represented by same counsel both at trial and on appeal, inability of defendant's trial counsel to assert his own ineffectiveness constitutes "sufficient reason" for not asserting the question of ineffectiveness of trial counsel on direct appeal from conviction	10-16
10.2433	Bar on raising issue that defendant failed to raise on earlier direct appeal only applies when direct appeal was actually taken	10-16
10.244	Grounds that could have been, but were not, raised on earlier sec. 974.06 motion	10-16
10.2441	In general	10-16
10.2442	When defendant is represented by same counsel both at trial and in original sec. 974.06 motion, inability of defendant's trial counsel to assert his own ineffectiveness constitutes "sufficient reason" for not asserting the question of ineffectiveness of counsel in the original sec. 974.06 motion	10-16
10.245	Grounds that could have been, but were not, raised on earlier no-merit appeal	10-16
10.2451	In general	10-16
10.2452	When ground was not addressed in no-merit report and/or no-merit decision	10-17
10.246	Circumstances that may constitute sufficient reason for failing to raise issue in earlier proceeding	10-17

10.2461	In general	10-17
10.2462	Ineffective assistance of counsel representing defendant in earlier proceeding in which issue was not raised	10-17
10.24621	In general	10-17
10.24622	When issue was not raised by counsel in no-merit report	10-17
10.2463	When issue is ineffectiveness of trial counsel and trial counsel represented defendant in earlier proceeding	10-17
10.2464	Change in law that was unforeseeable; controlling decision handed down after earlier proceeding	10-17
10.2465	Decision from another jurisdiction bearing on issue was handed down after earlier proceeding	10-17
10.247	Issues to which Escalona-Naranjo rule does not, or may not, apply	10-17
10.2471	Rule does not apply to attack on sufficiency of (1) state's proof of defendant's repeater status or (2) defendant's admission of repeater status	10-17
10.2472	Rule may not apply to claim of ineffective assistance of counsel	10-18
10.248	Other matters	10-18
10.2481	Restrictions on right to raise issue that could have been but was not raised in prior sec. 974.02 motion or prior appeal do not apply to defendant seeking postconviction relief who is not in custody	10-18
10.2482	Effect of state's failure to raise, in trial court, argument that defendant waived right to raise issue by his failure to raise it in earlier proceeding attacking conviction	10-18
10.24821	May constitute waiver of state's right to argue waiver	10-18
10.24822	Does not necessarily constitute waiver of state's right to argue waiver	10-18
10.2483	Approval of state's limiting briefing to procedural bar issue and asking leave to file supplemental brief addressing issues on merits if court hold defendant is not procedurally barred from raising appellate claims	10-18
10.2484	Standard of appellate review of Escalona-Naranjo issues	10-18
10.2485	Defendant cannot use Wis. Stat. § 973.13, as interpreted in Flowers decision, to evade Escalona-Naranjo rule on any issue other than whether sentence imposed was in excess of maximum term authorized by law (which includes enhanced penalty with respect to which state has not proven, or gained defendant's admission to, prior conviction upon which enhanced penalty based)	10-18
10.25	Evidentiary Hearing	10-18
10.251	When required; when allegations of petition are sufficient to require hearing	10-18
10.252	Matters properly considered	10-19
10.253	When defendant's presence required (see sec. 10.294)	10-19
10.26	Burden And Standard Of Proof	10-19
10.27	Appellate Review Of Disposition Of Motion	10-19
10.271	Necessity of entry of order	10-19

10.272	Order denying motion is final order appealable as of right	10-19
10.273	Referral to public defender for representation	10-19
10.274	When trial court does not address issue raised on motion, appellate court will remand for reconsideration of issue	10-19
10.275	Appellate court may not reverse conviction in interest of justice on appeal from denial of sec. 974.06 motion (see sec. 10.287)	10-19
10.276	Standard of review	10-20
	10.2761 Of trial court's findings	10-20
	10.2762 Of trial court's determination whether constitutional violation occurred	10-20
	10.2763 Of question whether motion is procedurally barred under Escalona-Naranjo	10-20
10.277	Appropriate relief on appeal	10-20
10.278	Appellate court may not exercise power of discretionary reversal on appeal from denial of motion	10-20
10.28	Other Matters	10-20
	10.281 Amendment of motion	10-20
	10.282 Applicability of plain error doctrine	10-20
	10.283 Court's right to explain apparently erroneous ruling as misstatement	10-20
	10.284 Review of issue considered or disposed of on previous appeal	10-20
	10.285 No need to follow Anders (i.e., no-merit) procedures when appointed counsel concludes further proceedings are unwarranted	10-20
	10.286 Disqualification of judge to hear motion because he is material witness	10-20
	10.287 May appellate court reverse conviction in interest of justice on appeal from denial of sec. 974.06 motion?	10-20
	10.2871 In general	10-20
	10.2872 Supreme court has inherent authority to order new trial in interest of justice on appeal from denial of sec. 974.06 motion	10-21
	10.288 On appeal from denial of § 974.06 motion, does supreme court have authority to remand to circuit court for motion for postconviction relief based upon interest of justice?	10-21
	10.289 Relief that may be awarded to defendant bringing motion	10-21
	10.2891 In general	10-21
	10.2892 Trial court not authorized to award money judgment against state (e.g., for restitution monies improperly collected from defendant by state)	10-21
10.29	Other matters (cont'd)	10-21
	10.291 Right to counsel in sec. 974.06 proceeding	10-21
	10.292 Effect of defendant's death during pendency of motion	10-21
	10.293 Sec. 974.06 motion is not proper vehicle for challenging ineffective assistance of appellate counsel (see sec. 25.26111)	10-21
	10.294 Defendant's right to be present at hearing on motion	10-21
	10.295 Propriety of conducting hearing on motion telephonically	10-21
	10.296 Defendant has no right to raise issues pro se in trial court during pendency of appeal in which he is represented by counsel (see sec. 10.1692)	10-21
	10.297 Cannot bring Wis. Stat. § 974.06 motion before conclusion of any proceedings related to Wis. Stat. § 974.02 motion (see sec. 10.222)	10-21

10.298	Defendant not entitled to relief based on decisions which were issued after his conviction became final and which announced a "new rule" (see sec. 47.214)	10-21
10.299	Other matters	10-22
10.2991	Post-trial discovery (see sec. 6.8994)	10-22
10.2992	In applying sec. 974.06, Wisconsin courts are not bound by United States Supreme Court's or other federal courts' holdings regarding federal habeas corpus law	10-22
10.2993	History of motion	10-22
10.2994	Nature and purpose of motion	10-22
10.29941	In general	10-22
10.29942	Motion is primary method by which defendant may challenge conviction after time for direct appeal has expired	10-22
10.2995	Sec. 974.06 proceeding is civil in nature	10-22
10.2996	Prohibition on successive motions	10-22
10.2997	Remand from appellate court to trial court for motion for postconviction relief in interest of justice (see sec. 11.876)	10-22
10.2998	Other matters	10-22
10.3	Motion To Modify Sentence	10-22
10.31	Trial Court's Inherent Power To Modify Sentence	10-22
10.311	In general	10-22
10.312	When sentence unduly harsh or unconscionable	10-23
10.313	To correct formal or clerical errors or illegal or void sentence	10-23
10.314	Based on new factor (see sec. 10.3413)	10-23
10.315	As general rule, court's inherent power to modify sentence is limited to two situations: (1) where original sentence was excessive (i.e., unduly harsh or unconscionable) and thus erroneous exercise of discretion; (2) where new factor exists	10-23
10.316	Appellate review of question whether trial court had inherent authority to modify sentence	10-23
10.317	Court's authority to amend restitution order to clarify arguably ambiguous provision does not depend on existence of "new factor" (see sec. 9.48975)	10-23
10.32	Procedural Requirements	10-23
10.321	In general	10-23
10.322	Time for making motion	10-23
10.3221	In general	10-23
10.3222	Time limitation on motion to modify sentence does not apply to motion for termination of probation	10-24
10.3223	Time limit is regulatory, not jurisdictional	10-24
10.3224	When original judgment of conviction placed defendant on probation, but probation was revoked and new judgment of conviction has been entered sentencing defendant to imprisonment, defendant may file motion to modify sentence and appeal from its denial in manner provided in Wis. Stat. §§ 809.30(2) and 973.19(1)	10-24

10.3225	Ninety-day time limit in Wis. Stat. § 973.19 does not apply to motion invoking circuit court's inherent authority to modify sentence based on new factor	10-24
10.323	Requirement of notice to state, opportunity of state to respond, and hearing on motion; trial court errs if it grants motion without taking these steps	10-24
10.324	Propriety of successive motions to modify sentence	10-24
10.325	Two avenues for seeking modification of sentence: (1) Wis. Stat. § 973.19 modification motion and (2) motion based on new factor, which invokes inherent power of court	10-24
10.33	Grounds For Modification: General Principles	10-24
10.331	In general	10-24
10.332	Court should not modify sentence upon mere reflection alone; new factor, or conclusion that original sentence was excessive and thus erroneous exercise of discretion, required	10-25
10.333	When defendant is seeking to correct sentence imposed in violation of Wisconsin law, no need to show new factor	10-25
10.334	When original sentence induced by defendant's fraudulent misrepresentation, no need to show new factor to justify modification of sentence	10-25
10.34	Grounds For Modification: New Factor	10-25
10.341	General principles	10-25
10.3411	In general	10-25
10.3412	To gain sentence modification based on new factor, defendant must establish (1) that new factor exists and (2) that new factor justifies modification	10-25
10.3413	Legitimacy of new factor as ground for modification	10-26
10.3414	Case law regarding sentence modification based on new factor remains valid after enactment of truth-in-sentencing law	10-26
10.3415	Ninety-day time limit in Wis. Stat. § 973.19 does not apply to motion invoking circuit court's inherent authority to modify sentence based on new factor (see sec. 10.3225)	10-26
10.3416	Trial court may determine that alleged new factor does not justify modification, and dispose of motion on that basis, without determining whether alleged new factor actually constitutes new factor	10-26
10.3417	If court determines that alleged ground for modification is not new factor, analysis may end at that point	10-26
10.3418	State's right to seek sentence modification based on new factor	10-26
10.342	Standards for determining what constitutes "new factor"	10-26
10.3421	In general	10-26
10.3422	Erroneous or inaccurate information used at sentencing may constitute new factor if it was highly relevant to sentence imposed and was relied upon by trial court	10-28
10.3423	Must have been overlooked by all parties; if defendant was aware of factor, it is not new factor	10-28

10.3424	Altered view of facts known to court at sentencing, or reweighing of their significance, does not constitute "new factor"	10-28
10.3425	New factor may be something that comes into existence after sentencing proceeding has been held	10-28
10.3426	Can law passed after sentencing be new factor?	10-28
10.3427	New factor must have frustrated purpose of original sentence	10-28
10.343	Determining whether particular factor constitutes "new factor"	10-28
10.3431	Defendant's post-sentencing conduct and/or rehabilitation as "new factor"	10-28
10.34311	In general	10-28
10.34312	When defendant sentenced to jail for misdemeanor	10-28
10.34313	Change in attitude and prison rehabilitation (see sec. 10.34329)	10-29
10.34314	Defendant's good conduct or rehabilitation while released pending appeal (see sec. 10.34331)	10-29
10.34315	Defendant's good behavior while in prison (see sec. 10.34336)	10-29
10.34316	Defendant's early completion of all prison rehabilitation programs	10-29
10.34317	Case law holding that post-sentencing conduct and/or rehabilitation is not new factor remains unchanged by truth-in-sentencing law	10-29
10.3432	Determining whether other factors constitute "new factor"	10-29
10.34321	Legislative reduction in maximum penalty for crime	10-29
10.34322	Pretrial confinement	10-29
10.34323	Disparity between sentence imposed and sentencing guidelines	10-29
10.34324	Defendant's post-sentencing conduct (see sec. 10.3431)	10-29
10.34325	Matters relating to parole eligibility	10-29
10.34326	Disparity between sentence imposed on defendant and on defendant's accomplice (see sec. 9.431)	10-30
10.34327	Previous service of jail term by accomplice unknown to trial court when he attempted to impose comparable sentences on defendant and accomplice	10-30
10.34328	Treatability of defendant's sexually deviant behavior	10-30
10.34329	Change in attitude and prison rehabilitation; response to treatment while in prison	10-30
10.3433	Determining whether other factors constitute "new factor" (cont'd)	10-30
10.34331	Defendant's good conduct or rehabilitation while released pending appeal	10-30
10.34332	Defendant's worsening health while in prison	10-30

10.34333	Change in parole board policy	10-30
10.34334	Trial court's erroneous view at sentencing of defendant's potential for rehabilitation	10-30
10.34335	Victim's change of heart regarding appropriate punishment for defendant	10-30
10.34336	Defendant's good behavior while in prison	10-31
10.34337	Failure to include restitution in initial sentence	10-31
10.34338	Miscalculation of sentencing range on sentencing guidelines form	10-31
10.34339	Acquittal of new charge upon which revocation that necessitated sentencing was based	10-31
10.3434	Determining whether other factors constitute "new factor" (cont'd)	10-31
10.34341	Unavailability of needed medical treatment in prison	10-31
10.34342	Defendant's cessation of drinking (which was believed to be cause of criminal conduct) while released on bail pending appeal	10-31
10.34343	Employment record	10-31
10.34344	Remorse expressed to family member	10-31
10.34345	Miscalculation of sentencing guidelines	10-31
10.34346	Defendant's support in community	10-31
10.34347	Fact that it appeared unlikely that the defendant would be released early on parole after trial court stated at sentencing that early release was "likely"	10-31
10.34348	Psychiatric report contradicting psychiatric report considered by trial court at sentencing	10-31
10.34349	Transfer of defendant to out-of-state prison facility	10-31
10.3435	Determining whether other factors constitute "new factor" (cont'd)	10-31
10.34351	Revocation of defendant's probation in another case, and consequent service of sentence imposed in that case, after sentencing court in instant case told that probation would not be revoked	10-32
10.34352	Defendant's post-sentencing voluntary submission to revocation of his parole based on erroneous advice from probation agent	10-32
10.34353	Creation of special action release program	10-32
10.34354	Misinformation in presentence report	10-32
10.34355	Use of former sentencing guidelines in sentencing under truth-in-sentencing law	10-32
10.34356	Trial court's realization that, contrary to its conclusion at time of sentencing, defendant had been victim of, not participant in, criminal conduct as a child	10-32
10.34357	Erroneous or inaccurate information used at sentencing (see sec. 10.3422)	10-32
10.34358	Post-sentencing imposition of consecutive sentences in another case	10-32

	<i>Page</i>
10.34359	Reversal of related conviction in same case 10-32
10.3436	Determining whether other factors constitute "new factor" (cont'd) 10-32
10.34361	Implementation of voluntary felony sentencing guidelines 10-32
10.34362	Modification of drunk driving sentencing guidelines established in judicial administrative district under Wis. Stat. § 346.65(2m) 10-32
10.34363	Defendant's morbid obesity causing less-than- average life expectancy 10-33
10.34364	Conflict of interest of person involved in presentence investigation, e.g., mental health professional who conducted psychological assessment of defendant and prepared report as part of presentence investigation had treated victim 10-33
10.34365	Legislature's TIS-II (2001 Wis. Act 109) reduction in penalties imposed by TIS-I (1997 Wis. Act 283) 10-33
10.34366	Rationale for TIS-II (2001 Wis. Act 109) reduction in penalties imposed by TIS-I (1997 Wis. Act 283) 10-33
10.34367	Reduction in amount of restitution when amount of restitution played significant role in determination of length of extended supervision originally ordered 10-33
10.34368	Untreatable nature of inmate's mental conditions 10-33
10.34369	Fact that defendant has child support obligation that could better be fulfilled outside of prison 10-33
10.3437	Determining whether other factors constitute "new factor" (cont'd) 10-33
10.34371	Defendant's version of story, which sentencing court never heard 10-33
10.34372	Untreatable nature of defendant's mental condition 10-33
10.34373	Post-sentencing substantial assistance to law enforcement 10-34
10.34374	Fact that defendant, who claimed at sentencing that imprisonment would work financial hardship on wife, had transferred farm he owned to wife by quitclaim deed 10-34
10.34375	Fact that defendant was sexually assaulted while serving sentence 10-34
10.34376	Governor Thompson's letter instructing DOC Secretary to pursue any and all available avenues to block release of violent offenders who have reached mandatory release date 10-34
10.34377	Sentencing court's misperception regarding when defendant would likely be paroled 10-34
10.34378	Recent research on adolescent brain development 10-34

	10.34379 Denial by Department of Corrections of participation in Challenge Incarceration Program despite sentencing court's determination of eligibility for program	10-34
10.344	Determining whether new factor warrants sentence modification	10-34
	10.3441 In general	10-34
	10.3442 Whether new factor warrants sentence modification rests in trial court's discretion	10-34
	10.3443 If court concludes that ground for modification is not "new factor," it need not consider whether ground warrants sentence modification	10-34
10.345	Burden and standard of proof regarding existence of new factor	10-35
10.346	Appellate review of question whether new factor justifying modification exists	10-35
	10.3461 In general	10-35
	10.3462 Whether new factor exists is question of law that appellate court reviews de novo	10-35
	10.3463 Whether new factor warrants modification of sentence rests within trial court's discretion, which appellate court will not disturb unless it was erroneously exercised	10-36
10.347	Other matters	10-36
	10.3471 New factor need not be shown in order to justify modification of sentence that has been imposed in violation of Wisconsin law (see sec. 10.333)	10-36
	10.3472 Fact that defendant is seeking modification of parole eligibility date set pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 973.014 does not make prison adjustment a new factor justifying modification of sentence	10-36
10.35	Grounds For Modification: Other Grounds	10-36
	10.351 Original sentence excessive (unduly harsh or unconscionable) (see sec. 9.27)	10-36
	10.352 Original sentence erroneous (see sec. 9.444)	10-36
	10.353 Original sentence ambiguous (see sec. 9.445)	10-36
	10.354 Original sentence induced by defendant's fraudulent misrepresentation	10-36
	10.355 Defendant's inability to pay fine (see sec. 9.473)	10-36
	10.356 Original sentence based on incorrect information (see sec. 9.23)	10-36
	10.357 Conditions of confinement	10-37
	10.3571 In general	10-37
	10.3572 Fact that defendant, as sex offender, was at greater risk for various forms of physical, sexual, and psychological abuse	10-37
	10.3573 Failure of prison to provide needed medical treatment	10-37
	10.3574 Fact that defendant was sexually assaulted while in prison	10-37
	10.3575 Conditions of confinement do not provide basis for sentence modification, but for change in conditions of confinement	10-37
	10.3576 Conditions of confinement cannot render sentence imposed excessive (i.e., unduly harsh or unconscionable) and thus erroneous exercise of discretion	10-37
10.358	Other grounds	10-37
	10.3581 Prosecutor's failure to file "substantial assistance" motion	10-37

10.3582	Disparity between sentences imposed on the defendant and on someone alleged to be similarly situated (see sec. 9.43)	10-37
10.3583	Sentence affected by trial court's reliance on improper factor	10-37
10.3584	Formal or clerical errors exist in sentence originally imposed	10-37
10.36	Hearing On Motion	10-37
10.361	Necessity of hearing	10-37
10.362	Notice of hearing	10-37
10.363	Burden and standard of proof	10-37
10.3631	New factor must be demonstrated by clear and convincing evidence	10-37
10.3632	Defect in initial sentencing must be demonstrated by clear and convincing evidence	10-38
10.37	Appellate Review Of Disposition Of Motion	10-38
10.38	Other And Related Matters	10-38
10.381	Effect of failure of trial court to consider motion to modify sentence	10-38
10.382	Effect of failure of trial court to timely consider motion to modify sentence	10-38
10.383	Necessity of motion as prerequisite to appellate challenge to sentence (see sec. 9.92)	10-38
10.384	When modifying sentence because original sentence was erroneous, must the court base the new sentence on the circumstances as they existed when the original sentence was imposed or may it consider circumstances arising after original sentence imposed? (see sec. 9.49582)	10-38
10.385	Consequences of seeking modification of sentence under Wis. Stat. § 973.19(1)(a)	10-38
10.3851	In general	10-38
10.3852	Defendant waives right to raise other grounds on appeal when motion to modify sentence made under Wis. Stat. § 973.19(1)(a)	10-38
10.386	Public defender's right to obtain copy of presentence report as part of postconviction proceedings	10-38
10.387	Trial court may, at postconviction hearing, disclaim consideration of improper factor or factors in sentencing (see sec. 9.2881)	10-38
10.388	Sentence modification motion not proper vehicle for obtaining return of monies improperly collected by the state as restitution	10-38
10.389	Motion for sentence adjustment under Wis. Stat. § 973.195 (see sec. 10.85)	10-39
10.39	Other And Related Matters (cont'd)	10-39
10.391	Law regarding sentence modification based on new factor and other grounds remains valid after enactment of truth-in-sentencing law; defendant is not precluded from filing motion for sentence modification under existing law in addition to § 973.195 petition for sentence adjustment under truth-in-sentencing law	10-39
10.392	Difference between resentencing and modification of sentence (see sec. 9.4493)	10-39
10.393	Filing motion for modification of sentence under seal	10-39
10.3931	Court's inherent power to permit filing of motion under seal	10-39
10.3932	Defendant's right to file motion under seal	10-39

10.3933	Particular grounds for filing motion under seal	10-39
10.39331	To permit defendant to quote from sentencing transcript, which was sealed	10-39
10.4	Motion To Withdraw Guilty Plea	10-39
10.41	Need For Motion And Time For Making	10-39
10.411	Need for motion as prerequisite to appellate review of guilty plea (see secs. 7.232 and 7.493)	10-39
10.412	Time for making	10-39
10.42	General Standards For Withdrawal	10-39
10.421	Test for plea withdrawal before sentencing: fair and just reason, and no substantial prejudice to state	10-39
10.4211	In general	10-39
10.4212	When this standard applicable	10-40
10.42121	In general	10-40
10.42122	Applicability of this standard when defendant seeks plea withdrawal during pendency of motion for sentence modification	10-41
10.42123	Applicability of this standard when defendant initially sentenced but, prior to motion to withdraw plea, obtains vacation of sentence	10-41
10.42124	Applicability of this standard when defendant seeks to withdraw plea before sentencing, but plea withdrawal is first considered as part of postconviction motion: in general	10-41
10.42125	Applicability of this standard when defendant seeks to withdraw plea before sentencing, but plea withdrawal is first considered as part of postconviction motion: When defendant seeks plea withdrawal before sentencing, but matter is considered as part of postconviction hearing, defendant cannot have grounds considered under this standard that were not raised as part of presentencing request for plea withdrawal	10-41
10.42126	Applicability of this standard when defendant seeks withdrawal of plea that resulted in implementation of diversion (i.e., deferred prosecution) agreement rather than conviction and sentencing	10-41
10.42127	Applicability of this standard when probation imposed	10-41
10.42128	For purposes of the application of this standard, "sentencing" encompasses the initial disposition of case after plea, whether or not disposition involves actual imposition of "sentence," e.g., "sentencing" encompasses imposition of probation	10-41

10.42129	In federal court, this standard is applicable after district court accepts plea even though district court has deferred decision on whether to accept plea agreement (see sec. 10.4271)	10-42
10.4213	When substantial prejudicial to state warrants denial of plea withdrawal	10-42
10.42131	In general	10-42
10.42132	When state claims it is prejudiced by inability to find witness (e.g., victim), court must consider strength of state's case without witness; if state's case remains strong, state cannot show sufficient prejudice to warrant denial of motion	10-42
10.42133	When state fails to assert prejudice, court may assume there would be no prejudice to state in allowing plea withdrawal	10-42
10.4214	When newly discovered evidence will satisfy this standard for withdrawal (see sec. 10.4342)	10-42
10.4215	When victim's or other witness's recantation will satisfy this standard for withdrawal (see sec. 10.43432)	10-42
10.4216	State bears burden to show substantial prejudice so as to defeat request for plea withdrawal (see sec. 10.4515)	10-42
10.4217	Particular circumstances that will, or will not, constitute fair and just reason for plea withdrawal	10-42
10.42171	Lack of knowledge of consequences of plea: in general	10-42
10.42172	Lack of knowledge of consequences of plea: lack of knowledge of sex offender registration requirement	10-42
10.42173	Lack of knowledge of consequences of plea: lack of knowledge of possibility of chap. 980 commitment	10-42
10.42174	Claim of innocence (see sec. 10.42193)	10-43
10.42175	Defendant's recalculation of chances at trial	10-43
10.42176	Genuine misunderstanding of plea's consequences	10-43
10.42177	Haste and confusion in entering plea	10-43
10.42178	Coercion on part of defense counsel	10-43
10.42179	Confusion resulting from misleading advice from defense counsel	10-43
10.4218	Particular circumstances that will, or will not, constitute fair and just reason for plea withdrawal (cont'd)	10-43
10.42181	Defendant's misunderstanding about whether he or she would be guaranteed opportunity to work with law enforcement and thereby provide reason for leniency in sentencing	10-43
10.42182	Defendant's misunderstanding that grade of offense could be reduced at sentencing if state failed to prove nonexistence of mitigating factor that would reduce grade of offense	10-43
10.42183	Unfulfilled hopes in general	10-43

10.42184	Defendant's acceptance of court's offer of plea withdrawal after court informs defendant that it intends to reject sentence recommendation in plea agreement (see sec. 7.2482)	10-43
10.4219	Other matters	10-43
10.42191	When defendant's reason for plea withdrawal relates to sentencing rather than to plea, and concern can be adequately addressed by other means, trial court does not abuse its discretion in concluding that concern does not constitute fair and just reason for withdrawal of plea	10-44
10.42192	In assessing whether this standard met, trial court may consider fact that defendant waited until he saw content of presentence report before he sought plea withdrawal	10-44
10.42193	In assessing whether this standard met, assertion of innocence is important, but not dispositive (i.e., claim of innocence does not in itself ordinarily provide fair and just reason for plea withdrawal)	10-44
10.42194	In assessing whether this standard met, court may consider delay in seeking plea withdrawal	10-44
10.42195	In assessing whether this standard met, court may consider promptness with which motion to withdraw plea brought	10-44
10.42196	When pre-sentencing motion not only not filed within time set by court, but defendant also affirmatively tells lawyer not to file motion, defendant has waived right to later file motion on day of sentencing	10-44
10.42197	No need to make assertion of innocence to establish fair and just reason for plea withdrawal	10-44
10.422	Test for plea withdrawal after sentencing: manifest injustice	10-45
10.4221	In general	10-45
10.4222	When this standard applicable	10-47
10.4223	Particular circumstances that constitute manifest injustice	10-47
10.42231	Failure to establish factual basis for plea	10-47
10.42232	Plea was not knowingly, voluntarily and intelligently entered	10-47
10.42233	Failure to make plea voluntarily	10-47
10.42234	Lack of knowledge of charge or potential punishment	10-47
10.42235	Substantial and material breach of plea agreement by state	10-48
10.42236	Ineffective assistance of counsel	10-48

10.4224	When manifest injustice consists of lack of information, or misinformation, regarding matter essential to knowing, intelligent and voluntary plea (e.g., range of potential punishment, nature of rights being waiving, etc.), defendant is not required to show that lack of information or misinformation caused him to enter plea in order to obtain withdrawal of plea (see sec. 10.4235)	10-48
10.4225	Assertion of innocence is important factor, but not dispositive	10-48
10.4226	Other matters	10-48
10.42261	Effect of defendant's disclaimer of any dissatisfaction with counsel	10-48
10.42262	Effect of defendant's waiting to see what sentence he faced before bringing motion to withdraw plea	10-48
10.423	Failure to meet due process standard of being knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily entered	10-48
10.4231	General principles	10-48
10.42311	In general	10-48
10.42312	Statutory violation in taking plea does not automatically translate into constitutional violation	10-50
10.42313	Plea may meet this standard even though defendant may be laboring under various forms of misapprehension regarding circumstances surrounding entry of plea	10-50
10.42314	When trial court complies with mandated procedures designed to assure that plea is knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily entered (e.g., questioning ascertaining defendant's understanding of information necessary to knowing and intelligent plea, questioning ascertaining voluntariness of plea, etc.) may defendant later contend that he lied in answering trial court's questions in attempt to show unconstitutional plea? (see sec. 10.4997)	10-50
10.42315	When defendant is not informed, or is misinformed, regarding matter essential to knowing, intelligent and voluntary plea (e.g., range of potential punishment, nature of rights being waiving, etc.), or claims misunderstanding that undermines knowing and intelligent nature of plea, defendant is not required to show that lack of information, misinformation, or misunderstanding caused him to enter plea in order to obtain withdrawal of plea	10-51
10.42316	When defendant is not aware of potential punishment, plea is not knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily entered	10-51
10.4232	Determining whether plea was knowingly and intelligently entered: general principles	10-51

10.42321	In general	10-51
10.42322	Defendant's understanding of rights he is waiving must exist at time of plea; earlier understanding is not sufficient (see sec. 10.42433)	10-51
10.4233	Determining whether plea was knowingly and intelligently entered: particular circumstances	10-51
10.42331	Defendant claims lack of understanding of party-to-a-crime liability (see sec. 10.4392)	10-51
10.42332	Defendant's alleged inability to understand information provided by counsel and/or court and/or plea questionnaire as result of medication ingested prior to entry of plea	10-51
10.42333	Defendant's alleged failure to read plea questionnaire on which trial court relied in accepting plea	10-51
10.42334	Counsel's alleged assurance that defendant would receive mild sentence	10-51
10.42335	Other circumstances	10-51
10.4234	Determining whether plea was voluntary: general principles	10-51
10.42341	In general	10-52
10.42342	"Self-imposed coercive element" will not render plea involuntary	10-52
10.4235	Determining whether plea was voluntary: particular circumstances	10-52
10.42351	Defendant who has entered plea following judge's participation in plea negotiation is conclusively presumed to have entered his plea involuntarily and is entitled to withdraw it (see sec. 7.1934)	10-52
10.42352	Defendant's concern about effect of trial on her pregnancy	10-52
10.42353	Plea entered pursuant to "package plea agreement"	10-52
10.42354	Defendant's youth	10-52
10.42355	Lack of sufficient time to consider plea agreement	10-52
10.42356	Defense counsel's pressuring defendant to enter into plea agreement	10-52
10.42357	Defendant's desire to help codefendant	10-52
10.42358	Defendant religious or moral scruples that caused him to plead	10-52
10.42359	Defendant's desire to obtain benefit for another, e.g. family member	10-52
10.4236	Determining whether plea was voluntary: particular circumstances (cont'd)	10-52
10.42361	Pressure from family and/or friends	10-52
10.42362	Counsel's threat to withdraw from representation on eve of trial if defendant did not plead guilty	10-52
10.42363	Coercion by defense counsel	10-52
10.42364	Defendant's belief that counsel was unprepared to defend him at trial	10-53

10.4237	Trial court's commenting on strength of state's case and urging defendant to consider entry of guilty plea do not necessarily render subsequent plea involuntary	10-53
10.424	Failure to comply, on record at time of plea taking, with mandated procedures relating to information trial court required to impart to pleading defendant (e.g., nature of charge, possible penalties, rights being waived, etc.) and relating to other matters necessary for valid plea (e.g., establishment of factual basis for plea) (Bangert analysis)	10-53
10.4241	General principles	10-53
10.42411	In general	10-53
10.42412	Application of Bangert analysis to failure to establish factual basis for plea	10-54
10.42413	Bangert requirements and analysis not applicable to read-in offenses that trial court does not deem admitted	10-54
10.4242	Defendant's obligation to make prima facie showing that court violated mandatory duties and to allege that he or she did not know information that court was required to provide: in general	10-54
10.4243	Defendant's obligation to make prima facie showing that court violated mandatory duties and to allege that he or she did not know information that court was required to provide: obligation to show that court violated mandatory duties	10-55
10.42431	In general	10-55
10.42432	Sufficiency of showing	10-55
10.42433	When duty to establish factual basis violated	10-55
10.4244	Defendant's obligation to make prima facie showing that court violated mandatory duties and to allege that he or she did not know information that court was required to provide: obligation to allege that he or she did not know information that court was required to provide	10-56
10.42441	In general	10-56
10.42442	Necessity of allegation	10-56
10.42443	Sufficiency of allegation; conclusory allegation will suffice	10-56
10.42444	State's waiver of argument that this obligation was not met by its failure to make that argument in trial court	10-56
10.42445	Signed plea questionnaire containing required information does not conclusively establish knowledge (see sec. 10.42436)	10-56
10.42446	Defendant not required to submit sworn affidavit	10-56
10.42447	When duty to establish factual basis for plea violated	10-56
10.4245	State's burden, once defendant makes prima facie showing, to demonstrate validity of plea (e.g., that defendant knew information trial court was required to provide)	10-56
10.42451	In general	10-56

10.42452	In sustaining burden, state may resort to entire record and to evidence produced at withdrawal hearing	10-57
10.42453	To sustain burden, state must show that defendant knew information at time of plea; showing of knowledge at prior time is not sufficient	10-58
10.42454	Use of guilty plea questionnaire to sustain burden: in general	10-58
10.42455	Use of guilty plea questionnaire to sustain burden: questionnaire that is in foreign language spoken by defendant will not suffice to sustain burden in absence of English translation of form in record	10-58
10.42456	Use of guilty plea questionnaire to sustain burden: signed plea questionnaire containing required information does not conclusively establish knowledge of that information	10-58
10.42457	Remedy when state has failed to sustain burden: state not entitled to remand for "second kick at the cat"	10-58
10.42458	When, on appeal, appellate court concludes that defendant sustained his obligation in trial court to make prima facie showing, it may be appropriate to remand case to trial court to give state opportunity to sustain its burden to demonstrate that defendant nevertheless knew information trial court was required to provide	10-58
10.42459	In proving valid waiver of rights, state may rely on trial court's reference, in high-volume traffic and misdemeanor courts, to court's recitation en masse of constitutional rights at start of proceedings and then incorporation of that recitation by reference as each individual defendant's case is called	10-59
10.4246	State's burden, once defendant makes prima facie showing, to demonstrate validity of plea (e.g., that defendant knew information trial court was required to provide) (cont'd)	10-59
10.42461	In sustaining burden, state may call defendant to stand and examine him regarding plea	10-59
10.42462	If defendant refuses to testify, trial court may draw negative inference from that refusal	10-59
10.42463	In sustaining burden, state may call defense counsel to stand and examine him regarding plea	10-59
10.42464	Trial court errs as matter of law if it grants plea withdrawal motion without allowing state opportunity to prove plea was knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily entered	10-59

10.42465 If state is unable to sustain this burden, it cannot avoid plea withdrawal by showing that lack of knowledge of required information did not cause, or otherwise affect, decision to plead guilty (see sec. 10.42463) 10-59

10.42466 If defendant fails to make prima facie showing, no burden shifts to state 10-59

10.42467 When court failed to establish factual basis for plea 10-59

10.4247 Improper factors to consider in engaging in Bangert analysis to determine whether guilty or no contest plea should be allowed to be withdrawn 10-60

10.42471 Likely outcome if case goes to trial 10-60

10.42472 Victim's situation and feelings 10-60

10.42473 Whether defendant's lack of knowledge of information trial court was required to provide caused, or otherwise affected, decision to plead guilty (see sec. 10.42463) 10-60

10.4248 Other matters 10-60

10.42481 Bangert analysis (including requirement that entire record be examined to determine whether guilty plea valid) applies to allegation that trial court failed to establish factual basis for plea at time of plea hearing (see sec. 10.42412) 10-60

10.42482 Trial court errs if it places any burden on defendant to establish basis for plea withdrawal after defendant makes prima facie showing that court violated mandatory duties and alleges that he or she did not know information that court was required to provide 10-60

10.42483 Trial court errs as matter of law if it grants plea withdrawal motion without allowing state opportunity to prove plea was knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily entered 10-60

10.42484 When trial court complies with mandated procedures relating to information trial court is required to impart to pleading defendant, and trial court ascertains from defendant that he understands required information, may defendant later contend that he lied in answering trial court's questions in attempt to show unconstitutional plea? (see sec. 10.4997) 10-60

10.42485 If state is unable to sustain burden to show that defendant actually knew information trial court was required to provide notwithstanding trial court's failure to provide it, state cannot avoid plea withdrawal by showing that lack of knowledge of required information did not cause, or otherwise affect, decision to plead guilty 10-60

10.42486	Defendant's right to evidentiary hearing under Bangert cannot be circumvented by either court or state asserting that based on record as a whole, defendant, despite defective plea colloquy, entered constitutionally sound plea (see sec. 10.4622)	10-61
10.42487	Adequate and accurate plea colloquy (i.e., one that is not subject to challenge under Bangert) cannot be relied on to deny defendant hearing on motion to withdraw plea based on non-Bangert grounds, i.e., on something outside of plea colloquy	10-61
10.425	Failure, in federal court, to comply, on record at time of plea taking, with procedures mandated by Fed. Rule Crim. Proc. 11 relating to information trial court required to impart to pleading defendant	10-61
10.4251	In general	10-61
10.4252	To be entitled to plea withdrawal, a defendant who lets Rule 11 error pass without objection must satisfy Rule 52(b)'s plain-error rule, i.e., must show that error affected his or her substantial rights	10-61
10.42521	In general	10-61
10.42522	To show that error affected substantial rights, defendant must show reasonable probability that, but for the error, he or she would not have pleaded guilty	10-61
10.4253	When defendant has objected to Rule 11 error, error does not entitle defendant to relief if government can show that error did not affect defendant's substantial rights	10-61
10.4254	In determining whether Rule 11 error has affected substantial rights, reviewing court may examine whole record, not simply that made at plea hearing	10-61
10.426	Denial of constitutional right occurring outside of plea process	10-61
10.4261	In general	10-61
10.4262	State's failure to disclose exculpatory evidence (see sec. 10.436)	10-62
10.427	Other matters	10-62
10.4271	In federal court, defendant has no absolute right to withdraw plea after acceptance by district court but before district court decides whether to accept plea agreement; in such situation, defendant must show "fair and just reason" for plea withdrawal	10-62
10.43	Particular Grounds That May Or May Not Be Grounds For Plea Withdrawal	10-62
10.431	Failure of trial court to comply with particular obligations in accepting guilty plea	10-62
10.4311	In general (see secs. 7.21 and 10.424)	10-62
10.4312	Failure to determine defendant's education and general comprehension (see sec. 7.212)	10-62
10.4313	Failure to establish defendant's knowledge and understanding of nature of crime and range of punishments	10-62
10.43131	Nature of crime (see sec. 7.2131)	10-62

10.43132	Range of punishments (see sec. 7.2132)	10-62
10.4314	Failure to establish voluntariness of plea (i.e., whether any promises or threats have been made) (see sec. 7.214)	10-62
10.4315	Failure to advise unrepresented defendant about representation by counsel (see sec. 7.215)	10-62
10.4316	Failure to determine that the conduct which the defendant admits constitutes offense to which he is pleading guilty (i.e., failure to establish factual basis for plea) (see sec. 7.216)	10-62
10.4317	Failure to advise defendant of constitutional rights he is waiving by entry of plea and to secure waiver of them (see sec. 7.217)	10-62
10.4318	Failure to comply with statutory obligation to inform defendant of possibility of deportation if he is not citizen (see sec. 7.218)	10-62
10.4319	Other failures	10-62
10.43191	Failure to advise defendant that prosecutor's recommendation and plea agreement is not binding on trial court (see sec. 7.2191)	10-62
10.43192	Failure to advise defendant of collateral consequences of plea (see secs. 7.2194 and 7.2195)	10-62
10.43193	Failure to advise defendant of direct consequences of plea (see sec. 7.2192)	10-63
10.43194	Failure to advise defendant regarding parole eligibility, including possibility that trial court may set parole eligibility date (see sec. 7.2196)	10-63
10.432	Denial of effective assistance of counsel	10-63
10.4321	In general	10-63
10.4322	Particular defects in counsel's performance	10-63
10.43221	Failure to investigate certain alibi witnesses	10-63
10.43222	Failure to advise defendant that he could not be convicted of both offenses to which he pleaded guilty (e.g., felony murder and underlying felony)	10-63
10.43223	Failure to investigate and pursue claim that confession was coerced and given without Miranda warnings	10-63
10.43224	Failure to pursue defense of mental disease or defect	10-63
10.43225	Failure to bring motion to suppress evidence	10-63
10.4323	Defendant must establish that he would not have pled guilty if lawyer had not performed deficiently	10-63
10.433	Failure of state to comply with plea agreement	10-63
10.434	Newly discovered evidence	10-64
10.4341	In general	10-64
10.4342	When plea withdrawal sought on this ground prior to sentencing	10-64
10.4343	Victim's or other witness's recantation	10-64
10.43431	In general	10-64
10.43432	When plea withdrawal sought on this ground prior to sentencing	10-64

10.43433	Requirement of corroboration: in general	10-64
10.43434	Requirement of corroboration: when plea withdrawal sought prior to sentencing	10-64
10.43435	When withdrawal sought on this basis, court may consider timing of recantation	10-64
10.43436	Remedy when appellate court finds error in trial court's denial of a new trial	10-65
10.4344	Newly discovered evidence does not include the "new appreciation of the importance of evidence previously known but not used"	10-65
10.4345	Requirements for granting plea withdrawal based on newly discovered evidence	10-65
10.43451	In general	10-65
10.43452	First requirement: evidence must have come to moving party's knowledge after trial	10-65
10.43453	Second requirement: moving party must not have been negligent in seeking to discover it	10-65
10.43454	Third requirement: evidence must be material to issue	10-65
10.43455	Fourth requirement: evidence must not be merely cumulative to testimony which was introduced at trial	10-65
10.43456	Fifth requirement: evidence must create reasonable probability that outcome would be different on retrial	10-65
10.4346	Appellate review of trial court's determination whether evidence constituted newly discovered evidence	10-65
10.435	Misinformation	10-65
10.4351	In general	10-65
10.4352	Inaccurate legal information	10-65
10.43521	In general	10-65
10.43522	Erroneous advice regarding parole eligibility	10-65
10.436	State's failure to disclose exculpatory evidence prior to entry of plea (see sec. 6.81142)	10-65
10.437	Counsel's failure to advise defendant that he could not be convicted of both offenses to which he pleaded guilty (e.g., felony murder and underlying felony)	10-65
10.4371	In general	10-66
10.4372	To be entitled to plea withdrawal on this ground, defendant must establish that he would not have pleaded guilty if lawyer had not failed to advise him that he could not be convicted of both offenses	10-66
10.438	Other grounds	10-66
10.4381	Plea was to, or was based on, legal impossibility (see sec. 7.4985)	10-66
10.4382	Plea bargain, pursuant to which plea was entered, was illusory (i.e., plea bargain did not confer any real benefit on defendant)	10-66
10.4383	Plea entered pursuant to "package plea agreement" (see sec. 10.42353)	10-66

10.4384	Defendant's youth (see sec. 10.42354)	10-66
10.4385	Lack of sufficient time to consider plea agreement pursuant to which plea entered (see sec. 10.42355)	10-66
10.4386	Defense counsel pressured defendant to enter into plea agreement pursuant to which plea entered (see sec. 10.42356)	10-66
10.4387	Plea prompted by defendant's desire to help codefendant (see sec. 10.42357)	10-66
10.4388	Defendant religious or moral scruples caused him to plead (see sec. 10.42358)	10-66
10.4389	Plea prompted by defendant's desire to obtain benefit for another, e.g. family member (see sec. 10.42359)	10-66
10.439	Other grounds (cont'd) (see sec. 10.42351)	10-66
10.4391	Defendant pressured by family and/or friends to enter plea (see sec. 10.42351)	10-66
10.4392	Defendant lacked understanding of party-to-a-crime liability	10-66
10.4393	Defendant's lacked sufficient comprehension of English language to enter valid plea	10-66
10.4394	Fact that court has informed defendant of intention not to follow sentence recommendation in plea agreement and has offered defendant opportunity to withdraw plea (see sec. 7.2482)	10-67
10.44	Particular Grounds That May Or May Not Be Grounds For Plea Withdrawal (cont'd)	10-67
10.441	Failure to admit guilt	10-67
10.442	Defendant's misunderstanding of collateral consequences of plea	10-67
10.4421	In general	10-67
10.4422	When misunderstanding product of defendant's own inaccurate interpretation of law or facts	10-67
10.4423	When misunderstanding product of misinformation provided by defense counsel, prosecutor, or court	10-67
10.4424	Particular consequences	10-67
10.44241	Possibility of deportation (see sec. 10.443)	10-67
10.44242	Possibility of ch. 980 commitment (see sec. 10.4453)	10-67
10.44243	Requirement of sex offender registration (see sec. 10.4454)	10-67
10.443	Plea was induced by legally impermissible, or otherwise legally defective, plea agreement	10-67
10.4431	In general	10-67
10.4432	Plea entered pursuant to plea agreement that violates public policy and cannot be enforced	10-67
10.4433	Plea based on agreement that includes recommendation for invalid sentence	10-67
10.4434	Plea based on agreement that contains invalid reopen-and-amend provision	10-67
10.4435	Plea based on agreement that includes invalid provision that matters normally waived by plea will be preserved for appellate review (see sec. 7.481)	10-68

10.444	Other grounds	10-68
10.4441	Defendant's mistaken belief that he is not subject to deportation as result of plea	10-68
10.4442	Defendant's alleged inability to understand information provided by counsel and/or court and/or plea questionnaire as result of medication ingested prior to entry of plea (see sec. 10.42332)	10-68
10.4443	Defendant's alleged failure to read plea questionnaire on which trial court relied in accepting plea (see sec. 10.42333)	10-68
10.4444	Counsel's alleged assurance that defendant would receive mild sentence (see sec. 10.42334)	10-68
10.445	Other Grounds (cont'd)	10-68
10.4451	Post-plea finding of incompetency	10-68
10.4452	Failure to inform defendant of possibility of ch. 980 (sexual predator) commitment (see sec. 7.21971)	10-68
10.4453	Defendant's misunderstanding that offense(s) to which he pleaded would not subject him to ch. 980 (sexually violent person) commitment	10-68
10.4454	Defendant's misunderstanding that offense(s) to which he pleaded would not require him to register as sex offender	10-68
10.4455	Plea bargain pursuant to which plea entered was "poor deal"	10-68
10.4456	Prosecutor's post-plea use of inconsistent argument in co- actor's case	10-68
10.4457	Trial court's failure to explain difference between no-contest plea defendant entered and guilty plea to dispel defendant's misconception that he would receive lesser sentence for pleading no contest	10-69
10.4458	Matters related to read-ins	10-69
10.44581	Failure of defendant to know that dismissed charges would be treated as read-ins	10-69
10.44582	Failure of defendant to understand read-in procedure	10-69
10.44583	Failure of trial court to ascertain defendant's knowledge that dismissed charges would be treated as read-ins	10-69
10.44584	Failure of trial court to ascertain defendant's understanding of read-in procedure	10-69
10.44585	Failure of trial court to inform defendant that read-in offenses would be deemed admitted by defendant	10-69
10.44586	Failure of defendant to understand that read-in offense would be deemed admitted by defendant	10-69
10.44587	Defendant's denial of guilt of offense that was read-in	10-69
10.4459	Trial court's failure to determine whether defendant needed interpreter and/or failure to secure waiver of right to interpreter	10-69
10.446	Other grounds (cont'd)	10-69

10.4461	Defendant allegedly misunderstood provisions of diversion agreement entered into as part of plea bargain that resulted in plea	10-69
10.4462	Defendant's alleged lack of knowledge that his sentence would turn in part upon old claims of other alleged victims (whom he would be denied the opportunity to cross-examine)	10-70
10.4463	Plea allegedly coerced by defendant's belief that he could not afford to have case tried	10-70
10.4464	Prosecutor had conflict of interest	10-70
10.4465	Lack of knowledge or understanding of effect of plea on right to raise issues on appeal	10-70
	10.44651 In general	10-70
	10.44652 Remedy when this flaw in entry of plea occurred	10-70
10.4466	Misunderstanding of validity of counts dismissed as result of plea agreement	10-70
10.4467	Failure of counsel to provide advice	10-70
10.4468	Fact that oral amendment of charge to which defendant pled guilty did not allege particular element of crime	10-70
10.4469	Failure to have defendant specifically articulate plea he is entering (see sec. 7.2113)	10-70
10.447	Other grounds (cont'd)	10-70
10.4471	Defendant's developmental disabilities	10-70
	10.44711 Developmental disabilities that kept defendant from fully understanding what he was doing	10-70
	10.44712 Developmental disabilities that impaired defendant's judgment (see secs. 7.2194 and 7.2195)	10-70
10.4472	Defendant's mental illness that caused him to lack capacity to enter valid plea	10-71
10.4473	Development disabilities that impaired defendant's judgment	10-71
10.4474	Fact that counsel did not advise defendant of possible constitutional infirmity of statute creating offense to which plea entered	10-71
	10.44741 When appellate court considers constitutional challenge to possible constitutional infirmity of statute creating crime to which plea entered and concludes it is constitutional, plea is not vulnerable to attack on this ground	10-71
10.4475	Defendant's ignorance that prior coerced confession could not be admitted in evidence	10-71
10.4476	Defendant's disappointment in sentence imposed	10-71
10.4477	Trial court's rejection of sentence recommendation agreed to as part of negotiated guilty plea	10-71
10.4478	Failure to inform defendant of parole eligibility (see sec. 7.2196)	10-71
10.4479	Trial counsel's misinforming defendant of parole eligibility	10-71
10.448	Other grounds (cont'd)	10-71

10.4481	Failure to apprehend quality of state's case or the likely penalties attached to alternative courses of action	10-71
10.4482	Change in applicable law	10-71
10.4483	Assertion of innocence	10-71
10.4484	Desire to have jury trial on issue of guilt or innocence	10-71
10.4485	Defense counsel's renegotiation of plea agreement without informing defendant or obtaining defendant's consent	10-72
10.4486	Failure to inform defendant of potential maximum term to which he would be subjected in the event probation is revoked (see sec. 7.21986)	10-72
10.4487	Failure to inform defendant that, under truth-in-sentencing, there is no parole or good time (see secs. 7.21963 and 7.21988)	10-72
10.4488	Misunderstanding consequence of plea with respect to possible reduction of offense to lower grade felony at sentencing if state failed to negate mitigating circumstance that reduces offense to lower grade felony	10-72
10.4489	Coercion by defense counsel to enter plea (see sec. 10.42363)	10-72
10.449	Other grounds (cont'd)	10-72
10.4491	Importance of known facts, which would support defense to criminal charge, not realized until after sentencing	10-72
10.4492	Misunderstanding of nature of plea agreement	10-72
10.4493	Plea improperly conditioned on preservation of defendant's right to appeal issue normally waived by plea (see sec. 7.481)	10-72
10.4494	Failure to inform defendant of possibility that restitution may be ordered	10-72
10.4495	Failure to inform defendant entering Alford plea that failure to admit guilt during treatment ordered as condition of probation might result in revocation of probation and imprisonment	10-72
10.4496	Fact that plea proceeding was conducted via closed-circuit television	10-72
10.4497	Overstatement of potential sentence	10-72
	10.44971 In general	10-72
	10.44972 When potential sentence is overstated and defendant receives less than maximum that law allows, may defendant complain that overstatement of sentence constituted manifest injustice or otherwise provides grounds for plea withdrawal? (see sec. 7.21328)	10-73
10.4498	Defendant entered plea following judge's participation in plea negotiation (see sec. 7.1934)	10-73
10.4499	Prosecutor's failure to comply with statutory discovery obligations	10-73
10.45	Burden And Standard Of Proof	10-73
10.451	Burden of proof	10-73
	10.4511 In general	10-73
	10.4512 On question whether defendant has "fair and just reason" for plea withdrawal before sentencing	10-74

	<i>Page</i>
10.4513	On question whether manifest injustice exists 10-74
10.4514	On question whether plea was knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily entered 10-74
10.4515	On question whether state has suffered prejudice so as to warrant denial of plea withdrawal request based on fair and just reason 10-74
10.4516	When defendant seeks to withdraw plea based on newly discovered evidence 10-75
10.4517	Requirement that defendant allege that he in fact did not know or understand information which should have been provided at plea hearing before burden shifts to state to show knowing, voluntary, and intelligent nature of plea (see sec. 10.42424) 10-75
10.4518	Distinction between Bangert-type violations (i.e., defect in plea taking apparent from face of record) and Nelson/Bentley-type violations (i.e., attacks on plea dependent on facts outside record [e.g., attack based on claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, involuntariness, etc.]) in determining who has burden of proof 10-75
10.452	Standard of proof 10-75
10.4521	In general 10-75
10.4522	On question whether defendant has shown manifest injustice 10-75
10.4523	On question whether defendant has "fair and just reason" for plea withdrawal before sentencing 10-75
10.4524	On question whether plea was knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily entered 10-76
10.46	Hearing On Motion 10-76
10.461	When required; sufficiency of allegations of motion to require hearing on motion 10-76
10.4611	In general 10-76
10.4612	Requirement that defendant allege that he in fact did not know or understand information which should have been provided at plea hearing before burden shifts to state to show knowing, voluntary, and intelligent nature of plea (see sec. 10.42424) 10-76
10.4613	When request for withdrawal based on claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, defendant must allege, and provide support for, claim that he would not have pled guilty if attorney had not performed deficiently 10-76
10.4614	Distinction between Bangert-type violations (i.e., defect in plea taking apparent from face of record) and Nelson/Bentley-type violations (i.e., attacks on plea dependent on facts outside record [e.g., attack based on claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, involuntariness, etc.]) in determining what defendant must allege in plea withdrawal motion to secure hearing 10-77

10.4615	Sufficiency of allegations when Bangert violation alleged, i.e., when defendant contends that trial court failed to comply with mandatory obligations at plea hearing (see sec. 10.4242)	10-77
10.4616	Sufficiency of allegations must be tested either under Bangert or under Nelson/Bentley standards; there is no third hybrid test that may be applied	10-77
10.4617	When defendant claims that misunderstanding renders plea unknowing and/or unintelligent, conclusory allegation of misunderstanding is not sufficient	10-77
10.4618	If defendant seeks plea withdrawal based on claim that he was misled by counsel on some critical matter, he must allege in some fashion what was said to mislead him	10-77
10.4619	Hearsay allegations may be sufficient to warrant hearing	10-77
10.462	When required; sufficiency of allegations of motion to require hearing on motion (cont'd)	10-77
10.4621	When trial court complies with mandated procedures designed to assure that plea is knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily entered (e.g., questioning ascertaining defendant's understanding of information necessary to knowing and intelligent plea, questioning ascertaining voluntariness of plea, etc.), are defendant's allegations that in effect assert that he or she was lying in responding to that questioning sufficient to require hearing? (see sec. 10.4997)	10-77
10.4622	Defendant's right to evidentiary hearing under Bangert cannot be circumvented by either court or state asserting that based on record as a whole, defendant, despite defective plea colloquy, entered constitutionally sound plea	10-78
10.4623	Standard of appellate review of sufficiency of allegations of motion to require hearing	10-78
10.4624	Adequate and accurate plea colloquy (i.e., one that is not subject to challenge under Bangert) cannot be relied on to deny defendant hearing on motion to withdraw plea based on non-Bangert grounds, i.e., on something outside of plea colloquy (see sec. 10.42467)	10-78
10.4625	When allegations of motion are sufficient to require hearing under Bangert, it may not be necessary to assess whether they are also sufficient under Nelson/Bentley	10-78
10.463	Procedures in general	10-78
10.464	Matters that may be considered	10-78
10.465	Applicability of attorney-client privilege at hearing (see sec. 17.2422)	10-78
10.466	Requirement that defendant allege that he in fact did not know or understand information which should have been provided at plea hearing before burden shifts to state to show knowing, voluntary, and intelligent nature of plea (see sec. 10.42424)	10-79
10.467	Requirement of fact-finding	10-79
10.468	Applicability of defendant's fifth amendment privilege at hearing	10-79
10.4681	When defendant is basing withdrawal motion on failure to comply with prescribed procedures for taking plea (see sec. 10.42441)	10-79

10.469	Distinction between Bangert-type violations (i.e., defect in plea taking apparent from face of record) and Nelson/Bentley-type violations (i.e., attacks on plea dependent on facts outside record [e.g., attack based on claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, involuntariness, etc.]) in determining what must be proved at hearing and who must prove it	10-79
10.47	Remedy For Defects In Plea Taking	10-79
10.471	Constitutional defects in general (see sec. 7.2472)	10-79
10.472	Statutory defects in general (see sec. 7.2473)	10-79
10.473	Failure to inform defendant of possibility of deportation	10-79
10.474	Remedy when record establishes factual basis for misdemeanor, but not for felony to which defendant pleaded guilty	10-79
10.475	When defendant attempts to preserve for appellate review issue waived by entry of guilty plea, court may either allow withdrawal of plea or consider issue defendant attempted to preserve on its merits	10-79
10.476	Remedy when, in theft prosecution, factual basis exists for theft but not for value of property stolen that is necessary to render theft a felony (see sec. 7.2165)	10-79
10.477	Remedy when appellate or trial court reaches conclusion that has effect of negating plea bargain (e.g., when it sets aside conviction on one of a number of offenses to which defendant pled guilty pursuant to plea bargain) (see sec. 7.195)	10-79
10.48	Appellate Review Of Disposition Of Motion	10-79
10.481	Standards of review	10-79
10.4811	Of factual findings and credibility determinations	10-80
10.48111	Factual findings	10-80
10.48112	Credibility determinations are province of trial court (see sec. 10.483)	10-80
10.4812	Of decision whether to permit withdrawal, i.e., whether facts constitute grounds for withdrawal	10-80
10.4813	Of decision whether party has met burden of proof	10-82
10.4814	Of decision whether to allow plea withdrawal prior to sentencing (i.e., whether defendant has shown fair and just reason for plea withdrawal and state has not demonstrated prejudice)	10-82
10.4815	Of decision whether defendant has shown manifest injustice	10-83
10.4816	Of decision whether plea was knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily entered	10-83
10.4817	Of decisions required under Bangert analysis	10-83
10.48171	Of decision whether defendant has made showing that his plea was accepted without compliance with required procedures	10-83
10.48172	Of decision whether state has met burden to show that, notwithstanding noncompliance with mandated procedures, defendant's plea was knowingly entered	10-84
10.48173	Of decision whether defendant has made showing that he did not know or understand information that should have been provided at plea hearing	10-84
10.4818	Other decisions	10-84

10.48181	Of decision whether there was factual basis for plea	10-84
10.48182	Of decision whether defendant has established denial of relevant constitutional right	10-84
10.48183	Application of law to facts	10-84
10.48184	Of decision whether newly discovered evidence warranting plea withdrawal exists (see sec. 10.4346)	10-85
10.48185	Of decision whether plea was made under constitutionally acceptable circumstances	10-85
10.48186	Of determination whether facts constituted constitutional violation sufficient to warrant withdrawal	10-85
10.48187	Of determination whether information provided to defendant as part of plea taking (e.g., description of nature of offense) was legally correct	10-85
10.48188	Of decision whether defendant was denied constitutional right occurring outside of plea process that entitles him to withdrawal of plea	10-85
10.48189	Of decision which standard is applicable to plea withdrawal motion	10-85
10.4819	Other decisions (cont'd)	10-85
10.48191	Of decision whether defendant has made prima facie showing that plea was entered involuntarily or unknowingly	10-85
10.48192	Of decision whether allegations of motion to withdraw plea are sufficient to require hearing (see sec. 10.4623)	10-85
10.48193	Of determination of proper remedy	10-85
10.48194	Of decision whether plea colloquy was deficient	10-85
10.482	Scope of review; may examine entire record	10-85
10.483	Credibility determinations are for trial court, not appellate court, to make	10-86
10.484	Need to look for evidence to uphold trial court's exercise of discretion (e.g., need to independently review record to determine whether trial court's decision can be sustained when trial court has not properly exercised discretion)	10-86
10.485	Need for motion to withdraw plea in trial court to obtain appellate review of whether grounds exist to withdraw plea (see sec. 7.493)	10-86
10.486	Appealability of order granting motion to withdraw guilty or no-contest plea (see sec. 11.11458)	10-86
10.487	In reviewing trial court's decision, appellate court will assume facts, reasonably inferable from record, in manner that supports that decision	10-86
10.488	Conflicts and contradictions in testimony are for trial court, not appellate court, to resolve	10-86
10.49	Other Matters	10-86
10.491	Waiver of right to attack plea	10-86

10.4911	Waiver of right to raise ground for plea withdrawal by not raising that ground in the trial court	10-86
10.4912	Decision not to withdraw plea after alleged ground for withdrawal known constitutes reaffirmation of plea and abandons or waives right to later seek withdrawal of plea on that ground	10-86
10.4913	Decision not to pursue motion to withdraw plea after it is filed constitutes abandonment of motion (see sec. 10.498)	10-86
10.492	Challenge to validity of plea and request for its withdrawal cannot be made for first time on appeal (see sec. 7.4931)	10-86
10.493	Need for contemporaneous objection to alleged breach of plea agreement by prosecutor to preserve issue (see sec. 7.1551)	10-86
10.494	Effect of granting motion when plea entered pursuant to plea bargain granting benefits to defendant (see sec. 7.4994)	10-87
10.495	Proving denial of right to counsel in collateral attack on plea proceeding (see sec. 7.4992)	10-87
10.496	Collateral attack on plea (see sec. 7.495)	10-87
10.497	Sufficiency of postconviction motion	10-87
10.498	Abandonment of motion to withdraw plea	10-87
10.4981	By expressly declining to pursue motion after it is filed	10-87
10.499	Other matters	10-87
10.4991	When defendant seeks to withdraw plea on basis that his attorney failed to properly advise him with respect to entering plea, defendant thereby waives attorney-client privilege (see sec. 17.2422)	10-87
10.4992	Defendant who successfully withdraws plea no longer has benefit of concessions or dismissals called for by plea bargain; original charges may be reinstated and parties may be returned to positions they were in prior to agreement	10-87
10.4993	When defendant seeks to withdraw plea on basis that his attorney failed to properly advise him with respect to entering plea, defendant must establish that he would not have pleaded guilty had he been properly advised	10-87
10.4994	Same standards apply to both felony and misdemeanor cases	10-87
10.4995	Remedy when appellate or trial court reaches conclusion that has effect of negating plea bargain (e.g., when it sets aside conviction on one of a number of offenses to which defendant pled guilty pursuant to plea bargain) (see sec. 7.195)	10-87
10.4996	Order granting motion to withdraw guilty or no-contest plea is not "final" order	10-88
10.4997	When trial court complies with mandated procedures designed to assure that plea is knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily entered (e.g., questioning ascertaining defendant's understanding of information necessary to knowing and intelligent plea, questioning ascertaining voluntariness of plea, etc.) may defendant later contend that he lied in answering trial court's questions in attempt to show unconstitutional plea?	10-88

10.49971	Decisions answering this question "no"	10-88
10.49972	Decisions answering this question "yes"	10-88
10.4998	Two distinct types of violations that may provide grounds for plea withdrawal: Bangert-type violations (i.e., defect in plea taking apparent from face of record) and Nelson/Bentley-type violations (i.e., attacks on plea dependent on facts outside record [e.g., attack based on claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, involuntariness, etc.]	10-88
10.49981	In general	10-88
10.49982	Defendant may invoke both Bangert and Nelson/Bentley in single motion to withdraw plea	10-88
10.49983	Distinction between Bangert-type violations and Nelson/Bentley-type violations in determining what defendant must allege in plea withdrawal motion to secure hearing (see sec. 10.4614)	10-88
10.49984	Distinction between Bangert-type violations and Nelson/Bentley-type violations in determining who has burden of proof (see sec. 10.4518)	10-88
10.4999	Adequate and accurate plea colloquy (i.e., one that complies with all requirements of plea colloquy and, therefore, is not subject to challenge under Bangert) cannot be relied on to deny defendant's motion to withdraw plea when such motion is based on matters outside of plea colloquy (e.g., ineffective assistance of counsel, involuntariness, etc.) (see sec. 10.42467)	10-88
10.5	Reexamination Of Those Found NGI	10-89
10.51	Test For Continuing Commitment	10-89
10.511	In general	10-89
10.512	Constitutionality of continuing commitment of insanity acquittee who no longer suffers from any mental illness, based on dangerousness alone	10-89
10.52	Burden And Standard Of Proof	10-89
10.53	Reexamination Procedures	10-89
10.531	In general	10-89
10.532	Requirement that hearing be held within thirty days	10-89
10.5321	In general	10-89
10.5322	Requirement is directory, not mandatory	10-89
10.5323	Remedy for failure to comply with requirement (see sec. 10.5921)	10-89
10.533	Right to jury trial	10-89
10.54	Admission Of Evidence	10-90
10.55	Jury Instructions	10-90
10.551	Instruction on dangerousness	10-90
10.56	Sufficiency Of Evidence	10-90
10.561	In general	10-90
10.562	Fact finder's right to reject expert testimony, even when uncontradicted	10-90

10.563	Appellate review of sufficiency of the evidence (see sec. 10.5942)	10-90
10.57	Conditional Release	10-90
10.571	Conditions of release	10-90
10.572	Interstate Compact on Mental Health (Wis. Stat. § 51.75), which permits persons on "conditional release" to receive care or supervision in another state, does not apply to criminal committed under Wis. Stat. § 971.17	10-90
10.58	Recommitment After Conditional Release	10-90
10.581	Constitutionality of statute permitting recommitment	10-90
10.582	Due process procedures required	10-90
10.583	Requirement that hearing be held within thirty days of filing of recommitment petition	10-90
10.5831	In general	10-90
10.5832	Requirement is directory, not mandatory	10-90
10.5833	Remedy for failure to comply with requirement (see sec. 10.5922)	10-90
10.584	Admission of evidence	10-91
10.585	Defendant not entitled to jury trial	10-91
10.586	Grounds for commitment	10-91
10.587	Need to consider alternative placement	10-91
10.588	Need to fashion specific remedy when placement not available	10-91
10.589	Appellate review of recommitment decision	10-91
10.5891	Mode of review: recommitment order is reviewable under Wis. Stat. § 809.30	10-91
10.5892	Standard of review	10-91
10.59	Other Matters	10-91
10.591	Court has no authority to designate the maximum level of inpatient facility	10-91
10.592	Defendant not entitled to discharge because hearing not held in timely fashion	10-91
10.5921	Reexamination hearing	10-91
10.5922	Recommitment hearing	10-91
10.593	Maximum duration of commitment of one found NGI (see sec. 8.782)	10-91
10.594	Appellate review	10-91
10.5941	Of correctness of instructions given	10-91
10.5942	Of sufficiency of evidence to justify continuing commitment	10-91
10.6	Federal Habeas Corpus	10-91
10.61	Standards For Granting Relief; Particular Matters That May Or May Not Be Grounds For Relief	10-91
10.611	In general	10-92
10.612	Standards for granting relief	10-92
10.6121	First standard: Claim that was adjudicated on merits in state court may not be ground for relief unless adjudication of claim "resulted in a decision that was contrary to, or involved an unreasonable application of, clearly established Federal law, as determined by the Supreme Court of the United States"	10-92
10.61211	In general	10-92
10.61212	"Contrary to"	10-92

10.61213	"Unreasonable application of"	10-93
10.61214	"Clearly established Federal law, as determined by the Supreme Court of the United States": in general	10-93
10.61215	"Clearly established Federal law, as determined by the Supreme Court of the United States": limited to constitutional decisions	10-93
10.61216	"Clearly established law" refers to law established by Supreme Court decisions at time of relevant state-court decision	10-93
10.61217	"Clearly established law" refers to holdings, as opposed to dicta, of Supreme Court decisions	10-93
10.61218	In applying this standard, federal court is limited to consideration of record state court had before it	10-93
10.61219	In applying this standard, federal courts are not free to presume that state court did not comply with constitutional dictates on basis of nothing more than lack of citation to controlling federal or state decision	10-93
10.6122	First standard: Claim that was adjudicated on merits in state court may not be ground for relief unless adjudication of claim "resulted in a decision that was contrary to, or involved an unreasonable application of, clearly established Federal law, as determined by the Supreme Court of the United States" (cont'd)	10-94
10.61221	When state court's adjudication of claim is dependent on antecedent unreasonable application of federal law, this standard is met	10-94
10.61222	Application of this standard when constitutional defect in instructions is alleged	10-94
10.61223	Application of this standard when claim of ineffective assistance of counsel is made	10-94
10.61224	Habeas court not required to review state court decision de novo before applying this standard	10-94
10.61225	Does this standard apply when it is unclear whether state court addressed claim (e.g., when state court rejected claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, but it is unclear whether decision was based on finding of no deficient performance or no prejudice) (see sec. 10.61243)	10-94
10.6123	Second standard: Claim that was adjudicated on merits in state court may not be ground for relief unless adjudication of claim "resulted in a decision that was based on an unreasonable determination of the facts in light of the evidence presented in the State court proceeding"	10-94
10.61231	In general	10-94
10.61232	Presumption of correctness of state court findings on factual issues in general (see sec. 10.641)	10-94

10.61233	Relationship of this standard to presumption of correctness of state court findings on factual issues set out in § 2254(e)(1)	10-94
10.61234	State court determination of facts not rendered unreasonable merely because federal habeas court would have reached different conclusion in first instance	10-94
10.6124	Principles governing application of standards	10-95
10.61241	Presumption that state courts know and follow law	10-95
10.61242	Highly deferential standard for evaluating state-court rulings, which demands that state court decisions be given benefit of the doubt	10-95
10.61243	Deferential standards not applicable when state courts did not reach merits of petitioner's claim	10-95
10.61244	Do these deferential standards apply when it is unclear whether state court addressed claim (e.g., when state court rejected claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, but it is unclear whether decision was based on finding of no deficient performance or no prejudice)	10-95
10.613	Particular matters that may or may not be grounds for relief	10-95
10.6131	Error of state law	10-95
10.6132	Fourth Amendment issues	10-95
10.6133	When state conviction based on guilty plea	10-95
10.6134	Ineffective assistance of counsel claim predicated on failure to raise fourth amendment claim	10-95
10.6135	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	10-95
10.61351	In general	10-95
10.61352	In assessing whether evidence was insufficient to convict, court may only assess evidence introduced at trial; consideration of evidence adduced post-trial, casting doubt on evidence adduced at trial, is inappropriate (see sec. 19.562)	10-96
10.61353	It is not error to cast test of sufficiency of evidence in terms of "reasonable" jury, rather than "rational" one, and that asks whether jury could be convinced of defendant's guilt, rather than whether it could be convinced of each element of crime (see sec. 19.563)	10-96
10.61354	In assessing whether evidence was insufficient to convict, court must review evidence in light most favorable to prosecution (see sec. 19.564)	10-96
10.61355	In assessing whether evidence was insufficient to convict, court must assume that trier of fact resolved any conflicts in testimony, or conflicts in inferences drawn from historical facts, in favor of prosecution (see sec. 19.565)	10-96

	10.61356	In assessing whether evidence was insufficient to convict, court must consider all evidence submitted, including that erroneously admitted (see sec. 19.582)	10-96
	10.6136	Refusal to give lesser-included offense instruction	10-96
	10.6137	Discrimination in grand jury selection	10-96
	10.6138	Other matters	10-96
	10.61381	Miranda issues	10-96
	10.61382	Claim of "actual innocence" based on newly discovered evidence	10-96
	10.61383	Evidentiary ruling	10-97
	10.61384	Instructional error	10-97
	10.61385	Violation of federal law in general	10-97
	10.61386	Failure to comply with Interstate Agreement on Detainers' time limitation on holding trial	10-97
	10.61387	Violation of federal rule requiring sentencing court to inform defendant of right to appeal, when defendant in fact knows of right to appeal (see sec. 9.1841)	10-97
	10.61388	Violation of Vienna Convention on Consular Relations	10-97
	10.61389	Allegation by American citizen held by American armed forces in foreign country that transfer of custody to that foreign country is likely to result in torture	10-97
	10.6139	Other matters (cont'd)	10-97
	10.61391	Allegation by American citizen held in United States custody that, if he is turned over to foreign nation for prosecution for crime committed in that nation, he will not be entitled, in that prosecution, to protections afforded by United States Constitution	10-97
	10.614	Propriety of using federal habeas corpus petition to collaterally attack prior conviction used to enhance sentence for present conviction to which petition is addressed (see sec. 10.6994)	10-97
10.62		Exhaustion Of State Remedies	10-97
	10.621	In general	10-97
	10.622	Requirement that issue be fairly presented to state courts and that it be presented as federal constitutional issue	10-98
	10.6221	In general	10-98
	10.6222	Not satisfied by presentation in proceeding where review of issue is discretionary	10-98
	10.6223	State court must be alerted to fact that prisoner is asserting claim under federal constitution; issue must be presented as federal constitutional issue to state courts	10-98
	10.6224	Requires presentation of issue when seeking discretionary review of appellate court decision by state's highest court	10-98

10.6225	Issue not "fairly presented" to state court if that court must read beyond petition or brief (or similar document) that does not alert it to presence of federal claim in order to find material, such as lower court opinion in case, that does so	10-98
10.6226	Failure of state appellate court to mention federal claim does not mean that claim was not presented to it	10-98
10.623	Applies even in face of clear violation of constitutional rights	10-98
10.624	Requirement that all claims presented in petition be exhausted; "mixed" petitions (i.e., those containing both unexhausted and exhausted claims) must be dismissed unless petitioner deletes unexhausted claims or court grants stay and abeyance of petition pending exhaustion of claims	10-98
10.6241	In general	10-98
10.6242	When petitioner files mixed petition near expiration of one-year statute of limitations imposed by AEDPA, and dismissal of mixed petition could render subsequent petition untimely, district court is not required to advise petitioner regarding consequences of options open to him	10-99
10.6243	Stay and abeyance of petition to allow exhaustion of claims	10-99
10.62431	Permissibility of stay and abeyance	10-99
10.62432	Circumstances under which stay and abeyance should, and should not, be granted	10-99
10.625	When double jeopardy issue raised	10-99
10.626	Exhaustion rule not jurisdictional	10-99
10.627	Consideration of requirement within discretion of court of appeals when state fails to raise it in district court	10-99
10.628	What defendant must show to obtain federal review of claim when he failed to present it to state court: cause and prejudice	10-99
10.6281	In general	10-99
10.629	Other matters	10-99
10.6291	When defendant failed to present issue to state courts at all and now has no remedy available in state court	10-99
10.6292	Exhaustion by defaulting federal claim in state court	10-99
10.6293	State prisoner does not need to petition for certiorari review by Supreme Court of state appellate decision to exhaust state remedies	10-99
10.6294	If issues raised by habeas petition are fully exhausted when petition filed, they do not become unexhausted upon petitioner's seeking certiorari review by Supreme Court of state appellate decision addressing those issues	10-99
10.63	Procedural Default In State Proceeding Barring State Litigation Of Constitutional Claim Precludes Federal Court Consideration Of Claim Absent Showing Of Cause And Prejudice	10-99
10.631	General rule	10-99
10.632	What constitutes state procedural default that will bar federal consideration of constitutional claim	10-100
10.6321	State decision must clearly and unambiguously state that it rests on state procedural grounds	10-100
10.63211	Requirement in general	10-100

10.63212	Requirement not applicable when issue not raised at all in state courts	10-100
10.6322	State practice must be "firmly established and regularly followed" at time it is applied	10-100
10.6323	State procedural bar must be "adequate" to justify refusal to consider claim	10-101
10.63231	In general	10-101
10.63232	Adequacy of state procedural bar is not within state's prerogative to decide; rather, it is itself a federal question	10-101
10.63233	Fact that state court has discretion whether or not to apply procedural rule does not automatically render that rule inadequate	10-101
10.6324	Failure to raise issue in state court	10-101
10.63241	Failure to raise issue at all in state courts	10-101
10.63242	Failure to raise issue on appeal in state court	10-101
10.63243	Failure to raise issue in petition for state supreme court review of state court of appeals' decision	10-101
10.6325	Failure to develop material facts	10-101
10.6326	Where defendant sought to invoke substance of constitutional right, defect in form of objection will not necessarily constitute procedural default	10-101
10.6327	Federal court must look to last explained state decision in determining whether decision rests on procedural grounds	10-101
10.6328	Failure to object at trial in state court to instruction about which complaint is being made in federal court	10-101
10.6329	Other matters	10-101
10.63291	Exorbitant application of generally sound rule renders state ground inadequate to stop consideration of federal question	10-101
10.63292	When resolution of state procedural law question depends on federal constitutional ruling, is procedural bar independent of federal law so as to bar federal consideration of constitutional claim?	10-102
10.63293	When state court declines to review merits of petitioner's claim on ground that it has done so already, it creates no bar to federal habeas corpus review	10-102
10.63294	When state court declines to find claim has been waived by failure to comply with state procedural rules, federal court will do the same; federal court will not apply state procedural bar when state court itself has declined to do so	10-102
10.63295	State court's application of fugitive forfeiture law: refusal to consider defendant's attack on conviction and sentence because of defendant's escape from custody during pendency of attack	10-102
10.63296	Question whether state procedural ruling is adequate is question of federal law	10-102
10.633	What constitutes cause for default	10-102

10.6331	In general	10-102
10.6332	Counsel's error can be "cause" only if it constitutes ineffective assistance of counsel	10-102
10.6333	Counsel's decision not to pursue claim does not constitute cause absent showing of ineffective assistance of counsel	10-102
10.63331	In general	10-102
10.63332	Ineffective-assistance-of-counsel claim cannot serve as "cause" for procedural default of another claim when ineffective-assistance claim has itself been procedurally defaulted	10-102
10.6334	When novelty of constitutional claim will constitute cause for procedural default	10-103
10.6335	Fact that factual basis for constitutional challenge was not readily discoverable by defense counsel may constitute cause	10-103
10.63351	In general	10-103
10.63352	Fact that factual basis for constitutional challenge was not readily discoverable by defense counsel and that state postconviction relief was no longer available at time facts came to light establishes cause for procedural default	10-103
10.6336	When futility of presenting claim (e.g., by objecting) will constitute cause	10-103
10.6337	Prosecutor's withholding exculpatory evidence while maintaining open policy file upon which petitioner relies	10-103
10.634	What constitutes prejudice	10-103
10.6341	In general	10-103
10.635	Exception to general rule: Procedural-default rule not applicable when its application will result in "fundamental miscarriage of justice," i.e., when defendant actually innocent	10-103
10.6351	In general	10-103
10.6352	Does this exception apply when defendant is "actually innocent" of noncapital sentence imposed (i.e., when he is not eligible for sentence imposed)?	10-103
10.6353	Federal court faced with allegation of actual innocence, whether of sentence imposed or crime charged, must first address all nondefaulted claims for comparable relief and other grounds for cause to excuse procedural default	10-104
10.636	Appellate review of district court's findings on the question of procedural default	10-104
10.637	State's waiver of procedural default by failing to raise it in federal court	10-104
10.638	Other matters	10-104
10.6381	Procedural-default rule not limited to errors that do not affect truth-finding function	10-104
10.6382	Defendant cannot evade procedural-default rule by invoking plain-error rule	10-104
10.6383	Ordinarily, federal court should resolve claim of procedural bar before considering Teague claim	10-104

10.6384	Federal court is not required to raise issue of procedural default sua sponte	10-104
10.6385	May federal court raise issue of procedural default sua sponte?	10-104
10.6386	State court's misinterpretation of federal law cannot form basis for imposition of adequate and independent state procedural bar	10-104
10.6387	Effect of decision of International Court of Justice requiring states to consider claim under Vienna Convention on Consular Relations even though it is procedurally barred under state law because of failure of defendant to properly raise it	10-104
10.6388	Effect of President's Memorandum attempting to enforce decision of International Court of Justice requiring states to consider claim under Vienna Convention on Consular Relations even though it is procedurally barred under state law because of failure of defendant to properly raise or preserve it	10-104
10.64	Federal Review Of State Court Findings Of Fact	10-104
10.641	Presumption of correctness of state court findings on factual issues (28 U.S.C. § 2254(d)(2))	10-104
10.6411	In general	10-104
10.6412	Relationship of this presumption to standard for granting habeas corpus relief set out in § 2254(d)(2) (state "decision . . . based on unreasonable determination of facts") (see sec. 10.61232)	10-105
10.642	When evidentiary hearing required in federal court (see sec. 10.6571)	10-105
10.643	Determinations to which presumption of correctness applies	10-105
10.6431	Impartiality of juror	10-105
10.6432	Competency to stand trial	10-105
10.6433	Finding of absence of discriminatory intent with respect to use of peremptory strikes (i.e., Batson finding)	10-105
10.644	Determinations to which presumption of correctness not applicable	10-106
10.6441	"In custody" determination for Miranda purposes	10-106
10.6442	Voluntariness of confession	10-106
10.6443	Effectiveness of counsel's assistance	10-106
10.645	Limitations on review of state court findings in federal habeas corpus proceeding are not necessarily applicable to state appellate court's review (see sec. 11.661)	10-106
10.65	Procedure	10-106
10.651	Federal rules of civil procedure generally applicable	10-106
10.652	Petition for habeas corpus	10-106
10.6521	Time for filing petition; statute of limitations	10-106
10.65211	Requirement that petitioner be in custody (see sec. 10.695)	10-106
10.65212	Effect of delay in filing petition (see sec. 10.6971)	10-106
10.65213	Statute of limitations (see sec. 10.692)	10-106
10.6522	Place for filing petition; venue	10-106
10.65221	Proper venue is district with jurisdiction over respondent	10-106

10.6523	Respondent named in petition	10-106
10.65231	Proper respondent is person who has immediate physical custody of petitioner	10-106
10.6524	Pro se petition to be liberally construed	10-106
10.6525	Required contents of petition	10-106
10.6526	Amendment of petition	10-106
10.65261	In general	10-106
10.65262	When amendment of petition relates back to filing date of original petition for purposes of statute of limitations	10-106
10.6527	Federal claim must be presented with sufficient particularity	10-106
10.65271	In general	10-106
10.65272	Presentation of claim with sufficient particularity may be made in appended supporting brief	10-107
10.653	Response to habeas petition	10-107
10.654	Stay of execution in capital case during federal habeas corpus review	10-107
10.6541	Denial of stay where habeas petition fails to raise substantial federal claim	10-107
10.6542	Stay may be granted when defendant invokes right to counsel without filing formal habeas corpus petition	10-107
10.6543	Stay of execution in capital case	10-107
10.65431	Pending federal habeas corpus review	10-107
10.65432	On appeal of denial of habeas corpus petition	10-107
10.655	Bail pending habeas corpus review (see sec. 10.6995)	10-107
10.656	Use of magistrate	10-107
10.6561	District court's review of magistrate's recommendation	10-107
10.6562	Requirement of objection to magistrate's recommendation as prerequisite to appeal from district court's adoption of recommendation	10-107
10.657	Evidentiary hearing; independent fact finding by federal court	10-107
10.6571	When required or permitted in general	10-107
10.6572	Under § 2254(e)(2), if petitioner has failed to develop factual basis for claim in state court proceeding, federal court shall not hold evidentiary hearing on claim unless petitioner makes specified showings	10-107
10.65721	Failure to develop claim's factual basis in state court proceeding is not established unless there is lack of diligence, or some greater fault, attributable to the petitioner or his counsel	10-107
10.65722	When lack of diligence, or some greater fault, exists and does not exist	10-108
10.658	Relief in habeas proceeding (see sec. 10.67)	10-108
10.659	Other matters	10-108
10.6591	Appeal of district court's decision (see sec. 10.68)	10-108
10.6592	Right of "next friend" to bring habeas corpus petition on behalf of detained person	10-108
10.6593	Post-judgment review in district court	10-108
10.6594	Summary dismissal	10-108
10.6595	Discovery in habeas corpus proceeding	10-108

10.6596	"Gatekeeping" function of court of appeals for successive habeas petitions	10-108
10.65961	Constitutionality of court of appeals' gatekeeping function and restrictions on successive petitions it must enforce (see sec. 10.668)	10-108
10.65962	Constitutionality of deprivation of Supreme Court of authority to review court of appeals' decision	10-108
10.6597	Expedited procedures provided in AEDPA for federal habeas proceedings in capital cases in states that meet certain conditions: in general	10-108
10.6598	When initial petition dismissed because it raised unexhausted issues, petitioner may raise new issues in present petition that were not raised in initial petition (see sec. 10.6691)	10-108
10.6599	Other matters	10-108
10.65991	Applicability of civil rule 15(b) (consideration of claims not raised in pleadings) to federal habeas proceeding	10-108
10.66	Effect Of Prior Habeas Petition; Successive Petitions	10-108
10.661	In general	10-108
10.662	Where prior petition raised same issue	10-109
10.6621	In general	10-109
10.6622	Consideration of successive petition raising grounds identical to those heard and decided in previous petition barred absent showing of cause and prejudice	10-109
10.66221	General rule	10-109
10.66222	Exception when defendant shows miscarriage of justice, i.e., actual innocence	10-109
10.663	Where prior petition failed to raise issue (pre-AEDP restrictions imposed by Supreme Court)	10-109
10.6631	In general	10-109
10.6632	Consideration of new claims not previously raised which constitute abuse of writ barred absent showing of cause and prejudice	10-109
10.66321	General rule	10-109
10.66322	Exception when defendant shows miscarriage of justice, i.e., actual innocence	10-109
10.664	Where prior petition failed to raise issue (restrictions imposed by AEDP)	10-109
10.6641	In general	10-109
10.6642	Requirement that petitioner apply to court of appeals for permission to file successive petition	10-109
10.6643	Circumstances under which successive petition permitted	10-110
10.66431	"Claim relies on new rule of constitutional law, made retroactive to cases on collateral review by the Supreme Court, that was previously unavailable"	10-110
10.665	Situations not deemed to present successive petition	10-110
10.6651	Where issue raised on earlier petition was deemed to have been prematurely presented	10-110

10.6652	Where earlier petition dismissed because it raised unexhausted issues	10-110
10.6653	Petitioner's filing of motion for relief from judgment under FRCP 60(b) that does not assert or reassert claims of error in state conviction, but rather challenges district court's failure to reach merits	10-110
10.6654	When claim made in second petition is that defendant in capital case is not sane (i.e., competent), so that he may not be lawfully executed, and claim was not ripe earlier	10-110
10.666	Prisoner's motion to recall mandate of court of appeals on basis of merits of underlying decision can be regarded as second or successive application subject to restrictions of Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996	10-110
10.667	When court of appeals recalls mandate on its own initiative, it is subject to the restrictions of the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act if, in recalling the mandate, the court considers new claims or evidence presented in a successive application for habeas relief	10-110
10.668	Constitutionality of restrictions on successive petitions imposed by Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996	10-110
10.669	Other matters	10-110
10.6691	When initial petition dismissed because it raised unexhausted issues, petitioner may raise new issues in present petition that were not raised in initial petition	10-110
10.6692	When motion for relief from judgment under FRCP 60(b) constitutes successive petition	10-110
10.67	Relief In Habeas Proceeding	10-110
10.671	In general	10-111
10.672	Effect of failure to try defendant within time specified in district court's order granting habeas corpus relief	10-111
10.673	Harmless error standard to be applied in determining whether relief should be granted (see sec. 10.696)	10-111
10.674	Defendant not entitled to relief based on decisions which were issued after his conviction became final and which announced a "new rule" (see sec. 10.694)	10-111
10.675	Petitioner not entitled to relief unless court finds error not harmless, i.e., error had substantial and injurious effect on verdict	10-111
10.676	Habeas relief not available to enjoin United States from transferring individual, including American citizen, alleged to have committed crimes in, and detained within, territory of foreign sovereign to that sovereign for criminal prosecution (see sec. 10.69993)	10-111
10.68	Appellate Review Of District Court's Decision	10-111
10.681	Requirement of certificate of appealability (formerly certificate of probable cause)	10-111
10.6811	In general	10-111
10.6812	Jurisdiction of Supreme Court to review court of appeals' denial of application for certificate of appealability (see sec. 11.88192)	10-111
10.6813	Test for granting	10-111
10.68131	In general	10-111

10.68132	Must make substantial showing of denial of "constitutional" right	10-111
10.68133	When district court has denied habeas petition on procedural grounds	10-112
10.6814	Applicability of provision of Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 relating to requirement of certificate of appealability (see sec. 10.69913)	10-112
10.6815	Order denying motion for appointment of counsel or motion to enlarge authority of appointed counsel is not subject to requirement of certificate of appealability	10-112
10.682	Waiver of right to appeal by failure to object to magistrate's recommendation that district court adopts	10-112
10.683	Appealability of particular orders or judgments	10-112
10.6831	Order denying motion for appointment of counsel or motion to enlarge authority of appointed counsel	10-112
10.684	Time for filing notice of appeal	10-112
10.6841	In general	10-112
10.6842	Time is mandatory and jurisdictional	10-112
10.68421	In general	10-112
10.68422	Because time is mandatory and jurisdictional, court has no authority to create equitable exceptions to time requirement	10-112
10.6843	Extending time for filing notice of appeal	10-112
10.68431	In general	10-112
10.68432	When court extends time beyond that permitted by statute allowing extension of time, and notice of appeal is filed within time court sets but beyond that permitted by statute, appeal is untimely and court of appeals lacks jurisdiction	10-112
10.685	Stay of successful habeas petitioner's release pending appeal	10-112
10.686	Pro se prisoner's notice of appeal deemed "filed" at the moment of delivery to prison authorities for forwarding to district court	10-112
10.687	Stay of execution pending appeal in capital case (see sec. 10.6543)	10-112
10.688	Recall of mandate	10-113
10.6881	Court's power to recall mandate in general	10-113
10.6882	Unless it acts to avoid a miscarriage of justice as defined by Supreme Court's habeas jurisprudence, a federal court of appeals abuses its discretion when it sua sponte recalls its mandate to revisit merits of earlier decision denying habeas relief to state prisoner	10-113
10.6883	When recall of mandate subject to restrictions of Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act on successive petitions (see sec. 10.666)	10-113
10.689	Other matters	10-113
10.6891	Staying or withholding mandate	10-113
10.68911	Authority to stay or withhold mandate after denial of certiorari	10-113
10.68912	Authority to stay or withhold mandate without entering order doing so	10-113

10.68913	When court of appeals abuses discretion in staying or withholding mandate	10-113
10.6892	Appellate court may set aside district court's fact findings only if they are clearly erroneous	10-113
10.6893	When district court grants relief based on one claim made in petition, and does not address other claims that, if meritorious, would entitle petitioner to relief, and appellate court reverses district court on claim upon which relief was granted, appellate court may not remand with instructions to deny writ, but must either remand for district court's consideration of other claims or explain why such consideration is unnecessary	10-113
10.6894	Waiver of right to raise issue in appellate court by failing to present it in federal habeas petition (see sec. 10.69891)	10-113
10.69	Other Matters	10-113
10.691	Habeas corpus cannot be used as vehicle to create new constitutional rule unless rule would be applied retroactively to all defendants on collateral review	10-113
10.6911	General principles	10-113
10.6912	This principle only applies to procedural rules	10-114
10.6913	Court must apply this rule if it has been raised by state	10-114
10.6914	Waiver of this rule by state's failure to raise or argue it	10-114
10.6915	Application of this rule even if state does not argue it	10-114
10.692	Statute of limitations for filing petition for writ of habeas corpus	10-114
10.6921	In general	10-114
10.6922	When judgment of conviction is final for purposes of commencing statute of limitations	10-114
10.69221	In general	10-114
10.69222	When state court has granted out-of-time appeal	10-114
10.6923	Tolling of statute of limitations while "properly filed application for State post-conviction or other collateral review" is pending	10-115
10.69231	Meaning of "properly filed": in general	10-115
10.69232	Meaning of "properly filed": state post-conviction petition rejected by state court as untimely is not "properly filed"	10-115
10.69233	Meaning of "pending"	10-115
10.69234	Includes time between lower state court's decision and filing of notice of appeal to higher state court	10-115
10.69235	Under California's unique state collateral review system, which does not involve a notice of appeal, but rather the filing (within a reasonable time) of a further original state habeas petition in a higher court, includes time between disposition of petition in lower court and filing of timely petition in higher court: in general	10-115

10.69236	Under California's unique state collateral review system, which does not involve a notice of appeal, but rather the filing (within a reasonable time) of a further original state habeas petition in a higher court, includes time between disposition of petition in lower court and filing of timely petition in higher court: determining whether filing of petition was timely	10-115
10.69237	Federal habeas petition is not "application for State post-conviction or other collateral review" and, therefore, does not toll running of statute of limitations	10-115
10.69238	Pendency of petition for certiorari in United States Supreme Court does not toll running of statute of limitations	10-116
10.69239	"State" modifies both "post-conviction" and "other collateral review"	10-116
10.6924	Equitable tolling of statute of limitations	10-116
10.69241	In general	10-116
10.69242	Is equitable tolling applicable to AEDPA's statute of limitations?	10-116
10.69243	If applicable, elements of equitable tolling are: (1) litigant has pursued rights diligently; (2) some extraordinary circumstance stood in his way	10-116
10.69244	Cases finding that petitioner has not satisfied elements of equitable tolling	10-116
10.6925	When petitioner files mixed petition containing exhausted and unexhausted claims near expiration of one-year statute of limitations imposed by AEDPA, and dismissal of mixed petition could render subsequent petition untimely, district court is not required to advise petitioner regarding consequences of options open to him (see sec. 10.6242)	10-116
10.6926	When amendment of petition relates back to filing date of original petition for purposes of statute of limitations (see sec. 10.65262)	10-116
10.6927	District court's dismissal of petition as untimely on its own initiative	10-116
10.69271	District court is permitted, but not obliged, to dismiss petition as untimely	10-116
10.69272	Before acting on its own initiative, district court must accord parties fair notice and opportunity to present their positions	10-116
10.6928	Related matters	10-116
10.69281	Statute of limitations for bringing of federal postconviction motion under 28 U.S.C. 2555 by federal prisoner attacking federal court conviction (see sec. 10.8932)	10-116
10.69282	Statute of limitations is not jurisdictional	10-117
10.693	Presumptions applied in federal habeas corpus proceeding	10-117
10.6931	Presumption that state court applied correct standards	10-117

10.6932	Presumption of regularity attaches to final state judgments	10-117
10.694	Defendant not entitled to relief based on decisions that were issued after his conviction became final and which announced a "new rule"	10-117
10.6941	In general	10-117
10.6942	Even if state court reaches merits of case applying new rule, federal court must consider whether it is "new rule" not entitled to retroactive application to case at hand	10-117
10.69421	In general	10-117
10.69422	This requirement applies even after enactment of AEDPA	10-117
10.6943	Waiver of this rule by state's failure to raise it or argue it (see sec. 10.6914)	10-117
10.695	Requirement of "custody"	10-117
10.6951	In general	10-117
10.6952	"Custody" determined at time petition filed; subsequent release from custody does not defeat compliance with "in custody" requirement	10-118
10.6953	When defendant contends that present conviction was improperly enhanced by reliance on prior, constitutionally defective conviction for which sentence has fully expired	10-118
10.69531	Petitioner not "in custody" under conviction for which sentence has fully expired merely because prior conviction used to enhance subsequent sentences	10-118
10.69532	But petitioner is "in custody" under subsequent conviction that defendant contends was improperly enhanced by reliance on prior conviction	10-118
10.6954	Petitioner in custody on consecutive sentence, even though he has not yet begun to serve it	10-118
10.6955	Petitioner in custody on sentence fully served if sentence delays parole eligibility date on sentence being served	10-118
10.696	Harmless error standard to be applied in determining whether relief should be granted in habeas proceeding	10-118
10.6961	In general	10-118
10.6962	In determining whether habeas relief must be granted because of constitutional "trial error," the Kotteakos harmless-error standard, rather than the Chapman harmless- error standard applies	10-118
10.6963	When court is in grave doubt whether or not error is harmless under appropriate standard, petitioner must win	10-118
10.6964	Kotteakos harmless-error standard applies whether or not state appellate court recognized the constitutional error and reviewed it for harmlessness under the Chapman "harmless beyond a reasonable doubt" standard	10-119
10.697	Other matters	10-119
10.6971	Effect of delay in filing federal habeas corpus petition	10-119
10.6972	Stay of successful habeas petitioner's release pending appeal (see sec. 10.684)	10-119

10.6973	State action required before defendant entitled to habeas corpus relief	10-119
10.6974	State procedural rules permitting federal review on merits of constitutional claim	10-119
10.6975	Policy considerations implicated in determining rules governing scope and application of federal writ of habeas corpus	10-119
10.69751	Costs to society of making writ available	10-119
10.69752	State's interest in finality of criminal conviction	10-119
10.6976	Habeas corpus does not reach errors of state law (see sec. 10.6131)	10-119
10.6977	State's waiver of right to argue procedural defenses by failure to raise them in answer to petition	10-119
10.6978	State court's view regarding state law binding on federal court	10-119
10.6979	Failure to try defendant within time specified in district court's order granting habeas corpus relief does not deprive trial court of jurisdiction	10-119
10.698	Other matters (cont'd)	10-119
10.6981	Jurisdiction of habeas court; who may file habeas petition	10-119
10.69811	In general	10-119
10.69812	American citizen held overseas by American forces operating subject to American chain of command	10-120
10.6982	Wisconsin appellate decision in particular criminal prosecution cannot be "overruled" by federal habeas corpus decision arising out of that prosecution	10-120
10.6983	Abuse of writ	10-120
10.6984	Appointment of counsel for indigent in capital case	10-120
10.69841	Defendant may file request for appointment of counsel without filing formal habeas corpus petition	10-120
10.69842	Scope of representation provided by counsel appointed for indigent in habeas proceeding attacking state conviction: Extends to state clemency proceedings	10-120
10.69843	Scope of representation provided by counsel appointed for indigent in habeas proceeding attacking state conviction: Does not extend to state-court proceedings (e.g., retrial) that follow issuance of federal writ of habeas corpus	10-120
10.69844	Scope of representation provided by counsel appointed for indigent in habeas proceeding attacking state conviction: Does not extend to state habeas proceeding occurring after appointment	10-120
10.69845	Order denying motion for appointment of counsel or motion to enlarge authority of appointed counsel is not subject to requirement of certificate of appealability (see sec. 10.6815)	10-120

10.6985	28 U.S.C.A. sec. 2254(d), as created by the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996, is not applicable to habeas corpus petitions pending when Act was passed	10-120
10.6986	Federal court may not dismiss first federal petition for special ad hoc "equitable" reasons (e.g., petition was filed at last minute before execution) not encompassed within the relevant statutes, the federal habeas corpus rules, or prior precedents	10-120
10.6987	Discovery in habeas corpus proceeding (see sec. 10.6595)	10-120
10.6988	Requirement of case or controversy	10-120
10.6989	Waiver of constitutional claim	10-121
	10.69891 By failing to present it in habeas corpus petition	10-121
	10.69892 By representing to district court prior to filing habeas petition that there is no basis for such claim	10-121
10.699	Other matters (cont'd)	10-121
10.6991	Applicability of Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 (AEDPA)	10-121
10.69911	28 U.S.C.A. § 2254(d), which provides standards for granting writ, is applicable to habeas corpus petition filed after AEDPA's effective date: in general	10-121
10.69912	28 U.S.C.A. § 2254(d), which provides standards for granting writ, is applicable to habeas corpus petition filed after AEDPA's effective date: when earlier petition, filed before AEDPA's effective date, was improperly dismissed	10-121
10.69913	28 U.S.C.A. § 2254(d), which provides standards for granting writ, is not applicable to habeas corpus petitions pending when AEDPA was passed: in general	10-121
10.69914	28 U.S.C.A. § 2254(d), which provides standards for granting writ, is not applicable to habeas corpus petitions pending when AEDPA was passed: for purposes of this rule, case is not "pending" until an actual application for habeas relief is filed in federal court	10-121
10.69915	28 U.S.C.A. § 2253(c), which provides for certificate of appealability, is applicable to appeals taken after effective date of act even if petition was filed prior to effective date of act	10-121
10.6992	Mootness in habeas corpus proceeding	10-121
10.69921	Expiration of sentence does not render challenge to conviction moot	10-121
10.69922	Expiration of sentence renders challenge to parole revocation moot absent some affirmative demonstration of collateral consequences	10-122

10.6993	When initial petition dismissed because it raised unexhausted issues, petitioner may raise new issues in present petition that were not raised in initial petition (see sec. 10.6691)	10-122
10.6994	Propriety of using federal habeas corpus petition to collaterally attack prior conviction used to enhance sentence for present conviction to which petition is addressed	10-122
10.6995	Bail pending federal habeas corpus review	10-122
10.69951	Granted by state court	10-122
10.69952	Granted by federal court	10-122
10.6996	Applicability of civil rule 15(b) (consideration of claims not raised in pleadings) to federal habeas proceeding (see sec. 10.65991)	10-122
10.6997	Motion for relief from judgment under FRCP 60(b)	10-122
10.69971	Propriety of such motion in habeas corpus proceeding	10-122
10.69972	When motion constitutes successive petition subject to restrictions on successive petitions (see sec. 10.6692)	10-122
10.69973	Requirement of "extraordinary circumstance" as prerequisite to granting motion	10-122
10.69974	Later Supreme Court decision showing district court's dismissal of petition on grounds of untimeliness to be wrong does not warrant granting relief from judgment	10-122
10.6998	Indigent's right to counsel in federal habeas corpus proceeding	10-122
10.69981	In general (see secs. 25.176 and 25.5433)	10-122
10.69982	In capital cases (see sec. 10.6984)	10-122
10.6999	Other matters	10-122
10.69991	Determining when § 1983 must yield to federal habeas corpus statute and its requirements as vehicle for relief sought (see sec. 47.8792)	10-122
10.69992	Decision by federal habeas corpus court binds state court in same case	10-123
10.69993	Habeas relief not available to enjoin United States from transferring individual, including American citizen, alleged to have committed crimes in, and detained within, territory of foreign sovereign to that sovereign for criminal prosecution	10-123
10.69994	Habeas is governed by equitable principles	10-123
10.7	Sexually Violent Person (Chapter 980) Commitment	10-123
10.71	Constitutionality	10-123
10.711	In general	10-123
10.712	Double jeopardy	10-123
10.7121	In general	10-123
10.7122	Sexual predator proceeding found to be civil cannot be deemed punitive "as applied" to single individual for purposes of double jeopardy analysis	10-123

10.7123	Consistency with double jeopardy of requirement that commitment order shall require "that person be placed in institutional care" (see sec. 10.74613)	10-124
10.7124	Consistency with double jeopardy of requiring person to wait eighteen months before filing petition for supervised release (see sec. 10.76223)	10-124
10.713	Ex post facto	10-124
10.7131	In general	10-124
10.7132	Sexual predator proceeding found to be civil cannot be deemed punitive "as applied" to single individual for purposes of ex post facto analysis	10-124
10.7133	Consistency with prohibition on ex post facto laws of new requirement that commitment order shall require "that person be placed in institutional care" (see sec. 10.74614)	10-124
10.7134	Consistency with prohibition on ex post facto laws of new requirement that person wait eighteen months before filing petition for supervised release (see sec. 10.7623)	10-124
10.714	Equal protection	10-124
10.7141	General principles	10-124
10.71411	In general	10-124
10.71412	Despite some fundamental similarities to other commitment proceedings, ch. 980 ultimately is unique and distinct from other civil commitment chapters	10-124
10.71413	Level of judicial scrutiny to be applied in determining whether equal protection violated	10-125
10.7142	Consistency with equal protection of present requirement that commitment order shall require "that person be placed in institutional care" (see sec. 10.74612)	10-125
10.7143	Consistency with equal protection of requiring person to wait eighteen months before filing petition for supervised release (see sec. 10.76222)	10-125
10.7144	Consistency with equal protection of standards and procedures in ch. 980 proceedings that are different from those afforded in ch. 51 proceedings	10-125
10.71441	In general (see sec. 23.6931)	10-125
10.71442	Because standard of proof (i.e., "substantial probability") is the same in both ch. 51 proceedings and ch. 980 proceedings, there is no denial of equal protection (see sec. 23.683)	10-125
10.71443	Consistency with equal protection of not closing ch. 980 proceedings to public when proceedings would be closed to public in ch. 51 proceeding (see sec. 23.6933)	10-125
10.71444	Equal protection denied by failure to provide for jury trial at discharge hearing under ch. 980 while respondents have right to jury trial at discharge hearing under ch. 51 (see sec. 23.6934)	10-125

10.71445	Consistency with equal protection of fact that pattern jury instructions provide for special verdict in ch. 51 commitments and for general verdict in ch. 980 commitments (see sec. 23.6935)	10-125
10.71446	Consistency with equal protection of alleged disparate application of special verdicts in ch. 51 and ch. 980 cases (see sec. 23.6936)	10-125
10.71447	Consistency with equal protection of different standard for commitment: ch. 51 employs "substantial probability" standard while ch. 980 employs "likely" standard (see sec. 23.6937)	10-125
10.71448	Consistency with equal protection of fact that ch. 51 requires recent overt act or omission to show dangerousness, while ch. 980 does not (see sec. 23.6938)	10-125
10.7145	Consistency with equal protection of denial of special verdict in ch. 980 cases (see sec. 23.696)	10-126
10.7146	Consistency with equal protection of denying person seeking supervised release appointment of expert examiner of his own choosing (see sec. 10.76333)	10-126
10.7147	Consistency with equal protection of use of "likely" in standard for commitment	10-126
10.715	Due process	10-126
10.7151	In general	10-126
10.7152	Substantive due process	10-126
10.71521	In general	10-126
10.71522	Does not require that respondent have total or complete lack of self-control	10-126
10.71523	Requires that respondent has serious difficulty in controlling behavior	10-126
10.71524	Requires nexus between person's mental disorder and serious difficulty in controlling behavior	10-126
10.71525	Wisconsin statute implicitly contains requirement that respondent has serious difficulty in controlling behavior	10-127
10.71526	Constitutionality of confinement based solely on "emotional," as opposed to "volitional," abnormality	10-127
10.71527	Consistency with due process of requirement that commitment order shall require "that person be placed in institutional care" (see sec. 10.74611)	10-127
10.71528	Consistency with due process of requiring person to wait eighteen months before filing petition for supervised release (see sec. 10.76221)	10-127
10.71529	Consistency with substantive due process of failure of responsible government agencies to comply with trial-court orders directing that committed person be placed in community under supervised release when such failure results from inability to find suitable placement	10-127

10.7153	Substantive due process (cont'd)	10-127
10.71531	Does not require proof of "recent overt act" when there has been break in offender's incarceration and offender has been reincarcerated for nonsexual behavior	10-127
10.71532	Fact that dangerousness that subjects person to ch. 980 commitment lacks "a temporal context" limited to "imminent danger" does not violate substantive due process	10-127
10.71533	Consistency with substantive due process of use of "likely" in standard for commitment	10-127
10.7154	Procedural due process	10-128
10.71541	In general	10-128
10.71542	Consistency with procedural due process of failure of responsible government agencies to comply with trial-court orders directing that committed person be placed in community under supervised release when such failure results from inability to find suitable placement: in general	10-128
10.71543	Consistency with procedural due process of failure of responsible government agencies to comply with trial-court orders directing that committed person be placed in community under supervised release when such failure results from inability to find suitable placement: remedy when violation of procedural due process found	10-128
10.71544	Due process right to timely probable cause hearing under Wis. Stat. § 980.09(2) (see secs. 10.77333 and 10.77336)	10-128
10.71545	Consistency with procedural due process of admitting other acts evidence at ch. 980 trial without requiring that jury could find that other acts occurred by preponderance of evidence	10-128
10.7155	Vagueness (see sec. 10.716)	10-128
10.7156	Lack of reasonable basis to predict future dangerousness in juvenile	10-128
10.7157	Due process requires that conditions and duration of confinement as sex predator bear some reasonable relation to purpose for which persons are committed as sex predators	10-128
10.7158	When determining whether probable cause exists to believe person remains sexually violent person for purposes of discharge provisions of § 980.09, due process does not require consideration of person's suitability for release on supervision under specific restrictions	10-128
10.7159	Other due process problems	10-128

10.71591	Consistency with due process of applying new standard for commitment to trial commenced after effective date of legislation creating that standard even if petition was filed prior to that date (see sec. 10.73533)	10-129
10.71592	During pre-petition evaluation process, due process not violated by district attorney's contacting appropriate agency about case, seeking clarification of evaluator's determination that person does not meet criteria for being sexually violent person, expressing dissatisfaction with that determination, correcting factual mistakes, providing new or additional information, and/or requesting second opinion with different evaluator	10-129
10.716	Vagueness	10-129
10.7161	In general	10-129
10.7162	Term "dangerous," as used to describe what person must be to be subject to ch. 980 commitment, is not unconstitutionally vague because it does not limit dangerousness to "reasonably foreseeable future"	10-129
10.717	Confrontation	10-129
10.718	Separation of powers	10-129
10.7181	Consistency, with separation of powers, of requirement that commitment order shall require "that person be placed in institutional care" (see sec. 10.74615)	10-129
10.719	Other matters	10-129
10.7191	Stay of release pending appeal from trial court's holding that sex predator statute unconstitutional (see sec. 10.7965)	10-129
10.72	Commencement And Other Pre-Trial Matters	10-129
10.721	General principles and procedures	10-129
10.7211	In general	10-129
10.7212	General provisions of civil procedure inapplicable	10-130
10.722	Persons subject to commitment	10-130
10.7221	Person convicted of sexually violent offense	10-130
10.72211	In general	10-130
10.72212	Meaning of "sexually violent offense" (see sec. 10.7911)	10-130
10.7222	Person found delinquent for sexually violent offense	10-130
10.72221	In general	10-130
10.72222	Meaning of "sexually violent offense" (see sec. 10.7911)	10-130
10.72223	Includes persons who were found delinquent under former ch. 48	10-130

10.72224	Even though original dispositional order did not, and could not have (because of juvenile's age), placed juvenile in secured correctional facility, juvenile is subject to commitment if, as a result of commission of subsequent offenses that did not qualify as sexually violent offenses, juvenile was placed in secured correctional facility based at least in part on original sexually violent offense (see sec. 10.72446)	10-130
10.72225	Juvenile may collaterally attack, as void, dispositional order that subjected him or her to commitment	10-130
10.7223	Person found not guilty of sexually violent offense by reason of mental disease or defect	10-130
10.7224	Person must be within 90 days of discharge or release from sentence, from secured correctional facility, etc., or from commitment order (see sec. 10.7244)	10-130
10.7225	Other persons	10-130
10.72251	Native Americans who are members of tribe, residents of their tribe's reservation, and who committed underlying act on that reservation	10-130
10.723	Pre-petition evaluation and referral	10-131
10.7231	Evaluation process: confidentiality issues	10-131
10.7232	No need for Miranda warnings before commencement of pre-petition psychological interview (see sec. 10.79249)	10-131
10.7233	Person subject to pre-petition evaluation has right to remain silent at evaluation interview (see sec. 10.79251)	10-131
10.7234	During evaluation process, district attorney may contact appropriate agency about case, seek clarification of evaluator's determination that person does not meet criteria for being sexually violent person, express dissatisfaction with that determination, correct factual mistakes, provide new or additional information, and/or request second opinion with different evaluator	10-131
10.724	Petition	10-131
10.7241	Jurisdictional requirements; prerequisites to filing	10-131
10.72411	In general	10-131
10.72412	Jurisdiction over Native Americans who are members of tribe, residents of their tribe's reservation, and who committed underlying act on that reservation (see sec. 10.72251)	10-131
10.72413	Necessity of request from agency with jurisdiction (e.g. DOC) and subsequent decision by DOJ not to file before district attorney has authority to file petition (see sec. 10.72422)	10-131
10.7242	Who may file	10-131
10.72421	Special prosecutor with defective appointment (see sec. 10.7941)	10-131

10.72422	Necessity of request from agency with jurisdiction (e.g. DOC) and subsequent decision by DOJ not to file before district attorney has authority to file petition	10-131
10.7243	Place of filing	10-131
10.72431	In general	10-131
10.72432	Respondent has no right to have proceeding venued in county of predicate sexual assault (see sec. 10.79296)	10-132
10.7244	Timeliness of filing	10-132
10.72441	In general	10-132
10.72442	Ninety-day time limit is mandatory, not directory	10-132
10.72443	Need to file petition before respondent's release or discharge date	10-132
10.72444	In calculating 90-day time limit, last day, i.e., day of release or discharge, is included; therefore, petition filed on day of release or discharge is timely	10-132
10.72445	In case of adult offender serving consecutive sentences, petition may be filed within 90 days preceding offender's release from continuous term of incarceration, any part of which was imposed for sexually violent offense	10-132
10.72446	In case of juvenile offender in secured correctional facility, petition may be filed within 90 days preceding offender's release from facility even though juvenile was not, and could not have been, placed in secured correctional facility following original adjudication of underlying sexually violent offense, but rather was placed in secured correctional facility as result of extended and revised placement orders that incorporated additional offenses that did not qualify as sexually violent offenses	10-132
10.72447	Effect of failure to comply with 90-day time limit; failure to comply with time limit affects court's competency to proceed	10-133
10.72448	In case of juvenile offender, petition may be filed within 90 days preceding expiration of offender's commitment to secured correctional facility for sexually violent offense, even if he was subject to further commitment for other offenses	10-133
10.72449	Requirement that state prove beyond reasonable doubt to factfinder at trial that respondent was within 90 days of release date at time petition was filed (see sec. 10.7354)	10-133
10.7245	Timeliness of filing (cont'd)	10-133

10.72451	When respondent is serving concurrent sentences, at least one of which is for sexually violent offense, but that one has been completed, petition may still be filed within 90 days of release or discharge on other concurrent sentence even though that sentence is not for sexually violent offense	10-133
10.72452	When respondent's release date is changed (e.g., by granting additional credit against sentence for pretrial confinement) after petition is filed, change in release date does not render untimely petition that was timely filed in light of release date at time of filing	10-133
10.72453	Juvenile may collaterally attack, as void, dispositional order that subjected him or her to commitment by triggering 90-day period (see sec. 10.72225)	10-133
10.7246	Service	10-133
10.72461	Civil requirements relating to service of process not applicable; respondent need not be personally served with petition	10-133
10.7247	Contents in general	10-133
10.7248	Required allegations	10-133
10.72481	In general	10-133
10.72482	Need to allege overt act	10-134
10.7249	Other matters	10-134
10.72491	Filing petition with criminal case number does not affect court's subject matter jurisdiction	10-134
10.72492	Effect of defective appointment of special prosecutor who filed petition (see sec. 10.7941)	10-134
10.72493	No requirement that petition be sworn	10-134
10.725	Detention order and pretrial confinement	10-134
10.7251	In general	10-134
10.7252	Does lack of provision for pretrial release of respondents violate due process or equal protection?	10-134
10.72521	In general	10-134
10.72522	Appellate court will not consider this question on appeal from final commitment	10-134
10.72523	If respondent had constitutional right to release before trial, failure to release him would be harmless error insofar as final commitment is concerned	10-134
10.726	Probable cause hearing	10-134
10.7261	Nature and purpose of hearing in general	10-134
10.7262	Time limit within which hearing must be held	10-134
10.72621	In general	10-134
10.72622	Hearing within 72 hours after petition filed is required only when respondent is in custody pursuant to Wis. Stat. ch. 980	10-134
10.72623	Time limit is directory, not mandatory	10-134

10.72624	Court does not lose competence to proceed simply because of violation of statutory time limit	10-135
10.72625	Respondent's due process rights prevent state from indefinitely delaying probable cause hearing	10-135
10.7263	Determining whether probable cause exists	10-135
10.72631	In general	10-135
10.72632	Need to establish overt act in order to establish probable cause of dangerousness	10-135
10.72633	Principles governing making of probable cause determination in criminal proceeding applicable to ch. 980 proceeding	10-135
10.72634	Court does not assess credibility of witnesses	10-135
10.72635	Sufficiency of particular evidence to establish probable cause (see sec. 10.7265)	10-135
10.72636	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause as to particular matters (see sec. 10.7266)	10-135
10.7264	Admission of evidence	10-135
10.72641	Rules of evidence generally applicable	10-135
10.72642	Hearsay rule applicable	10-135
10.72643	Exceptions to rules of evidence applicable at preliminary examinations in criminal proceedings also apply in ch. 980 probable cause hearing	10-135
10.72644	Expert testimony: in general	10-135
10.72645	Expert testimony: opinion as to respondent's "sexual motivation"	10-135
10.72646	Expert testimony: opinion based on presentence report	10-135
10.72647	Expert testimony: opinion based on hearsay or other inadmissible evidence	10-135
10.7265	Sufficiency of particular evidence to establish probable cause	10-136
10.72651	Expert's opinion based solely on inadmissible evidence	10-136
10.7266	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause as to particular matters	10-136
10.72661	That prior offense was sexually motivated	10-136
10.72662	Need to establish overt act in order to establish probable cause of dangerousness (see sec. 10.72622)	10-136
10.7267	Cross-examination of witnesses	10-136
10.72671	Respondent's right to confront and cross-examine witnesses	10-136
10.7268	Respondent's rights at probable cause hearing	10-136
10.72681	Right to confront and cross-examine witnesses (see sec. 10.72671)	10-136
10.7269	Appellate review of probable cause hearing	10-136
10.72691	Standard and scope of review of probable cause determination	10-136
10.727	Discovery	10-136

10.7271	Civil discovery rules applicable	10-136
10.7272	Discovery of findings and conclusions of respondent's court appointed expert	10-136
10.7273	Sanction for violation of discovery requirements	10-136
10.7274	Waiver of objection to discovery violation	10-136
10.728	Proceedings relating to competency to proceed	10-136
10.7281	Requirement of competency at trial (see sec. 10.79297)	10-136
10.7282	Procedures for determining competency	10-136
10.7283	After repeal of § 980.05(1)(m) by 2005 Wis. Act 434, person subject to commitment proceeding has no right to competency evaluation	10-137
10.729	Other matters	10-137
10.7291	Civil rules applicable (see sec. 10.7963)	10-137
10.7292	Judicial substitution	10-137
10.7293	Propriety of commencing commitment proceeding upon respondent's release from prison after serving sentence remaining after revocation of parole when earlier commitment proceeding prior to release on parole was dismissed on merits	10-137
10.72931	In general	10-137
10.72932	Need to examine record in earlier proceeding to determine whether doctrine of issue preclusion applies	10-137
10.7294	When person imprisoned under criminal sentence in another state has waived his right to extradition and been sent to Wisconsin from another state for trial on criminal charges under provisions of interstate agreement on detainers, has been tried and sentenced on those charges, has been sent back to sending state to complete sentence in that state, has been paroled by sending state to Wisconsin sentence, and is about to complete Wisconsin sentence, neither interstate agreement on detainers or waiver of extradition bars Wisconsin from commencing ch. 980 commitment proceeding (see sec. 6.23991)	10-137
10.73	Trial Or Admissions In Lieu Of Trial	10-137
10.731	In general	10-137
10.732	Time limits	10-137
10.7321	General rule	10-137
10.7322	Continuance for good cause	10-137
10.733	Admissions in lieu of trial	10-137
10.7331	Admissions are akin to guilty or no-contest plea, and agreement pursuant to which admissions are entered is akin to plea agreement	10-137
10.7332	Prosecutor's obligation to comply with agreement pursuant to which admissions made	10-138
10.73321	In general	10-138
10.73322	Prosecutor who has agreed to supervised released may not seek institutional placement when community placement not possible	10-138
10.73323	Remedies when prosecutor violates obligation	10-138

10.734	Trial proceedings and procedures	10-138
10.7341	Criminal evidentiary rules apply	10-138
10.7342	Constitutional rights of criminal defendant apply (see sec. 10.79221)	10-138
10.7343	Respondent's right to jury trial (see sec. 10.7927)	10-138
10.7344	Jury selection	10-138
10.73441	Disqualification of jurors for cause	10-138
10.73442	Number of peremptory challenges to which respondent entitled	10-138
10.73443	If trial court erroneously denied motion to strike prospective juror for cause, but respondent used peremptory challenge to strike juror, may respondent complain about denial of motion to strike for cause?	10-138
10.73444	If respondent given more peremptory challenges than he is entitled to, he cannot complain that he was forced to expend peremptory challenge on juror who should have been stricken for cause	10-138
10.73445	If trial court erroneously fails to strike juror for cause, but respondent uses peremptory strike to remove juror, respondent is not entitled to new trial	10-139
10.73446	When failure to make Batson objection constitutes ineffective assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.332)	10-139
10.7345	Requirement that respondent not be tried unless competent (see sec. 10.79297)	10-139
10.7346	Evidentiary issues and matters (see sec. 10.78)	10-139
10.7347	Motion for mistrial	10-139
10.735	What state must prove to secure commitment	10-139
10.7351	In general	10-139
10.7352	Former standard: Requirement that state prove person suffers from mental disorder that makes it substantially probable that person will engage in acts of sexual violence	10-139
10.7353	Present standard: Requirement that state prove person suffers from mental disorder that makes it likely that person will engage in acts of sexual violence	10-139
10.73531	In general	10-139
10.73532	Initial applicability of present standard: applies to trial commenced after effective date of legislation creating present standard even if petition was filed prior to that date	10-139
10.73533	Initial applicability of present standard: constitutionality of applying standard to trial commenced after effective date of legislation creating it even if petition was filed prior to that date	10-139
10.73534	Constitutionality of present standard (see secs. 10.71447, 10.7147, and 10.71533)	10-140

10.7354	Requirement that state prove beyond a reasonable doubt at trial that respondent was within 90 days of release date at time petition was filed	10-140
10.73541	In general	10-140
10.73542	Retroactivity of requirement	10-140
10.73543	Propriety of remand for evidentiary hearing at which state will have opportunity to prove compliance with requirement when factfinder at trial was not asked to make finding that requirement met	10-140
10.73544	If existing record establishes that requirement was met, appellate court will affirm commitment notwithstanding failure of court to make finding to that effect	10-140
10.73545	Rule that commitment will be affirmed if existing record establishes that requirement was met, notwithstanding failure of court to make finding to that effect, applies whether trial court or jury was factfinder	10-140
10.7355	State not required to prove that respondent is amenable to treatment	10-141
10.7356	Need to show lack of volitional capacity	10-141
10.7357	Requirement that state prove nexus between person's mental disorder and serious difficulty in controlling behavior (see sec. 10.71524)	10-141
10.736	Admission of evidence and evidentiary issues (see sec. 10.78)	10-141
10.737	Instructions and verdicts	10-141
10.7371	In general	10-141
10.7372	Need for instruction defining "substantially probable"	10-141
10.7373	Content of instruction defining "substantially probable"	10-141
10.7374	Sufficiency of instruction defining "sexually violent person"	10-141
10.7375	Instructing on unanimity	10-141
10.73751	In general	10-141
10.73752	No need to require unanimity as to specific mental disorder	10-141
10.7376	No need for express instruction requiring finding that respondent has serious difficulty in controlling behavior; such requirement is implicit in instruction on statutory requirements for finding respondent to be sexually violent person	10-141
10.7377	No need for express instruction requiring finding of nexus between mental disorder and serious difficulty in controlling behavior; such requirement is implicit in instruction on statutory requirements for finding respondent to be sexually violent person	10-142
10.7378	Instructing on meaning of "acts of sexual violence"	10-142
10.7379	Other matters	10-142
10.73791	Whether jury instruction correctly states law presents question of law that appellate court reviews de novo	10-142

	10.73792	Propriety and contents of curative instruction on respondent's eligibility for supervised release when defense witness refers to "commitment for life"	10-142
	10.73793	Need for special verdict	10-142
10.738		Sufficiency of evidence to establish basis for commitment	10-142
	10.7381	In general	10-142
	10.7382	General principles and standards for determining sufficiency of the evidence	10-142
	10.73821	In general	10-142
	10.73822	Credibility determinations are for fact finder to make	10-143
	10.7383	Sufficiency of evidence in particular cases	10-143
	10.7384	Sufficiency to prove that person's mental disorder made it "substantially probable" that he will engage in acts of sexual violence	10-143
	10.73841	In general	10-143
	10.73842	Use of actuarial instruments to prove that person's mental disorder made it "substantially probable" that he will engage in acts of sexual violence	10-143
	10.7385	Sufficiency to prove that respondent suffers from "mental disorder"	10-143
	10.73851	In general	10-143
	10.73852	State not required to prove that all diagnostic criteria for mental disorder specified in DSM-IV are present	10-143
	10.7386	Sufficiency to show that finding of mental disorder was not based solely on prior bad acts	10-143
	10.7387	Sufficiency to prove nexus between person's mental disorder and serious difficulty in controlling behavior	10-143
	10.7388	Impact of expert testimony on question of sufficiency of evidence	10-144
	10.73881	Need for expert testimony to sustain sufficiency of evidence	10-144
	10.73882	Fact finder's right to reject expert testimony in whole or in part	10-144
	10.73883	Expert may testify to ultimate issues to be decided by trier of fact	10-144
	10.73884	Fact that state's lone expert is contradicted by number of defense experts does not render evidence insufficient	10-144
	10.7389	Other matters	10-144
	10.73891	Need to prove that respondent was within 90 days of release date (see sec. 10.7354)	10-144
	10.73892	Proof of recent overt act not necessary to establish that respondent is sexually violent person	10-144
	10.73893	Sufficiency to show lack of volitional capacity	10-144
	10.73894	Standard of appellate review of sufficiency of evidence	10-144
10.739		Other matters	10-145

10.7391	Need for notice of trial to district attorney of county where respondent will be placed on supervised release	10-145
10.7392	Need for notice of trial to police department and sheriff of locality where respondent will be placed on supervised release	10-145
10.7393	Trial court's decision when trial is to court	10-145
10.73931	In rendering decision, trial court need only make findings of ultimate fact and conclusions of law	10-145
10.73932	It is sufficient that trial court apply correct standard; it is not necessary that trial court discuss, or demonstrate its understanding of, meaning of correct standard	10-145
10.7394	State's offensive use of doctrine of issue preclusion (collateral estoppel) to keep respondent from litigating whether sexual assault of which he was convicted actually occurred	10-145
10.74	Disposition	10-145
10.741	In general	10-145
10.742	Initial commitment order	10-145
10.743	Predisposition investigation or examination	10-145
10.7431	Predisposition investigation	10-145
10.7432	Time within which predisposition investigation must be completed	10-145
10.744	Commitment order	10-145
10.7441	Constitutionality of requirement that commitment order shall require "that person be placed in institutional care" (see sec. 10.7461)	10-145
10.7442	Constitutionality of applying requirement that commitment order shall require "that person be placed in institutional care" to persons for whom petition for commitment filed prior to effective date of enactment of that requirement, but for whom commitment order entered after that date (see sec. 10.74614)	10-146
10.745	Placement in general	10-146
10.7451	In general	10-146
10.7452	Least restrictive placement	10-146
10.74521	In general	10-146
10.74522	Obligation of least restrictive placement has been placed on department; court is under no obligation to commit respondent to least restrictive placement	10-146
10.74523	Elimination of least restrictive placement language from ch. 980 does not violate due process	10-146
10.7453	Placement options "in the community" not limited to county of residence	10-146
10.7454	Sprosty decision dealing with Wis. Stat. § 980.08(4), which governs placement in petition for supervised release, is equally applicable to Wis. Stat. § 980.06(2)(b), which governs placement in the initial commitment order	10-146

10.7455	Under 1995-96 statutes, only two placements permitted: (1) institutional care in a secure mental health unit or facility; or (2) supervised release	10-146
10.746	Institutional placement	10-146
10.7461	Constitutionality of present requirement that commitment order shall require "that person be placed in institutional care"	10-146
10.74611	Consistency with due process	10-146
10.74612	Consistency with equal protection	10-146
10.74613	Consistency with protection against double jeopardy	10-147
10.74614	Consistency with prohibition on ex post facto laws when requirement is applied to persons for whom petition for commitment filed prior to effective date of enactment of that requirement, but for whom commitment order entered after that date	10-147
10.74615	Consistency with separation of powers	10-147
10.747	Supervised release	10-147
10.7471	Supervised release procedures	10-147
10.7472	Need for notice of hearings leading to release to district attorney of county where respondent will be placed on supervised release	10-147
10.7473	Need for notice of hearings leading to release to police department and sheriff of locality where respondent will be placed on supervised release	10-147
10.7474	Need for supervision plan	10-147
10.7475	Effect of failure to obtain supervision plan	10-147
10.748	Revocation of supervised release	10-147
10.7481	Section governing revocation of supervised release does not apply to modification of order for supervised release before respondent actually released from custody on supervised release	10-147
10.749	Other matters	10-147
10.7491	Trial court's inherent power to amend earlier dispositional order when original disposition proves not possible	10-147
10.7492	Respondent's right to treatment during commitment	10-148
10.7493	Appellate review of decision on disposition	10-148
10.7494	Involuntary medication of person committed under ch. 980	10-148
10.74941	Court's authority to issue involuntary medication order for individual committed under ch. 980	10-148
10.74942	Procedure for periodic review of involuntary medication order	10-148
10.7495	Nature and purpose of ch. 980 commitment in general	10-148
10.75	Reexamination (Wis. Stat. § 980.07)	10-148
10.751	Nature and purpose of reexamination in general	10-148
10.752	When required	10-148
10.7521	Six months after initial commitment	10-148
10.75211	In general	10-148

10.75212	What constitutes "initial commitment" for purposes of triggering this time requirement	10-148
10.75213	Six-month time requirement is mandatory, not directory	10-148
10.75214	Remedy if mandatory time requirement is violated	10-148
10.7522	At least once every 12 months thereafter	10-148
10.75221	In general	10-149
10.753	Appointment of expert for committed person; committed person's right to retain expert	10-149
10.7531	In general (see sec. 10.77341)	10-149
10.7532	Indigent's right to appointment of expert	10-149
10.754	Report of examiner	10-149
10.7541	In general	10-149
10.76	Supervised Release Following Initial Institutional Placement; Revocation Of Supervised Release (Wis. Stat. § 980.08)	10-149
10.761	General Principles	10-149
10.7611	In general	10-149
10.7612	Failure of state to provide treatment provides no basis for supervised release from institutional placement	10-149
10.7613	Lack of amenability to treatment provides no basis for supervised release from institutional placement	10-149
10.762	When committed person may file petition for supervised release	10-149
10.7621	In general	10-149
10.7622	Constitutionality of requiring person to wait eighteen months before filing petition for supervised release	10-149
10.76221	Consistency with due process	10-149
10.76222	Consistency with equal protection	10-149
10.76223	Consistency with protection against double jeopardy	10-150
10.7623	Constitutionality of applying requirement that committed person wait eighteen months before filing petition for supervised release to persons for whom petition for commitment filed prior to effective date of enactment of that requirement, but for whom commitment order entered after that date	10-150
10.763	Procedures leading to hearing	10-150
10.7631	Petition	10-150
10.7632	Appointment of expert examiner for court under Wis. Stat. § 980.08(3)	10-150
10.76321	In general	10-150
10.76322	Appointment of expert examiner for detainee under Wis. Stat. § 980.03(4) does not relieve court of duty to appoint examiner for court under Wis. Stat. § 980.08(3)	10-150
10.7633	Appointment of expert examiner for detainee under Wis. Stat. § 980.03(4)	10-150
10.76331	Indigent detainee's right to have expert examiner appointed under Wis. Stat. § 980.03(4) after filing petition for supervised release	10-150

10.76332	Indigent detainee is not statutorily entitled to examiner of his own choice	10-150
10.76333	Denying person seeking supervised release appointment of examiner of his own choice does not deny equal protection	10-150
10.76334	Indigent detainee has right to qualified examiner: in general	10-150
10.76335	Indigent detainee has right to qualified examiner: examiner must have technical expertise regarding precise question presented in supervised release proceeding	10-150
10.764	Hearing	10-150
10.7641	Burden of proof	10-150
10.7642	Matters to be, and not to be, considered	10-151
10.76421	In general	10-151
10.76422	Availability of facilities or programs in community	10-151
10.76423	Prior acceptance of person into facilities or programs deemed necessary for placement	10-151
10.7643	Evidentiary matters	10-151
10.76431	Admission of hearsay report of expert appointed by court that has been filed with court as provided by statute	10-151
10.76432	Admission and consideration of Actuarial Risk Assessment (ARA) tests (see sec. 10.7896)	10-151
10.76433	Fact finder's right to reject expert's ultimate conclusion (see sec. 10.7652)	10-151
10.7644	Committed person's rights at hearing	10-151
10.76441	Right to confrontation	10-151
10.765	Sufficiency of evidence to support grant or denial of supervised release	10-151
10.7651	In general	10-151
10.7652	Fact finder not required to accept opinion of expert witness	10-151
10.7653	Circuit court determines credibility of expert and weight to be given his or her opinion	10-151
10.7654	Standard of appellate review of sufficiency of evidence	10-151
10.7655	Sufficiency in particular cases	10-151
10.766	Court's authority to create programs or facilities deemed necessary to accommodate supervised release	10-152
10.7661	In general	10-152
10.7662	Responsibility for costs of ordered facilities or programs	10-152
10.7663	Propriety of exercising this authority under particular circumstances	10-152
10.7664	Court has no authority to order county to build or acquire facility in which to house released person	10-152
10.767	Relief from (i.e., reconsideration and vacation of) order granting supervised release	10-152
10.7671	In general	10-152
10.7672	Because of inadequate programs or facilities to supervise release	10-152

10.7673	Appropriate vehicle for changing supervised release status of individual who has been determined to be appropriate for supervised release, but who remains institutionalized awaiting placement	10-152
10.76731	Court of appeals' implicit recognition of state's right to seek relief from order granting supervised relief under Wis. Stat. § 806.07	10-152
10.76732	Supreme court's holding that Wis. Stat. § 980.08(6m), rather than Wis. Stat. § 806.07(1)(h) governs granting relief to state from order granting individual supervised release: in general	10-152
10.76733	Supreme court's holding that Wis. Stat. § 980.08(6m), rather than Wis. Stat. § 806.07(1)(h) governs granting relief to state from order granting individual supervised release: retroactivity of holding	10-153
10.7674	Based on newly discovered evidence	10-153
10.76741	In general	10-153
10.76742	New psychiatric opinion based on information available at time of initial hearing on petition for release does not constitute newly discovered evidence	10-153
10.7675	Because of post-order incident demonstrating that it is substantially probable that person ordered released will engage in acts of sexual violence	10-153
10.7676	Requirement that department initiate request for relief	10-153
10.7677	Time limits on state's seeking this relief	10-153
10.768	Revocation of supervised release	10-153
10.7681	Respondent's right to due process in revocation proceeding	10-153
10.76811	In general	10-153
10.76812	Right to timely notice of violations or other grounds upon which revocation sought	10-153
10.76813	Lack of timely notice subject to harmless-error analysis	10-153
10.76814	Right to fair notice of conduct that will subject one to revocation: rules of supervised release must not be unconstitutionally vague (see sec. 10.76981)	10-153
10.7682	Revocation may not be based on violations or other grounds of which respondent not given notice prior to revocation hearing (see sec. 10.76812)	10-153
10.7683	Need for circuit court to consider alternatives to revocation	10-154
10.76831	Is consideration of alternatives necessary when revocation based on violation of rule or condition of release?	10-154
10.76832	Consideration of alternatives is not necessary when revocation based on safety of others	10-154
10.76833	If consideration of alternatives is necessary, sufficiency of consideration in particular case	10-154
10.7684	Sufficiency of evidence to support revocation	10-154

	10.76841	In general	10-154
	10.76842	Sufficiency to support finding that safety of person or others requires revocation	10-154
10.7685		Appellate review of revocation of supervised release	10-154
	10.76851	Of question whether circuit court must consider alternatives to revocation	10-154
	10.76852	Of decision to revoke	10-154
10.769		Other matters	10-154
	10.7691	Preparation of plan	10-154
	10.76911	If county department declines to prepare plan	10-154
	10.76912	Court's authority to create programs or facilities deemed necessary to accommodate supervised release (see sec. 10.766)	10-154
10.7692		Responsibility for costs of supervised release	10-154
	10.76921	In general	10-154
	10.76922	When court orders creation of program or facility needed to supervise release	10-155
10.7693		If trial court determines that supervised release is appropriate, person must be released regardless of whether appropriate treatment facility or a program exists to accommodate ordered release	10-155
	10.76931	In general	10-155
	10.76932	Must person committed under ch. 980 be released from that commitment because responsible government agencies did not comply with post- commitment trial-court orders directing that he be placed in community under supervised release, even though trial court determined, at most recent court hearing, that he is substantially likely to re- offend if released from confinement, either under supervised release or outright? (see sec. 10.76991)	10-155
10.7694		Prosecutor who has agreed with person committed under ch. 980 to supervised release, instead of proceeding to hearing on person's discharge petition, may not seek continuation of institutional placement when community placement not possible	10-155
10.7695		Agreement by person committed under ch. 980 to forego hearing on discharge petition and to submit to supervised release is akin to plea agreement, which person has right to enforce	10-155
10.7696		Court's authority to release in any county or to amend release plan to include release in other county	10-155
10.7697		Appellate review of decision whether to grant or deny request for supervised release (see secs. 10.7654 and 10.7655)	10-155
10.7698		Rules and conditions of supervised release	10-155
	10.76981	Must give fair notice of conduct that will subject one to revocation; must not be unconstitutionally vague	10-155
10.7699		Other matters	10-156

10.76991	Must person committed under ch. 980 be released from that commitment because responsible government agencies did not comply with post-commitment trial-court orders directing that he be placed in community under supervised release, even though trial court determined, at most recent court hearing, that he is substantially likely to re-offend if released from confinement, either under supervised release or outright?	10-156
10.76992	Court not required to accept recommendation of department; courts are not rubber stamps for department	10-156
10.76993	When person imprisoned under criminal sentence in another state has waived his right to extradition and been sent to Wisconsin from another state for trial on criminal charges under provisions of interstate agreement on detainers, has been tried and sentenced on those charges, has been sent back to sending state to complete sentence in that state, has been paroled by sending state to Wisconsin sentence, and is about to complete Wisconsin sentence, neither interstate agreement on detainers or waiver of extradition bars Wisconsin from commencing ch. 980 commitment proceeding (see sec. 6.23991)	10-156
10.77	Discharge	10-156
10.771	In general	10-156
10.772	Former discharge procedures when filing of petition for discharge authorized by DHFS Secretary (former Wis. Stat. § 980.09(1))	10-156
10.773	Former discharge procedures when filing of petition for discharge was not approved by DHFS Secretary (former Wis. Stat. § 980.09(2))	10-156
10.7731	In general	10-156
10.7732	Notice of right to petition for discharge	10-156
10.77321	In general	10-156
10.7733	Probable cause hearing	10-157
10.77331	Nature and purpose of probable cause hearing	10-157
10.77332	When probable cause hearing required	10-157
10.77333	Committed person's constitutional due process right to timely probable cause hearing: in general	10-157
10.77334	Committed person's constitutional due process right to timely probable cause hearing: not violated by Wis. Stat. § 808.08(3), which places duty on parties to initiate further proceedings in circuit court on remand	10-157
10.77335	Committed persons's constitutional due process right to timely probable cause hearing: remedy if right to timely hearing violated	10-157

10.77336	Committed person's constitutional due process right to timely probable cause hearing: procedures that judicial system should adopt to avoid violation of right	10-157
10.77337	Committed person's right to counsel at hearing	10-157
10.77338	Committed person's waiver of right to counsel at hearing	10-157
10.77339	Timeliness of appointment of counsel for detainee	10-158
10.7734	Probable cause hearing (cont'd)	10-158
10.77341	Committed person's right to appointment of, or to retain, independent expert to conduct examination: in general	10-158
10.77342	Committed person's right to appointment of, or to retain, independent expert to conduct examination: timeliness of appointment	10-158
10.77343	Committed person's right to have report of independent expert used to determine whether probable cause exists	10-158
10.77344	Committed person has no right to present evidence at hearing other than independent reexamination report; i.e., has no right to full evidentiary hearing	10-158
10.77345	Burden of persuasion and standard to be applied at hearing: in general	10-158
10.77346	Burden of persuasion and standard to be applied at hearing: standard of probable cause to be applied at hearing is same standard as that applied at preliminary examination in criminal case	10-158
10.77347	Burden of persuasion and standard to be applied at hearing: in determining probable cause, court is not to determine which of conflicting reports is more persuasive	10-158
10.77348	Sufficiency of evidence to warrant full evidentiary hearing (see sec. 10.7735)	10-158
10.7735	Sufficiency of evidence to warrant discharge hearing (i.e., full evidentiary hearing on discharge)	10-158
10.77351	In general	10-159
10.77352	Question whether individual continues to be sexual violent person is answered without reference to any specific restrictions, supervision, or time frame and, therefore, probable cause to believe person is no longer sexually violent person is not satisfied by recommendation of supervised release	10-159

10.77353	Expert's conclusion that person is not sexually violent, based on actuarial instruments that were used previously by other experts who testified at trial that resulted in commitment, when there is no change in historical facts to which those instruments are applied and no change in research or writings on how professionals are to interpret and score those instruments, does not establish probable cause that a person is no longer sexually violent	10-159
10.77354	To provide basis for probable cause to believe person is no longer sexually violent, expert's opinion must depend upon something more than facts, professional knowledge, or research that was considered by expert testifying in prior proceeding that determined person to be sexually violent	10-159
10.77355	When presented with two conflicting reexamination reports, one of which would tend to establish probable cause, court must order discharge hearing	10-159
10.77356	Standard of appellate review of sufficiency of evidence	10-160
10.77357	Standard of appellate review in determining correct standards to be applied in assessing sufficiency of evidence	10-160
10.7736	Discharge hearing (i.e., full evidentiary hearing on discharge)	10-160
10.77361	Committed person's right to counsel at discharge hearing	10-160
10.77362	No need to instruct jury on consequences of discharge (i.e., on what will happen to respondent if he is discharged from commitment)	10-160
10.77363	Court's response to jurors' question raised during deliberations in general	10-160
10.77364	Court's response to jurors' question about what would happen if respondent were discharged from commitment	10-160
10.77365	Court's response to jurors' question about fact not unequivocally established by evidence submitted to jury, but answered by exhibit that, while admitted into evidence, was not submitted to jury	10-160
10.7737	Committed person's agreement to forego hearing on discharge petition and to submit to supervised release is akin to plea agreement, which detainee has right to enforce (see sec. 10.7694)	10-160
10.7738	Do provisions of § 980.10 apply to petitions filed under § 980.09(2) after first petition?	10-160
10.774	Former discharge procedures relating to additional discharge petitions (former Wis. Stat. § 980.10)	10-161

10.7741	When probable cause hearing required	10-161
10.7742	When hearing on discharge required	10-161
10.775	Present discharge procedures (present Wis. Stat. § 980.09 and 980.095)	10-161
10.7751	Petition for discharge	10-161
10.7752	Determining whether petition contains sufficient facts to warrant discharge hearing (present Wis. Stat. § 980.09(2))	10-161
10.77521	Test to be applied in making determination	10-161
10.77522	Sufficiency of particular facts to warrant discharge hearing	10-161
10.7753	Discharge hearing (present Wis. Stat. § 908.09(3) and (4) and § 980.095(1))	10-161
10.776	Appellate review of decisions relating to discharge	10-161
10.7761	Standards of review	10-161
10.77611	Of decision not to grant discharge hearing	10-161
10.7762	Issues reached on review	10-161
10.77621	Does not reach issues relating to initial commitment, except those relating to subject matter jurisdiction such as facial validity of statute providing for commitment	10-161
10.77622	Does appeal from discharge decision reach issues that could have been raised on earlier appeal from initial commitment?	10-161
10.78	Experts And Other Evidentiary Matters	10-161
10.781	General principles	10-161
10.7811	In general	10-161
10.7812	Criminal rules of evidence generally applicable	10-161
10.7813	Wis. Stat. § 904.04(2), which excludes evidence of other acts offered to prove character to show defendant acted in conformity therewith, is not applicable in commitment proceeding under ch. 980, Wis. Stats. to evidence offered to prove that respondent has mental disorder that makes it substantially probable that he or she will commit acts of sexual violence in future (see sec. 10.7862)	10-162
10.782	Experts and expert testimony	10-162
10.7821	General principles for determining admissibility of expert testimony	10-162
10.7822	Need for expert testimony (see sec. 10.73881)	10-162
10.7823	Fact finder's right to reject (see sec. 10.73882)	10-162
10.7824	Admissibility of expert opinion regarding future dangerousness	10-162
10.78241	In general	10-162
10.78242	When testimony based on actuarial instruments designed to measure respondent's dangerousness	10-162
10.7825	Applicability of psychologist-patient privilege (see sec. 10.7831)	10-162
10.7826	Admissibility of matters on which expert relied in forming opinion	10-162
10.78261	Statements of respondent	10-162

10.78262	Incidents of sexual assaults committed by defendant	10-162
10.7827	Admissibility of evidence regarding accuracy and validity of expert's past predictions	10-162
10.7828	Expert's reliance on hearsay	10-162
10.7829	Other matters	10-163
10.78291	Exclusion of expert's testimony as remedy for discovery violation with respect to testimony	10-163
10.78292	Psychologist need not be licensed in Wisconsin in order to testify in ch. 980 proceeding	10-163
10.78293	Fact that expert has lied about credential or other matters or has engaged in unprofessional conduct does not necessarily require court to disregard or strike expert's testimony	10-163
10.78294	Admissibility of testimony of probation and parole agent regarding respondent's likelihood of reoffending	10-163
10.78295	Expert may testify to ultimate issues to be decided by trier of fact (see sec. 10.73883)	10-163
10.78296	Admission, consideration, and use of Actuarial Risk Assessment (ARA) tests (see sec. 10.7896)	10-163
10.78297	Expert's misstatement of required risk of reoffense, i.e., "more likely than not," by, for example, equating "more likely than not" with chance greater than zero, i.e., any chance at all, does not require new trial in interest of justice	10-163
10.78298	Admissibility of expert testimony that is based on consideration of statement of defendant obtained in violation of privilege against self-incrimination	10-163
10.783	Psychologist-patient privilege	10-163
10.7831	Statutory exception from physician-patient or psychologist-patient for proceedings for hospitalization applies to initial commitment and discharge hearings under chap. 980	10-163
10.784	Use of statements and other material generated as part of underlying criminal proceeding	10-163
10.7841	Use of presentence investigation report that was prepared in underlying criminal proceeding	10-163
10.7842	Use of statements made to probation officer as part of probation supervision (see sec. 10.79248)	10-164
10.785	Hearsay	10-164
10.7851	Prior testimony	10-164
10.7852	Past recollection recorded	10-164
10.7853	Public records	10-164
10.786	Other crimes, wrongs, and acts evidence	10-164
10.7861	In general	10-164
10.7862	Wis. Stat. § 904.04(2), which excludes evidence of other acts offered to prove character to show defendant acted in conformity therewith is not applicable in commitment proceeding under ch. 980, Wis. Stats.	10-164
10.78621	In general	10-164

10.78622	Foundational reliability requirement imposed under § 904.04(2) – that other acts evidence is admissible only if jury could find, by preponderance of evidence, that other act was committed by defendant – is not applicable in commitment proceeding under ch. 980	10-164
10.7863	Particular other crimes, wrongs, or acts evidence	10-164
10.78631	Adult criminal record of criminal activity	10-164
10.78632	Conduct reports from department of corrections	10-164
10.78633	Presentence investigation reports	10-164
10.78634	Evidence of probation and parole violations	10-164
10.78635	Juvenile record in general	10-164
10.78636	Juvenile adjudications of sexual misconduct (see sec. 10.7891)	10-164
10.7864	Admissibility of previously unproven misbehavior	10-165
10.787	Refreshing witness's recollection through use of prior statement	10-165
10.788	Other matters	10-165
10.7881	Sufficiency of evidence to support commitment (see sec. 10.738)	10-165
10.7882	Testimony regarding annual re-evaluations of person committed under ch. 980 allegedly conducted	10-165
10.7883	Testimony regarding Sand Ridge Psychopathic Treatment Program, suggesting that commitment would be in best interest of committed person and community	10-165
10.789	Other matters (cont'd)	10-165
10.7891	Admissibility of juvenile adjudications of sexual misconduct	10-165
10.7892	Respondent's right to present evidence that victim of sexual assaults has recanted	10-165
10.7893	Admissibility of testimony of probation and parole agent regarding respondent's likelihood of reoffending (see sec. 10.7822)	10-165
10.7894	Admissibility of evidence of change in respondent's release date (e.g., by granting additional credit against sentence for pretrial confinement) after petition is filed, offered to show that petition was not timely filed	10-165
10.7895	Admissibility of presentence investigation reports (see sec. 10.78633)	10-165
10.7896	Admission, consideration, and use of actuarial risk assessment (ARA) tests	10-165
10.78961	In general	10-165
10.78962	Test results are not inadmissible because they do not reveal whether dangerousness is related to person's mental disorder, i.e., because they measure dangerousness without regard to person's mental disorder	10-166

10.7897	Admissibility of (1) evidence that respondent will be on probation, parole, or supervised release, if not adjudicated and committed as sexually violent person, and (2) evidence regarding rules of probation, parole, or supervised release to which respondent will consequently be subject if not adjudicated and committed as sexually violent person	10-166
10.7898	Expert's misstatement of required risk of reoffense, i.e., "more likely than not," by, for example, equating "more likely than not" with chance greater than zero, i.e, any chance at all, does not require new trial in interest of justice (see sec. 10.78297)	10-166
10.7899	Admissibility of evidence of screening process for potential ch.980 cases	10-166
10.79	Other Matters	10-166
10.791	Definitions	10-166
10.7911	"Sexually violent offense"	10-166
10.79111	In general	10-166
10.79112	"Crime specified in" designated statute may include crime specified in former statute covering crime specified in designated statute	10-166
10.7912	"Substantially probable" and "substantial probability"	10-166
10.7913	"Mental disorder"	10-167
10.79131	In general	10-167
10.79132	Includes "antisocial personality disorder"	10-167
10.79133	In determining whether mental disorder is one that "predisposes a person to engage in acts of sexual violence," focus must be on specific person involved in case, not general population	10-167
10.7914	"Sexually violent person"	10-167
10.79141	In general	10-167
10.79142	Fact that person has ability to make choices does not mean he is not sexually violent person (see sec. 10.79152)	10-167
10.79143	Person suffering from pedophilia is by definition person who lacks control (see sec. 10.79153)	10-167
10.7915	"Serious difficulty in controlling behavior"	10-167
10.79151	In general	10-167
10.79152	Fact that person has ability to make choices does not mean that he does not have serious difficulty in controlling behavior	10-167
10.79153	Person suffering from pedophilia is by definition person who lacks control	10-168
10.7916	"Dangerous"	10-168
10.79161	In general	10-168
10.79162	Does not require "imminent" danger	10-168
10.79163	Is not unconstitutionally vague because it does not limit dangerousness to "reasonably foreseeable future" (see sec. 10.7162)	10-168
10.7917	Not "still . . . sexually violent"; "no longer . . . sexually violent"	10-168

10.7918	"Likely"	10-168
10.79181	In general	10-168
10.79182	Constitutionality of use of "likely" in standard for commitment (see secs. 10.71447, 10.7147, and 10.71533)	10-168
10.792	Rights of persons subject to chap. 980 proceedings	10-168
10.7921	General principles	10-168
10.79211	In general	10-168
10.79212	Constitutional rights accorded by Wis. Stat. § 980.05(1m) are applicable only "at the trial"	10-168
10.7922	Rights accorded in general	10-168
10.79221	At trial, person has all constitutional rights available to criminal defendant: in general	10-168
10.79222	At trial, person has all constitutional rights available to criminal defendant: provision according person all constitutional rights available to criminal defendant has been repealed	10-169
10.79223	All rights accorded to patients under ch. 51	10-169
10.79224	As result of repeal of § 980.05(1)(m) by 2005 Wis. Act 434, person subject to commitment proceeding no longer has all constitutional rights available to criminal defendants (see sec. 10.79222)	10-169
10.7923	Right to due process	10-169
10.79231	Right to enforce prosecutorial promises made in obtaining admissions in lieu of trial (see sec. 10.7332)	10-169
10.7924	Right to remain silent; privilege against self-incrimination	10-169
10.79241	In general	10-169
10.79242	Forbids comment on respondent's precommitment silence (e.g., refusal to participate in evaluation prior to filing of petition)	10-169
10.79243	Counsel's opening door to comment on respondent's silence (e.g., by suggesting that expert's opinion is flawed because he did not interview respondent): in general	10-170
10.79244	Counsel's opening door to comment on respondent's silence (e.g., by suggesting that expert's opinion is flawed because he did not interview respondent): comment permitted to rebut respondent's contention that lack of interview compromised validity of expert's opinion	10-170
10.79245	Counsel's opening door to comment on respondent's silence (e.g., by suggesting that expert's opinion is flawed because he did not interview respondent): prosecutor not permitted to suggest that respondent's refusal to be interviewed is evidence of guilt	10-170

10.79246	Waiver of complaint that state's evidence of respondent's silence violated right to remain silent by failing to object to evidence at trial	10-170
10.79247	Requires suppression of statements taken from respondent in violation of Miranda	10-170
10.79248	Admissibility of statements made to probation officers as part of presentence investigative process and/or probation supervision	10-170
10.79249	No need for Miranda warnings before commencement of pre-petition psychological interview	10-170
10.7925	Right to remain silent; privilege against self-incrimination (cont'd)	10-170
10.79251	Person subject to pre-petition evaluation has right to remain silent at evaluation interview	10-170
10.79252	Privilege is not self-executing; it must be asserted	10-171
10.79253	Violation of privilege requires suppression not only of statement obtained in violation of privilege, but also of any derivative evidence	10-171
10.79254	When expert opinion and/or testimony is based on statement taken in violation of privilege, that opinion or testimony is inadmissible	10-171
10.79255	Statement obtained from probationer in compliance with duty to account for whereabouts and activities, even though attended by grant to immunity that forbids use of statement in criminal prosecution, is inadmissible	10-171
10.79256	When involuntary statement given, subsequent statement is also deemed involuntary unless it can be separated from earlier involuntary statement by circumstances sufficient to insulate it from earlier statement	10-171
10.7926	Right to counsel	10-171
10.79261	In general	10-171
10.79262	Waiver of right to counsel	10-171
10.79263	At probable cause hearing on petition for discharge (see sec. 10.77337)	10-171
10.79264	At discharge hearing (see sec. 10.7735)	10-171
10.79265	Right to effective assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.393)	10-171
10.7927	Right to jury trial and associated rights relating to jury	10-171
10.79271	Respondent's right to jury trial in general	10-172
10.79272	Right to trial by jury of twelve	10-172
10.79273	Request for jury trial	10-172
10.79274	Withdrawal of request for jury trial: in general	10-172
10.79275	Withdrawal of request for jury trial: respondent's personal statement waiving right to jury trial not required	10-172

10.79276	Withdrawal of request for jury trial: respondent need not be informed that jury must be unanimous	10-172
10.79277	With respect to right to jury trial, specific procedures set out in statute creating that right, rather than general principles attending constitutional right to jury trial are controlling	10-172
10.79278	Right to unanimous jury verdict	10-172
10.7928	Right to confrontation	10-172
10.79281	In general	10-172
10.79282	At probable cause hearing	10-172
10.79283	Not violated by not requiring more objective standards for determination whether mental disorder exists	10-172
10.7929	Other rights	10-172
10.79291	Right to substitution of judge (see sec. 10.7292)	10-173
10.79292	Requirement of proof beyond a reasonable doubt	10-173
10.79293	Applicability of right not to be subject to double jeopardy	10-173
10.79294	Assuming that offensive use of issue preclusion by state in criminal case is constitutionally impermissible, provision affording all constitutional rights of criminal defendant to persons subject to chap. 980 proceeding does not bar offensive use of issue preclusion by state in chap. 980 proceeding	10-173
10.79295	Respondent has no right to request that proceedings closed to public (see sec. 10.7969)	10-173
10.79296	Respondent has no right to have proceeding tried in county of predicate sexual assault	10-173
10.79297	Right not to be tried unless competent	10-173
10.793	Appointment of special prosecutor to handle proceeding	10-173
10.7931	In general	10-173
10.7932	Effect of defects in appointment	10-173
10.794	Competency of court to proceed	10-173
10.7941	Effect of defective appointment of special prosecutor handling proceeding on court's competency to proceed	10-173
10.7942	Failure to comply with 90-day time limit for filing petition affects court's competency to proceed (see sec. 10.72447)	10-173
10.795	Postverdict and appellate and other review of commitment proceedings	10-173
10.7951	Time for filing postverdict motions	10-173
10.7952	Time for taking appeal	10-173
10.7953	Standards and principles governing review	10-174
10.79531	In general	10-174
10.79532	Of decision whether to grant request for supervised release (see sec. 10.7654)	10-174
10.79533	Of decision whether to revoke supervised release (see sec. 10.76852)	10-174

	10.79534	Of question whether circuit court must consider alternatives to revocation in deciding whether to revoke supervised release (see sec. 10.76851)	10-174
	10.79535	Of decision whether to grant petition for discharge (see sec. 10.775)	10-174
10.7954		Review by writ of habeas corpus	10-174
	10.79541	Proper venue for writ	10-174
	10.79542	Change of venue	10-174
10.7955		Grant of new trial in interest of justice	10-174
10.7956		Issues reached on appellate review	10-174
	10.79561	On appellate review of decision whether to grant petition for discharge (see sec. 10.7752)	10-174
10.796		Other matters	10-174
	10.7961	Nature of proceeding: civil or criminal?	10-174
	10.7962	Purpose of ch. 980 commitment proceeding in general	10-175
	10.7963	Civil rules applicable in ch. 980 proceeding	10-175
	10.7964	Release of confidential material for purposes of this proceeding is governed by public interests underlying ch. 980; purposes of confidentiality rules regarding juvenile proceedings do not control	10-175
	10.7965	Stay of release pending appeal from trial court's holding that sex predator statute unconstitutional	10-175
	10.7966	Governor's exercise of partial veto power on sexually-violent-person commitments statute produced "complete, entire, and workable law"	10-175
	10.7967	When filing of ch. 980 commitment petition constitutes violation of plea agreement pursuant to which original conviction was entered	10-176
	10.7968	Ineffective assistance of counsel in context of chap. 980 proceeding (see sec. 25.393)	10-176
	10.7969	Closing proceedings to public	10-176
	10.79691	In general	10-176
	10.79692	Respondent has no statutory right to request that proceedings be closed	10-176
	10.79693	Respondent has no constitutional right to request that proceedings be closed	10-176
	10.79694	Refusing right to have proceedings closed to public in ch. 980 proceedings while granting right to have proceedings closed to public in ch. 51 proceedings does not violate equal protection (see sec. 10.7144)	10-176
10.797		Other matters (cont'd)	10-176
	10.7971	When criminal defendant is subject to chap. 980 commitment, any subsequent sentence imposed may be stayed until discharge from chap. 980 commitment	10-176
	10.7972	Jury in ch. 980 proceeding need not be unanimous as to specific mental disorder from which person subjected to ch. 980 proceeding suffered	10-176
	10.7973	Persons subject to commitment (see sec. 10.722)	10-176

10.7974	Filing petition with criminal case number does not affect court's subject matter jurisdiction (see sec. 10.72491)	10-176
10.7975	State's offensive use of doctrine of issue preclusion (collateral estoppel) to keep respondent from litigating whether sexual assault of which he was convicted actually occurred (see sec. 10.7394)	10-176
10.7976	Respondent's attempt to prove that he did not commit sexual assault of which he was convicted does not constitute collateral attack on conviction (see sec. 12.7523)	10-176
10.7977	Propriety of commencing commitment proceeding upon respondent's release from prison after serving sentence remaining after revocation of parole when earlier commitment proceeding prior to release on parole was dismissed on merits (see sec. 10.7293)	10-177
10.7978	Native Americans who are members of tribe, residents of their tribe's reservation, and who committed underlying act on that reservation are subject to commitment under ch. 980 (see sec. 10.72251)	10-177
10.7979	Determination of county of residence (Wis. Stat. § 980.105)	10-177
10.798	Other matters (cont'd)	10-177
10.7981	New trial in interest of justice	10-177
10.79811	In general	10-177
10.79812	Based on alleged failure to fully try propriety of using actuarial instruments to predict risk of reoffense (e.g., because article that appeared after trial, which article questioned reliability of such instruments, was not adduced)	10-177
10.79813	Not required because expert witness has misstated required risk of reoffense, i.e., "more likely than not," by, for example, equating "more likely than not" with chance greater than zero, i.e., any chance at all (see sec. 10.78297)	10-177
10.7982	Bright line rules not favored in commitment proceedings such as ch. 980 proceeding	10-177
10.8	Other Post-Trial Proceedings	10-177
10.81	State Habeas Corpus (see sec. 43.5)	10-177
10.82	Proceedings Relating To Sex Crimes Commitment	10-177
10.821	Periodic reexamination (see sec. 9.74)	10-177
10.822	Extension of sex crimes commitment (see sec. 9.75)	10-177
10.823	Other matters	10-177
10.8231	Provision for commitment of sexually violent person applies to persons committed under sex crimes law	10-178
10.83	Revocation Of Probation Or Extended Supervision (see secs. 41.3, 41.4, 41.5, and 41.7)	10-178
10.84	Vacation Of Executed Plea Agreement (see sec. 7.43)	10-178
10.85	Petition for sentence adjustment (Wis. Stat. § 973.195)	10-178
10.851	In general	10-178
10.852	Application of provision permitting petition for sentence adjustment to TIS-I offenders	10-178

10.8521	Applicability to TIS-I offenders	10-178
10.8522	How provision is to be applied to TIS-I offenders	10-178
10.853	Constitutionality of statute providing for petition for sentence adjustment	10-178
10.8531	Constitutionality of statute's provision providing for denial of petition if district attorney objects: if provision is interpreted to create prosecutorial veto power over petition for sentence adjustment, it violates separation of powers doctrine	10-178
10.854	Denial of petition if district attorney objects	10-178
10.8541	Constitutionality of provision providing for denial of petition if district attorney objects (see sec. 10.8531)	10-178
10.8542	Provision providing for denial of petition if district attorney objects is directory, not mandatory	10-178
10.86	Motion for postconviction deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) testing of certain evidence (Wis. Stat. § 974.07)	10-178
10.861	In general	10-178
10.862	Defendant's right under § 974.07(6) to independently test physical evidence in state's possession at defendant's expense	10-178
10.8621	In general	10-178
10.8622	State's obligation to make physical evidence available for testing not limited to material that has already been tested	10-179
10.8623	Defendant must state "with particularity" evidence he or she is seeking to test	10-179
10.8624	Defendant must satisfy three-prong analysis under Wis. Stat. § 974.07(2)	10-179
10.86241	In general	10-179
10.86242	First prong: relevancy	10-179
10.863	Defendant's right under § 974.07(7)(a) to court-ordered DNA testing	10-179
10.8631	In general	10-179
10.8632	Need to show reasonable probability that defendant would not have been convicted if exculpatory DNA testing results had been available before	10-179
10.86321	In general	10-179
10.86322	Appellate review of question whether required showing made	10-179
10.864	Defendant has no constitutional right to postconviction access to DNA evidence (see sec. 22.44951)	10-179
10.87	Review By United States Supreme Court (see sec. 11.88)	10-179
10.88	Pardon, clemency, and commutation proceedings	10-179
10.881	Constitutionality of procedures used to determine whether defendant should be given pardon, clemency, or commutation of sentence	10-179
10.882	Clemency is "fail-safe" in criminal justice system	10-179
10.89	Other Proceedings	10-179
10.891	Motion for judgment of acquittal in federal court (Fed. Rule Crim. Proc. 29)	10-179
10.8911	Time for making	10-179
10.8912	Effect of failure to file motion within time prescribed	10-179
10.892	Motion for new trial in federal court (Fed. Rule Crim. Proc. 33)	10-180
10.8921	Time for making	10-180

10.8922	Effect of failure to file motion within time prescribed	10-180
10.89221	In general	10-180
10.89222	Failure to file within time prescribed not jurisdictional; state may waive claim of untimeliness by failing to object on that ground in district court	10-180
10.893	Postconviction motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 in federal criminal proceeding	10-180
10.8931	Propriety of using this motion to collaterally attack prior conviction used to enhance sentence for present conviction to which motion is addressed	10-180
10.8932	Statute of limitations for bringing this motion	10-180
10.89321	When judgment of conviction is final for purposes of commencing one-year statute of limitations	10-180
10.89322	In case in which prisoner collaterally attacks his federal sentence on ground that state conviction used to enhance that sentence has since been vacated, one-year limitations period begins to run when petitioner receives notice of order vacating prior conviction, provided that he has sought that order with due diligence in state court after entry of judgment in federal case in which sentence was enhanced	10-180
10.89323	Federal courts of appeals have given prisoners whose convictions became final before effective date of AEDPA one-year grace period running from that date	10-180
10.89324	Related matter: statute of limitations for bringing of federal habeas corpus petition by state prisoner attacking state court conviction (see sec. 10.692)	10-180
10.89325	Provision commencing running of period of limitations on date on which right asserted was initially recognized by Supreme Court: in general	10-180
10.89326	Provision commencing running of statute of limitations on date on which right asserted was initially recognized by Supreme Court: period begins running on date on which Court initially recognized right, not on date on which right was made retroactive	10-181
10.8933	Cannot raise claim that could have been raised on direct appeal absent showing of cause and prejudice; such claim has been procedurally defaulted	10-181
10.89331	In general	10-181
10.89332	Rule does not apply to claims of ineffective assistance of counsel	10-181
10.8934	Recharacterization of motion that has been differently labeled as § 2255 petition	10-181

10.89341	Restrictions on recharacterization; what district court must do when it decides to recharacterize pleading as § 2255 petition	10-181
10.8935	Restrictions on "second or successive" petitions	10-181
10.89351	In general	10-181
10.89352	When earlier pleading that was recharacterized by district court as § 2255 petition can properly be deemed "first" petition	10-181
10.89353	Grant or denial of authorization to file second or successive petition by court of appeals may not be subject of petition for certiorari	10-181
10.894	Transfer of convicted defendant to out-of-state prison facility	10-181
10.8941	Constitutionality of	10-181
10.895	Writ Of Error Coram Nobis	10-181
10.8951	Availability of writ	10-181
10.89511	In general	10-181
10.89512	Writ will not issue when alternative remedies (e.g., habeas corpus) are available	10-181
10.8952	Scope of writ	10-181
10.896	Section 1983 civil rights action (see sec. 47.879)	10-182

Chapter 11
Appeal

11.1	Jurisdiction Of Court Of Appeals	11-1
11.11	Appeals As Of Right	11-1
11.111	Who may appeal; any person aggrieved by trial court order or judgment may appeal	11-1
11.112	What constitutes appealable order or judgment in general	11-1
11.1121	Must be "final"	11-1
11.11211	In general	11-1
11.11212	Exception in federal courts to requirement of finality for "collateral orders"	11-1
11.11213	When order is deemed "final"	11-1
11.1122	Must be issued by "court"	11-1
11.1123	Must be entered, i.e., reduced to writing and filed (see sec. 11.115)	11-1
11.1124	Memorandum decision can be appealable order	11-2
11.113	State's right to appeal as of right	11-2
11.1131	In general	11-2
11.1132	Right to appeal from final order or judgment	11-2
11.11321	In general	11-2
11.11322	Trial court's dismissal at conclusion of preliminary examination	11-2
11.11323	Trial court's dismissal of some, but not all, counts in criminal complaint (see sec. 11.11434)	11-2
11.1133	Right to appeal from order that has substantive effect of suppressing evidence	11-2

11.1134	Right to appeal from order discharging sex offender from commitment (see sec. 11.11452)	11-2
11.1135	Right to cross-appeal (see sec. 11.134)	11-2
11.1136	State's right to appeal is purely statutory	11-2
11.114	Appealability as of right of particular orders	11-2
11.1141	Orders denying motions to dismiss	11-2
11.11411	Order denying motion to dismiss on double jeopardy grounds	11-3
11.11412	Order denying motion to dismiss on speedy trial grounds	11-3
11.11413	Order denying motion to dismiss on grounds of prosecutorial vindictiveness	11-3
11.11414	Order denying motion to dismiss on speech or debate grounds	11-3
11.11415	Order denying motion to dismiss because of violation of rule requiring grand jury secrecy	11-3
11.1142	Orders excluding evidence	11-3
11.11421	Order excluding other crimes evidence	11-3
11.11422	Order excluding medical reports that state failed to timely provide to defendant	11-3
11.11423	Order excluding testimony of psychologist proffered by state	11-3
11.11424	Order excluding evidence as sanction for non-compliance with discovery order	11-3
11.11425	Order excluding evidence of defendant's inculpatory statements because of failure to comply with discovery statute	11-4
11.11426	Order excluding evidence because it was obtained in violation of the constitution	11-4
11.11427	Order excluding evidence because tests which produced it were done in violation of statutory procedures	11-4
11.11428	Order excluding evidence because it is inadmissible under rules of evidence	11-4
11.1143	Other pretrial orders	11-4
11.11431	Order of judge denying permission to file complaint under Wis. Stat. § 968.02(3)	11-4
11.11432	Order denying motion to reduce bail	11-4
11.11433	Order disqualifying counsel from representation of defendant	11-4
11.11434	Order dismissing less than all counts in criminal complaint	11-4
11.11435	Order consolidating counts into single count	11-4
11.11436	Order dismissing prosecution at conclusion of preliminary examination (see sec. 11.11322)	11-4
11.11437	Order denying motion for reconsideration (see sec. 11.11993)	11-4
11.11438	Order granting defendant's motion collaterally attacking prior OWI conviction that would enhance penalty in present OWI prosecution	11-4

11.1144	Post-trial orders	11-4
11.11441	Order denying post-conviction motion for a new trial	11-4
11.11442	Order granting post-conviction motion for a new trial	11-5
11.11443	Trial court's order extending period of confinement under intensive sanctions program	11-5
11.1145	Other orders	11-5
11.11451	Order of court commissioner	11-5
11.11452	Order discharging sex offender from commitment	11-5
11.11453	Order waiving juvenile court jurisdiction (see sec. 42.381)	11-5
11.11454	Contempt order	11-5
11.11455	Order requiring defendant to pay state's expenses when his misconduct has prompted mistrial	11-5
11.11456	Acquittal by court, acting as judge and fact finder, based on error of law	11-5
11.11457	Orders issued by John Doe judge (see sec. 4.29312)	11-5
11.11458	Order granting motion to withdraw guilty or no-contest plea	11-5
11.115	Necessity of entry (i.e., reduction to writing and filing) of judgment or order appealed from	11-5
11.1151	In general	11-5
11.1152	When judgment or order is entered	11-6
11.1153	When judgment of conviction entered, and defendant seeks review of motions made and denied after verdict but before sentencing and entry of judgment of conviction, entry of order denying motions not necessary to permit review of denial of motions on appeal from judgment of conviction	11-6
11.1154	In traffic regulation cases, disposition recorded in clerk's docket entries is sufficient to confer appellate jurisdiction	11-6
11.1155	When judgment of conviction entered, propriety of considering issues raised on motion for postconviction relief, the order disposing of which was not entered	11-6
11.1156	In bail forfeiture case	11-6
11.1157	When judge from different county is assigned to case in response to substitution request, orders and judgments of assigned judge must be entered in office of clerk of circuit court in county in which action was originally filed	11-6
11.1158	Even when document has been date stamped, document can be considered filed (i.e., entered) as of date it was actually received by or in possession of clerk	11-6
11.1159	In absence of date-stamp on document, document can be considered filed (i.e., entered) as of date it was actually received by or in possession of clerk	11-6
11.116	Timeliness of notice of appeal (see sec. 11.31321)	11-6
11.117	Effect of untimely appeal on jurisdiction; timely notice of appeal is necessary to confer jurisdiction on appellate court	11-7

	<i>Page</i>
11.118 Cross-appeal (see sec. 11.13)	11-7
11.119 Other matters	11-7
11.1191 Defendant's right to appeal is constitutionally guaranteed	11-7
11.1192 Appellate court's response to litigant's utilization of wrong remedy	11-7
11.11921 Treating notice of appeal as petition for leave to appeal nonfinal order	11-7
11.11922 Treating notice of appeal as invocation of court's supervisory jurisdiction (see sec. 11.146)	11-7
11.11923 Treating notice of appeal from nonfinal order denying motion to suppress evidence as appeal from final judgment of conviction	11-7
11.11924 Treating notice of appeal from decision as appeal from final judgment incorporating decision	11-7
11.11925 Treating petition for leave to appeal nonfinal order as notice of appeal (see sec. 11.1272)	11-7
11.11926 Treating jurisdictional memorandum submitted on question whether appellant had right to appeal by filing notice of appeal as petition for leave to appeal nonfinal order	11-7
11.1193 Defendant has no right to immediate appeal	11-7
11.1194 What appeal from judgment of conviction brings before the appellate court	11-8
11.11941 In general	11-8
11.11942 Orders relating to judgment that were handed down subsequent to judgment	11-8
11.1195 Matters that may be raised after appeal filed	11-8
11.11951 Appeal may be taken after imposition of sentence upon revocation of probation, but appeal is limited to challenge to sentence and will not reach underlying conviction or defects other than those surrounding sentencing after revocation (see sec. 11.31112)	11-8
11.11952 When appeal taken from order, issues raised by trial court motion for reconsideration of that order may not be raised on appeal unless appeal taken from denial of motion for reconsideration (see sec. 11.183)	11-8
11.1196 Other matters	11-8
11.11961 Effect of delay between oral pronouncement of order appealed from and filing of notice of appeal (see sec. 11.159)	11-8
11.11962 Appellant must appeal from denial of motion for reconsideration of order from which appeal has been taken in order to raise on appeal issues raised by motion for reconsideration (see sec. 11.183)	11-8
11.11963 Determining whether appeal can be taken from denial of motion for reconsideration	11-8
11.12 Appeals By Permission (i.e., Interlocutory Appeals)	11-8

11.121	Prerequisites to appealability	11-8
11.1211	Necessity of entry of judgment or order appealed from	11-8
11.1212	Necessity that judgment or order appealed from be issued by "court"	11-8
11.122	Timeliness of petition for leave to appeal	11-8
11.1221	In general (see sec. 11.3133)	11-8
11.1222	Effect of untimely petition on jurisdiction	11-9
11.123	When interlocutory review appropriate in general	11-9
11.124	Factors to be considered in deciding whether to grant petition	11-9
11.1241	In general	11-9
11.1242	Issue is of statewide importance; issue is of general importance in administration of justice	11-9
11.1243	Undesirability of interlocutory appeal in criminal cases (see sec. 11.125)	11-9
11.1244	Irreparable harm	11-9
11.1245	Likelihood of success on the merits	11-9
11.1246	Determination of issue presented will clarify further proceedings	11-9
11.125	Undesirability of	11-9
11.1251	In general	11-9
11.1252	In criminal cases	11-9
11.126	State's right to appeal by permission	11-9
11.127	Appellate court's response to litigant's utilization of wrong remedy to bring nonfinal order before appellate court	11-10
11.1271	Treating notice of appeal as petition for leave to appeal nonfinal order (see sec. 11.1192)	11-10
11.1272	Treating petition for leave to appeal nonfinal order as notice of appeal	11-10
11.128	Appeal of particular issues by permission	11-10
11.1281	Double jeopardy (see sec. 11.11411)	11-10
11.1282	Waiver of juvenile court jurisdiction (see sec. 42.381)	11-10
11.1283	Transfer, or refusal of transfer, of jurisdiction from adult court to juvenile court ("reverse waiver") (see sec. 6.46341)	11-10
11.1284	Trial court's allegedly improper rejection of Alford plea (see sec. 7.4785)	11-10
11.129	Other matters	11-10
11.1291	Bypassing court of appeals on decision whether to grant leave to appeal	11-10
11.1292	When court of appeals accepts interlocutory appeal, appellant is limited to briefing only those issues presented in petition for leave to appeal; he or she may not raise additional issues without prior consent of court	11-10
11.13	Cross-Appeal	11-10
11.131	Cross-appeal not limited to final judgments or orders	11-10
11.132	When cross-appeal required to review issue	11-10
11.133	When cross-appeal appropriate	11-11
11.1331	When state appeals grant of new trial, defendant may cross- appeal refusal to dismiss on account of insufficient evidence	11-11
11.134	State's right to cross-appeal	11-11
11.135	Cross appeal under former rules	11-11

11.136	Extension of time to file notice of cross-appeal	11-11
11.1361	When defendant seeks to cross-appeal in state's appeal from relief granted defendant in proceeding initiated under Wis. Stat. § 809.30, time for appeal may be extended	11-11
11.14	Supervisory Jurisdiction	11-11
11.141	Scope of supervisory jurisdiction in general	11-11
11.142	Prerequisites to exercise of supervisory jurisdiction in general	11-11
11.143	Propriety of exercise of supervisory jurisdiction in particular situations	11-11
11.1431	Denial of request for substitution of judge	11-11
11.1432	Over action of judge in John Doe proceeding (see sec. 4.2922)	11-11
11.1433	Mandating colloquy by trial court to determine whether testifying defendant has knowingly and voluntarily waived right not to testify	11-11
11.144	Particular supervisory writs	11-11
11.1441	Prohibition (see sec. 43.2)	11-11
11.1442	Mandamus (see sec. 43.3)	11-12
11.1443	Habeas corpus (see sec. 43.5)	11-12
11.145	Procedures for exercise of supervisory jurisdiction	11-12
11.146	Treating appeal as invocation of court's supervisory jurisdiction	11-12
11.147	Treating petition for supervisory writ as appeal	11-12
11.148	Invocation of court's supervisory jurisdiction to discipline prosecutor for misconduct by reversal of conviction (see sec. 11.8936)	11-12
11.149	Other matters	11-12
11.1491	Court of appeals does not possess any supervisory authority which would permit it to promulgate rules of criminal practice and procedure	11-12
11.1492	Voluntary dismissal provision does not apply to supervisory writ	11-12
11.1493	Deferring exercise of supervisory jurisdiction when lower court has concurrent jurisdiction to issue writ	11-12
11.15	Other Matters	11-12
11.151	Appellate court's response to litigant's utilization of wrong remedy (see sec. 11.83)	11-12
11.152	Review of denial of pretrial suppression motion when guilty plea entered or defendant found NGI (see sec. 7.42)	11-12
11.153	Review of intermediate orders on appeal from final judgment or order	11-12
11.1531	In general	11-12
11.1532	Only intermediate orders pertinent to action or proceeding in which final order was entered are reviewable	11-13
11.1533	Appeal from final judgment does not bring up prior final orders	11-13
11.1534	Appeal from final order brings up all prior adverse, nonfinal orders	11-13
11.1535	Includes orders denying post-trial motions brought before sentencing and entry of judgment of conviction	11-13
11.154	Record must reflect that court has jurisdiction	11-13
11.155	Conferring appellate jurisdiction by failure to object	11-13

11.156	Respective functions of court of appeals and supreme court (see sec. 11.8935)	11-13
11.157	Appeal from judgment of conviction does not confer jurisdiction over matters raised only in post-conviction motion	11-13
11.158	Right to appeal in general	11-13
11.159	Effect of delay between oral pronouncement of order appealed from and filing of notice of appeal	11-13
11.16	Other Matters (cont'd)	11-13
11.161	Jurisdiction exists even though postconviction order appealed from is nullity, if appeal also taken from judgment of conviction	11-13
11.162	Appellate court has duty to ensure its authority to review appeal	11-13
11.163	Appellate court's obligation to inquire sua sponte into its jurisdiction	11-13
11.164	Failure to comply with necessary condition precedent to appeal does not deprive appellate court of subject-matter jurisdiction	11-13
11.165	Clerical error in judgment does not deprive appellate court of jurisdiction	11-14
11.166	Statutory prohibition on court of appeals' consideration of particular issues	11-14
	11.1661 Trial court's failure to follow sentencing guidelines (see sec. 9.16142)	11-14
11.167	Parties cannot confer jurisdiction on appellate court	11-14
11.168	Court of appeals does not possess any supervisory authority which would permit it to promulgate rules of criminal practice and procedure (see sec. 11.1491)	11-14
11.169	When judgment of conviction entered, propriety of considering issues raised on motion for postconviction relief, the order disposing of which was not entered (see sec. 11.1153)	11-14
11.17	Other Matters (cont'd)	11-14
11.171	Dismissal of appeal when defendant absconds (see sec. 11.915)	11-14
11.172	Proper role of court of appeals with respect to arguments not made by defendant	11-14
11.173	Court of appeals has no jurisdiction to vacate or modify judgment after record is remitted to circuit court	11-14
11.174	If trial court lacks jurisdiction, appellate court lacks jurisdiction	11-14
11.175	Appeal from portion of judgment brings entire judgment before appellate court	11-14
11.176	What appeal from judgment of conviction brings before appellate court	11-14
	11.1761 In general (see sec. 11.11971)	11-14
	11.1762 Orders relating to judgment that were handed down subsequent to judgment (see sec. 11.11972)	11-14
	11.1763 Intermediate orders (see sec. 11.153)	11-14
11.177	Matters that may be raised after appeal filed	11-14
	11.1771 Appeal may be taken after imposition of sentence upon revocation of probation, but appeal is limited to challenge to sentence and will not reach underlying conviction or defects other than those surrounding sentencing after revocation (see sec. 11.3172)	11-15

11.1772	When appeal taken from order, issues raised by trial court motion for reconsideration of that order may not be raised on appeal unless appeal taken from denial of motion for reconsideration (see sec. 11.183)	11-15
11.178	Proponent of appellate jurisdiction has burden to establish facts necessary to demonstrate jurisdiction	11-15
11.179	Appeal from order denying postconviction motion brings matters related to judgment of conviction before appellate court even though notice of appeal does not mention judgment of conviction	11-15
11.18	Other Matters (cont'd)	11-15
11.181	Determining when appeal can be taken from denial of motion for reconsideration (see sec. 11.11993)	11-15
11.182	Issue of appellate jurisdiction is question of law that appellate court reviews de novo (see sec. 11.951)	11-15
11.183	Appellant must appeal from denial of motion for reconsideration of order from which appeal has been taken in order to raise on appeal issues raised by motion for reconsideration	11-15
11.184	Proper role of court of appeals with respect to arguments not made by party	11-15
11.185	Statute cannot expand court of appeals' jurisdiction beyond that which constitution provides	11-15
11.186	Court of appeals is without jurisdiction to make factual findings (see sec. 11.86223)	11-15
11.2	Jurisdiction Of Supreme Court	11-15
11.21	Review Of Court Of Appeals' Decision By Granting Petition To Review	11-15
11.211	Jurisdiction of supreme court to grant review	11-15
11.2111	Timely filing of petition required	11-15
11.2112	Untimely service of petition not jurisdictional	11-16
11.212	Time for filing petition	11-16
11.2121	In general	11-16
11.2122	Tolling running of time from date pro se prisoner delivers correctly addressed petition to proper prison authorities for mailing to supreme court	11-16
11.21221	In general	11-16
11.21222	Retroactivity of tolling rule	11-16
11.213	Standards for granting review	11-16
11.2131	In general	11-16
11.2132	Review of sufficiency of evidence ordinarily not granted	11-16
11.2133	Review of trial court's or court of appeals' exercise of discretion ordinarily not granted	11-16
11.2134	Review of court of appeals' decision on evidentiary matter	11-16
11.2135	Review of issue not raised in court of appeals (see sec. 11.453)	11-17
11.214	Prevailing party in court of appeals has no right to review	11-17
11.215	Decisions reviewable	11-17
11.2151	In general	11-17
11.2152	Decision that order appealed from is nonfinal	11-17
11.216	Decisions not reviewable	11-17
11.2161	Publication decision	11-17

11.2162	Denial of permissive appeal	11-17
11.2163	Grant of permissive appeal	11-17
11.217	State's right to petition for review	11-17
11.2171	In general	11-17
11.2172	No double jeopardy bar (see sec. 29.46)	11-17
11.218	Other matters	11-17
11.2181	Procedures in supreme court after review granted (see sec. 11.38)	11-17
11.2182	Petition for cross-review	11-17
11.21821	When necessary to raise issue	11-17
11.2183	Scope of review (see sec. 11.382)	11-17
11.2184	When supreme court is reviewing discretionary decision by court of appeals, it applies same standards that apply to review of trial court's exercise of discretion	11-17
11.2185	When defendant challenges appellate counsel's failure to timely file petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision, court of appeals has no power to grant any relief in habeas corpus proceeding (see sec. 43.5582)	11-17
11.2186	When defendant challenges appellate counsel's failure to timely petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision, supreme court may grant habeas corpus petition and permit late filing of petition for review (see sec. 43.5583)	11-18
11.2187	When defendant deprived of petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision because of clerical error of court of appeals, supreme court may grant habeas corpus petition and permit late filing of petition for review (see sec. 43.5584)	11-18
11.2188	Motion for summary reversal of court of appeals' decision (see sec. 11.3892)	11-18
11.219	Other matters (cont'd)	11-18
11.2191	Indigent has no right to counsel's assistance in preparing petition for review (see sec. 25.174)	11-18
11.2192	Prevailing party in court of appeals may challenge rulings adverse to him which, if corrected, will sustain the judgment (see sec. 11.3825)	11-18
11.2193	Dismissal of petition for review as improvidently granted	11-18
11.21931	In general	11-18
11.21932	Because case did not adequately present issue for which review was granted	11-18
11.21933	When appellant absconds	11-18
11.21934	Because facts were not as supreme court understood them to be at time it accepted review	11-18
11.21935	Because court concludes that review is more about error correction than law development and more about significance of undisputed facts than about need to clarify law	11-19
11.21936	Because facts had no been sufficiently developed in circuit court	11-19

	11.21937	Because trial had not yet been held in circuit court and defendant's acquittal could render issues raised on appeal moot	11-19
	11.2194	Dismissal of certification as improvidently granted	11-19
	11.2195	Once review granted, court may address all prior rulings to determine correctness (see sec. 11.3826)	11-19
	11.2196	Petition for cross-review	11-19
	11.21961	When necessary to raise issue	11-19
	11.21962	Granting petition for cross-review, while denying petition for review	11-19
	11.2197	Filing of petition stays court of appeals' action	11-19
	11.2198	Motion for reconsideration of denial of petition for review	11-19
	11.2199	In petition for review, counsel should avoid disparaging comments about court of appeals	11-19
11.22	Review Of Trial Court Decision By Bypass Of Court Of Appeals		11-19
	11.221	Methods of bypass in general	11-19
	11.2211	On party's petition for bypass	11-19
	11.2212	On court of appeals' certification	11-19
	11.2213	On supreme court's own motion	11-19
	11.222	Bypassing court of appeals on nonfinal decisions	11-19
	11.2221	In general	11-19
	11.2222	Decision whether to grant permissive appeal (see sec. 11.1291)	11-19
	11.223	Effect of supreme court's refusal to grant bypass when case certified by court of appeals	11-20
	11.224	On bypass, jurisdictional issues same as in court of appeals	11-20
	11.225	Procedures in supreme court after bypass granted (see sec. 11.38)	11-20
	11.226	Once supreme court grants direct review upon certification, it acquires jurisdiction of all issues, not merely those certified	11-20
	11.227	Vacation of decision to grant bypass and remand to court of appeals for further proceedings	11-20
11.23	Original Jurisdiction Of Supreme Court		11-20
	11.231	Supreme court review of referee's findings	11-20
11.24	Supervisory And Other Writs		11-20
	11.241	In general	11-20
	11.242	Deferring exercise of supervisory jurisdiction when lower court has concurrent jurisdiction to issue writ	11-20
11.25	Superintending And Administrative Authority Over Courts		11-20
	11.251	General principles	11-20
	11.2511	In general	11-20
	11.2512	Authority does not extend to law enforcement officers	11-20
	11.2513	Authority to adopt rules governing admissibility of evidence pursuant to supervisory authority	11-20
	11.252	Particular applications	11-20
	11.2521	Supreme court will not use this authority to mandate certification to it of cases in which court of appeals is confronted with conflict between Wisconsin Supreme Court decision and subsequent decision of United States Supreme Court	11-21

11.2522	Court's superintending and administrative authority to establish and change rules governing entry of pleas	11-21
11.2523	Supreme court's invocation of superintending authority to require recording of juvenile interrogations as prerequisite to admissibility of statements made during interrogation (see sec. 2.6672)	11-21
11.2524	Use of superintending authority to prescribe guilty plea requirements	11-21
11.2525	Use of superintending authority to prescribe procedure to taking waiver of right to counsel	11-21
11.26	Rule-Making Authority Of Supreme Court	11-21
11.261	In general	11-21
11.262	Authority to create, in context of appellate case, rules not constitutionally or statutorily mandated	11-21
11.263	Supreme court rule has force of statute	11-21
11.27	Inherent, Implied, And Incidental Powers Of Supreme Court	11-21
11.271	In general	11-21
11.272	To reverse in interest of justice	11-21
11.28	Other Matters	11-21
11.281	Respective functions of court of appeals and supreme court (see sec. 11.8935)	11-21
11.282	Issue of appellate jurisdiction is question of law that appellate court reviews de novo (see sec. 11.951)	11-21
11.3	Appellate Procedure	11-21
11.31	Initiating The Appeal	11-21
11.311	Notice of intent to pursue postconviction relief and request for representation by SPD	11-21
11.3111	Timeliness of notice	11-21
11.31111	In general	11-22
11.31112	To challenge matters relating to conviction, must be filed within twenty days of entry of judgment of conviction, even when probation imposed; filing of notice within twenty days of judgment entered upon revocation of probation and imposition of sentence of imprisonment is untimely	11-22
11.31113	Timeliness of notice: while filing of notice within twenty days of revocation of probation and imposition of sentence of imprisonment is untimely if defendant intends to attack conviction, defendant may appeal sentence imposed after revocation of probation	11-22
11.3112	Effect of untimely notice	11-22
11.3113	Deadlines triggered by request for representation by SPD	11-22
11.3114	Extension or enlargement of time for filing intent to pursue postconviction relief (see sec. 11.3942)	11-22
11.3115	Contents of notice	11-22
11.312	Mode of taking appeal	11-22
11.3121	Notice of appeal	11-22

11.3122	Petition for leave to appeal nonfinal order	11-22
11.313	Time for taking appeal	11-22
11.3131	Notice of intent to pursue postconviction relief (see sec. 11.3111)	11-22
11.3132	Notice of appeal	11-22
11.31321	In general	11-22
11.31322	Effect of delay between oral pronouncement of order appealed from and filing of notice of appeal (see sec. 11.159)	11-23
11.31323	When judge from different county is assigned to case in response to substitution request, orders and judgments of assigned judge must be entered in office of clerk of circuit court in county in which action was originally filed in order to commence the applicable appeal period (see sec. 11.1157)	11-23
11.31324	Determining the order whose entry commences time for taking appeal when multiple orders or other proported dispositions of matter have been entered	11-23
11.31325	Tolling running of time from date pro se prisoner delivers correctly addressed notice of appeal to proper prison authorities for mailing to clerk of court: in general	11-23
11.31326	Tolling running of time from date pro se prisoner delivers correctly addressed notice of appeal to proper prison authorities for mailing to clerk of court: notice of appeal addressed to branch of circuit court is correctly addressed	11-23
11.31327	Extension or enlargement of time for filing notice of appeal (see sec. 11.3943)	11-23
11.3133	Petition for leave to appeal nonfinal order	11-23
11.31331	In general	11-23
11.31332	Determining whether particular document constitutes nonfinal order from whose entry time for appeal runs	11-23
11.3134	Appeal from forfeiture of bail	11-23
11.3135	Effect of untimely appeal (see secs. 11.117 and 11.1222)	11-23
11.3136	Extension of time limits for good cause	11-23
11.3137	Appeal from commitment in summary contempt proceeding	11-24
11.3138	In federal court, time for taking appeal from granting of defendant's motion to suppress runs from denial of motion for rehearing of suppression motion	11-24
11.3139	Appellant not allowed to extend time for appeal by making motion for reconsideration that simply relitigates issues determined in the judgment (see sec. 11.3188)	11-24
11.314	Necessity of entry of judgment or order appealed from (see sec. 11.115)	11-24
11.315	Content of notice of appeal	11-24

11.3151	In general	11-24
11.3152	Must specify order appealed from	11-24
11.3153	Must be signed	11-24
11.31531	In general	11-24
11.31532	Effect of failure to sign notice of appeal	11-24
11.3154	Effect of defects in	11-24
11.31541	In general	11-24
11.31542	Particular defect: failure to sign notice of appeal (see sec. 11.31532)	11-24
11.316	Filing of notice of appeal	11-24
11.3161	In general	11-24
11.3162	Notice of appeal may be filed by facsimile transmission	11-24
11.3163	Failure to accompany filing of notice of appeal with filing fee does not deprive appellate court of jurisdiction, but may be grounds for dismissal	11-24
11.3164	Notice of appeal is deemed filed as of date it is actually received by clerk of circuit court; date stamped on notice of appeal does not conclusively determine date of filing	11-25
11.317	Other matters	11-25
11.3171	Who may appeal (see sec. 11.111)	11-25
11.3172	Appeal may be taken after imposition of sentence upon revocation of probation, but appeal is limited to challenge to sentence and will not reach underlying conviction or defects other than those surrounding sentencing after revocation	11-25
11.3173	Public defender's right to be provided with court record (see sec. 11.8924)	11-25
11.3174	Cross-appeal (see sec. 11.13)	11-25
11.3175	What appeal from judgment of conviction brings before appellate court	11-25
11.31751	In general (see sec. 11.11971)	11-25
11.31752	Orders relating to judgment that were handed down subsequent to judgment (see sec. 11.11972)	11-25
11.31753	Intermediate orders (see sec. 11.153)	11-25
11.3176	Appeal may be taken after imposition of sentence upon revocation of probation, but appeal is limited to challenge to sentence and will not reach underlying conviction	11-25
11.3177	Appeal from portion of judgment brings entire judgment before appellate court (see sec. 11.175)	11-25
11.318	Other matters (cont'd)	11-25
11.3181	Appeal from judgment of conviction does not confer jurisdiction over matters raised only in postconviction motion	11-25
11.3182	Effect of appeal only from order denying postconviction motion for a new trial and not from judgment of conviction	11-25
11.3183	Waiver of defect in notice of appeal by participation in appeal	11-25
11.3184	Effect of invalidity of order denying postconviction motion from which appeal taken, if appeal also taken from judgment of conviction	11-26

11.3185	Counsel's obligation when client decides after filing notice of intent to pursue post-conviction relief not to pursue such relief (see sec. 25.5984)	11-26
11.3186	No requirement that waiver form be filed when defendant after discussion with counsel decides not to pursue appeal	11-26
11.3187	Voluntary dismissal of appeal (see sec. 11.392)	11-26
11.3188	Appellant not allowed to extend time for appeal by making motion for reconsideration that simply relitigates issues determined in the judgment	11-26
11.319	Other matters (cont'd)	11-26
11.3191	Notice of appeal may be filed by facsimile transmission (see sec. 11.3162)	11-26
11.3192	Failure to accompany filing of notice of appeal with filing fee does not deprive appellate court of jurisdiction, but may be grounds for dismissal	11-26
11.3193	Notice of appeal is deemed filed as of date it is actually received by clerk of circuit court; date stamped on notice of appeal does not conclusively determine date of filing (see sec. 11.3164)	11-26
11.32	Release Or Stay Pending Appeal	11-26
11.321	When permitted (see secs. 6.3324 and 6.3325)	11-26
11.322	Procedures	11-26
11.323	Prerequisites to granting	11-26
11.324	Factors to consider	11-26
11.3241	Likelihood of success on merits of underlying appeal	11-26
11.3242	Defendant's ability to pay	11-26
11.32421	Fact that defendant is "shirker" is irrelevant to this factor; present ability is all that matters	11-26
11.325	Conditions of release	11-27
11.3251	Trial court's exercise of discretion in setting conditions of release in general	11-27
11.3252	Monetary conditions of release	11-27
11.32521	Right to impose this condition pending appeal in misdemeanor case in general	11-27
11.32522	Right to impose this condition pending appeal in misdemeanor case involving indigent defendant: in general	11-27
11.32523	Right to impose this condition pending appeal in misdemeanor case involving indigent defendant: propriety of considering that defendant is "shirker" in determining indigency	11-27
11.326	Appellate review of trial court's decision on bail pending appeal	11-27
11.327	Condition of probation (e.g., confinement to jail) that was stayed pending appeal cannot be executed upon completion of appeal if term of probation itself was not stayed and has expired while appeal pending	11-27
11.33	The Record	11-27
11.331	Contents	11-27
11.3311	In general	11-27
11.3312	Must contain instructions	11-27

	<i>Page</i>
11.332 Incomplete record	11-27
11.3321 Effect of	11-27
11.33211 In general	11-27
11.33212 Assumption that missing material supports trial court's ruling or jury's verdict (see secs. 11.867 and 19.1192)	11-28
11.33213 When record is missing transcript of proceeding (e.g., voir dire) that was not reported because law at time did not require its reporting	11-28
11.33214 Appellate court's refusal to consider issue to which missing material relates	11-28
11.3322 Reconstructing record when reporter has lost notes or proceeding was not reported	11-28
11.33221 In general	11-28
11.33222 Perry decision, which deals with reconstruction of record, has no application to matters that were not made part of record in trial court	11-28
11.333 Responsibility for record	11-28
11.334 Amending the record	11-29
11.335 Supplementing the record	11-29
11.336 Waiver of objection to incompleteness	11-29
11.337 Must reflect that appellate court has jurisdiction	11-29
11.338 Transcripts	11-29
11.3381 Parties may waive service of transcripts	11-29
11.3382 Defendant's right to be provided with transcript of testimony and proceedings	11-29
11.33821 In general	11-29
11.33822 Indigent's right to copy of transcript at public expense (see sec. 23.311)	11-29
11.3383 Correcting transcripts (see secs. 11.3322, 11.333, and 11.334)	11-29
11.3384 Effect of conflict between transcript of proceedings and written document, e.g., judgment (see sec. 11.8999)	11-29
11.339 Other matters	11-29
11.3391 Public defender's right to be provided with court record (see sec. 11.8924)	11-29
11.3392 When record incomplete, facts essential to sustain trial court's decision will be assumed (see sec. 11.866)	11-29
11.3393 Parties and appellate court limited to and bound by record in preparing briefs and decisions (see secs. 11.341 and 11.82)	11-29
11.34 The Briefs	11-29
11.341 Limited to record	11-29
11.3411 In general	11-29
11.3412 Effect of failure to dispute accuracy and/or authenticity of document in brief that is not part of record and to challenge it on the ground that it is outside the record	11-30
11.342 Form	11-30
11.3421 Argument should be arranged in order of statement of issues	11-30
11.343 Must cite to parts of record relied upon	11-30
11.3431 In general	11-30

11.3432	When party fails to properly and accurately cite to record, party will not be heard to challenge by motion for reconsideration facts that appellate court gleans from party's brief	11-30
11.344	Must adequately set forth argument and authority	11-31
11.3441	In general	11-31
11.3442	When constitutional claim is being made	11-33
11.3443	When controlling precedent from higher court defeats party's position, but party believes precedent is wrong, issue adequately preserved for further review by simple statement of that position	11-33
11.3444	Need for pinpoint references in citations to case law	11-33
11.3445	To avoid waiving argument on appeal, party must develop argument in principal brief; party cannot preserve argument by asking for opportunity to file supplemental brief making that argument	11-34
11.345	Must be based on facts duly established by record (see secs. 11.341, 11.82, and 11.864)	11-34
11.346	Should not be misleading	11-34
11.347	Citation of unpublished decisions	11-34
11.3471	Wisconsin rule prohibiting citation of unpublished decisions of Wisconsin Court of Appeals (see sec. 11.8975)	11-34
11.3472	Rules in other jurisdictions prohibiting citation of unpublished decisions	11-34
	11.34721 In general (see sec. 11.95621)	11-34
	11.34722 Seventh Circuit decisions (see sec. 11.95622)	11-34
11.348	Other matters	11-34
11.3481	May not cite to unpublished opinion of court of appeals (see sec. 11.8975)	11-34
11.3482	Absolute candor in briefs is essential; misleading statements must be avoided	11-34
11.3483	Impropriety of emotional appeals unsupported by relevant authority or rational argument	11-34
11.3484	To be considered by appellate court, argument must be set forth in text; argument mentioned only in footnote will not be considered	11-34
11.3485	Should focus on one central issue or at most a few key issues	11-34
11.3486	Appendix to brief	11-34
	11.34861 Permissible scope of materials contained in appendix; propriety of including matters outside record in appendix	11-34
	11.34862 Required contents of appendix	11-34
	11.34863 Certification of appendix	11-34
	11.34864 Imposition of sanction for filing false certification	11-35
11.3487	Party does not abandon issue, insofar as supreme court consideration of it is concerned, by conceding in reply brief in court of appeals that party's position has been rejected in binding supreme court precedent (see sec. 11.4722)	11-35
11.3488	Amicus brief	11-35

	11.34881	In general	11-35
	11.34882	Need for court to inform parties that it has solicited amicus curiae participation in case	11-35
11.349		Other matters (cont'd)	11-35
	11.3491	Appellate counsel should avoid disparaging lower courts or opposing parties	11-35
	11.3492	Court's power to strike impertinent brief	11-35
	11.3493	Reply brief	11-35
	11.34931	Court will not consider issues raised for the first time in reply brief (see sec. 11.4741)	11-35
	11.34932	Rules contemplate that appellant will file reply brief only when respondent raises matters not addressed in appellant's initial brief	11-35
	11.3494	Appellate court may refuse to consider arguments that do not comply with rules of appellate procedure	11-35
	11.3495	Enforcement of rules governing briefs against pro se defendants	11-35
	11.3496	Attempting to circumvent page limitations on brief	11-35
	11.34961	May not circumvent page limit on brief by incorporating other documents (e.g., trial court brief) by reference	11-35
	11.34962	Impropriety of attempting to circumvent length restrictions by reference to arguments contained in the appendices or other parts of record	11-35
	11.3497	May not incorporate trial court brief by reference	11-35
	11.3498	Misleading statements in brief contravene rule of professional conduct that requires candor toward tribunals before whom lawyers appears	11-36
	11.3499	Arguments made in opponent's brief that are not disputed in other side's brief are deemed admitted (see sec. 11.89971)	11-36
11.35		Oral Argument	11-36
	11.351	Attorney's duty of candor to court during oral argument	11-36
11.36		Decision Of Court Of Appeals	11-36
	11.361	Case should be decided on narrowest possible ground (see sec. 11.8985)	11-36
	11.362	Limited to record (see secs. 11.82 and 11.864)	11-36
	11.363	Court of appeals bound by precedents established by supreme court (see sec. 11.917)	11-36
	11.364	Disposition when majority of court finds error, but there is no majority on particular error	11-36
	11.365	Appellate court's consideration of other issues raised by appellant after finding reversible error on one of the issues (see sec. 11.8949)	11-36
	11.366	Reconsideration of decision by court of appeals	11-36
	11.3661	Party's right to seek reconsideration of decision	11-36
	11.3662	Motion for reconsideration of decision not permitted	11-36
	11.367	Publication of court of appeals' decision	11-36
	11.3671	Decision is not precedential, and may not be cited, unless it is ordered published (see sec. 11.8975)	11-36
	11.3672	Single-judge decisions are not published	11-36

11.3673	Motion for three-judge panel to permit publication of decision	11-36
11.368	Other matters	11-36
11.3681	Not precedential, and may not be cited, unless ordered published (see sec. 11.8975)	11-36
11.3682	Analyzing and interpreting decision (see sec. 11.8955)	11-37
11.3683	When trial court grants new trial or other relief based on one claim made by defendant and does not address other claims that, if meritorious, would entitle defendant to relief, and court of appeals reverses trial court on claim upon which new trial or other relief was granted, court of appeals may not remand with instructions to deny new trial or other relief, but must either remand for trial court's consideration of other claims or explain why such consideration is unnecessary	11-37
11.369	Other matters (cont'd)	11-37
11.3691	Court of appeals need only address dispositive issue (see sec. 11.8949)	11-37
11.3692	Court of appeals' authority to raise issue sua sponte and to dispose of appeal without permitting parties to file briefs on newly raised issue (see sec. 11.8992)	11-37
11.3693	Propriety of reaching issues not raised by the defendant (see sec. 11.172)	11-37
11.3694	Court of appeals' right and/or authority to withdraw dictum in published decision (see sec. 11.89147)	11-37
11.3695	Court of appeals' right and/or authority to overrule prior decision (see sec. 11.8973)	11-37
11.3696	Review of issues should be undertaken on correct formulation of ruling by trial court	11-37
11.3697	Court of appeals' decision is "issued" on date stamped on it, not on date it was mailed to parties	11-37
11.3698	Court not bound by issues as they are framed by parties	11-37
11.37	Petition For Review Of Court Of Appeals' Decision By Supreme Court (see sec. 11.21)	11-37
11.38	Procedure In Supreme Court After Review Or Bypass Granted	11-37
11.381	Procedures governing court of appeals applicable	11-37
11.382	Issues considered and arguments that may be made on review	11-37
11.3821	Once review granted, petitioner cannot raise or argue issues not set forth in petition; review of right limited to issues set forth in petition for review	11-37
11.3822	Once issue is raised in petition for review that has been granted, any argument addressing the issue may be asserted in brief of either party or utilized by court	11-38
11.3823	Brief may assert any argument that addresses issue in petition	11-38
11.3824	Review may encompass issue certified by the court of appeals even though defendant did not raise that issue	11-38
11.3825	Prevailing party in court of appeals may challenge rulings adverse to him which, if corrected, will sustain the judgment	11-38

	11.38251	In general	11-38
	11.38252	But prevailing party must present full argument in supreme court on issue to preserve right to review of that issue	11-38
11.3826		Once review granted, court may address all prior rulings to determine correctness	11-38
11.3827		Court will not consider issue outside of scope of review granted and of which review is not necessary in light of decision reached on review	11-38
11.3828		When certification granted, court acquires jurisdiction over all issues	11-38
	11.38281	In general	11-38
	11.38282	Failure to address, in supreme court brief, issue raised in court of appeals, but not certified by that court to supreme court, may constitute abandonment of issue (see sec. 11.4715)	11-38
11.383		Disposition of issues not reached by court of appeals	11-38
	11.3831	In general	11-38
	11.3832	Initial consideration by supreme court	11-38
	11.3833	Remand to court of appeals	11-39
	11.3834	When case is before supreme court on certification of one of many issues raised on appeal	11-39
11.384		Motion for reconsideration of dismissal for want of jurisdiction	11-39
11.385		Requirement that respondent present full argument in supreme court brief on issue court of appeals did not reach in order to preserve right to review of that issue	11-39
11.386		Dismissal of petition for review as improvidently granted (see sec. 11.2193)	11-39
11.387		Dismissal of certification as improvidently granted (see sec. 11.2194)	11-39
11.388		Decision of supreme court	11-39
	11.3881	Disposition when majority of court finds error, but there is no majority on particular error (see sec. 11.364)	11-39
	11.3882	Disposition of issues not reached by court of appeals (see sec. 11.383)	11-39
	11.3883	Analyzing and interpreting decision (see sec. 11.8955)	11-40
	11.3884	Decision may utilize any ground encompassed within issue presented for review, even if parties have not addressed that ground	11-40
	11.3885	Effect of equally divided court (see sec. 11.8964)	11-40
11.389		Other matters	11-40
	11.3891	Vacation of decision to grant bypass and remand to court of appeals for further proceedings (see sec. 11.227)	11-40
	11.3892	Motion for summary reversal of court of appeals' decision	11-40
	11.38921	In general	11-40
	11.38922	State's motion for summary reversal of court of appeals' decision in favor of state	11-40
11.39		Other Matters	11-40
	11.391	Requirement of filing under appellate rules	11-40
	11.3911	Presupposes service	11-40
	11.3912	Requires actual receipt by clerk	11-40

11.392	Voluntary dismissal	11-40
11.3921	In general	11-40
11.3922	Vacating dismissal order entered pursuant to notice of voluntary dismissal	11-40
11.3923	Voluntary dismissal provision does not apply to supervisory writ	11-40
11.3924	Denial of motion for voluntary dismissal	11-40
11.39241	In general	11-40
11.39242	Court of appeals has no authority to deny voluntary dismissal of notice of appeal	11-40
11.3925	Supreme court may deny request for dismissal of petition for review	11-40
11.3926	Effect of equally divided court on question whether notice of voluntary dismissal should be granted	11-41
11.393	Sanctions for violations of appellate rules	11-41
11.3931	In general	11-41
11.3932	Dismissal of appeal as sanction for violating appellate rules (e.g., untimely filing of brief)	11-41
11.39321	Dismissal is only appropriate upon finding that party or party's attorney has demonstrated bad faith or egregious conduct, or upon finding that appeal has been abandoned	11-41
11.39322	Dismissal is not appropriate when it is based on attorney's conduct in unrelated matters	11-41
11.39323	Effect of dismissal on order or judgment appealed from: order or judgment becomes final and binding on parties	11-41
11.3933	Imposition of sanction for filing false certification of appendix to brief (see sec. 11.34864)	11-41
11.394	Extension or enlargement of time for doing required act (Wis. Stat. § 809.82(2))	11-41
11.3941	In general	11-41
11.3942	Extension or enlargement of time for filing notice of intent to pursue postconviction relief	11-41
11.39421	In general	11-41
11.39422	Sufficiency of showing of "good cause" for extension	11-41
11.39423	Extension or enlargement may be granted for "good cause" under § 809.82(2) even if basis for motion is ineffective assistance of counsel	11-41
11.3943	Extension or enlargement of time for filing notice of appeal	11-41
11.39431	In general	11-41
11.39432	Provision permitting extension or enlargement of time cannot be used to circumvent Knight requirement of habeas petition when defendant contends counsel was ineffective for failing to take appeal or to adequately advise defendant regarding taking of appeal (see sec. 25.26132)	11-42
11.39433	Time for filing notice of appeal under 809.30 may be extended	11-42

11.3944	Extension or enlargement of time for filing cross-appeal	11-42
11.39441	When defendant seeks to cross-appeal in state's appeal from relief granted defendant in proceeding initiated under Wis. Stat. § 809.30, time for appeal may be extended (see sec. 11.1361)	11-42
11.3945	Extension or enlargement of time for filing petition for leave to appeal nonfinal order	11-42
11.39451	Court's order granting leave to appeal when petition for leave was untimely may be viewed as granting extension of time for filing petition	11-42
11.3946	Standard of supreme court review of court of appeals' decision to grant or deny motion to extend time	11-42
11.395	Stay of proceedings in trial court; stay of release pending appeal; relief pending appeal	11-42
11.3951	Procedures for granting	11-42
11.3952	Grounds for, and factors to be considered in, granting stay or other relief	11-42
11.3953	Effect of stay on time periods within which action required in trial court	11-42
11.3954	Effect of stay on trial court's power to act	11-42
11.3955	Trial court's obligation to grant stay	11-42
11.3956	Standard of appellate review of trial court's decision on motion for stay or other relief pending appeal	11-43
11.3957	Trial court's jurisdiction to entertain motion for reconsideration after appeal taken (see sec. 11.39754)	11-43
11.396	Proceedings relating to defendant's competency to proceed on appeal	11-43
11.3961	Standard for competency (see sec. 10.1652)	11-43
11.3962	Procedures to follow when defendant incompetent (see sec. 10.1653)	11-43
11.397	Other matters	11-43
11.3971	No merit reports (see sec. 11.8945)	11-43
11.3972	What appeal from judgment of conviction brings before the appellate court	11-43
11.39721	In general (see sec. 11.11971)	11-43
11.39722	Orders relating to judgment that were handed down subsequent to judgment (see sec. 11.11972)	11-43
11.3973	Matters that may be raised after appeal filed	11-43
11.39731	Appeal may be taken after imposition of sentence upon revocation of probation, but appeal is limited to challenge to sentence and will not reach underlying conviction or defects other than those surrounding sentencing after revocation (see sec. 11.3172)	11-43
11.3974	Appeal may be taken after imposition of sentence upon revocation of probation, but appeal is limited to challenge to sentence and will not reach underlying conviction (see secs. 11.31112 and 11.31113)	11-43
11.3975	Motion for trial court's reconsideration of order to be appealed from or already appealed from?	11-43

	11.39751	In general	11-43
	11.39752	State's right to seek reconsideration	11-43
	11.39753	Determining whether appeal can be taken from denial of motion for reconsideration (see sec. 11.11993)	11-43
	11.39754	Trial court's jurisdiction to entertain motion for reconsideration after appeal taken	11-44
11.3976		Motion to withdraw as appointed counsel (Wis. Stat. § 809.30(4))	11-44
	11.39761	Necessity of motion	11-44
11.3977		Recusal or disqualification of appellate judge	11-44
11.3978		Right to fair and impartial appellate judge (see sec. 30.594)	11-44
11.398		Other matters (cont'd)	11-44
	11.3981	Substitution of judge upon remand to trial court (see sec. 6.617)	11-44
	11.3982	Dismissal of appeal when defendant absconds (see sec. 11.915)	11-44
	11.3983	Convening three-judge panel	11-44
	11.3984	Appellate court's response to litigant's utilization of wrong remedy (see sec. 11.83)	11-44
	11.3985	Public defender's right to be provided with court record (see sec. 11.8924)	11-44
	11.3986	Indigent's right to counsel on appeal (see sec. 11.8926)	11-44
	11.3987	Appointment of counsel for indigent appellant (see sec. 11.8926)	11-44
	11.3988	Public defender's right to copy of transcript at public expense (see sec. 11.8924)	11-44
	11.3989	Defendant has no right to raise issues pro se in trial court during pendency of appeal in which he is represented by counsel (see sec. 10.1692)	11-44
11.399		Other matters (cont'd)	11-44
	11.3991	Trial court's action on remand after appeal completed; proceedings on remand after appeal completed	11-44
	11.39911	Trial court's obligation to take action ordered by appellate court	11-44
	11.39912	Consistency with due process of Wis. Stat. § 808.08(3), which places duty on parties to initiate further proceedings in circuit court on remand	11-45
11.3992		Remand while appeal pending (Wis. Stat. § 808.075(5) and (6))	11-45
	11.39921	In general	11-45
	11.39922	Remand to trial court for further fact finding (see sec. 11.861)	11-45
	11.39923	Party's right to remand to permit raising of new issue by postconviction motion	11-45
	11.39924	If remand made, court may review, in pending appeal, judgment or order entered on remand (Wis. Stat. § 808.075(8))	11-45
11.3993		Trial court's jurisdiction after appeal taken (see secs. 11.3954 and 12.1298)	11-45

11.3994	Requirement that defendant be informed of appellate rights at sentencing (see sec. 9.182)	11-45
11.3995	Clerk's entry of order denying postconviction motion if decision on motion not made within sixty days of its filing (see sec. 10.166)	11-45
11.3996	When judgment of conviction entered, propriety of considering issues raised on motion for postconviction relief, the order disposing of which was not entered (see sec. 11.1153)	11-45
11.3997	Proper role of court of appeals with respect to arguments not made by defendant (see sec. 11.172)	11-45
11.3998	Appellant not allowed to extend time for appeal by making motion for reconsideration that simply relitigates issues determined in the judgment (see sec. 11.3188)	11-45

11.4 Waiver Of Error And Of Right To Raise Issue Or Make Argument In Appellate

Court	11-45
11.41 By Failure To Raise Issue In Trial Court	11-45
11.411 General rule: failure to raise issue constitutes waiver	11-45
11.4111 In general	11-45
11.4112 Rule is not absolute	11-48
11.412 Exceptions to, or departures from, general rule	11-48
11.4121 When interest in judicial economy and finality of decisions served by consideration of issue	11-48
11.4122 When issue warrants appellate court's consideration	11-48
11.4123 When constitutional violation alleged; constitutional issue (see sec. 11.415)	11-48
11.4124 When it is in interest of justice to review error and there are no unresolved factual issues	11-48
11.4125 In interest of justice (i.e., where real controversy has not been tried or there has been a miscarriage of justice)	11-48
11.4126 Where issue is of statewide concern	11-48
11.4127 Where there are no factual issues which require resolution	11-49
11.4128 When issue raised is question of law, parties have thoroughly briefed issue, and there are no disputed issues of fact	11-49
11.4129 Other exceptions or departures from rule	11-49
11.41291 Where issue is question of law that has been briefed by parties and merits resolution on grounds of public policy or sufficient public interest	11-49
11.41292 When issue is sufficiency of evidence to convict (see sec. 19.591)	11-49
11.41293 Where defendant has been convicted of crime that appellate court later decides is beyond the legislature's constitutional power to create	11-49
11.41294 When issue involves question of law rather than fact, when question of law has been briefed by both parties, and when question of law is of sufficient public interest to merit decision	11-49

11.41295	When issue presents question of statutory construction that parties have fully briefed	11-49
11.41296	When legal question is presented that court of appeals decides to address	11-49
11.41297	When addressing issue will clarify issue of statewide importance	11-49
11.413	Requirement that issue be raised and argued with sufficient prominence to signal to trial court that it is being called upon to address issue and make ruling	11-49
11.414	Necessity of specificity	11-49
11.415	When constitutional violation alleged	11-50
11.4151	In general	11-50
11.4152	Applicability of waiver rule to constitutional violation	11-50
11.416	When trial court considered issue	11-50
11.417	Waiver when defendant raises issue in pretrial motions, but fails to object when error occurs at trial	11-50
11.418	Particular issues	11-50
11.4181	Unconstitutionality of statute	11-50
11.4182	Constitutional issues in general (see sec. 11.415)	11-50
11.4183	Other issues	11-51
11.419	Limitations on court of appeals' review of waived error	11-51
11.42	By Failure To Object	11-51
11.421	General rule: failure to object constitutes waiver	11-51
11.4211	In general	11-51
11.4212	Necessity of objection on specific ground	11-53
11.42121	In general	11-53
11.42122	Cannot object in unrecorded sidebar conference and reserve right to state grounds at later time	11-55
11.42123	Where ground of objection is obvious, the specific ground of objection is not important	11-55
11.42124	Need to make objection with sufficient prominence that court understands what it is expected to rule on	11-55
11.42125	Need to make adequate objection so that parties and trial court have opportunity to address disputed issue	11-55
11.42126	Not necessary for party to cite precise statutory section upon which objection is based	11-55
11.4213	Necessity of objection at proper time	11-55
11.4214	Rule applies to constitutional error	11-56
11.42141	In general	11-56
11.42142	Unobjected-to constitutional error must be analyzed under ineffective-assistance-of-counsel standards (see sec. 11.42922)	11-56
11.4215	Withdrawal of objection (see sec. 11.494)	11-56
11.4216	Sufficiency of objection	11-56
11.4217	Sufficiency of continuing objection	11-56
11.4218	Waiver of procedural defect by failure to timely object	11-56
11.4219	Other matters	11-56

11.42191	Reasons for enforcing contemporaneous objection rule (see secs. 11.428 and 11.4985)	11-56
11.42192	Whether objection was adequate to preserve issue is question of law that appellate court reviews de novo	11-56
11.42193	By failure to object in appellate court	11-56
11.42194	When objection to witness's anticipated testimony made outside of presence of jury before witness testifies, objection need not be repeated in presence of jury when witness testifies to preserve claimed error for appeal	11-56
11.422	Exceptions	11-57
11.4221	Plain error	11-57
11.42211	In general	11-57
11.42212	Does plain error doctrine apply only to evidentiary error?	11-57
11.4222	In interest of justice (i.e., where real controversy has not been tried or there has been a miscarriage of justice)	11-57
11.4223	Constitutional error	11-58
11.4224	Importance of question	11-58
11.4225	Error affecting subject matter jurisdiction	11-58
11.4226	Issue warrants appellate court's consideration	11-58
11.4227	Appellate court, in its discretion, decides to consider, or chooses to review, issue	11-58
11.4228	Compelling reasons or exceptional circumstances exist	11-59
11.4229	Exceptions for errors in jury instructions (see sec. 8.564)	11-59
11.423	Errors that cannot be waived by failure to object (see sec. 11.492)	11-59
11.424	Respondent does not waive right to argue on appeal that instruction should not have been given by requesting it or failing to object to it in trial court (see sec. 8.566)	11-59
11.425	Waiver of particular errors	11-59
11.426	Limitations on court of appeals' review of waived error	11-59
11.427	Difference between waiver of right and forfeiture by failure to timely assert right	11-59
11.428	Reasons for waiver rule based on failure to object	11-59
11.429	Other matters	11-59
11.4291	When trial court grants defendant's pretrial motion suppressing evidence, but state introduces evidence at trial, defense counsel's failure to make contemporaneous objection does not constitute waiver of right to raise issue regarding evidence's admission on appeal	11-59
11.4292	Unobjected-to error must be analyzed under ineffective-assistance-of-counsel standards	11-59
11.42921	In general	11-59
11.42922	When error is of constitutional dimension	11-59
11.43	By Failure To Move For Mistrial	11-59
11.431	In general	11-60
11.432	Effect of failure to accept mistrial offered by trial court	11-60
11.44	By Failure To Make Offer Of Proof Or To Explain Materiality Of Excluded Evidence	11-60

11.441	By failure to make offer of proof	11-60
11.4411	In general	11-60
11.4412	When offer of proof must be made	11-61
11.4413	Sufficiency of offer of proof	11-61
11.44131	In general	11-61
11.44132	Question and answer format, rather than statement of counsel, preferred	11-61
11.4414	Trial court not required to solicit offer of proof	11-61
11.442	By failure to explain materiality of excluded evidence	11-61
11.45	By Other Action Or Inaction	11-61
11.451	By entry of guilty or no contest plea (see sec. 7.3)	11-61
11.452	By failure to raise issue on motion for new trial	11-61
11.4521	In general	11-61
11.4522	Requirement of motion for new trial raising issue is not applicable to issue of sufficiency of evidence to convict or other issues previously raised	11-62
11.4523	Waiver of objection to sentencing as repeater by failure to make motion for new trial raising issue (see sec. 31.62871)	11-62
11.453	By failure to raise or present issue in appellate court	11-62
11.4531	In general	11-62
11.4532	Constitutional issue	11-62
11.454	By failure to move to strike	11-62
11.455	By introducing evidence ruled admissible over objection to minimize prejudicial impact or for some other reason	11-62
11.4551	In general	11-62
11.4552	Preemptively introducing evidence of prior conviction on direct examination of testifying defendant after trial court has ruled that state may introduce evidence of prior conviction to impeach testifying defendant (see sec. 16.1417)	11-62
11.456	By requesting action, or otherwise creating or inducing error, complained about on appeal	11-62
11.457	By failure to make adequate record of reasons justifying request denied by trial court	11-63
11.458	By failures in earlier appeal where issue was raised or could have been raised because it was subject of order or judgment appealed from	11-63
11.4581	Failure to pursue appeal	11-63
11.4582	Failure to move appellate court for reconsideration	11-63
11.4583	By failure to pay filing fee, resulting in dismissal of appeal	11-63
11.45831	In general	11-63
11.45832	When right to appeal was extended once proper documentation received by appellate court	11-63
11.459	By failure to offer evidence allegedly improperly excluded	11-63
11.46	By Other Action Or Inaction (cont'd)	11-63
11.461	By action or inaction relating to sentence or sentencing process	11-63
11.4611	By failure to make motion challenging sentence in trial court (see sec. 9.92)	11-63
11.4612	By failure to include presentence report in record (see sec. 9.951)	11-63
11.462	By inviting error complained of; invited error	11-63

11.4621	In general	11-63
11.4622	Invited error not waived if error results in conviction of nonexistent crime	11-64
11.4623	Application of invited error doctrine to respondent	11-64
11.4624	Application of invited error rule when complaint is about legal nullity or void proceeding, pleading, etc.	11-64
11.463	By failure to renew severance motion when new ground for severance becomes apparent	11-64
11.464	By failing to ask trial court to exercise required discretion	11-64
11.465	By failure to renew offer of evidence after predicate to its admissibility established	11-64
11.466	By introducing or eliciting evidence allegedly improperly admitted	11-64
11.4661	In general	11-64
11.4662	When court has already ruled evidence admissible over objection (see sec. 11.455)	11-64
11.467	By failure to make motion raising issue	11-64
11.468	By agreeing to waive right to raise issue on appeal as part of plea bargain (see sec. 7.1982)	11-65
11.469	By failure in court below to make specific argument or present specific theory advanced in appellate court on issue presented (i.e., by failure to make same argument or advance same theory in court below)	11-65
11.4691	By failure in trial court to make specific argument or present specific theory advanced in court of appeals on issue presented (i.e., by failure to make same argument or advance same theory in trial court)	11-65
11.46911	In general	11-65
11.46912	Waiver occurs only when appellant attempts to advance new theories, not when appellant simply adduces additional authority or legal analysis to support argument made in trial court	11-65
11.46913	Litigant cannot fairly be held to have waived argument invoking theory or doctrine that, at the time, court of competent jurisdiction had not yet recognized or announced	11-65
11.4692	By failure in court of appeals to make specific argument or present specific theory advanced in supreme court on issue presented (i.e., by failure to make same argument or advance same theory in court of appeals)	11-65
11.46921	In general	11-66
11.47	By Other Action Or Inaction (cont'd)	11-66
11.471	By other action or inaction	11-66
11.4711	By stipulating to challenged action	11-66
11.4712	By failure to adequately develop argument and/or set forth authority in brief on appeal	11-66
11.4713	In United States Supreme Court, by failing to raise issue in response to petition for writ of certiorari	11-66

11.4714	When trial court has issued blanket order governing conduct of witnesses and has indicated that it does not intent to review order and make exceptions to it "for every single witness that we have," party waives right to complain that exception was not made for particular witness by failing to make request for exception for that particular witness	11-66
11.4715	When supreme court grants certification, appellant's failure to address, in supreme court brief, issue raised in court of appeals, but not certified by that court to supreme court, may constitute abandonment of issue	11-67
11.4716	In Wisconsin Supreme Court, by failure to present issue to court of appeals	11-67
11.4717	By asking, in principal brief, for opportunity to file supplemental brief addressing issue instead of developing argument on that issue in principal brief (see sec. 11.3445)	11-67
11.4718	By failing to provide court with complete record necessary to address issue being raised (see sec. 11.33214)	11-67
11.472	By other action or inaction (cont'd)	11-67
11.4721	By failing to request trial court to take desired action	11-67
11.4722	Party does not abandon issue, insofar as supreme court consideration of it is concerned, by conceding in reply brief in court of appeals that party's position has been rejected in binding supreme court precedent	11-67
11.4723	By failure to object in appellate court (see sec. 11.42193)	11-67
11.4724	By failure to raise issue in court of appeals	11-67
11.4725	By failure to appeal from final order that would have brought issue before appellate court	11-67
11.4726	By failure to attack particular portion of order appealed from	11-67
11.473	By other action or inaction (cont'd)	11-67
11.4731	Error not waived by entering into plea agreement with knowledge of claimed error (see sec. 7.1983)	11-67
11.4732	By broadly stating argument in appellate brief, but failing to specifically argue it	11-67
11.4733	When expert witness is permitted to testify in sexual assault case to common characteristics of sexual assault victim and to consistency of those characteristics with those of victims in case at hand, and when expert presents extensive testimony on those and related subjects, standing objection is insufficient to preserve challenges to Haseltine violations (see sec. 20.8417)	11-68
11.4734	By failures with respect to constitutional claims	11-68
11.47341	Failure to specifically argue broadly stated constitutional claim (see sec. 11.4791)	11-68
11.47342	Failure to adequately develop argument on constitutional claim (see sec. 11.3442)	11-68
11.4735	Because evidence that trial court has ruled admissible in pretrial ruling is not actually admitted at trial	11-68
11.4736	By making amorphous and insufficiently developed argument	11-68

11.4737	By failure to develop any argument on particular claim	11-68
11.4738	By contributing to error about which complaint is being made	11-68
11.4739	By inducing error complained of (see sec. 11.462)	11-68
11.474	By other action or inaction (cont'd)	11-68
11.4741	By waiting until reply brief to argue issue in appellate court; court will not consider issues raised for first time in reply brief; arguments raised for first time in reply brief are deemed waived	11-68
11.47411	In general	11-68
11.47412	But court may consider issue in interest of complete analysis of case	11-69
11.4742	By raising issue for first time on appeal	11-69
11.4743	By failure to raise argument as separate issue for review in supreme court	11-69
11.4744	Of right to remand to adduce evidence to sustain trial court's decision when party seeking remand acquiesced in exclusion of evidence in trial court	11-69
11.4745	By failure to brief or argue issue in appellate brief	11-69
11.47451	In general	11-69
11.47452	When argument made in circuit court is not advanced in appellate court, it is deemed abandoned	11-70
11.4746	By mentioning argument only in footnote (see sec. 11.3484)	11-70
11.4747	By failure to appeal from original judgment of conviction; defendant who appeals from judgment imposing sentence after revocation of probation waives right to raise issues surrounding conviction or otherwise unrelated to sentence imposed after revocation	11-70
11.4748	By failing to brief issue in court of appeals	11-70
11.4749	By failing to appeal from denial of motion for reconsideration of order, appellant who has appealed from order waives right to raise issues presented on motion for reconsideration (see sec. 11.183)	11-70
11.475	By other action or inaction (cont'd)	11-70
11.4751	By failure to request limiting instruction	11-70
11.4752	By failure to identify grounds for admissibility of evidence excluded by court	11-70
11.4753	By failure to support argument by references to legal authority (see secs. 11.344 and 11.4712)	11-70
11.4754	By failure to expressly request relief sought; implicit request may be enough	11-70
11.4755	By affirmatively agreeing in trial court to action now challenged on appeal	11-70
11.4756	By failure to request court to exercise discretion	11-70
11.4757	By failure to bring ground raised on appeal to attention of trial court	11-70

11.4758	When objection to witness's testimony made and overruled, but objectionable nature of testimony then becomes apparent as a result of testimony given after objection was overruled, objection must be renewed in order to preserve error for appeal	11-71
11.4759	Introduction of other acts evidence by prosecutor when judge admits evidence on condition that crimes to which it relates would not become subject of later prosecution does not constitute waiver of right to bring later prosecution (see sec. 12.563)	11-71
11.476	By other action or inaction (cont'd)	11-71
11.4761	By failure to brief or argue issue raised	11-71
11.4762	By taking affirmative action inconsistent with previous position	11-71
11.4763	By agreeing to consolidation of charges that include "other acts" evidence to which objection has been made	11-71
11.4764	By failure to accept offer of hearing in trial court that defendant contends on appeal he should have had	11-71
11.4765	By failing to cite critical decision supporting argument in trial court (see sec. 11.4972)	11-71
11.4766	By failure to raise issue in federal court of appeals	11-71
11.4767	By failing to make sufficiently explicit request for relief sought in trial court	11-71
11.4768	By failure to raise and argue issue with sufficient prominence in trial court	11-71
11.4769	By failure to request instruction not given (see sec. 8.562)	11-72
11.477	By other action or inaction (cont'd)	11-72
11.4771	By failure to raise and argue issue with sufficient prominence to allow trial court to address issue and make a ruling	11-72
11.4772	By failure to cite to parts of record relied upon (see sec. 11.343)	11-72
11.4773	By failure to ask court to rule on objection	11-72
11.4774	By withdrawal of insanity plea	11-72
11.4775	By failure to create adequate record in trial court relating to claimed error	11-72
11.4776	By failure to provide appellate court with necessary portion of trial court record	11-72
11.4777	By withdrawing motion raising issue	11-72
11.4778	By failing to raise issue in appellate brief	11-72
11.4779	By failure to present issue on earlier direct appeal	11-72
11.478	By other action or inaction (cont'd)	11-72
11.4781	By failure to pursue filed motion raising issue	11-72
11.4782	By failure to present argument in supreme court brief on issue court of appeals did not reach	11-72
11.4783	By failure to raise or make argument in trial court	11-72
11.4784	By failure to argue factor relevant to issue presented	11-73
11.4785	By conceding issue of law	11-73
11.4786	By failure to ask trial court for relief sought in appellate court	11-73

11.4787	By making objection in unrecorded sidebar conference that is later summarized on record	11-73
11.4788	By failure, in United States Supreme Court, to present any argument on issue raised in petition for certiorari	11-73
11.4789	By defendant's raising objection pro se when defendant represented by counsel and failing to bring matter to trial court's attention through counsel	11-73
11.479	By other action or inaction (cont'd)	11-73
11.4791	By failure to specifically argue broadly stated constitutional claim	11-73
11.4792	By failure to argue issue in appellate brief	11-73
11.4793	By taking contrary position below	11-73
11.4794	By failure to accept mistrial offered by trial court	11-74
11.4795	By having opposed in trial court relief that party contends on appeal should have been granted	11-74
11.4796	By failure to testify after court permits use of prior conviction for impeachment purposes	11-74
11.4797	By failure to challenge plea or request its withdrawal in trial court (see sec. 7.493)	11-74
11.4798	By failure to make contemporaneous objection to alleged breach of plea agreement by prosecutor (see sec. 7.1551)	11-74
11.4799	Defendant waives objection to incorrect information relied on at sentencing by not pointing it out at time of sentencing (see sec. 9.128)	11-74
11.48	Waiver Of Particular Errors Or Objections	11-74
11.481	Waiver of particular errors or objections relating to criminal investigation and apprehension	11-74
11.4811	Waiver of Fourth Amendment claim (see sec. 1.93)	11-74
11.4812	Waiver of objection to admission of defendant's statement (see sec. 2.63)	11-74
11.4813	Waiver of objection to identification (see sec. 3.43)	11-74
11.482	Waiver of particular errors or objections relating to pretrial procedure and matters	11-74
11.4821	Waiver of objection to complaint (see sec. 6.183)	11-74
11.4822	Waiver of objection to failure to properly make required probable cause determination for continued detention after arrest (see sec. 6.318)	11-74
11.4823	Waiver of complaint about failure to hold prompt initial purpose (see sec. 6.325)	11-74
11.4824	Waiver of errors and defects in preliminary examination (see sec. 6.4893)	11-74
11.4825	Waiver of objection to information (see sec. 6.571)	11-74
11.4826	Waiver of substitution request or of objection to failure to grant request (see sec. 6.615)	11-74
11.4827	Waiver of right to severance	11-75
	11.48271 Severance of defendants (see sec. 6.933)	11-75
	11.48272 Severance of offenses (see sec. 6.943)	11-75
11.4828	Waiver of claim that judge should have recused himself or herself (see sec. 6.97122)	11-75
11.4829	Other waivers	11-75

	11.48291 Waiver of objection to prosecutorial breach of plea agreement (see sec. 7.1551)	11-75
11.483	Waiver of particular errors or objections relating to trial	11-75
	11.4831 Waiver of objection to juror or to errors or other defects in jury selection process (see sec. 8.17)	11-75
	11.4832 Waiver of objection to closing argument (see sec. 8.44)	11-75
	11.4833 Waiver of objection to instructions (see sec. 8.56)	11-75
	11.4834 Waiver with respect to jury unanimity (see sec. 8.6817)	11-75
	11.4835 Waiver of deficiency or incompleteness in individual polling of jury by court (see sec. 8.6866)	11-75
	11.4836 Waiver of challenge to sufficiency of evidence to convict (see sec. 19.591)	11-75
11.484	Waiver of particular errors or objections relating to sentencing	11-75
	11.4841 Waiver of complaint about incorrect information in presentence report (see secs. 9.128 and 9.236)	11-75
	11.4842 Waiver of challenge to restitution order (see sec. 9.486)	11-75
	11.4843 Waiver of right to contest extension of probationary period (see sec. 9.644)	11-75
	11.4844 Waiver of right to complain about errors relating to sentencing (see sec. 9.93)	11-75
11.485	Waiver of particular errors or objections relating to other procedural matters	11-75
	11.4851 Waiver of claim that case was not properly venued (see sec. 12.26)	11-75
	11.4852 Waiver of claim that case was not properly brought within time set by statute of limitations (see sec. 12.34)	11-75
	11.4853 Waiver of statutory right to be present at various stages of criminal prosecution (see sec. 12.773)	11-76
11.486	Waiver of particular errors or objections relating to evidentiary matters	11-76
	11.4861 Waiver of objection to other crimes evidence (see sec. 14.34)	11-76
	11.4862 Waiver of objection to hearsay rulings (see sec. 15.76)	11-76
	11.4863 Waiver of right to raise issue of sufficiency of evidence to convict (see sec. 19.591)	11-76
11.487	Waiver of particular errors or objections relating to constitutional rights	11-76
	11.4871 Waiver of equal protection claim (see sec. 23.74)	11-76
	11.4872 Waiver of claim of ineffective assistance of counsel (see secs. 25.2621, 25.2631, and 25.266)	11-76
	11.4873 Waiver of confrontation objection (see sec. 27.42)	11-76
	11.4874 Waiver of double jeopardy objection (see sec. 29.82)	11-76
	11.4875 Waiver of right to claim that judge was not impartial (see sec. 30.54)	11-76
11.488	Waiver of particular errors or objections relating to other matters	11-76
	11.4881 Waiver of challenge to propriety of sentencing as repeater (see sec. 31.6287)	11-76
	11.4882 Waiver of right to contest waiver of juvenile court jurisdiction (see secs. 42.37 and 42.816)	11-76
	11.4883 Waiver of challenge to constitutionality of statute (see sec. 47.4994)	11-76

11.49	Other Matters	11-76
11.491	Consideration of waived error	11-76
11.4911	In general	11-76
11.4912	Plain error	11-77
11.49121	In general	11-77
11.49122	Does plain error doctrine apply only to evidentiary error?: Decisions holding that plain error doctrine applies only to evidentiary error	11-78
11.49123	Does plain error doctrine apply only to evidentiary error?: Decisions applying plain error doctrine to error other than evidentiary error	11-78
11.49124	Need to adequately develop plain-error argument to have it considered by appellate court	11-78
11.49125	Party cannot invoke plain error doctrine to obtain review of claimed error when he has made deliberate, strategic waiver of that error	11-78
11.49126	Plain error doctrine is reserved for cases where there is likelihood that error has denied defendant a basic constitutional right	11-78
11.49127	Burden is on state to prove that plain error is harmless beyond a reasonable doubt	11-78
11.49128	Determining whether plain error is harmless in particular case	11-79
11.49129	Plain error rule does not permit court to correct error to appealing party's detriment when other party has not filed cross-appeal raising error	11-79
11.4913	Plain error (cont'd)	11-79
11.49131	In federal court, when defendant fails to make contemporaneous objection to violation of plea agreement, "plain error" rule applies and defendant is entitled to relief only he can satisfy rule's requirements (see sec. 7.1554)	11-79
11.49132	In federal court, does "structural error" automatically satisfy prejudice prong of plain- error test?	11-79
11.4914	In interest of justice (e.g., where real controversy has not been fully tried or there has been a miscarriage of justice)	11-79
11.4915	Other circumstances under which waived error will be considered	11-79
11.49151	Constitutional error	11-79
11.49152	Importance of issue or question	11-80
11.4916	Other circumstances under which waived error will be considered (cont'd)	11-80
11.49161	Error affecting subject matter jurisdiction	11-80
11.49162	Issue warrants appellate court's consideration	11-80
11.49163	Where defendant has been convicted of crime that appellate court later decides is beyond legislature's constitutional power to create	11-80
11.49164	When legal question is presented that court of appeals decides to address	11-80

11.49165	When it is in the interest of good judicial administration to do so	11-80
11.49166	Where there are no factual issues that require resolution	11-80
11.49167	When case presents important recurring issue	11-80
11.49168	In interest of judicial economy where matter is of statewide importance or interest	11-80
11.49169	Where state did not argue waiver in trial court and trial court has addressed issue	11-81
11.4917	Other circumstances under which waived error will be considered (cont'd)	11-81
11.49171	Where parties have fully addressed issues and there are no factual issues that need to be resolved	11-81
11.49172	Where consideration of waived error will promote judicial economy by avoiding later ineffective-assistance-of-counsel proceedings	11-81
11.49173	Compelling circumstances	11-81
11.49174	In interest of judicial economy	11-81
11.49175	Issue is inextricably related to issue preserved for appellate review	11-81
11.49176	Question of law	11-81
11.49177	Question of law not dependent upon specific facts of case, which is likely to arise in the future	11-81
11.49178	Strength of social policy implicit in statutory protection alleged denied	11-81
11.49179	Where consideration of waived error would serve proper administration, or best interests, of justice	11-81
11.4918	Other circumstances under which waived error will be considered (cont'd)	11-81
11.49181	Where court would have to decide issue anyway in different context	11-81
11.49182	Issue is of statewide concern	11-81
11.49183	When court of appeals decides to consider issue	11-81
11.49184	Where case presents ongoing legal issue that merits court's attention	11-82
11.49185	Where issue is significant and both parties have fully briefed and argued it on appeal	11-82
11.49186	Where error affects proper relations between circuit court and jury	11-82
11.49187	When error raises question of sufficient public interest and raises question of law that both sides have briefed	11-82
11.49188	Issue involves question of law, has been fully briefed by parties, and is of sufficient public interest to merit decision	11-82
11.49189	When both parties failed to make objections in timely manner, but have fully briefed important substantive issue	11-82
11.4919	Other matters relating to the consideration of waived error	11-82

11.49191	Waiver rule is matter of judicial administration from which appellate court may depart	11-82
11.49192	Limitations on court of appeals' consideration of waived error (see sec. 11.4981)	11-82
11.49193	When error has been waived by lack of objection, normal procedure is to address whether defense counsel afforded ineffective assistance by failing to object	11-82
11.49194	Litigant cannot fairly be held to have waived argument invoking theory or doctrine that, at the time, court of competent jurisdiction had not yet recognized or announced (see sec. 11.4693)	11-82
11.49195	When consideration of waived error will clarify issue of statewide importance	11-82
11.492	Errors that cannot be waived by failure to object or otherwise raise them when they occur	11-82
11.4921	In general	11-82
11.4922	Violation of right to unanimous jury verdict (see sec. 8.68171)	11-83
11.4923	Lack of subject-matter jurisdiction (see sec. 12.127)	11-83
11.4924	Errors that result in conviction of nonexistent crime	11-83
11.493	Effect of strategic waiver	11-83
11.494	Withdrawal of objection	11-83
11.495	Respondent does not waive right to argue particular position on appeal by taking contrary position or failing to argue that position in trial court; respondent may advance for first time on appeal any argument that will sustain trial court's ruling	11-83
11.4951	In general	11-83
11.4952	Respondent does not waive right to argue on appeal that instruction should not have been given by requesting or failing to object to it in trial court (see sec. 8.566)	11-84
11.4953	Waiver does occur if failure to make argument in trial court leaves insufficient record to address issue	11-84
11.4954	Rule does not apply when defendant who obtains suppression of evidence for violation of one constitutional right tries to argue in alternative that evidence should also be suppressed because of violation of different constitutional right never asserted below	11-84
11.4955	Waiver may occur when question involves exercise of discretion and trial court did not have notice that issue was before it and therefore did not address issue	11-84
11.4956	Waiver does occur if failure to make argument in trial court has deprived opposing party of opportunity (1) to remedy defect upon which respondent's argument is based (e.g., failure to make sufficient offer of proof), (2) to present evidence relevant to determining whether basis for affirmance exists, or (3) to cure omission that provides grounds for that basis for affirmance	11-84

11.4957	Rule does not apply when state argues contrary position on appeal simply to obtain remand of case for factual determination that might support trial court's result	11-84
11.496	Other matters	11-84
11.4961	"Invited response" or "invited error" (see sec. 11.462)	11-84
11.4962	If argument made below, appellant does not waive right to raise issue by failure to have cited critical decision in support of that argument	11-84
11.4963	Waiver of right to raise waiver	11-85
11.49631	In general	11-85
11.49632	By failing to object when other party to appeal requests court of appeals to take action related to disputed issue, e.g., staying of appeal until another case addressing issue is decided, remanding case to trial court for proceedings related to issue, etc.	11-85
11.4964	Sufficiency of "standing objection" to preserve error for review	11-85
11.49641	In general	11-85
11.49642	When expert witness is permitted to testify in sexual assault case to common characteristics of sexual assault victim and to consistency of those characteristics with those of victims in case at hand, and when expert presents extensive testimony on those and related subjects, standing objection is insufficient to preserve challenges to Haseltine violations (see sec. 20.8417)	11-85
11.4965	Waiver is not matter of jurisdiction, but rather rule of judicial administration that court, in exercise of discretion, may choose not to employ	11-85
11.4966	Counsel need not object when point at issue is one on which court has just recently ruled	11-85
11.4967	Party does not abandon issue, insofar as supreme court consideration of it is concerned, by conceding in reply brief that party's position has been rejected in binding supreme court precedent (see sec. 11.4722)	11-85
11.4968	Litigant cannot fairly be held to have waived argument invoking theory or doctrine that, at the time, court of competent jurisdiction had not yet recognized or announced (see sec. 11.4693)	11-86
11.4969	To avoid waiving argument on appeal, party must develop argument in principle brief; party cannot preserve argument by asking for opportunity to file supplemental brief making that argument (see sec. 11.3445)	11-86
11.497	Other matters (cont'd)	11-86
11.4971	Judicial estoppel (see sec. 12.623)	11-86
11.4972	If argument made below, appellant does not waive right to raise issue by failure to have cited critical decision in support of that argument	11-86

11.4973	Effect of failure to raise issue on appeal or first sec. 974.06 motion on right to raise it on subsequent sec. 974.06 motion (see secs. 10.243 and 10.244)	11-86
11.4974	Concession on issue of law does not bind appellate court (see sec. 11.89652)	11-86
11.4975	Waiver does not occur when defendant's acquiescence in challenged action is product of Hobson's choice (i.e., defendant forced to make choice constituting waiver)	11-86
11.4976	Waiver rule applies with equal force to state, when state is appellant	11-86
11.4977	If issue raised in trial court, party may be permitted to change position on issue in appellate court	11-86
11.4978	Waiver may not occur if failure to raise issue was result of reasonable but mistaken view of applicable law	11-86
11.4979	Issue raised but not briefed or argued is deemed abandoned	11-86
11.49791	In general	11-86
11.49792	When issue was raised and argued in circuit court, but is not briefed or argued in court of appeals, it is deemed abandoned (see sec. 11.47452)	11-86
11.498	Other matters (cont'd)	11-86
11.4981	Limitations on court of appeals' review of waived error	11-86
11.49811	In general	11-87
11.49812	Error in instructions	11-87
11.4982	Proponent of evidence need not anticipate objection	11-87
11.4983	Party objecting to admission of evidence need not specify rule into which the evidence does not fit	11-87
11.4984	Need to reraise issue or to object at trial after motion in limine made and disposed of at pretrial hearing	11-87
11.49841	Need for maker of motion in limine to reraise issue or to object at trial	11-87
11.49842	Need for opposer of motion in limine to reraise issue or to object at trial	11-87
11.49843	If issue not reraised or objection not made at trial, party is confined to issues presented and arguments made at pretrial hearing on motion in limine; cannot raise new argument or objection based on new matters presented at trial	11-87
11.4985	Purpose, reasons, and justifications for requirement of contemporaneous objection and waiver or forfeiture rule in general	11-87
11.4986	Appellate court will not elevate form over substance when addressing question of waiver	11-88
11.4987	Conceptualizing and labeling "waiver" as "forfeiture"	11-88
11.49871	In general	11-88
11.49872	Difference between "waiver" and "forfeiture"	11-88
11.49873	"Waiver rule" might better be labeled "forfeiture rule"; "forfeit" is more appropriate term than "waive" when party loses right to raise issue on appeal through procedural default	11-88

11.4988	Importance of waiver rule	11-88
11.499	Other matters (cont'd)	11-89
11.4991	When objection to witness's anticipated testimony made outside of presence of jury before witness testifies, objection need not be repeated in presence of jury when witness testifies to preserve claimed error for appeal (see sec. 11.42194)	11-89
11.4992	Citation of additional authority and legal analysis on appeal with respect to argument made in trial court does not constitute new argument or advancement of new theory on appeal that appellate court will deemed waived (see sec. 11.4692)	11-89
11.4993	Effect of decision of International Court of Justice requiring states to consider claim under Vienna Convention on Consular Relations even though it is procedurally barred because of failure of defendant to properly raise or preserve it	11-89
11.4994	Effect of President's Memorandum attempting to enforce decision of International Court of Justice requiring states to consider claim under Vienna Convention on Consular Relations even though it is procedurally barred because of failure of defendant to properly raise or preserve it	11-89
11.4995	Waiver does not occur when error first appears in trial court's decision and state seeks review of that decision at earliest possible time	11-89
11.5	Mootness	11-89
11.51	General Principles	11-89
11.511	In general	11-89
11.512	Federal court has no authority to give opinion on moot question	11-91
11.513	Effect of defendant's death	11-91
11.514	Service of sentence does not moot attack on conviction	11-91
11.515	Effect of appellate court's finding of mootness on decision from which appeal has been taken: should decision be allowed to stand or should it be vacated?	11-91
11.516	Even if parties agree on resolution of issue presented for review, case is not moot if parties continue to seek different relief from court	11-91
11.52	Particular Situations	11-91
11.521	Review of decision to impose monetary condition of release on indigent misdemeanor defendant after decision on appeal moots argument for release while it was pending	11-91
11.522	When trial court has suppressed statement, defendant's complaint that statement should have been suppressed is moot	11-91
11.523	Attack on condition of probation after probation successfully completed	11-91
11.524	Attack on failure to give credit on sentence or its equivalent (e.g., juvenile disposition) for predisposition time spent in custody after sentence or its equivalent has expired	11-92
11.525	Attack on order requiring HIV testing after testing has taken place	11-92

11.526	When defendant contends prosecution for two offenses in single proceeding violates double jeopardy, acquittal of one charge renders issue moot	11-92
11.527	Review of issue of timeliness of denied substitution request, after judge whose removal was requested has been removed from case	11-92
11.528	Review of juvenile court's right to order disclosure of identity of juvenile, after disclosure made	11-92
11.529	Attack on transfer to out-of-state prison when defendant has been returned to in-state prison	11-92
11.53	Particular Situations (cont'd)	11-92
11.531	State's grant of new trial in accord with federal district court's order and subsequent appeal of district court order to circuit court	11-92
11.532	Claim of right to appeal when court of appeals has granted permission to appeal	11-92
11.533	Review of validity of conditions placed on incarcerated defendant pending trial after defendant has been convicted and sent to prison	11-92
11.534	Expiration of sentence when attack is made on revocation of parole	11-92
11.535	Cancellation of controlled substances tax assessment upon which defendant based claim that criminal prosecution for drug offense violated double jeopardy	11-92
11.536	Amendment of statute at issue	11-92
11.537	Settlement of underlying administrative appeal	11-92
11.538	Finding of admissibility of particular evidence moots question whether defendant prejudiced because some jurors became aware of evidence from non-judicial source	11-93
11.539	Review of questions of law arising out of John Doe proceeding when those questions raise moot issues	11-93
11.54	Particular Situation (cont'd)	11-93
11.541	When appeal disposed of on one issue, arguments relating to other issues are rendered moot and appellate court need not address them	11-93
11.542	Where defendant not released from prison as required upon reaching mandatory release date, but defendant released before court is able to address question of propriety of continued confinement	11-93
11.543	Attack on conviction after sentence has been fully served (see sec. 11.514)	11-93
11.544	Where state supreme court has declared public nudity statute unconstitutional and party who ran night club featuring exotic dancing and who was prevailing party in state court closes night club and asks U.S. Supreme Court to declare case moot	11-93
11.545	When party does not have viable claim for relief in judicial proceeding, his or her complaint about failure to honor request for substitution of judge in that proceeding is moot	11-93
11.546	When defendant challenging probation or parole revocation has been discharged from sentence	11-93
11.547	Of attack on juvenile delinquency proceeding after dispositional order has expired	11-93
11.548	Expiration of sentence when defendant is claiming trial court considered improper factor in sentencing	11-93
11.549	Other situations	11-93
11.55	Particular situations (cont'd)	11-94

11.551	Defendant's contention that he was improperly denied right to counsel at plea hearing is rendered moot by appellate court's finding that plea colloquy was defective on other grounds and by subsequent appointment of postconviction counsel	11-95
11.552	When attack is on deferred prosecution agreement in juvenile case and juvenile has violated terms of agreement and case had been returned to court for prosecution	11-95
11.553	When multiple charges or convictions are alleged to be multiplicitous or in violation of double jeopardy, and court vacates conviction on count or counts that are alleged to be multiplicitous or in violation of double jeopardy	11-95
11.554	When defendant is attacking failure to inform him of deportation consequences of plea, but he has served sentence and been deported	11-95
11.555	When individual is challenging revocation of his supervised release under ch. 980, but since initiation of challenge has been granted supervised release	11-95
11.556	Fact that defendant did not recover stolen items does not render moot his argument that plea agreement that conviction would be amended to lesser offense if he recovered stolen items was invalid	11-95
11.557	Juvenile's attack on extension of dispositional order after juvenile turns 18 and is no longer subject to juvenile code	11-95
11.558	Attack by person committed under ch. 980 on state's failure to release him after court ordered supervised release, when court has subsequently found him not suitable for release	11-95
11.559	When appellant no longer desires relief given by lower court	11-95
11.56	Particular situations (cont'd)	11-95
11.561	Attack on trial court's decision regarding amount of reconfinement time defendant must serve after revocation of supervised release, when reconfinement time that court ordered has been served	11-95
11.562	Running of statute of limitations on crime that is subject of John Doe proceeding (see sec. 4.2972)	11-95
11.563	Attack on constitutionality of forfeiture proceeding (e.g., forfeiture of property used in commission of offense) when property has been returned to owner who has mounted attack	11-96
11.6	Standards Of Review	11-96
11.61	Review Of Discretionary Determinations In General	11-96
11.611	General principles	11-96
11.6111	In general	11-96
11.612	Trial court's exercise of discretion must appear in record	11-100
11.6121	In general	11-100
11.6122	When trial court acquiesces in attorney's explanation, but does not expressly articulate reasoning itself, appellate court should conclude that trial court relied on considerations expressed by attorney	11-102
11.6123	Circuit court not required to provide reasons on record for its credibility determinations (see sec. 11.6254)	11-102
11.613	Appellate court's right and duty to search record to determine if discretionary act sustainable	11-102
11.6131	In general	11-102

11.6132	Duty applies even when trial court made error of law in exercising discretion	11-104
11.6133	When trial court has made error that underlies exercise of its discretion, appellate court may not exercise trial court's discretion for it, but must remand to permit trial court to exercise its discretion	11-104
11.6134	When trial court applied wrong legal standard to the facts in exercising its discretion, appellate will not reverse erroneous exercise of discretion if it finds that application of the proper legal standard to the facts supports the trial court's conclusion	11-104
11.614	Discretion improperly exercised when based on error of law, i.e., incorrect interpretation of law	11-104
11.6141	In general	11-104
11.6142	When trial court has applied mistaken view of the law, reversal not required if application of proper legal analysis supports trial court's conclusion (see sec. 11.6163)	11-106
11.6143	When trial court exercises discretion based on misunderstanding of law, appellate court generally remands case to trial court, but may decide issue when there is only one reasonable conclusion regarding issue	11-106
11.615	Trial court's exercise of discretion must be based on facts in record; factual basis for ruling must exist in record	11-106
11.616	Appellate court's power to sustain discretionary decision on basis other than that relied on by trial court	11-106
11.6161	Appellate court may sustain discretionary decision on basis other than that relied on by trial court	11-106
11.6162	Appellate court may not exercise trial court's discretion	11-106
11.6163	When trial court has applied mistaken view of the law, reversal not required if application of proper legal analysis supports trial court's conclusion	11-107
11.6164	When trial court has made error that underlies exercise of its discretion, appellate court may not exercise trial court's discretion for it, but must remand to permit trial court to exercise its discretion (see sec. 11.6133)	11-107
11.6165	When trial court has exercised discretion based on error of law, appellate court will ordinarily remand case to trial court, but may decide issue itself when there is only one reasonable conclusion regarding it	11-107
11.6166	Appellate court's power to affirm ruling on basis not relied upon by trial court in general (see sec. 11.842)	11-107
11.617	Other principles	11-107
11.6171	Discretionary determination will not be overturned on ground not brought to trial court's attention	11-107
11.6172	When judge exceeds his or her powers, it is erroneous exercise of discretion	11-107
11.6173	Court erroneously exercises discretion when discretionary ruling contravenes nondiscretionary statute	11-107
11.6174	Circuit court not required to provide reasons on record for its credibility determinations (see sec. 11.6254)	11-107

11.618	Other principles (cont'd)	11-107
11.6181	Abuse of discretion will not be found where defendant failed to ask trial court to exercise discretion	11-107
11.61811	In general	11-107
11.61812	Defendant not required to ask trial court to exercise discretion when exercise of discretion required as matter of law	11-108
11.6182	Determining whether issue presents question of law or exercise of discretion	11-108
11.6183	When supreme court is reviewing discretionary decision by court of appeals, it applies same standards that apply to review of trial court's exercise of discretion (see sec. 11.2184)	11-108
11.6184	Court erroneously exercises discretion if it does not properly apply law	11-108
11.6185	Discretion improperly exercised when court fails to consider appropriate factors; discretionary decision must be based on relevant factors	11-108
11.6186	Discretion improperly exercised when trial court relies upon irrelevant or immaterial factors	11-108
11.6187	Questions of law that arise in reviewing exercise of discretion are independently reviewed	11-108
11.6188	In exercising discretion, court should give parties full opportunity to state their respective positions	11-108
11.6189	Review of whether trial court applied proper legal standard in exercising discretion	11-108
11.619	Other principles (cont'd)	11-108
11.6191	Abuse-of-discretion standard permits opposite conclusions to be reached on same issue without finding either to be an abuse of discretion	11-108
11.61911	Abuse of discretion standard may permit two courts to reach opposite conclusions on same issue, yet both be sustained	11-108
11.61912	When reviewing discretionary determinations, appellate court will not reverse simply because it would have reached a result different than that reached by trial court	11-109
11.6192	Effect of party's withholding of information vital to exercise of discretion	11-109
11.6193	Exercise of discretion where legislature has identified standards to be applied in exercising discretion	11-109
11.6194	Discretion improperly exercised when based on preconceived policy or fixed notion; coming to same conclusion for same blanket reason in every case despite facts of each case does not constitute exercise of discretion	11-109
11.6195	Trial court does not abuse discretion by failing to consider factor not called to its attention	11-109
11.6196	Terminology used to describe faulty exercise of discretion	11-109
11.6197	Discretion improperly exercised when based on error of fact	11-109

11.6198	Matters that involve what can be broadly labeled "supervision of litigation" are generally within trial court's discretion	11-109
11.6199	In determining whether discretion properly exercised, reviewing court looks to entire record and the totality of the court's remarks	11-110
11.62	Review Of Factual Findings In General	11-110
11.621	General principles	11-110
11.622	Factual findings based on inferences	11-114
11.6221	Where two competing inferences can be drawn from facts, appellate court bound to accept inference drawn by fact finder	11-114
11.6222	Appellate court does not defer to trial court's inferences from document	11-114
11.6223	Appellate court must accept reasonable inferences that trial court draws from evidence	11-114
11.6224	Determining reasonableness of inference is recognized appellate function	11-114
11.6225	If only one reasonable inference is available, drawing of that inference is question of law	11-114
11.6226	Use of "negative inference" to sustain finding of particular factual proposition: fact finder's right to affirmatively conclude that truth is opposite of witness's incredible testimony (see sec. 11.6285)	11-114
11.623	Appellate court's response to trial court's failure to engage in necessary fact finding	11-114
11.6231	General principles (see sec. 11.86)	11-114
11.6232	Assumption that trial court made finding that supports its decision; conclusion that finding of fact is implicit in ruling (see sec. 11.863)	11-114
11.6233	Remand to trial court for fact finding (see sec. 11.862)	11-114
11.6234	Independent determination of facts (see sec. 11.863)	11-114
11.624	What constitutes factual matter	11-115
11.6241	Defendant's state of mind (e.g., intent)	11-115
11.6242	Motivation and intent of law enforcement officers	11-115
11.6243	Motivation and intent of prosecutor	11-115
11.6244	Prosecutor's state of mind (e.g., intent)	11-115
11.625	When trial judge is trier of fact, he or she is sole judge of weight and credibility of testimony; determination of witness's credibility is exclusively for trier of fact; appellate court accepts credibility determinations made by circuit court	11-115
11.6251	In general	11-115
11.6252	Trial court, acting as factfinder, has no obligation to believe everything witness says	11-116
11.6253	When express credibility determination not made, appellate court will assume trial court made determination that is consistent with its fact-finding	11-116
11.6254	Circuit court not required to provide reasons on record for its credibility determinations	11-116

11.6255	Appellate court may upset credibility finding of circuit court only if testimony was incredible as matter of law	11-116
11.626	Other matters	11-116
11.6261	Principles applied when appellate court concludes that trial court failed to make necessary factfinding (see sec. 11.86)	11-116
11.6262	Court must base factual findings and credibility determinations on matters appearing in record; it may not base them on personal observation of events not appearing in record	11-116
11.6263	Circuit court not required to provide reasons on record for its credibility determinations (see sec. 11.6254)	11-116
11.627	Other matters (cont'd)	11-116
11.6271	Deference shown to trial court's finding because it is in better position to resolve factual questions	11-116
11.62711	In general	11-116
11.62712	In reviewing trial court's findings of fact, due regard should be given to opportunity of trial court to judge credibility of witnesses	11-117
11.6272	Findings of historical fact underlying ultimate findings of constitutional fact are reviewable under clearly erroneous standard (see sec. 11.646)	11-117
11.6273	Fact finder's right to reject part of witness's testimony and accept balance of it	11-117
11.6274	Consideration of trial court's comments in later proceeding (e.g., sentencing) in determining whether earlier finding (e.g., finding of guilt when trial court was acting as fact finder at trial) is sustainable	11-117
11.6275	Trial court is sole arbiter of credibility of expert testimony and is free to accept or reject expert testimony	11-117
11.62751	In general	11-117
11.62752	Fact finder's right to reject expert testimony, even when uncontradicted	11-117
11.62753	When expert testimony is based on representations that trial court found not credible	11-117
11.6276	Deference due trial court findings when they are based on documentary evidence (e.g., affidavit)	11-117
11.62761	Generally not accorded deference	11-117
11.62762	Accorded deference when parties effectively invited fact finding based on affidavits	11-117
11.6277	Legal characterization of facts (see sec. 11.6393)	11-117
11.6278	Meaning of word in document (e.g., transcript) that is not dependent on factfinder's appraisal of demeanor or credibility is question of law, not fact, to be determined independently by appellate court	11-117
11.6279	When underlying facts are undisputed, is existence of ultimate fact a legal question reviewed de novo or a factual question reviewed deferentially	11-118
11.628	Other matters (cont'd)	11-118

11.6281	Limitations on review of state court findings in federal habeas corpus proceeding are not necessarily applicable to state appellate court's review (see sec. 11.661)	11-118
11.6282	Although trial court failed to make express finding on credibility, failure to grant relief reflects implicit adverse finding	11-118
11.6283	Trier of fact may not resort to facts not in evidence to reach conclusion	11-118
11.6284	Appellate court may not substitute its own credibility determinations for those made by trial court	11-118
11.6285	Use of "negative inference" to sustain finding of particular factual proposition: fact finder's right to affirmatively conclude that truth is opposite of witness's incredible testimony	11-118
11.6286	Trier of fact may not base findings on conjecture or speculation	11-118
11.6287	Former "great weight and clear preponderance of the evidence" standard is essentially the same as current "clearly erroneous" standard	11-118
	11.62871 In general	11-118
	11.62872 Cases which apply the "great weight and clear preponderance" test may be referred to for an explanation of the "clearly erroneous" standard of review since the two tests are essentially the same	11-118
11.6288	When appellate court is in as good a position as trial court to determine question of fact, review is de novo	11-118
11.6289	Factfinder may not rely on evidence that is incredible as a matter of law	11-118
11.629	Related matters	11-119
	11.6291 General principles relating to trial court's fact-finding (see sec. 12.794)	11-119
11.63	Review Of Rulings And Questions Of Law In General	11-119
	11.631 General principle: review is de novo [i.e., independent of conclusion reached by lower court]	11-119
	11.632 Review of application of law to undisputed facts; where facts are undisputed, question of law is presented that is reviewed de novo	11-124
	11.633 Application of law to facts	11-125
	11.634 Whether party has met or satisfied burden of proof	11-125
	11.635 Application of set of facts to appropriate legal standard; application of facts to law	11-125
	11.636 Review of questions of law relating to statutory issues	11-125
	11.6361 Review of trial court's construction of statute (see sec. 45.411)	11-125
	11.6362 Review of application of statute to found facts (see sec. 45.412)	11-125
	11.6363 Review of application of statute to undisputed facts	11-125
	11.6364 Question whether defendant's statutory right violated	11-125
	11.6365 Question whether statute meets constitutional standard	11-125
11.637	Determining whether question of law is presented	11-125

11.6371	Determining whether issue presents question of law or exercise of discretion (see sec. 11.6182)	11-125
11.6372	Whether an issue presents a question of fact or a question of law is itself a question of law	11-125
11.638	Other matters	11-125
11.6381	Determination of burden of proof with respect to particular issue presents question of law that appellate court reviews independently	11-126
11.6382	Reasonableness is question of law	11-126
11.6383	Prejudice is question of law	11-126
11.6384	Determining whether facts fulfill common-law standard presents question of law	11-126
11.6385	Determination of which jurisdiction's law to apply in resolving admissibility of evidence	11-126
11.6386	Interpretation of prior case law presents question of law that appellate court reviews de novo	11-126
11.639	Other matters (cont'd)	11-126
11.6391	Question whether facts meet appropriate legal standard	11-126
11.6392	Review of question whether proper legal standard was applied; choosing what legal standard to apply	11-126
11.6393	Legal characterization of facts	11-126
11.6394	Whether defect is fundamental or technical is question of law that appellate court reviews de novo	11-126
11.6395	Appellate review of question of law is not ordinarily governed by burden of persuasion	11-126
11.63951	In general	11-126
11.63952	In reviewing questions of law, "clear and convincing evidence" standard ordinarily has no role to play; that standard is directed to trier of fact in circuit court	11-126
11.6396	Meaning of word in document (e.g., transcript) that is not dependent on factfinder's appraisal of demeanor or credibility is question of law, not fact, to be determined independently by appellate court (see sec. 11.6278)	11-127
11.6397	Whether facts amount to prejudice requiring new trial is matter of law	11-127
11.6398	Question of judicial authority is question of law that appellate court reviews de novo	11-127
11.6399	Determining what legal rules to apply involves question of law	11-127
11.64	Review Of Constitutional Issues, Questions Of Constitutional Law, And Questions Of Constitutional Fact	11-127
11.641	In general	11-127
11.642	Questions of constitutional fact	11-128
11.6421	In general	11-128
11.6422	Whether defendant has been denied due process is question of constitutional fact that appellate court reviews de novo	11-130
11.643	Constitutionality of statute (see sec. 47.491)	11-130
11.644	Application of constitutional provisions, principles and standards to undisputed or established facts	11-130

11.645	Application of constitutional principles to set of facts or facts of case	11-130
11.646	Findings of historical fact underlying ultimate findings of constitutional fact are reviewable under clearly erroneous standard	11-131
11.647	Determination whether facts establish constitutional violation	11-131
11.648	Other issues and questions	11-131
11.6481	Application of constitutional principles in general	11-131
11.6482	Application of constitutional principles to facts as found	11-131
11.6483	Interpretation of constitutional provision (see sec. 21.511)	11-131
11.6484	Determination of proper remedy for constitutional violation	11-131
11.6485	Determining whether particular action of court (e.g., ordering compulsory mental examination) is constitutionally permissible	11-131
11.6486	Findings of fact underlying constitutional decision	11-132
11.649	Other issues and questions	11-132
11.6491	Whether historical or evidentiary facts satisfy relevant constitutional standard or requirement	11-132
11.6492	Whether facts fulfill legal standard	11-132
11.6493	Whether constitutional right to fair trial was violated	11-132
11.6494	Determination whether defendant's constitutional rights have been violated; determination whether individual has been denied constitutional right	11-132
11.6495	Application of facts to constitutional standards and principles	11-132
11.6496	Application of undisputed facts to constitutional standards and principles	11-132
11.6497	Question whether constitutional protection has been violated	11-133
11.6498	Reconciling constitutional considerations with requirements of statute	11-133
11.6499	Review of question of constitutional law	11-133
11.65	Standards Of Review Of Particular Findings, Rulings And Decisions	11-133
11.66	Other matters	11-133
11.661	Limitations on review of state court findings in federal habeas corpus proceeding are not necessarily applicable to state appellate court's review	11-133
11.662	Principles and standards for determining when deference is due trial court's decision	11-133
11.6621	In general	11-133
11.6622	Deference unnecessary where trial court not in "better position" to decide question than appellate court	11-133
11.663	Standards for granting supreme court review of court of appeals' decision (see sec. 11.213)	11-133
11.7	Harmless Error	11-133
11.71	General Principles	11-133
11.711	In general	11-133
11.712	Factors to be considered in determining whether error harmless	11-140
11.7121	In general	11-140
11.7122	Strength of evidence of guilt (see secs. 11.717 and 11.7589)	11-140

11.7123	Whether erroneously admitted evidence merely duplicates untained evidence	11-140
11.7124	Whether content of erroneously excluded evidence was effectively communicated to jury by other means	11-140
11.7125	Totality of credible evidence supporting verdict	11-140
11.7126	Whether issue to which error relates was contested	11-140
11.713	Burden of proof on question of harmless error	11-140
11.7131	General rule: Burden of establishing harmlessness of error is on beneficiary of error	11-140
11.7132	Exceptions to general rule	11-141
11.71321	Where error occurs in determining whether defendant entitled to in camera review for purpose of determining whether he or she is entitled to disclosure of evidence	11-141
11.7133	Burden is on state to prove that plain error is harmless beyond a reasonable doubt (see sec. 11.49127)	11-141
11.7134	When multiple errors occur, it is state's burden to prove that errors are, in their cumulative effect, harmless and not prejudicial	11-141
11.714	Comparison of test for harmless error and other analogous tests	11-141
11.7141	Comparison of test for harmless error and test for prejudice under Strickland standards (i.e., prejudice prong of test for ineffective assistance of counsel)	11-141
11.7142	Comparison of test for harmless error and standard for granting new trial in interest of justice (see sec. 11.7571)	11-141
11.715	Harmless error rule that is applicable in federal habeas corpus proceeding (see sec. 10.696)	11-141
11.716	In making harmless-error determination, appellate court weighs effect of inadmissible evidence against totality of credible evidence supporting verdict	11-141
11.717	When other evidence of guilt is "overwhelming," erroneous admission of evidence may be harmless	11-142
11.7171	In general	11-142
11.7172	When strong DNA evidence supports conviction	11-142
11.718	Other matters	11-142
11.7181	When evidence is needlessly duplicative and cumulative in character, its erroneous exclusion may be harmless (see sec. 11.7585)	11-142
11.7182	Harmless error test for constitutional error and for non- constitutional error are the same	11-142
11.7183	Fact that evidence other than that wrongfully admitted was sufficient to convict does not render error in admitting evidence harmless	11-142
11.7184	Does harmless error rule apply in capital cases?	11-142
11.71841	In general	11-142
11.71842	When jury instruction omits element that renders defendant subject to capital punishment (see sec. 11.73623)	11-142
11.7185	Error may be prejudicial as to one or more counts, but harmless as to other count(s)	11-142

11.7186	Standard of appellate review of trial court's finding of harmless error	11-142
11.7187	In determining whether error is prejudicial, should court consider all errors committed, i.e., cumulative effect of all errors committed?	11-142
11.7188	Court will not reverse evidentiary ruling unless it is prejudicial to adverse party	11-142
11.719	Other matters (cont'd)	11-142
11.7191	Interplay of Wis. Stat. § 901.03 and Wis. Stat. § 805.18(2) in harmless-error analysis of evidentiary decisions	11-142
11.7192	Error in admitting evidence is harmless when evidence is irrelevant to offense of which defendant was convicted	11-143
11.7193	Appellate review of harmless error presents question of law that appellate court reviews de novo	11-143
11.7194	Reviewing court must consider strength of untainted evidence	11-143
11.7195	Reviewing court must weigh effect of inadmissible evidence against totality of credible evidence	11-143
11.7196	Test for harmless error on appeals taken pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 971.31(10) (i.e., when defendant pleads guilty, but appeals denial of suppression motion) (see sec. 7.4272)	11-143
11.7197	Formulation of harmless-error test that error is harmless if it is clear beyond reasonable doubt that jury would have found defendant guilty absent error is not substantially different from formulation that asks whether there is reasonable possibility that error contributed to conviction	11-143
11.7198	In determining whether error is harmless, reviewing court considers entire record (i.e., totality of the circumstances)	11-143
11.72	Particular Applications Of Harmless-Error Rules	11-143
11.73	Applicability Of Harmless-Error Rule To Particular Errors	11-151
11.731	General principles	11-151
11.7311	In general	11-151
11.7312	Applicability of harmless-error analysis to constitutional error	11-151
11.73121	Constitutional errors are generally subject to harmless-error analysis	11-151
11.73122	But "structural" errors are not subject to harmless-error analysis	11-152
11.7313	Harmless-error rule generally applicable to erroneous admission of evidence	11-152
11.732	Particular errors	11-152
11.7321	Admission of statement illegally obtained from defendant	11-152
11.73211	Involuntary statement (see sec. 2.26)	11-152
11.73212	Statement taken in violation of Miranda: in general (see sec. 2.1911)	11-152
11.73213	Statement taken in violation of Miranda: violation of Edwards rule (see sec. 2.19112)	11-152
11.73214	Confession obtained in violation of right to counsel (see sec. 2.3551)	11-152

11.73215	Errors committed at hearing on defendant's motion to suppress statement (see sec. 2.6294)	11-152
11.7322	Denial of right to counsel	11-152
11.73221	In general (see sec. 25.571)	11-152
11.73222	Improper joint representation of codefendants (see sec. 25.574)	11-152
11.73223	Denial of counsel at preliminary hearing (see sec. 25.1423)	11-152
11.73224	Denial of counsel at communications between court and jurors (see sec. 25.575)	11-152
11.73225	Absence of counsel from voir dire examination of potential jurors (see sec. 25.576)	11-152
11.73226	Denial of right to counsel of one's own choosing (see sec. 25.5191)	11-153
11.7323	Denial of right to confrontation (see sec. 27.441)	11-153
11.7324	Denial of right to present a defense (see sec. 28.65)	11-153
11.7325	Instruction that improperly describes or allocates burden of proof	11-153
11.73251	Instruction containing presumption that shifts burden of proof or persuasion to defendant or relieves state of obligation to prove each element beyond a reasonable doubt (see sec. 20.28)	11-153
11.73252	Instruction that places burden of proof on defendant (see sec. 30.337)	11-153
11.73253	Instruction that inadequately defines reasonable doubt (see sec. 30.352)	11-153
11.73254	Error in instructions that does not require jury to find each element of crime under the proper standard of proof	11-153
11.7326	Denial of right to self-representation (see sec. 30.47)	11-153
11.7327	Failure to give lesser included offense instruction when warranted	11-153
11.73271	In general (see sec. 31.36)	11-153
11.73272	Statute improperly forbidding trial court's giving of a jury instruction on a lesser-included offense in a capital case	11-153
11.7328	Improper joinder	11-153
11.73281	Of defendants (see sec. 6.154)	11-153
11.73282	Of offenses (see sec. 6.164)	11-153
11.7329	Other errors	11-153
11.73291	Denial of right to impartial judge (see sec. 30.56)	11-153
11.73292	Admission of evidence obtained in violation of Fourth Amendment (see sec. 1.9988)	11-153
11.73293	Denial of right to public trial (see sec. 30.74)	11-154
11.733	Particular errors (cont'd)	11-154
11.7331	Failure to poll jurors individually after return of verdict	11-154
11.73311	In general	11-154

	11.73312	Reassembling jury and conducting individual poll to render harmless trial court's initial error in denying or failing to conduct poll when required (see sec. 8.6864)	11-154
	11.7332	Defects in grand jury proceedings	11-154
	11.7333	Trial before fact finder with financial stake in outcome	11-154
	11.7334	Systematic exclusion of members of defendant's race from grand jury	11-154
	11.7335	Ex parte communication between judge and a juror	11-154
	11.7336	Failure to give requested instruction on drawing no adverse inference from defendant's failure to testify	11-154
	11.7337	Judge's communication with jury during deliberations in absence of defendant and counsel	11-154
	11.7338	Introduction of evidence of, improper reference to, or comment on, defendant's silence	11-154
	11.7339	Trial court's failure to make Mayday determination whether defendant entitled to his expert's examination of witness (e.g., victim) with respect to whom state's expert has presented testimony	11-154
11.734		Particular errors (cont'd)	11-155
	11.7341	Repeated prosecutorial misconduct	11-155
	11.7342	Federal magistrate's improper presiding at jury selection	11-155
	11.7343	Denial of constitutional right to notice of charge	11-155
	11.7344	Witherspoon violation	11-155
	11.7345	Appointment of private attorney to handle contempt action for benefit of attorney's client	11-155
	11.7346	Denial of qualified right to a public preliminary examination	11-155
	11.7347	Improper direction of verdict on element of crime	11-155
	11.7348	Adjudication of guilt by wrong or unauthorized fact-finding entity	11-155
	11.7349	Insufficiency of evidence to convict	11-155
11.735		Particular errors (cont'd)	11-155
	11.7351	Failure to conduct timely preventive detention hearing under federal act	11-155
	11.7352	Jury's improper consideration of invalid aggravating circumstance in capital case	11-155
	11.7353	Improper admission of other crimes or acts evidence (see secs. 14.358 and 14.361)	11-155
	11.7354	Improper but unanswered question (see sec. 20.364)	11-155
	11.7355	Failure to poll jurors individually after return of verdict	11-155
	11.73551	In general	11-155
	11.73552	Reassembling jury and conducting individual poll to render harmless trial court's initial error in denying poll (see sec. 8.6864)	11-156
	11.7356	Failure to swear jury prior to receipt of evidence	11-156
	11.7357	Failure to inform pleading defendant of immigration consequences of plea	11-156
	11.7358	Unconstitutionally overbroad jury instruction at sentencing stage of capital case	11-156

11.7359	Admission of evidence at sentencing stage of capital case in violation of right to counsel	11-156
11.736	Particular errors (cont'd)	11-156
11.7361	Jury instruction misstating, or otherwise deficient with regard to or improperly instructing jury on, element of offense	11-156
11.7362	Jury instruction omitting element of offense	11-156
11.73621	In general	11-156
11.73622	Failure to instruct on element of offense where, at trial, defendant admits that element (see sec. 26.445)	11-157
11.73623	When element omitted in capital case (in particular, element that subjects defendant to death penalty), does harmless error rule apply?	11-157
11.73624	Failure to submit to jury, and to require finding beyond reasonable doubt, fact that increases penalty for crime beyond prescribed statutory maximum (see sec. 11.7395)	11-157
11.7363	Denial of defendant's right to be present at all stages of trial	11-157
11.73631	In general (see sec. 8.8115)	11-157
11.73632	During communications between court and jurors (see sec. 8.81152)	11-157
11.7364	Failure to instruct the jury on the presumption of innocence	11-157
11.7365	Admission of identification evidence in violation of right to counsel	11-157
11.7366	Admission of out-of-court statement of a nontestifying co-defendant in violation of right to counsel	11-157
11.7367	Denial of right to self-representation at trial	11-157
11.7368	Constitutionally deficient reasonable doubt instruction (see sec. 30.352)	11-157
11.7369	Denial of right to testify in one's own defense (see sec. 30.628)	11-157
11.737	Particular errors (cont'd)	11-157
11.7371	Failure to disclose exculpatory evidence (see sec. 6.8171)	11-157
11.7372	Errors in sentencing process (see sec. 9.957)	11-157
11.7373	Failure to give instruction on defense when warranted (see sec. 40.155)	11-157
11.7374	Denial of right of allocution (see sec. 9.1344)	11-157
11.7375	Errors in instructions in general	11-158
11.7376	Claim that instruction that correctly stated legal principle violated defendant's constitutional rights by misleading jury	11-158
11.7377	Erroneous exclusion of defendant's testimony regarding the circumstances of his confession	11-158
11.7378	Failure to inform pleading defendant of immigration consequences of plea (see sec. 7.2182)	11-158
11.7379	Discovery violations (see sec. 6.8996)	11-158
11.738	Particular errors (cont'd)	11-158
11.7381	Instruction misstating, or otherwise deficient with regard to, the defendant's defense	11-158

11.7382	Trial court's erroneous grant of state's motion to strike prospective juror for cause (see sec. 8.182)	11-158
11.7383	Inaccurate information in presentence report (see sec. 9.2332)	11-158
11.7384	Exclusion of evidence that deprived defendant of necessary element of defense (see sec. 28.652)	11-158
11.7385	Racial discrimination in selection of grand jury	11-158
11.7386	Denial of defendant's statutory right to peremptory challenges (see sec. 8.1555)	11-158
11.7387	Erroneous refusal to permit defendant to correct mistaken exercise of peremptory challenge to prospective juror (see sec. 8.1598)	11-158
11.7388	Instruction that improperly tells jury to accept judicially noticed fact as conclusively proven	11-158
11.7389	Denial of right to jury trial (see sec. 26.4977)	11-158
11.739	Particular errors (cont'd)	11-158
11.7391	Lack of timely notice of violations in revocation of supervised release in sexual predator (ch. 980) proceeding (see sec. 10.76813)	11-158
11.7392	Failure to comply with statutory procedures in preparation of jury list (e.g., clerk's failure to strike juror from jury list who indicated on juror qualification form that he or she did not understand English language)	11-159
11.7393	Defects in process by which determination whether to disclose identity of informer is made	11-159
11.7394	Ex parte issuance of order (see sec. 12.69842)	11-159
11.7395	Failure to submit to jury, and to require finding beyond reasonable doubt, fact that increases penalty for crime beyond prescribed statutory maximum	11-159
11.7396	Error in sending exhibit to jury during deliberations (see sec. 8.6251)	11-159
11.7397	Admission of evidence that should have been excluded because its admission violated privilege against self-incrimination (see sec. 24.891)	11-159
11.7398	Error in instructing jury on basis or theory of liability for crime because that basis or theory of liability is (a) not supported by sufficient evidence to convict or (b) constitutionally or otherwise invalid, when evidence sufficient to support another basis or theory of liability that was properly considered by trier of fact	11-159
11.7399	Other particular errors	11-159
	11.73991 Denial of right to trial before impartial jury	11-159
	11.73992 Denial of right to trial before impartial judge (see sec. 30.57)	11-159
11.74	Curing Error Through Instructions (see sec. 8.541)	11-159
11.75	Other Matters	11-159
11.751	Failure to object as indication of seriousness of error	11-159
11.752	Legislative interference with right of judiciary to determine when error is harmless	11-160

11.753	Refusal to apply harmless error rule in order to discipline prosecutor for misconduct	11-160
11.754	Reversal when error harmless, as disciplinary measure (see sec. 11.753)	11-160
11.755	Application of harmless error rule when defendant pleads guilty	11-160
11.756	In considering whether to reverse, court should take into account victim's concerns	11-160
11.757	Comparison of test for harmless error with other tests or standards	11-160
11.7571	With standard for granting new trial in interest of justice	11-160
11.7572	With prejudice prong of test for ineffective assistance of counsel (see sec. 11.7141)	11-160
11.758	Other matters	11-160
11.7581	Applicability of civil statutory harmless-error rule (Wis. Stat. § 805.18(2)) to criminal cases	11-160
11.7582	Relationship of Wis. Stat. § 805.18, to harmless error test created by case law (see sec. 11.7186)	11-160
11.7583	When necessary predicate to prosecutor's action ultimately established, there is no harm in prosecutor's action occurring before necessary predicate established	11-160
11.7584	When evidence admitted at hearing at which court is trier of fact is admissible for one purpose, but not for another purpose, it may be assumed that trial court used evidence for proper purpose	11-160
11.7585	Finding exclusion of evidence harmless because evidence is needlessly duplicative and cumulative in character	11-161
11.7586	In assessing whether evidence was overwhelming, and therefore error was harmless, may consider fact that jury deliberated only a short time after multi-day trial	11-161
11.7587	Statutory harmless-error rule found in criminal code (Wis. Stat. § 971.26)	11-161
11.75871	In general	11-161
11.75872	Does not apply to failure to comply with statutory obligation to inform defendant who is pleading guilty of deportation consequences of plea	11-161
11.7588	Harmless error rule is injunction on courts that courts are required to address even if parties do not	11-161
11.7589	In determining whether error is harmless, court may consider strength of untainted evidence	11-161
11.759	Other matters (cont'd)	11-161
11.7591	Applicability of harmless error rule to appeals taken pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 971.31(10) (i.e., when defendant pleads guilty, but appeals denial of suppression order)	11-161
11.7592	Standard of appellate review of trial court's finding of harmless error (see sec. 11.7186)	11-161
11.7593	In determining whether error is prejudicial, should court consider all errors committed, i.e., cumulative effect of all errors committed? (see sec. 11.7187)	11-161
11.7594	Appellate review of harmless error presents question of law that appellate court reviews de novo (see sec. 11.7193)	11-161

11.8 Other Appellate Matters	11-161
11.81 Reversal In Interest Of Justice	11-161
11.811 In general	11-162
11.812 On ground real controversy not fully tried	11-165
11.8121 In general	11-165
11.8122 Not limited to evidentiary error	11-167
11.8123 No need to show likelihood of different result on retrial	11-167
11.8124 When alleged ground for reversal occurred at suppression hearing	11-167
11.8125 Defendant not entitled to new trial on ground real controversy not fully tried because of failure to raise defense to criminal charge when failure to raise defense was result of defendant's decision to proceed without counsel (see sec. 11.8194)	11-167
11.8126 Defendant not entitled to new trial on ground real controversy not fully tried because certain evidence was excluded when exclusion of evidence was proper	11-167
11.8127 Defendant not entitled to new trial on ground real controversy not fully tried because defense-requested instruction not given when denial of instruction was proper	11-167
11.8128 This ground does not allow defendant to obtain new trial in attempt to present different defense than one presented by competent counsel, which failed to persuade jury	11-167
11.8129 Other matters	11-167
11.81291 Argument that can be framed under ineffective assistance of counsel may also support motion for new trial because real controversy was not fully tried (see sec. 11.8182)	11-167
11.81292 Reversal on ground real controversy not fully tried based on error or deficiency in jury instruction	11-168
11.813 On ground of miscarriage of justice	11-168
11.8131 In general	11-168
11.8132 Need to show likelihood of different result on retrial	11-168
11.8133 When alleged basis for reversal occurred during suppression hearing	11-168
11.8134 Defense counsel's misadvising defendant regarding potential imprisonment on lesser offense to which state is ready to accept a plea does not warrant new trial in interest of justice when misinformation is corrected before trial	11-168
11.814 Reversal based on post-conviction affidavit of person asserting responsibility for crime	11-168
11.815 Appellate review of grant or denial of reversal in the interest of justice	11-169
11.8151 Court of appeals' review of trial court's denial of new trial in interest of justice	11-169
11.8152 Supreme court's review of court of appeals' denial of new trial in interest of justice	11-169
11.8153 Supreme court's review of court of appeals' grant of new trial in interest of justice	11-169

11.816	Is reversal in interest of justice authorized on appeal from denial of sec. 974.06 motion? (see sec. 10.287)	11-169
11.817	Comparison of test for harmless error and standard for granting new trial in interest of justice (see sec. 11.7571)	11-169
11.818	Situations to which power of discretionary reversal not intended to apply	11-169
11.8181	Power of discretionary reversal not intended to enable defendant to present alternative defense or pursue different strategy at new trial when defense presented or strategy pursued at first trial fails	11-169
11.8182	May defendant seek discretionary reversal so as to circumvent requirements of ineffective-assistance-of-counsel claim when request for discretionary reversal is based on deficiencies that are attributable to defense counsel and that could be basis for claiming ineffective assistance of counsel?	11-169
11.81821	In general	11-169
11.81822	Is power of discretionary reversal intended to enable defendant to circumvent requirements of ineffective-assistance-of-counsel claim when counsel is alleged to have been ineffective for failure to pursue particular defense?	11-169
11.819	Other matters	11-169
11.8191	Seeking new trial in interest of justice by aggregating individual errors previously claimed but rejected by appellate court; "zero plus zero equals zero"	11-170
11.8192	Source of right to reverse in interest of justice	11-170
11.81921	In general	11-170
11.81922	Supreme court has both inherent and statutory power to grant new trial in interest of justice	11-170
11.8193	In assessing whether interest of justice requires reversal, may consider that evidence was overwhelming as evidenced by short time jury deliberated after multi-day trial	11-170
11.8194	Defendant not entitled to new trial in interest of justice because of failure to raise defense to criminal charge when failure to raise defense was result of defendant's decision to proceed without counsel	11-170
11.8195	When defendant has asked trial court for new trial in interest of justice, but request has been denied, court of appeals does not apply deferential standard of review to trial court's denial of request but rather exercises its own sound discretion to determine whether reversal in interest of justice is required	11-170
11.8196	Discretion to grant new trial in interest of justice should be exercised sparingly and with great caution	11-170
11.8197	Court will exercise discretion to grant new trial in interest of justice "only in exceptional cases"	11-170
11.8198	Need to develop new-trial-in-interest-of-justice argument to have it considered by appellate court	11-171
11.8199	Other matters	11-171

11.81991	On appeal from denial of § 974.06 motion, does supreme court have authority to remand to circuit court for motion for postconviction relief based upon interest of justice? (see sec. 10.288)	11-171
11.81992	Court of appeals' and supreme court's discretionary reversal powers are coterminous	11-171
11.81993	Power of discretionary reversal is plenary and not necessarily restrained by other possible means of relief	11-171
11.81994	Court's right to exercise power to reverse in interest of justice sua sponte without request from party	11-171
11.81995	Remand to trial court for motion for postconviction relief in interest of justice (see sec. 11.876)	11-171
11.81996	Reversal of jury's rejection of defendant's insanity defense at responsibility phase of bifurcated trial	11-171
11.81997	Power of discretionary reversal used sparingly and with great caution	11-171
11.82	Appellate Court Limited To And Bound By Record	11-171
11.821	In general	11-171
11.822	When factual assertions of briefs are not disputed by parties, appellate court may accept them as true (see sec. 11.8642)	11-172
11.823	Appellate court's consideration of document not part of record	11-172
11.824	Consideration of document included in party's brief that is not part of record when opposing party does not dispute accuracy and/or authenticity of document (see sec. 11.3412)	11-172
11.83	Appellate Court's Response To Litigant's Utilization Of Wrong Remedy	11-172
11.831	In general	11-172
11.832	Treating notice of appeal as invocation of court's supervisory jurisdiction (see sec. 11.146)	11-172
11.833	Treating petition for supervisory writ as appeal (see sec. 11.147)	11-172
11.834	Treating notice of appeal as petition for leave to appeal nonfinal order (see sec. 11.1192)	11-172
11.835	Treating petition for leave to appeal nonfinal order as notice of appeal (see sec. 11.1272)	11-172
11.836	Treating notice of appeal from nonfinal order as notice of appeal from final judgment of conviction (see sec. 11.1194)	11-172
11.837	Treating petition for writ of habeas corpus as petition for writ of certiorari	11-173
11.838	Treating untimely sec. 809.30 (i.e., sec. 974.02) motion as sec. 974.06 motion (see sec. 10.112)	11-173
11.839	Treating notice of appeal from decision as appeal from final judgment incorporating decision (see sec. 11.1195)	11-173
11.84	Affirmance Of Conviction Or Ruling On Basis Not Considered Or Relied On Below	11-173
11.841	Affirmance of conviction on basis other than that considered by trier of fact; conviction can stand only if evidence was sufficient to convict on theory or mode of commission presented to jury	11-173

11.842	Affirmance of ruling on basis rejected by, not considered by, or not relied upon by, court below	11-173
11.8421	In general	11-173
11.8422	Respondent may not seek affirmance of ruling on basis not presented to trial court when failure to do so has prevented opposing party from presenting evidence relevant to determining whether that basis for affirmance exists or curing omission that provides grounds for that basis for affirmance (see sec. 11.4956)	11-176
11.8423	Failure to argue particular purpose for admission of other acts evidence in trial court may bar state on appeal from relying on that purpose to justify admission of evidence	11-176
11.8424	Refusal to affirm action (e.g., imposition of restitution under restitution statute) based on alleged alternative authority for action (e.g., imposition of restitution as condition of probation) when trial court did not invoke that authority for its action	11-176
11.8425	When appellate court affirms on other grounds, it need not discuss grounds on which trial court relied	11-176
11.85	Principles Of Appellate Adjudication Of Constitutionality Of Statutes (see sec. 47.4)	11-176
11.86	Principles Relating To Necessary Fact Finding; Appellate Court's Response To Trial Court's Failure To Make Findings Of Fact	11-176
11.861	In general	11-176
11.862	Remand to trial court for fact finding	11-176
11.8621	In general	11-176
11.8622	When trial court has held hearing on matter, but has not made necessary finding of fact	11-177
11.8623	Remand not appropriate to allow party to do what he should have done before	11-177
11.8624	Court of appeals is not fact-finding court (see sec. 11.8691)	11-177
11.863	Appellate court's independent determination of factual issues	11-177
11.8631	In general	11-177
11.8632	Power of appellate court to decide issues of fact	11-177
11.86321	In general	11-177
11.86322	Appellate court may not resolve issue of intent	11-177
11.86323	Court of appeals is without jurisdiction to make factual findings	11-177
11.8633	Appellate court may assume facts, reasonably inferable from record, in manner that supports trial court's decision	11-178
11.864	Fact-finding implicit in trial court's decision and jury's verdict	11-178
11.8641	Assumption that trial court determined factual issues in support of its decision	11-178
11.86411	In general	11-178
11.86412	Appellate court may assume facts, reasonably inferable from record, in manner that supports trial court's decision (see sec. 11.8633)	11-178
11.8642	Jury impliedly finds underlying facts if those facts are necessary to ultimate fact of guilt or innocence	11-178
11.865	Establishing facts by alleging them in briefs	11-178

11.8651	General rule: briefs by counsel do not establish facts	11-178
11.8652	Undisputed factual assertions made in parties' briefs may be accepted by appellate court as true	11-178
11.8653	Where state does not challenge defendant's assertion, appellate court may accept it as true	11-178
11.866	Establishing facts by drawing inferences	11-179
11.8661	Appellate court bound to accept inference that supports fact- finder's conclusion	11-179
11.8662	When two competing inferences can be drawn from facts, appellate court bound to accept inference drawn by fact finder (see sec. 11.6221)	11-179
11.8663	Use of "negative inference" to sustain finding of particular factual proposition: fact finder's right to affirmatively conclude that truth is opposite of witness's incredible testimony (see sec. 11.6285)	11-179
11.8664	Determining reasonableness of inference is recognized appellate function (see sec. 11.6224)	11-179
11.8665	If only one reasonable inference is available, drawing of that inference is question of law (see sec. 11.6225)	11-179
11.8666	In reviewing trial court's decision, appellate court will assume facts, reasonably inferable from record, in manner that supports that decision	11-179
11.867	When record incomplete, facts essential to sustain trial court's decision will be assumed; assumption that missing material supports trial court's ruling	11-179
11.868	Conclusion that finding of fact is implicit in trial court's ruling	11-179
11.869	Other principles	11-179
11.8691	Court of appeals is not fact-finding court	11-180
11.8692	Circuit court's silence as to particular allegations supports finding that circuit court did not find evidence supporting those allegations credible	11-180
11.8693	In absence of specific findings, appellate court may search record to determine whether evidence supports trial court's decision	11-180
11.87	Appellate Remedies Other Than New Trial	11-180
11.871	Remand for retrospective determination of issue	11-180
11.872	Resolution of issue by trial court based on record already created	11-180
11.873	Remand for further consideration of issue	11-180
11.8731	In general	11-180
11.8732	Remand for new suppression hearing on defendant's appeal from denial of suppression motion after entry of guilty plea pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 971.31(10)	11-180
11.87321	Relief to which defendant is entitled if trial court reaffirms denial of suppression motion after new hearing (see sec. 7.428)	11-180
11.874	Remand for new hearing on issue	11-180
11.8741	In general	11-180
11.8742	When judge at original hearing held on issue in trial court was not impartial	11-180

11.875	Appellate court determination of issue when trial court in no better position than appellate court to decide issue	11-180
11.876	Modifying judgment of conviction of greater offense on which evidence insufficient to judgment of conviction of lesser offense on which evidence is sufficient (see sec. 19.577)	11-180
11.877	Remand to trial court for motion for postconviction relief in interest of justice	11-181
11.8771	Authority to grant	11-181
11.87711	In general	11-181
11.87712	Authority to grant sua sponte when defendant does not request remand	11-181
11.8772	Test for granting	11-181
11.8773	Appropriateness of granting in particular case	11-181
11.878	Remand for resolution of issues not considered by trial court because such consideration was not necessary in view of its decision, but now is necessary because of appellate court's reversal of that decision	11-181
11.879	Other remedies	11-181
11.8791	Remedies for errors in sentencing (see sec. 9.94)	11-181
11.8792	When appellate court reaches conclusion that has effect of negating plea bargain (e.g., when it sets aside conviction on one offense to which defendant pled guilty pursuant to plea bargain), remedy is rescission of entire plea agreement, reinstatement of original charges, and remand for further proceedings on them (see sec. 7.1985)	11-181
11.8793	When trial court applied wrong legal standard, case may be remanded to trial court to decide issue under proper legal standard	11-181
11.8794	Remand for determination whether there is sufficient foundation establishing relevancy of evidence and whether probative value is substantially outweighed by countervailing factors when trial court has summarily and wrongfully excluded evidence without making that determination	11-181
11.8795	When conviction and sentence on one count of multi-count judgment of conviction set aside, need for, and propriety of, remand for resentencing on remaining counts (see sec. 9.446)	11-181
11.8796	Remand for necessary fact finding (see sec. 11.861)	11-181
11.8797	Remand for hearing on constitutional validity of jury waiver is not remedy for failure to obtain personal waiver by defendant; new trial is required	11-182
11.8798	When judge at original hearing held on issue in trial court was not impartial, remedy is remand for new hearing (see sec. 11.8742)	11-182
11.88	United States Supreme Court Review Of State Court And Lower Federal Court Decisions	11-182
11.881	Jurisdiction of Supreme Court	11-182
11.8811	In general	11-182
11.8812	Jurisdictional requirements	11-182
11.88121	Requirement of case or controversy	11-182

11.88122	Requirement that federal question be presented	11-182
11.8813	Review of decision based on an independent and adequate state ground	11-182
11.88131	In general	11-182
11.88132	State court's enforcement of procedural default rule normally constitutes independent and adequate state ground precluding supreme court review	11-183
11.8814	Review of decision interpreting state statute	11-183
11.8815	Requirement that federal question presented was raised and decided in the state court below (see sec. 11.8821)	11-183
11.8816	Requirement that decision below be "final judgment or decree"	11-183
11.88161	Requirement in general	11-183
11.88162	Exceptions to requirement in general	11-183
11.88163	Particular exception: Decision suppressing state's evidence may be final if, as practical matter, decision will not be reviewable after final disposition of case	11-184
11.88164	Particular exception: Case in which federal claim has been finally decided, with further proceedings on merits in state court to come, but in which later review of federal issue cannot be had, whatever ultimate outcome of case	11-184
11.8817	Effect of untimely filing of petition for certiorari on Court's jurisdiction	11-184
11.88171	In general	11-184
11.88172	When petition is untimely under limit set by Court rule	11-184
11.88173	When petition is untimely under limit set by statute	11-184
11.8818	Jurisdiction to grant original writ of habeas corpus	11-184
11.88181	Limitations on this jurisdiction imposed by Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996	11-184
11.8819	Other jurisdictional issues and matters	11-184
11.88191	No jurisdiction to review court of appeals' exercise of "gatekeeping" function in case of successive petition for habeas corpus: constitutionality of depriving Supreme Court of this jurisdiction	11-184
11.88192	Review of denial of application for certificate of appealability in federal habeas corpus proceeding	11-184
11.88193	Court has jurisdiction when state court's interpretation of state law has been influenced by accompanying interpretation of federal law	11-184
11.88194	Supreme court does not have supervisory jurisdiction over state courts	11-184
11.88195	Review of non-justiciable political question	11-184

11.88196	There is no jurisdictional bar to consideration of conviction of particular count even though sentence on that count is concurrent to sentence on another count that is not challenged or that survives challenge (see sec. 11.8928)	11-185
11.88197	Supreme Court has jurisdiction over decision of Court of Appeals for Armed Forces that granted relief, even if relief is only partial and case was remanded to lower court for further proceedings	11-185
11.882	Issues considered	11-185
11.8821	Issues not presented to state court or lower federal court	11-185
11.88211	In general	11-185
11.88212	Issue must have been presented to state court as federal constitutional claim: in general	11-185
11.88213	Issue must have been presented to state court as federal constitutional claim: citation in state court brief, in support of argument made in state court, of state decision that cited state decision that cited Supreme Court decision regarding issue at hand is not sufficient to present federal claim	11-185
11.8822	Issue not presented or mentioned in petition for certiorari	11-185
11.8823	Issue not embraced within issues upon which certiorari granted	11-186
11.8824	Issue not fairly included within question presented in petition for certiorari	11-186
11.88241	In general	11-186
11.88242	To be fairly included within question presented in petition for certiorari, it is not enough that issue was discussed in petition for certiorari	11-186
11.8825	Issue not fairly included in question Supreme Court formulated in its grant of certiorari	11-186
11.8826	Issue not mentioned in response to petition for certiorari	11-186
11.8827	Issues waived in state court	11-186
11.88271	In general	11-186
11.88272	Issue waived in state court will be considered if last state court in which review could be had considered merits of claim	11-186
11.8828	Attempt to create new constitutional rule in collateral proceeding will not be considered unless rule would be applied retroactively to all defendants on collateral review	11-186
11.8829	Other issues	11-186
11.88291	Issue not whose resolution is assumed in question presented in petition for certiorari to which respondent makes no objection	11-186
11.88292	Claim not presented by decision below	11-187
11.88293	Issues neither raised nor resolved below	11-187
11.88294	Issue not decided below because case disposed of on other ground	11-187
11.883	Disposition or decision	11-187
11.8831	Effect of denial of certiorari	11-187

11.8832	Effect of dismissal for want of substantial federal question	11-187
11.8833	Determining rule of law from supreme court plurality decision	11-187
11.8834	Disposition when party dies while case pending	11-187
11.8835	Effect of joinder of five justices in portion of opinion	11-187
11.8836	Granting certiorari, vacating judgment below, and remanding to lower court without reaching merits ("GVR")	11-187
11.8837	Effect of refusal to address argument	11-187
11.8838	Dismissal of writ of certiorari as improvidently granted	11-187
11.884	Other matters	11-187
11.8841	Presumption that state court applied correct standards	11-187
11.8842	Appellate court's right to decide case on basis not presented in parties' briefs	11-187
11.8843	Abstention (see sec. 12.84)	11-187
11.8844	Certification to state court of question of state law upon which determination of constitutional issue may turn	11-188
11.8845	In reviewing state court decision, Supreme Court must recognize state's right to serve as laboratory for rest of country	11-188
11.8846	Denial to litigant of right to seek leave to proceed in forma pauperis	11-188
11.8847	Supreme court bound by state court's determinations of state law	11-188
	11.88471 In general	11-188
	11.88472 Supreme Court has no authority to construe language of state statute more narrowly than construction given by state's highest court	11-188
11.8848	Petition for certiorari may not be filed in case in which the United States is interested without approval of solicitor general	11-188
11.8849	Standard of review of state court's findings and ruling	11-188
11.885	Other matters (cont'd)	11-188
11.8851	Compensation for counsel appointed to represent defendant in Supreme Court proceedings	11-189
11.8852	Consideration in deciding whether to grant certiorari	11-189
11.8853	Relieving counsel of obligation imposed by circuit court rule to file petition for certiorari, when no meritorious issue exists for certiorari review	11-189
11.8854	Granting certiorari, vacating judgment below, and remanding case for further consideration	11-189
11.8855	Effect of petitioner's death on case in which petition for certiorari has been granted	11-189
11.8856	Supreme Court's authority is limited to enforcing commands of constitution; court does not hold supervisory power over state courts	11-189
11.8857	United States Supreme Court normally follows lower federal-court interpretations of state law	11-189
11.8858	Right to counsel, and indigent's right to appointment of counsel, on Supreme Court's review of state court decision (see secs. 11.89267 and 25.175)	11-189

11.886	Other matters (cont'd)	11-189
11.8861	Time for filing petition for certiorari	11-189
11.88611	In general	11-189
11.88612	Effect of untimely filing on court's jurisdiction (see sec. 11.8817)	11-189
11.8862	Appellant's motion to vacate decision below and dismiss cause as moot when appellant no longer desires relief given by lower court	11-189
11.89	Other Matters	11-189
11.891	Precedential value of appellate decisions and opinions	11-189
11.8911	Decision of United States Supreme Court	11-189
11.89111	In general	11-189
11.89112	Decision of fragmented United States Supreme Court with no single rationale explaining the result (see sec. 11.9535)	11-190
11.89113	Decision not based on United States Constitution (see sec. 11.8921)	11-190
11.89114	Fact that decision has been subject to scholarly criticism does not negate its controlling nature	11-190
11.89115	When there is no majority opinion, the narrower holding controls	11-190
11.8912	Decision of lower federal court (including federal court of appeals)	11-190
11.89121	In general	11-190
11.89122	When decision is vacated as moot, it lacks precedential value	11-190
11.8913	Decision of Wisconsin Supreme Court	11-190
11.89131	Court of appeals bound to follow decisions of Wisconsin Supreme Court (see sec. 11.917)	11-190
11.89132	Circuit courts bound to follow decisions of Wisconsin Supreme Court	11-191
11.89133	Determining which to follow when Wisconsin Supreme Court decisions are in conflict: court must follow more recent decision	11-191
11.89134	Determining which to follow when Wisconsin Supreme Court decision is in conflict with later United States Supreme Court decision (see sec. 11.9172)	11-191
11.89135	Determining what is decision of Wisconsin Supreme Court when lead opinion is joined in by less than majority of court (see sec. 11.89172)	11-191
11.8914	Published decision of Wisconsin Court of Appeals	11-191
11.89141	In general	11-191
11.89142	Where decision has been vacated by supreme court	11-191
11.89143	Where decision has been reversed or overruled by supreme court, but not with respect to holding on which litigant relies; does court of appeals' holding not specifically reversed or overruled by supreme court retain precedential value?	11-191

11.89144	Deciding which to follow when prior precedent of Wisconsin Court of Appeals conflicts with Wisconsin Supreme Court decision	11-192
11.89145	Deciding which to follow when prior decisions of court of appeals are in conflict	11-192
11.89146	Court of appeals' right and/or authority to overrule prior decision (see sec. 11.8973)	11-192
11.89147	Court of appeals' right and/or authority to withdraw dictum from earlier decision	11-192
11.89148	When statute changes law decreed by decision (see sec. 11.946)	11-192
11.89149	When petition for supreme court review of decision has been filed and case is presently being held by supreme court awaiting disposition of case at hand	11-192
11.8915	Published decision of Wisconsin Court of Appeals (cont'd)	11-192
11.89151	When petition for supreme court review of decision has been accepted by supreme court	11-192
11.89152	Supreme court may withdraw or disavow language in decision of court of appeals even though that decision is not the one being reviewed	11-192
11.89153	Precedential value of decision of court of appeals that conflicts with another decision of court of appeals	11-192
11.8916	Decisions from other jurisdictions	11-192
11.89161	In general	11-192
11.89162	Decision of International Court of Justice	11-193
11.89163	Wisconsin Court of Appeals not required to apply decision from other jurisdiction, even when it is on point and Wisconsin law is unclear on subject	11-193
11.89164	Citation in Wisconsin decision to decision from other jurisdiction does not elevate decision from other jurisdiction to binding precedent	11-193
11.8917	Other decisions and opinions	11-193
11.89171	Concurring opinion, dissenting opinions, or concurring and dissenting opinions, joined in by majority of court on particular point of law (see sec. 11.89391)	11-193
11.89172	Lead opinion joined in by less than majority of court	11-193
11.89173	Decision based on concession by one of the parties	11-193
11.89174	Decision predating enactment of criminal code	11-193
11.89175	Dissenting opinion (see sec. 11.922)	11-193
11.89176	Decision relying not on substantive analysis of law, but rather on party's failure to cite legal support for argument, is not persuasive	11-193

11.89177	When appellate court is evenly split on issue, neither lead opinion nor concurring opinion(s) establishes precedent on issue	11-193
11.89178	Concurring opinion, not joined in by majority of court	11-193
11.8918	Principles generally applicable in determining precedential value of appellate decision or opinion or particular statement in decision or opinion	11-194
11.89181	Value when issue resolved by decision was not fully briefed	11-194
11.89182	Fact that legislature enacted statute that changes law decreed by appellate decision does not mean that decision was incorrect or that decision has been overruled for purposes of judicial precedent (see sec. 11.946)	11-194
11.89183	Value when issue resolved at one point in opinion is explicitly left unresolved at another point in opinion	11-194
11.89184	When there is no majority opinion, the narrower holding controls	11-194
11.89185	Value when issue resolved by decision was not subject of analysis by court	11-194
11.89186	Value of assumption made in deciding case: assumptions are not holdings	11-194
11.892	Other matters	11-194
11.8921	Except for United States Supreme Court decisions dealing with constitutional matters, decisions of federal courts, including United States Supreme Court, are not controlling or binding on state courts	11-194
11.89211	In general	11-194
11.89212	United States Supreme Court decision not based on federal constitution	11-194
11.8922	State's right to appeal when case removed to federal court	11-195
11.8923	Disposition of appeal when defendant dies (see sec. 11.8938)	11-195
11.8924	Public defender's right to be provided with trial court record, including transcript, or copy thereof	11-195
11.89241	In general	11-195
11.89242	Right to copy of presentence report	11-195
11.8925	Defendant has no constitutional right to compel attorney to argue all nonfrivolous issues that defendant wishes to press on appeal	11-195
11.8926	Indigent's right to counsel on appeal	11-195
11.89261	In general	11-195
11.89262	Provision of legal services by public defender to prosecute appeals in habeas corpus and other post-conviction actions	11-196
11.89263	Referral to public defender for appellate representation	11-196
11.89264	No-merit (i.e., Anders) procedure and reports (see sec. 11.8945)	11-196

11.89265	On sex predator's appeal from denial of petition for supervised release	11-196
11.89266	On petition for Wisconsin Supreme Court review of court of appeals' decision	11-196
11.89267	On petition for United States Supreme Court review of state appellate court's decision	11-196
11.89268	On first tier appellate review of conviction based on guilty plea, when such review is discretionary	11-196
11.8927	Indigent's right to copy of transcript at public expense (see sec. 23.311)	11-196
11.8928	Concurrent sentence doctrine	11-196
11.8929	Invocation of appellate court's supervisory power	11-196
11.89291	In general (see secs. 11.14, 11.24, and 11.25)	11-196
11.89292	To discipline prosecutor for misconduct by reversal of conviction even when error harmless (see sec. 11.8936)	11-196
11.893	Other matters (cont'd)	11-196
11.8931	Appellate review of lower court's decision denying or granting preliminary injunction	11-196
11.89311	In general	11-197
11.89312	Reviewing court has power on appeal to examine merits of case and upon deciding them in favor of defendant to dismiss case	11-197
11.8932	In reviewing decision on suppression motion, appellate court may consider evidence adduced at trial or other proceeding in addition to that adduced at suppression hearing	11-197
11.89321	In general	11-197
11.89322	Appellate court may not overturn suppression ruling based on evidence that was not part of record at suppression hearing	11-197
11.8933	Exhaustion of administrative remedies doctrine	11-197
11.8934	What it means when appellate court decides that rule is "a matter of state law"	11-197
11.8935	Respective functions of court of appeals and supreme court	11-197
11.89351	In general	11-197
11.89352	Court of appeals is error-correcting, not law-declaring, court (see sec. 11.927)	11-197
11.89353	Supreme court is law-declaring court	11-198
11.8936	Dismissal of prosecution, reversal of conviction, or suppression of evidence as a disciplinary measure to preserve the integrity of the judicial process and/or deter illegality on part of prosecutor or police	11-198
11.8937	Effect of supreme court's refusal to grant bypass on certification by court of appeals (see sec. 11.223)	11-198
11.8938	Effect of defendant's death during pendency of appeal	11-198
11.89381	In general	11-198
11.89382	When defendant commits suicide	11-198

11.8939	Precedential value of concurring opinions, dissenting opinions, or concurring and dissenting opinions that agree on particular point of law	11-198
11.89391	When joined in by majority of court	11-199
11.89392	When made part of majority opinion	11-199
11.894	Other matters (cont'd)	11-199
11.8941	Prosecutor may not increase charges on retrial in retaliation for defendant's successful appeal (see sec. 22.8144)	11-199
11.8942	Requirement that appellate court consider sufficiency of evidence even though other errors warranting reversal are found (see sec. 29.4412)	11-199
11.8943	Disposition of appeal when majority of court finds error, but there is no majority on particular error (see sec. 11.364)	11-199
11.8944	No-merit (i.e., Anders) procedure and report	11-199
11.89441	Nature of, and procedures relating to, in general	11-199
11.89442	Constitutionality of Wisconsin's no-merit procedure	11-199
11.89443	Constitutionality of California's no-merit procedure (which does not require counsel to explicitly state that appeal would be frivolous or to request to withdraw)	11-199
11.89444	Not required on appeals in which defendant has no constitutional right to counsel (e.g., an appeal from the denial of a sec. 974.06 motion)	11-200
11.89445	Requirement that appointed counsel file no-merit brief and that appellate court examine record to determine whether counsel's evaluation of case was sound	11-200
11.89446	Defendant's right to know about no-merit alternative to closing file without taking appeal	11-200
11.89447	Ineffective assistance of counsel in relation to no-merit procedure (see sec. 25.392)	11-200
11.89448	No need for formal motion to withdraw when file closed because of no merit to appeal (see sec. 6.9525)	11-200
11.89449	In case of sex predator seeking review of denial of petition for supervised release	11-200
11.8945	No-merit (i.e., Anders) procedure and report (cont'd)	11-200
11.89451	Defendant's options upon being informed by counsel that appeal would have no merit	11-200
11.89452	Requirement that defendant exercise one of his options when counsel informs defendant that appeal would have no merit	11-200
11.89453	Counsel's right to terminating representation without filing no-merit report when defendant consents to closing of file without further court action	11-200
11.89454	Need to inform defendant that when counsel terminates representation without filing no-merit report, SPD will not appoint successor counsel	11-201

11.89455	No-merit procedure necessarily implicates merits of appeal; no-merit procedure produces merits-based decision	11-201
11.89456	Defendant's right to be provided with copy of transcript and circuit court case record	11-201
11.89457	Counsel not required to file partial no-merit report when counsel identifies arguably meritorious issue that defendant does not want to pursue, but also concludes that no other non-frivolous grounds are present to support appeal	11-201
11.89458	Counsel's right to file motion to withdraw when counsel finds no merit to appeal, but defendant does not want no-merit report filed	11-201
11.8946	No-merit (i.e., Anders) procedure and report (cont'd)	11-201
11.89461	Defendant's right of access to presentence report for purpose of responding to no-merit report (see sec. 9.1926)	11-201
11.89462	Defendant's right to file response to no-merit report	11-201
11.89463	No-merit procedure set out un Wis. Stat. § 809.32 applies not only to counsel appointed by state public defender, as specifically referenced in that section, but also to counsel appointed by circuit court	11-201
11.8947	Stare decisis (adherence to precedent)	11-201
11.89471	In general	11-201
11.89472	Stare decisis has special force in area of statutory interpretation where legislature is free to alter construction court has placed on statute	11-202
11.89473	Stare decisis cannot be used to justify continuance of unconstitutional police practice	11-202
11.89474	Fact that decision has proved "unworkable" is traditional ground for overruling it	11-202
11.8948	Appellate court's consideration of other issues raised by appellant after finding reversible error on one of the issues	11-202
11.8949	When appeal disposed of on one issue, other issues need not be addressed; only dispositive issue needs to be addressed by appellate court	11-202
11.89491	In general	11-202
11.89492	But other issues briefed on appeal may be considered if they are likely to recur on remand even though other issues are dispositive of the appeal	11-205
11.89493	When issue is disposed of in manner that removes basis for other issue or issues raised, other issue or issues will not be addressed	11-205
11.895	Other matters (cont'd)	11-205
11.8951	Waiver of right to appeal by pursuing other remedy	11-205
11.8952	Substitution of judge after remand on appeal (see sec. 6.617)	11-205
11.8953	Judicial estoppel (see sec. 12.623)	11-205

11.8954	Presumption that trial judges know law and apply it in making their decisions	11-205
11.8955	Analyzing and interpreting appellate decisions (see sec. 11.953)	11-205
11.8956	Parties cannot confer jurisdiction on appellate court	11-205
11.8957	In fashioning remedy for defect in trial proceedings, appellate court should consider interests of victim	11-205
11.896	Other matters (cont'd)	11-206
11.8961	Deciding which to follow when prior precedent in conflict	11-206
11.89611	In general	11-206
11.89612	When supreme court decisions conflict, court of appeals will apply most recent one	11-206
11.8962	State's confession of error	11-206
11.89621	In general	11-206
11.89622	Appellate court's responsibility to independently review record and law even when error is confessed	11-206
11.8963	Extension of time limits set by appellate rules	11-206
11.8964	Effect of equally divided court	11-206
11.89641	In general	11-206
11.89642	When trial court's decision is being reviewed by supreme court on certification or bypass	11-206
11.89643	On question whether to accept notice of voluntary dismissal	11-207
11.8965	Effect of party's concession	11-207
11.89651	In general	11-207
11.89652	Concession by party on issue of law does not bind appellate court	11-207
11.8966	Assumption that trial court made requisite finding on issue necessary to support judgment	11-207
11.8967	Effect of trial court error with respect to one of several reasons given for reaching its decision	11-207
11.8968	No need for retrial of entire case when error found only in second phase of bifurcated trial (see sec. 8.79931)	11-207
11.8969	Need for retrial of entire case, including second phase, when error found during first (i.e. guilt) phase of bifurcated trial (see sec. 8.7998)	11-207
11.897	Other matters (cont'd)	11-207
11.8971	Effect of constitutional invalidity of one basis upon which guilty verdict may have been predicated when evidence sufficient to support another basis of liability (see sec. 21.54)	11-207
11.8972	Effect of insufficiency of evidence as to one basis upon which guilty verdict may have been predicated when evidence sufficient to support another basis of liability (see sec. 19.551)	11-207
11.8973	Court of appeals has no power or authority to overrule, modify, or withdraw language from its prior decisions; court of appeals bound by its prior decisions	11-208
11.89731	In general	11-208

11.89732	What court of appeals should do if it believes prior decision wrongfully decided	11-209
11.89733	What court of appeals should do when it is confronted with decision that appears to have overruled, modified, or withdrawn language from earlier decision	11-209
11.89734	When prior decision is inconsistent with controlling Wisconsin Supreme Court precedent, court of appeals is not obliged to follow it	11-209
11.89735	When prior decision has been reversed on other grounds	11-209
11.89736	Rule forbidding court of appeals' overruling of prior decision applies to decisions overruling prior decision that were handed down before rule was announced; such decisions are invalid	11-209
11.89737	Rule forbidding court of appeals' overruling of prior decision applies even when decision is in conflict with another decision of court of appeals	11-209
11.89738	Rule forbidding court of appeals' modifying language does not preclude (1) recognition that definition of statutory term adopted in prior decision was not complete and (2) modification of that definition to provide complete definition of term	11-209
11.8974	An appellate court "is not a performing bear"	11-209
11.8975	Rule prohibiting citation of unpublished decision of Wisconsin Court of Appeals	11-210
11.89751	In general	11-210
11.89752	Not violated by citation of unpublished opinion in petition for supreme court review to show conflict between court of appeals' decisions	11-210
11.89753	Not violated by citation of unpublished decision to illustrate potential fact situation	11-210
11.89754	Not violated by citing unpublished opinion from another jurisdiction	11-210
11.89755	Not violated by citation of unpublished decision to establish "law of the case"	11-210
11.89756	Violated by court of appeals' opinion discussing prior unpublished decision and concluding that it was wrongly decided	11-210
11.89757	Imposition of monetary sanction for violation of rule	11-210
11.8976	Res judicata (see sec. 12.6973)	11-210
11.8977	Denial of litigant of right to seek leave to proceed in forma pauperis	11-210
11.898	Other matters (cont'd)	11-210
11.8981	Effect on appeal of loss of reporter's notes (see sec. 11.3322)	11-210
11.8982	Trial court's obligation to take action required by appellate court on remand	11-211

11.8983	Defendant's right to be provided with transcript of testimony and other proceedings	11-211
11.8984	Effect of reversal of conviction based on guilty plea on benefits obtained in exchange for plea (see sec. 7.4994)	11-211
11.8985	Appellate court should dispose of appeal on narrowest possible ground	11-211
11.8986	Court of appeals' response to party's refusal to defend trial court's ruling	11-212
11.8987	Conflict in case law best resolved by supreme court	11-212
11.8988	Modification of judgment or order appealed from	11-212
	11.89881 Modifying judgment of conviction of greater offense on which evidence insufficient to judgment of conviction of lesser offense (see sec. 19.577)	11-212
11.8989	Relief available, on appeal from conviction, for errors committed at preliminary examination (see secs. 6.485 and 6.486)	11-212
11.899	Other matters (cont'd)	11-212
	11.8991 United States Supreme Court's determination of extent of federal constitutional right (see sec. 21.14)	11-212
	11.8992 Appellate court's right to consider sua sponte authorities not cited and grounds of decision not raised, or not briefed by both parties, and to dispose of appeal on basis of those authorities or grounds	11-212
	11.89921 In general	11-212
	11.89922 Need to provide opportunity for further briefing by parties in such a situation	11-212
	11.89923 Related matter: appellate court's consideration of issue not raised on appeal and grant of relief to detriment of appealing party based on resolution of that issue when other party has not filed cross-appeal (see sec. 11.974)	11-213
11.8993	Distinction between right to review and right to relief	11-213
11.8994	Appellate court will not reach constitutional question unnecessarily	11-213
11.8995	Effect of defendant's fugitive status on appeal	11-213
	11.89951 When defendant is appellant (see sec. 11.9151)	11-213
	11.89952 When defendant is respondent	11-213
11.8996	Appellate court engaging in de novo review of question of law not limited to considering precedents cited to or by lower court, but should use its full knowledge of all relevant precedents	11-213
11.8997	Effect of failure to contest assertion of error or argument in opponent's brief: failure to contest is deemed concession that opponent is correct	11-213
	11.89971 In general	11-213
	11.89972 Arguments in one side's brief that are not responded to, disputed, or refuted in other side's brief are deemed admitted or conceded	11-213

11.89973	Party's failure to contest opponent's assertion of error will be deemed concession by party that trial court erred in respect specified	11-214
11.89974	If respondent does not refute assertion made by appellant, respondent is considered to have acquiesced to it	11-214
11.89975	When respondent does not refute appellant's argument or position, appellate court may assume it is conceded	11-214
11.89976	Respondent on appeal cannot complain if propositions of appellant that respondent does not undertake to refute are taken as confessed	11-214
11.89977	Propositions are taken as confessed or conceded when party does not refute or dispute them	11-214
11.89978	Argument asserted by respondent and not disputed by appellant in reply brief is taken as admitted	11-214
11.89979	Appellant's failure to reply to argument in respondent's brief is deemed concession that respondent is correct	11-214
11.8998	Defendant's right to proceed both by counsel and pro se on appeal	11-214
11.89981	In general	11-214
11.89982	Right to raise issues pro se in trial court during pendency of appeal in which defendant is represented by counsel (see sec. 10.1692)	11-214
11.8999	Effect of conflict between transcript of proceedings and written document, e.g., judgment	11-215
11.9	Other Appellate Matters (cont'd)	11-215
11.91	Other Matters	11-215
11.911	Review of denial of pretrial suppression motion when guilty plea entered or when defendant found NGI (see sec. 7.42)	11-215
11.912	Review of trial errors during guilt phase of insanity trial when defendant found NGI (see sec. 8.797)	11-215
11.913	Dictum	11-215
11.9131	In general	11-215
11.9132	Holding is not rendered "unnecessary to the result" simply because there were several grounds for result	11-216
11.914	Requirement that defendant be notified of appeal rights at sentencing (see sec. 9.182)	11-216
11.915	Dismissal of appeal when defendant absconds	11-216
11.9151	When defendant absconds while appeal pending	11-216
11.9152	When defendant absconds before appeal while case is pending in lower court	11-216
11.9153	Constitutionality of dismissing appeal because defendant absconded after conviction when there is no demonstrated adverse effect on appellate process	11-216
11.9154	When juvenile absconds from residential treatment center in which he has been placed (see sec. 42.782)	11-216

11.9155	Supreme court's dismissal of petition for review as improvidently granted when defendant absconds (see sec. 11.21933)	11-217
11.916	Jurisdiction of trial court after appeal taken (see sec. 12.1298)	11-217
11.917	Court of appeals bound by precedents established by supreme court; court of appeals has no power to overrule supreme court's decisions	11-217
11.9171	In general	11-217
11.9172	May deviate from precedent when precedent is based on interpretation of federal law no longer in accord with subsequent decisions of the United States Supreme Court	11-218
11.91721	In general	11-219
11.91722	Decision from United States Supreme Court must be subsequent to decision of Wisconsin Supreme Court	11-219
11.9173	Deciding which to follow when prior precedent in conflict (see sec. 11.89133)	11-219
11.9174	When court of appeals decision conflicts with supreme court decision, court of appeals must follow the latter	11-219
11.9175	Court of appeals has no power to overrule, modify, or withdraw language from, previous supreme court decision	11-219
11.918	Retroactivity of appellate decisions (see sec. 47.2)	11-219
11.919	Law of the case (see sec. 47.86)	11-219
11.92	Other Matters (cont'd)	11-219
11.921	Proper role of court of appeals with respect to arguments not made by defendant (see sec. 11.172)	11-219
11.922	Value of dissenting opinion	11-219
11.923	Need for and determination of defendant's competency during appellate process (see sec. 11.396)	11-219
11.924	Effect of appellate court's finding error or ordering reversal with respect to one count in multi-count prosecution	11-219
11.9241	Effect of reversal on one count on remaining counts: "retroactive misjoinder"	11-219
11.92411	In general	11-219
11.92412	No waiver of claim of "retroactive misjoinder" by failure to move to sever counts prior to trial	11-220
11.9242	Determining when finding of error directly related to one count of which defendant was convicted requires reversal of other counts	11-220
11.925	Effect of state's concession of error	11-220
11.926	Determining rule of law from plurality decision	11-220
11.927	Court of appeals is error-correcting court; principle function of court of appeals is correction of error	11-220
11.928	Majority of participating judges must have agreed on particular point for it to be considered opinion of court	11-220
11.929	Defendant's right to counsel on appeal (see sec. 25.173)	11-220
11.93	Other Matters (cont'd)	11-220
11.931	Interpretation of rules of appellate procedure	11-220
11.9311	Use of judicial council committee notes to rules (see sec. 45.3346)	11-220

11.932	When appellate court reaches conclusion that has effect of negating plea bargain (e.g., when it sets aside conviction on one offense to which defendant pled guilty pursuant to plea bargain), remedy is rescission of entire plea agreement, reinstatement of original charges, and remand for further proceedings on them (see sec. 7.1985)	11-220
11.933	Limitations on appellate court's resolving issue that is not in fact raised by case	11-220
11.9331	Necessity of ripeness before appellate court will address issue; appellate court will not resolve claim based on hypothetical or future events	11-220
11.9332	Courts act only to determine actual controversies, not to announce principles of law or render purely advisory opinions	11-221
11.934	Dismissal of petition for review as improvidently granted (see sec. 11.2193)	11-221
11.935	Sanctions for violations of appellate rules (see sec. 11.393)	11-221
11.936	Defendant, not counsel, must decide whether to file an appeal	11-221
11.937	Appellate court's duty to independently inquire as to its jurisdiction	11-221
11.938	Appellate court cannot develop party's argument; it must resolve issues independently and cannot serve as both advocate and judge	11-221
11.939	Supreme court may overrule, modify, or withdraw language from published decisions of the court of appeals	11-221
11.94	Other Matters (cont'd)	11-221
11.941	Absolute candor with appellate court is essential to proper appellate practice	11-221
11.942	Court should not reach constitutional issues where resolution of other issues disposes of appeal	11-221
11.943	Appeal from portion of judgment brings entire judgment before appellate court (see sec. 11.175)	11-221
11.944	Appellate court generally does not decide issues not raised on appeal	11-221
11.945	Wisconsin appellate decision in particular criminal prosecution cannot be "overruled" by federal habeas corpus decision arising out of that prosecution	11-222
11.946	Fact that legislature enacted statute that changes law decreed by appellate decision does not mean that decision was incorrect or that decision has been overruled for purposes of judicial precedent	11-222
11.947	Defendant's constitutional rights relating to appeal	11-222
11.9471	Right to appeal (see sec. 11.957)	11-222
11.9472	Right to counsel on appeal (see sec. 25.173)	11-222
11.9473	Right to proceed pro se on appeal	11-222
11.9474	Right to be present during appellate proceedings	11-222
11.9475	Indigent's right to counsel on appeal (see sec. 11.8926)	11-222
11.948	Remand to court of appeals for disposition of issues needing resolution after supreme court decides primary issue presented	11-222
11.949	Matters that may be raised after appeal filed	11-222
11.9491	Appeal may be taken after imposition of sentence upon revocation of probation, but appeal is limited to challenge to sentence and will not reach underlying conviction or defects other than those surrounding sentencing after revocation (see sec. 11.3172)	11-222

11.95	Other Matters (cont'd)	11-222
11.951	Issue of appellate jurisdiction is question of law that appellate court reviews de novo	11-222
11.952	When appellate record is incomplete, appellate court will assume missing material supports trial court's ruling (see sec. 11.866)	11-222
11.953	Analyzing and interpreting appellate decisions	11-222
11.9531	Rule announced in prior decisions not necessarily limited to particular factual situation in which it has been applied	11-222
11.9532	Converse of statement in opinion is not necessarily true	11-222
11.9533	Assumption that decision uses words in their ordinary, everyday sense	11-223
11.9534	Determining what questions have been resolved	11-223
11.9535	Where United States Supreme Court decides case with no single rationale explaining result	11-223
11.9536	Adoption of standard or rule (e.g., ABA standard) does not adopt commentary to standard; formal adoption of commentary necessary to render commentary the law	11-223
11.9537	Majority of participating judges must have agreed on particular point for it to be considered opinion of court (see sec. 11.928)	11-223
11.9538	Statement in appellate decision regarding issue never briefed is not a holding	11-223
11.9539	Other matters	11-223
11.95391	Determining what constitutes dicta in appellate decisions (see sec. 11.913)	11-223
11.95392	Effect on resolution of issue at one point in opinion of fact that elsewhere in opinion court states it is not resolving that issue	11-223
11.95393	"Cassandra-like predictions in dissent are not a sure guide to the breadth of the majority's ruling"	11-223
11.95394	Appellate courts may look to briefing in published opinions to determine facts or arguments made (see sec. 11.974)	11-223
11.954	Appellant must appeal from denial of motion for reconsideration of order from which appeal has been taken in order to raise on appeal issues raised by motion for reconsideration (see sec. 11.183)	11-223
11.955	Appellate courts do not address speculative arguments	11-223
11.956	Citation of unpublished decisions	11-224
11.9561	Wisconsin rule prohibiting citation of unpublished decisions of Wisconsin Court of Appeals (see sec. 11.8975)	11-224
11.9562	Rules in other jurisdictions prohibiting citation of unpublished decisions	11-224
11.95621	In general	11-224
11.95622	Seventh Circuit decisions	11-224
11.957	Defendant's constitutional right to appeal	11-224
11.9571	Right to appeal	11-224
11.95711	In general	11-224
11.95712	Federal constitution imposes no obligation on states to provide appellate review of criminal convictions	11-224

	11.95713	But when state provides right to appeal, such appeal must comport with demands of due process and equal protection clauses	11-224
11.9572		Right to meaningful appeal	11-224
	11.95721	In general	11-224
	11.95722	When defendant's right to meaningful appeal is denied by state's post-trial destruction of evidence that was not introduced at trial, but which defendant claims is exculpatory	11-225
	11.9573	Waiver of right	11-225
11.958		Sanctions for frivolous appeals	11-225
11.959		Appellate court's sua sponte consideration of issue or argument not raised by parties on appeal	11-225
	11.9591	In general (see sec. 11.8992)	11-225
	11.9592	Appellate court does not generally address issues not raised by parties	11-225
11.96		Other Matters (cont'd)	11-225
	11.961	When court of appeals is confronted with conflict between decision from Wisconsin Supreme Court and subsequent decision from United States Supreme Court on matter of federal law, it is not required to certify case to Wisconsin Supreme Court	11-225
	11.962	Court not bound by issues as they are framed by parties (see sec. 11.3698)	11-225
	11.963	Effect of taking appeal on jurisdiction of trial court (see sec. 12.13371)	11-225
	11.964	Composition of federal court of appeals	11-225
	11.9641	Use of Article IV judge impermissible	11-225
	11.965	When conviction and sentence on one count of multi-count judgment of conviction set aside, need for, and propriety of, remand for resentencing on remaining counts (see sec. 9.446)	11-225
	11.966	Proceedings in trial court after remand by appellate court	11-226
	11.9661	When appellate court has remanded for new suppression hearing on defendant's appeal from denial of suppression motion after entry of guilty plea pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 971.31(10)	11-226
	11.96611	Relief to which defendant is entitled if trial court reaffirms denial of suppression motion after new hearing (see sec. 7.428)	11-226
	11.967	Publication of court of appeals' decisions (see sec. 11.367)	11-226
	11.968	On appeal from denial of § 974.06 motion, does supreme court have authority to remand to circuit court for motion for postconviction relief based upon interest of justice? (see sec. 10.288)	11-226
	11.969	Remand to trial court for motion for postconviction relief in interest of justice (see sec. 11.876)	11-226
11.97		Other Matters (cont'd)	11-226
	11.971	Attorney general's review of presentence report and disclosure of its contents when responding to challenge to sentence on appeal (see sec. 9.951)	11-226
	11.972	Attorney has ethical obligation not to make arguments before court that attorney believes to be frivolous (see sec. 47.8867)	11-226
	11.973	Amicus curiae (see sec. 11.3488)	11-226

11.974	Appellate courts may look to briefing in published opinions to determine facts or arguments made	11-226
11.975	Wisconsin Supreme Court's overruling of, or correcting erroneous statements of law in, prior precedent	11-226
11.9751	When appropriate in general	11-226
11.9752	Supreme court may overrule, modify, or withdraw language from published decisions of the court of appeals (see sec. 11.939)	11-226
11.976	Appellate court may not consider issue not raised on appeal and grant relief to detriment of appealing party based on resolution of that issue when other party has not filed cross-appeal	11-226
11.977	Principle of party presentation	11-226
11.9771	General principles	11-226
11.9772	Particular applications	11-226
11.97721	Precludes appellate court from correcting error in sentencing that benefited defendant, and whose correction is detrimental to defendant, on defendant's appeal attacking sentence when government has not filed cross-appeal raising that error	11-227
11.978	When trial court grants new trial or other relief based on one claim made by defendant and does not address other claims that, if meritorious, would entitle defendant to relief, and court of appeals reverses trial court on claim upon which new trial or other relief was granted, court of appeals may not remand with instructions to deny new trial or other relief, but must either remand for trial court's consideration of other claims or explain why such consideration is unnecessary (see sec. 11.3683)	11-227

Chapter 12 Other Procedural Matters

12.1	Jurisdiction And Competency Of Trial Court	12-1
12.11	General Principles	12-1
12.111	In general	12-1
12.112	Before court can act, it must have jurisdiction to do so	12-1
12.113	Divestiture of jurisdiction once it has attached	12-1
12.114	Doctrine of "manufactured jurisdiction"	12-1
12.115	Court having jurisdiction can decline to exercise it if there are sufficient policy reasons to do so	12-1
12.116	Appellate court's duty to independently inquire as to its jurisdiction even when neither party has raised jurisdictional objection	12-1
12.117	Court always has jurisdiction to decide its own jurisdiction	12-1
12.118	Standard of appellate review of whether court has jurisdiction	12-2
12.12	Subject-Matter Jurisdiction	12-2
12.121	General principles	12-2
12.1211	In general	12-2
12.1212	When subject-matter jurisdiction attaches	12-3

12.1213	Once criminal subject-matter jurisdiction attaches, it continues until final disposition of case	12-3
12.1214	Failure to comply with prescribed review procedure deprives court of subject-matter jurisdiction to conduct review	12-3
12.1215	Failure to comply with statutory mandate, such as prescribed time limit, is more accurately described as loss of competency to proceed, rather than loss of subject-matter jurisdiction	12-3
12.1216	In federal court, failure to comply with prescribed time limit does not result in loss of subject-matter jurisdiction	12-3
12.1217	Failure of statute under which defendant is charged to contain its own enabling clause does not render circuit court without subject-matter jurisdiction	12-3
12.122	Over particular offenses	12-3
12.1221	Crimes committed on boundary waters	12-3
12.1222	Crimes committed on Indian reservation (see sec. 12.632)	12-3
12.1223	Violations of home improvement trade regulations	12-3
12.1224	Crimes committed outside of state which have a criminally proscribed consequence within state (see sec. 12.1423)	12-3
12.1225	Crimes commenced in another state, but consummated in Wisconsin	12-3
12.1226	Crimes committed by defendant while he was a juvenile (see secs. 42.13, 42.3, 42.42, 42.53, 42.8, and 42.92)	12-3
12.1227	Crimes committed outside state of Wisconsin	12-4
12.1228	Nonsupport of child living outside of Wisconsin (see sec. 38.65882)	12-4
12.123	Over particular proceedings	12-4
12.1231	Prosecution for second-offense drunk driving	12-4
12.1232	Juvenile delinquency proceedings (see secs. 42.13 and 42.53)	12-4
12.1233	Criminal court jurisdiction over prosecution for crime committed by defendant while he was a juvenile (see secs. 12.1257, 42.3, 42.42, 42.8, and 42.92)	12-4
12.124	Over particular persons	12-4
12.1241	Criminal court jurisdiction over defendant who committed offense while juvenile (see secs. 42.42 and 42.92)	12-4
12.1242	Indian persons for crimes committed off the reservation (see sec. 12.633)	12-4
12.125	Matters affecting and not affecting subject-matter jurisdiction	12-4
12.1251	Nonexistence of offense with which defendant charged or of which defendant convicted	12-4
12.1252	Unconstitutionality of statute creating crime with which defendant charged or of which defendant convicted	12-4
12.12521	In general	12-4
12.12522	When statute is unconstitutional on its face, unconstitutionality deprives court of subject matter jurisdiction and objection to constitutionality cannot be waived	12-5

12.12523	When statute is challenged as unconstitutional "as applied," court has jurisdiction over subject matter and normal waiver rules generally apply	12-5
12.1253	Illegal arrest	12-5
12.1254	Failure to charge offense in complaint or information	12-5
12.12541	In general	12-5
12.12542	Fact that oral amendment of charge to which defendant pled guilty did not allege particular element of crime does not deprive trial court of subject-matter jurisdiction	12-6
12.12543	When complaint or information fails to allege offense known to law, court is deprived of subject matter jurisdiction and objection to defect cannot be waived	12-6
12.1255	Matters relating to preliminary examination	12-6
12.12551	Failure to hold preliminary examination (see sec. 6.411)	12-6
12.12552	Defects in preliminary examination	12-6
12.1256	Matters relating to information or indictment	12-6
12.12561	Failure to file information (see sec. 6.512)	12-6
12.12562	Defective indictment	12-6
12.1257	Matters affecting subject-matter jurisdiction of juvenile court and criminal court after waiver of juvenile court jurisdiction	12-6
12.12571	Filing charges against juvenile in criminal court that are different from those considered by juvenile court in waiving jurisdiction	12-6
12.12572	Failure to file juvenile court's waiver order in criminal court's record	12-6
12.12573	Defects in juvenile waiver proceeding	12-6
12.12574	Once complaint filed in criminal court, juvenile court loses jurisdiction over case (see sec. 42.396)	12-6
12.1258	Other matters	12-6
12.12581	Acts forming basis for criminal charge occurred outside state of Wisconsin (see sec. 12.1227)	12-7
12.12582	Statutory prohibition on creation of type of crime for which defendant was convicted	12-7
12.12583	Prosecutor's post-arraignment, pretrial filing of amended information without leave of trial court	12-7
12.12584	Failure to hold Becker hearing (i.e., hearing at which prosecution shows that delay in charging defendant for offense committed while juvenile was not for purpose of avoiding juvenile court jurisdiction)	12-7
12.12585	Lack of jurisdiction of state over crime under Wis. Stat. § 939.03 affects only personal jurisdiction, not subject-matter jurisdiction	12-7
12.12586	Filing of request for substitution of judge	12-7
12.12587	Untimely filing of ch. 980 (sexual predator) commitment petition	12-7

12.12588	Expiration of term of probation during pendency of appeal deprives trial court of jurisdiction to execute conditions of probation that were stayed pending appeal	12-7
12.12589	Filing civil action (e.g., chap. 980 proceeding) with criminal case number	12-7
12.1259	Other matters (cont'd)	12-7
12.12591	Filing of removal petition in federal court	12-7
12.12592	Failure to hold timely hearing	12-7
12.12593	Federal preemption	12-7
12.12594	Taking of appeal (see sec. 12.1298)	12-8
12.12595	Failure to comply with statutory mandate	12-8
12.12596	Nonexistence of fact required to make penalty enhancer applicable	12-8
12.12597	Failure to make constitutionally required timely probable cause determination after warrantless arrest (see sec. 6.3171)	12-8
12.12598	Failure to hold timely initial appearance (see sec. 6.3232)	12-8
12.12599	Improper venue (see sec. 12.272)	12-8
12.126	Burden of proof on question whether court has subject-matter jurisdiction	12-8
12.127	Objection to subject-matter jurisdiction cannot be waived and may be raised at any time	12-8
12.1271	In general	12-8
12.1272	In cases involving challenge to constitutionality of statute under which defendant is being prosecuted	12-8
12.12721	When statute is challenged as unconstitutional on its face, unconstitutionality deprives court of subject matter jurisdiction and objection to constitutionality cannot be waived (see sec. 12.12522)	12-8
12.12722	When statute is challenged as unconstitutional "as applied," normal waiver rules generally apply (see sec. 12.12523)	12-8
12.128	Effect of lack of subject-matter jurisdiction	12-9
12.129	Other matters relating to subject-matter jurisdiction	12-9
12.1291	Generally, once one court has determined question of subject-matter jurisdiction, parties are collaterally estopped from relitigating issue in subsequent proceeding	12-9
12.1292	How lack of jurisdiction raised	12-9
12.1293	Parties cannot confer jurisdiction where none exists	12-9
12.1294	Jurisdiction of military courts (see sec. 47.8731)	12-9
12.1295	Deposit in the United States Treasury of property subject to forfeiture under Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act does not deprive court of jurisdiction over property subject to forfeiture	12-9
12.1296	Jurisdictional challenges may be raised at any juncture during court proceeding	12-9

12.1297	Municipal court has inherent power to vacate conviction that was entered in excess of its subject-matter jurisdiction	12-9
12.1298	Effect of taking of appeal on subject-matter jurisdiction of trial court	12-9
12.12981	In general	12-9
12.12982	Trial court's jurisdiction to hear further postconviction motions and grant postconviction relief in trial court once appeal filed	12-9
12.12983	Power to act on all issues until record has been transmitted to court of appeals	12-9
12.12984	Trial court's jurisdiction to entertain motion for reconsideration after appeal taken (see sec. 11.39754)	12-9
12.1299	Other matters	12-10
12.12991	When location of acts forming basis for criminal charge is in dispute and information pleads that acts occurred in state of Wisconsin, subject-matter jurisdiction is question of fact to be determined by jury or, in case of plea, by trial court	12-10
12.12992	When facts necessary to confer subject-matter jurisdiction on trial court are pleaded in information, guilty plea in information admits those facts and precludes subsequent attack on trial court's subject-matter jurisdiction (see sec. 7.4972)	12-10
12.12993	When two courts have concurrent jurisdiction over subject matter, assumption of jurisdiction by one precludes other from assuming jurisdiction	12-10
12.12994	Appellate review of question whether trial court had subject-matter jurisdiction	12-10
12.13	Personal Jurisdiction	12-10
12.131	General principles	12-10
12.1311	In general	12-10
12.1312	How personal jurisdiction acquired in criminal prosecution	12-10
12.13121	In general	12-10
12.13122	Complaint is statutory procedure for acquiring personal jurisdiction over defendant	12-11
12.1313	Not affected by illegal arrest, if appearance before court secured by subsequent process	12-11
12.1314	Effect of special appearance	12-11
12.1315	Identification of person being charged in criminal complaint solely by his DNA profile is sufficient to confer personal jurisdiction over person so identified	12-11
12.132	Acquisition of personal jurisdiction in particular proceedings	12-11
12.1321	Juvenile delinquency proceedings (see secs. 42.132 and 42.532)	12-11
12.1322	Implied consent proceeding	12-11
12.133	Matters affecting and not affecting personal jurisdiction	12-11
12.1331	Illegal arrest (see sec. 1.8325)	12-11
12.1332	Delay in holding initial appearance (see sec. 6.3232)	12-11

12.1333	Failure of complaint to establish probable cause (see sec. 6.1952)	12-11
12.1334	Defects in preliminary hearing	12-11
12.13341	In general	12-11
12.13342	Failure to establish probable cause	12-11
12.13343	Untimeliness	12-11
12.13344	Admission of unconstitutionally obtained evidence	12-12
12.13345	Other errors	12-12
12.1335	Statute of limitations	12-12
12.1336	Unconstitutionality of statute creating crime	12-12
12.1337	Matters relating to taking of appeal	12-12
12.13371	Effect of taking appeal on jurisdiction of trial court	12-12
12.13372	Effect of untimely appeal on jurisdiction of appellate court (see sec. 11.117)	12-12
12.1338	Crime committed outside the State of Wisconsin	12-12
12.13381	In general	12-12
12.13382	Crime committed outside state which has a criminally proscribed consequence within state	12-12
12.13383	Crime committed outside of Wisconsin, element of which took place in Wisconsin	12-12
12.1339	Other matters	12-12
12.13391	Prosecution by wrong prosecutorial entity	12-12
12.13392	Failure to comply with mandatory statutory time provisions	12-13
12.13393	Failure to make constitutionally required timely probable cause determination after warrantless arrest (see sec. 6.317)	12-13
12.13394	Illegality in method juvenile court used to secure juvenile's presence at delinquency proceeding (see sec. 42.1323)	12-13
12.13395	Improper venue (see sec. 12.272)	12-13
12.13396	Failure to hold trial within time specified in federal district court's order granting habeas corpus relief (see sec. 10.6979)	12-13
12.13397	Lack of jurisdiction of state over crime under Wis. Stat. § 939.03 affects only personal jurisdiction, not subject-matter jurisdiction (see sec. 12.12585)	12-13
12.13398	Filing of request for substitution of judge	12-13
12.13399	Identification of person being charged in criminal complaint solely by his DNA profile (see sec. 12.1315)	12-13
12.134	Burden of proof on question whether court has personal jurisdiction	12-13
12.135	Waiver of objection to personal jurisdiction	12-13
12.1351	In general	12-13
12.1352	Need to renew objection in later proceedings in order to preserve it	12-13
12.136	Effect of lack of personal jurisdiction	12-13

12.137	Appellate review of determination that court has personal jurisdiction	12-14
12.138	Other matters relating to personal jurisdiction	12-14
12.1381	Jurisdiction of military courts (see sec. 47.8731)	12-14
12.14	Territorial Jurisdiction	12-14
12.141	General principles	12-14
12.1411	In general	12-14
12.1412	Requirement that state establish territorial jurisdiction for charged crimes (see sec. 12.144)	12-14
12.142	Circumstances under which Wisconsin court has territorial jurisdiction (Wis. Stat. § 939.03(1))	12-14
12.1421	Person commits crime, any of the constituent elements of which takes place in Wisconsin (Wis. Stat. § 939.03(1)(a))	12-14
12.14211	In general	12-14
12.14212	What constitutes "constituent element" in general	12-14
12.14213	When "constituent element" is mental element (e.g., intent to kill in first-degree intentional homicide), element may be said to take place in Wisconsin if defendant commits act in Wisconsin that manifests or exhibits that mental element	12-14
12.1422	While out of Wisconsin, person aids and abets, conspires with, or advises, incites, commands, or solicits another to commit crime in Wisconsin (Wis. Stat. § 939.03(1)(b))	12-14
12.1423	While out of this state, the person does an act with intent that it cause in this state a consequence set forth in a section defining a crime (Wis. Stat. § 939.03(1)(c))	12-14
12.1424	While out of this state, person steals and subsequently brings any of the stolen property into this state (Wis. Stat. § 939.03(1)(d))	12-15
12.143	Territorial jurisdiction over particular classes of crimes	12-15
12.1431	Crime committed outside State of Wisconsin in general	12-15
12.1432	Crime committed outside State of Wisconsin but having criminally proscribed consequence within the state (see sec. 12.1423)	12-15
12.1433	Crime committed outside of Wisconsin, element of which took place in Wisconsin (see sec. 12.1421)	12-15
12.1434	Crime commenced in another state, but consummated in Wisconsin	12-15
12.144	Requirement of proof of territorial jurisdiction	12-15
12.1441	In general	12-15
12.1442	When territorial jurisdiction is issue for jury	12-15
12.1443	Sufficiency of evidence to establish territorial jurisdiction	12-15
12.145	Instructing jury on territorial jurisdiction	12-15
12.1451	When instruction required	12-15
12.1452	Content of instruction	12-15
12.146	Waiver of claim that trial court lacked territorial jurisdiction	12-15
12.1461	In general	12-15

12.1462	When territorial jurisdiction over charged offense exists, defendant waives claim that there was no territorial jurisdiction over lesser-included offense when he pleads guilty or no contest to that lesser offense	12-15
12.147	Once territorial jurisdiction attaches, it continues until final disposition of case	12-16
12.1471	In general	12-16
12.1472	When trial court validly acquired territorial jurisdiction over charged crime, it does not lose jurisdiction when defendant pleads guilty or no contest to, or is convicted after trial of, lesser offense lacking element that gave trial court jurisdiction over charged crime	12-16
12.148	Appellate review of question whether trial court had territorial jurisdiction over case	12-16
12.1481	Standard of review	12-16
12.149	Other matters	12-16
12.1491	Legislative history of territorial jurisdiction statute	12-16
12.1492	Effect of lack of territorial jurisdiction	12-16
12.15	Competency To Act In Particular Case	12-16
12.151	In general	12-16
12.152	Effect of failure to comply with statutory time limits on competency to proceed	12-16
12.153	Matters affecting and not affecting competency to act	12-17
12.1531	Improper venue (see sec. 12.272)	12-17
12.1532	Defective appointment of special prosecutor handling proceeding	12-17
12.1533	Failure to timely file petition for commitment under ch. 980	12-17
12.154	Court lacks competency to order state to pay money to defendant in context of criminal prosecution (e.g., where state has improperly collected restitution)	12-17
12.155	Appellate review of competency question	12-17
12.156	Lack of competency does not deprive court of subject-matter jurisdiction	12-17
12.157	Court lacking competency to adjudicate matter before it has authority to enter dismissal order	12-17
12.16	Incidental, Implied, And Inherent Powers Of Trial Court (see sec. 12.67)	12-17
12.17	Other Matters	12-17
12.171	Court's authority to consider constitutional issues sua sponte (see sec. 12.6951)	12-17
12.172	Failure to hold trial within time specified in federal district court's order granting habeas corpus relief does not deprive trial court of jurisdiction (see sec. 10.6979)	12-17
12.173	Question of judicial authority or jurisdiction is issue of law which appellate court reviews de novo	12-17
12.174	Jurisdictional challenges may be raised at any juncture during court proceeding	12-17
12.175	Court having jurisdiction can decline to exercise it if there are sufficient policy reasons to do so (see sec. 12.115)	12-18
12.176	If trial court lacks jurisdiction, appellate court lacks jurisdiction (see sec. 11.174)	12-18

12.177	Court's obligation to inquire sua sponte into its jurisdiction over action	12-18
12.178	When property subject to both state and federal jurisdiction, only one court may have jurisdiction and therefore first court to obtain jurisdiction maintains it to the exclusion of other court	12-18
12.179	There is no jurisdictional bar to consideration of conviction of particular count even though sentence on that count is concurrent to sentence on another count that is not challenged or that survives challenge (see sec. 11.8928)	12-18
12.2	Place Of Trial (Venue)	12-18
12.21	Determining Place Of Trial	12-18
12.211	In general	12-18
12.212	When two or more acts are requisite to commission of offense, trial may be in any county in which any of such acts occurred (Wis. Stat. § 971.19(2))	12-18
12.213	When offense commenced outside of state and consummated within state defendant may be tried in county in which offense was consummated (Wis. Stat. § 971.19(6))	12-18
12.214	For violation of elections, ethics, or lobbying laws (Wis. Stat. § 971.19(12))	12-18
12.2141	In general	12-18
12.2142	"Investigation" in § 971.19(12) means GAB-authorized investigation	12-19
12.2143	"Any matter that involves elections, ethics, or lobbying regulation under chs. 5 to 12, subch. III of ch. 13, or subch. III of ch. 19" does not include prosecution for misconduct in public office under Wis. Stat. § 946.12(3) even when misconduct is based on violation of elections, ethics, or lobbying regulation	12-19
12.215	When crime involves failure to act (see sec. 12.252)	12-19
12.216	Under federal law	12-19
12.217	For particular crime	12-19
12.22	Right To Be Tried By Jury Of County Where Crime Committed	12-19
12.221	In general	12-19
12.222	Source of right	12-19
12.223	Waiver of right	12-19
12.2231	In general	12-19
12.2232	Right to trial by jury of county where crime committed is not fundamental right that must be waived personally by defendant (see sec. 12.224)	12-19
12.224	Right to trial by jury of county where crime committed is not fundamental right that must be waived personally by defendant	12-19
12.225	Effect of improper change of venue over defendant's objections	12-19
12.226	Waiver of right by failure to question juror about residency and to object	12-19
12.23	Change Of Venue To Ensure Fair Trial (see sec. 30.22)	12-19
12.24	Requirement Of Proof Of Venue	12-19
12.241	In general	12-20
12.242	Standard of proof is beyond a reasonable doubt	12-20

12.243	Need for instruction on, and jury determination of, venue	12-20
12.25	Sufficiency Of Proof Of Venue	12-20
12.251	In general	12-20
12.252	Crime involving failure to act is committed at place where act is required to be performed	12-20
12.253	Venue need not be proved by direct evidence; it may be established by circumstantial evidence	12-20
12.254	For particular crime	12-20
12.26	Waiver Of Claim That Case Was Not Properly Venued	12-20
12.27	Other Matters	12-20
12.271	Change of venue on stipulation of parties	12-20
12.272	Defect in venue is not jurisdictional and does not affect competence of court	12-21
12.273	Venue is not element of charged crime	12-21
12.274	Waiver of objections relating to venue	12-21
	12.2741 Objection to adequacy of jury instruction on venue	12-21
	12.2742 Objection to verdict form insofar as venue is concerned	12-21
12.3	Time Limitations On Prosecution (Statute Of Limitations)	12-21
12.31	General Rule	12-21
12.311	In general	12-21
12.312	When offense is continuing crime	12-21
12.32	Special Provisions For Particular Offenses	12-21
12.321	Provision permitting commencement of prosecution of listed offenses "at any time" (Wis. Stat. § 939.74(2)(b))	12-21
12.322	Provision relating to prosecution under § 974.06 (Wis. Stat. § 939.74(2)(am))	12-21
12.323	Provision relating to prosecution for theft (Wis. Stat. § 939.74(2)(b))	12-21
12.324	Provision relating to prosecution for certain crimes against children (Wis. Stat. § 939.74(2)(c))	12-21
	12.3241 In general	12-21
	12.3242 Does not apply to offenses committed before July 1, 1989	12-21
	12.3243 Consistency, with constitutional prohibition on ex post facto laws, of legislative extension of statute of limitations under this provision after commission of offense, but before statute of limitations existing at time of offense's commission has run (see sec. 12.3931)	12-22
	12.3244 Applicability of extensions, of statute of limitations under this provision, made after commission of offense, but before statute of limitations existing at time of offense's commission has run	12-22
12.325	Provision relating to prosecution for certain crimes against children (Wis. Stat. § 939.74(2)(cm))	12-22
12.33	Exceptions (i.e., Time Excluded From Computation Of Time Limits)	12-22
12.331	Time during which actor not publicly a resident within state is excluded	12-22
	12.3311 Interpretation of this exception	12-22
	12.3312 Constitutionality of this exception	12-22
	12.3313 Time served on active duty in armed forces excluded under this exception	12-22

12.3314	Time served in prison in another jurisdiction excluded under this exception	12-22
12.332	In prosecutions for sexual exploitation by therapist, time during which victim is unable to seek issuance of complaint due to effects of sexual contact or due to any threats, instructions or statements from the therapist	12-22
12.34	Computing Time	12-22
12.341	Determining when action commenced	12-22
12.3411	In general	12-22
12.3412	Warrant issues, and therefore action is commenced, when warrant is signed by judge with intent that it be executed and leaves possession of judge	12-23
12.3413	Filing of complaint and issuance of order to produce defendant, who is in state custody, does not commence prosecution for purposes of statute of limitations (court of appeals' holding, reversed by supreme court)	12-23
12.3414	When defendant is already in custody due to his or her incarceration, filing of criminal complaint is sufficient to commence prosecution for purposes of statute of limitations (supreme court's holding)	12-23
12.3415	Warrant and/or complaint that identifies defendant only by his DNA profile is sufficient to commence action for purposes of statute of limitations	12-23
12.34151	In general	12-23
12.34152	Fact that warrant and/or complaint uses RFLP-DNA analysis and defendant ultimately identified using PCR-DNA analysis makes no difference	12-23
12.342	Determining when crime committed	12-23
12.3421	In general	12-23
12.3422	When offense is continuing crime (see sec. 12.312)	12-23
12.35	Waiver Of Statute Of Limitations	12-23
12.351	In general	12-23
12.352	Request for instruction on lesser-included offense on which statute of limitations has run does not constitute waiver	12-23
12.36	For particular offenses	12-23
12.361	Sexual assault of a child (see secs. 38.62234 and 38.62461)	12-23
12.362	Sexual intercourse with a child under repealed Wis. Stat. § 944.10 (see sec. 38.22341)	12-23
12.363	Indecent behavior with a child under repealed Wis. Stat. § 944.11 (see sec. 38.22231)	12-24
12.37	In Cases In Which Perpetrator's DNA Has Been Collected	12-24
12.371	In general	12-24
12.38	Making Determination Whether Statute Of Limitations Has Run	12-24
12.381	In general	12-24
12.382	Jury determines date or date range of charged offense beyond reasonable doubt	12-24
12.383	Court determines whether tolling provision applicable by preponderance of evidence	12-24
12.384	Appellate review of court's tolling decision	12-24
12.3841	Standard of review	12-24

12.39	Other Matters	12-24
12.391	Fact that statute of limitations has run for lesser-included offense does not bar instruction on it, but does preclude conviction	12-24
12.392	Criminal, not civil, statute of limitations applies to award of restitution	12-24
12.393	Consistency, with constitutional prohibition on ex post facto laws, of legislative extension of statute of limitations for offense after its commission	12-24
12.3931	When statute of limitations is extended before limitation existing at time of offense's commission has run	12-24
12.3932	When statute of limitations is extended after limitation existing at time of offense's commission has run	12-24
12.394	Failure to commence action within time prescribed by statute of limitations deprives court of personal jurisdiction over defendant (see sec. 12.1335)	12-24
12.395	Warrant and/or complaint that identifies defendant only by his DNA profile is sufficient to commence action for purposes of statute of limitations (see sec. 12.3315)	12-24
12.396	Purpose of statute of limitations	12-25
12.397	Legislative history of statute of limitations	12-25
12.398	Repeal or amendment of statute of limitations	12-25
12.3981	Applicability of repeal or amendment to crimes previously committed	12-25
12.3982	Constitutionality of applying amendment extending statute of limitations to offenses previously committed (see sec. 12.374)	12-25
12.399	Other matters	12-25
12.3991	Statute of limitations is affirmative defense	12-25
12.3992	Statute of limitations does not bar prosecution for offense that was dismissed pursuant to plea agreement, when plea entered pursuant to agreement is later set aside because of defendant's breach of agreement (e.g., by obtaining vacation of conviction(s) entered pursuant to agreement)	12-25
12.4	Prosecutorial Discretion	12-25
12.41	General Principles	12-25
12.42	Decision To Charge	12-25
12.421	In general	12-25
12.422	Selective prosecution (see sec. 23.5)	12-26
12.423	Impermissible bases for decision to charge	12-27
12.4231	Race (see sec. 23.222)	12-27
12.4232	Sex (see sec. 23.212)	12-27
12.4233	Religion (see sec. 23.261)	12-27
12.4234	Invocation of constitutional rights (e.g., right to freedom of speech or press) (see sec. 23.251)	12-27
12.4235	May not bring increased charge to penalize defendant for having invoked his rights (e.g., right to appeal) (see sec. 22.8143)	12-27
12.4236	May not bring charge for purpose of coercing defendant (see sec. 12.4292)	12-27

12.424	Permissible bases for decision to charge	12-27
12.4241	New understanding of elements of crime	12-27
12.4242	Deterrent effect of prosecution	12-27
12.4243	Extent of harm caused by criminal activity (e.g., number of victims)	12-27
12.4244	Factors relevant to whether probable cause exists	12-27
12.4245	Potential defendant's age	12-27
12.4246	Relationship between potential defendant and victim	12-27
12.425	Judge's right to permit filing of complaint when district attorney refuses to issue it (see sec. 6.112)	12-27
12.426	May not bring increased charge to penalize defendant for invoking rights (e.g., right to appeal) (see sec. 22.8143)	12-27
12.427	Prosecutor abuses discretion in bringing charges when he believes evidence is not sufficient to support conviction	12-27
12.428	Prosecutor may not make charge unsupported by probable cause	12-28
12.4281	In general	12-28
12.4282	Disciplinary rule requiring prosecutor to refrain from prosecuting charge that prosecutor knows is not supported by probable cause	12-28
12.42821	Rule requires knowledge, not simply reason to know, that charge is not supported by probable cause	12-28
12.429	Other matters	12-28
12.4291	Inclusion of repeater allegation in charge	12-28
12.4292	Prosecutor abuses discretion in bringing charges for coercive or other improper purpose	12-28
12.4293	Where conduct could be prosecuted as civil ordinance violation or criminal statutory violation, prosecutor has discretion whether to file criminal charge or forward case to appropriate civil prosecuting authority	12-28
12.43	Choice Of Charge	12-28
12.431	In general	12-28
12.432	Judge's right to permit filing of complaint charging greater offense when district attorney charges lesser one	12-29
12.433	Deciding what charge or charges to make in information	12-29
12.434	Where two crimes have identical elements but different penalties, prosecutor has discretion to charge more serious	12-29
12.435	When there is criminal statute specifically covering defendant's conduct, prosecutor is not barred from charging more general crime that also covers it	12-29
12.44	Dismissal Of Charge	12-29
12.441	In general	12-29
12.442	Right of prosecutor to dismiss charge issued by judge at conclusion of John Doe hearing	12-30
12.443	Prosecutor should not permit continuing pendency of charge in absence of sufficient admissible evidence to support conviction	12-30
12.444	Dismissal of original charging document without prejudice for purpose of filing new charging document with repeater allegation	12-30

12.445	Prosecutor may dismiss prosecution after trial court excludes evidence for discovery violation under Wis. Stat. § 971.23(7m)(a) so as to commence new prosecution at which evidence will be admissible (see sec. 6.8771)	12-30
12.45	Other Decisions	12-30
12.451	Charging a single count or multiple counts when conduct may be viewed as one continuing offense	12-30
12.452	Joining all related, but separate, offenses in a single prosecution or bringing them in successive prosecutions	12-30
12.453	Increasing charge	12-30
12.4531	In general	12-30
12.4532	May not increase charge to penalize defendant for invoking rights (e.g., right to appeal) (see sec. 22.8143)	12-30
12.4533	Threat of higher charge in plea bargaining (see sec. 7.133)	12-30
12.454	Reducing charge	12-30
12.455	Offering witness inducements to testify for state	12-30
12.456	Sentencing recommendation (see sec. 9.49983)	12-30
12.457	Initiation of forfeiture of proceeding	12-30
12.458	Offering less favorable plea bargain after defendant's successful appeal than was offered before initial trial (see sec. 22.815)	12-30
12.459	Decision not to charge	12-31
12.5	Waiver	12-31
12.51	In General	12-31
12.52	Of Right To Raise Issue On Appeal (see sec. 11.4)	12-31
12.53	Of Constitutional Rights (see sec. 21.4)	12-31
12.54	Of Statutory Rights	12-31
12.541	General principles	12-31
12.5411	In general	12-31
12.542	Particular statutory rights	12-31
12.5421	Right to be tried within time specified by statute when request for prompt disposition of case made under Intrastate Detainer Act (see sec. 6.2414)	12-31
12.5422	Other rights	12-31
12.55	Of Objection To Court's Jurisdiction (see secs. 12.127 and 12.135)	12-31
12.56	Of Other Matters	12-31
12.561	Request for instruction on lesser-included offense barred by statute of limitations does not constitute waiver of statute of limitations	12-31
12.562	Waiver of right to hearing on competency after receipt of expert's report on the defendant's condition	12-31
12.563	Introduction of other acts evidence by prosecutor when judge admits evidence on condition that crimes to which it relates would not become subject of later prosecution does not constitute waiver of right to bring later prosecution	12-31
12.57	When Personal Waiver By Defendant Required	12-31
12.6	Other Procedural Matters	12-31
12.61	Stipulations	12-31
12.611	General Principles	12-32
12.6111	In general	12-32

12.6112	Stipulation to prior conviction precludes collateral attack on that conviction	12-32
12.612	Evidentiary stipulations	12-32
12.6121	Validity in general	12-32
12.6122	As general rule, party not required to accept stipulation offered by adversary	12-32
12.6123	Effect of stipulation in general	12-32
12.6124	Offer to stipulate to status as convicted felon renders irrelevant evidence regarding nature of felony	12-32
12.6125	Offer to stipulate to status (e.g., prior convictions, etc., under Wis. Stat. § 343.307(1) that render defendant subject to lower prohibited blood alcohol concentration) may render evidence relating to status inadmissible	12-32
12.6126	Stipulation to element of crime that is submitted to jury does not constitute waiver of jury trial with respect to that element, requiring formal waiver of jury trial by defendant (see sec. 26.323)	12-32
12.6127	Stipulation to element of offense so as to render inadmissible prejudicial evidence otherwise relevant to that element	12-33
12.61271	In general	12-33
12.61272	Stipulation to element of offense so as to render inadmissible other acts evidence relevant to that element (see sec. 14.2154)	12-33
12.61273	Stipulation to defendant's status (e.g., as convicted felon) so as to render inadmissible evidence related to that status (e.g., nature of conviction)	12-33
12.61274	Stipulation that materials are harmful to children so as to avoid admission of materials	12-33
12.61275	Neither court nor prosecutor is required to accept such a stipulation	12-33
12.6128	Need to put evidentiary stipulation on record in presence of jury	12-33
12.613	Stipulations concerning matters of trial procedure	12-33
12.6131	Prosecutor's representation that lesser-included offense instruction will not be requested does not create binding stipulation	12-33
12.62	Estoppel	12-33
12.621	Collateral estoppel (issue preclusion)	12-33
12.6211	General principles	12-33
12.62111	In general	12-33
12.62112	Distinction between offensive and defensive use of doctrine of issue preclusion	12-34
12.62113	Propriety of state's offensive use of issue preclusion in criminal case	12-34
12.62114	Need to examine record in earlier proceeding to determine whether doctrine of issue preclusion applies	12-34
12.62115	Issue must have been "actually litigated" previously	12-34

12.62116	Term "issue preclusion" has replaced "collateral estoppel" as description of doctrine under consideration	12-35
12.62117	Exception to general rule applies when change in applicable legal context intervenes (e.g., there has been intervening appellate court decision changing law)	12-35
12.6212	Particular applications	12-35
12.62121	Does not require modification of sentence imposed upon revocation of probation when defendant acquitted of charge that prompted revocation	12-35
12.62122	Does not bar evidence of other crime of which defendant acquittee offered to prove guilt of crime for which defendant is being tried	12-35
12.62123	Determination at implied consent hearing that probable cause existed for arrest does not preclude defendant from relitigating probable cause question at suppression hearing	12-35
12.62124	Circuit court's relitigation, in drunk driving prosecution, of question of probable cause to arrest for drunk driving when no probable cause found in administrative review of suspension of operating privileges (see sec. 37.21333)	12-35
12.62125	Circuit court's relitigation, in drunk driving prosecution, of question of probable cause to arrest for drunk driving when no probable cause found by court on judicial review of administrative review of suspension of operating privileges	12-35
12.62126	Determination at probation and parole revocation proceeding that there was insufficient proof that defendant committed crime does not preclude criminal prosecution for crime	12-35
12.62127	Prosecution of defendant for offense "while armed" after jury has acquitted him of felon in possession of firearm on same occasion	12-35
12.62128	Prosecution of defendant for perjury based on allegedly false testimony in prior prosecution in which defendant's false testimony was believed by jury and led to acquittal (see sec. 29.43341)	12-36
12.62129	Where defendant is claiming excessive force in arresting him, but he has pleaded guilty or no-contest to, or agreed to have read in, charges arising out of arrest	12-36
12.6213	Particular applications (cont'd)	12-36

12.62131	State's offensive use of doctrine of issue preclusion (collateral estoppel) in sexually violent person (ch. 980) proceeding to keep defendant from litigating whether sexual assault of which he was convicted actually occurred (see sec. 10.7394)	12-36
12.62132	Does not bar sexual predator (ch. 980) commitment proceeding upon defendant's release from prison after serving sentence remaining after revocation of parole when earlier commitment proceeding prior to release on parole was dismissed on merits	12-36
12.62133	When prosecutor has dismissed prosecution because trial court excludes evidence on account of discovery violation, and then refiles case, evidence excluded in initial prosecution may be admitted in refiled case without violating doctrine of issue preclusion	12-36
12.62134	When motion to suppress evidence based on legal standard applied to historical facts is decided in prior action and in later action party seeks ruling on suppression of same evidence base on same historical facts and same legal standard	12-36
12.62135	When motion to suppress evidence obtained from search is decided in one prosecution, and defendant seeks to suppress same evidence from same search in another prosecution	12-36
12.62136	Under statute proscribing engaging in repeated acts of sexual assault of the same child, prosecution based on acts during particular time period that occurred in one venue is not barred by doctrine of issue preclusion when defendant has been acquitted of acts during same time period that occurred in different venue	12-36
12.62137	Other applications	12-37
12.6214	Appellate review of collateral estoppel (issue preclusion) ruling	12-37
12.62141	Standard of review	12-37
12.6215	Other matters	12-37
12.62151	Not applicable against state where defendant found guilty in earlier proceeding	12-37
12.62152	Collateral estoppel aspect of double jeopardy protection (see sec. 29.433)	12-37
12.62153	Not applicable when facts have changed	12-37
12.6216	Related matters	12-37
12.62161	Res judicata (see sec. 12.6973)	12-37
12.622	Equitable estoppel	12-37
12.6221	General principles	12-37
12.6222	Particular applications	12-38

12.62221	Prosecutor's representation that lesser-included offense instruction will not be requested does not equitably estop prosecutor from later requesting lesser-included offense instructions	12-38
12.62222	State not estopped from prosecuting defendant criminally for second-offense drunk driving after defendant prosecuted civilly for same act in municipal court	12-38
12.62223	When defendant attacks order relating to restitution in which he acquiesced at time it was imposed	12-38
12.62224	Defendant's attempt to bar second trial on double jeopardy grounds after seeking and obtaining new trial on postconviction motion or on appeal from conviction	12-38
12.6223	Estoppel generally does not lie against government	12-38
12.623	Judicial estoppel	12-38
12.6231	General principles	12-38
12.62311	In general	12-38
12.62312	Judicial estoppel only applies when person has convinced court to completely adopt his or her position	12-39
12.62313	Under rule of judicial estoppel, party may not knowingly relinquish right at trial and then base claim of error on its loss	12-39
12.6232	Particular applications	12-39
12.62321	Prosecutor's representation that lesser-included offense instruction will not be requested does not judicially estop prosecutor from later requesting lesser-included offense instruction	12-39
12.62322	Judicial estoppel doctrine does not preclude pleading defendant from challenging factual basis for plea (see sec. 7.21692)	12-39
12.62323	Defendant's concession that factual basis exists for plea does not judicially estop him from later contending that admitted facts do not constitute an offense	12-39
12.62324	When defendant attacks order relating to restitution in which he acquiesced at time it was imposed	12-39
12.62325	Defendant's attempt to bar second trial on double jeopardy grounds after seeking and obtaining new trial on postconviction motion or on appeal from conviction	12-40
12.62326	Defendant who has agreed to sentence recommended by presentence report, which is then imposed by trial court, is judicially estopped from later challenging sentence as excessive (see sec. 9.936)	12-40

12.62327	Defendant's argument that offenses were unrelated in support of successful motion to exclude evidence of one offense from trial of another estops defendant from making single "course of conduct" argument to support request for sentence credit	12-40
12.62328	Where defendant complains about absence of language in jury instruction that defense counsel suggested should be replaced at time instruction was being considered in trial court	12-40
12.62329	Defendant's contention on appeal that trial court did not have jurisdiction to grant him relief he sought and obtained in trial court	12-40
12.6233	Particular applications (cont'd)	12-40
12.62331	Defendant's arguing that circuit court lacked authority to stay sentence when he took opposite position at time of sentencing	12-40
12.62332	Defendant charged with two counts of possession of a controlled substance with intent to deliver, who with respect to one count convinces jury to convict him of lesser offense of simple possession, may not argue on appeal that two counts are multiplicitous and violate his protection against double jeopardy when he did not move pretrial to consolidate the counts and his trial strategy explicitly depended on preserving separate counts rather than consolidating them	12-40
12.62333	When defendant seeks curative instruction to deal with improper admission of evidence, and agrees to wording of instruction given, he cannot complain on appeal that instruction was inadequate	12-41
12.62334	By seeking consolidation into one action of charges in separate actions, thereby triggering prohibition of Wis. Stat. § 948.025(3) on which defendant relies in seeking relief in case	12-41
12.62335	Application of doctrine of judicial estoppel when prosecutor has dismissed prosecution because trial court excludes evidence on account of discovery violation, and then refiles case and attempts to introduce evidence excluded in initial prosecution in refiled case	12-41
12.62336	Doctrine of judicial estoppel is not applicable to person who signs waiver of rights under federal speedy trial act and then claims waiver is invalid (see sec. 6.91223)	12-41

12.62337	Defendant's asking trial court to release earlier presentence report to parties before considering it, and then objecting on appeal to trial court's consideration of earlier report after releasing it to parties	12-41
12.62338	Prosecutor is not judicially estopped from asking court to reconsider action simply because it sat by silently when action taken	12-41
12.62339	Prosecutor is not judicially estopped from charging crime in information because he has successfully objected to evidence relevant to that crime at preliminary examination	12-41
12.624	Estoppel by record	12-41
12.6241	General principles	12-41
12.6242	Particular applications	12-41
12.62421	There is no estoppel by record when prosecutor has dismissed prosecution because trial court excludes evidence on account of discovery violation, and then refiles case and attempts to introduce evidence excluded in initial prosecution in refiled case	12-41
12.63	Indian Persons And The Criminal Justice System	12-42
12.631	State jurisdiction over Indian persons in general	12-42
12.632	Jurisdiction over crimes committed on Indian reservation	12-42
12.6321	In general	12-42
12.6322	Crimes committed by non-Indian	12-42
12.6323	Crimes committed by Indian who is member of tribe	12-42
12.6324	Crimes committed by Indian who is not member of tribe	12-42
12.6325	Jurisdiction of Kansas over all crimes committed on reservation under Kansas Act	12-42
12.6326	States have jurisdiction over open lands if the applicable surplus land act freed that land of its reservation status and thereby diminished reservation boundaries	12-42
12.6327	Court has jurisdiction over prosecution of Indian, who is felon and who is hunting with firearm on reservation of tribe to which he belongs, for being felon in possession of firearm; such prosecution does not violate Indian's treaty right to hunt	12-43
12.633	Jurisdiction over Indians for crimes committed off the reservation	12-43
12.6331	In general	12-43
12.6332	Jurisdiction over juvenile delinquent placed in custody off reservation pursuant to agreement with Department of Corrections that provides for tribal court's retention of jurisdiction and legal custody of Indian persons (see sec. 12.634)	12-43
12.6333	State's right to regulate off-reservation, treaty-based hunting and fishing rights (see sec. 12.636)	12-43

12.634	State court jurisdiction over delinquent Indian person placed in custody outside reservation pursuant to agreement with Department of Corrections under which tribal court retains jurisdiction and legal custody of all Indian persons affected by tribal court's orders	12-43
12.635	State's right to enforce gambling laws on Indian reservations	12-43
12.636	State's right to regulate off-reservation, treaty-based hunting and fishing rights	12-43
	12.6361 In general	12-43
	12.6362 For conservation purposes	12-43
	12.6363 For protection of public health and safety	12-43
12.637	Propriety of serving state juvenile delinquency petition by mail on the reservation	12-43
12.638	Venue in juvenile delinquency proceeding based on Indian's commission of delinquent acts off-reservation	12-43
12.639	Other matters	12-43
	12.6391 Jurisdiction over Indians found on reservation whose custody is sought in extradition proceeding	12-43
	12.6392 Jurisdiction in sexual predator (ch. 980) proceeding over Native Americans who are members of tribe, residents of their tribe's reservation, and who committed underlying act on that reservation (see sec. 10.72251)	12-44
	12.6393 Congressional abrogation of treaty rights	12-44
12.64	Granting Immunity From Prosecution	12-44
	12.641 Under immunity statute	12-44
	12.6411 Type of immunity conferred	12-44
	12.6412 Need for district attorney to request immunity	12-44
	12.6413 Sufficiency of invocation of privilege	12-44
	12.6414 Persons covered under immunity statute applicable to creditor's actions	12-44
	12.642 Other matters	12-44
12.65	General Principles Relating To Burden And Standard Of Proof	12-44
	12.651 General Principles For Determining Who Has Burden Of Proof And What Standard Of Proof Applies	12-44
	12.6511 In general	12-44
	12.6512 Burden of proof	12-44
	12.6513 Standard of proof	12-44
	12.6514 Standard of appellate review of determination who has burden of proof (see sec. 11.638)	12-45
	12.6515 Other and related matters	12-45
	12.65151 When party fails to produce any credible evidence as to element, party fails to meet burden of proof as matter of law (see sec. 12.6861)	12-45
	12.65152 Party having burden of proof cannot leave court in evidentiary vacuum (see sec. 12.6865)	12-45
	12.65153 Appellate review of question of law is not ordinarily governed by burden or standard of proof (see sec. 11.6395)	12-45
	12.65154 Distinction between burden of proof and burden of production	12-45

12.652	General Principles Relating To What Particular Standard Of Proof	
	Requires	12-45
	12.6521 In general	12-45
	12.6522 Preponderance of the evidence	12-45
12.66	Matters Relating To Particular Persons Involved In Criminal Proceedings	12-45
12.661	Public defender	12-45
	12.6611 Appointment of public defender	12-45
	12.6612 Attributes of public defender	12-45
	12.66121 In general	12-45
	12.66122 Ordinarily not considered state actor	12-45
	12.66123 May be state actor when making hiring and firing decisions or while performing administrative duties	12-45
	12.6613 Duties and responsibilities of public defender	12-45
	12.66131 In general	12-45
	12.66132 Duty to appoint successor counsel upon withdrawal of trial counsel	12-45
	12.66133 Responsible for payment of experts necessary for adequate defense	12-45
	12.66134 Responsible for payment of interpreter's fees	12-46
	12.6614 Rights of public defender	12-46
	12.66141 In general	12-46
	12.66142 Right to be provided with court record, including transcript or copy thereof (see sec. 11.8924)	12-46
	12.6615 Court review of public defender's actions	12-46
	12.66151 In general	12-46
	12.66152 Review of public defender's reduction of appointed counsel's fees	12-46
	12.66153 Circuit court has no jurisdiction to declare SPD rules defining indigency invalid in proceeding to determine whether indigent entitled to SPD representation	12-46
	12.6616 Other and related matters	12-46
	12.66161 Appointment of public defender to represent defendant not indigent or partially indigent under public defender standards (see sec. 12.677)	12-46
	12.66162 Indigent's constitutional right to appointment of counsel (see sec. 25.54)	12-46
12.662	Special prosecutor	12-46
	12.6621 Appointment of (see sec. 6.67)	12-46
	12.6622 Scope of powers in general	12-46
	12.6623 Propriety of prosecuting defendant for bail jumping arising out of prosecution for crime that special prosecutor was appointed to prosecute	12-46
	12.6624 Right to dismiss charges issued by judge at conclusion of John Doe hearing	12-46
	12.6625 Setting compensation for special prosecutor	12-46
12.663	Court commissioner	12-46
	12.6631 Powers and authority of	12-46
	12.66311 In general	12-47

12.66312	Power to issue search warrant (see sec. 1.42821)	12-47
12.66313	Power to expunge record in OWI prosecution	12-47
12.66314	Authority to make required probable cause determination after warrantless arrest	12-47
12.66315	Authority to conduct plea hearing in juvenile case	12-47
12.66316	Power to conduct preliminary examination (see sec. 6.4698)	12-47
12.6632	Circuit court review of decisions	12-47
12.66321	In general	12-47
12.66322	Review of bindover decision (see sec. 6.4824)	12-47
12.6633	Appellate court review of decisions	12-47
12.664	District attorney	12-47
12.6641	Function and nature of office of district attorney in general	12-47
12.66411	In general	12-47
12.66412	Duty of prosecutor to see justice done, not to win case (see sec. 47.814)	12-47
12.6642	Relationship of district attorney to various branches of government	12-48
12.66421	Is officer of court and occupies "quasi-judicial" office	12-48
12.66422	Is member of executive branch	12-48
12.6643	Duties in criminal prosecution and other proceedings	12-48
12.66431	In general	12-48
12.66432	Preparation of criminal complaints	12-48
12.66433	Duty to exercise due diligence in attempting to locate juvenile so that juvenile can be notified that delinquency petition has been filed (see sec. 42.211)	12-48
12.66434	Duty to exercise "reasonable diligence" in attempting to locate victims and witnesses before asking for adjournments (see sec. 8.82115)	12-48
12.6644	Scope of powers in general	12-48
12.6645	Exercise of discretion (see sec. 12.4)	12-48
12.6646	Need to disclose files under open records law (see sec. 47.7251)	12-48
12.6647	Power to amend judgment	12-48
12.6648	Miscellaneous maxims, aphorisms and principles relating to district attorney	12-48
12.66481	Duty of prosecutor to see justice done, not to win case (see sec. 47.814)	12-49
12.66482	Prosecutor permitted to strike hard blows, but not foul ones (see sec. 47.8191)	12-49
12.66483	District attorney who may disagree with law is still obliged to follow it	12-49
12.6649	Other matters	12-49
12.66491	Prohibition on district attorney making statements to press that will have substantial likelihood of prejudicing an adjudicative proceeding	12-49
12.66492	Conflict of interest precluding handling of prosecution	12-49

12.66493	Disqualification of district attorney (see sec. 6.954)	12-49
12.66494	Defendant's right of access to district attorney's file on his case after prosecution completed and file closed	12-49
12.66495	Prosecutor's obligations under Rules of Professional Conduct for Attorneys (see sec. 47.891)	12-49
12.665	Clerk of court	12-49
12.6651	Authority to administer oath to witness (see sec. 20.3432)	12-49
12.6652	Authority to modify judgment of conviction in general	12-49
12.6653	Acts of clerk of court are ministerial and clerical, and court may not exercise judicial power except in accordance with strict language of statute conferring such power	12-49
12.6654	Does not have authority to correct clerical error in sentence portion of judgment of conviction independent of circuit court	12-49
12.666	Attorney general	12-49
12.6661	Function and nature of office of district attorney in general	12-49
12.66611	In general	12-49
12.66612	Duty of attorney general to see justice done, not to win case	12-50
12.6662	Is member of executive branch	12-50
12.6663	Persuasiveness of opinions (see sec. 47.851)	12-50
12.6664	Requirement that attorney general be notified when constitutional challenge made (see sec. 47.4991)	12-50
12.6665	Opinions (see sec. 47.85)	12-50
12.667	Sheriff	12-50
12.6671	Sheriff's power to place persons on home monitoring when they have been given jail time as condition of probation	12-50
12.66711	In general (see sec. 9.63341)	12-50
12.66712	Court's preclusion of placement of defendant on home monitoring substantially interferes with sheriff's authority to place persons in his or her custody on home monitoring and thus violates separation of powers doctrine (see sec. 30.98658)	12-50
12.66713	Court's ordering defendant to refuse home monitoring substantially interferes with sheriff's authority to place persons in his or her custody on home monitoring and thus violates separation of powers doctrine (see sec. 30.98659)	12-50
12.668	Persons in federal court system	12-50
12.6681	Federal magistrate	12-50
12.66811	Has no authority to preside over jury selection without defendant's consent	12-50
12.66812	Has authority to preside over jury selection with defendant's consent	12-50
12.6682	Independent counsel	12-50

	12.66821	Constitutionality of independent counsel provisions of federal Ethics in Government Act of 1978	12-50
12.669		Defense counsel	12-51
	12.6691	Compensation for counsel appointed to represent capital defendant in proceedings before United States Supreme Court	12-51
	12.6692	Prohibition on defense attorney making statements to press that will have substantial likelihood of prejudicing an adjudicative proceeding	12-51
12.67		Incidental, Implied And Inherent Powers Of Trial Court	12-51
	12.671	In general	12-51
	12.672	Right to dismiss criminal case in general	12-51
	12.6721	With prejudice	12-51
	12.67211	General rule is that, in absence of statute granting power to do so, trial court has no authority to dismiss with prejudice	12-51
	12.67212	But when statute authorizes dismissal, trial court may have discretion to dismiss with prejudice	12-51
	12.6722	Without prejudice	12-52
	12.67221	No right to dismiss case over state's objection absent statutory authorization or constitutional violation requiring dismissal	12-52
	12.67222	At request of prosecutor (see sec. 12.44)	12-52
12.673		Right to dismiss proceeding when party obstructs administration of justice (e.g., when defendant escapes)	12-52
	12.6731	In general	12-52
	12.6732	Dismissal because of defendant's escape is dismissal on the merits	12-52
12.674		No right to expunge juvenile arrest record after delinquency petition subsequently dismissed	12-52
12.675		No right to expunge court's record of juvenile adjudication	12-52
12.676		May be exercised against third parties without commencement of independent action	12-52
12.677		Inherent power to appoint counsel for representation of party, including indigent criminal defendant	12-52
	12.6771	In general	12-52
	12.6772	Power to appoint counsel for indigent defendant when public defender fails to act	12-52
	12.6773	Court may exercise inherent power to appoint public defender to represent non-indigent defendant only in rare and unusual circumstance where no other reasonable alternative is available	12-52
	12.6774	When court exercises inherent power to appoint counsel for party, the responsibility for payment for representation rests with county	12-53
12.678		Other matters	12-53
	12.6781	No right to impose restrictions on occupational license other than those enumerated in statute	12-53

12.6782	Inherent power to decide necessity of defense consultants for indigent criminal defendant	12-53
12.6783	To assess jury fees	12-53
12.6784	Right to order correction of presentence report (see sec. 9.1238)	12-53
12.6785	Power to set fees for court-appointed counsel paid from public funds	12-53
12.6786	Power to punish for contempt	12-53
12.6787	Power to impose sanctions for party's failure to comply with rules of procedure	12-53
12.6788	Municipal court has inherent power to vacate judgment entered in excess of its jurisdiction	12-53
12.6789	Power to vacate or modify order	12-53
12.679	Other matters (cont'd)	12-53
12.6791	Inherent power to formulate procedural rules does not include power to develop rules that circumvent or conflict with Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure	12-53
12.6792	Right to grant forfeiture of property related to drug dealing when property owner has fled jurisdiction to avoid prosecution for drug offenses (see sec. 47.156)	12-53
12.6793	No right to award money judgment to defendant against state in context of criminal proceeding (e.g., for restitution monies improperly collected by state from defendant)	12-54
12.6794	Right to reconsider, and vacate or modify, order	12-54
12.6795	Power to seal (i.e., limit public access to) documents and records (see sec. 12.841)	12-54
12.6796	Inherent authority to suppress evidence when suppression is not otherwise required by constitution or statutes (see sec. 1.9994)	12-54
12.6797	Action cannot be justified as exercise of inherent authority unless court has invoked inherent authority	12-54
12.68	Other Matters	12-54
12.681	Nonprosecution agreement	12-54
12.6811	Validity of	12-54
12.6812	Repudiation of agreement by state because of defendant's breach	12-54
12.6813	Vacation of agreement at state's request because of defendant's breach	12-54
12.6814	Admission of statement made by defendant pursuant to nonprosecution agreement later repudiated by state because of material breach by defendant	12-54
12.682	Standing to seek judicial resolution of controversy	12-54
12.6821	General principles	12-54
12.68211	In general	12-54
12.68212	Standing to challenge statutory violation	12-54
12.68213	Standing to raise violation of constitutional rights (see sec. 21.3)	12-55
12.6822	Particular situations	12-55

	12.68221	Standing of community residents and/or district attorney to challenge calculation of criminal defendant's mandatory release date	12-55
	12.68222	Standing to challenge validity of subpoena duces tecum issued under Wis. Stat. § 968.135 (see sec. 1.6946)	12-55
	12.6823	Appellate review of question of standing	12-55
	12.68231	Standard of review	12-55
12.683		Matters relating to victims and witnesses of crime	12-55
	12.6831	Awards for victims of crime (see sec. 47.51)	12-55
	12.6832	Rights of victims and witnesses of crime	12-55
	12.68321	In general	12-55
	12.68322	Court orders relating to victims and witnesses (see sec. 6.68)	12-55
	12.68323	Right of victim of sexual assault to have defendant subjected to HIV testing (see sec. 47.542)	12-55
12.684		Effect of defendant's death on judgment of conviction	12-55
	12.6841	In general	12-55
	12.6842	When defendant commits suicide	12-55
12.685		Remedy for failure to comply with procedural requirements	12-55
	12.6851	In general	12-55
	12.6852	Time requirements in general (see sec. 12.78)	12-55
	12.6853	Requirement of timely hearing	12-55
12.686		Other matters	12-56
	12.6861	When party fails to produce any credible evidence as to element, party fails to meet burden of proof as a matter of law	12-56
	12.6862	Conviction occurs upon adjudication of guilt, regardless of fact that sentencing occurs later, but valid judgment of conviction cannot be entered until sentencing occurs	12-56
	12.6863	Imposition of clerk of court fees pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 814.60(1) (see sec. 12.725)	12-56
	12.6864	Imposition of jail assessment pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 302.46(1) (see sec. 12.726)	12-56
	12.6865	Party having burden of proof cannot leave court in evidentiary vacuum	12-56
	12.6866	Defendant released on parole is serving sentence (see sec. 9.49262)	12-56
	12.6867	When defendant reaches mandatory release date, he is entitled to immediate release on parole; release may not be delayed because department cannot find suitable residence for him (see sec.)	12-56
	12.6868	Return of seized property pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 968.20 (see sec. 1.997)	12-56
12.687		Other matters (cont'd)	12-56
	12.6871	Extradition of persons of unsound mind	12-56
	12.6872	Enjoining enforcement of criminal law	12-56
	12.6873	Election of remedies	12-56

12.68731	Introduction of other acts evidence after trial court states that its admission will be conditioned on foreclosure of future prosecution for crimes to which other acts evidence relates does not constitute an election that bars future prosecution for those crimes	12-56
12.6874	Right of third party (e.g., victim, person affected by discovery request, etc.) to participate in criminal proceeding	12-56
12.68741	In general	12-56
12.68742	Right of person whose confidential treatment records are being sought to participate in hearing on whether judge will examine records in camera and release them (see sec. 6.89332)	12-57
12.68743	Right of person who posted bail to participate in proceedings relating to disposition of bail	12-57
12.6875	Court does not have power, in context of criminal proceeding, to award money judgment against state to defendant (e.g., for restitution monies improperly collected by state from defendant)	12-57
12.6876	Entering judgment or order "nunc pro tunc"	12-57
12.6877	Federal Declaratory Judgment Act	12-57
12.68771	When use of act appropriate	12-57
12.6878	Recusal or disqualification of judge (see sec. 6.971)	12-57
12.6879	When criminal action commences	12-57
12.68791	In general	12-57
12.68792	For purposes of statute of limitations (see sec. 12.331)	12-57
12.68793	For purposes of right to speedy trial (see sec. 30.12)	12-57
12.688	Other matters (cont'd)	12-57
12.6881	Intervention in criminal case	12-57
12.6882	Joining civil and criminal charges in single prosecution	12-57
12.6883	Federal court's enjoining state court proceeding	12-57
12.6884	Municipal court has inherent power to vacate judgment of conviction entered in excess of its subject-matter jurisdiction	12-57
12.6885	Administrative subpoena	12-58
12.6886	Distinction between substance and procedure	12-58
12.6887	Payment for transportation of defendant from prison to court	12-58
12.6888	Use of interpreter in court proceeding	12-58
12.6889	Equitable relief	12-58
12.689	Other matters (cont'd)	12-58
12.6891	Conviction occurs upon adjudication of guilt, regardless of fact that sentencing occurs later	12-58
12.6892	Need for written document evidencing dismissal of criminal charge	12-58
12.6893	Presumption that judicial proceedings in other states are proper	12-58
12.6894	Effect of acquittal: does not prove innocence, only existence of reasonable doubt about guilt	12-58

12.6895	De facto officer doctrine	12-58
12.6896	Waiving filing costs and fees for indigent litigants	12-58
12.6897	Representation by law student under student practice rules (see sec. 25.5953)	12-58
12.69	Other matters (cont'd)	12-58
12.691	Statute of limitations on "action on a judgment"	12-58
12.6911	In general	12-58
12.6912	Applicability in criminal case	12-58
12.6913	Does not apply to correction of clerical error in sentence portion of judgment of conviction	12-59
12.692	Laches	12-59
12.6921	General principles	12-59
12.69211	In general	12-59
12.69212	Test for laches	12-59
12.69213	Burden of proof on question of laches	12-59
12.69214	If defense of laches proved, court still has discretion whether to apply it	12-59
12.6922	Particular applications	12-59
12.69221	Does not bar correction of clerical error in sentence portion of judgment of conviction after lapse of substantial period of time from original judgment of conviction	12-59
12.69222	Application in habeas corpus proceedings (see sec. 43.542)	12-59
12.693	Defendant's right to interpreter	12-59
12.6931	In general	12-59
12.6932	When interpreter constitutionally required (see sec. 30.971)	12-59
12.694	Motion for reconsideration	12-59
12.6941	Court's inherent power to entertain in general	12-59
12.6942	Juvenile court's power to entertain	12-59
12.6943	Motion for trial court's reconsideration of order to be appealed from (see sec. 11.3975)	12-59
12.6944	Trial court's jurisdiction to entertain motion for reconsideration after appeal taken (see sec. 11.39754)	12-60
12.6945	Time for making; timeliness of motion	12-60
12.69451	In general	12-60
12.69452	If order, reconsideration of which is sought, is final order, motion must be made before time to appeal order has expired	12-60
12.69453	If order, reconsideration of which is sought, is nonfinal order, motion may be made at any time prior to entry of final order or judgment	12-60
12.6946	What party making motion must show to prevail on motion	12-60
12.6947	Appeal from denial of motion	12-60
12.69471	Appealability of order denying motion for reconsideration (see sec. 11.11963)	12-60
12.69472	Standard of review on appeal	12-60
12.695	Other matters	12-60
12.6951	Court's authority to consider constitutional issue sua sponte	12-60

12.6952	Presumption of regularity attaches to final judgments (see sec. 20.2582)	12-60
12.6953	Requiring defendant and/or attorney to pay state's trial expenses incurred before court declared mistrial because of defendant's and/or attorney's failure to follow court's order	12-60
12.6954	Effect and meaning of verdict of acquittal (see sec. 8.6895)	12-60
12.6955	State courts not bound by decisions of federal courts	12-60
12.6956	Order denying one form of requested relief does not sub silentio grant the alternative relief requested	12-60
12.6957	Conflict between oral pronouncement and written embodiment of that pronouncement in decision, order or judgment	12-61
12.696	Other matters (cont'd)	12-61
12.6961	Dealing with pro se litigants	12-61
12.69611	Court will follow liberal policy when judging sufficiency of pro se pleadings by prisoners	12-61
12.69612	Court will follow liberal policy when judging hearing record involving pro se prisoner	12-61
12.6962	Correction of clerical error in sentence portion of judgment of conviction (see sec. 12.737)	12-61
12.6963	Rescission of order	12-61
12.69631	Effect of in general	12-61
12.6964	Prosecutor's duty in criminal prosecution in general (see sec. 12.6643)	12-61
12.6965	Requirement of "case or controversy" as prerequisite to jurisdiction of federal courts	12-61
12.6966	Review of reasonableness of appointed counsel's fees by State Public Defender Board	12-61
12.6967	Removal of criminal case to federal court	12-61
12.69671	In general	12-61
12.69672	Must be predicated on averment of federal defense	12-61
12.6968	Void judgment or order may be expunged or vacated by court at any time	12-61
12.6969	Dismissal of charges, reversal of conviction, or suppression of evidence as disciplinary measure for prosecutorial misconduct (see sec. 11.8936)	12-61
12.697	Other matters (cont'd)	12-62
12.6971	Payment of witness fees to state prisoner who testifies at federal trial pursuant to writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum	12-62
12.6972	Defendant bound by acts of attorney (see sec. 25.59712)	12-62
12.6973	Res judicata (claim preclusion)	12-62
12.69731	In general	12-62
12.69732	Does not bar successive detainer requests	12-62

12.69733	Does not bar sexual predator (ch. 980) commitment proceeding upon defendant's release from prison after serving sentence remaining after revocation of parole when earlier commitment proceeding prior to release on parole was dismissed on merits	12-62
12.69734	When prosecutor has dismissed prosecution because trial court excludes evidence on account of discovery violation, and then refiles case, evidence excluded in initial prosecution may be admitted in refiled case without violating doctrine of claim preclusion	12-62
12.69735	Question of subject matter jurisdiction is subject to principles of claim preclusion	12-62
12.69736	Wisconsin's nomenclature for res judicata is "claim preclusion"	12-62
12.69737	Under statute proscribing engaging in repeated acts of sexual assault of the same child, prosecution based on acts during particular time period that occurred in one venue is not barred by doctrine of claim preclusion when defendant has been acquitted of acts during same time period that occurred in different venue	12-62
12.6974	Defendant's right to be provided with transcript of testimony and other proceedings	12-62
12.69741	In general	12-63
12.69742	Indigent's right to transcript of proceedings at public expense (see sec. 23.311)	12-63
12.6975	Law of the case (see sec. 47.86)	12-63
12.6976	What constitutes "ministerial act"	12-63
12.6977	Requirement that attorney general be notified when constitutional challenge made (see sec. 47.4991)	12-63
12.6978	Common law rules preserved in Wisconsin (see sec. 31.741)	12-63
12.6979	Assessing jury fees	12-63
12.698	Other matters (cont'd)	12-63
12.6981	Public defender's right to copy of transcript at public expense (see sec. 11.8924)	12-63
12.6982	Suppression of evidence on account of nonconstitutional violation of defendant's rights (see sec. 20.864)	12-63
12.6983	Courts are not generally bound by party's concession on issue of law	12-63
12.6984	Propriety of ex parte issuance of order	12-63
12.69841	In general	12-63
12.69842	When ex parte issuance of order is harmless error	12-63
12.69843	Waiver of complaint about ex parte issuance of order	12-63
12.7	Other Procedural Matters (cont'd)	12-63
12.71	Definitions relating to procedural matters	12-63
12.711	"Trial"	12-63

12.712	"Adjudication"	12-63
12.713	Other definitions	12-63
12.7131	"Conviction"; "convicted"	12-64
12.71311	In general	12-64
12.71312	Does not include juvenile delinquency adjudication	12-64
12.7132	"Alibi" (see sec. 6.87212)	12-64
12.7133	"Discovery" (see sec. 6.8998)	12-64
12.7134	"Suppression" of evidence	12-64
12.7135	"Exclusion" of evidence	12-64
12.7136	"Arraign"; "arraignment"	12-64
12.7137	"Reporting" of judicial proceedings	12-64
12.7138	"Record"	12-64
12.7139	"Detainer" (see sec. 6.2421)	12-64
12.714	Other definitions (cont'd)	12-64
12.7141	"Error"	12-64
12.7142	"Allocution"	12-64
12.7143	"Court of competent jurisdiction"	12-64
12.7144	"Modification"	12-64
12.7145	"Adjustment"	12-64
12.7146	"Guardian"	12-64
12.7147	"Conservator"	12-64
12.7148	"Substantial"	12-64
12.7149	"Modify"	12-65
12.715	Other definitions (cont'd)	12-65
12.7151	"Dismissal without prejudice"	12-65
12.7152	"Issue" or "issued"	12-65
12.7153	"Affidavit"	12-65
12.7154	"Pending"	12-65
12.7155	"Bail"	12-65
12.7156	"Bond"	12-65
12.7157	"Judgment"	12-65
12.7158	"Collateral attack" (see sec. 12.752)	12-65
12.7159	"Approval"	12-65
12.716	Other definitions (cont'd)	12-65
12.7161	"Argument"	12-65
12.7162	"Commencement" of action	12-65
12.7163	"Require"	12-65
12.7164	"Prisoner"	12-65
12.7165	"File" or "filed"	12-65
12.71651	In general	12-65
12.71652	"File" does not mean "deposit in a prison mailbox" in case of pro se prisoner	12-65
12.7166	"Properly filed"	12-65
12.7167	"Clerical error"	12-66
12.7168	"Stay"	12-66
12.7169	"Consent"	12-66
12.717	Other definitions (cont'd)	12-66
12.7171	"Fee"	12-66
12.7172	"Jurisdiction"	12-66

	12.7173	"Competence"	12-66
	12.7174	"Adult court"	12-66
	12.7175	"Juvenile court"	12-66
	12.7176	"Contraband"	12-66
	12.7177	"Rendered," with respect to judgment or order	12-66
	12.7178	"Entered," with respect to judgment or order	12-66
	12.7179	"Record"	12-66
12.718		Other definitions (cont'd)	12-66
	12.7181	"Court"	12-66
	12.7182	"Excusable neglect"	12-66
	12.7183	"Sanction" (see sec. 31.5957)	12-66
	12.7184	"Prosecution" (see sec. 31.5954)	12-66
	12.7185	"Fee"	12-67
	12.7186	"Disbursements"	12-67
	12.7187	"Motion"	12-67
	12.7188	"Legal cause"	12-67
	12.7189	"Residence"	12-67
12.719		Other definitions (cont'd)	12-67
	12.7191	"Judge"	12-67
	12.7192	"Expunge" and "expunction"	12-67
	12.7193	"Injunction"	12-67
	12.7194	"General damages"	12-67
	12.7195	"Special damages"	12-67
	12.7196	"Earn"	12-67
	12.7197	"Stipulation"	12-67
	12.7198	"Domicile"	12-67
	12.7199	"Refuse"	12-67
12.72		Taxing, collection, and waiver of court costs, fees, and surcharges	12-67
	12.721	General principles governing taxing of costs	12-67
	12.7211	Costs are penal in nature	12-68
	12.7212	Decision whether to assess costs addressed to trial court's discretion	12-68
	12.7213	Cost must be taxed as part of sentence; order taxing costs entered subsequent to and separate from sentence is invalid; cost not imposed at sentencing cannot be ordered at later time	12-68
	12.7214	Costs may not be taxed against state or administrative agency of state unless expressly authorized by statute	12-68
	12.722	Collection or waiver of costs, fees, and surcharges	12-68
	12.7221	Court is required to order remainder of defendant's bond deposit to be applied toward payment of court costs (see sec. 6.33843)	12-68
	12.7222	Authority of court to waive payment of court costs	12-68
		12.72221 In general	12-68
		12.72222 Based on defendant's indigency	12-68
	12.7223	Court may not use credit for presentence incarceration time to satisfy court costs	12-68
	12.7224	Collection of attorney's fees for court-appointed counsel	12-68
		12.72241 In general	12-68

	12.72242	Defendant may not be committed to jail for failure to pay attorney's fees absent finding that defendant was able to pay attorney-fee obligation	12-68
12.7225		Commitment to jail for failure to pay costs, fees, and surcharges (Wis. Stat. § 973.07)	12-69
	12.72251	For failure to pay attorney's fees for court-appointed counsel (see sec. 12.72242)	12-69
	12.72252	Sufficiency of commitment order to justify entry into defendant's home to execute it	12-69
12.723		Taxing particular item as costs: "Necessary disbursements and fees of officers allowed by law and incurred in connection with the arrest, preliminary examination and trial of the defendant"	12-69
	12.7231	In general	12-69
	12.7232	Does this cover fees and travel costs generated by service of orders to produce defendant from prison for court appearances?	12-69
12.724		Taxing particular item as costs: "Fees and disbursements allowed by the court to expert witnesses"	12-69
	12.7241	In general	12-69
	12.7242	Does not authorize assessing state crime lab expenses as cost	12-69
	12.7243	Provides authority to order payment to private facility that has conducted test (e.g., DNA test) for prosecution	12-69
	12.7244	Assessment of this cost does not require that witness have actually testified	12-69
	12.7245	Assessment of this cost does not require that services of expert witness were undertaken in contemplation of trial	12-69
	12.7246	Particular expert witness fee or disbursement: fee charged sheriff for sexual assault examination of victim by hospital	12-69
12.725		Taxing particular item as costs: Clerk of court fees under Wis. Stat. § 814.60(1)	12-69
	12.7251	Clerk may collect twenty dollar fee for each count upon which judgment was entered	12-70
12.726		Taxing particular item as costs: Jail assessment imposed by Wis. Stat. § 302.46(1)	12-70
	12.7261	Jail assessment may not be imposed if court does not assess fine or forfeiture as part of sentence	12-70
12.727		Taxing other items as costs	12-70
	12.7271	General law enforcement expenses relating to investigation and arrest (i.e., general internal operating expenses) that did not involve specific expenditures or disbursements	12-70
	12.7272	Attorney fees payable to defense counsel	12-70
	12.7273	State Crime Lab fees in controlled substances prosecution	12-70
	12.7274	Funds used by police agency in controlled substances prosecution to make purchase that resulted in conviction ("buy money")	12-70
	12.7275	Fees of reconstruction expert retained by state in prosecution for criminal operation of vehicle	12-70
	12.7276	Investigative expenses in general	12-70
	12.7277	Litigation expenses in general	12-70

	12.7278	Special prosecutor fees	12-71
	12.7279	Fees and disbursements allowed by the court to expert witnesses (see sec. 12.724)	12-71
12.728		Taxing other items as costs (cont'd)	12-71
	12.7281	Contribution to crime prevention organization	12-71
	12.72811	In general	12-71
	12.72812	Crime prevention organization does not include law enforcement agency	12-71
	12.72813	Requirement of determination that person has financial ability to pay	12-71
	12.7282	Fees sheriff expended in transporting state's primary witness from another state to Wisconsin for defendant's trial	12-71
	12.7283	Fees and travel costs generated by service of orders to produce defendant from prison for court appearances (see sec. 12.7232)	12-71
	12.7284	State Crime Lab fees in controlled substances prosecution (see sec. 12.7242)	12-71
	12.7285	Costs incurred by police department as result of police standoff with defendant who is convicted of offenses arising out of standoff (e.g., failure to comply with officer's attempt to take person into custody, obstructing officer, etc.)	12-71
	12.7286	Cost of standby counsel	12-71
	12.72861	In general	12-71
	12.72862	Need to determine defendant's ability to pay	12-71
12.729		Other and related matters	12-71
	12.7291	Imposition of costs on defendant based on mistaken belief that defendant was responsible for their being incurred will be reversed	12-71
	12.7292	Imposition of DNA surcharge (see sec. 9.4984)	12-71
12.73		Judgment of conviction	12-71
	12.731	What constitutes, and essential characteristics of, in general	12-72
	12.732	Form and contents in general	12-72
	12.733	Valid judgment of conviction cannot be entered until all necessary ingredients, including sentence, exist	12-72
	12.734	May contain trial judge's parole recommendation	12-72
	12.735	Propriety of including, in judgment of conviction, notation regarding dismissal of certain counts	12-72
	12.736	Entering judgment of conviction "nunc pro tunc"	12-72
	12.737	Correction of clerical error in sentence portion of judgment	12-72
	12.7371	By circuit court	12-72
	12.7372	By clerk of court (see sec. 12.6654)	12-72
	12.7373	Need for notice and hearing at which defendant is present before correction can be made	12-72
	12.7374	Neither statute of limitations for an action on a judgment nor doctrine of laches bars correction even though twenty years have elapsed since entry of original judgment of conviction	12-72
12.738		Correction or amendment of judgment of conviction with respect to defendant's name	12-72
	12.7381	In general	12-72

12.7382	Correction or amendment to reflect defendant's legal name (name granted by court in name-change proceeding), rather than his given name, which was used throughout criminal proceedings	12-72
12.7383	Correction or amendment to reflect defendant's common-law name (name acquired through consistent and continuous usage, so long as name change is not effected for fraudulent purpose), rather than his given name, which was used throughout criminal proceeding	12-73
12.73831	In general	12-73
12.73832	Need to provide evidence of defendant's consistent and continuous use of alleged common-law name	12-73
12.7384	Forfeiture of right to have name corrected or amended by failure to raise issue during pendency of criminal case	12-73
12.739	Other matters	12-73
12.7391	Relief from judgment of conviction (see sec. 12.796)	12-73
12.7392	Clerk has no authority to amend judgment of conviction without authorization of court	12-73
12.7393	Presumption of regularity that attaches to judgment of conviction	12-73
12.7394	When judgment of conviction is deemed "final"	12-73
12.73941	In general	12-73
12.73942	When judgment of conviction is "final" for purposes of retroactivity analysis (see sec. 47.2181)	12-73
12.73943	When judgment of conviction is final for purposes of commencing statute of limitations in federal habeas corpus action (see sec. 10.6923)	12-73
12.73944	When judgment of conviction is final for purposes of postconviction motion under 28 U.S.C. §2255 in federal criminal proceeding (see sec. 10.89321)	12-73
12.7395	Interpreting judgment of conviction	12-73
12.73951	Where there is ambiguity in trial court's judgment, appellate court defers to trial court's construction of its own language, as long as it is reasonable	12-73
12.73952	Where oral pronouncement of judgment is ambiguous, appellate court may look to entire record, including written judgment, to determine trial court's intent	12-74
12.7396	Deferral of entry of judgment of conviction pursuant to plea agreement	12-74
12.73961	Authority of court to defer entry of judgment of conviction	12-74
12.73962	Plea agreement to defer entry of judgment of conviction on particular counts is not deferred prosecution agreement and, therefore, need not meet requirements of deferred prosecution agreement (see sec. 12.8132)	12-74

	12.73963	Deferral of entry of judgment in controlled substances prosecution (see sec. 36.189)	12-74
12.7397		Not necessary to enter judgment immediately following verdict, finding, or plea	12-74
12.7398		Amending judgment of conviction to different offense upon successful completion of probation	12-74
	12.73981	In general	12-74
	12.73982	Amendment not permitted even if motion to reopen and amend is filed before defendant completes probationary term	12-74
12.74		Prisoner Litigation Reform Act	12-74
	12.741	Constitutionality of act	12-74
	12.7411	Differentiation between in-state and out-of-state prisoners does not deny equal protection of the laws (see sec. 23.688)	12-74
12.742		Process and procedure under act in general	12-74
12.743		Definition of "prisoner" to whom act applies	12-75
	12.7431	In general	12-75
	12.7432	"Prisoner" only includes those who committed a crime on or after September 1, 1998	12-75
	12.7433	Inmate petitioning for writ of habeas corpus claiming ineffective assistance of counsel relating to probation revocation (see sec. 43.58922)	12-75
	12.7434	Inmate petitioning for writ of habeas corpus challenging computation of period of incarceration after revocation of parole (see sec. 43.58923)	12-75
	12.7435	Inmate petitioning for writ of certiorari challenging probation revocation (see sec. 43.4712)	12-75
12.744		Applicability of act to particular proceedings	12-75
	12.7441	Inmate's petition for writ of habeas corpus claiming ineffective assistance of counsel relating to probation revocation (see sec. 43.58922)	12-75
	12.7442	Inmate's petition for writ of habeas corpus challenging computation of period of incarceration after revocation of parole (see sec. 43.58923)	12-75
	12.7443	Inmate's petition for writ of certiorari challenging revocation of probation (see sec. 43.4712)	12-75
12.745		Time limits for filing action under PLRA	12-75
12.746		Other and related matters	12-75
	12.7461	Dismissal that occurred prior to effective date of Prisoner Litigation Reform Act does not count toward three prior dismissals that may provide grounds for dismissing action or proceeding	12-75
	12.7462	Federal Prisoner Litigation Reform Act (see sec. 12.7987)	12-75
12.75		Collateral attack	12-75
	12.751	General principles	12-75
	12.7511	In general	12-76
	12.7512	Attack on judgment or order based on fraud in its procurement	12-76
	12.7513	Attack on judgment or order based on lack of counsel in proceeding that resulted in judgment or order	12-76

12.75131	In general (see secs. 12.7525, 12.7526, and 12.7527)	12-76
12.75132	Collateral attack on judgment or order is generally limited to this ground (see sec. 12.7525)	12-76
12.7514	Attack on judgment or order based on alleged lack of subject-matter jurisdiction (see sec. 12.75252)	12-76
12.7515	Attack on judgment or order based on lack of fair and impartial decision maker in proceeding that resulted in judgment or order	12-76
12.7516	Permissibility of collateral attack when basis for attack constitutes newly discovered evidence	12-76
12.752	On conviction	12-76
12.7521	In general	12-76
12.7522	When prior conviction used to enhance punishment for present offense under general repeater (i.e., habitual criminality) statute (see sec. 31.6293)	12-77
12.7523	Defendant's attempt, in sexual predator (i.e., ch. 980) proceeding, to prove that he did not commit sexual assault of which he was convicted does not constitute collateral attack on conviction	12-77
12.7524	Stipulation to prior conviction precludes collateral attack on that conviction (see sec. 12.6112)	12-77
12.7525	Grounds for attack: Attack is generally limited to claim of alleged violation of right to counsel	12-77
12.75251	In general	12-77
12.75252	Attack cannot be based on alleged lack of subject matter jurisdiction	12-77
12.75253	May attack be based on lack of fair and impartial decision maker in proceeding that resulted in conviction? (see sec. 12.7515)	12-77
12.75254	Permissibility of collateral attack when basis for attack constitutes newly discovered evidence (see sec. 12.7516)	12-78
12.7526	Attacker's obligation to make prima facie case establishing denial of counsel (i.e., absence of counsel and lack of valid waiver of right to counsel)	12-78
12.75261	In general	12-78
12.75262	Attacker's establishing prima facie denial of counsel in prior proceeding by submission of affidavit	12-78
12.75263	To make out prima facie case, attacker must supply all relevant transcripts that are available	12-78
12.75264	When claim of lack of valid waiver of right to counsel is based on trial court's failure to engage in required colloquy at time of waiver (see sec. 25.4832)	12-78
12.75265	Appellate review of whether attacker established prima facie case	12-78
12.7527	State's obligation, once prima facie case is made, to prove defendant validly waived right to counsel	12-78
12.75271	In general	12-78

12.75272	In proving valid waiver, state may rely on trial court's reference, in high-volume traffic and misdemeanor courts, to court's recitation en masse of constitutional rights at start of proceedings and then incorporation of that recitation by reference as each individual defendant's case is called	12-78
12.75273	In proving valid waiver, state may call defendant to witness stand and examine him regarding waiver (see sec. 25.4834)	12-79
12.75274	If defendant refuses to testify at hearing, trial court may infer that state has satisfied burden to show knowing and voluntary waiver of right to counsel (see sec. 25.4835)	12-79
12.75275	State not required to overcome "presumption against waiver" (see sec. 12.75275)	12-79
12.7528	Collateral attack on prior conviction in particular prosecutions	12-79
12.75281	Prosecution for multiple-offense operating after revocation or suspension (see sec. 37.2683)	12-79
12.75282	Prosecution for multiple-offense drunk driving (see sec. 37.2192)	12-79
12.7529	Other matters	12-79
12.75291	Is defendant judicial estopped from collaterally attacking prior conviction used to enhance punishment for present offense when he did not attack conviction when it was previously invoked to enhance punishment?	12-79
12.75292	Court of appeals' concern that court system's record retention policy will make it difficult in many cases to refute defendant's self-serving allegations regarding denial of counsel	12-79
12.75293	Burden of proof when collateral attack is made on uncounseled conviction on basis that defendant did not waive right to counsel	12-79
12.75294	Effect of successful collateral attack on conviction: conviction is rendered "void," not simply unusable for purposes of sentence enhancement	12-79
12.753	On injunction	12-79
12.754	On juvenile delinquency adjudication and dispositional order	12-80
12.7541	In general	12-80
12.7542	By juvenile when state institutes sexually violent person commitment proceeding based on dispositional order (see sec. 10.72225)	12-80
12.755	On support order (see sec. 38.65881)	12-80
12.756	On refusal revocation (i.e., revocation of driver's license because of refusal to consent to blood-alcohol testing)	12-80
12.757	On custody order	12-80
12.758	On extension of probation	12-80
12.76	Dismissal of criminal prosecution (i.e., criminal charge)	12-80
12.761	By court	12-80

12.7611	Trial court's inherent power to dismiss criminal prosecution (see sec. 12.672)	12-80
12.7612	Dismissal with prejudice (see sec. 12.6721)	12-80
12.7613	Dismissal without prejudice (see sec. 12.6722)	12-80
12.7614	Dismissal of charges as disciplinary measure for prosecutorial misconduct (see sec. 11.8936)	12-80
12.7615	When statute authorizes dismissal under particular circumstance, but does not specify whether dismissal is to be with or without prejudice, trial court may have discretion to dismiss with or without prejudice	12-80
12.762	By prosecutor (see sec. 12.44)	12-80
12.763	Determining whether dismissal is on the merits or not	12-80
12.7631	In general	12-80
12.7632	Dismissal because of defendant's escape is dismissal on the merits	12-80
12.77	Defendant's statutory or case law right to be present at various stages of criminal proceeding	12-80
12.771	In general	12-80
12.772	Particular proceedings	12-81
12.7721	Hearing on motion for change of venue (see sec. 30.2271)	12-81
12.7722	Guilty or no contest plea hearing (see sec. 7.2413)	12-81
12.7723	Trial (see sec. 8.811)	12-81
12.7724	Sentencing (see sec. 9.132)	12-81
12.7725	Postconviction hearings	12-81
12.77251	Postconviction evidentiary hearings in general	12-81
12.77252	Hearing held on defendant's postconviction motion for new trial (see sec. 10.1321)	12-81
12.77253	Hearing held on defendant's § 974.06 motion (see sec. 10.253)	12-81
12.77254	Hearing held on state's motion for reconsideration of order granting defendant postconviction relief	12-81
12.77255	Hearing held on defendant's postconviction motion alleging insufficient waiver of right to counsel	12-81
12.7726	Correction of clerical error in sentence portion of judgment of conviction	12-81
12.7727	Arguments on matters of law	12-81
12.7728	Hearings at which court and counsel discuss matters of scheduling and procedures	12-81
12.773	Waiver of right	12-81
12.7731	Statutory right to be present cannot be waived except as expressly provided in Wis. Stat. § 971.04	12-81
12.7732	Right to be present at jury selection may not be waived (see sec. 8.81132)	12-81
12.774	Related matters	12-81
12.7741	Constitutional right to be present at all stages of criminal proceeding (see sec. 30.96)	12-82
12.78	Time requirements	12-82
12.781	Computation of time within which act must be done	12-82
12.7811	In general	12-82

12.7812	Tolling running of time for filing court document from date prisoner puts petition in institution's mailbox for forwarding to court	12-82
12.7813	Tolling running of time for filing court document from date petitioner subject to Prisoner Litigation Reform Act requests necessary DOJ certification until certification received	12-82
12.782	Remedy for failure to comply with time requirements	12-82
12.783	Determining whether time requirement is directory or mandatory	12-82
12.79	Other matters	12-83
12.791	Conducting proceedings telephonically	12-83
12.7911	Proceedings that may be conducted telephonically: postconviction evidentiary hearings	12-83
12.7912	In case of incarcerated person: propriety of conducting proceedings by telephone in general	12-83
12.7913	In case of incarcerated person: trial court's responsibility to ensure that pro se prisoner has access to telephone at time of hearing	12-83
12.792	Unauthorized practice of law	12-83
12.7921	What constitutes	12-83
12.79211	In general	12-83
12.79212	Law enforcement officer questioning witness at John Doe proceeding (see sec. 4.2256)	12-83
12.7922	Effect of	12-83
12.79221	In general	12-83
12.79222	When law enforcement officer has questioned witness at John Doe proceeding	12-83
12.793	Applicability of rules of civil procedure to criminal proceedings	12-83
12.7931	In general	12-83
12.7932	Rules permitting sanctioning of attorney for failure to comply with court order (e.g., scheduling order)	12-84
12.7933	Applicability of Wis. Stat. § 806.06(2)	12-84
12.7934	Applicability of statute of limitations on "action on a judgment" provided for in Wis. Stat. § 893.40	12-84
12.7935	Applicability of statutory harmless-error rule (Wis. Stat. § 805.18(2))	12-84
12.794	General principles relating to trial court's fact-finding	12-84
12.7941	Trial court's right to find facts based on affidavit and other written submissions without hearing live testimony	12-84
12.79411	Generally, live testimony preferred when facts are in dispute and credibility is issue	12-84
12.79412	Trial court entitled to make factual findings based on affidavit when party effectively invites court to do that	12-84
12.7942	Appellate review of trial court's fact-finding (see sec. 11.62)	12-84
12.795	Reporting and recording of proceedings	12-84
12.7951	Proceedings that need and need not be reported	12-84
12.79511	In general	12-84
12.79512	Sidebar conferences between court and counsel	12-84

	12.79513	Court's questioning of jurors under Wis. Stat. § 756.03 to determine whether potential jurors should be excused on account of hardship or infirmity	12-84
	12.79514	Communications with jury	12-84
12.796		Relief from judgment or order under Wis. Stat. § 806.07	12-84
	12.7961	Time limit on seeking relief	12-84
	12.7962	Grounds for in general	12-85
	12.7963	Based on fraud in obtaining judgment or order	12-85
	12.79631	False testimony offered by party unaware of its falsity does not constitute fraud	12-85
	12.79632	Even when fraud exists, court not required to vacate judgment	12-85
	12.7964	From specific orders	12-85
	12.79641	Order for supervised release in sexually violent person (ch. 980) proceeding (see sec. 10.767)	12-85
12.797		Other matters	12-85
	12.7971	Separation of powers doctrine (see sec. 30.986)	12-85
	12.7972	Fugitive disentitlement doctrine; fugitive forfeiture law	12-85
	12.79721	In general	12-85
	12.79722	Application of doctrine when defendant escapes during pendency of post-conviction motion for new trial (see sec. 10.161)	12-85
	12.79723	Application of doctrine when defendant escapes during pendency of appeal from conviction (see sec. 11.8995)	12-85
	12.7973	Summary judgment	12-85
	12.79731	In general	12-85
	12.79732	Summary judgment methodology	12-85
	12.79733	Summary judgment not appropriate in criminal case (see sec. 6.7911)	12-85
	12.79734	Summary judgment not appropriate in traffic forfeiture proceeding (see sec. 37.148)	12-85
	12.7974	Waiver of objection to procedural defect by failing to timely object to it (see sec. 11.4218)	12-85
	12.7975	Sex offender reporting requirements (see sec. 47.872)	12-85
	12.7976	Defendant has no right of access to notes prepared by judge in anticipation of, or during, judicial proceeding	12-86
	12.7977	Imposing monetary sanction on attorney for arriving late for scheduled court appearance	12-86
	12.7978	Creation of conflict of interest for personnel in criminal justice system because state retirement system invests in private prison corporations who run prisons housing Wisconsin prisoners	12-86
	12.7979	Doctrine of "unclean hands"; "clean hands" doctrine	12-86
12.798		Other matters (cont'd)	12-86
	12.7981	Expungement of record of misdemeanor conviction of person under twenty-one years of age (see sec. 9.4993)	12-86
	12.7982	Effect of "nunc pro tunc" order	12-86
	12.7983	Prison mailbox rule (see sec. 12.7812)	12-86

12.7984	Defendant's right to have judgment of conviction amended to reflect different name than that appearing on judgment	12-86
12.79841	Defendant's right to have judgment of conviction amended to reflect his legal name (name granted by court in name-change proceeding), rather than his given name, which was used throughout criminal proceedings (see sec. 12.7393)	12-86
12.79842	Defendant's right to have judgment of conviction amended to reflect his common-law name (name acquired through consistent and continuous usage, so long as name change is not effected for fraudulent purpose), rather than his given name, which was used throughout criminal proceeding (see sec. 12.7383)	12-86
12.7985	Name change	12-86
12.79851	Right of prisoner to have his or her name legally changed in general	12-86
12.79852	By operation of common law (i.e., by consistent and continuous use of name, as long as name change is not effected for fraudulent purpose (see sec. 47.8864)	12-86
12.79853	Defendant's right to have judgment of conviction amended to reflect his legal name (name granted by court in name-change proceeding), rather than his given name, which was used throughout criminal proceedings (see sec. 12.7393)	12-87
12.79854	Defendant's right to have judgment of conviction amended to reflect his common-law name (name acquired through consistent and continuous usage, so long as name change is not effected for fraudulent purpose), rather than his given name, which was used throughout criminal proceeding (see sec. 12.7383)	12-87
12.7986	Section 1983 civil rights action (see sec. 47.879)	12-87
12.7987	Federal Prisoner Litigation Reform Act	12-87
12.79871	In general	12-87
12.79872	Limits on scope and duration of injunctive relief	12-87
12.79873	Requirement of exhaustion of state administrative remedies	12-87
12.79874	Dismissal of frivolous or malicious actions	12-87
12.7988	Determining whether particular issue presents "political question" that is nonjusticiable	12-87
12.79881	In general	12-87
12.79882	Wis. Stat. § 13.96, which provides for confidentiality of records, is not rule of proceeding under Wis. Const., art. IV, sec. 8, that renders question of enforceability of subpoena for records a non-justiciable political question (see sec. 4.25365)	12-87

12.7989	Even when party defaults or concedes correctness of opposing party's cause, independent court decision is required	12-87
12.799	Other matters (cont'd)	12-87
12.7991	When appeal is not taken from judgment, all provisions of judgment, and findings and conclusions upon which it is based, are conclusive and binding on parties	12-87
12.7992	Court should not deny prisoner's pleading based on its label rather than its allegations	12-88
12.7993	When order is deemed "final" (see sec. 11.11213)	12-88
12.7994	Statute does not need to contain its own enabling clause to be valid	12-88
12.7995	Violation of supreme court order other than those codified in Wisconsin Statutes provides no basis, in itself, for reversal of conviction	12-88
12.8	Other Procedural Matters (cont'd)	12-88
12.81	Deferred Prosecution Agreements And Programs	12-88
12.811	Deferred prosecution agreements in general	12-88
12.8111	In general	12-88
12.8112	Interpretation of agreement	12-88
12.81121	Court uses principles of contract law to interpret agreement	12-88
12.81122	Principles of contract law that are used to interpret agreement (see sec. 47.8858)	12-88
12.81123	Appellate review of interpretation of agreement	12-88
12.8113	Applicability of "clean hands" doctrine in enforcing, and remedying violations of, agreement	12-88
12.8114	When deferred prosecution agreement permits district attorney to revoke or modify agreement "during period of deferred prosecution" if defendant violates terms of agreement, district attorney may not, after period of deferred prosecution has expired, revoke agreement and resume prosecution for breach of agreement that occurred during deferral period	12-88
12.812	Deferred prosecution program under Wis. Stat. § 971.37	12-88
12.8121	In general	12-88
12.8122	Conditions listed in § 971.37(1m)(b) are not exclusive	12-88
12.8123	May require defendant to enter guilty or no-contest plea	12-89
12.8124	Judgment of conviction may be entered upon violation of agreement if defendant has entered plea as part of agreement	12-89
12.8125	Waiver of right to contend that § 971.37 applies to agreement in question by failing to make that argument in circuit court	12-89
12.8126	Requirement that written notice be given during deferral period if prosecutor wants to terminate agreement because of defendant's breach of it	12-89
12.813	Deferred prosecution program under Wis. Stat. § 971.38	12-89
12.814	Deferred prosecution program under Wis. Stat. § 971.39	12-89
12.8141	Requirement that agreement be in writing	12-89

	12.8142 Plea agreement to defer entry of judgment of conviction on particular counts is not deferred prosecution agreement and, therefore, need not meet requirements of deferred prosecution agreement	12-89
12.82	Procedural Matters Relating To Pretrial Motions	12-89
	12.821 When allegations of pretrial motion sufficient to require hearing on motion	12-89
12.83	Preliminary Injunction	12-89
	12.831 Prerequisites to granting	12-89
	12.8311 In general	12-89
	12.8312 Likelihood of success on merits	12-89
	12.832 Appellate review of (see sec. 11.8931)	12-89
	12.833 Other matters	12-89
	12.8331 Preliminary injunction is extraordinary and drastic remedy	12-89
12.84	Federal Abstention Doctrine (Younger v. Harris)	12-90
	12.841 General Principles	12-90
	12.8411 General rule	12-90
	12.8412 Exceptions to general rule	12-90
	12.84121 In general	12-90
	12.8413 When First Amendment rights are at stake	12-90
	12.842 Particular applications of abstention doctrine	12-90
	12.8421 When defendant seeks federal court intervention in ongoing state criminal prosecution to strike down state statutory provision denying defendant counsel after entry of guilty plea	12-90
12.85	Sealing (i.e., Limiting Public Access To) Documents And Records In Criminal Case	12-90
	12.851 Court's inherent power to seal (i.e., limit public access to) documents and records	12-90
	12.8511 In general	12-90
	12.852 Sealing particular documents	12-90
	12.8521 Motion for modification of sentence (see sec. 10.392)	12-90
	12.8522 Search warrant	12-90
	12.85221 In general (see sec. 1.4285)	12-90
	12.85222 In John Doe proceeding (see secs. 4.2232 and 4.236)	12-90
	12.8523 Appellate record of John Doe proceeding (see sec. 4.2932)	12-90
	12.8524 Complaint containing intercepted communications (see sec. 5.11312)	12-90
	12.853 Related matters	12-90
	12.8531 Court's consideration, at sentencing, of evidence in sealed document to which defendant has no access (see secs. 9.2598 and 22.6292)	12-90
12.86	Applicability of civil rules to criminal proceedings (Wis. Stat. § 972.11(1))	12-90
	12.861 In general	12-90
	12.862 Applicability of subpoena provisions of civil statutes to criminal proceedings (see sec. 20.3215)	12-90
12.87	Bench warrants	12-91
	12.871 Civil bench warrant	12-91
	12.8711 Cannot issue civil bench warrant in criminal case	12-91

12.872	Criminal bench warrant	12-91
12.8721	When criminal bench warrant may be issued	12-91
12.87211	In general	12-91
12.87212	Cannot issue criminal bench warrant to arrest defendant for failing to cooperate with PSI writer	12-91

**PART III
EVIDENCE**

**Chapter 13
Relevancy and Its Counterweights**

13.1	Need For, And Determination Of, Relevancy Of Evidence	13-1
13.11	Only Relevant Evidence Admissible	13-1
13.111	In general	13-1
13.112	Proponent of evidence must present sufficient foundation establishing its relevancy under Wis. Stat. § 901.04(1)	13-1
13.113	Defendant's constitutional right to present evidence does not include right to present irrelevant evidence (see sec. 28.122)	13-1
13.12	Determining Relevancy Of Evidence	13-1
13.121	In general	13-1
13.122	On cross-examination	13-4
13.123	Testimony expressed in terms of possibility	13-4
13.124	Testimony that amounted to nothing more than pure speculation	13-5
13.125	When remoteness renders evidence irrelevant	13-5
13.126	Fact that piece of evidence was not unequivocally identified as item used in crime does not render it irrelevant if it was similar to item used in crime	13-5
13.127	Relevancy and admissibility of evidence offered to prove element of charged crime	13-5
13.1271	In general	13-5
13.1272	When defendant does not dispute element	13-5
13.12721	In general	13-5
13.12722	In case of other-crimes evidence (see sec. 14.215)	13-5
13.128	Other matters	13-5
13.1281	Finding evidence irrelevant on grounds of remoteness	13-5
13.1282	Conditional relevance (see sec. 20.8896)	13-5
13.1283	Proponent of evidence has burden to establish its relevance	13-5
13.129	Other matters (cont'd)	13-5
13.1291	Determining relevancy of expert testimony	13-5
13.1292	Relevance not affected by availability of alternative proofs of element to which it relates	13-5
13.1293	Inconclusive nature of evidence does not render it irrelevant, but rather affects probative value, which is for jury to determine	13-6
13.1294	When relevancy of evidence depends upon fulfillment of condition of fact, court may require proponent to present evidence sufficient to support finding of fulfillment of that condition	13-6

13.1295	Evidence that serves to prove element of crime is relevant	13-6
13.1296	Evidence not rendered irrelevant simply because defendant chooses not to contest the element to which the evidence relates (see sec. 13.128)	13-6
13.1297	Court has "considerable discretion" in determining whether evidence is relevant	13-6
13.1298	To be admissible, evidence must be "reliable enough to be probative" (see sec. 20.8896)	13-6
13.1299	Relevance is broadly defined; thus, there is strong presumption that proffered evidence is relevant	13-6
13.13	Appellate Review Of Decision On Relevancy	13-6
13.14	Other Matters	13-7
13.141	All relevant evidence is admissible unless otherwise provided by law	13-7
13.2	Need To Balance Probative Value Against Countervailing Factors	13-7
13.21	General Principles	13-7
13.211	In general	13-7
13.212	Fact that prosecutor could have chosen different charge cannot be basis for trial court to exclude evidence	13-9
13.213	Relevant evidence, if needlessly duplicative and cumulative in character, may be excluded	13-9
13.214	When alternative form of proof available having same or greater probative value but lower danger of unfair prejudice, probative value of item may be discounted	13-9
13.215	When defendant is offering evidence to defend against state's charges, trial court must resolve close questions of admissibility in favor of inclusion, not exclusion (see sec. 28.144)	13-9
13.216	Wis. Stat. § 904.03 is an extraordinary remedy to be used sparingly because it permits trial court to exclude otherwise relevant evidence	13-9
13.217	May conclude that circuit court implicitly performed required balancing from fact that court gave cautionary or limiting instruction with respect to evidence at issue	13-9
13.218	Wis. Stat. § 904.03 does not allow court to require child to testify first before videotaped statement admitted under Wis. Stat. § 908.08	13-9
13.219	Other matters	13-9
13.2191	Opponent of evidence has burden to show that probative value is substantially outweighed by countervailing factors	13-9
13.22	Probative Value	13-9
13.221	In general	13-9
13.222	Probative value depends in large measure on temporal proximity to event at issue; effect on probative value of lapse of time	13-10
13.223	When probative value of evidence is needlessly duplicative and cumulative in character, it may be excluded (see sec. 13.213)	13-10
13.224	Effect on probative value of availability of alternative methods of proof having same or greater probative value but lower danger of unfair prejudice (see sec. 13.214)	13-10
13.225	When defendant willing to admit existence of particular element, evidence relating to that element has low probative value	13-10
13.2251	In general	13-10

13.2252	Particular circumstance: when defendant willing to admit prior convictions, etc., that subject him to lower prohibited blood alcohol concentration in prosecution or drunk driving	13-10
13.23	Countervailing Factors	13-10
13.231	Unfair prejudice	13-10
13.2311	What constitutes unfair prejudice	13-10
13.2312	Overcoming unfair prejudice by limiting instruction	13-11
13.2313	Trial court abuses discretion if it excludes other crimes evidence that is only evidence of element of crime on basis that it is unfairly prejudicial	13-11
13.2314	In gauging unfair prejudice, court should consider fact that alternative means of proof available having same or greater probative value but a lower danger of unfair prejudice (see sec. 13.214)	13-11
13.2315	When defendant admits prior conviction that is element of crime, evidence regarding prior conviction may be unfairly prejudicial and thus inadmissible	13-11
13.232	Misleading to jury	13-11
13.2321	Trial court abuses discretion if it excludes other crimes evidence that is only evidence of element of crime on basis that it is misleading to jury	13-11
13.233	Needless presentation of cumulative evidence	13-11
13.234	"Surprise" (lack of notice to opposing party that evidence would be offered)	13-12
13.24	Other Matters	13-12
13.241	Balancing of probative value against prejudicial effect not required unless objection made on that ground	13-12
13.242	Trial court implicitly holds probative value not substantially outweighed by unfair prejudice when it knows objection is unfair prejudice and admits evidence	13-12
13.243	Need for balancing when other crimes evidence offered (see sec. 14.27)	13-12
13.244	Opponent of evidence has burden to show that its probative value is substantially outweighed by danger of unfair prejudice	13-12
13.25	Appellate Review Of Balancing	13-12
13.251	Standard of review in general	13-12
13.252	Appellate court's response to trial court's failure to engage in required balancing	13-12
13.2521	Not role of appellate court to conduct balancing when trial court has failed to do so	13-12
13.2522	Trial court's failure to engage in required balancing does not require reversal if appellate court concludes such balancing would support decision on admissibility of evidence	13-12
13.3	Admissibility Of Particular Evidence After Considering Relevancy And Its Counterweights	13-12
13.31	Defendant's Invocation Of Constitutional Rights	13-12
13.311	Right to silence	13-12
13.312	Right to counsel	13-12

13.313	Right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures (see sec. 20.63)	13-12
13.314	Other rights	13-13
13.32	Threats	13-13
13.321	Against victim prior to crime	13-13
13.3211	By defendant	13-13
13.3212	By others	13-13
13.322	Against victim after crime	13-13
13.3221	By defendant	13-13
13.3222	By others	13-13
13.323	Against witness to crime	13-13
13.3231	By defendant	13-13
13.3232	By others	13-13
13.32321	In general	13-13
13.32322	To be relevant and admissible, threats must be connected to defendant	13-13
13.324	Defendant's pre-crime threat to shoot anyone who interrupted criminal activity	13-13
13.33	Defendant's Conduct	13-13
13.331	In general	13-13
13.332	Flight	13-13
13.3321	In general	13-13
13.3322	Flight need not occur immediately after commission of crime	13-13
13.3323	Flight evidence not rendered inadmissible when defendant has independent reason for flight that, if admitted, would unduly prejudice defendant	13-14
13.333	Resistance to arrest	13-14
13.334	Threats	13-14
13.3341	To victim (see secs. 13.3211 and 13.3221)	13-14
13.3342	To witness (see sec. 13.3231)	13-14
13.335	Bribery of victim or witness	13-14
13.336	Solicitation of perjury	13-14
13.337	Silence in face of accusation	13-14
13.3371	Pre-charging and pretrial silence in the face of official accusation, which may be protected by privilege against self-incrimination (see sec. 13.311)	13-14
13.3372	Silence in face of accusation by fellow citizen	13-14
13.338	Other conduct	13-14
13.3381	Use of controlled substance at time of crime	13-14
13.3382	Placement of hands behind back in "classic handcuff position" when approached by police	13-14
13.3383	Solicitation of murder of victim or other witness to crime	13-14
13.3384	Defendant's demeanor at prayer service that is consistent with person who recently committed crime	13-14
13.3385	Giving investigative suggestions to police	13-14
13.339	Other conduct (cont'd)	13-14
13.3391	Refusal of subsequent requests to engage in criminal conduct, introduced to support entrapment defense	13-15
13.3392	Telling witness not to tell police anything	13-15

13.3393	Post-crime behavior offered to establish that defendant was not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect	13-15
13.3394	Use of alias	13-15
13.3395	Defendant's writing letter after arrest generally admitting he had done wrong	13-15
13.3396	Defendant's statement to another that "he was involved in something that [other person] would not like"	13-15
13.3397	Fact that defendant has never been convicted of a crime (see sec. 13.3991)	13-15
13.3398	Escape	13-15
13.34	Character Evidence	13-15
13.341	Character of accused	13-15
13.3411	In general (see sec. 20.8231)	13-15
13.3412	Proved through evidence of other crimes, wrongs and acts	13-15
13.342	Character of victim (see sec. 20.8221)	13-15
13.343	Character of witness (see sec. 20.8211)	13-15
13.35	Evidence Relating To Plea Of Guilty	13-15
13.351	Offer to plead guilty	13-15
13.3511	In general	13-15
13.3512	Rule excluding offer to plead guilty does not apply to offer made to police officer	13-15
13.352	Withdrawn guilty plea	13-15
13.353	Statements made in connection with a plea of guilty, later withdrawn, or plea of no contest, or offer to plead guilty or no contest	13-15
13.3531	In general	13-15
13.3532	Must distinguish between statements made during plea negotiations and statements made during confession negotiations; latter are admissible	13-16
13.3533	Defendant may waive right to enforce rule excluding these statements	13-16
13.3534	Statements not rendered admissible because they are admissions of party; rule excluding these statements trumps rule admitting admissions of party	13-16
13.354	Plea of guilty, and statements or admissions made during preceding plea colloquy, after plea has been accepted	13-16
13.36	Evidence In Particular Prosecutions	13-16
13.361	In prosecution for sexual assault	13-16
13.3611	Prior sexual conduct of sexual assault victim (including prior sexual assault on victim) in general	13-16
13.3612	Prior sexual conduct between defendant and victim	13-17
13.36121	For purpose of determining whether victim consented to alleged sexual intercourse or contact	13-17
13.36122	For purpose of establishing victim's motive for alleged false accusation of sexual	13-17
13.3613	Prior sexual assault on victim	13-17
13.36131	For purpose of showing alternative source of victim's knowledge of sexual matters	13-17
13.36132	For purpose of providing alternative source for injuries alleged to have been caused by assault being tried	13-17

13.3614	Victim Syndromes	13-17
13.36141	Rape (sexual assault) trauma syndrome (see sec. 18.731)	13-17
13.36142	Child sexual assault and incest victim syndrome (see sec. 18.732)	13-17
13.3615	Sex offender syndrome or profile evidence	13-17
13.36151	Sex offender psychological profile (see sec. 18.723)	13-17
13.36152	Incestuous father profile (see sec. 18.724)	13-17
13.3616	Defendant's lack of diagnosable sexual disorder (i.e., lack of psychological characteristics of sex offender) or defendant's lack of character traits consistent with sexual disorder, offered by defendant to prove that he did not sexually assault child (Richard A.P. evidence)	13-18
13.36161	In general	13-18
13.36162	When defendant seeks to introduce this sort of evidence, state may have right to mental examination of defendant by state's expert (see sec. 6.8962)	13-18
13.36163	Admissibility of this type of evidence is not compelled under controlling Wisconsin authority, but rather is subject to discretionary determination of circuit court	13-18
13.3617	Other evidence	13-18
13.36171	Evidence that tends to make it more or less probable that defendant is father of child conceived as result of sexual assault	13-18
13.36172	Evidence regarding child sexual assault victim's nightmares regarding threats made by assailant	13-18
13.36173	Expert testimony regarding psychosexual maturity of defendant	13-18
13.36174	District attorney's statement that mother of sexual assault victim appeared relieved when earlier decision not to file complaint was made	13-18
13.36175	Witness suggestibility and proper interrogation techniques for sexual assault victims, particularly young children	13-18
13.3618	Other evidence (cont'd)	13-18
13.36181	Observation of child sexual assault victim engaging in sexual activity on earlier occasion	13-19
13.36182	Evidence that defendant has not sexually assaulted other available children in prosecution for child sexual assault	13-19
13.36183	Testimony from police detective that it is common for victims not to immediately report sexual assault	13-19
13.36184	Defendant's improper sexual activity with other persons	13-19

13.36185	Prior sexual conduct between defendant and victim for purpose of determining whether victim consented to alleged sexual intercourse or contact	13-19
13.36186	Opinion that victim's injury or physical condition was consistent with claimant's sexual assault (see sec. 18.2594)	13-19
13.36187	Evidence regarding attitude of victim's mother toward babies born out of wedlock	13-19
13.36188	Opinion that victim was molested or sexually assaulted (see sec. 18.2598)	13-19
13.36189	Victim's ability or inability to ward off defendant's advances is irrelevant	13-19
13.3619	Other evidence (cont'd)	13-19
13.36191	Testimony of friend of child sexual assault victim regarding conversations about sexual matters had with victim	13-19
13.36192	Medical testimony regarding possible causes of abnormally dilated hymen	13-19
13.36193	Evidence of animosities and biases existing between sexual assault victim's and defendant's families	13-19
13.36194	Codefendant's uncharged sexual assault on victim	13-20
13.36195	Evidence that prior to line-up at which victim identified defendant as assailant, victim did not appear to be upset	13-20
13.36196	Semen-stained blanket	13-20
13.36197	Hypothetical question regarding whether victim could have slept through sexual intercourse	13-20
13.36198	Expert testimony on sleep when defendant accused of sexually assaulting unconscious person	13-20
13.36199	Post-assault conduct of sexual assault victim (see sec. 20.8422)	13-20
13.362	In prosecutions involving drunk driving	13-20
13.3621	Evidence relating to defendant's refusal to submit to blood-alcohol test	13-20
13.36211	Fact of refusal	13-20
13.36212	Reason for refusal	13-20
13.36213	Compliance with implied consent law is prerequisite to admission	13-21
13.36214	No requirement that driver be informed that refusal to submit to test can be used against him	13-21
13.36215	Evidence that defendant asked for, but was refused, alternative test for blood alcohol concentration (see sec. 13.3628)	13-21
13.36216	State's initiation of formal revocation proceedings based on refusal not required as prerequisite to admission	13-21
13.36217	Refusal to submit to preliminary breath test (PBT)	13-21

13.3622	Evidence relating to defendant's refusal to submit to field sobriety test	13-21
13.36221	In general	13-21
13.36222	Fact refusal	13-21
13.36223	Admission of this evidence does not violate privilege against self-incrimination (see sec. 24.4423)	13-21
13.3623	Evidence relating to field sobriety test (FST)	13-21
13.36231	Admissibility of FST evidence in general	13-21
13.36232	FST evidence is not "scientific" evidence that must meet standards for admissibility of scientific evidence	13-21
13.36233	Videotape recording of FST (see sec. 20.8581)	13-21
13.36234	Refusal to take FST (see sec. 13.3623)	13-21
13.36235	Suppression of evidence on ground that FST was compelled under threat to government employee (e.g., police officer) that, if he or she did not give statement, he or she would lose job (see sec. 24.62132)	13-22
13.3624	Evidence of prior hospitalization for alcoholism	13-22
13.3625	Partition-ratio evidence	13-22
13.3626	Breath test results	13-22
13.3627	Evidence relating to degree of impairment caused by breath alcohol concentration in excess of statutory limit	13-22
13.3628	Evidence that defendant asked for, but was refused, alternative test for blood alcohol concentration	13-22
13.3629	Other evidence	13-22
13.36291	Police officer's testimony that he detected odor of marijuana when he stopped defendant	13-22
13.36292	Blood-alcohol chart (see sec. 18.473)	13-22
13.36293	Defendant's prior convictions to prove "prohibited alcohol concentration" element of crime when charge involves operation with blood alcohol concentration of .08 percent or more; i.e., defendant's prior convictions, etc., under Wis. Stat. § 343.307(1) offered to show he is subject to lower prohibited blood alcohol concentration (see sec. 37.2141)	13-22
13.363	In prosecutions involving controlled substances	13-22
13.3631	Presence of weapons in premises alleged to be site of drug dealing	13-22
13.3632	Expert opinion testimony by law enforcement officer that, based on items found on premises, residents of premises were engaged in drug dealing	13-22
13.3633	Expert opinion testimony by law enforcement officer that gang activity and drug dealing are often related	13-23
13.3634	Evidence of plea bargain with person defendant contends was owner of controlled substance he is accused of possessing	13-23

13.3635	Evidence that defendant threatened to kill undercover officer to whom he sold controlled substance	13-23
13.3636	Unexplained large quantity of cash and money orders found on defendant's person and in his clothing is probative of whether he was drug dealer, but has no tendency to establish that defendant knowingly possessed controlled substance	13-23
13.3637	Placement of hands behind back in "classic handcuff position" when approached by police	13-23
13.3638	Defendant's association with person who evidence indicated had been involved in drug dealing	13-23
13.3639	Defendant's association with Outlaws motorcycle gang	13-23
13.364	In prosecution for battery to, or resisting or obstructing, officer	13-23
13.3641	Prior confrontation with police	13-23
13.3642	Evidence of defendant's refusal to submit to blood-alcohol test, to demonstrate defendant's conduct toward police	13-23
13.365	In prosecutions where defendant's conviction of felony is element of offense	13-23
13.3651	Admissibility of evidence that defendant is convicted felon or evidence of nature of felony, when defendant is ready to stipulate he is convicted felon	13-23
13.366	In prosecutions for battery	13-24
13.3661	Testimony of pharmacist regarding effect of medication defendant had taken to show he lacked intent to harm or felt need to defend himself	13-24
13.3662	Evidence that defendant, after biting victim, said, "I've got AIDS and now you've got it."	13-24
13.367	In prosecutions for theft, robbery, and other property crimes	13-24
13.3671	Defendant's possession of property other than that to which charge relates	13-24
13.3672	Fact that victim defecated in pants	13-24
13.368	In prosecutions for criminal trespass to medical facility	13-24
13.3681	Evidence regarding abortions performed in facility, facility's profitability, employe's attitude toward abortion, etc.	13-24
13.369	In prosecutions for other offenses	13-24
13.3691	In prosecutions for child neglect	13-24
13.36911	Evidence that social services department had contacts with defendant regarding child that did not result in any action on part of department	13-24
13.36912	Evidence that defendant received AFDC payments and food stamps	13-24
13.3692	In prosecutions involving receipt of public assistance	13-24
13.36921	Evidence that, as a result of billing practices of health care provider, hours billed were actually worked although not necessarily on dates indicated, to show that misrepresentation on medical assistance form was not material	13-24
13.3693	In prosecutions for fleeing traffic officer	13-24
13.36931	Fact that defendant was on parole and/or violating conditions of that parole at time of flight	13-24

13.3694	In prosecutions for enticing child	13-25
13.36941	Fact that defendant was on parole and/or violating conditions of that parole at time of enticement	13-25
13.3695	In prosecutions involving obscenity	13-25
13.36951	Admissibility of survey of community attitudes toward sexually explicit material	13-25
13.36952	"Comparable" sexually explicit material	13-25
13.3696	In prosecutions for failure to support	13-25
13.36961	Evidence of defendant's incarceration to establish defense of inability to pay (see sec. 38.65876)	13-25
13.3697	In prosecutions for exposing child to harmful material	13-25
13.36971	Admissibility of material, when defendant ready to stipulate that it is harmful	13-25
13.3698	In prosecutions involving child pornography	13-25
13.36981	Evidence of Internet news groups to which defendant subscribes to demonstrate that he knowingly possessed child pornography	13-25
13.3699	In prosecutions for offenses involving criminal negligence	13-25
13.36991	Evidence of defendant's use of marijuana prior to incident (see sec. 13.3952)	13-25
13.37	Evidence Relating To Victims And Witnesses Of Crime	13-25
13.371	Mental condition and capacity of witness	13-25
13.372	Threats against victims and witnesses (see sec. 13.32)	13-25
13.373	Character of victims and witnesses (see secs. 20.8211 and 20.8221)	13-25
13.374	Specific instances of conduct of victims and witnesses (see secs. 20.8212 and 20.8222)	13-25
13.375	Victim syndrome evidence	13-26
13.3751	Rape trauma syndrome (see sec. 18.731)	13-26
13.3752	Child sexual assault and incest victim syndrome (see sec. 18.732)	13-26
13.3753	Battered child syndrome (see sec. 18.733)	13-26
13.3754	Battered woman syndrome (see sec. 18.734)	13-26
13.376	Witness's offer to take, or taking of, polygraph examination (see sec. 13.3842)	13-26
13.377	Witness's bias or motive	13-26
13.3771	Witness's bias or motive for testifying	13-26
13.37711	In general	13-26
13.37712	When police officer is witness and defendant testifies that officer abused him	13-26
13.3772	Witness's motive for recantation of accusation	13-26
13.37721	In general	13-26
13.37722	When defendant attacks credibility of hearsay declarant who has implicated defendant in crime by introducing testimony of witness that declarant has recanted accusation, state may introduce evidence of witness's gang affiliation to provide motive for declarant's recantation (see sec. 15.743)	13-26
13.378	Opinion concerning witness's credibility (see sec. 20.841)	13-26
13.379	Other evidence	13-26

13.3791	Failure of witness to appear at previous trial dates	13-26
13.3792	Victim's statement he/she did not want defendant to go to jail	13-26
13.3793	Prior conviction of witness	13-27
13.37931	When offered to impeach witness's credibility (see sec. 16.141)	13-27
13.37932	When offered for purpose other than impeaching credibility	13-27
13.37933	Using prior conviction(s) to impeach credibility is different from using prior conviction(s) in connection with some other issue in case	13-27
13.3794	Why witness granted immunity	13-27
13.3795	Evidence of address of crime victim or family member or employer	13-27
13.3796	Victim's prior sexual conduct (see sec. 13.3611)	13-27
13.3797	Fact that victim or witness has not commenced civil lawsuit against defendant	13-27
13.3798	Nature and extent of victim's injuries	13-27
13.3799	Other evidence	13-27
13.37991	State of mind of victim	13-27
13.37992	Credibility of witnesses (see secs. 18.251, 18.52, and 20.841)	13-27
13.37993	Reason for witness's recantation of accusation	13-27
13.37994	Post-assault conduct of sexual assault victim (see sec. 20.8422)	13-27
13.37995	Prior unrelated plea bargains between prosecution and state's witness	13-27
13.37996	Witness's multiple personality disorder	13-27
13.37997	Witness suggestibility and proper interrogation techniques for crime victims and witnesses, particularly young children	13-27
13.37998	Fact that witness is on probation	13-27
13.38	Other Evidence	13-27
13.381	Evidence that person other than defendant committed crime	13-28
13.3811	In general	13-28
13.3812	Motive and opportunity of other person to commit crime with which defendant charged	13-28
13.3813	Commission of crimes similar to that for which defendant is standing trial, but which occurred while defendant was in custody	13-28
13.3814	Confession of other person	13-28
13.3815	Evidence that other person had committed similar crime	13-28
13.3816	Threats by other person to harm victim or victim's property	13-28
13.3817	Evidence, in case raising defense of mistaken identity, of other suspects matching defendant's description	13-28
13.3818	Denny test for determining admissibility of this sort of evidence	13-29
13.38181	Test in general	13-29
13.38182	Does not apply to "frame-up" evidence (see sec. 13.3952)	13-29

13.38183	Only applicable when evidence admitted to establish "motive" of one or more third parties to commit charged crime	13-29
13.3819	Other evidence and matters	13-29
13.38191	Victim's reaction upon seeing photograph of person who defendant claims was assailant	13-29
13.38192	When there is series of similar crimes, fact that state is unable to prove that defendant committed all of the crimes does not tend to establish that he did not commit any of them	13-29
13.38193	Evidence that defendant was mistakenly identified as perpetrator of similar crime occurring about the same time and in the same area as charged crimes	13-29
13.382	Mathematical probability evidence	13-29
13.383	Defendant's acquittal of related crime	13-29
13.384	Offer to take, or taking of, polygraph examination	13-29
13.3841	Offer or taking by defendant	13-29
13.38411	In general	13-29
13.38412	When offer to take test is result of attorney's suggestion or direction	13-29
13.38413	Requirement that defendant believed results would be admissible	13-30
13.3842	Offer or taking by witness	13-30
13.385	Offer to take DNA test	13-30
13.386	Habit; routine practice	13-30
13.387	Codefendant's conduct	13-30
13.3871	Flight	13-30
13.3872	Guilty plea	13-30
13.388	Evidence of defendant's consciousness of innocence	13-30
13.3881	In general	13-30
13.3882	Defendant's offer to take, or taking of, polygraph examination (see sec. 13.384)	13-30
13.3883	Defendant's offer to take DNA test (see sec. 13.385)	13-30
13.389	Other evidence	13-30
13.3891	Defendant's motive for committing crime	13-30
13.3892	Speculation by police investigator that perpetrator of crime was left-handed	13-30
13.3893	Defendant's solicitation of murder of victim or other witness to crime (see sec. 13.3383)	13-30
13.3894	Silence in face of accusation (see sec. 20.8697)	13-30
13.3895	Witness's inability to identify, at preliminary examination, defendant as perpetrator of another robbery defendant is believed to have committed after witness has identified him at lineup	13-31
13.3896	Evidence in ch. 980 commitment proceeding that respondent will be on probation or parole if not adjudicated and committed as sexually violent person (see sec. 10.7896)	13-31
13.3897	Detective's testimony that, in the past, he had found certified medical records to be reliable	13-31

	13.3898 Evidence of misidentification of defendant	13-31
	13.38981 Evidence that defendant was mistakenly identified as perpetrator of similar crime occurring about the same time and in the same area as charged crimes	13-31
	13.3899 Where witness's identification is at issue, evidence that witness knew defendant because he had been in jail with him	13-31
13.39	Other Evidence (cont'd)	13-31
	13.391 Solicitation of perjury	13-31
	13.3911 By defendant (see sec. 13.336)	13-31
	13.3912 By third party	13-31
	13.392 Flight	13-31
	13.3921 By defendant (see sec. 13.332)	13-31
	13.3922 By codefendant (see sec. 13.3871)	13-31
	13.393 Criminal complaint	13-31
	13.3931 In general	13-31
	13.3932 When defendant's confession denies and admits matters in complaint	13-31
	13.394 Defendant's explanation for making confession or incriminating admission	13-31
	13.3941 In general	13-31
	13.3942 Explanation that he confessed or made incriminating admission to protect someone else who had previously been convicted of similar offense	13-31
	13.395 Other evidence	13-32
	13.3951 "Frame-up" evidence	13-32
	13.39511 In general	13-32
	13.39512 Denny test for determining admissibility of evidence that someone other than defendant committed crime is not applicable to "frame-up" evidence	13-32
	13.3952 Defendant's use of marijuana, to prove criminal negligence	13-32
	13.39521 Admissibility of this evidence	13-32
	13.39522 Need for "conditional relevance" instruction when this evidence admitted	13-32
	13.3953 Testimony regarding characteristics of people living in community from which defendant and/or witnesses come	13-32
	13.3954 Defendant's criminal history	13-32
	13.39541 In general	13-32
	13.39542 As evidenced by document that witness testified was a bail bond for defendant in another criminal case	13-32
13.396	Other evidence (cont'd)	13-32
	13.3961 Defendant's homosexuality	13-32
	13.3962 Evidence offering explanation for defendant's failure to testify	13-32
	13.3963 Letter written by defendant displaying angry, demeaning, and disrespectful attitude toward girlfriend	13-32

13.3964	Prosecutor's statement in prior prosecution regarding credibility of state's witness in present prosecution	13-32
13.3965	Statement of prosecutor in prior proceeding to demonstrate defendant's intent, belief or state of mind at time he gave testimony for which he is being prosecuted for defamation and perjury	13-32
13.3966	Evidence regarding element that defendant admits	13-33
13.39661	Evidence of defendant's prior convictions, etc., offered to show status required to make conduct criminal, when defendant admits status	13-33
13.39662	Evidence relating to element not inadmissible when such evidence necessary for full evidentiary richness and narrative integrity in state's presentation of case	13-33
13.39663	Evidence of defendant's prior conviction, when defendant admits conviction and is ready to stipulate to it	13-33
13.3967	Defendant's prior, general threat to shoot anyone who interrupted him in the course of his criminal activity (see sec. 13.324)	13-33
13.3968	Evidence relating to element of charged crime that defendant does not dispute at trial (see sec. 13.128)	13-33
13.3969	Evidence relating to element of offense to which defendant is ready to stipulate (see sec. 12.6127)	13-33
13.397	Other evidence (cont'd)	13-33
13.3971	Testimony expressed in terms of possibility (see sec. 13.123)	13-33
13.3972	Evidence of unrelated violations of written work rules and regulations when defendant prosecuted for criminal activity while on job	13-33
13.3973	Absence of fingerprints	13-33
13.3974	Absence of gun powder residue	13-33
13.3975	Polling data and survey results; survey of community attitudes	13-33
13.39751	In general (see sec. 20.8571)	13-33
13.39752	Attitudes toward sexually explicit material in prosecution for crime involving obscenity (see sec. 13.36951)	13-34
13.3976	Demeanor of victim's parents at hospital (e.g., lack of being surprised by victim's injuries) offered by homicide defendant who has asserted that parents, not defendant, inflicted fatal injuries on victim	13-34
13.3977	Defendant's jealousy of victim (see sec. 20.8591)	13-34
13.3978	Evidence of defense witness's substantial sentences, and lack of any realistic possibility of parole eligibility under them, offered to impeach witness's claim that he committed crime with which defendant charged by showing that witness had nothing to lose by making that claim	13-34
13.3979	Possible penalties for offense	13-34
13.398	Other evidence (cont'd)	13-34

13.3981	Evidence that police did not pursue other leads in case vigorously or at all	13-34
13.3982	Pending investigations concerning alleged sexual conduct with patients by psychiatrist-witness	13-34
13.3983	Expert testimony about gangs and their activities (see sec. 18.256)	13-34
13.3984	After-the-fact explanation that events did not unravel as one wanted them to is irrelevant to state of mind at time events were transpiring	13-34
13.3985	Testimony that witness did not tell story admitted at trial on other occasions	13-34
13.3986	Testimony about victim's wounds	13-34
13.3987	Vacillation of arrestees	13-34
13.3988	Pending investigations concerning alleged sexual misconduct with patients by psychiatrist-witness	13-34
13.3989	Evidence relating to defendant's mental condition	13-34
13.399	Other evidence (cont'd)	13-35
13.3991	Evidence that the defendant has never been convicted of a crime or has not engaged in other criminal conduct	13-35
13.39911	In general	13-35
13.39912	Evidence that defendant has not sexually assaulted other available children in prosecution for sexual assault of a child (see sec. 13.36182)	13-35
13.3992	Evidence completing story of crime	13-35
13.3993	Defendant's possession of a weapon	13-35
13.3994	Verdict in another case	13-35
13.39941	Case(s) holding that verdict is opinion, not admissible fact	13-35
13.39942	Case(s) holding that jury is not witness and verdict is not opinion	13-35
13.3995	Evidence of intoxication to show untrustworthiness of defendant's statement	13-35
13.39951	In general	13-35
13.39952	Evidence of preliminary breath test results	13-35
13.3996	Evidence of crime of which defendant was previously acquitted	13-35
13.3997	Fact that defendant was on parole and/or violating condition of that parole at time of offense	13-35
13.3998	Evidence that defendant threatened to kill undercover officer to whom he sold controlled substance (see sec. 13.3635)	13-35
13.3999	Evidence of past uncontrolled or violent behavior to show likelihood of future uncontrolled or violent behavior	13-35

Chapter 14 Other Crimes, Wrongs, Or Acts Evidence

14.1	General Rule: Inadmissible To Prove Character	14-1
14.11	In General	14-1

	<i>Page</i>
14.12 Exceptions To General Rule (see sec. 14.2)	14-3
14.13 Determining Whether Evidence Is Other Crimes, Wrongs, Or Acts Evidence	14-3
14.131 In general	14-3
14.132 Flight is not other acts evidence	14-3
14.133 Evidence is not "other acts" evidence if it is part of panorama of evidence needed to completely describe crime that occurred and is thereby inextricably intertwined with crime	14-3
14.14 Other Matters	14-3
14.141 Applicability of general rule to evidence of other crimes, wrongs, or acts of third parties (see sec. 14.322)	14-3
14.142 Admissibility of other crimes evidence not controlled by predispositions or presumptions in favor of or against admissibility, but rather by well-established rules of evidence (see sec. 14.281)	14-3
14.143 Other crimes, wrongs, or acts evidence rule not applicable when prior convictions used for impeachment purposes	14-4
14.144 Admissibility of evidence that does not specifically detail prior crime, wrong or act, but conveys message that prior crime, wrong or act was engaged in, is analyzed under law of "other crimes, wrongs or acts" evidence	14-4
14.145 Other crimes, wrongs, or acts evidence not excluded because it is irrelevant, but because it is unfairly prejudicial	14-4
14.146 Other crimes rule not applicable at sentencing	14-4
14.147 Defendant's formal concession of, or stipulation to, element of offense may render evidence relevant to that element inadmissible (see secs. 12.6127 and 14.2154)	14-4
14.148 Reasons for rule excluding other-acts evidence	14-4
14.149 Other matters	14-4
14.1491 General rule does not apply in commitment proceeding under ch. 980, Wis. Stats., to evidence offered to prove that respondent has mental disorder that makes it substantially probable that he or she will commit acts of sexual violence in future (see sec. 10.7862)	14-4
14.1492 General rule excluding other acts evidence is limited to evidence used to prove past acts; it does not exclude other acts evidence introduced to prove future conduct or behavior	14-4
14.2 Exceptions: Admissible For Other Purposes	14-4
14.21 General Principles	14-4
14.211 Rule that evidence is admissible for other purposes in general	14-4
14.212 Purposes for which evidence may be used in general	14-4
14.2121 In general	14-4
14.2122 Purposes specified in statute are merely illustrative and not exclusive (see sec. 14.213)	14-6
14.213 Three-prong test to be employed to determine admissibility (Sullivan analysis)	14-6
14.214 Purposes not limited to those specified in statute governing admissibility of "other crimes, wrongs, or acts" evidence (i.e., Wis. Stat. § 904.04(2))	14-8

14.215	Applicability of exceptions to other crimes, wrongs, or acts of third parties (see sec. 14.322)	14-9
14.216	When element not in issue, other crimes evidence relevant to that element is not admissible	14-9
14.2161	In general	14-9
14.2162	All elements of crime are in issue whether or not defendant disputes element	14-9
14.2163	Intent is in issue notwithstanding defendant's denial of commission of any criminal act	14-9
14.2164	If defendant offers to formally concede, admit, or stipulate to element (e.g., status element, such as prior conviction), evidence relevant to that element may not be admissible	14-9
14.21641	In general	14-9
14.21642	Defense counsel not required to enter into stipulation to avoid being found ineffective	14-10
14.21643	Court and prosecutor are not required to accept concession or stipulation (see sec. 14.2162)	14-10
14.21644	If defendant concedes or stipulates to element and, therefore, other crimes evidence relevant to that element is not admitted, defendant forfeits right to complain about trial court's ruling admitting other crimes evidence (see sec. 14.345)	14-10
14.2165	State may introduce other crimes evidence relevant to issue it must prove even though defendant does not contest issue	14-10
14.217	Defendant's formal concession of, or stipulation to, element of offense to which other acts evidence relates (Wallerman stipulation)	14-10
14.2171	Validity of	14-10
14.2172	Court and prosecutor are not required to accept concession or stipulation	14-10
14.2173	May render evidence relevant to that element inadmissible (see sec. 14.2154)	14-10
14.2174	Defense counsel not required to enter into stipulation to avoid admission of other acts evidence (see sec. 14.21542)	14-10
14.218	Admissibility of other crimes evidence not controlled by predispositions or presumptions in favor of or against admissibility, but rather by well-established rules of evidence (see sec. 14.281)	14-11
14.219	Other matters	14-11
14.2191	Other-acts-evidence rule not limited to acts, but also includes verbal statements even when not acted upon	14-11
14.2192	Admissibility of evidence that does not specifically detail prior crime, wrong or act, but conveys message that prior crime, wrong or act was engaged in, is analyzed under law of "other crimes, wrongs or acts" evidence (see sec. 14.144)	14-11
14.2193	Defendant's formal concession of or stipulation to element of offense may render evidence relevant to that element inadmissible (see sec. 14.2154)	14-11
14.2194	Determining whether evidence is other crimes, wrongs, or acts evidence (see sec. 14.13)	14-11
14.2195	Other crime, wrong, or act need not precede crime at issue	14-11
14.22	Greater Latitude Rule Applicable In Particular Types Of Cases	14-11

14.221	Sex crimes cases, particularly those involving children	14-11
14.2211	In general	14-11
14.2212	Greater latitude rule in sex crimes cases applies to all stages or steps of admissibility analysis	14-12
14.2213	Greater latitude rule in sex crimes cases does not apply only to prior acts of sexual assault or other sexual activity, but to all other acts	14-12
14.2214	Greater latitude rule applicable in child sexual assault cases applies when adult victim functions at level of child due to diabilities	14-12
14.2215	Greater latitude rule applies not only to prior sexual assaults, but also to defendant's possession of pornography	14-12
14.222	Child physical abuse and death cases	14-12
14.23	First Prong Of Test For Admissibility: Evidence Must Be Offered For Permissible Purpose	14-12
14.231	General principles	14-12
14.2311	In general	14-12
14.2312	Purposes for which evidence may be admitted in general (see sec. 14.211)	14-13
14.2313	There is no requirement that purpose for which evidence of other act is proffered be element of crime	14-13
14.2314	Proponent of evidence must demonstrate proper purpose by preponderance of evidence	14-13
14.232	To prove intent	14-13
14.2321	In general	14-13
14.2322	Intent is in issue notwithstanding defendant's denial of commission of any criminal act (see sec. 14.2153)	14-14
14.2323	When state does not have to prove intent, other-acts evidence is not admissible to show proof of intent	14-14
14.2324	To prove that police officer intended to frame defendant (see sec. 14.2578)	14-15
14.233	To prove motive (see sec. 45.2983)	14-15
14.2331	Motive of defendant for committing crime	14-15
14.23311	In general	14-15
14.23312	When state does not have to prove intent, other- acts evidence is not admissible to show proof of motive	14-16
14.23313	Other crimes evidence may be admitted to establish motive if there is relationship between other acts and charged offense or if there is a purpose or intent element to the charged crime	14-16
14.23314	When defendant charged with committing sexual assault involving unusual sexual activity, defendant's possession of pornography displaying that activity may be introduced to prove motive	14-16
14.23315	There is no requirement that motive that other act is offered to prove be element of crime	14-16
14.23316	To provide motive for shooting victim other than self-defense, when defendant claims self-defense	14-16

14.2332	Motive of victim to falsely accuse defendant of committing crime	14-16
14.2333	Motive of witness to lie	14-17
14.234	To prove opportunity	14-17
14.235	To prove scheme or plan	14-17
14.236	To prove or disprove identity	14-18
14.2361	In general	14-18
14.2362	By showing alleged perpetrator's mode or method of operation (modus operandi)	14-18
14.23621	In general	14-18
14.23622	When evidence offered to prove identity of third party as person who committed crime with which defendant charged	14-18
14.2363	To disprove identity of defendant as perpetrator by showing that he was mistakenly identified as perpetrator of similar crime occurring about the same time and in the same area as charged crimes	14-19
14.237	To prove knowledge	14-19
14.2371	In general	14-19
14.2372	Prior abuse of different child in prosecution for child homicide	14-19
14.2373	To prove knowledge that person defendant shot was police officer, to rebut claim of self-defense	14-19
14.238	To complete story of crime and to put charges in context	14-19
14.239	To prove absence of mistake or accident	14-20
14.2391	In general	14-20
14.2392	Evidence may be admitted for this purpose even though crime charged has no specific intent element	14-20
14.2393	Prior abuse of different child in prosecution for child homicide	14-20
14.2394	To prove that abusive conduct ascribed to officer-witness by defendant was not accident	14-21
14.24	First Prong Of Test For Admissibility: Evidence Must Be Offered For Permissible Purpose (cont'd)	14-21
14.241	To show depravity of mind	14-21
14.242	To prove consciousness of guilt	14-21
14.2421	In general	14-21
14.2422	By showing defendant's solicitation of murder of victim or other witness to crime	14-21
14.243	To refute defendant's misidentification theory	14-21
14.244	To prove negligent driving	14-21
14.245	To show bias of witness	14-21
14.2451	In general	14-21
14.2452	When police officer is witness	14-21
14.246	To attack credibility of defendant when he has denied conduct on direct examination	14-21
14.247	To prove that death was caused by defendant's criminal act, rather than naturally	14-21
14.248	To prove basis for charged offense	14-21
14.249	To prove entrapment or lack of it	14-21

14.25	First Prong Of Test For Admissibility: Evidence Must Be Offered For Permissible Purpose (cont'd)	14-21
14.251	To make more probable truth of state's case	14-21
14.252	To show victim's state of mind	14-21
14.2521	In general	14-21
14.2522	When victim has recanted	14-21
14.253	To provide aspect, or prove element, of crime	14-22
14.254	To impeach defendant	14-22
14.255	To prove defendant engaged in drug dealing by showing he was in possession of firearms (see sec. 13.3631)	14-22
14.256	To prove defendant's status as convicted felon	14-22
14.2561	In general	14-22
14.2562	When defendant ready to stipulate that he is convicted felon (see sec. 38.14141)	14-22
14.257	Other purposes	14-22
14.2571	To prove intent to defraud	14-22
14.2572	To prove touching occurred in sexual assault prosecution	14-22
14.2573	To present evidence that supports defendant's defense	14-22
14.2574	To corroborate information given to police	14-22
14.2575	To prove that victim did not consent in sexual assault prosecution	14-22
14.25751	Generally, evidence that defendant has committed other nonconsensual sexual assault is not admissible because consent is unique to particular victim	14-22
14.25752	But when other nonconsensual sexual assault is committed in strikingly similar and singular way, evidence that defendant committed other sexual assault is admissible	14-22
14.2576	To prove future dangerousness	14-23
14.2577	To disprove defense of reasonable discipline	14-23
14.2578	To prove that police officer intended to frame defendant	14-23
14.2579	To show how fatal accident occurred	14-23
14.258	Other purposes (cont'd)	14-23
14.2581	To fully present case; to provide full presentation of case	14-23
14.2582	To establish ability to identify substance as controlled substance and belief that substance was controlled substance	14-23
14.2583	To rebut theory of defense in general	14-23
14.2584	To prove defendant had means to commit crime	14-23
14.2585	To promote credibility of state's witness (e.g., victim)	14-23
14.2586	To prove intent to obstruct justice or avoid punishment, thus demonstrating consciousness of guilt (see sec. 14.242)	14-23
14.2587	To prove nonconsent in sexual assault prosecution: defendant's prior sexual assaults on other women has no tendency to prove that present victim did not consent	14-23
14.2588	In chap. 980 (sexual predator) proceeding, to prove defendant suffered from mental disorder that makes it substantially probable that he or she will commit acts of sexual violence in future	14-24

	14.2589	To prove preparation	14-24
14.259		Other purposes (cont'd)	14-24
	14.2591	To attack credibility of witness on cross-examination	14-24
	14.25911	In general	14-24
	14.25912	To attack defendant's credibility as a witness	14-24
	14.25913	When police officer is witness	14-24
	14.2592	To provide explanation why defendant made incriminating statements (e.g., he desired to shield person who had committed similar crime in the past)	14-24
	14.2593	To impeach, or otherwise test credibility of, victim's recantation of original accusation	14-24
	14.2594	To impeach credibility by showing prior convictions (see sec. 14.143)	14-24
	14.2595	To rebut defendant's exculpatory explanation for incriminating fact	14-24
	14.2596	To counter defense, in prosecution for failure to cause child to attend school regularly, that child was uncontrollable	14-24
	14.2597	To show alleged perpetrator's mode or method of operation (modus operandi) (see sec. 14.235)	14-24
	14.2598	To rebut defense counsel's assertion in opening statements	14-25
	14.2599	To rebut defendant's claim of self-defense	14-25
14.26		Second Prong Of Test For Admissibility: Requirement That Evidence Be Relevant To Issue In Case	14-25
	14.261	In general	14-25
	14.262	Evidence relevant only if jury could conclude act occurred and defendant was actor	14-26
	14.263	To be relevant, evidence must relate to disputed issue	14-26
	14.2631	In general (see sec. 14.2151)	14-26
	14.2632	Elements of crime are in dispute whether or not the defendant affirmatively contests them (see sec. 14.2152)	14-26
	14.2633	Intent is in issue notwithstanding defendant's denial of commission of any criminal act (see sec. 14.2153)	14-27
	14.2634	If defendant offers to formally concede, admit, or stipulate to element (e.g., status element such as prior convictions), evidence relevant to that element may not be admissible (see sec. 14.2154)	14-27
	14.264	To be relevant, evidence must have probative value	14-27
	14.2641	In general	14-27
	14.2642	Probative value dependent on nearness in time, place or circumstance	14-27
	14.2643	When evidence too remote in time to have probative value	14-27
	14.2644	Similarity and nearness are not always required to give evidence probative value; dissimilar events can be relevant to one another	14-28
	14.265	State may introduce other crimes evidence relevant to issue it must prove even though defendant does not contest issue (see sec. 14.217)	14-28
	14.266	Evidence not rendered irrelevant because it relates to other crime of which defendant was previously acquitted	14-28

14.267	In assessing relevance, probative value of other acts evidence depends on the other incident's nearness in time, place and circumstances to the alleged crime or to the fact or proposition sought to be proved	14-28
14.2671	In general (see sec. 14.2642)	14-28
14.2672	When evidence too remote in time to have probative value (see sec. 14.2643)	14-28
14.2673	Similarity and nearness are not always required to give evidence probative value; dissimilar events can be relevant to one another (see sec. 14.2644)	14-28
14.268	Relevancy of particular other acts evidence	14-28
14.2681	Evidence showing defendant to be drug dealer where defendant claims self-defense when he shoots at police who are executing no-knock warrant at his residence	14-28
14.269	Other matters	14-28
14.2691	Proponent of evidence has burden to establish its relevance	14-28
14.2692	Evidence of behavior as child has less probative value than behavior that occurred while person was adult	14-28
14.2693	Implicit in decision that evidence is relevant is that jury could find by preponderance of evidence that defendant committed other act	14-28
14.27	Third Prong Of Test For Admissibility: Trial Court's Duty To Determine Whether Probative Value Outweighs Prejudicial Effect And Other Countervailing Factors	14-29
14.271	In general	14-29
14.272	Probative value dependent on nearness in time, place or circumstance	14-31
14.2721	In general	14-31
14.2722	Nearness in time	14-32
14.2723	When evidence too remote in time to have probative value	14-32
14.273	Probative value increased when prior act resulted in criminal conviction	14-32
14.274	What constitutes prejudice in this context	14-32
14.275	Trial court not required to make this determination unless objection made on ground that probative value is outweighed by prejudicial effect	14-33
14.276	Trial court abuses discretion if it excludes other crimes evidence that is only evidence of element of crime charged, on basis that it is unfairly prejudicial or misleading to the jury	14-33
14.277	When trial court does not expressly fulfill this duty, appellate court may independently review record to determine whether evidence properly admitted	14-33
14.278	When other acts evidence is offered to prove status element (e.g., prior convictions that render defendant's conduct criminal, prior conviction that is element of offense, etc.) and defendant admits status element, other crimes evidence's probative value may be substantially outweighed by danger of unfair prejudice and thus inadmissible	14-33
14.279	Other matters	14-33

14.2791	Use and sufficiency of limiting instruction to dispel prejudice (see sec. 14.333)	14-33
14.2792	Opponent of evidence has burden to show that its probative value is substantially outweighed by danger of unfair prejudice	14-33
14.2793	Evidence is not rendered unfairly prejudicial by timing of its presentation	14-33
14.2794	Application of this prong when defendant seeks to introduce other acts evidence relating to officer-witness	14-34
14.2795	Victim's inability to testify because of mental deficiencies adds to probative value	14-34
14.2796	Effect of defendant's declining instruction on his or her claim that probative value of other-acts evidence was outweighed by unfair prejudice (see sec. 14.336)	14-34
14.2797	Effect of defendant's acquittal of some of charged offenses on question whether other-acts evidence was unduly prejudicial	14-34
14.2798	Because probative value must be "substantially" outweighed by prejudicial effect, if probative value is close or equal to its unfair prejudicial effect, evidence must be admitted	14-34
14.2799	Steps trial court may take to minimize danger and lessen unfair prejudicial effect	14-34
	14.27991 In general	14-34
	14.27992 Instruction on proper use of evidence	14-34
14.28	Other Matters	14-34
14.281	Admissibility of other crimes evidence not controlled by predispositions or presumptions in favor of or against admissibility, but rather by well-established rules of evidence	14-34
	14.2811 In general	14-34
	14.2812 Decisions suggesting otherwise	14-34
14.282	Exceptions justifying admission of "other acts" evidence not applicable when "other acts" not committed by party against whom "other acts" evidence admitted	14-34
14.283	Other crime, wrong, or act need not precede crime at issue	14-34
14.284	Admissibility of evidence of other crime of which defendant was previously acquitted	14-34
14.285	Fact that other acts evidence concerns defendant's prior conviction[s] of criminal activity does not require analysis under Wis. Stat. § 906.09 (impeachment by evidence of conviction of crime)	14-35
14.286	Admissibility of evidence that does not specifically detail prior crime, wrong or act, but conveys message that prior crime, wrong or act was engaged in, is analyzed under law of "other crimes, wrongs or acts" evidence (see sec. 14.144)	14-35
14.287	Defendant's formal concession of, or stipulation to, element of offense may render evidence relevant to that element inadmissible (see sec. 14.2154)	14-35
14.288	Trial court has no authority to grant admission of other acts evidence upon condition that crime or crimes to which other acts evidence relates will not become subject of successive prosecution	14-35
14.289	Other matters	14-35

	14.2891 Trial court's failure to engage in required analysis for determining admissibility of other-acts evidence does not automatically constitute reversible error	14-35
14.29	Other Matters (cont'd)	14-35
	14.291 Admission of particular types of other acts evidence	14-35
	14.2911 Evidence of defendant's possession of firearms and accessories	14-35
	14.2912 Evidence of defendant's possession of manuals on firearms and other dangerous instrumentalities	14-35
14.3	Other Matters Relating To Other Crimes Evidence	14-35
	14.31 Procedure And Standard Of Proof In Admitting Other Crimes Evidence	14-35
	14.311 No need for trial court to make preliminary finding that state has proved other crime by preponderance of evidence or other evidentiary standard	14-35
	14.312 Other crimes evidence admissible if jury could find that defendant committed other crime by preponderance of the evidence	14-36
	14.313 Prior-crime evidence need not be in form of conviction; evidence of incident, crime or occurrence is sufficient	14-36
	14.314 Need to grant defendant continuance when prosecutor provides notice of other crimes evidence only short time before trial commences (see sec. 14.3694)	14-36
	14.315 Fact that defendant was acquitted of committing other crime does not bar jury in present case from finding that he committed it	14-36
	14.316 Trial court is encouraged to address question of admissibility of other crimes evidence pretrial if possible	14-36
	14.317 Trial court required to set forth on record its exercise of discretion in admitting evidence	14-36
	14.32 Admission Of Other Crimes, Wrongs, Or Acts Of Third Parties	14-36
	14.321 Admissibility of other crimes, wrongs, or acts of third party to show that third party committed crime with which defendant charged	14-36
	14.322 Applicability of requirements of sec. 904.04(2) to the admission of evidence of other crimes, wrongs, or acts of third parties	14-37
	14.323 Defendant's right to claim prejudice from admission of other crimes, wrongs, or acts evidence of codefendant with whom defendant tried	14-37
	14.33 Instructing On Proper Use Of Evidence Of Other Crimes, Wrongs, Or Acts	14-37
	14.331 Constitutionality of instruction	14-37
	14.332 Need for instruction	14-37
	14.3321 In general	14-37
	14.3322 When party fails to request instruction	14-37
	14.333 Sufficiency of instruction to cure or dispel prejudice	14-37
	14.3331 In general	14-37
	14.3332 Interaction of instruction on other crimes and instruction on use of prior convictions to impeach testifying defendant's credibility does not create confusion and render other-crimes instruction insufficient to cure prejudice	14-38
	14.334 Effect of incorrect identification of purpose for which evidence was received	14-38
	14.335 Effect of instructing jury on uses for which court did not receive other acts evidence	14-38

14.336	Effect of defendant's declining instruction on his or her claim that probative value of other-acts evidence was outweighed by unfair prejudice	14-38
14.337	Effect of failure to give cautionary instruction on question whether probative value of evidence was substantially outweighed by danger of unfair prejudice	14-38
14.338	Trial court's sua sponte inquiry of defense whether cautionary instruction is requested	14-38
	14.3381 Desirability of this practice	14-39
14.34	Waiver Of Objection To Other Crimes Evidence Or Of Right To Complain About Admission Of Other Crimes Evidence On Appeal	14-39
14.341	In general	14-39
14.342	By failure to specifically object on basis that evidence constitutes improper other acts evidence	14-39
14.343	By failing to identify with particularity ground for objection	14-39
14.344	By agreeing to consolidation of charges that include other crimes that are the subject of evidence to which objection has been made	14-39
14.345	By entering into stipulation regarding existence of element to which other crimes evidence relates, thereby precluding admission of other acts evidence	14-39
14.346	Waiver of particular ground for objection by not presenting that ground to trial court	14-39
	14.3461 In general	14-39
	14.3462 Waiver of objection to form in which other crimes evidence presented (e.g., by testimony by victim of other crime, rather than by judgment of conviction or stipulation) by failing to object to form in which evidence came in	14-39
14.35	Appellate Review Of Trial Court's Decision Admitting Evidence	14-39
14.351	In general	14-39
14.352	Appellate court's response to trial court's failure to adequately address question of admissibility of other-acts evidence or to adequately explain basis for its ruling	14-41
	14.3521 When court fails to specifically perform required balancing of probative value versus prejudicial effect, appellate court may independently review evidence to determine whether it supports trial court's ruling (see sec. 14.277)	14-41
	14.3522 When trial court fails to adequately explain ruling admitting other-acts evidence, appellate court must independently examine record to determine whether it provides reasonable basis for ruling	14-41
	14.3523 Fact that trial court has mistaken view as to proper purpose of other acts evidence that justifies its admission does not require reversal if in fact other proper purpose for its admission exists	14-42
	14.3524 Regardless of extent of trial court's reasoning, appellate court will uphold decision if there are facts in record that would support trial court's decision had it fully exercised its discretion	14-42

14.3525	Appellate court's obligation to independently review record and determine if it provides basis for trial court's decision when trial court did not adequately explain basis for decision (see sec. 14.3571)	14-42
14.353	Under erroneous-exercise-of-discretion standard applicable in this context, trial court will not be reversed because appellate court would have decided question differently	14-42
14.354	If other crimes evidence admissible on particular ground, appellate court need not determine whether it was also admissible on other ground upon which trial court relied	14-42
14.355	Failure to argue particular purpose for admission of other acts evidence in trial court may bar state on appeal from relying on that purpose to justify admission of evidence (see sec. 11.8423)	14-42
14.356	Applicability of harmless error rule (see sec. 14.3611)	14-42
14.357	Other matters	14-42
14.3571	Appellate court's obligation to independently review record and determine if it provides basis for trial court's decision when trial court did not adequately explain basis for decision	14-42
14.3572	When other acts evidence not actually admitted at trial after trial court's pretrial ruling admitting it (e.g., because defendant enters into stipulation that renders evidence inadmissible), defendant cannot complain about trial court's ruling on appeal	14-42
14.3573	Abuse of discretion in excluding evidence occurs if trial court did not apply correct law	14-43
14.3574	Avoiding necessity to determine admissibility of other-acts evidence by determining that admission of evidence, if error, was harmless	14-43
14.3575	Appellate court will reverse only if trial court's decision admitting evidence was one that no reasonable court could make	14-43
14.36	Other And Related Matters	14-43
14.361	Improper admission of other acts evidence as harmless error	14-43
14.3611	Applicability of harmless error rule to improper admission of other acts evidence	14-43
14.3612	Test for harmless error	14-43
14.3613	Factors to be considered	14-43
14.3614	Determining whether improper admission of other acts evidence was harmless error in particular case	14-43
14.362	Admission of other acts of co-conspirator	14-43
14.363	Use of defendant's prior convictions to impeach credibility (see sec. 16.141)	14-43
14.364	Evidence of character of defendant (see sec. 20.8231)	14-43
14.365	Once other crimes evidence admissible, it is admissible in case-in-chief and need not be reserved for rebuttal	14-43
14.366	Constitutional restrictions on admissibility of other-crimes evidence	14-43
14.367	Fact that admission of other crimes evidence may give rise to future double jeopardy problem is inappropriate factor to be considered when deciding whether to exclude evidence	14-44

	<i>Page</i>
14.368 Use of other crimes of which defendant was acquitted	14-44
14.369 Other matters	14-44
14.3691 State evidentiary rule regarding other crimes evidence is identical in meaning to federal rule relating to other crimes evidence	14-44
14.3692 Defendant's post-crime threats to victim are not other acts evidence governed by Wis. Stat. § 904.04	14-44
14.3693 Other crimes, wrongs, or acts evidence rule not applicable when prior convictions used for impeachment purposes (see sec. 14.143)	14-44
14.3694 Need to grant defendant continuance when prosecutor provides notice of other crimes evidence only short time before trial commences	14-44
14.3695 Fact that other acts evidence concerns defendant's prior conviction[s] of criminal activity does not require analysis under Wis. Stat. § 906.09 (impeachment by evidence of conviction of crime) (see sec. 14.285)	14-44
14.3696 Admissibility of evidence that does not specifically detail prior crime, wrong or act, but conveys message that prior crime, wrong or act was engaged in, is analyzed under law of "other crimes, wrongs or acts" evidence (see sec. 14.144)	14-44
14.3697 Trial court has no authority to grant admission of other acts evidence upon condition that crime or crimes to which other acts evidence relates will not become subject of successive prosecution (see sec. 14.288)	14-44
14.3698 Fact that defendant was acquitted of committing other crime does not bar jury in present case from finding that he committed it (see sec. 14.315)	14-44

Chapter 15

Hearsay and Its Exceptions

15.1 What Constitutes Hearsay	15-1
15.11 General Principles	15-1
15.111 Definition of hearsay in general	15-1
15.112 As general rule, hearsay is not admissible evidence	15-2
15.1121 In general	15-2
15.1122 Exception to general rule exists when hearsay is admissible "as provided . . . by statute" (see sec. 15.67)	15-2
15.113 Statement offered to prove fact other than that asserted in statement, but which would prove that fact only if it itself were true, is hearsay	15-2
15.114 To constitute "statement" for purposes of definition of hearsay, "assertion" may be implicit rather than explicit; "assertion" includes expression of fact, opinion, or condition that is implicit in words of utterance as long as speaker intended to express that fact, opinion, or condition	15-2
15.12 Statement Offered To Prove That It Was Made	15-2
15.121 In general	15-2
15.122 Threat to witness	15-2

15.13	Statement Made In Presence Of Another Who Would Be Expected To, But Does Not, Deny It	15-2
15.14	Other Statements	15-2
15.141	Statement offered for purpose of explaining witness's subsequent conduct	15-2
15.142	Statement by nontestifying declarant contained in police report	15-2
15.143	Offer of bribe to victim not to testify	15-2
15.144	Out-of-court statement regarding complainant's sexual behavior prior to alleged assault	15-2
15.145	Statement offered for purpose of explaining how sexual assault allegations of child came out and how the incident was first reported	15-3
15.146	Out-of-court instruction to do something	15-3
15.147	Defendant's exculpatory out-of-court statements when offered by defendant	15-3
15.148	Report of another individual upon which expert bases opinion is hearsay	15-3
15.149	Statements of judge and prosecutor in transcript of prior proceeding that is read to jury	15-3
15.15	Other Matters	15-3
15.151	Videotaped deposition is not hearsay (see sec. 15.781)	15-3
15.152	Cannot argue in appellate court that statement was not offered to prove truth of matter asserted if contrary position taken below	15-3
15.153	Statement offered to provide context necessary to evaluate evidence already offered by opposing party	15-3
15.2	Statements That Are Not Hearsay	15-3
15.21	Prior Inconsistent Statement Of Witness	15-3
15.211	In general	15-3
15.212	Use as substantive evidence	15-4
15.213	Only applicable to statement of witness who testifies	15-4
15.214	Declaring prior statement inconsistent when declarant denies recollection of subject of statement and/or of making statement	15-4
15.215	Introduction of extrinsic evidence of prior inconsistent statement of witness (see sec. 16.291)	15-4
15.22	Prior Consistent Statement Of Witness To Rebut Charge Of Recent Fabrication Or Improper Influence	15-4
15.221	In general	15-4
15.222	May be admissible under the rule of completeness	15-4
15.23	Identification Of Person Made Soon After Perceiving Him	15-4
15.231	In general	15-4
15.232	Identification not rendered inadmissible because witness has no recollection of basis for identification	15-5
15.24	Admission By Party Opponent	15-5
15.241	In general	15-5
15.242	Must be introduced against party making admission	15-5
15.2421	In general	15-5
15.2422	Defendant's out-of-court statements are exempted from hearsay rule only when they are offered by state	15-5
15.243	Adoptive admission	15-5

15.244	Statement falls within this category even if it is made in a different language (e.g., Spanish) than the language used to describe its contents in court (i.e., English)	15-5
15.245	Not rendered hearsay by fact that party opponent's admission is conveyed to testifying witness through an interpreter	15-5
15.246	Statement of which party has manifested party's adoption or belief in its truth	15-5
15.247	Use of statement by government employee against government as admission in criminal trial	15-6
	15.2471 In general	15-6
	15.2472 Statement of prosecutor (see sec. 15.2483)	15-6
15.248	Statements of attorneys in judicial proceedings as admissions of client	15-6
	15.2481 In general	15-6
	15.2482 Statement of defense counsel as admission of defendant	15-6
	15.2483 Statement of prosecutor as admission of government	15-6
	15.2484 Statements regarding witness credibility	15-6
15.249	Other matters	15-6
	15.2491 Admission in CHIPS or other juvenile court proceeding as admission by party opponent	15-6
	15.2492 Statute admitting admission of party opponent does not trump more specific statute excluding statement (e.g., statute excluding statements made in connection with guilty pleas and offers to plead guilty)	15-6
15.25	Statement Of Co-Conspirator	15-6
	15.251 In general	15-6
	15.252 Limitations imposed by the confrontation clause on admissibility of statement of co-conspirator	15-7
	15.2521 In general (see sec. 27.2267)	15-7
	15.2522 Confrontation clause does not require showing of unavailability before statement admissible (see sec. 27.22331)	15-7
	15.2523 Confrontation clause does not require showing of reliability before statement admissible (see sec. 27.22541)	15-7
15.253	Propriety of considering statement in determining its admissibility	15-7
15.254	Commencement and termination of conspiracy	15-7
15.255	Waiver of objection to admission of statement of co-conspirator	15-7
	15.2551 In general	15-7
	15.2552 To designation of declarant as co-conspirator	15-7
15.256	Appellate review of admissibility of statement of co-conspirator	15-7
15.257	Standard of proof in determining whether conspiracy existed	15-7
15.258	Other matters	15-7
	15.2581 Strictly speaking, statement of co-conspirator is not hearsay "exception"; it is not hearsay	15-7
15.26	Other Statements	15-7
	15.261 Contemporaneous translation of statement from one language to another	15-7
	15.262 "Deficient sample" printout from intoxilyzer	15-8
15.3	Hearsay Exceptions; Availability Of Declarant Immaterial	15-8

	<i>Page</i>
15.31 Present Sense Impression	15-8
15.311 In general	15-8
15.312 Applicability of this exception to particular type of evidence	15-8
15.3121 911 call	15-8
15.32 Excited Utterance	15-8
15.321 In general	15-8
15.322 In case of young child	15-8
15.323 Limitations imposed by confrontation clause on admissibility of excited utterance	15-9
15.3231 Showing of unavailability not required (see sec. 27.22334)	15-9
15.324 Applicability of this exception to particular types of evidence	15-9
15.3241 911 call	15-9
15.33 Then Existing Mental, Emotional, Or Physical Condition	15-9
15.331 In general	15-9
15.332 Under this exception, a statement of a present intent to do an act in the future is admissible to prove that declarant acted in conformity with statement	15-9
15.3321 In general	15-9
15.3322 Declarant's statement threatening to get victim to make false accusations against defendant	15-9
15.333 This exception covers evidence regarding child sexual assault victim's nightmares regarding threats made by assailant	15-9
15.334 This exception only covers statement of declarant's feelings (e.g., she was afraid of defendant); it does not cover declarant's statement of cause of feelings (e.g., defendant had threatened her) to prove that certain event (e.g., defendant's threats) occurred	15-9
15.34 Statements For Purposes Of Medical Diagnosis Or Treatment	15-9
15.341 In general	15-9
15.342 Applicability of this exception to statements made by third party on behalf of person seeking medical diagnosis or treatment (e.g., statement of parent for child)	15-10
15.343 This exception applies to statements made to psychologists	15-10
15.344 This exception applies to statements made to nurse practioners	15-10
15.345 This exception does not apply to statements made to counselors or social workers	15-10
15.346 This exception does not render admissible evidence that is excludable on grounds other than hearsay	15-10
15.347 Limitations imposed by confrontation clause on admissibility of statements made for purposes of diagnosis or treatment	15-10
15.3471 Showing of unavailability not required (see sec. 27.22332)	15-10
15.35 Recorded Recollection	15-10
15.351 In general	15-10
15.352 Refreshing witness's recollection (see sec. 20.3651)	15-10
15.36 Records Of Regularly Conducted Activity And Absence Of Entry Therein	15-10
15.361 Records of regularly conducted activity	15-10
15.3611 In general	15-10
15.3612 Application of this exception to particular types of records	15-10
15.36121 Police reports	15-11
15.36122 911 call	15-11

15.3613	This exception does not generally apply to records prepared in anticipation of litigation	15-11
15.3614	This exception does not apply to state crime lab reports	15-11
15.362	Absence of entry in records of regularly conducted activity	15-11
15.37	Hospital Records	15-11
15.38	Miscellaneous Other Records, Certificates, Documents, Etc., Or Absence Of Entry Therein	15-11
15.381	Public records and reports	15-11
15.3811	In general	15-11
15.3812	Must be properly authenticated to be admissible	15-11
15.38121	In general	15-11
15.38122	Authentication of defendant's DOT driving record	15-11
15.3813	This exception applies to defendant's DOT driving record	15-11
15.382	Records of vital statistics	15-11
15.383	Absence of public record or entry	15-11
15.384	Records of religious organizations	15-11
15.385	Marriage, baptismal, and similar certificates	15-11
15.386	Family records	15-11
15.387	Records of documents affecting an interest in property	15-11
15.388	Statements in documents affecting an interest in property	15-12
15.389	Other	15-12
15.3891	Statements in ancient documents	15-12
15.3892	Market reports, commercial publications	15-12
15.3893	Learned treatises	15-12
15.38931	In general	15-12
15.38932	Requirement that party introducing treatise provide other party with notice at least forty days before trial	15-12
15.39	Other Exceptions	15-12
15.391	Reputation as to certain matters	15-12
15.3911	Reputation concerning personal or family history	15-12
15.3912	Reputation concerning boundaries or general history	15-12
15.3913	Reputation as to character	15-12
15.392	Judgments relating to certain matters	15-12
15.3921	Judgment of previous conviction	15-12
15.3922	Judgment as to personal, family or general history, or boundaries	15-12
15.393	Other statements having comparable circumstantial guarantees of trustworthiness (residual exception)	15-12
15.3931	In general	15-12
15.3932	Applicability of this exception to hearsay statements of child sexual assault victims and circumstances under which such statements are properly admitted under this exception	15-13
15.3933	Applicability of this exception to hearsay statements of young child forced to testify against his or her own parent	15-13
15.3934	There is no substantive difference between this exception and similarly worded exception for unavailable declarants	15-13

15.3935	This exception is not rendered inapplicable simply because hearsay evidence is "similar" to one of enumerated exceptions but fails to qualify under that exception	15-13
15.4	Hearsay Exceptions; Declarant Unavailable	15-13
15.41	Former Testimony	15-13
15.411	In general	15-13
15.412	Requirement that party against whom former testimony offered had a similar motive to develop the testimony by direct, cross, or redirect examination	15-14
15.413	Defendant is not deemed unavailable, thus permitting admission of his prior testimony in other crime prosecution, when state has introduced evidence of other crime in present prosecution and defendant wants to exercise privilege against self-incrimination regarding present offense (see sec. 15.51112)	15-14
15.42	Statement Of Recent Perception	15-14
15.421	In general	15-14
15.422	Applicability of this exception to particular types of evidence	15-14
15.4221	911 call	15-14
15.4222	Does not apply to the aural perception of oral statement privately told to a person	15-14
15.4223	Deceased victim's statements that defendant was following her	15-14
15.423	Particular requirements of this exception	15-14
15.4231	"Made in good faith, not in contemplation of pending or anticipated litigation in which the declarant was interested"	15-15
15.43	Statement Under Belief Of Impending Death	15-15
15.44	Statement Against Interest	15-15
15.441	Statement against penal interest	15-15
15.4411	In general	15-15
15.4412	Requirement that inculpatory statement exculpating defendant be corroborated	15-15
15.44121	In general	15-15
15.44122	Independent corroboration not always required; self-corroboration (e.g., by repetition of statement to multiple witnesses) may be enough	15-16
15.4413	In assessing admissibility under this exception, court must break down statement and determine separate admissibility of each single declaration or remark; each assertion must be examined to determine if it is sufficiently against interest	15-16
15.4414	This hearsay exception does not allow admission of non-self-inculpatory statements, even if they are made within a broader narrative that is generally self-inculpatory; statements that are not inculpatory cannot be admitted under this hearsay exception just because they were made as part of broader narrative that is inculpatory	15-16
15.4415	Consistency with confrontation clause of utilizing this exception to admit against defendant at criminal trial an out-of-court statement of fellow participant in crime who does not testify at trial (see sec. 27.23)	15-16

15.442	Statement against social interest (i.e., state that makes declarant object of hatred, ridicule, or disgrace)	15-16
15.45	Statement Of Personal Or Family History	15-16
15.46	Other Statement Having Comparable Circumstantial Guarantees Of Trustworthiness (Residual Exception)	15-16
15.461	In general	15-16
15.462	Applicability of this exception to hearsay statements of child sexual assault victim and circumstances under which such statements are properly admitted under this exception	15-17
15.463	Applicability of this exception to hearsay statements of young child forced to testify against his or her own parent	15-17
15.464	There is no substantive difference between this exception and similarly worded exception for available declarants (see sec. 15.3934)	15-17
15.465	This exception is not rendered inapplicable simply because hearsay evidence is "similar" to one of enumerated exceptions but fails to qualify under that exception (see sec. 15.3935)	15-17
15.5	When Declarant Unavailable	15-17
15.51	Situations In Which Declarant May Or May Not Be Deemed Unavailable	15-17
15.511	Exempted on ground of privilege	15-17
15.5111	Privilege against self-incrimination	15-17
15.51111	In general	15-17
15.51112	Defendant is not deemed unavailable, thus permitting admission of his prior testimony in other crime prosecution, when state has introduced evidence of other crime in present prosecution and defendant wants to exercise privilege against self-incrimination regarding present offense	15-18
15.512	Refusal to testify	15-18
15.5121	In general	15-18
15.5122	Where witness refuses voluntarily to testify, court has no duty to compel testimony before declaring witness unavailable	15-18
15.513	Lack of memory	15-18
15.514	Death or illness or infirmity	15-18
15.515	Not procurable by process or other reasonable means	15-18
15.5151	In general	15-18
15.5152	Proponent of witness must demonstrate good-faith effort and due diligence in attempting to procure witness's testimony	15-18
15.5153	Need to resort to Uniform Extradition Act to obtain presence of nonresident witness in order to show unavailability	15-18
15.516	Witness is allegedly incompetent due to unwillingness or inability to speak the truth or communicate intelligent answers	15-18
15.52	When Unavailability Due To Procurement Or Wrongdoing Of Proponent Of Statement	15-19
15.521	Rules of evidence not applicable to determination whether this is the case	15-19

15.522	Confrontation requirements not applicable to determination whether this is the case	15-19
15.53	Other Matters	15-19
15.531	Showing of unavailability must be made when hearsay statement offered	15-19
15.532	Trial court may not find declarant unavailable on basis that declarant is incompetent witness	15-19
15.533	Standard of appellate review of question of unavailability	15-19
15.6	Other Hearsay Exceptions	15-19
15.61	State Crime Lab Reports	15-19
15.62	Statement Made In Presence Of Another Person Who Would Be Expected To, But Does Not, Deny It (see sec. 15.13)	15-19
15.63	Statement Made By Young Victim Of Sexual Assault	15-19
15.64	Videotaped Statements Of Children Under Wis. Stat. § 908.08	15-19
15.641	In general	15-19
15.642	Constitutionality of statute admitting videotaped statements of children	15-19
15.6421	In general	15-19
15.6422	Consistency with due process	15-19
15.6423	Consistency with right to confrontation	15-19
15.6424	Consistency with separation of powers doctrine	15-19
15.643	Statute covers videotape of child's testimony at preliminary examination	15-20
15.644	Prerequisites to admission of videotaped statement	15-20
15.6441	Child must have "understanding that false statements are punishable and of the importance of telling the truth"	15-20
15.6442	Interests of justice must warrant admission of videotape of twelve-to-sixteen-year-old children	15-20
15.6443	Notice by state to defendant of intent to introduce videotape	15-20
15.645	Procedure for admitting statement	15-20
15.6451	Requirement that videotaped statement be admitted before child testifies	15-20
15.646	Court's duty to apply Wis. Stat. § 908.08 as written, including provision admitting videotaped statement before child testifies	15-20
15.6461	In general	15-20
15.6462	Court cannot use other general evidentiary provisions as basis for refusing to apply § 908.08 as written	15-20
15.6463	Court cannot use speculative concern about possible confrontation violation as basis for refusing to apply § 908.08 as written	15-20
15.647	Other matters	15-20
15.6471	Sec. 908.08 does not preclude admission of videotaped statements not meeting conditions set down in that provision if those statements are otherwise admissible under exception to hearsay rule	15-20
15.6472	Sec. 908(7) permits trial court to admit child's videotaped statement under hearsay exception without requiring compliance with § 908.08(2) and (3)	15-20

15.6473	Sec. 908.08 permits trial court to admit videotaped statement even if it does not meet requirements of sec. 908.01(4)(a)2., which provides for admission of prior inconsistent statement	15-20
15.6474	Need for court reporter to report and transcribe statements when they are admitted at trial (see sec. 8.89314)	15-21
15.65	Wis. Stat. § 907.03, Which Allows Expert To Base Opinion On Data That Constitute Hearsay, Does Not Create Hearsay Exception	15-21
15.66	When hearsay is admissible "as provided . . . by statute"	15-21
15.661	In general	15-21
15.662	When statute provides that court shall appoint expert and requires that expert file report with court, report, although hearsay, is admissible "as provided . . . by statute"	15-21
15.67	Other Exceptions	15-21
15.7	Other Hearsay Matters	15-21
15.71	Hearsay Within Hearsay (Double Hearsay)	15-21
15.72	Violation Of Defendant's Right To Present Witnesses By Enforcement Of Hearsay Rule (see sec. 28.3)	15-21
15.73	Refreshing Witness's Recollection Through Prior Out-Of-Court Statement (see sec. 20.3651)	15-21
15.74	Attacking And Supporting Credibility Of Declarant	15-22
15.741	In general	15-22
15.742	When defendant introduces hearsay statement of unavailable declarant, state's introduction of prior inconsistent statement of declarant, about which defendant had not had opportunity to cross-examine declarant, does not violate defendant's right to confrontation (see sec. 27.2215)	15-22
15.743	When defendant attacks credibility of hearsay declarant who has implicated defendant in crime by introducing testimony of witness that declarant has recanted accusation, state may introduce evidence of witness's gang affiliation to provide motive for declarant's recantation	15-22
15.75	Hearsay Rule Generally Inapplicable To Facts Or Data Upon Which Expert Bases Opinion	15-22
15.76	Waiver Of Right To Raise Hearsay Issue On Appeal	15-22
15.761	Waiver of objection to exclusion of hearsay evidence	15-22
15.7611	By failure to identify hearsay exception into which hearsay evidence falls	15-22
15.762	Waiver of objection to admission of hearsay evidence	15-22
15.7621	By failure to object	15-22
15.7622	Objection need not be made when hearsay initially introduced for nonhearsay purpose; objection sufficient if made when hearsay about to be put to improper use	15-22
15.7623	Objection not waived by failing to argue exception into which hearsay does not fit	15-22
15.7624	By objecting, but failing to specify hearsay as ground for objection	15-23
15.7625	By objecting to statement that was not hearsay, but failing to object to statement that arguably was hearsay	15-23

	<i>Page</i>
15.763 Hearsay not objected to is competent evidence (see sec. 15.783)	15-23
15.77 Appellate Review Of Ruling On Hearsay Evidence	15-23
15.771 Standard of review	15-23
15.772 Appellate court may review record to determine if statement admissible under particular hearsay exception, even though trial court did not admit statement on that basis	15-24
15.78 Other Matters	15-24
15.781 Videotaped deposition is not hearsay	15-24
15.782 Exclusion of hearsay falling within hearsay exception when declarant testifies at trial	15-24
15.783 Unobjected-to hearsay is competent evidence admissible for its truth	15-24
15.784 Use of interpreter does not turn statements that interpreter translates into hearsay	15-24
15.785 Hearsay rule not applicable to grand jury proceeding	15-24
15.786 Hearsay proponent has burden to prove hearsay falls within exception when opponent objects generally on hearsay grounds	15-24
15.787 Sec. 907.03, which allows expert to base opinion upon inadmissible evidence, does not create hearsay exception (see sec. 15.65)	15-24
15.788 Trial court's discretion to conclude that considerations of fairness outweigh principles underpinning hearsay rule and to permit admission of hearsay evidence	15-24
15.789 "Deficient sample" printout from intoxilyzer is not hearsay (see sec. 15.262)	15-24

Chapter 16 Cross-Examination and Impeachment

16.1 Cross-Examination	16-1
16.11 Scope Of Cross-Examination In General	16-1
16.111 General principles	16-1
16.112 Court's right to limit cross-examination when probative value outweighed by countervailing factors	16-1
16.113 Determining whether cross-examination is relevant (see sec. 13.122)	16-1
16.12 Defendant's Constitutional Right To Confrontation And Cross-Examination	16-1
16.13 Of Defendant Regarding Silence	16-1
16.131 Prearrest, pre-Miranda silence (see sec. 20.6122)	16-1
16.132 Prearrest, post-Miranda silence (see sec. 20.6123)	16-1
16.133 Post-arrest, pre-Miranda silence (see sec. 20.6124)	16-1
16.134 Post-arrest, post-Miranda silence (see sec. 20.6125)	16-1
16.135 Silence at prior trial (see sec. 20.613)	16-2
16.14 Regarding Matters Affecting Credibility	16-2
16.141 Prior convictions	16-2
16.1411 In general	16-2
16.1412 Propriety of use of particular convictions	16-2
16.14121 Conviction obtained without counsel	16-2
16.14122 Cconviction reversed on appeal	16-2
16.14123 Conviction that has been expunged pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 973.015(1)	16-2

16.14124	Misdemeanor conviction not involving dishonesty	16-2
16.1413	Need to weigh probative value of prior conviction against danger of unfair prejudice	16-3
16.1414	Requirement that defendant testify to obtain appellate review of ruling permitting use of defendant's prior conviction for impeachment purposes	16-3
16.1415	Effect of mistake as to number of convictions	16-3
16.1416	For purposes of impeachment, prior "conviction" occurs upon finding of guilt or entry and acceptance of guilty plea	16-3
16.1417	May defendant who preemptively introduces evidence of prior conviction on direct examination, after trial court has ruled that state will be permitted to adduce evidence of prior conviction to impeach testifying defendant's credibility, challenge admission of such evidence on appeal?	16-3
16.14171	No (United States Supreme Court's answer)	16-3
16.14172	Yes (Wisconsin Court of Appeals' answer)	16-3
16.1418	Other matters	16-3
16.14181	Prior convictions introduced to impeach credibility of testifying witness are not subject to exclusion under other crimes, wrongs, and acts rule (see sec. 14.143)	16-3
16.14182	Limitations on use of defendant's prior conviction(s) to impeach credibility do not apply when conviction(s) introduced for purpose(s) other than impeachment	16-3
16.14183	May impeach testifying defendant by proof of prior convictions without violating Fifth Amendment privilege (see sec. 24.896)	16-3
16.14184	When erroneous testimony regarding number of prior convictions constitutes harmless error	16-4
16.14185	The higher the number of convictions the less credibility on witness's part they may suggest	16-4
16.14186	Rule limiting cross-examination about prior convictions to fact and number of convictions does not bar state from introducing evidence of defense witness's substantial sentences, and lack of any realistic possibility of parole eligibility under them, to impeach witness's claim that he committed crime with which defendant charged by showing that witness had nothing to lose by making that claim	16-4
16.14187	When failure to impeach witness by eliciting number of times witness had been convicted constitutes ineffective assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.3428)	16-4
16.14188	Impeachment based on interaction with justice system that did not result in criminal conviction (see sec. 16.181)	16-4

16.14189	Use of prior conviction to impeach can result in what is, essentially, judicially sanctioned perjury if some, but not all, of witness's convictions are deemed admissible	16-4
16.1419	Other matters (cont'd)	16-4
16.14191	When jurors are informed of witness's criminal past, precise number of prior convictions is unlikely to be outcome determinative	16-4
16.14192	Introducing evidence of prior conviction for purpose other than impeaching credibility (see sec. 13.37932)	16-4
16.14193	Using prior conviction(s) to impeach credibility is different from using prior conviction(s) in connection with some other issue in case (see sec. 13.37933)	16-4
16.14194	Admissibility of evidence of pending appeal from prior conviction	16-5
16.142	Mental capacity or condition	16-5
16.1421	In general	16-5
16.1422	Witness's multiple personality disorder	16-5
16.143	Motive of witness	16-5
16.1431	Motive for testifying	16-5
16.1432	Motive for recantation of accusation	16-5
16.14321	In general	16-5
16.14322	When defendant attacks credibility of hearsay declarant who has implicated defendant in crime by introducing testimony of witness that declarant has recanted accusation, state may introduce evidence of witness's gang affiliation to provide motive for declarant's recantation (see sec. 15.743)	16-5
16.144	Failure to tell story earlier	16-5
16.145	Specific instances of witness's conduct (see sec. 20.8212)	16-5
16.146	Drug or alcohol use	16-5
16.147	Prior inconsistent statement	16-5
16.1471	Use of to impeach in general	16-5
16.1472	Need to cross-examine witness regarding prior inconsistent statement as prerequisite to admissibility of extrinsic evidence regarding statement (see sec. 20.38632)	16-6
16.148	Facts contradicting testimony on direct examination	16-6
16.149	Other matters	16-6
16.1491	Prior juvenile adjudication	16-6
16.1492	Biases and animosities	16-6
16.14921	In general	16-6
16.14922	Inquiry into witness's bias is always material and relevant	16-6
16.1493	Regarding pending investigations of psychiatrist-witness's alleged sexual misconduct with patients	16-6
16.1494	State's dismissal of pending charges against state's witness prior to witness's testifying at defendant's trial	16-6

16.1495	Defense witness's substantial sentences, and lack of any realistic possibility of parole eligibility under them, offered to impeach witness's claim that he committed crime with which defendant charged by showing that witness had nothing to lose by making that claim	16-6
16.1496	Plea bargain obtained by witness from state	16-7
16.1497	Prior abusive conduct of officer-witness who defendant contends abused him	16-7
16.15	Regarding Complainant's Prior Sexual Conduct In Sex Crimes Case	16-7
16.16	Asking Question Without Establishing Factual Predicate (see sec. 20.363)	16-7
16.17	Cross-Examination Of Testifying Defendant	16-7
16.171	Permissible scope in general	16-7
16.172	Testifying defendant has no right to claim privilege against self-incrimination (see sec. 24.333)	16-8
16.173	Regarding silence (see sec. 16.13)	16-8
16.174	Impeachment by proof of prior convictions	16-8
16.1741	In general	16-8
16.1742	No Fifth Amendment prohibition on impeachment of testifying defendant by proof of prior convictions (see sec. 24.896)	16-8
16.175	Preserving error for appellate review	16-8
16.176	Impeachment of testimony given by defendant on cross-examination by illegally obtained evidence (see sec. 16.27)	16-8
16.18	Other Matters	16-8
16.181	Impeachment based on interaction with justice system that did not result in criminal conviction	16-8
16.1811	In general	16-8
16.1812	Criminal prosecution that ended in dismissal	16-8
16.1813	Ordinance violation	16-8
16.1814	Deferred prosecution agreement	16-8
16.182	Refusal of witness to answer questions on cross-examination	16-8
16.1821	Because of fear	16-8
16.1822	Striking testimony of witness for refusal (see secs. 20.3523 and 28.22)	16-8
16.183	Protecting child witness from unduly rigorous cross-examination	16-8
16.184	Right to cross-examination conferred by common law	16-8
16.185	Propriety of asking witness whether other witnesses who have given testimony at odds with witness's testimony are mistaken or lying	16-8
16.2	Impeachment (By Extrinsic Evidence)	16-9
16.21	By Showing That Material Facts Are Not As Testified To By Witness	16-9
16.22	By Showing Bias, Prejudice, Or Other Corrupt Testimonial Intent Of Witness (e.g., Promised Benefits In Exchange For Testimony), Racial bias, etc.)	16-9
16.221	In general	16-9
16.222	Showing bias or prejudice through testimony about gang affiliation and about gangs and their characteristics and activities	16-9
16.2221	In general	16-9
16.2222	Admissibility of evidence of gang affiliation shared with party to show bias in favor of that party (see sec. 20.8497)	16-9

16.223	Evidence of officer-witness's conduct demonstrating bias or prejudice against black people	16-9
16.23	By Showing Mental Condition Or Capacity Of Witness	16-9
16.24	By Showing Character Or Conduct To Attack Credibility	16-10
16.241	Opinion or reputation evidence of character for untruthfulness (see sec. 20.8211)	16-10
16.242	Specific instances of conduct (see sec. 20.8212)	16-10
16.25	On Collateral Matter	16-10
16.251	In general	16-10
16.252	Bias or prejudice of witness is not collateral matter	16-10
16.253	Motive of witness is not collateral matter	16-10
16.26	By Showing Prior Conviction To Impeach Credibility	16-10
16.261	In general (see sec. 16.1411)	16-10
16.262	When conviction obtained without counsel (see sec. 16.14121)	16-10
16.263	When conviction reversed on appeal (see sec. 16.14122)	16-10
16.264	Requirement that defendant testify to obtain appellate review of ruling permitting use of defendant's prior conviction for impeachment purposes (see sec. 16.1414)	16-11
16.265	Effect of mistake as to number of convictions (see sec. 16.1415)	16-11
16.266	For purposes of impeachment, prior "conviction" occurs upon finding of guilt or entry and acceptance of guilty plea (see sec. 16.1416)	16-11
16.267	Conviction that has been expunged pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 973.015(1) cannot be used for impeachment (see sec. 16.14123)	16-11
16.268	Use of prior misdemeanor conviction not involving dishonesty (see sec. 16.14124)	16-11
16.27	Impeachment By Use Of Illegally Obtained Evidence	16-11
16.271	Items seized in illegal search (see sec. 1.824)	16-11
16.272	Statements taken in violation of Miranda (see sec. 2.17)	16-11
16.273	Involuntary statements (see sec. 2.26)	16-11
16.274	Other illegally obtained evidence	16-11
16.28	Impeachment Of Defendant	16-11
16.281	Permissible scope and means of impeachment in general	16-11
16.282	By introducing evidence regarding defendant's silence	16-11
16.2821	Prearrest, pre-Miranda silence (see sec. 20.6122)	16-11
16.2822	Prearrest, post-Miranda silence (see sec. 20.6123)	16-11
16.2823	Post-arrest, pre-Miranda silence (see sec. 20.6124)	16-11
16.2824	Post-arrest, post-Miranda silence (see sec. 20.6125)	16-11
16.2825	Failure to testify at prior trial (see sec. 20.613)	16-11
16.29	Other Impeachment And Related Matters	16-11
16.291	By showing prior inconsistent statement of witness	16-11
16.2911	In general	16-11
16.2912	Prerequisites to introduction of extrinsic evidence of prior inconsistent statement (Wis. Stat. § 906.13(2)(a))	16-12
16.29121	In general	16-12
16.29122	Waiver of objection to failure to meet requirements of Wis. Stat. § 906.12(2)(a) by failure to object on that ground	16-12
16.2913	Defendant's constitutional right to introduce evidence of prior inconsistent statement of state's witness	16-12

16.292	By showing that witness implicating defendant has recanted accusation	16-12
16.2921	In general	16-12
16.2922	When defendant attacks credibility of hearsay declarant who has implicated defendant in crime by introducing testimony of witness that declarant has recanted accusation, state may introduce evidence of witness's gang affiliation to provide motive for declarant's recantation (see sec. 15.743)	16-12
16.293	By use of defendant's testimony at pretrial suppression hearing (see secs. 1.923 and 2.625)	16-12
16.294	By non-statement of another	16-12
16.295	Ascribing purported motivations or truth-telling tendencies of entire neighborhood to one of its residents is not acceptable form of impeachment	16-12

Chapter 17 Testimonial Privileges

17.1	General Principles	17-1
17.11	Privileges Recognized Only As Provided By Constitutions, Statutes, And Rules	17-1
17.12	Claim Of Privilege By Interpreter	17-1
17.13	Required Reports Privileged By Statute	17-1
17.14	Statutes Creating Privileges To Be Strictly Construed (see sec. 17.94)	17-1
17.15	Other Matters	17-1
17.151	Applicability of testimonial privilege of out-of-court disclosure of statements	17-1
17.152	Burden of proof regarding applicability of evidentiary privilege (see sec. 17.95)	17-1
17.153	Concepts of "confidential" and "legal privilege" are very different; fact that records are to be kept confidential does not mean that custodian has privilege not to produce records in response to subpoena duces tecum	17-1
17.2	Lawyer-Client Privilege	17-1
17.21	General Rule Of Privilege	17-1
17.211	In general	17-1
17.212	Communication must be "confidential"	17-1
17.22	Who May Claim Privilege	17-1
17.23	Scope Of Privilege	17-1
17.231	In general	17-1
17.232	Does privilege encompass attorney's opinions, perceptions, and impressions regarding defendant's competency? (see sec. 6.63372)	17-2
17.233	Privilege survives client's death	17-2
17.24	Exceptions	17-2
17.241	Crime-fraud exception	17-2
17.2411	In determining whether this exception exists, court may make in camera inspection of allegedly privileged attorney-client communications	17-2

17.242	Communication relevant to issue of breach of duty by lawyer to client or by client to lawyer	17-2
17.2421	This exception applies when defendant directly challenges attorney's performance by claiming ineffective assistance of counsel	17-2
17.2422	This exception applies where defendant indirectly challenges attorney's performance by seeking withdrawal of guilty plea because counsel failed to properly advise defendant with respect to entering plea	17-2
17.243	No exception when client has died and information is relevant to criminal proceeding	17-2
17.244	Exceptions created by rules of professional responsibility	17-2
17.25	Waiver Of Privilege	17-2
17.26	Other Matters	17-2
17.261	Propriety of state's calling defense expert to testify	17-2
17.262	Propriety of allowing state access to defense expert's report	17-2
17.263	When prosecutor's questioning of defendant about counsel's failure to question state's witnesses about particular matter violates attorney-client privilege	17-2
17.264	Application of privilege when attorney makes contemptuous remark to client in court in response to judge's ruling	17-3
17.265	Privilege survives death of client (see sec. 17.233)	17-3
17.266	Propriety of requiring defendant to disclose to prosecutor expert's findings or subject matter of expert's testimony when expert relied on confidential information supplied by defendant	17-3
17.267	Attorney's work-product privilege (see sec. 17.883)	17-3
17.268	Who has burden of establishing existence of privilege	17-3
17.269	Other matters	17-3
17.2691	Does attorney-client privilege encompass attorney's opinions, perceptions, and impressions regarding defendant's competency? (see sec. 6.63372)	17-3
17.2692	Privilege should be strictly and narrowly interpreted	17-3
17.3	Physician-Patient, Registered Nurse-Patient, Chiropractor-Patient, Psychologist-Patient, Social Worker-Patient, Marriage And Family Therapist-Patient And Professional Counselor-Patient Privilege	17-3
17.31	General Rule Of Privilege	17-3
17.311	In general	17-3
17.312	What privilege extends to	17-3
17.3121	Does not extend to patient's mere physical presence in physician's office	17-3
17.3122	Extends to communications to persons who participate in diagnosis or treatment under direction of physician, registered nurse, chiropractor, or psychologist	17-3
17.31221	In general	17-4
17.31222	In determining whether communication fits within this category, patient's objectively reasonable perceptions and expectations of the medical provider provide benchmark	17-4
17.3123	Extends to "confidential" communications	17-4

	17.31231	In general	17-4
	17.31232	Patient's subjective belief regarding confidentiality is not controlling; belief must be objectively reasonable	17-4
	17.313	Applicability of privilege to out-of-court disclosure of statements	17-4
17.32		Who May Claim Privilege	17-4
	17.321	In general	17-4
	17.322	In case of minor	17-4
17.33		Scope Of Privilege	17-4
	17.331	In general	17-4
	17.332	Creates evidentiary privilege only; does not regulate conduct of physicians outside courtroom	17-4
	17.333	Does not prohibit medical personnel from allowing police to be present in treatment areas of hospital	17-4
17.34		Exceptions	17-4
	17.341	In general	17-4
	17.342	Exception for homicide trials	17-4
	17.3421	In general	17-4
	17.3422	Applies to blood alcohol test administered in another state where it would be covered by privilege	17-4
	17.3423	Application when non-homicide charges are tried together with homicide charges	17-5
	17.3424	Does not deny equal protection	17-5
	17.343	Exception for proceedings for hospitalization	17-5
	17.3431	In general	17-5
	17.3432	Proceeding for commitment of sexually violent person	17-5
	17.3433	This exception applies to examinations made for purposes of ch. 980 (sexual predator) commitment proceeding (see sec. 10.7831)	17-5
	17.344	Tests for intoxication	17-5
	17.345	When condition is element of claim or defense	17-5
	17.3451	When defendant enters plea of not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect	17-5
	17.346	Dangerous patient exception (when professional covered by privilege has reasonable cause to believe that (1) the patient is dangerous and (2) disclosure is necessary to prevent harm)	17-5
	17.347	Abused or neglected child or abused unborn child	17-5
	17.3471	In general	17-5
	17.3472	Abuse includes sexual contact	17-5
	17.3473	Abuse includes emotional abuse	17-5
	17.348	Determining when exception must be recognized to allow defendant to present defense (see sec. 17.364)	17-5
17.35		Waiver Of Privilege	17-5
	17.351	By raising defense that places mental or physical condition in issue	17-5
	17.352	By voluntary disclosure	17-6
	17.3521	In general	17-6
	17.3522	Waiver by voluntary disclosure cannot be limited to one person or entity to whom disclosure is made	17-6
	17.3523	Waiver by medical assistance recipients	17-6
	17.3524	By voluntary disclosure made by parent of minor child	17-6

	<i>Page</i>
17.36 Other Matters	17-6
17.361 Defendant's due process right to in camera review of evidence protected by this privilege upon showing that it may be material to defense	17-6
17.362 Provision in mental health act allowing parent to authorize release of child's records is superseded by this privilege	17-6
17.363 Provision in mental health act making patient records confidential is superseded by this privilege	17-6
17.364 Determining when privilege must give way to defendant's exercise of constitutional right to present evidence in his defense	17-6
17.365 When defendant allowed access to mental health records notwithstanding this privilege (see sec. 6.893)	17-6
17.366 Need for victim's consent before trial court may conduct in camera inspection of mental health treatment records to determine whether they contain exculpatory evidence (see sec. 6.8934)	17-6
17.367 Need for victim's consent to review of mental health treatment records before appellate court may conduct appellate review of trial court's in camera inspection of records to determine whether they contain exculpatory evidence (see sec. 6.89386)	17-7
17.368 Interplay between this privilege and Wis. Stat. § 146.82 insofar as billing, collection, and payment of claims records are concerned	17-7
17.369 Expectation of confidentiality in medical records of medical assistance recipients	17-7
17.4 Husband-Wife Privilege	17-7
17.41 General Rule Of Privilege	17-7
17.42 Who May Claim Privilege	17-7
17.43 Scope Of Privilege	17-7
17.44 Exceptions	17-7
17.441 If one spouse has acted as agent of other and communication relates to matters within scope of agency	17-7
17.442 Proceeding in which one spouse or former spouse is charged with crime against person or property of other spouse or of a child of either spouse, or with crime against person or property of third party committed in course of committing crime against other spouse	17-7
17.4421 Proceeding in which one spouse or former spouse is charged with crime against person or property of other spouse	17-7
17.4422 Proceeding in which one spouse or former spouse is charged with crime against person or property of a child of either spouse	17-7
17.44221 In general	17-7
17.44222 "Child of either" may include foster child or other child present in home and active part of family structure	17-7
17.4423 Proceeding in which one spouse or former spouse is charged with crime against person or property of third party committed in course of committing crime against other spouse	17-7
17.44231 In general	17-7

	17.44232	Covers case in which married defendant is charged with sexual assault; sexual assault is crime against third party committed in course of committing crime (i.e., adultery) against spouse	17-8
17.45		Waiver Of Privilege	17-8
17.46		Other Matters	17-8
17.5		Communications To Clergyman	17-8
17.51		General Rule Of Privilege	17-8
	17.511	In general	17-8
	17.512	Applicability to out-of-court disclosure of statements	17-8
17.52		Who May Claim Privilege	17-8
17.53		Scope Of Privilege	17-8
17.54		Exceptions	17-8
17.55		Waiver Of Privilege	17-8
17.56		Other Matters	17-8
17.6		Law Enforcement Records	17-8
17.61		In general	17-8
17.7		Identity Of Informer	17-8
17.71		General Rule Of Privilege	17-8
17.72		Who May Claim Privilege	17-8
17.73		Exceptions	17-8
	17.731	Testimony on merits necessary to fair determination of guilt or innocence	17-8
	17.7311	In general	17-8
	17.7312	Sufficiency of request for disclosure of informant's identity under this exception	17-9
	17.7313	Disclosure of informer's identity appropriate if person seeking disclosure establishes that person interrogated by trial court at in camera hearing was not informer (see sec. 17.775)	17-9
	17.7314	Applicability of procedures for determining whether informer's identity should be revealed when defendant does not intend to produce informer's testimony but only seeks confirmation of informer's identity	17-9
	17.7315	Need for informer's testimony at in camera hearing	17-9
	17.7316	No need for in camera hearing when trial court reasonably concludes, based on record before it, that informant's testimony is critical to fair trial	17-9
	17.7317	In determining whether disclosure of informer's identity required, court may not rely on unsworn materials	17-9
	17.7318	In determining whether disclosure of informer's identity required, court may not conduct independent examination, e.g., by requesting additional information from law enforcement	17-9
	17.7319	Other matters	17-9

	17.73191	Effect of defects in making determination whether disclosure of informer's identity is necessary; applicability of harmless-error rule	17-10
17.732		Determining legality of obtaining evidence when reliability or credibility of information supplied by informant doubted	17-10
17.733		Voluntary disclosure	17-10
17.734		Informer as witness	17-10
17.735		There is no exception to privilege based on death of informer	17-10
17.74		Waiver Of Privilege	17-10
17.741		By voluntary disclosure (see sec. 17.733)	17-10
17.75		Waiver Of Defects In Proceeding Relating To Disclosure Of Identity Of Informer	17-10
17.751		Defendant does not waive right to complain about trial court's failure to follow prescribed procedures (e.g., by court's using unsworn materials) by failing to object at time court fails to follow prescribed procedures	17-10
17.76		Appellate Review Of Trial Court's Proceedings And Rulings Relating To Disclosure Of Identity Of Informer	17-10
17.761		Standard of review	17-10
17.762		Appellate review of rulings relating to identity of informant	17-10
	17.7621	Ruling, at conclusion of in camera hearing, on whether informant's testimony necessary to fair determination of guilt or innocence	17-10
17.77		Other Matters	17-10
17.771		Due process requirements relating to disclosure of identity of informant (see sec. 22.432)	17-10
17.772		Admission and sufficiency of evidence to require disclosure of identity of informer	17-10
	17.7721	Hearsay evidence	17-10
17.773		Hearsay allegations in affidavit supporting request for disclosure of identity of informer not sufficient to trigger hearing	17-10
17.774		Death of informer does not obviate privilege (see sec. 17.735)	17-11
17.775		Disclosure of informer's identity appropriate if person seeking disclosure establishes that person interrogated by trial court at in camera hearing was not informer	17-11
17.776		Need to disclose fact that witness who testified at trial was informant	17-11
17.78		Related Matters	17-11
	17.781	Defendant's constitutional (i.e., due process) right to disclosure of identity of informant (see sec. 22.432)	17-11
17.8		Other Privileges	17-11
17.81		Self-Incrimination	17-11
17.82		Journalist's	17-11
17.83		Legislator's	17-11
17.84		Required Reports	17-11
17.85		Honesty Testing Devices	17-11
17.86		Political Vote	17-11
17.87		Trade Secrets	17-11
17.88		Other Privileges	17-11
	17.881	Privilege in crime victim compensation proceedings	17-11

17.882	Privilege in use of federal tax return information	17-11
17.883	Attorney's work-product privilege	17-11
17.8831	In general	17-11
17.8832	Requirement that material must have been obtained at attorney's direction	17-11
17.8833	Consistency with privilege of requiring defendant to disclose to prosecutor defense expert's findings or subject matter of defense expert's testimony	17-11
17.884	Evidence, information, and analyses of evidence obtained from law enforcement officers by state crime laboratories, and testimony of crime laboratory personnel prior to trial (Wis. Stat. § 165.79(1))	17-11
17.8841	In general	17-11
17.8842	This privilege precludes defendant from producing state crime lab personnel at pretrial hearing on possible contamination of evidence submitted to lab for testing	17-12
17.885	Wis. Stat. § 13.96, which provides for confidentiality of records of legislators' communications maintained by Legislative Technology Services Bureau, does not create privilege (see sec. 4.25362)	17-12
17.9	Other Matters Relating To Privileges	17-12
17.91	Waiver Of Privilege	17-12
17.911	By Voluntary Disclosure	17-12
17.912	By failing to proffer evidence supporting invocation of privilege	17-12
17.92	Privileged Matter Disclosed Under Compulsion Or Without Opportunity To Claim Privilege	17-12
17.93	Comment On, Or Inference From, Claim Of Privilege (Wis. Stat. § 905.13)	17-12
17.931	In general	17-12
17.932	Comment on defendant's exercise of privilege not to testify at criminal trial	17-12
17.94	Statute Creating Privilege To Be Strictly Construed	17-12
17.95	Burden of proof regarding applicability of evidentiary privilege	17-12
17.96	Other Matters	17-12
17.961	Rule of privilege does not apply to out-of-court disclosure of statement not used in judicial proceeding	17-12
17.962	Propriety of in camera review of allegedly privileged communication to determine whether privilege or exception to it exists	17-12

Chapter 18

Scientific Evidence and Expert Testimony

18.1	Scientific Evidence In General	18-1
18.11	General Test For Determining Admissibility Of Scientific Evidence	18-1
18.111	In general	18-1
18.112	Daubert rule (trial court must ensure that scientific evidence is reliable)	18-1
18.1121	In general	18-1
18.1122	Wisconsin is not a Daubert state	18-1
18.113	Reliability of scientific evidence presents question of weight for jury, not question of admissibility	18-1

18.12	Presumption Of Accuracy Of Scientific Or Medical Instruments Or Tests	18-1
18.13	Other Matters	18-1
18.2	Expert Testimony In General	18-1
18.21	General Principles	18-1
18.211	In general	18-1
18.212	Three-part test for determining admissibility of expert testimony: (1) testimony must be relevant; (2) witness must be qualified; (3) testimony must assist trier of fact	18-3
18.2121	In general	18-3
18.2122	First part of test: relevancy	18-3
18.2123	Second part of test: qualifications (see sec. 18.22)	18-3
18.2124	Third part of test: assistance	18-3
18.213	Testimony expressed in terms of possibility	18-3
18.214	When expert testimony required to render evidence admissible	18-3
18.215	Analysis that must be undertaken when defendant claims he has constitutional right to adduce expert testimony in his defense (see sec. 28.41)	18-3
18.216	Unreliability of expert testimony is matter for cross-examination, not basis for exclusion; reliability of expert testimony is issue for trier of fact, not for circuit court as predicate to admissibility: reliability of expert testimony presents question of weight for jury, not question of admissibility: reliability of expert testimony presents question of weight for jury, not question of admissibility	18-3
18.217	"Gatekeeping" function of trial court with respect to admission of expert testimony	18-3
18.2171	In general	18-4
18.2172	Daubert rule (trial court must ensure that expert testimony is reliable)	18-4
18.21721	In general	18-4
18.21722	Wisconsin is not a Daubert state	18-4
18.218	Need for expert opinion to be based on professional standards, not personal practice	18-4
18.219	Other matters	18-4
18.2191	Opinion may be based on experience alone	18-4
18.2192	Expert testimony not objectionable because it embraces ultimate issue to be decided by trier of fact (see sec. 18.232)	18-4
18.2193	May witness testify as expert on issue of domestic law, which is province of court?	18-4
18.22	Qualification Of Witness As Expert	18-4
18.221	In general	18-4
18.222	Psychologist need not be licensed in Wisconsin in order to testify in ch. 980 proceeding (see sec. 10.78292)	18-5
18.223	Fact that expert has lied about credentials or other matters or has engaged in unprofessional conduct does not necessarily require court to disregard or strike expert's testimony	18-5
18.224	In determining whether expert qualified, court must compare technical expertise of witness to complexity of precise issue in case	18-5
18.23	Rules Relating To Admission Of Expert Testimony	18-5
18.231	Bases of opinion testimony by experts	18-5

	<i>Page</i>
18.2311 In general	18-5
18.2312 Opinion may be based on facts or data not admissible in evidence (e.g., hearsay)	18-5
18.23121 In general	18-5
18.23122 Sec. 907.03, which allows expert to base opinion upon inadmissible evidence, does not create hearsay exception (see sec. 15.65)	18-5
18.23123 Protecting right to confrontation when expert bases opinion on hearsay (see sec. 27.373)	18-5
18.23124 Weight to be accorded opinion based exclusively on inadmissible evidence	18-6
18.23125 When defendant's right to confrontation violated by expert witness's reliance on hearsay (see sec. 27.373)	18-6
18.23126 Fact that opinion may be based on inadmissible evidence does not mean that underlying evidence itself becomes admissible	18-6
18.23127 This rule does not permit expert to base opinion on inadmissible preliminary breath test	18-6
18.23128 Problems with rule permitting opinion to be based on facts or date not admissible in evidence	18-6
18.2313 Jury must determine whether facts underlying expert's opinion are true	18-6
18.2314 Propriety of relying on presentence report	18-6
18.2315 When opinion is based on defendant's statement taken in violation of privilege against self-incrimination, opinion is inadmissible	18-6
18.232 Opinion on ultimate issue	18-6
18.2321 In general	18-6
18.2322 Where evidentiary facts are in dispute, opinion evidence as to ultimate issue must be based on hypothetical case	18-6
18.233 Disclosure of facts or data underlying expert opinion	18-6
18.234 Reading of report by expert	18-6
18.235 Other rules	18-7
18.24 Particular Experts	18-7
18.241 Psychiatrists and psychologists (see sec. 18.5)	18-7
18.242 Medical technologist	18-7
18.243 Polygraph examiner (see sec. 18.3)	18-7
18.244 Pathologist	18-7
18.245 Ballistics expert (see sec. 18.66)	18-7
18.246 Chemists	18-7
18.247 Rape crisis center worker	18-7
18.248 Other experts	18-7
18.2481 School guidance counselor	18-7
18.2482 Law enforcement officer testifying regarding HGN sobriety test	18-7
18.2483 Expert on eyewitness identification (see sec. 20.812)	18-7
18.2484 Expert on American Sign Language (ASL)	18-7
18.2485 Expert on securities fraud	18-7
18.2486 Expert on false confessions (see sec. 18.2572)	18-7

	<i>Page</i>
18.2487 Death scene analyst (see sec. 18.2573)	18-7
18.249 Other experts (cont'd)	18-7
18.2491 Statistician	18-7
18.2492 Expert on sleep	18-7
18.2493 Expert narcotics officer	18-7
18.2494 Social worker	18-8
18.2495 Crime lab chemist specializing in field of alcohol metabolism	18-8
18.2496 DNA expert (see sec. 18.67)	18-8
18.2497 Expert on child sexual abuse	18-8
18.2498 Child therapist	18-8
18.2499 Expert on gangs and their characteristics and activities	18-8
18.24991 In general	18-8
18.24992 Expert testimony on gangs that suggests defendant is member of gang without any direct proof of that fact is improper	18-8
18.25 On Particular Matters	18-8
18.251 Credibility of witness	18-8
18.2511 In general	18-8
18.2512 Opinion may be permissible when necessary to assist jury in understanding evidence expert relied on in arriving at conclusion	18-9
18.2513 When expert witness is permitted to testify in sexual assault case to common characteristics of sexual assault victim and to consistency of those characteristics with those of victims in case at hand, and when expert presents extensive testimony on those and related subjects, standing objection is insufficient to preserve challenges to Haseltine violations (see sec. 20.8417)	18-9
18.2514 Witness suggestibility and proper interrogation techniques for crime victims and witnesses, particularly young children	18-9
18.2515 Expert testimony regarding typical signs or behavior of child victim who has been coached or who evinces suggestibility along with expert testimony that child in question exhibits none or few of these signs or behaviors	18-9
18.252 Victim syndromes	18-9
18.2521 Rape trauma syndrome (see sec. 18.731)	18-9
18.2522 Child sexual assault and incest victim syndrome (see sec. 18.732)	18-9
18.2523 Battered child syndrome (see sec. 18.733)	18-10
18.2524 Battered woman syndrome (see sec. 18.734)	18-10
18.253 Offender syndrome or profile evidence	18-10
18.2531 Battering-parent syndrome (see sec. 18.721)	18-10
18.2532 Post-traumatic stress disorder (see sec. 18.5516)	18-10
18.2533 Sex offender psychological profile (see sec. 18.723)	18-10
18.2534 Incestuous father profile (see sec. 18.724)	18-10
18.254 Mathematical probability (see sec. 13.382)	18-10
18.255 Sleep	18-10
18.256 Gang-related matters	18-10
18.2561 In general	18-10

	<i>Page</i>
18.2562	How gang affiliations are manifested 18-10
18.2563	Gang modus operandi 18-10
18.2564	Characteristics of gang members 18-10
18.25641	In general 18-10
18.25642	Desire to retaliate for perceived wrong to maintain respect 18-10
18.2565	Expert testimony on gangs that suggests defendant is member of gang without any direct proof of that fact is improper (see sec. 18.24992) 18-10
18.257	Other matters 18-10
18.2571	Post-assault conduct of sexual assault victim 18-10
18.2572	False confessions 18-10
18.2573	Death scene analysis 18-11
18.2574	Absorption curve 18-11
18.258	Other matters (cont'd) 18-11
18.2581	Traumatization of sexual assault victim's memory 18-11
18.2582	Future dangerousness 18-11
18.25821	In general 18-11
18.25822	For purposes of sexually violent person (chap. 980) commitment (see sec. 10.7824) 18-11
18.25823	Based on actuarial instruments designed to measure respondent's dangerousness 18-11
18.2583	Defendant's psychosexual maturity in sexual assault prosecution 18-11
18.2584	Recantation of sexual assault victim 18-11
18.2585	Reliability of interview technique used with child sexual assault victim 18-11
18.2586	Witness suggestibility and proper interrogation techniques for crime victims and witnesses, particularly young children (see sec. 18.2514) 18-11
18.2587	Eyewitness identification (see sec. 20.812) 18-11
18.2588	Issue of domestic law (see sec. 18.2193) 18-11
18.2589	What constitutes investment contract; whether instrument is investment contract 18-11
18.259	Other matters (cont'd) 18-11
18.2591	Lack of physical evidence in sexual assault case 18-11
18.2592	Percent of child sexual assault accusations that are fabricated 18-11
18.2593	Impairment caused by particular concentration of alcohol in blood 18-12
18.2594	That sexual assault victim's physical condition was consistent with having been victim of sexual assault 18-12
18.2595	On whether person in videotape of crime taken by surveillance camera is defendant 18-12
18.2596	Nexus between gang activity and drug involvement 18-12
18.2597	That residents of premises are engaged in drug dealing based on items found on premises 18-12
18.2598	That victim was "molested" or "sexually assaulted" 18-12

18.2599	That victim's behavior is consistent with behavior of similarly situated victims (Jensen evidence) (see sec. 20.84222)	18-12
18.26	Hypothetical Questions	18-12
18.261	In general	18-12
18.262	Regarding whether victim could have slept through sexual intercourse (see sec. 13.36197)	18-12
18.263	Hypothetical question that elicits opinion that certain thing "could" have happened	18-12
18.264	Disregarding answer to hypothetical question when facts upon which it is based are not established by evidence	18-12
18.27	Court Appointed Experts	18-12
18.28	Not Required To Be Accepted By Fact Finder, Even When Uncontradicted	18-12
18.29	Other Matters	18-13
18.291	Lay opinion testimony (see sec. 20.851)	18-13
18.292	Indigent defendant's right to funds to pay for expert witnesses	18-13
18.293	Public defender's responsibility to pay for expert services necessary for adequate defense (see sec. 12.6616)	18-13
18.294	Expert's opinion that residents of premises are engaged in drug dealing based on items found on premises	18-13
18.295	Admissibility of evidence regarding accuracy and validity of expert's past predictions of behavior	18-13
18.296	Appellate review of decision whether to admit expert testimony (see sec. 18.81)	18-13
18.297	When opinion based on defendant's statement taken in violation of privilege against self-incrimination, opinion is inadmissible (see sec. 18.2315)	18-13
18.3	Polygraph Evidence	18-13
18.31	Present Rule: Not Admissible	18-13
18.311	In general	18-13
18.312	Rule of inadmissibility precludes not only opinion or testimony of polygraph examiner, but also any evidence suggesting what test results were	18-14
18.32	Former Rule: Prior To 9/1/81, Stipulation Prerequisite To Admission Of Test Results	18-14
18.321	In general	18-14
18.322	Stipulation defective if made in absence of pending prosecution	18-14
18.323	Party may challenge polygraph evidence even though he has stipulated to its admission	18-14
18.324	Statement of defendant associated with test excluded in absence of stipulation	18-14
18.33	Waiver Of Right To Counsel At Polygraph Examination	18-14
18.34	Admissibility Of Statement Of Defendant Associated With Test	18-14
18.341	General principles	18-14
18.3411	In general	18-14
18.3412	Fact that defendant has been informed of test results before post-test interview that produced statement does not necessarily mean that statement is inadmissible	18-15

18.3413	Rationale for excluding statement associated with test: legislature's enactment of Wis. Stat. § 905.065	18-15
18.342	For impeachment purposes	18-15
18.343	Appellate review of question of admissibility	18-15
18.3431	Standard of review	18-15
18.35	Admissibility Of Evidence Of Offer To Take, Or Taking Of, Polygraph Test (see sec. 13.384)	18-15
18.36	Rule Denying Admissibility Applicable To Probation Revocation Proceedings	18-15
18.37	Other Matters	18-15
18.371	Defendant's constitutional right to present favorable polygraph test results (see sec. 28.43)	18-15
18.4	Blood Alcohol Test Results	18-15
18.41	Implied Consent Law	18-15
18.42	Need To Retain Breathalyzer Ampoule Or Blood Or Urine Sample	18-15
18.421	Need to retain ampoule or sample in general	18-15
18.422	Necessity and sufficiency of demand for ampoule or sample	18-16
18.423	Timeliness of demand for ampoule or sample	18-16
18.424	Retroactivity of requirement of retention	18-16
18.425	When destruction requires new trial	18-16
18.426	Requirement applies only when state has control and possession of ampoule or sample	18-16
18.427	Consumption of blood sample in testing	18-16
18.428	Retention in civil cases	18-16
18.43	Prerequisites To Admissibility	18-16
18.431	In general	18-16
18.432	No requirement to show compliance with administrative code	18-16
18.433	Relevancy and authenticity of test	18-16
18.4331	Relevancy of test	18-16
18.4332	Authenticity of test	18-16
18.434	Compliance with time limitations on administering test	18-17
18.435	Compliance with implied consent law	18-17
18.4351	Requirement that driver be informed of obligations, penalties and rights under implied consent law (see secs. 44.23 and 44.5422)	18-17
18.4352	Requirement that driver be afforded alternative test (see secs. 44.24 and 44.5424)	18-17
18.4353	Requirement that blood be withdrawn only by physician, registered nurse, medical technologist, physician's assistant or person acting under the direction of a physician (see sec. 44.591)	18-17
18.436	Need for expert testimony or other evidence of breath testing instrument's scientific accuracy and reliability and proof of compliance with accepted scientific methods when breath testing device has not been evaluated and approved by department	18-17
18.437	Automatic admissibility	18-17
18.4371	In general	18-17
18.4372	Entitlement of intoxilyzer test results to automatic admissibility in general	18-17

18.4373	Breath testing device must be evaluated and approved by department to qualify for automatic admissibility	18-17
18.4374	Automatic admissibility of particular breath testing devices: Intoxilyzer 5000, Series 6600, and Intoxilyzer 5000, Series 6400, repaired with Series 6600 parts	18-17
18.4375	Automatic admissibility not defeated by fact that defendant has been informed that, if "any" test resulted in BAC of 0.10 percent or more, his license would be suspended	18-18
18.438	Admissibility of particular breath testing devices	18-18
18.4381	Intoxilyzer 5000, Series 6600, and Intoxilyzer 5000, Series 6400, repaired with Series 6600 parts	18-18
18.439	Other matters	18-18
18.4391	No need for evidence of scientific reliability of Intoximeter 3000	18-18
18.4392	No need for medical informed consent disclosure form	18-18
18.4393	Admissibility of results from Intoxilyzer 5000 breath test	18-18
18.4394	Requirement that individual performing test possess valid permit issued by DH&SS	18-18
18.4395	Complete breath test including two samples in specified sequence required	18-18
18.43951	In general	18-18
18.4396	But "deficient sample" printout from intoxilyzer may be admitted, not as test result, but rather as evidence of refusal to submit to breath test	18-18
18.44	Presumptions Relating To Blood Alcohol Test Results	18-18
18.441	Presumption of intoxication from blood alcohol content	18-18
18.4411	In general (see secs. 8.5316 and 20.253)	18-18
18.4412	Statutory provision making particular alcohol concentration prima facie evidence of intoxication creates permissive, not mandatory, presumption	18-18
18.4413	Statutory provision making particular alcohol concentration prima facie evidence of intoxication applies, and may be instructed on, when blood, breath, or urine sample taken more than three hours after event and expert testimony establishes blood-alcohol concentration at time of event based on blood-alcohol concentration in sample (see sec. 8.53162)	18-18
18.442	Presumption of accuracy of breathalyzer test results (see sec. 20.2524)	18-19
18.443	Presumption of accuracy of chemical tests for intoxication (see sec. 20.2522)	18-19
18.45	Constitutional Limitations On Administering Blood Alcohol Tests	18-19
18.451	Fourth amendment limitations (see secs. 1.6322, 1.6324, and 1.6325)	18-19
18.452	Privilege against self-incrimination limitations (see secs. 24.6222, 24.6223, and 24.6224)	18-19
18.46	Grounds For Suppression Or Exclusion	18-19
18.461	Failure to comply with implied consent law	18-19
18.4611	Requirement that driver be informed of obligations, penalties and rights under implied consent law (see sec. 44.5422)	18-19

18.4612	Requirement that driver be afforded alternative test (see sec. 44.5424)	18-19
18.4613	When test administered in another state, failure to comply with implied consent law not grounds for suppression (see sec. 44.5423)	18-19
18.462	Fourth amendment violation (see secs. 1.6322, 1.6324, and 1.6325)	18-19
18.463	Physician-patient privilege	18-19
18.464	Privilege against self-incrimination (see sec. 24.622)	18-19
18.465	Suppression of breathalyzer test results because of radio frequency interference	18-19
18.466	Variation in test results does not provide grounds for suppression or exclusion	18-19
18.467	Flaws in chain of custody	18-19
18.468	Failure to have breath testing device evaluated and approved by department does not provide grounds for suppression of test results	18-19
18.47	Other Matters	18-20
18.471	Remedy for failure to comply with implied consent law	18-20
18.4711	Failure to comply with requirement that driver be afforded alternative test (see secs. 44.5424 and 44.5441)	18-20
18.4712	Failure to comply with requirement that driver be informed of obligations, penalties under implied consent law (see secs. 13.36213, 44.5422, and 44.5452)	18-20
18.4713	Failure to honor defendant's refusal to consent to test (see sec. 44.5426)	18-20
18.4714	Failure to complete breath tests by taking two samples in specified sequence as required by implied-consent law	18-20
18.472	Admissibility of testimony of medical technologist regarding blood-alcohol content	18-20
18.473	Admission of blood-alcohol chart	18-20
18.474	Admissibility of evidence relating to defendant's refusal to submit to blood-alcohol test (see sec. 13.3621)	18-20
18.475	Effect of physician-patient privilege	18-20
18.476	Admissibility of blood alcohol test results obtained for purposes of diagnosis and treatment	18-20
18.477	Applicability of law relating to confidentiality of patient health care records to blood alcohol tests taken for purposes of diagnosis or treatment	18-20
18.478	Admissibility of evidence of intoxication to show untrustworthiness of statement made by defendant	18-20
18.4781	In general	18-20
18.4782	Admission of preliminary breath test (PBT) results (see sec. 44.562)	18-20
18.479	Other matters	18-20
18.4791	Right of court to order records relating to blood alcohol tests to be produced by hospital	18-20
18.4792	Effect of contamination of blood on fact finder's right to rely on blood test result	18-21
18.4793	Use of hypothetical question with respect to blood alcohol test results	18-21

18.4794	Admissibility of evidence relating to blood alcohol content of persons who had been drinking with defendant	18-21
18.4795	Admissibility of summary report of blood alcohol test results	18-21
18.5	Psychiatric And Psychologic Testimony	18-21
18.51	Admissibility In General	18-21
18.52	As To Credibility Of Witness	18-21
18.521	Opinion as to credibility of witness	18-21
18.5211	In general	18-21
18.5212	Opinion may be permissible when necessary to assist jury in understanding evidence expert relied on in arriving at conclusion	18-22
18.5213	When expert witness is permitted to testify in sexual assault case to common characteristics of sexual assault victim and to consistency of those characteristics with those of victims in case at hand, and when expert presents extensive testimony on those and related subjects, standing objection is insufficient to preserve challenges to Haseltine violations (see sec. 20.8417)	18-22
18.522	Mental condition or capacity of witness	18-22
18.5221	In general	18-22
18.5222	Capacity of eyewitness to make accurate identification	18-22
18.523	Credibility of witness's identification of defendant (see sec. 20.812)	18-22
18.53	As To Defendant's State Of Mind (In Guilt Phase Of Trial)	18-22
18.531	In general	18-22
18.532	To show defendant acted "under influence of adequate provocation" (formerly, "in heat of passion")	18-23
18.533	To show defendant lacked requisite intent or other requisite mental state	18-23
18.5331	Due to mental disorder, disease or defect in general	18-23
18.5332	Due to post-traumatic stress disorder engendered by living in violent urban environment (urban psychosis defense)	18-23
18.5333	Due to intoxication (see sec. 18.55111)	18-23
18.5334	Constitutionality of excluding psychiatric or psychological evidence offered to show that defendant lacked requisite intent or other requisite mental state (see sec. 28.421)	18-23
18.534	To show defendant acted in self-defense	18-23
18.535	To show defendant was incapable of distinguishing right from wrong due to intoxication (see sec. 18.55112)	18-24
18.54	As To Defendant's Mental Disease Or Defect (In Insanity Phase Of Trial)	18-24
18.55	As To Other Matters (In Guilt Phase Of Trial)	18-24
18.551	Matters relating to defendant	18-24
18.5511	Defendant's intoxication	18-24
18.55111	Voluntary intoxication, to show defendant lacked requisite intent	18-24
18.55112	Involuntary intoxication, to show defendant was incapable of distinguishing right from wrong	18-24
18.5512	Defendant's passive or nonviolent character	18-24
18.5513	Defendant's guilt or innocence	18-24

18.5514	Defendant's motive or lack of it	18-24
18.5515	Defendant's credibility	18-24
18.5516	Defendant's post-traumatic stress disorder	18-25
18.5517	Defendant's mental health history (see sec. 20.8582)	18-25
18.5518	"Psycho-social" history of defendant	18-25
18.5519	Defendant's lack of any diagnosable sexual disorder (i.e., lack of psychological characteristics of sex offender), or defendant's lack of character traits consistent with sexual disorder, introduced to show that it was unlikely that he would molest a child (Richard A.P. evidence)	18-25
18.55191	In general	18-25
18.55192	When defendant seeks to introduce this sort of evidence, state may have right to mental examination of defendant by state's expert (see sec. 6.8962)	18-25
18.55193	Admissibility of this type of evidence is not compelled under controlling Wisconsin authority, but rather is subject to discretionary determination of circuit court	18-25
18.552	Offender syndrome or profile evidence (see sec. 18.72)	18-25
18.553	Victim syndromes	18-25
18.5531	Incest and child sexual assault victim's display of characteristic behavior patterns (see sec. 18.732)	18-25
18.5532	Rape victim's display of characteristic behavior patterns (rape trauma syndrome) (see sec. 18.731)	18-25
18.5533	Battered woman syndrome (see sec. 18.734)	18-25
18.5534	Battered child syndrome (see sec. 18.733)	18-25
18.554	Other matters	18-26
18.5541	Defendant's prior consistent statement	18-26
18.5542	Matters relevant to possible lesser-included offenses	18-26
18.5543	Significance of counseling techniques employed by defendant and whether they constituted "psychotherapy"	18-26
18.5544	Explanation for victim's recantation of allegations	18-26
18.5545	Witness suggestibility and proper interrogation techniques for crime victims and witnesses, particularly young children	18-26
18.56	As To Defendant's Future Dangerousness	18-26
18.561	In general	18-26
18.562	For purposes of ch. 980 (sexual predator) commitment proceeding (see sec. 10.7824)	18-26
18.57	State's Access To Records And Reports Regarding Defendant's Mental Condition	18-26
18.571	Psychiatrist's report	18-26
18.5711	In general	18-26
18.5712	In case involving insanity plea, it is not necessary to delay state's access to psychiatrist's report until completion of guilt phase	18-26
18.572	Past psychiatric treatment records	18-26
18.58	State's Right To Call Defense Psychiatrist Or Psychologist	18-26
18.59	Other Matters	18-27

18.591	Indigent's right to psychiatrist's assistance at state's expense (see sec. 6.6422)	18-27
18.592	Defendant's right to cross-examine state's psychiatrist about pending investigations concerning psychiatrist's alleged sexual misconduct with patients	18-27
18.593	Psychiatric and psychologic testimony regarding issues presented in sexually violent person (chap. 980) commitment (see sec. 10.782)	18-27
18.6	Analyses To Determine Identity Of Perpetrator Or Of Weapon Used In Commission Of Crime	18-27
18.61	Fingerprint Analysis	18-27
18.611	In general	18-27
18.612	Admissibility of evidence of absence of fingerprints (see sec. 13.3973)	18-27
18.62	Handwriting Analysis	18-27
18.63	Metal Or Gun Powder Residue Analysis	18-27
18.631	In general	18-27
18.632	Admissibility of evidence of absence of metal or gun powder residue (see sec. 13.3974)	18-27
18.64	Scientific Voice Analysis And Identification	18-27
18.65	Bite Mark Analysis	18-27
18.66	Ballistics Analysis	18-27
18.67	DNA Analysis	18-27
18.671	In general	18-27
18.672	Admissibility of DNA probability statistics based on comparison to population data bases that did not include the defendant's racial group	18-27
18.673	Need for supporting probability statistics to admit expert testimony regarding DNA match	18-28
18.674	Discovery and disclosure requirements relating to DNA evidence	18-28
18.6741	Pretrial disclosure of intent to use DNA evidence (see sec. 6.86)	18-28
18.6742	Motion for postconviction deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) testing of certain evidence (Wis. Stat. § 974.07) (see sec. 10.86)	18-28
18.6743	Defendant has no constitutional right to postconviction access to DNA evidence (see sec. 22.44951)	18-28
18.675	"Prosecutor's fallacy" in using DNA evidence: assumption that random match probability is same as probability that defendant was not source of DNA (i.e., probability that someone other than defendant was source of DNA)	18-28
18.676	Do errors in describing statistical meaning of DNA evidence, or otherwise misleading jury as to what DNA evidence shows, result in trial that is fundamentally unfair and thus denial of due process?	18-28
18.68	Blood Analysis	18-28
18.681	In general	18-28
18.682	Particular types of testing	18-28
18.6821	DNA (see sec. 18.67)	18-28
18.6822	HLA	18-28
18.683	Particular purposes for blood testing	18-28
18.6831	To determine paternity	18-28

	<i>Page</i>
18.6832 To determine blood alcohol content (see sec. 18.4)	18-28
18.6833 To identify source of blood	18-28
18.69 Other Analyses	18-28
18.691 Fingernail analysis	18-28
18.7 Other Scientific Evidence And Expert Testimony	18-28
18.71 Scientific Evidence Relating To Speed Of Automobile	18-28
18.711 Radar evidence	18-28
18.7111 Prerequisites to admissibility	18-28
18.7112 Presumption of accuracy of radar device	18-29
18.7113 Effect of state's failure to maintain radar unit in same condition it existed at time of offense so defendant can make meaningful inspection of it	18-29
18.712 VASCAR Speed Reading	18-29
18.72 Offender Syndrome Or Profile Evidence	18-29
18.721 Battering-parent syndrome	18-29
18.722 Post-traumatic stress disorder (see sec. 18.5516)	18-29
18.723 Sex offender psychological profile	18-29
18.7231 In general	18-29
18.7232 Evidence of, offered by state to show defendant committed sexual assault	18-29
18.7233 Evidence or lack of, offered by defendant to show that he did not commit sexual assault (Richard A.P. evidence)	18-29
18.72331 In general	18-29
18.72332 When defendant seeks to introduce this sort of evidence, state may have right to mental examination of defendant by state's expert (see sec. 6.8962)	18-29
18.72333 Admissibility of this type of evidence is not compelled under controlling Wisconsin authority, but rather is subject to discretionary determination of circuit court	18-30
18.724 Incestuous father profile	18-30
18.73 Victim Syndrome Evidence	18-30
18.731 Rape trauma syndrome	18-30
18.732 Child sexual assault and incest victim syndrome	18-30
18.7321 In general	18-30
18.7322 When state intends to introduce evidence that victim manifested behavior consistent with child sexual assault victim syndrome, defendant may have right to pretrial psychological examination of victim (see sec. 6.89173)	18-30
18.733 Battered child syndrome	18-30
18.734 Battered woman or battered spouse syndrome	18-31
18.74 Scientific Tests To Determine Nature Of Substance	18-31
18.741 General principles	18-31
18.7411 In general	18-31
18.7412 Potential flaws and deficiencies in tests	18-31
18.742 Particular tests	18-31
18.7421 Cobalt thiocyanate test	18-31
18.75 Voice stress analysis	18-31

18.751	Admissibility of results of analysis	18-31
18.752	Admissibility of statement of defendant associated with analysis	18-31
18.7521	General principles	18-31
18.75211	In general	18-31
18.75212	Fact that defendant has been informed of results of analysis before post-test interview that produced statement does not necessarily mean that statement is inadmissible	18-31
18.75213	Rationale for excluding statement associated with analysis: legislature's enactment of Wis. Stat. § 905.065	18-31
18.7522	Appellate review of question of admissibility	18-31
18.75221	Standard of review	18-31
18.7523	Other matters	18-31
18.75231	Principles applicable to polygraph testing are equally applicable to voice stress analysis	18-31
18.76	Other Scientific Evidence And Expert Testimony	18-32
18.761	Mathematical probability evidence (see sec. 13.382)	18-32
18.762	Opinion on credibility of eyewitness identification (see sec. 20.812)	18-32
18.763	Other evidence and testimony	18-32
18.7631	Rape crisis center worker's observations of conduct of sexual assault victims	18-32
18.7632	Percent of child sexual assault accusations that are fabricated (see sec. 18.2592)	18-32
18.7633	Police officer's opinion testimony that thin piece of metal found on defendant's person could have been used to enter premises	18-32
18.7634	On whether person in videotape of crime taken by surveillance camera is defendant (see sec. 18.2595)	18-32
18.7635	"Psycho-social" history of defendant (see sec. 18.5518)	18-32
18.7636	Expert narcotics officer's opinion that residents of premises were engaged in drug dealing based on items found on premises	18-32
18.7637	Testimony of expert on gang activity regarding gang modus operandi (see sec. 18.2563)	18-32
18.7638	Testimony of expert on gang activity regarding relationship of presence of gang symbols to drug dealing	18-32
18.7639	Expert testimony from medical examiner that death or injury "could" have been caused in manner suggested by state	18-32
18.764	Other evidence and testimony (cont'd)	18-32
18.7641	Testimony of pharmacist regarding effect of medication defendant had taken, offered to show he lacked intent to harm or felt need to defend himself	18-32
18.7642	Testimony regarding effect of victim's failure to wear seat belts	18-32
18.7643	Medical testimony regarding possible causes of abnormally dilated hymen	18-33

18.7644	Expert narcotics officer's opinion that residents of premises were engaged in drug dealing based on items found on premises	18-33
18.7645	Expert testimony on sleep (see sec. 18.2492)	18-33
18.7646	Lack of physical evidence in sexual assault case (see sec. 18.2591)	18-33
18.7647	Testimony of crime lab chemist that persons with particular blood alcohol concentration are impaired to some degree	18-33
18.7648	Post-assault conduct of sexual assault victim (see sec. 18.257)	18-33
18.7649	Traumatization of sexual assault victim's memory (see sec. 18.2581)	18-33
18.765	Other evidence and testimony (cont'd)	18-33
18.7651	Expert testimony on traits or behavior patterns of victims of domestic violence	18-33
18.7652	Results of horizontal gaze nystagmus (HGN) test	18-33
18.7653	Expert testimony on eyewitness identification (see sec. 20.812)	18-33
18.8	Other Matters Relating To Scientific Evidence And Expert Testimony	18-33
18.81	Appellate review of trial court's ruling on admissibility of scientific evidence or expert testimony	18-33
18.82	Defendant's right to have crime lab conduct analysis of evidence at his request pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 165.79(1) (see sec. 6.8982)	18-34
18.83	Conducting experiments in court during examination of witness (see sec. 20.8794)	18-34
18.84	Discovery and disclosure requirements relating to scientific evidence and expert testimony	18-34
18.841	Disclosure of expert's findings or subject matter of testimony; results of examination, test, experiment or comparison (see sec. 6.8362)	18-34
18.842	Production for scientific testing (see sec. 6.852)	18-34
18.843	Pretrial disclosure of intent to use DNA evidence (see sec. 6.86)	18-34
18.844	Motion for postconviction deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) testing of certain evidence (Wis. Stat. § 974.07) (see sec. 10.86)	18-34
18.85	Appointment of expert (see sec. 6.992)	18-34

Chapter 19
Sufficiency of Evidence to Convict

19.1	General Principles For Determining Sufficiency Of Evidence	19-1
19.11	Test For Sufficiency Of Evidence In General	19-1
19.111	In general	19-1
19.112	When defendant contends that facts proved do not fulfill statutory criteria; when question is whether undisputed facts fulfill statutory elements	19-8
19.113	When dispute is not so much over probative value of state's evidence as it is over nature of conduct legislature intended to criminalize	19-8
19.114	Test for sufficiency of evidence to support special verdict	19-8

19.115	Although jury is required to exclude every reasonable hypothesis of defendant's innocence before returning guilty verdict, appellate court must affirm jury's finding of guilt if there is any reasonable hypothesis that supports conviction	19-8
19.116	Whether evidence is sufficient presents question of law	19-8
19.117	When question of sufficiency of evidence turns on interpretation of statute	19-8
19.118	When trial is to court	19-8
	19.1181 In general (see sec. 19.153)	19-9
	19.1182 When trial is to court based on stipulation of facts	19-9
19.119	Other matters	19-9
	19.1191 In considering sufficiency of evidence, court must consider all evidence submitted, including that erroneously admitted (see sec. 19.582)	19-9
	19.1192 When record on appeal is not complete, appellate court will assume that missing material supports jury's verdict	19-9
19.12	Sufficiency Of Circumstantial Evidence	19-9
	19.121 General principles	19-9
	19.122 In prosecutions involving injury to child victim	19-10
	19.123 Test whether circumstantial evidence is strong enough to exclude every reasonable hypothesis of innocence presents question of probability, not possibility	19-10
	19.124 Circumstantial evidence may be and often is stronger and as convincing as direct evidence	19-10
19.13	Credibility Of Witnesses And Weight To Be Accorded Their Testimony Is For Trier Of Fact (i.e., Jury In Case Tried To Jury; Judge In Case Tried To Court)	19-10
	19.131 In general	19-10
	19.132 Fact finder not required to accept or reject witness's testimony in its entirety (see sec. 19.52)	19-14
	19.133 Fact finder's right to reject all testimony of witness who is believed to have lied in any particular	19-14
	19.134 Fact finder's right to choose among witness's contradictory or inconsistent statements	19-14
	19.135 Fact finder's right to reject part of witness's testimony and accept balance of it	19-14
	19.1351 In general	19-14
	19.1352 In case of expert witness	19-14
	19.136 Applicability of this principle when trial court is fact finder	19-14
	19.1361 In general	19-14
	19.1362 When trial court is fact finder, must it provide reasons on record for its credibility determinations? (see sec. 19.1394)	19-14
	19.137 Credibility of particular types of witnesses	19-14
	19.1371 Identification witnesses (see sec. 19.32)	19-14
	19.1372 Experts (see sec. 19.33)	19-14
	19.138 Appellate court can reject evidence on which trier of fact relied only if it is incredible as a matter of law or conflicts with fully established or conceded facts	19-14
	19.1381 In general	19-14

19.1382	Discrepancies in testimony at trial, or between trial testimony and prior statements or testimony, do not render testimony incredible as matter of law	19-15
19.139	Other principles and related matters	19-15
19.1391	Weight and credibility of evidence does not depend on number of witnesses who testify in favor of or against existence of particular fact	19-15
19.1392	Jury may believe some of testimony of one witness and some of testimony of another witness even though their testimony, read as a whole, may be inconsistent	19-15
19.1393	Determinations of witness credibility are generally resolved by live testimony (see sec. 12.79411)	19-15
19.1394	When trial court is fact finder, must it provide reasons on record for its credibility determinations?	19-15
19.14	Use Of Common Knowledge And Experience By Jurors Or By Judge When Acting As Fact Finder	19-15
19.141	General Principles	19-15
19.1411	In general	19-15
19.1412	Jurors may not use personal knowledge to supply material item of evidence	19-15
19.142	Particular applications	19-15
19.1421	Judge acting as fact finder in nonsupport case is not permitted to rely on personal experience with job market to reject defendant's inability to pay defense	19-15
19.15	Other Principles	19-16
19.151	Factual disputes to be resolved in light most favorable to verdict	19-16
19.152	Only guilt, not credibility of particular witness, must be proved beyond a reasonable doubt	19-16
19.153	Test for sufficiency of evidence when court is fact finder	19-16
19.1531	In general	19-16
19.1532	Test is same whether fact finder is court or jury	19-16
19.1533	Credibility determinations (see sec. 19.136)	19-16
19.1534	Consideration of trial court's comments in later proceeding (e.g., sentencing) in determining whether earlier finding of guilt when trial court was acting as fact finder at trial is sustainable (see sec. 19.593)	19-16
19.1535	When case is submitted on stipulation of facts (see sec. 19.117)	19-16
19.1536	Review of court's factual findings	19-16
19.1537	When question is whether factual findings made by court satisfy requirements set forth in statute creating crime	19-16
19.154	General standards for appellate review of fact finding (see sec. 11.62)	19-16
19.155	In considering sufficiency of evidence, court must consider all evidence submitted, including that erroneously admitted (see sec. 19.582)	19-16
19.156	Drawing of, and use of, inferences to sustain sufficiency of evidence to convict	19-16
19.1561	When record supports more than one inference, reviewing court must accept inference drawn by trier of fact, i.e., inference that supports jury's verdict	19-17

19.1562	Reviewing court will give evidence all reasonable inferences that will support verdict	19-17
19.1563	If trier of fact could possibly have drawn appropriate inference to find guilt, appellate court will not overturn verdict even if it believes trier of fact should not have found guilt	19-17
19.1564	Use of particular inferences to sustain sufficiency of evidence (see sec. 19.4)	19-17
19.1565	When record supports conflicting inferences, reviewing court must presume – even if it does not affirmatively appear in record – that trier of fact resolved conflict in favor of prosecution, and must defer to that resolution	19-17
19.157	When evidence is conflicting, resolution of conflicts is for jury	19-17
19.158	Need for expert testimony (see sec. 19.575)	19-17
19.159	Test for sufficiency of evidence applicable in criminal proceeding also applies on appeal from ch. 980 commitment	19-17
19.16	Other Principles (cont'd)	19-17
19.161	That evidence was overwhelming may be evidenced by short time jury deliberated after multi-day trial	19-18
19.162	Use of "negative inference" to sustain finding of particular factual proposition: fact finder's right to affirmatively conclude that truth is opposite of witness's incredible testimony	19-18
19.163	Trier of fact may not base findings on conjecture or speculation; verdict cannot be based on conjecture and speculation	19-18
19.164	Questions of statutory interpretation encountered when assessing sufficiency of evidence to convict (e.g., meaning of terms used in describing elements of offense) are questions of law that appellate court reviews de novo	19-18
19.165	Appellate court will not find evidence insufficient because witness fails to describe event in exact chronological fashion; rather, it will leave it to the jury to listen to testimony and determine what happened	19-18
19.166	Fact finder may draw logical inferences from evidence, connecting its dots into coherent pattern (see sec. 20.293)	19-18
19.167	Although there is some evidentiary leeway in trials to court, bench-trial judges may not use inadmissible evidence to decide "critical issue" (see sec. 8.89651)	19-18
19.168	Appellate court must search record to support conclusion reached by fact finder	19-18
19.2	Sufficiency Of Evidence As To Particular Matters	19-18
19.21	Intent (see sec. 31.124)	19-18
19.22	Time Of Offense	19-18
19.23	Place Of Offense (Venue) (see sec. 12.25)	19-18
19.24	Particular Crimes And Defenses	19-18
19.25	Liability As Accomplice	19-19
19.251	Aider and abettor (see sec. 31.271)	19-19
19.252	Co-conspirator (see sec. 31.272)	19-19
19.26	Identification Of Defendant	19-19
19.27	Other Matters	19-19

19.271	That property was stolen	19-19
19.272	Causal relationship between defendant's conduct and harm	19-19
19.273	That device wielded by defendant was designed as weapon	19-19
19.274	That dog was used as a dangerous weapon	19-19
19.275	Sexual intercourse	19-19
19.276	Owner nonconsent	19-19
19.3	Sufficiency Of Particular Evidence	19-19
19.31	Uncorroborated Testimony	19-19
19.311	In general	19-19
19.312	Of accomplice	19-19
19.313	Of eyewitness	19-19
19.314	Of other witness	19-19
19.32	Identification Testimony	19-19
19.33	Expert Testimony	19-20
19.331	In general	19-20
19.332	Expert testimony not required to be accepted by fact finder, even when uncontradicted (see sec. 18.28)	19-20
19.333	Fact that state's lone expert is contradicted by number of defense experts does not render evidence insufficient	19-20
19.334	Related matters	19-20
19.3341	Fact finder's right to reject part of expert witness's testimony and accept balance of it (see sec. 19.1352)	19-20
19.3342	Need for expert testimony to convict (see sec. 19.575)	19-20
19.34	Defendant's Confession	19-20
19.341	In general	19-20
19.342	Requirement that confession be corroborated to render it sufficient to support conviction	19-20
19.3421	In general	19-20
19.3422	No need for corroboration when statement made to friend before there was police investigation	19-21
19.3423	Requirement not applicable in probation revocation proceedings	19-21
19.3424	Nature of corroboration required	19-21
19.34241	In general	19-21
19.34242	Corroboration must be of "any significant fact"	19-21
19.34243	"Significant fact" need not independently establish specific elements of crime	19-21
19.34244	"Significant fact" need not independently link defendant to crime	19-21
19.3425	Sufficiency of corroboration in particular cases	19-21
19.34251	Evidence that morphine was found in dead person's body is sufficient to corroborate defendant's confession that he delivered morphine to dead person on dates prior to death	19-21
19.3426	Corroboration rule is rule of evidentiary sufficiency, not rule of admissibility	19-21
19.3427	Appellate review of question whether sufficient corroboration exists	19-21
19.34271	Standard of review in general	19-21

19.34272	In determining whether sufficient corroboration exists, evidence is viewed in light most favorable to verdict	19-21
19.343	Determining whether confession is corroborated, and thus sufficient to support conviction, under particular circumstances	19-21
19.35	Other Evidence	19-21
19.351	Defendant's fabrication of alibi or other untruthful story	19-21
19.352	Alibi evidence	19-22
19.3521	Defendant's alibi evidence	19-22
19.3522	State's alibi rebuttal evidence	19-22
19.353	Motive for committing crime	19-22
19.354	Testimony of one who has been offered inducements by prosecutor to testify in particular fashion that rise to level of coercion or provide incentive for perjury	19-22
19.355	Bite-mark evidence	19-22
19.356	Unobjected-to hearsay	19-22
19.357	Placement of hands behind back in "classic handcuff position" when approached by police	19-22
19.358	Defendant's fingerprint at crime scene	19-22
19.4	Use Of Particular Presumptions And Inferences To Sustain Sufficiency Of Evidence	19-22
19.41	Propriety And Permissibility Of Using Presumptions And Inferences To Sustain Sufficiency Of Evidence In General (see sec. 19.156)	19-22
19.42	Presumptions Relating To Intent	19-22
19.421	That one intends the natural and probable consequences of his acts	19-22
19.422	That one who assaults another with dangerous weapon, likely to kill, intended to kill	19-23
19.43	Presumption Of Continuation Of Status Or Condition	19-23
19.44	Inference Of Guilt Of Theft Or Burglary From Possession Of Recently Stolen Goods	19-23
19.45	Inferences From Possession Of Numerous Similar Articles Or Numerous Stolen Articles	19-23
19.451	That articles are stolen	19-23
19.452	That defendant knew that articles were stolen	19-23
19.46	Inference Of Guilt From Various Circumstances	19-23
19.461	From concealment of evidence	19-23
19.462	From motive to commit crime	19-23
19.463	From commission of similar crimes	19-23
19.464	From flight	19-23
19.47	Other Presumptions And Inferences	19-23
19.471	Presumption that one under the age of 15 is incapable of consenting to sexual intercourse or contact	19-23
19.472	Inference that victim was alive during sexual assault	19-23
19.473	Presumptions relating to identity	19-24
19.4731	Of identity of person from identity of names	19-24
19.5	Other Matters Relating To Sufficiency Of Evidence To Convict	19-24
19.51	Relationship Between Sufficiency Of Evidence And Allegations In Charging Document	19-24

19.511 No need to prove every alternative alleged in charging document 19-24

19.512 No need to prove precise facts alleged in charging document 19-24

19.513 No need to prove surplus allegations in charging document 19-24

19.514 Proof need not be limited to allegations of complaint 19-24

19.52 Jury Not Required To Accept Or Reject Witness's Testimony In Its Entirety 19-24

19.53 Affirmance Of Conviction On Basis Other Than That Considered By Trier Of
Fact (see sec. 11.841) 19-24

19.54 Dismissal For Insufficiency Of Evidence At Close Of State's Case 19-24

19.541 In general (see sec. 8.823) 19-24

19.542 Standard of appellate review of denial of motion to dismiss (see sec.
8.8233) 19-24

19.55 Effect Of Insufficiency Of Evidence As To, Or Constitutional Or Other
Invalidity Of, One Basis Or Theory Of Liability Considered By Trier Of Fact
When Evidence Sufficient To Support Another Basis Or Theory Of Liability
Properly Considered By Trier Of Fact 19-24

19.551 Effect of insufficiency of evidence as to one basis or theory of liability
considered by trier of fact when evidence sufficient to support
another basis or theory of liability properly considered by trier of fact 19-25

19.552 Effect of constitutional or other invalidity of one basis or theory of
liability considered by trier of fact when evidence sufficient to
support another basis or theory of liability properly considered by
trier of fact 19-25

19.5521 Effect of constitutional invalidity of one basis or theory of
liability considered by trier of fact when evidence sufficient
to support another basis or theory of liability properly
considered by trier of fact (see sec. 21.54) 19-25

19.5522 Effect of other invalidity of one basis or theory of liability
considered by trier of fact when evidence sufficient to
support another basis or theory of liability properly
considered by trier of fact 19-25

19.55221 In general 19-25

19.55222 Applicability of harmless-error rule to improper
instruction on basis or theory of liability that was
invalid (see sec. 11.7398) 19-25

19.56 Sufficiency Of Evidence To Convict Is Federal Constitutional Issue 19-25

19.561 In general 19-25

19.562 In assessing whether constitutional violation has occurred because
evidence was allegedly insufficient to convict, court may only assess
evidence introduced at trial; consideration of evidence adduced post-
trial, casting doubt on evidence adduced at trial, is inappropriate 19-26

19.563 It is not error to cast test of sufficiency of evidence in terms of
"reasonable" jury, rather than "rational" one, and that asks whether
jury could be convinced of defendant's guilt, rather than whether it
could be convinced of each element of crime 19-26

19.564 In assessing whether constitutional violation has occurred because
evidence was allegedly insufficient to convict, court must review
evidence in light most favorable to prosecution 19-26

19.565	In assessing whether constitutional violation has occurred because evidence was allegedly insufficient to convict, court must assume that trier of fact resolved any conflicts in testimony, or conflicts in inferences drawn from historical facts, in favor or prosecution	19-26
19.566	In assessing whether constitutional violation has occurred because evidence was allegedly insufficient to convict, court must consider all evidence submitted, including that erroneously admitted (see sec. 19.582)	19-26
19.57	Other Matters	19-26
19.571	Effect of conclusion that defendant testified falsely on sufficiency of evidence to convict	19-26
19.5711	Propriety of fact finder considering defendant's perjury as affirmative evidence of guilt	19-26
19.5712	Propriety of predicating finding of guilt simply on disbelief of defendant's testimony	19-26
19.572	Requirement that appellate court consider sufficiency of evidence even though other errors warranting reversal are found (see sec. 29.4412)	19-27
19.573	No need to produce best available evidence	19-27
19.574	Instructing the jury on theory or manner of committing crime not supported by evidence	19-27
19.5741	In general	19-27
19.5742	Instructing on theory not supported by evidence is harmless error if no evidence adduced or argument made in support of that theory of liability	19-27
19.575	Need for expert testimony to convict	19-27
19.5751	In general	19-27
19.5752	Need for expert testimony to establish causal relationship between defendant's conduct and harm (e.g., death) done to victim	19-27
19.5753	Need for expert testimony to establish that victim in sexual assault prosecution suffered from mental illness or deficiency which rendered victim incapable of appraising his or her conduct	19-27
19.576	Sufficiency of evidence is question of law (see sec. 19.116)	19-27
19.577	Right of appellate court, upon finding evidence insufficient to support conviction, to order entry of judgment of conviction for lesser-included offense for which evidence sufficient	19-28
19.5771	When jury was instructed on lesser-included offense	19-28
19.5772	When jury was not instructed on lesser-included offense	19-28
19.578	Reversal because conviction against weight of evidence	19-28
19.579	When trial court, sitting as fact finder, predicates defendant's guilt of crime (e.g., crime of bail jumping) on jury's finding of guilt of another crime, and jury's verdict is overturned, evidence is, as matter of law, insufficient to support defendant's conviction	19-28
19.58	Other matters (cont'd)	19-28
19.581	Sufficiency of stipulation to establish element of offense	19-28
19.582	In considering sufficiency of evidence, court must consider all evidence submitted, including that erroneously admitted	19-28
19.583	Effect of inconsistent verdict on sufficiency of the evidence	19-28

19.584 Effect of appellate court's finding evidence insufficient to convict: remedy is dismissal, not new trial (see sec. 29.4421) 19-28

19.585 When jury returns guilty verdict on indictment charging several acts in the conjunctive, verdict stands if evidence is sufficient with respect to any one of acts charged 19-28

19.586 Cannot sustain verdict on basis of sufficient evidence to prove theory or mode of commission of crime on which jury was not instructed (see sec. 11.841) 19-28

19.587 When statute creating offense provides that it does not apply to certain constitutionally protected conduct, fact that conduct is not constitutionally protected does not become element of offense that state must prove 19-29

19.588 Defendant's admission of existence of particular element dispenses with the need for proof of that element, either to a judge or to a jury 19-29

19.589 Use of "negative inference" to sustain finding of particular factual proposition: fact finder's right to affirmatively conclude that truth is opposite of witness's incredible testimony (see sec. 19.162) 19-29

19.59 Other Matters (cont'd) 19-29

19.591 No need to raise question of sufficiency of evidence to convict in trial court to preserve issue for appellate review 19-29

19.592 Use of judicial notice to provide sufficient evidence to convict 19-29

19.593 Consideration of trial court's comments in later proceeding (e.g., sentencing) in determining whether earlier finding of guilt when trial court was acting as fact finder at trial is sustainable 19-29

19.594 Waiver of challenge to sufficiency of evidence to convict: defendant does not waive right to challenge sufficiency of evidence by failing to raise issue in trial court by way of motion to dismiss, motion for directed verdict, etc. (see sec. 19.591) 19-29

19.595 Effect of court decision in another case, decided after defendant's conviction became final, holding that conduct in which defendant engaged does not constitute crime of which he was convicted 19-29

19.5951 In general (see sec. 30.3861) 19-29

19.5952 Need for court that decided case to determine whether decision declared law that existed at time defendant's conviction became final or, rather, changed law from that which existed at time defendant's conviction became final (see sec. 30.3862) 19-29

19.596 Whether evidence is sufficient presents question of law (see sec. 19.115) 19-29

19.597 Remedy when court finds evidence insufficient to support conviction: entry of judgment of acquittal, not new trial 19-30

Chapter 20
Other Evidentiary Matters

20.1 Judicial Notice **20-1**

20.11 Kinds Of Facts That May Be Judicially Noticed 20-1

20.111 General principles 20-1

20.112 Particular facts 20-1

	<i>Page</i>
20.1121 Statutes in other states	20-1
20.1122 Documents in record of separate appeal	20-1
20.1123 Fact that park is city park	20-1
20.1124 Character and competence of counsel who has appeared before court	20-1
20.1125 Fact that blood alcohol chart is trustworthy	20-1
20.1126 Employment market	20-1
20.1127 Provisions of police policies and procedures manual	20-2
20.1128 Court's records in other cases involving party	20-2
20.1129 Contents of briefs in other cases	20-2
20.12 When Taking Judicial Notice Is Discretionary	20-2
20.13 When Taking Judicial Notice Is Mandatory	20-2
20.14 Opportunity To Be Heard	20-2
20.15 Time Of Taking Notice	20-2
20.151 In general	20-2
20.152 After testimony is closed	20-2
20.16 Instructing Jury	20-2
20.161 In general	20-2
20.162 Instructing jury in criminal case that it must accept judicially notice fact as conclusively proven is unconstitutional	20-2
20.17 Effect Of Court's Taking Judicial Notice	20-2
20.18 Other Matters	20-2
20.181 Is use, in jury trial, of judicial notice to establish fact state must prove to convict constitutionally permissible?	20-2
20.1811 Yes (court of appeals' answer)	20-2
20.1812 No (supreme court's answer, rejecting court of appeals' answer)	20-2
20.2 Presumptions And Inferences	20-2
20.21 In General	20-2
20.22 Effect Of Presumptions In Criminal Case	20-3
20.23 Classification And Definition Of Presumptions	20-3
20.231 In general	20-3
20.232 Mandatory presumption	20-3
20.24 Constitutionality Of Use Of Presumptions In Criminal Case	20-3
20.241 In general	20-3
20.242 Does taking judicial notice of incontestable fact create unconstitutional "mandatory conclusive presumption"	20-4
20.2421 No (court of appeals' answer)	20-4
20.2422 Yes (supreme court's answer, rejecting court of appeals' answer)	20-4
20.243 Other matters	20-5
20.2431 When conduct that would otherwise be constitutionally protected symbolic speech (e.g., cross burning) may be constitutionally proscribed if it is done with particular evil intent (e.g., intent to intimidate), making engaging in that conduct prima facie evidence of that intent is not constitutionally permissible	20-5

20.2432	Statute proscribing causing injury by operation of vehicle with detectable amount of restricted controlled substance in one's blood does not create any unconstitutional presumptions (see sec. 33.3415)	20-5
20.25	Particular Presumptions And Inferences	20-5
20.251	Presumptions relating to intent	20-5
20.2511	That one intends the natural and probable consequences of acts	20-5
20.2512	That one who assaults another with dangerous weapon, likely to kill, intended to kill	20-5
20.252	Of accuracy of recognized scientific or medical instruments or tests	20-5
20.2521	In general	20-5
20.2522	Chemical tests for intoxication	20-5
20.25221	In general	20-5
20.25222	Presumption of accuracy not rendered inapplicable because driver was informed that, if "any" test resulted in BAC of 0.10 percent or more, his license would be suspended	20-6
20.2523	Radar (see sec. 18.7112)	20-6
20.2524	Breath testing devices for intoxication (e.g., breathalyzer)	20-6
20.25241	In general	20-6
20.25242	Presumption of accuracy applies only if breath testing device has been evaluated and approved by department	20-6
20.253	Of intoxication from blood alcohol content	20-6
20.2531	In general	20-6
20.2532	Statutory provision making particular alcohol concentration prima facie evidence of intoxication creates permissive, not mandatory, presumption (see sec. 18.4412)	20-6
20.2533	Statutory provision making particular alcohol concentration prima facie evidence of intoxication applies, and may be instructed on, when blood, breath, or urine sample taken more than three hours after event and expert testimony establishes blood-alcohol concentration at time of event based on blood-alcohol concentration in sample (see sec. 8.53162)	20-6
20.254	Of continuation of status or condition: prior existence of status or condition indicates its probable existence at later time; subsequent existence of status or condition indicates its probable existence at earlier time	20-7
20.255	Inferences from possession of numerous stolen items	20-7
20.2551	That items are stolen	20-7
20.2552	That defendant knew items were stolen	20-7
20.256	Of guilt from various factors	20-7
20.2561	From flight	20-7
20.2562	From concealment of evidence	20-7
20.2563	From motive to commit crime	20-7
20.2564	From commission of similar crimes	20-7
20.2565	Of guilt of theft or burglary from possession of recently stolen goods	20-7

	<i>Page</i>
20.257 Other presumptions and inferences	20-7
20.2571 That jurors follow instructions (see sec. 8.551)	20-7
20.2572 Of identity of person from identity of names	20-7
20.2573 Of intentional failure to pay child support from failure to pay child support when ordered to do so	20-8
20.2574 Of knowledge of the alteration or removal of a manufacturer's identification number, and of an intent to prevent identification of the property, from possession of two or more similar items of personal property with number altered or removed	20-8
20.2575 Of embezzlement from failure to return vehicle within five days of expiration of rental agreement	20-8
20.2576 Of intent to commit theft by fraud from failure to return rented property within twenty days of demand	20-8
20.2577 Presumption, in aggravated battery statute, that conduct creating a high probability of great bodily harm exists when victim has a "physical disability"	20-8
20.2578 That person under 15 years of age is incapable of consenting to sexual relations	20-8
20.2579 Presumption of delivery and receipt of letter, notice, or other document from fact that it was mailed and not returned	20-8
20.258 Other presumptions and inferences (cont'd)	20-8
20.2581 Presumption that administrative board follows statutory commands and internal policies	20-8
20.2582 Presumption of regularity that attaches to final judgment	20-8
20.2583 That public officials have properly discharged their official duties	20-8
20.2584 That courts know and follow the law (see sec. 47.8189)	20-8
20.2585 Presumption of sanity	20-9
20.2586 Presumption that one was negligent from fact that he was exceeding legal speed limit (see sec. 8.53181)	20-9
20.2587 Presumption that use of state resources to promote candidate in political campaign or to raise money for candidate provides candidate with dishonest advantage (see sec. 35.23352)	20-9
20.2588 Presumption that state employee who uses, or directs use, of state resources for political campaigns exercises discretionary power in manner inconsistent with duties of employment (see sec. 35.23353)	20-9
20.26 Statutory Limitations On Use Of Presumptions In Criminal Case; Required Instruction On Effect Of Presumption In Criminal Case (Wis. Stat. § 903.03(3))	20-9
20.261 In general	20-9
20.262 Limitations and required instruction apply whether matter to be presumed is legal or factual in nature	20-9
20.27 Use Of Presumptions And Inferences To Sustain Sufficiency Of Evidence To Convict (see sec. 19.4)	20-9
20.28 Instruction On Presumption That Improperly Shifts Burden Of Proof To Defendant Or Relieves State Of Obligation To Prove Each Element Beyond A Reasonable Doubt Subject To Harmless Error Analysis	20-9
20.29 Other Matters	20-10

20.291	Determining nature of presumption in jury instruction (see sec. 8.5431)	20-10
20.292	Required content of instructions on presumptions in general (see sec. 8.5433)	20-10
20.293	Fact finder may draw logical inferences from evidence, connecting its dots into coherent pattern	20-10
20.3	Witnesses	20-10
20.31	Constitutional Rights Relating To Witnesses	20-10
20.311	Right to present witnesses	20-10
20.312	Right to testify in own behalf (see sec. 30.6)	20-10
20.313	Right to confrontation	20-10
20.314	Right to compulsory process (see sec. 30.8)	20-10
20.32	Securing Witness's Attendance	20-10
20.321	By subpoena	20-10
20.3211	In general	20-10
20.3212	Service of subpoena	20-10
20.3213	Quashing or modifying subpoena	20-10
20.32131	In general	20-10
20.32132	Quashing subpoena for district attorney	20-10
20.3214	Subpoena duces tecum (see sec. 20.8861)	20-10
20.3215	Applicability of subpoena provisions of civil statutes to criminal proceedings	20-10
20.322	By material witness warrant	20-10
20.3221	In general	20-10
20.3222	Authority to issue material witness warrant in John Doe proceeding	20-10
20.3223	Need for probable cause to believe it is impracticable to secure presence by subpoena before issuing material witness arrest warrant	20-11
20.323	By extradition (see sec. 6.2593)	20-11
20.33	Sequestration Of Witnesses	20-11
20.331	In general	20-11
20.332	Order sequestering witnesses does not prohibit district attorney from communicating with witness during break in testimony	20-11
20.333	Exception for person whose presence is shown by party to be essential to presentation of party's case	20-11
20.334	Need for mistrial motion to preserve objection to violation of sequestration order	20-11
20.335	Remedy for violation of sequestration order	20-11
20.336	Permitting sequestered witnesses to confer with lawyers from either side	20-11
20.337	Prohibiting sequestered witnesses from conferring with attorneys	20-11
20.34	Prerequisites To Permitting Witness To Testify	20-11
20.341	Competency	20-11
20.3411	In general	20-11
20.3412	Of juror	20-12
20.34121	At trial	20-12
20.34122	Inquiry into validity of verdict: in general	20-12

	20.34123	Inquiry into validity of verdict: incompetency rule extends to juror's testimony about fellow juror's understanding of English language	20-13
20.3413		Of judge	20-13
	20.34131	In general	20-13
	20.34132	Judge's summarizing or commenting on, and utilizing recollection of, his or her observations at prior judicial proceeding does not constitute testimony for purposes of statute forbidding judge presiding at trial from testifying at trial as witness	20-13
	20.3414	Of attorney for party (see sec. 20.373)	20-13
	20.3415	Of young child	20-13
	20.3416	Objection to witness's competency	20-13
	20.3417	Voir dire examination of witness regarding competency	20-13
20.342		Requirement of personal knowledge of matter testified to	20-13
20.343		Oath or affirmation	20-13
	20.3431	In general	20-13
	20.3432	Authority of clerk to administer oath to witness	20-13
	20.3433	In case of young child	20-13
20.344		Ability to give meaningful testimony	20-14
20.345		Requiring psychiatric or psychological examination of witness	20-14
20.346		When state gives notice that it intends to introduce evidence generated by a psychological examination of victim by state's experts, defendant may be entitled to pretrial psychological examination of victim as prerequisite to permitting state's experts to testify (see sec. 6.89172)	20-14
20.347		When state intends to introduce expert's testimony that sexual assault victim's post-assault behavior is consistent with that of sexual assault victim, defendant may be entitled to pretrial psychological examination of victim as prerequisite to permitting state's expert to testify (see sec. 6.89173)	20-14
20.348		When defendant intends to offer evidence of his lack of any diagnosable sexual disorder to show that it was unlikely that he would commit charged sexual assault, state may be entitled to pretrial mental examination of defendant by its expert as prerequisite to permitting defendant's expert to testify (see sec. 6.8962)	20-14
20.35		Duty Of Witness To Testify	20-14
	20.351	In general	20-14
	20.352	Invocation of fifth amendment privilege	20-14
	20.3521	Assertion of privilege (see sec. 24.2)	20-14
	20.3522	Supplanting privilege by grant of immunity	20-14
	20.3523	Striking testimony of witness who invokes fifth amendment privilege	20-14
	20.3524	Defendant's right to have witness granted immunity so he can present witness's testimony (see sec. 28.212)	20-15
	20.3525	Prohibition on requiring witness to invoke privilege in presence of jury	20-15
	20.3526	Prohibition on drawing inference from witness's invocation of fifth amendment privilege (see sec. 24.84)	20-15

	<i>Page</i>
20.353 Privileges	20-15
20.354 Protecting child witness and victim of sensitive crime from unnecessary distress (see sec. 20.383)	20-15
20.355 Refusal of witness to answer because of fear	20-15
20.356 Refusal to testify at pretrial motion hearing does not trigger procedures and sanctions provided for in Wis. Stat. § 972.08(2)	20-15
20.36 Questioning Of Witnesses	20-15
20.361 Leading questions	20-15
20.3611 In general	20-15
20.3612 In case of child witness	20-15
20.362 Hypothetical question	20-15
20.3621 In general	20-15
20.3622 When question phrased in terms of possibilities	20-16
20.363 Asking question without evidentiary basis for question's factual predicate	20-16
20.364 Improper but unanswered question	20-16
20.365 Refreshing witness's recollection	20-16
20.3651 By prior statement	20-16
20.3652 By hypnosis (see sec. 20.8441)	20-16
20.366 Particular questioners	20-16
20.3661 Trial judge (see sec. 8.843)	20-16
20.3662 More than one co-counsel	20-16
20.3663 Juror (see sec. 20.368)	20-16
20.367 Curtailing witness's testimony	20-16
20.3671 Cumulative testimony	20-16
20.368 Questioning of witnesses by jurors	20-16
20.3681 Permissibility of in general	20-16
20.3682 Procedure to be followed in allowing jurors to question witnesses	20-16
20.3683 Waiver of objection to juror questioning by failure to object	20-16
20.369 Other matters	20-17
20.3691 Cross-examination of witness (see sec. 16.1)	20-17
20.3692 Refusal of witness to answer because of fear (see sec. 20.355)	20-17
20.3693 Protecting child victim and victim of sensitive crimes from unnecessary distress (see sec. 20.383)	20-17
20.3694 Examining witness concerning prior statement	20-17
20.3695 Who may impeach witness (see sec. 20.3897)	20-17
20.3696 Mode and order of interrogation and presentation (see sec. 20.878)	20-17
20.3697 Questioner must have good-faith basis for asking question	20-17
20.3698 Asking open-ended question that calls for narrative	20-17
20.37 Propriety Of Particular Conduct Relating To Examination Of Witnesses	20-17
20.371 Calling witness knowing he will invoke fifth amendment privilege	20-17
20.372 Asking question without establishing factual predicate (see sec. 20.363)	20-17
20.373 Attorney for party testifying as witness	20-17
20.3731 In general	20-17

20.3732	When prosecutor elicits testimony that can only be contradicted by defense counsel or defendant on issue relevant to defense, if defense counsel did not, or could not reasonably, foresee dilemma, and if defendant has already decided not to testify, justice requires that defense counsel be permitted to testify	20-17
20.3733	When question of defendant's competency raised	20-17
20.374	State's knowing use of false testimony (see sec. 22.452)	20-18
20.375	Providing inducement for witness to testify	20-18
20.376	Calling expert witness knowing he cannot recall basis for opinion (see sec. 22.455)	20-18
20.377	Bolstering a witness's credibility before such credibility is attacked	20-18
20.378	Due process limitations on a prosecutor's conduct relating to the examination of witnesses (see sec. 22.45)	20-18
20.379	Other Matters	20-18
20.3791	Having defense witness testify while shackled and/or in jail uniform (see sec. 22.588)	20-18
20.3792	Asking open-ended question that calls for narrative (see sec. 20.3698)	20-18
20.38	Other Matters	20-18
20.381	Production of witness's statement (see secs. 6.825, 6.8361, and 6.842)	20-18
20.382	Attacking or supporting credibility of witness	20-18
20.3821	By evidence of character or conduct (see sec. 20.821)	20-18
20.3822	By evidence of conviction of crime (see sec. 16.141)	20-18
20.3823	By revealing pretrial agreement between prosecution and witness regarding witness's testimony	20-18
20.3824	By asking complainant whether he/she has commenced action for civil damages against defendant as a result of criminal conduct	20-18
20.3825	By impeachment evidence (see sec. 16.2)	20-18
20.383	Protecting child victims and victims of sensitive crimes from unnecessary distress	20-18
20.3831	By shielding victim from defendant's view during testimony	20-18
20.38311	By use of screen	20-18
20.38312	By use of one-way closed circuit TV (see sec. 20.3834)	20-18
20.3832	By videotaping victim's testimony	20-19
20.3833	By limiting cross-examination of victim	20-19
20.38331	In general	20-19
20.38332	Questioning regarding victim's prior sexual conduct (including prior sexual assault on victim)	20-19
20.3834	By allowing witness to testify at trial outside of defendant's presence by one-way closed circuit television	20-19
20.3835	By permitting young child-witness to testify while sitting in adult's (e.g., relative's) lap	20-19
20.384	Impeachment of witness (by extrinsic evidence)	20-19
20.3841	In general (see sec. 16.2)	20-19
20.3842	Who may impeach (see sec. 20.3897)	20-19
20.385	Evidence of character or conduct of witness	20-19

20.3851	Opinion and reputation evidence of character (see sec. 20.8211)	20-19
20.3852	Specific instances of conduct (see sec. 20.8212)	20-19
20.386	Prior statements of witness	20-20
20.3861	Admissibility in general	20-20
20.3862	Examining witness concerning prior statement (see sec. 20.3694)	20-20
20.3863	Extrinsic evidence of prior inconsistent statement of witness	20-20
20.38631	Admissibility in general (see sec. 16.2911)	20-20
20.38632	Prerequisites to introduction of prior inconsistent statement (see sec. 16.2912)	20-20
20.38633	Defendant's constitutional right to introduce evidence of prior inconsistent statement of state's witness (see sec. 16.2913)	20-20
20.3864	Refreshing witness's recollection through prior statement (see sec. 20.3651)	20-20
20.3865	Production of prior statement when witness examined regarding it	20-20
20.387	Other matters	20-20
20.3871	Rebuttal witnesses	20-20
20.3872	Trial court's control over mode and order of interrogation and presentation (Wis. Stat. § 906.11)	20-20
20.38721	In general (see sec. 20.878)	20-20
20.38722	Permitting young child-witness to testify while sitting in adult's (e.g., relative's) lap (see sec. 20.3835)	20-20
20.3873	Defense counsel's obligations in assessing and responding to defendant's possible perjurious testimony (see sec. 25.55)	20-21
20.3874	When witness who testifies at trial is police informant, must prosecutor reveal that fact to defendant? (see sec. 17.776)	20-21
20.3875	Credibility of particular witnesses	20-21
20.38751	Accomplice	20-21
20.38752	One granted immunity	20-21
20.38753	Narcotics addict	20-21
20.38754	Defendant	20-21
20.38755	One convicted of crime	20-21
20.38756	Law enforcement officer	20-21
20.38757	Eyewitness	20-21
20.38758	Police informant	20-21
20.3876	Prohibiting witness from conferring with attorney during break in testimony	20-21
20.3877	Determinations of witness credibility are generally resolved by live testimony (see sec. 12.79411)	20-21
20.3878	Need for witness to comprehend spoken word	20-21
20.388	Other matters (cont'd)	20-21
20.3881	Refreshing witness's recollection by hypnosis (see sec. 20.8441)	20-21
20.3882	Immunity of witnesses from damages action based on their testimony (see sec. 47.65)	20-21

20.3883	Admissibility of evidence of religious beliefs or opinions of witness	20-21
20.3884	Recalling witness	20-21
20.3885	Alibi testimony	20-22
20.3886	Granting immunity to defense witness (see sec. 28.212)	20-22
20.3887	Calling of witness by judge	20-22
20.3888	Prosecutor's intimidation of defense witness by threat of criminal prosecution (see sec. 22.45342)	20-22
20.3889	Striking testimony of witness who invokes fifth amendment privilege (see sec. 20.3523)	20-22
20.389	Other matters (cont'd)	20-22
20.3891	Defense counsel's advice to state witness regarding possible charges against witness and right to refuse to testify unless granted immunity	20-22
20.3892	Calling district attorney as witness	20-22
20.3893	Testifying defendant has no right to consult with attorney during brief break in testimony	20-22
20.3894	Propriety of prosecution eliciting from its witness nature of pretrial agreement under which witness testified and what would happen to witness if he lied on the stand	20-22
20.3895	Conducting in-court line-up for identification witnesses	20-22
20.3896	Conducting in-court voice line-up for identification witness	20-22
20.3897	Who may impeach witness	20-22
20.3898	Motion to dismiss at close of state's case (see sec. 8.823)	20-22
20.3899	Other matters	20-22
20.38991	Reopening testimony after party has rested (see sec. 8.825)	20-22
20.38992	Payment of witness fees to state prisoner who testifies at federal trial pursuant to writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum	20-22
20.38993	Determining credibility of witness	20-23
20.38994	Permitting witness to confer with attorney	20-23
20.38995	Use of interpreter for witness (see sec. 8.8972)	20-23
20.38996	Witness's privilege for testimony given in judicial proceeding	20-23
20.38997	Witness's immunity from damages for testimony given in judicial proceeding (see sec. 47.65)	20-23
20.4	Authentication And Identification	20-23
20.41	General Provision (Wis. Stat. § 909.01)	20-23
20.411	In general	20-23
20.412	Authentication of tape recording through testimony by party to recorded conversation identifying voices on tape and testifying that tape accurately depicted conversation	20-23
20.413	Authentication of computer-generated animation	20-23
20.42	Illustrations Of General Provision (Wis. Stat. § 909.015)	20-23
20.421	Testimony of witness with knowledge (Wis. Stat. § 909.015(1))	20-23
20.422	Public records or reports (Wis. Stat. § 909.015(7))	20-23
20.43	Self-Authentication (Wis. Stat. § 909.02)	20-23
20.431	In general	20-23

20.432	Section 909.02 does not cover out-of-state documents; Wis. Stat. § 889.15 does	20-23
20.44	Subscribing Witness's Testimony Unnecessary	20-23
20.45	Authentication Of Particular Documents And Other Evidence	20-23
20.451	DOT driving record	20-23
20.46	Other Matters	20-24
20.461	28 U.S.C. § 1738 does not provide exclusive basis for authenticating sister state's court order	20-24
20.5	Admission Of Photographs, Writings And Recordings	20-24
20.51	Admission Of Documentary Evidence In General	20-24
20.52	Admission Of Photographs, Writings And Recording	20-24
20.521	Admission of photographs	20-24
20.5211	Admissibility in general	20-24
20.5212	Laying foundation for admission	20-24
20.5213	Exclusion because of prejudicial nature	20-24
20.5214	Sending photographs to jury room (see sec. 8.623)	20-24
20.522	Admission of motion pictures	20-24
20.5221	Laying foundation for admission	20-24
20.523	Admission of videotape recordings	20-24
20.5231	Laying foundation for admission	20-24
20.5232	Propriety of judge relying on personal observations in assessing whether videotape accurately represents what it purports to show	20-24
20.5233	Need for expert testimony	20-25
20.53	Proving Contents Of Photographs, Writings And Recordings	20-25
20.531	Definitions (Wis. Stat. § 910.01)	20-25
20.5311	Writings and recordings	20-25
20.5312	Photographs	20-25
20.53121	In general	20-25
20.53122	Includes videotapes	20-25
20.532	Requirement of original (Wis. Stat. § 910.02)	20-25
20.533	Admissibility of duplicates (Wis. Stat. § 910.03)	20-25
20.5331	In general	20-25
20.5332	Admissibility of duplicate of tape recording	20-25
20.534	Admissibility of other evidence of contents (Wis. Stat. § 910.04)	20-25
20.5341	Other evidence permitted when originals lost or destroyed	20-25
20.53411	In general	20-25
20.53412	Tape that is unplayable, after reasonable efforts to restore it to playability, is deemed destroyed	20-25
20.535	Public records (Wis. Stat. § 910.05)	20-25
20.536	Summaries (Wis. Stat. § 910.06)	20-25
20.537	Testimony or written admission of party (Wis. Stat. § 910.07)	20-25
20.538	Functions of judge and jury (Wis. Stat. § 910.08)	20-25
20.539	Other matters	20-25
20.5391	Authenticating tape recording (see sec. 20.412)	20-25
20.54	Particular Photographs, Writings And Recordings	20-25
20.541	Videotape recording of sobriety test (see sec. 20.8581)	20-25
20.542	Recording of intercepted oral communication (see sec. 5.11)	20-25
20.543	Criminal complaint (see sec. 13.393)	20-25

20.544	Videotape recording of crime scene purporting to show conditions (e.g., lighting) at time of crime (see sec. 20.8562)	20-25
20.55	Remainder Of Or Related Writings Or Recorded Statements	20-26
20.56	Other And Related Matters	20-26
20.561	Admission of transcripts of tape recordings (see sec. 20.8585)	20-26
20.6	Introducing Evidence Of Accused's Invocation Of Constitutional Rights	20-26
20.61	Right To Silence	20-26
20.611	In general	20-26
20.612	Pretrial silence	20-26
20.6121	General principles	20-26
20.61211	In general	20-26
20.61212	Defendant's opening door to use of silence	20-26
20.61213	When defendant does not remain silent during police interrogation, but rather purports to give full account of his activities at time of crime, state does not impermissibly elicit evidence of defendant's invocation of right to silence by asking interrogating officer what defendant did not tell him	20-27
20.6122	Pre-arrest, pre-Miranda silence	20-27
20.6123	Pre-arrest, post-Miranda silence	20-27
20.6124	Post-arrest, pre-Miranda silence	20-27
20.6125	Post-arrest, post-Miranda silence	20-28
20.613	Failure to testify at prior trial	20-28
20.614	Failure to testify at present trial	20-28
20.6141	In general	20-28
20.6142	Admissibility of defense evidence offering explanation why defendant did not testify at trial (see sec. 13.3962)	20-29
20.615	Use of evidence of silence to show defendant's sanity	20-29
20.616	Other and related matters	20-29
20.6161	Defendant's opening door to prosecutor's introduction of evidence concerning defendant's invocation of right to silence	20-29
20.6162	Comment on defendant's silence during closing argument (see sec. 8.421)	20-29
20.6163	Introduction of evidence of defendant's invocation of right to silence subject to harmless error rule (see sec. 11.7338)	20-29
20.6164	Privilege against self-incrimination does not preclude consideration at probation revocation hearing of defendant's refusal to answer agent's questions (see sec. 41.3182)	20-29
20.6165	Restrictions on prosecutor's introduction of evidence of defendant's exercise of right to silence are no greater under state constitution	20-29
20.6166	Waiver of claim that prosecutor improperly elicited evidence of defendant's silence by failure to object when evidence elicited	20-29
20.62	Right To Counsel	20-29
20.621	Request for counsel during interrogation	20-29
20.622	Retaining or consulting counsel	20-29

20.623	Use of defendant's request for counsel to show defendant's sanity	20-29
20.624	Related matters	20-29
	20.6241 Comment in closing argument on defendant's invocation of right to counsel (see sec. 8.422)	20-29
20.63	Right To Be Free From Unreasonable Searches And Seizures	20-30
	20.631 In general	20-30
	20.632 Defendant waives right to object to introduction of evidence of his invocation of right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures by failing to object to testimony when admitted	20-30
20.64	Other Rights	20-30
20.7	Applicability Of Rules Of Evidence	20-30
20.71	In General	20-30
20.72	In Particular Proceedings	20-30
	20.721 Preliminary examinations (see sec. 6.451)	20-30
	20.722 Juvenile waiver hearing (see sec. 42.3531)	20-30
	20.723 Suppression hearing	20-30
	20.7231 In general	20-30
	20.7232 Particular suppression hearings	20-30
	20.72321 Hearing on suppression of defendant's statement (see sec. 2.6231)	20-30
	20.724 At hearing dealing with preliminary question concerning admissibility of evidence	20-30
	20.725 Sentencing	20-30
	20.7251 In general (see sec. 9.141)	20-30
	20.7252 Sentencing hearing at which state must establish prior convictions for sentence enhancement purposes (see sec. 31.62532)	20-30
	20.726 In proceeding modifying conditions of probation (see sec. 9.6254)	20-30
	20.727 In probation revocation proceeding (see sec. 41.3671)	20-30
20.73	Other Matters	20-30
20.8	Other Evidentiary Matters	20-30
20.81	Eyewitness Identification Testimony	20-30
	20.811 Challenging admissibility because of suggestiveness or lack of counsel (see secs. 3.12, 3.13, and 3.14)	20-30
	20.812 Admission of expert testimony relating to credibility of identification	20-31
	20.813 Defendant's right to challenge eyewitness identification testimony on cross-examination by conducting experiment designed to test witness's ability to identify defendant	20-31
	20.814 Instruction on credibility of identification (see sec. 8.5331)	20-31
	20.815 Sufficiency of eyewitness testimony (see sec. 19.32)	20-31
20.82	Evidence Of Character Or Conduct	20-31
	20.821 Of witness	20-31
	20.8211 Opinion or reputation evidence of character	20-31
	20.8212 Evidence of conduct	20-31
	20.822 Of victim	20-32
	20.8221 Opinion or reputation evidence of character	20-32
	20.8222 Evidence of conduct	20-32
	20.823 Of accused	20-32

	<i>Page</i>	
20.8231	Opinion or reputation evidence of character	20-32
20.82311	In general	20-32
20.82312	Evidence that the defendant has never been convicted of a crime	20-33
20.82313	Evidence that defendant was not suffering from diagnosable sexual disorder, (i.e., did not exhibit psychological characteristics of sex offender or character traits consistent with sexual disorder), offered by defendant to show he did not sexually assault child (Richard A.P. evidence)	20-33
20.82314	Evidence that defendant had nonaggressive personality	20-33
20.8232	Evidence of conduct	20-33
20.82321	In general	20-33
20.82322	Evidence of the defendant's commission of other crimes, wrongs, or acts	20-34
20.82323	Evidence that the defendant has never been convicted of a crime	20-34
20.82324	Conduct offered by prosecution to rebut evidence of pertinent trait of defendant's character offered by defendant	20-34
20.82325	In cases where character or trait of character is essential element of charge, claim, or defense	20-34
20.83	Rape Shield Law	20-34
20.831	Constitutionality of application	20-34
20.8311	In general	20-34
20.8312	Constitutionality of excluding evidence of prior sexual conduct when defendant fails to provide notice of intent to introduce such evidence as required by Michigan statute	20-35
20.8313	Determining when defendant's constitutional right to present a defense requires admission of evidence barred by rape shield law	20-35
20.8314	Judicially recognized exception to rape shield law's rule of exclusion designed to protect defendant's right to present a defense (see sec. 20.8345)	20-35
20.8315	Determining when defendant's constitutional right to confront the complainant requires admission of evidence barred by rape shield law	20-35
20.832	Purpose and operation in general	20-35
20.8321	Purpose	20-35
20.8322	Operation in general	20-35
20.833	Scope of "prior sexual conduct" covered	20-36
20.8331	In general	20-36
20.8332	Includes absence of sexual activity (i.e. virginity) as well as affirmative sexual conduct	20-36
20.8333	Covers conduct prior to conclusion of trial, not simply prior to sexual assault with which defendant charged	20-36
20.834	Exceptions to rule of exclusion	20-36
20.8341	In general	20-36

20.8342	Statutory exception for prior untruthful allegations of sexual assault	20-36
20.83421	In general	20-36
20.83422	When prior untruthful allegations are collateral, extrinsic evidence regarding them is inadmissible	20-36
20.8343	Statutory exception for specific instances of specific sexual conduct showing source of origin of semen, pregnancy, or disease, for use in determining the degree of sexual assault or extent of injuries suffered	20-36
20.8344	Statutory exception for prior sexual conduct between victim and defendant	20-37
20.83441	Using prior sexual conduct between defendant and victim to determine whether victim consented to alleged sexual intercourse or contact	20-37
20.83442	Using prior sexual conduct between defendant and victim to establish motive for victim's allegedly false allegation of sexual assault	20-37
20.8345	Judicial exception designed to protect defendant's constitutional right to present a defense (Pulizzano exception)	20-37
20.8346	When constitutional right to present defense requires admission of evidence otherwise barred by rape shield law (see sec. 20.8313)	20-37
20.835	Applicability to defendant's past sexual conduct	20-37
20.836	Other and related matters	20-37
20.84	Admissibility Of Particular Evidence	20-37
20.841	Opinion concerning witness's credibility	20-37
20.8411	General rule: no witness may give opinion regarding whether another competent witness is telling the truth when purpose of opinion is to bolster witness's credibility (Haseltine rule)	20-37
20.84111	In general	20-38
20.84112	General rule not violated when purpose and effect of questioning was not to impermissibly comment on witness's credibility	20-39
20.84113	Standard of appellate review of question whether general rule violated	20-39
20.8412	General rule not violated by expert witness testifying that victim's behavior is consistent with behavior of similarly situated victims	20-39
20.8413	Questioning of witness regarding inconsistencies between his testimony and testimony of other witnesses and asking whether other witnesses are mistaken, wrong, or lying, does not violate general rule	20-39
20.8414	Questioning of witness regarding conduct of sexual assault victim and matters tending to bolster credibility of victim's testimony	20-39
20.8415	Eliciting testimony that witness, who was cognitively disabled, was incapable of lying violates general rule	20-39

20.8416	Expert opinion regarding credibility may be permissible when necessary to assist jury in understanding evidence expert relied on in arriving at his or her conclusions (see sec. 18.5212)	20-40
20.8417	When expert witness is permitted to testify in sexual assault case to common characteristics of sexual assault victim and to consistency of those characteristics with those of victims in case at hand, and when expert presents extensive testimony on those and related subjects, standing objection is insufficient to preserve challenges to Haseltine violations	20-40
20.8418	General rule not violated by eliciting testimony from investigating officer regarding his thought processes (including credibility of victim's and defendant's stories) at time of investigation	20-40
20.8419	Other matters	20-40
20.84191	General rule not violated by detective's testimony about what happened during interrogation of witness and reasons for questions he asked, including his belief as to which story told by witness he believed was true	20-40
20.84192	Defense counsel may, as part of trial strategy, ask witness (e.g., police officer who investigated crime and questioned defendant) whether he or she believes defendant lied in statements defendant gave, without necessarily rendering ineffective assistance of counsel	20-40
20.84193	General rule not violated by introduction into evidence, at perjury prosecution based on defendant's testimony at trial for crime, of fact that defendant was convicted of that crime	20-40
20.84194	General rule violated by opinion of expert (e.g., social worker) that child sexual assault victim's allegations are not product of coaching or suggestion	20-40
20.84195	General rule may not be violated by testimony regarding typical signs or behavior of child victim who has been coached or who evinces suggestibility along with testimony that child in question exhibits none or few of these signs or behaviors	20-41
20.84196	Waiver of objection to violation of general rule by failure to object on specific ground that testimony violated general rule	20-41
20.84197	General rule applies at "reverse waiver" hearing (see sec. 6.46345)	20-41
20.84198	General rule not violated by eliciting testimony from investigating officer that he did not believe witness, to show why officer continued interrogating witness	20-41
20.842	Evidence of conduct of sexual assault victim	20-41

20.8421	Prior sexual conduct	20-41
20.8422	Post-assault conduct	20-41
20.84221	In general	20-41
20.84222	Expert testimony that victim's post-assault behavior is consistent with behavior of similarly situated victims (Jensen evidence)	20-42
20.84223	When state intends to introduce evidence that victim's post-assault conduct was consistent with that of sexual assault victim, defendant may have right to pretrial psychological examination of victim (see sec. 6.89173)	20-42
20.843	Victim or offender syndrome or profile evidence	20-42
20.8431	Victim syndrome evidence (see sec. 18.73)	20-42
20.8432	Offender syndrome or profile evidence (see sec. 18.72)	20-42
20.844	Evidence affected by hypnosis	20-42
20.8441	Testimony of witness whose recollection has been refreshed by hypnosis	20-42
20.8442	Out-of-court statements made by person under hypnosis	20-42
20.845	Evidence of defendant's consciousness of innocence	20-42
20.8451	In general (see sec. 13.3881)	20-42
20.8452	Defendant's offer to take, or taking of, polygraph examination (see sec. 13.384)	20-42
20.8453	Defendant's offer to take DNA test (see sec. 13.385)	20-42
20.846	Documentary and record evidence (Wis. Stat. ch. 889)	20-42
20.8461	Court records and copies (Wis. Stat. § 889.07)	20-42
20.8462	Copies, how certified, presumptions (Wis. Stat. § 889.08)	20-42
20.8463	Proceedings of other courts as evidence (Wis. Stat. § 889.15)	20-43
20.847	Other Evidence	20-43
20.8471	Evidence of habit or routine practice (see sec. 13.386)	20-43
20.8472	Bank records	20-43
20.84721	In general	20-43
20.84722	Admission without need for testimony of custodian of record under Wis. Stat. § 891.24 (see sec. 20.8699)	20-43
20.8473	Evidence impeaching jury verdict (see sec. 8.691)	20-43
20.848	Other evidence (cont'd)	20-43
20.8481	Mathematical probability evidence (see sec. 13.382)	20-43
20.8482	Evidence that person other than defendant committed crime (see sec. 13.381)	20-43
20.8483	Statements made in connection with plea of guilty or no contest later withdrawn (see sec. 13.353)	20-43
20.8484	Evidence relating to defendant's refusal to submit to blood- alcohol test (see sec. 13.3621)	20-43
20.8485	Offer to take, or taking of, polygraph examination (see sec. 13.384)	20-43
20.8486	Offer to take DNA test (see sec. 13.385)	20-43
20.8487	911 call	20-43
20.8488	Depositions of witnesses (see sec. 6.66)	20-43
20.8489	Evidence relating to element of offense to which defendant is ready to stipulate (see sec. 12.6127)	20-43

20.849	Other evidence (cont'd)	20-43
20.8491	Summary exhibit under Wis. Stat. § 910.06	20-43
20.8492	Defendant's mental health history	20-43
20.8493	Statements made by attorneys in prior judicial proceedings as admissions of client (see sec. 15.248)	20-43
20.8494	"Pedagogical device" summarizing and organizing evidence (e.g., chart summarizing witnesses' testimony prepared as witnesses testified)	20-44
20.8495	Experiments (see sec. 20.8794)	20-44
20.8496	Opinion of counsel is not evidence	20-44
20.8497	Witness's gang affiliation	20-44
20.8498	Evidence of past uncontrolled or violent behavior to show likelihood of future uncontrolled or violent behavior (see sec. 13.3999)	20-44
20.8499	Extrinsic evidence of prior inconsistent statement of witness	20-44
20.84991	Admissibility in general (see sec. 16.2911)	20-44
20.84992	Prerequisites to introduction of prior inconsistent statement (see sec. 16.2912)	20-44
20.84993	Defendant's constitutional right to introduce evidence of prior inconsistent statement of state's witness (see sec. 16.2913)	20-44
20.85	Admissibility Of Particular Evidence (cont'd)	20-44
20.851	Lay opinion testimony	20-44
20.8511	In general	20-44
20.8512	Jury's verdict in another case is opinion, not admissible fact	20-44
20.852	Computer-generated animation	20-44
20.8521	In general	20-44
20.8522	When introduced to depict crime by illustrating testimony of state's witnesses to crime	20-44
20.85221	In general	20-44
20.85222	Need for testimony, from witness[es] with personal knowledge of crime, that computer- generated animation accurately depicts events portrayed in animation	20-45
20.85223	Computer-generated animation should be introduced in conjunction with witness's testimony it seeks to clarify	20-45
20.8523	When introduced during expert's testimony to illustrate expert's opinions and depict expert's theory of case	20-45
20.85231	In general	20-45
20.8524	Need for notice to opposing party; exclusion of computer- generated animation on account of "surprise"	20-45
20.8525	Decision to admit or exclude computer-generated animation is committed to trial court's discretion	20-45
20.8526	Appellate review of admission of computer-generated animation	20-45
20.85261	Standard of review	20-45
20.85262	Need for record of exactly what jury saw; need to preserve copy of animation used at trial	20-45
20.853	Public records	20-45

	<i>Page</i>
20.8531 In general	20-45
20.8532 Defendant's driving record	20-45
20.854 Records and other information from juvenile court files or proceedings; juvenile records, disposition, and related matters	20-45
20.8541 In general	20-45
20.8542 Juvenile disposition	20-45
20.8543 Record of evidence given in court hearing	20-45
20.8544 Trial court's obligation to determine whether juvenile court complied with statutory guidelines and other considerations applicable to release of records or other information	20-45
20.8545 Confidentiality of juvenile court records (see secs. 42.41 and 42.93)	20-46
20.8546 Other matters related to juvenile proceedings	20-46
20.8547 Admission in CHIPS or other juvenile court proceeding	20-46
20.855 Demonstrative evidence	20-46
20.8551 Nature and use of in general	20-46
20.8552 Particular types of demonstrative evidence and particular situations in which it is used	20-46
20.85521 Handprint of defendant for comparison to handprint found at crime scene	20-46
20.85522 Expert demonstrating amount and type of force required to inflict injury on infant by use of doll	20-46
20.85523 Videotape recordings (see sec. 20.523)	20-46
20.85524 Computer-generated animation (see sec. 20.852)	20-46
20.8553 Decision to admit or exclude demonstrative evidence committed to trial court's discretion	20-46
20.8554 Appellate review of admission of computer-generated animation	20-46
20.85541 Standard of review	20-46
20.856 Videotape recordings	20-46
20.8561 Admissibility in general (see sec. 20.523)	20-46
20.8562 Videotape recording of crime scene purporting to show conditions (e.g., lighting) at time of crime	20-47
20.8563 Videotaped statements of children (see sec. 15.64)	20-47
20.8564 Videotape recording of sobriety test (see sec. 20.8581)	20-47
20.857 Polling data and survey results; survey of community attitudes	20-47
20.8571 In general	20-47
20.8572 Attitudes regarding sexually explicit material (see sec. 13.36951)	20-47
20.858 Other evidence	20-47
20.8581 Videotape recording of sobriety test	20-47
20.8582 Defendant's mental health history	20-47
20.8583 Evidence that victim or witness has not commenced action for civil damages against defendant as a result of criminal conduct	20-47
20.8584 Evidence that the defendant has never been convicted of a crime	20-47
20.8585 Transcript of tape recording that is admitted into evidence	20-47
20.8586 Evidence of character or conduct of victim when claim of self-defense raised (see secs. 40.341 and 40.342)	20-47

20.8587	Coerced (i.e., involuntary) statement of witness (see sec. 22.457)	20-47
20.8588	Possible penalties for offense	20-47
20.8589	Silence in face of accusation (see sec. 20.8697)	20-47
20.859	Other evidence (cont'd)	20-47
20.8591	Defendant's jealousy of victim	20-47
20.8592	Defendant's testimony at suppression hearing	20-47
20.8593	Blood-alcohol chart (see sec. 18.473)	20-47
20.8594	Calendar corroborating evidence of defendant's whereabouts	20-48
20.8595	Videotaped statements of children (see sec. 15.64)	20-48
20.8596	Evidence that defendant received AFDC payments and food stamps	20-48
20.85961	In general	20-48
20.85962	Confidentiality provision relating to applicants and recipients of AFDC assistance	20-48
20.8597	Evidence of crime of which defendant was acquitted	20-48
20.8598	Verdict in another case (see sec. 13.3994)	20-48
20.8599	Child support order issued by out-of-state court	20-48
20.86	Other Evidentiary Matters	20-48
20.861	Chain of custody	20-48
20.8611	In general	20-48
20.8612	Effect of gaps in chain	20-48
20.86121	In general	20-48
20.86122	Gaps go to weight rather than admissibility	20-48
20.8613	Appellate review of whether sufficient proof existed to establish chain of custody	20-48
20.86131	Standard of review	20-48
20.862	Evidentiary stipulations (see sec. 12.612)	20-48
20.863	State's duty to preserve material evidence (see sec. 22.421)	20-48
20.864	Suppression of evidence as remedy for something other than violation of defendant's constitutional rights	20-48
20.8641	Former general rule: suppression inappropriate unless mandated by statute or regulation	20-49
20.8642	Present general rule: circuit court has discretion to suppress or allow evidence obtained in violation of statute that does not specifically require suppression of evidence obtained in violation of statute, depending on facts and circumstances of case and objectives of statute; evidence obtained in violation of statute may be suppressed to achieve objectives of statute; statute may impliedly provide for exclusion of evidence	20-49
20.8643	Particular situations to which general rule does and does not apply	20-49
20.86431	State abuses John Doe procedure	20-49
20.86432	State fails to comply with discovery order	20-50
20.86433	Unauthorized practice of law by law enforcement officer in John Doe proceeding	20-50

20.86434	Violation of statutory provision that special inspection warrant may only be issued upon showing that consent to entry for inspection has been refused (see sec. 1.82332)	20-50
20.86435	When officer has obtained evidence as result of actions outside his or her jurisdiction (see sec. 1.8236)	20-50
20.86436	Statement obtained in violation of ethical rule prohibiting attorney's communication with party who is represented by counsel (see sec. 2.543)	20-50
20.86437	Violation of administrative regulation regarding inmate mail	20-50
20.86438	Improper issuance of subpoena for document under Wis. Stat. § 968.135	20-50
20.8644	Violation of wiretap statute requires suppression only of recording, not of testimony of party to communication regarding its contents	20-50
20.865	Offer of proof	20-50
20.8651	In general	20-50
20.8652	Propriety of trial court's limitation on defense counsel's ability to make full offer of proof	20-51
20.8653	Purpose of offer of proof	20-51
20.866	Preliminary questions on admissibility of evidence (Wis. Stat. § 901.04)	20-51
20.8661	In general	20-51
20.8662	Rules of evidence not applicable in deciding question of admissibility	20-51
20.8663	Factual determinations governed by preponderance of evidence standard	20-51
20.8664	Propriety of in camera review of allegedly privileged communication to determine whether communication falls within privilege or exception to it	20-51
20.8665	Propriety of considering challenged evidence itself in determining whether that evidence is admissible	20-51
20.86651	In general	20-51
20.86652	Propriety of considering statement in determining whether it is statement of coconspirator and thus admissible under hearsay exception (see sec. 15.253)	20-52
20.8666	At hearing on admissibility of evidence, confrontation requirements not applicable	20-52
20.8667	When relevancy of evidence depends upon fulfillment of condition of fact, court may require proponent to present evidence sufficient to support finding of fulfillment of that condition (see sec. 13.1294)	20-52
20.8668	Hearing outside of presence of jury to determine admissibility (Wis. Stat. § 901.04(3))	20-52
20.86681	In general	20-52

	20.86682	Section 901.04(3)(d) supports authority of court to require pretrial disclosure of evidence party intends to introduce at trial, so that court can make determination of admissibility of evidence in advance of trial	20-52
	20.8669	Other matters	20-52
	20.86691	Use of motion in limine to determine admissibility of evidence (see sec. 6.783)	20-52
20.867		General principles for interpreting rules of evidence	20-52
	20.8671	Wisconsin rules of evidence should be given same interpretation as that accorded like sections of the federal rules of evidence	20-52
	20.8672	In interpreting Wisconsin rules of evidence, it is appropriate to examine cases decided under identical federal rule	20-52
	20.8673	Persuasive of federal decisions interpreting federal counterpart	20-52
	20.8674	Effect of decisions of United States Supreme Court interpreting federal rules of evidence	20-52
	20.8675	Persuasive value of federal decisions interpreting federal counterpart	20-53
	20.8676	Rules of evidence should be construed so that truth is ascertained and proceedings are justly determined	20-53
	20.8677	Use of advisory committee notes to rules of evidence	20-53
	20.8678	Use of judicial council committee's notes to rules of evidence (see sec. 45.3344)	20-53
20.868		Sending exhibits into jury room (see sec. 8.62)	20-53
20.869		Other evidentiary matters	20-53
	20.8691	Limited admissibility – multiple admissibility	20-53
	20.8692	Excluding evidence because it is cumulative (see sec. 13.233)	20-53
	20.8693	Opinion of counsel is not evidence (see sec. 20.8496)	20-53
	20.8694	If evidence not actually admitted at trial after trial court renders pretrial ruling admitting it, defendant cannot complain about trial court's ruling on appeal (see sec. 20.895)	20-53
	20.8695	Trial court does not have duty to sua sponte strike objectionable testimony	20-53
	20.8696	Allegations of contamination go to the weight to be given particular evidence and not to its admissibility	20-53
	20.8697	Silence in face of accusation is admission (see sec. 13.337)	20-54
	20.8698	Attorney's remarks are not evidence (see sec. 8.223)	20-54
	20.8699	Admission of bank records without need for testimony of custodian of record under Wis. Stat. § 891.24	20-54
	20.86991	In general	20-54
	20.86992	Must party against whom records are being admitted be given reasonable time before trial to exercise right of inspection that § 891.24 requires?	20-54
	20.86993	Consistency of admission of bank records under Wis. Stat. § 891.24 with defendant's right to confrontation (see sec. 27.22229)	20-54
20.87		Other Evidentiary Matters (cont'd)	20-54

20.871	Remainder of or related writings or recorded statements (see sec. 20.55)	20-54
20.872	Rule of completeness	20-54
20.8721	In general	20-54
20.8722	Source of rule of completeness	20-54
20.87221	With respect to written or recorded statements, rule of completeness is codified in Wis. Stat. § 901.07	20-54
20.87222	With respect to oral statements, rule of completeness is codified in Wis. Stat. § 906.11	20-54
20.8723	Application to writings (see sec. 20.55)	20-54
20.8724	Not limited to writings	20-54
20.8725	May permit admission of otherwise inadmissible prior consistent statements	20-55
20.8726	When opposing party's use of part of document or statement permits introduction of balance of document or statement	20-55
20.8727	Court may refuse to use rule of completeness to allow defendant to introduce his testimony without taking stand and facing cross-examination	20-55
20.8728	In deciding whether to admit, at defendant's request, additional portions of defendant's out-of-court statement under the rule of completeness when state has introduced other portions of that statement, trial court may not properly consider that defendant has chosen not to testify and has, thereby, precluded cross-examination regarding the additional portions of his out-of-court statement that he seeks to introduce	20-55
20.873	Confidentiality of records	20-55
20.8731	Court ordered authorization for release or inspection of records in general	20-55
20.8732	Mental health records	20-55
20.87321	Statutory provision providing for confidentiality of mental health records, including provision allowing parent to authorize release of child's records, is superseded by privilege created by Wis. Stat. § 905.04	20-55
20.87322	Confidentiality of mental health records generated by ch. 51 commitment made upon discharge of criminal defendant from criminal commitment based on incompetency to proceed	20-55
20.87323	Confidentiality of mental health records of person who has pleaded not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect (see sec. 18.572)	20-55
20.8733	AFDC records (see sec. 20.85962)	20-55
20.8734	Juvenile records (see secs. 42.46 and 42.93)	20-55
20.8735	Health care records	20-56
20.87351	In general	20-56
20.87352	Billing, collection, and payment of claims records	20-56

20.87353	Right of DHS to request health care records necessary for administration of public assistance program	20-56
20.87354	Waiver of physician-patient privilege by medical assistance recipients (see sec. 17.3523)	20-56
20.87355	Confidentiality does not extend to operating room and prohibit police access to medical procedures performed therein	20-56
20.87356	Even though confidential, may be reported to law enforcement persons for purposes of investigation and prosecution	20-56
20.87357	Under HIPAA	20-56
20.87358	Under Wis. Stat. § 146.82(1)	20-56
20.87359	Remedy for violation of confidentiality provisions relating to health care records: unless provision provides for suppression as remedy, suppression is not appropriate remedy	20-56
20.874	No constitutional right to present irrelevant evidence (see sec. 28.122)	20-56
20.875	Provisional admission of evidence	20-56
20.876	Competency of juror to offer testimony to impeach verdict (see sec. 20.34122)	20-56
20.877	Use of "pedagogical device" summarizing and organizing evidence (e.g., chart summarizing witnesses' testimony prepared as witnesses testified)	20-57
20.8771	In general	20-57
20.8772	Need for instruction guiding jury's consideration of chart or other device	20-57
20.878	Trial court's control over mode and order of interrogation of witnesses and presentation of evidence in general (Wis. Stat. § 906.11)	20-57
20.8781	In general	20-57
20.8782	Statute granting trial court control over interrogation and presentation does not allow court to exclude evidence otherwise admissible under the rules of evidence	20-57
20.8783	Permits court to admonish defendant regarding his courtroom deportment	20-57
20.8784	In exercising authority under § 906.11, court may grant party adjournment to prepare for testimony of witness whose identity was disclosed shortly before trial even if disclosure was timely under discovery rules	20-57
20.8785	Statute granting trial court control over interrogation and presentation does not allow court to require child to testify first before videotaped statement admitted under Wis. Stat. § 908.08	20-57
20.8786	Appellate review of trial court's exercise of control over mode and order of interrogation of witnesses and presentation of evidence	20-57

20.8787	Does control conferred by § 906.11 permit court to order pretrial disclosure, not otherwise mandated by statute or constitution, of evidence defendant intends to offer in support of his or her defense, so that court can make pretrial determination of admissibility of that evidence?	20-57
	20.87871 Court of appeals' answer: No	20-58
	20.87872 Supreme court's answer: Yes	20-58
20.879	Other evidentiary matters	20-58
20.8791	Refreshing witness's recollection by use of prior statement (see sec. 20.3651)	20-58
20.8792	Use of prior inconsistent statement as substantive evidence (see sec. 15.212)	20-58
20.8793	Use of testimony of witness whose recollection has been hypnotically refreshed (see sec. 20.8441)	20-58
20.8794	Experiments	20-58
	20.87941 In general	20-58
	20.87942 To test victim's or other witness's ability to identify defendant	20-58
20.8795	Curative admissibility; one party's opening door to eliciting evidence by other party	20-58
20.8796	State's right to present evidence relating to element, even though defendant admits element, when evidence is necessary for full evidentiary richness and narrative integrity in state's presentation of case	20-58
20.8797	Fact that state has stipulated to severance of one charge from another does not mean that evidence of one charge must be excluded at trial of other or that state is barred from attempting to introduce evidence of one charge at trial of other	20-58
20.8798	Admissibility of challenged evidence does not necessarily depend on admissibility of evidence from which challenged evidence derived	20-59
20.8799	Stipulation to element of offense so as to render prejudicial evidence relevant to that element inadmissible (see sec. 12.6127)	20-59
20.88	Other Evidentiary Matters (cont'd)	20-59
20.881	Admission of voice identification	20-59
20.882	Determining which jurisdiction's law to apply in resolving question of admissibility of evidence when evidence was obtained in another jurisdiction	20-59
	20.8821 Legality of means by which evidence obtained is generally governed by law of jurisdiction in which evidence was obtained; admissibility of evidence governed by law of forum state	20-59
	20.88211 In general	20-59
	20.88212 But when statement is taken in other jurisdiction by Wisconsin official charged with duty to gather evidence for use in Wisconsin criminal prosecution, Wisconsin law applies	20-59

20.8822	Admissibility under rules of evidence (e.g., rules relating to privileges) governed by laws of forum state	20-59
20.883	Use of hypnosis to refresh witness's recollection (see sec. 20.8441)	20-59
20.884	Duties of proponent of evidence	20-59
20.8841	Proponent of evidence has burden to show why evidence admissible	20-59
20.8842	Proponent of evidence has burden to establish relevance, but need not anticipate possible objection	20-59
20.885	Duties of party objecting to evidence	20-59
20.8851	Party objecting to admission of evidence need not specify the rule into which evidence does not fit	20-60
20.886	Securing production of evidence	20-60
20.8861	Subpoena duces tecum	20-60
20.88611	Required showing for enforcement of subpoena duces tecum	20-60
20.88612	Defendant does not have statutory or constitutional right to compel production of police investigation reports and nonprivileged material by subpoena duces tecum prior to preliminary examination (see sec. 6.89842)	20-60
20.88613	When subpoena duces tecum may properly be issued in general	20-60
20.88614	Compelled production of documents pursuant to subpoena duces tecum issued under Wis. Stat. § 968.135 (see sec. 1.694)	20-60
20.8862	Court's right to require hospital to produce defendant's health care records	20-60
20.887	Other matters	20-60
20.8871	Respective roles of judge and jury in dealing with evidence	20-60
20.8872	Effect of prosecutor's failure to keep promise or other prosecutorial misdealing on admissibility of evidence	20-60
20.8873	Suppression of evidence as disciplinary measure (see sec. 11.8936)	20-60
20.8874	One party's opening door to eliciting evidence by other party (see sec. 20.8795)	20-60
20.8875	Law entitled to every person's evidence	20-60
20.8876	Continuing objection to evidence is permitted	20-60
20.8877	Fact that prosecutor could have chosen different charge which would not have given rise to evidentiary problem cannot be basis for trial court's exclusion of evidence	20-60
20.8878	Fact that admission of evidence of other crime might give rise to future double jeopardy problem is inappropriate factor for trial court to consider when deciding whether to exclude evidence	20-61
20.8879	When witness who testifies at trial is police informant, must prosecutor reveal that fact to defendant? (see sec. 17.776)	20-61
20.888	Other matters (cont'd)	20-61
20.8881	Party cannot bolster witness's credibility until such credibility is attacked	20-61

20.8882	Opinion and reputation evidence of character (see secs. 20.8211, 20.8221, and 20.8231)	20-61
20.8883	Hypothetical question (see sec. 20.362)	20-61
20.8884	Grant of new trial based on newly discovered evidence (see sec. 10.122)	20-61
20.8885	Previously litigated ultimate issue is admissible as evidentiary fact in subsequent trial	20-61
20.8886	Defendant's right to have crime lab conduct analysis of evidence at his request pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 165.79(1) (see sec. 6.8982)	20-61
20.8887	Party's right to waive operation of evidentiary rules	20-61
20.8888	What constitutes evidence; definition of "evidence"	20-61
	20.88881 In general	20-61
	20.88882 Opinion of counsel is not evidence (see sec. 20.8496)	20-61
20.8889	Trial court's right to change prior evidentiary rulings	20-61
20.889	Other matters (cont'd)	20-61
	20.8891 Best evidence rule	20-61
	20.8892 Trial court has no duty to independently strike testimony that is inadmissible (see sec. 8.8482)	20-62
	20.8893 Purpose of question can control admissibility of testimony	20-62
	20.8894 Although there is some evidentiary leeway in trials to court, bench-trial judges may not use inadmissible evidence to decide "critical issue" (see sec. 8.89651)	20-62
	20.8895 Determinations of witness credibility are generally resolved by live testimony (see sec. 12.79411)	20-62
	20.8896 To be admissible, evidence must be "reliable enough to be probative"	20-62
	20.8897 Propriety of having witness read properly admitted exhibit to jury	20-62
	20.8898 Conditional relevance	20-62
20.89	Appellate Review Of Trial Court's Evidentiary Rulings	20-62
	20.891 General principles	20-62
	20.892 Evidentiary ruling based on error of law or fact is erroneous exercise of discretion	20-68
	20.8921 Error of law	20-68
	20.8922 Error of fact	20-68
20.893	Appellate court's duty to search record to determine if it provides basis for trial court's unexplained, or inadequately explained, ruling admitting or excluding evidence	20-68
	20.8931 In general	20-68
	20.8932 When court acquiesces in attorney's explanation, but does not expressly articulate reasoning itself, appellate court should conclude that trial court relied on considerations expressed by attorney	20-69
	20.8933 But appellate court will not exercise trial court's discretion in its place	20-69
20.894	Effect of trial court's erroneous exercise of discretion, or reliance on wrong reason, in admitting or excluding evidence, if proper basis for decision exists	20-69

20.8941	Propriety of sustaining evidentiary ruling on basis other than that relied upon by trial court	20-69
20.8942	Appellate court may affirm admission of evidence so long as there is proper basis for it even if trial court relied on wrong ground for admission	20-69
20.8943	When trial court has made error that underlies exercise of discretion in admitting or excluding evidence, appellate court may not exercise trial court's discretion for it, but must remand to permit trial court to exercise its discretion	20-69
20.8944	Even if trial court applies mistaken view of law, appellate court will not reverse if proper legal analysis supports trial court's conclusion	20-69
20.8945	Appellate court's right to review record to determine whether additional reasons, beyond those invoked by trial court, exist to support trial court's exercise of discretion in admitting or excluding evidence	20-69
20.895	If evidence not actually admitted at trial after trial court renders pretrial ruling admitting it, defendant cannot complain about trial court's ruling on appeal	20-69
20.896	Principles and standards of review when defendant claims evidentiary ruling violated his or her constitutional rights	20-69
20.8961	Whether application of evidentiary rule deprives defendant of constitutional right presents question of law subject to independent review	20-70
20.8962	Review of whether admission of evidence violated defendant's right to confrontation	20-70
20.8963	Review of whether exclusion of evidence violated defendant's right to present a defense (see sec. 28.68)	20-70
20.897	When evidentiary issue requires construction or application of statute, question of law, subject to independent review, is presented	20-70
20.898	Other principles	20-70
20.8981	Review of question whether particular type of evidence is generally admissible under rules of evidence presents question of law that appellate court reviews de novo	20-70
20.8982	Review of question whether trial court's evidentiary ruling was based on correct interpretation of controlling law	20-70
20.8983	Defendant suffers no harm when court disallows certain evidence but permits defendant to present other evidence that establishes facts that disallowed evidence would have tended to establish	20-70
20.8984	Review of question whether trial court applied proper legal standard	20-70
20.8985	Review of question whether evidentiary decision comports with legal principles	20-70
20.8986	Error may not be predicated on ruling admitting or excluding evidence unless substantial right of party is affected	20-70
20.899	Particular evidentiary rulings	20-70
20.8991	Relevancy and its counterweights	20-70
20.89911	Whether evidence is relevant (see sec. 13.13)	20-70

20.89912	Whether probative value of evidence is outweighed by countervailing considerations (e.g., prejudicial effect) (see sec. 13.25)	20-71
20.8992	Other crimes, wrongs, or acts evidence (see sec. 14.35)	20-71
20.8993	Hearsay (see sec. 15.77)	20-71
20.8994	Expert testimony and scientific evidence (see sec. 18.761)	20-71
20.8995	Admission of statement of co-conspirator (see sec. 15.256)	20-71
20.8996	Limitations on cross-examination	20-71
20.8997	Whether witness has improperly testified as to credibility of another witness	20-71
20.8998	Evidence regarding witness's character for truthfulness	20-71
20.8999	Other rulings	20-71
20.89991	Admission of demonstrative evidence	20-71
20.89992	Ruling that state presented sufficient evidence to establish chain of custody (see sec. 20.8613)	20-71
20.89993	Whether witness improperly testified as to credibility of another witness (see sec. 20.84113)	20-71

**PART IV
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF ACCUSED**

**Chapter 21
General Principles Relating to Constitutional Rights**

21.1	Principles Relating To Extent Of Protection Afforded By Constitution	21-1
21.11	Territorial Applicability Of United States Constitution	21-1
21.111	In United States territories not destined for statehood	21-1
21.112	Outside territory of United States	21-1
21.12	Relationship Between Federal And State Constitutional Provisions	21-1
21.121	General principles	21-1
21.1211	In general	21-1
21.1212	Generally, Wisconsin Supreme Court has interpreted provisions of Wisconsin Constitution consistent with United State's Supreme Court's interpretation of their counterparts in federal constitution	21-1
21.122	Relationship between particular constitutional rights (see sec. 21.56)	21-1
21.123	Affording greater protection under state constitution (see sec. 21.15)	21-1
21.13	Determining Whether Proceeding Is Criminal When Constitutional Protection Limited To Criminal Cases	21-1
21.131	In general	21-1
21.132	Propriety of considering actual conditions of confinement and implementation of statute to determine whether confinement scheme is civil or criminal in nature	21-2
21.133	Proceeding found to be civil cannot be deemed punitive "as applied" to single individual	21-2
21.14	United States Supreme Court's Determination Of Extent Of Federal Constitutional Right Binds State Court	21-2
21.141	In general	21-2

21.142	But applicable standard of appellate review of constitutional issue is separate question of state law	21-2
21.15	Affording Greater Protection Under State Constitution	21-2
21.151	In general	21-2
21.152	In arguing for greater protection under state constitution, defendant must develop argument based on state constitution	21-3
21.153	No need to consider whether state constitution affords greater protection when proposed state standard satisfied	21-3
21.154	Wisconsin Supreme Court frequently seeks uniformity in law by following United States Supreme Court in constitutional interpretation	21-3
21.155	Affording greater protection with respect to particular constitutional right (see sec. 21.56)	21-3
21.156	Margin by which United States Supreme Court decides corresponding federal constitutional issue is irrelevant to whether state constitution requires more	21-3
21.157	When language of provision of state constitution is virtually identical to that of federal provision, Wisconsin courts have normally construed state constitution consistently with United States Supreme Court's construction of federal constitution	21-4
21.16	Other Principles	21-4
21.161	Should not confuse what is good, desirable, or expedient with what is constitutionally required	21-4
21.162	Congress may not determine, by legislative enactment, extent of protection afforded by constitutional provision	21-4
21.163	Until United States Supreme Court has spoken, state courts are permitted to exercise independent judgment on questions of federal constitutional law	21-4
21.164	United States Supreme Court does not decide what is prudent or appropriate, but only what is constitutionally compelled	21-4
21.165	Supreme Court "is forever adding new stories to the temples of constitutional law, and the temples have a way of collapsing when one story too many is added" (see sec. 47.8173)	21-4
21.2	Principles Relating To Accused's Exercise Of Rights	21-4
21.21	Forcing Accused To Choose Between Rights	21-4
21.211	In general	21-4
21.212	Requiring defendant to choose between, on the one hand, testifying about other acts regarding which state has introduced evidence, thus opening himself to cross-examination, and, on the other hand, declining to testify, thereby foregoing opportunity to rebut other acts evidence	21-4
21.22	Penalizing Or Burdening Exercise Of Rights	21-4
21.23	State actor cannot constitutionally condition receipt of benefit (e.g., license or permit) on agreement to refrain from exercising constitutional rights	21-5
21.3	Principles Relating To Remedies For Violation Of Rights	21-5
21.31	General Principles	21-5
21.311	In general	21-5

21.32	Need For Standing: Remedy Generally Afforded Only To Person Whose Rights Violated; When Defendant Has Standing To Assert Right Of Third Party	21-5
21.321	In general	21-5
21.322	Defendant's standing to assert right of third party	21-5
21.323	Standing to raise challenge to particular matters	21-6
21.3231	Prosecutor's exercise of peremptory strike against members of particular race or other cognizable group of which defendant is not a member (see sec. 8.163)	21-6
21.3232	Discrimination in selection of members of grand jury (see sec. 4.12122)	21-6
21.3233	Discrimination in selection of grand jury foreperson (see sec. 4.12222)	21-6
21.3234	Constitutionality of statute (see sec. 47.41)	21-6
21.3235	Fourth Amendment violation (see sec. 1.12)	21-6
21.3236	Equal protection violation (see sec. 23.72)	21-6
21.3237	Due process violation (see sec. 22.191)	21-6
21.324	Related matters	21-6
21.3241	Need for standing to challenge constitutionality of statute (see sec. 47.41)	21-6
21.3242	Need for standing to raise fourth amendment violation (see sec. 1.12)	21-6
21.3243	Need for standing to seek judicial resolution of controversy in general (see sec. 12.682)	21-6
21.3244	Court will not resolve claim that depends on hypothetical or future facts (see sec. 11.9331)	21-6
21.33	Limited To Exclusion Of Fruits Of Violation	21-6
21.34	Remedy Should Be Appropriate To Violation	21-6
21.35	Remedy Of Exclusion Of Evidence	21-6
21.351	In general	21-6
21.352	Evidence whose introduction does not constitute constitutional violation, but whose obtaining was constitutionally invalid	21-6
21.3521	In general	21-6
21.3522	Admissible for impeachment	21-6
21.4	Principles Relating To Waiver Of Rights	21-7
21.41	General Principles	21-7
21.411	In general	21-7
21.412	Waiver must be knowing and voluntary	21-7
21.4121	In general	21-7
21.4122	Waiver is ordinarily considered knowing and intelligent if defendant is aware of right being waived and how it would likely apply in general, even though defendant may not know specific detailed consequences of invoking it	21-7
21.4123	Possible exceptions to requirement that waiver be knowing	21-7
21.41231	Waiver of right to introduce evidence in one's defense (see sec. 28.632)	21-7
21.413	Finding waiver when defendant has been forced to choose between rights	21-7
21.414	Forfeiture of constitutional right by failure to timely assert it	21-7

21.415	Courts indulge every reasonable presumption against waiver of fundamental constitutional rights and do not assume acquiescence in loss of fundamental rights	21-7
21.42	When Personal Waiver By Defendant Required	21-7
21.421	In general	21-8
21.422	When personal waiver required for particular right; determining whether particular right requires personal waiver	21-8
21.43	Requirement Of Personal Colloquy Between Court And Defendant, Establishing That Waiver Is Knowing, Intelligent, And Voluntary	21-8
21.431	When personal colloquy required in general	21-8
21.432	When personal colloquy required with respect to particular right	21-8
21.44	Waiver By Conduct Giving Rise To Estoppel	21-8
21.45	Waiver Of Particular Rights	21-8
21.46	Rights That Cannot Be Waived	21-8
21.461	Right to unanimous jury verdict (see sec. 8.68171)	21-8
21.47	Forfeiture Of Constitutional Right By Failure To Make Timely Assertion Of Right	21-8
21.48	Other Principles	21-8
21.481	Difference between waiver and forfeiture (see sec. 11.4987)	21-8
21.482	Forfeiture of appellate review of denial of constitutional right by failure to follow prescribed procedures for obtaining appellate review	21-9
21.483	Validity of waiver of constitutional right that is obtained by conditioning benefit (e.g., placement on probation, award of government contract, granting of driver's license, etc.) on waiver of right	21-9
21.484	Refusal to recognize right to waive rights would "imprison a man in his privileges"	21-9
21.5	Other Principles And Related Matters	21-9
21.51	General Principles Of Constitutional Interpretation And Construction	21-9
21.511	In general	21-9
21.512	Effect of fact that act authorizing conduct that is challenged as unconstitutional was passed by legislature that proposed constitutional provision allegedly violated	21-9
21.513	Early legislative enactments provide weighty evidence of constitution's meaning	21-9
21.514	Appellate review of construction and interpretation of constitutional provision	21-9
21.5141	In general	21-9
21.5142	Review of trial court's interpretation of constitutional provision presents question of law (see sec. 21.515)	21-10
21.5143	Review of administrative agency's interpretation of constitutional provision presents question of law	21-10
21.515	Interpretation of constitutional provision is question of law	21-10
21.516	Constitutional provision to be construed in context	21-10
21.517	In interpreting and construing constitutional provision, court will examine constitutional debates and practices in effect at time amendment creating provision was ratified	21-10
21.5171	In general	21-10

21.5172	This examination includes general history relating to constitutional amendment	21-10
21.518	In interpreting and construing constitutional provision, court will examine first related legislation passed after amendment creating provision was ratified	21-10
21.519	Other principles	21-10
21.5191	Constitutional provision's statement of purpose does not create independent enforceable right since it is not substantive	21-10
21.5192	Where constitutional provision is similar or identical to statute, it is preseumed that provision was adopted with construction previously placed on statute	21-10
21.5193	May consider statement made contemporaneous to time of drafting of constitutional provision to determine intent behind provision	21-10
21.5194	In interpreting and construing constitutional provision, contemporaneous legislative or judicial interpretations of state constitution have special value	21-10
21.5195	Since constitution was written to be understood by voters, its words and phrases should be given their normal and ordinary meaning, not some secret or technical meaning that would not have been known to ordinary citizens at time of adoption of constitution	21-11
21.5196	Effect of prefatory clause or preamble in constitutional provision	21-11
21.5197	Effect of provision deleted in drafting process: it is perilous to derive meaning of adopted provision from another provision deleted in drafting process	21-11
21.5198	Consideration of post-enactment history of constitutional provision	21-11
21.51981	Statements of those who drafted or voted for provision	21-11
21.51982	Public understanding of provision after its enactment or ratification	21-11
21.51983	Enactment of legislation believed by enactors to be consistent with constitutional provision (see secs. 21.512 and 21.513)	21-11
21.52	Adjudication Of Constitutionality Of Statutes (see sec. 47.4)	21-11
21.53	Standing To Raise Denial Of Constitutional Right	21-11
21.531	In general (see sec. 21.32)	21-11
21.532	Particular rights	21-11
21.5321	Fourth Amendment rights (see sec. 1.12)	21-11
21.5322	Right to equal protection (see sec. 23.72)	21-11
21.5323	Right to due process (see sec. 22.191)	21-11
21.533	Related matters	21-11
21.5331	Remedy only afforded to person whose rights violated (see sec. 21.32)	21-11
21.5332	Standing to challenge constitutionality of statute (see sec. 47.41)	21-11

21.5333	Need for standing to seek judicial resolution of controversy in general (see sec. 12.682)	21-11
21.5334	Standing to challenge to prosecutor's exercise of peremptory strike against members of particular race or other cognizable group of which defendant is not a member (see sec. 8.163)	21-11
21.5335	Standing to challenge discrimination in selection of members of grand jury (see sec. 4.12122)	21-12
21.5336	Standing to challenge discrimination in selection of grand jury foreperson (see sec. 4.12222)	21-12
21.54	Effect Of Constitutional Invalidity Of One Basis Or Theory Of Liability Upon Which Conviction May Have Been Predicated When Evidence Sufficient To Support Other Basis Or Theory Of Liability Considered By Trier Of Fact	21-12
21.541	In general	21-12
21.542	Applicability of harmless-error rule to improper instruction on basis or theory of liability that was constitutionally invalid (see sec. 11.7398)	21-12
21.55	Appellate Review Of Constitutional Issues	21-12
21.551	General principles	21-12
21.5511	In general (see secs. 11.64 and 47.491)	21-12
21.5512	General principles of appellate review of decision on motion to suppress evidence on account of constitutional violation	21-12
21.552	Appellate review of findings and rulings relating to particular constitutional issue	21-12
21.553	Appellate court will refuse to consider constitutional claim that is not adequately developed in brief on appeal (see sec. 11.3442)	21-12
21.554	Appellate court will not reach constitutional question unnecessarily; if resolution of other issues disposes of appeal, constitutional issue will not be addressed; if claim of error can be resolved on statutory or common law grounds, constitutional issue will not be addressed (see sec. 21.588)	21-12
21.56	Relationship Between Particular State Constitutional Rights And Their Federal Counterparts	21-13
21.561	Right to due process (see sec. 22.112)	21-13
21.562	Right to equal protection (see sec. 23.71)	21-13
21.563	Privilege against self-incrimination (see sec. 24.85)	21-13
21.564	Right to confrontation (see sec. 27.13)	21-13
21.565	Protection against double jeopardy (see sec. 29.11)	21-13
21.566	Protection from unreasonable searches and seizures (see sec. 1.97)	21-13
21.567	Rights relating to jury	21-13
21.5671	Right to jury selected from fair cross-section of community (see sec. 26.214)	21-13
21.5672	Right to jury consisting of 12 persons (see sec. 26.254)	21-13
21.568	Rights relating to counsel	21-13
21.5681	Right to counsel (see sec. 25.593)	21-13
21.5682	Right to effective assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.217)	21-13
21.569	Other rights	21-13
21.5691	Freedom of speech (see sec. 30.9514)	21-13
21.5692	Right to compulsory process (see sec. 30.815)	21-13
21.57	Other Principles And Related Matters	21-13

21.571	Trial Court's Authority Or Duty To Consider Constitutional Issues Sua Sponte	21-13
21.572	Amendment of constitution	21-13
	21.5721 Amendment process	21-13
	21.5722 Effective date of constitutional amendments	21-13
21.573	Constitutional violation is unlawful act	21-13
21.58	Other Principles And Related Matters (cont'd)	21-13
21.581	Ignorance of full consequence of decision to relinquish constitutional right does not vitiate its voluntariness	21-13
21.582	In assessing whether jury instruction is constitutionally infirm, court must determine how reasonable juror would have viewed instruction	21-14
21.583	Labeling error as constitutional does not make it so	21-14
21.584	Need for specific articulation of basis for constitutional claims	21-14
21.585	Resort to other state's interpretation of similar constitutional provision	21-14
21.586	State's right to experiment	21-14
	21.5861 In general	21-14
	21.5862 State's are allowed wide discretion, subject to the minimum requirements of the Fourteenth Amendment, to experiment with solutions to difficult policy problems	21-14
21.587	Retroactivity of new constitutional rules (see sec. 47.2)	21-14
21.588	Court will not reach constitutional question unnecessarily; if resolution of other issues disposes of appeal, constitutional issue will not be addressed; if claim of error can be resolved on statutory or common law grounds, constitutional issue will not be addressed	21-14
21.589	On constitutional issue, only pronouncement of the United States Supreme Court, not lower federal courts, are binding	21-14
21.59	Other Principles And Related Matters (cont'd)	21-15
21.591	United States Supreme Court's decisions regarding accused's rights not necessarily based on constitutional principles	21-15
21.592	Laws of state may accord greater protections than the minimums which are imposed by the United States Supreme Court under the federal constitution	21-15
21.593	Longevity of practice as evidence of its constitutionality	21-15
21.594	Where particular constitutional provision provides protection against particular sort of government behavior, that provision, not the more generalized notion of "substantive due process," must be the guide for analyzing claim that particular sort of government behavior was unconstitutional (see sec. 22.165)	21-15
21.595	Constitutional rights are not defined by inferences from opinions which did not address question at issue	21-15
21.596	State actor cannot constitutionally condition receipt of benefit (e.g., license or permit) on agreement to refrain from exercising constitutional rights (see sec. 21.23)	21-15
21.597	Need to adequately develop constitutional claim in brief on appeal (see sec. 11.3442)	21-15
21.598	Burdens of production and proof when defendant seeks to suppress evidence on account of constitutional violation	21-15
	21.5981 Burden of production	21-15
	21.5982 Burden of proof	21-15

21.599	Other principles and related matters	21-15
21.5991	Conditioning of benefit on surrender of constitutional right does not automatically lead to finding of unconstitutionality (see sec. 21.483)	21-15

Chapter 22 Right To Due Process

22.1	General Principles Relating To Due Process	22-1
22.11	Due Process Constitutionally Guaranteed	22-1
22.111	In general	22-1
22.112	Relationship of state and federal guarantees	22-1
22.1121	In general	22-1
22.1122	Affording greater protection under state constitution	22-1
22.113	Sources of right to due process in state constitution	22-2
22.12	General Principles Relating To Scope Of Protection Afforded By Due Process	22-2
22.121	In general	22-2
22.122	Due process requires fundamental fairness or "fair play"	22-2
22.123	Error of state law is not denial of due process	22-2
22.124	Relevance of fact that challenged practice is followed in large number of jurisdictions	22-3
22.125	Enforcement of substantive and legitimate expectations created by state law	22-3
22.126	Punishing person for doing what law allows	22-3
22.127	Entitlement of state to due process	22-3
22.1271	In general	22-3
22.1272	State's right to fair trial and opportunity to convict includes right to present its case with full evidentiary richness and narrative integrity	22-3
22.128	What constitutes liberty or property interest that triggers due process protections	22-3
22.1281	In general	22-3
22.1282	Freedom from forced medication	22-3
22.1283	Juvenile's interest in confidentiality of proceedings affecting him or her	22-3
22.1284	Interest in reputation	22-3
22.129	Other matters	22-3
22.1291	Limitations of due process come into play only when government activity violates protected right of defendant himself	22-3
22.1292	Not every conceivable step must be taken to eliminate possibility of unjust conviction	22-4
22.1293	General principles relating to limitations imposed by due process clause on introduction of evidence at trial (see sec. 22.451)	22-4
22.1294	Legislation that restricts fundamental liberty requires application of strict scrutiny as part of due process analysis	22-4
22.12941	Requirement in general	22-4

	22.12942	Determining whether fundamental liberty interest triggering requirement exists	22-4
22.13		Principles Relating To Procedural Protections Afforded By Due Process	22-4
	22.131	In general	22-4
	22.132	What constitutes liberty or property interest requiring procedural protections	22-4
	22.1321	Test for determining what constitutes liberty or property interest in general	22-4
		22.13211 In general	22-4
		22.13212 In prison setting	22-5
	22.1322	Determining whether particular matter constitutes liberty or property interest	22-5
		22.13221 State-issued license essential to pursuing occupation or livelihood	22-5
		22.13222 Driver's license	22-5
		22.13223 Freedom from civil commitment	22-5
		22.13224 Right of prisoner, conferred by administrative regulation, to be present during opening of legal mail	22-5
		22.13225 Governor's authority to grant pardons, commutations, and reprieves	22-5
		22.13226 Right to demonstrate innocence with new evidence under state law	22-5
22.133		Determining what procedures due process requires	22-5
22.134		Particular procedures and requirements	22-6
	22.1341	Notice of hearing	22-6
	22.1342	Notice of intended action (e.g., forfeiture of property) and its attendant procedures	22-6
		22.13421 In general	22-6
		22.13422 Procedures must be reasonably calculated to give required notice	22-6
	22.1343	Timely hearing	22-6
	22.1344	Opportunity to be heard	22-6
		22.13441 In general	22-6
		22.13442 Meaningful opportunity to be heard does not include right to make false statements	22-6
		22.13443 Procedures must be reasonably calculated to give required opportunity to be heard	22-6
	22.1345	Adequate notice of issue at hearing	22-7
	22.1346	Representation by counsel (see sec. 22.84)	22-7
	22.1347	Notice of charge in criminal case (see sec. 30.91)	22-7
	22.1348	Notice of available state-law remedies when state officers undertake conduct adversely affecting citizen	22-7
	22.1349	Jury trial	22-7
22.135		Not necessary to consider defendant's claim of denial of due process procedural protections when new hearing will be held at which procedural protections will apply	22-7
22.136		Court may read a procedural process requirement into a statute	22-7

22.137	Insofar as due process right to notice of statutory provision affecting property rights is concerned, it is enough that legislature enact and publish law and afford citizenry reasonable opportunity to familiarize itself with law's terms and to comply with it	22-7
22.138	When initial seizure of property permissible without procedural protections of notice, hearing, opportunity to be heard, etc.	22-7
22.139	Other matters	22-7
22.1391	Persons who assert a right to a hearing under the due process clause must show that facts they seek to establish at that hearing are relevant under statutory scheme at issue	22-7
22.1392	Remedy for denial of procedural due process	22-7
22.14	Due Process Requirements Relating To Legislative Enactments And Administrative Rules	22-7
22.141	In general	22-7
22.142	Requirement of clarity in statutes and rules	22-8
22.1421	General principles	22-8
22.14211	In general	22-8
22.14212	Standing to raise claim of lack of clarity in statutes and rules	22-8
22.1422	In statute creating crime (see sec. 22.21)	22-8
22.1423	In statute creating penalty for crime	22-8
22.1424	In rules governing professional conduct of attorneys	22-8
22.1425	In procedural statutes	22-8
22.14251	In general	22-8
22.14252	Where statute in question regulates procedure, vagueness challenge will not lie	22-8
22.1426	In statutes governing commitment proceedings	22-8
22.1427	When attempting to enjoin, under public nuisance statute, activities associated with prostitution	22-8
22.1428	In rules and conditions imposed on persons released under supervision in criminal and criminal-related proceedings	22-9
22.14281	In rules and conditions governing supervised release in sexual predator (ch. 980) proceeding (see sec. 10.76981)	22-9
22.1429	In other statutes and rules	22-9
22.14291	In general	22-9
22.14292	In rule whose violation constitutes criminal offense	22-9
22.143	Limitations on creating classifications	22-9
22.144	Where reasonable people disagree, government can adopt one position or other, so long as choice does not intrude on protected liberty	22-9
22.145	Requirement that statute be consistent with substantive due process (see sec. 22.162)	22-9
22.146	Means chosen by legislature must bear reasonable and rational relationship to purpose or objective of enactment	22-9
22.1461	In general	22-9
22.1462	In case of statute creating criminal offense (see sec. 22.282)	22-9
22.15	Prosecutorial Practices Violating Due Process In General	22-9
22.16	Substantive Due Process	22-9

	<i>Page</i>
22.161	General principles 22-9
22.162	Determining when legislative enactment (i.e., statute) violates substantive due process 22-10
22.1621	In general 22-10
22.1622	When statutory scheme impinges on fundamental liberty 22-10
22.163	Substantive rights guaranteed by due process clause in general 22-10
22.164	Method of analysis for determining rights protected by substantive due process 22-10
22.165	When particular constitutional provision provides protection against particular sort of government behavior, that provision, not the more generalized notion of "substantive due process," must be the guide for analyzing claim that particular sort of government behavior was unconstitutional 22-10
22.166	Concept of substantive due process limited 22-10
22.167	Insofar as due process right to notice of statutory provision affecting property rights is concerned, it is enough that legislature enact and publish law and afford citizenry reasonable opportunity to familiarize itself with law's terms and to comply with it 22-11
22.168	Consistency of particular statute with substantive due process 22-11
22.1681	Statute providing for life sentence without parole for person who has previously committed serious child sex offense ("two strikes" law) does not violate substantive due process (see sec. 31.6412) 22-11
22.1682	Sex offender reporting requirement and registry 22-11
22.16821	In general 22-11
22.16822	For juveniles 22-11
22.1683	Statute proscribing sexual assault of a child but not recognizing victim's affirmative misrepresentation of age as defense 22-11
22.1684	Statute creating earned release program 22-11
22.1685	Statute using "likely" in standard for commitment under ch. 980 (see sec. 10.71533) 22-11
22.169	Other matters 22-11
22.1691	Tests for violation of equal protection and for violation of substantive due process are similar (see sec. 23.157) 22-11
22.1692	When statute reasonably addresses dangers created by one group of offenders, it does not violate substantive due process because it does not apply to all offenders to whom it might have been applied 22-11
22.1693	Consistency with substantive due process of provisions of ch. 980 (sexually violent persons commitment) (see secs. 10.7152 and 10.7153) 22-11
22.1694	No substantive due process right to postconviction access to DNA evidence 22-11
22.17	Strict-Scrutiny Due-Process Analysis 22-11
22.171	When strict-scrutiny analysis required 22-11
22.1711	Legislation that restricts fundamental liberty requires application of strict scrutiny as part of due process analysis 22-11
22.172	What must be shown to survive strict-scrutiny analysis 22-12
22.18	Appellate Review Of Due Process Determinations 22-12

	<i>Page</i>
22.181 Standard of review	22-12
22.1811 In general	22-12
22.1812 When increase in sentence after successful appeal is challenged as violative of due process	22-12
22.1813 Of determination whether statutory requirements accord with due process	22-12
22.19 Other Principles	22-12
22.191 Standing to raise due process challenge	22-12
22.192 Burden of proof on question whether there has been due process violation	22-12
22.193 Simply labeling an alleged procedural error as a constitutional want of due process does not make it so	22-12
22.2 Due Process Requirements Relating To Creating Criminal Offense	22-12
22.21 Statute Creating Offense Must Give Fair Notice That Conduct Prohibited (Vagueness)	22-12
22.211 Determining when statute creating criminal offense is unconstitutionally vague	22-12
22.2111 In general	22-12
22.2112 Statute does not fail to give fair notice because its meaning has been the subject of conflicting judicial interpretations	22-15
22.2113 Statute is not unconstitutionally vague simply because it is ambiguous	22-15
22.2114 Statute is not unconstitutionally vague if by ordinary process of construction a practical or sensible meaning may be given to it	22-15
22.2115 Statute is not unconstitutionally vague simply because courts may disagree on its construction	22-15
22.2116 Statute is not unconstitutionally vague simply because one must consult dictionary to ascertain its meaning	22-15
22.2117 Use of standard of reasonableness does not render statute vague (see sec. 22.213)	22-16
22.2118 Providing "reasonable person" standard for evaluating defendant's conduct establishes requisite objective standard for enforcement	22-16
22.2119 Other matters	22-16
22.21191 Constitutional proscription on vague statutes is same under both federal and state constitutions	22-16
22.21192 Statute creating criminal offense is unconstitutionally vague if penalty for offense is increased based on subsequent conduct of offender	22-16
22.212 Standing to raise vagueness challenge (challenger's right to raise hypothetical situations to demonstrate statute's vagueness)	22-16
22.2121 In general	22-16
22.2122 In First Amendment context	22-17
22.213 Use of standard of reasonableness does not render statute vague	22-17
22.214 Definition of intent providing alternatives of purpose and knowledge is not unconstitutionally vague	22-17
22.215 Vagueness in penalty provision (see sec. 22.1423)	22-17

22.216	Finding statute ineffective to give fair notice that conduct prohibited because statute has fallen into disuse	22-17
22.217	Procedural statute is not proper subject for "void for vagueness" challenge	22-17
22.218	Determining whether particular words or phrases are unconstitutionally vague	22-17
22.2181	"Explosive compound" or "explosives"	22-17
22.2182	"Reasonable person" (see sec. 22.2118)	22-17
22.2183	"Reasonable" (see sec. 22.213)	22-17
22.2184	"Verbally" (see sec. 38.65312)	22-17
22.2185	"Indecent"	22-17
22.2186	"Annoying"	22-17
22.219	Other and related matters	22-17
22.2191	Requirement of clarity in administrative rule whose violation constitutes criminal offense (see sec. 22.14292)	22-18
22.2192	When statute subject to facial challenge on account of vagueness	22-18
22.2193	Appellate review of determination whether statute creating crime is unconstitutionally vague	22-18
22.22	Creation Of Strict Liability Criminal Offense	22-18
22.221	In general	22-18
22.222	When statute inhibits freedom of expression (e.g., freedom of speech or of the press); when freedom of expression is implicated	22-18
22.23	Elimination Of Particular Element In Creating Crime	22-18
22.231	Culpable mental state (see sec. 22.22)	22-18
22.232	Causal relationship between defendant's conduct and proscribed harm	22-18
22.233	Other elements	22-18
22.24	Creation Of Multiple Proscriptions On Same Conduct	22-19
22.241	Overlapping criminal statutes proscribing same conduct	22-19
22.242	Criminal offense and civil offense proscribing same conduct	22-19
22.25	Creation Of Criminal Offense Based On Administrative Determination	22-19
22.251	Where administrative determination plays critical role in criminalizing conduct, there must be some meaningful review of administrative proceeding	22-19
22.26	Criminalizing Particular Conduct	22-19
22.261	Operation of motor vehicle with 0.1 grams or more of alcohol in 210 liters of breath	22-19
22.262	Failure to pay occupational tax on criminal activity, e.g., dealing in controlled substances	22-19
22.263	Delivery, or possession with intent to deliver, controlled substance within 1000 feet of school or other statutorily designated premises	22-19
22.264	Operation of motor vehicle with detectable amount of restricted controlled substance in one's blood (see sec. 37.2213)	22-19
22.265	Causing injury by operation of motor vehicle with detectable amount of restricted controlled substance in one's blood (see sec. 33.3413)	22-19
22.27	Criminalizing Status	22-19
22.271	In general	22-19
22.28	Prescribing Punishment For Criminal Offense (see sec. 22.61)	22-19
22.29	Other Matters Relating To Creating Criminal Offense	22-19

22.291	Due process limits on defining single offense with mental state that is satisfied by alternative states of mind	22-20
22.292	Means chosen by legislature must bear reasonable and rational relationship to purpose or objective of enactment	22-20
22.293	Where reasonable people disagree, government can adopt one position or other, so long as choice does not intrude on protected liberty	22-20
22.294	Unforeseeable judicial construction of statute creating criminal offense, or unforeseeable judicial interpretation of common law, that has effect of criminalizing defendant's conduct or enlarging his punishment (see sec. 22.872)	22-20
22.295	Creating two related but discrete offenses, both of which may be charged and submitted to jury, but with respect to which there may be only one conviction and punishment	22-20
22.2951	In general	22-20
22.2952	Drunk driving statute that proscribes operating motor vehicle while intoxicated and operating motor vehicle with prohibited alcohol concentration and permits charging both offenses and submitting both offenses to jury, but prohibits conviction and punishment for both offenses	22-20
22.3	Due Process Requirements Relating To Charging Criminal Offense	22-20
22.31	Delay In Filing Charge	22-20
22.311	Due process protects against delay in filing charge	22-20
22.312	When due process denied by delay in filing charge	22-20
22.3121	Test for determining due process violation: need to show deliberate delay to gain advantage and prejudice to defense	22-20
22.3122	Comparison of state and federal tests for determining when due process violation occurs	22-21
22.313	Delay for specific purposes	22-21
22.3131	To prejudice defense (see sec. 22.312)	22-21
22.3132	To avoid juvenile court jurisdiction (see secs. 42.42 and 42.92)	22-21
22.314	Other and related matters	22-21
22.3141	Periods of delay covered by due process analysis as opposed to speedy trial analysis	22-21
22.3142	Right to speedy trial (see sec. 30.1)	22-21
22.32	Notice Of Charge	22-21
22.321	In general (see sec. 30.91)	22-21
22.322	Alleging time of offense	22-21
22.323	Notice regarding repeater status	22-21
22.3231	In general (see sec. 31.62423)	22-21
22.3232	Due process does not require allegation of repeater status in information, if allegation made in complaint	22-21
22.33	Increasing Charge	22-21
22.331	Increasing charge after defendant invokes rights	22-21
22.3311	After invocation of right to appeal (see sec. 22.8144)	22-21
22.3312	After invocation of other rights	22-21
22.332	Increasing charge under other circumstances	22-21
22.34	Other Matters Relating To Charging Criminal Offense	22-22

22.341	Defendant's right to be free from prosecution without probable cause	22-22
22.342	Charging criminal offense for coercive or other improper purpose (see sec. 12.4292)	22-22
22.343	Deciding to bring successive prosecutions with respect to related, but separate, offenses rather than prosecuting all offenses in single prosecution	22-22
22.344	Charging offenses with respect to which evidence was introduced in prior prosecution of different offense, when trial court admitted evidence at prior prosecution with admonition that district attorney would not be permitted to prosecute offense if he adduced evidence regarding it	22-22
22.345	Charging multiple offenses based on one act or transaction	22-22
22.3451	In general	22-22
22.3452	Charging both attempt and conspiracy to commit same offense	22-22
22.346	Defendant has no due process right to notice that state is issuing complaint or seeking arrest warrant	22-22
22.347	Charging crime with more severe penalty when offense with less serious penalty also covers defendant's conduct (see sec. 22.241)	22-22
22.4	Due Process Requirements Relating To Evidence	22-22
22.41	Relating To Securing Evidence	22-22
22.411	Identification procedures (see sec. 3.1)	22-22
22.412	Interrogation procedures	22-22
22.4121	Police misinforming an inquiring attorney about their plans concerning suspect they plan to interrogate (see sec. 2.1971)	22-22
22.4122	Promise to suspect's counsel not to question suspect	22-22
22.4123	With respect to use of defendant's involuntary statements, rights conferred by due process clause and Fifth Amendment are coextensive (see sec. 24.8993)	22-22
22.4124	Need to electronically record statements taken from defendant by law enforcement officer	22-22
22.4125	Other matters	22-23
22.413	Securing evidence from suspect's person (e.g., pumping stomach contents)	22-23
22.414	No requirement that state collect all possible exculpatory evidence	22-23
22.415	No requirement that state perform all possible tests on evidence	22-23
22.416	Offering person deal that requires him to "turn in" a certain number of citizens	22-23
22.42	Relating To Preserving Evidence	22-23
22.421	Destruction of material evidence	22-23
22.4211	In general	22-23
22.4212	Effect of defendant's failure to make timely demand for evidence	22-24
22.4213	Effect of defendant's request for evidence in discovery motion	22-24
22.4214	Effect of fact that evidence was essential to and determinative of outcome of case, i.e., was central to state's case, or, depending on outcome of testing of it, could become central to defendant's defense	22-24

22.4215	State and federal due process standards governing destruction of material evidence are the same	22-24
22.4216	Post-trial destruction of evidence	22-24
22.422	Deportation of material witness	22-24
22.43	Relating To Disclosing Evidence	22-24
22.431	Disclosure of exculpatory evidence (see sec. 6.81)	22-24
22.432	Disclosure of identity of informant	22-24
22.4321	General principles	22-24
22.4322	Appellate remedy for trial court's improper failure to disclose identity of informant	22-25
22.43221	When defendant convicted of multiple counts, and informant was witness to activity underlying only certain counts, only those latter counts will be reversed	22-25
22.43222	When trial court failed to follow proper procedures in denying defendant access to informant's identity, conviction will be conditionally reversed, with remand to trial court to follow proper procedures	22-25
22.4323	Related matters	22-25
22.43231	Evidentiary privilege to refuse to disclose identity of informant (see sec. 17.7)	22-25
22.44	Relating To Accused's Access To Evidence	22-25
22.441	Access to material evidence	22-25
22.4411	In general	22-25
22.4412	State's obligation to retain and preserve material evidence (see sec. 22.421)	22-25
22.4413	No requirement that state collect all possible exculpatory evidence (see sec. 22.414)	22-25
22.4414	No requirement that state perform all possible tests on evidence (see sec. 22.415)	22-25
22.4415	Deportation of material witness (see sec. 22.422)	22-25
22.442	Access to state's witnesses	22-25
22.4421	Defense access to witnesses during trial in general (see sec. 8.83)	22-25
22.4422	Prosecutor's obligations relating to communication between prosecution witness and defense counsel (see sec. 8.832)	22-25
22.4423	Deportation of material witness (see sec. 22.422)	22-25
22.443	Access to identity of witnesses	22-25
22.444	Access to particular items of evidence	22-26
22.4441	Victim's confidential mental health treatment records (see secs. 6.89211 and 6.893)	22-26
22.4442	Other items (see sec. 6.892)	22-26
22.445	Defendant has no right to immunity for witness to obtain witness's testimony	22-26
22.446	Indigent's right to psychiatrist's assistance at state's expense (see sec. 6.6422)	22-26
22.447	Testing of evidence by state crime lab at defendant's request	22-26
22.448	Defendant's due process right to pretrial discovery in general (see sec. 6.891)	22-26

	<i>Page</i>
22.449 Other matters	22-26
22.4491 Accused's entitlement to pretrial psychological examination of victim when state gives notice that it intends to introduce evidence generated by psychological examination of victim by state's experts	22-26
22.4492 Defendant's right to have trial court make in camera inspection of documents or records (e.g., victim's mental health treatment records) that are privileged or protected or in possession of third parties to determine whether they contain exculpatory evidence and to have access to those documents or records if they are found to contain exculpatory evidence after in camera inspection (see sec. 6.893)	22-26
22.4493 Intimidating defense witness (see sec. 22.4534)	22-26
22.4494 Arrest of defense witness immediately before trial	22-26
22.4495 Postconviction access to evidence	22-26
22.44951 Accused has no constitutional right to postconviction access to DNA evidence	22-26
22.45 Relating To Presenting Evidence At Trial	22-27
22.451 General principles relating to due process limitations on the introduction of evidence at trial	22-27
22.452 Knowing use of false evidence	22-27
22.453 Prosecutor's interaction with witnesses	22-27
22.4531 Offering witness inducements to testify in particular fashion that rise to level of coercion or provide incentive to perjury	22-27
22.4532 Offering or granting concessions to witness (e.g., accomplice) in exchange for testimony against defendant	22-27
22.4533 Granting witness immunity for future perjury	22-27
22.4534 Intimidating defense witness	22-27
22.45341 In general	22-27
22.45342 By threat of criminal prosecution	22-27
22.454 Reliance on, or use of, unreliable evidence	22-27
22.4541 General principles	22-27
22.45411 In general	22-27
22.45412 Evidence that is incredible as a matter of law	22-27
22.45413 Evidence that is inherently unreliable	22-27
22.45414 Evidence that is shown to be questionable through post-conviction submission of countervailing evidence	22-28
22.4542 Particular contexts	22-28
22.45421 Eyewitness identification testimony (see sec. 3.1)	22-28
22.45422 DNA evidence (see sec. 18.676)	22-28
22.455 Prosecutor's calling expert knowing he cannot recall basis for opinion	22-28
22.456 Admission and exclusion of particular evidence	22-28
22.4561 Defendant's refusal to take test for inebriation	22-28
22.4562 Evidence of other crime on which defendant has been acquitted	22-28
22.4563 Defendant's silence in face of Miranda warning (see secs. 20.6123 and 20.6125)	22-28

22.4564	Evidence offered to rebut evidence improperly introduced by state	22-28
22.4565	Irrelevant evidence	22-28
22.4566	Relevant evidence	22-28
22.4567	Videotaped statements of children	22-28
22.457	Prosecutor's use of coerced (i.e., involuntary) statement of witness	22-28
22.4571	In general	22-28
22.4572	Test for determining when involuntariness of witness's statement renders it inadmissible	22-28
22.4573	Procedures to be followed in determining when involuntariness of witness's statement renders it inadmissible	22-28
22.4574	Burden of persuasion and standard of proof on question whether statement should be suppressed	22-29
22.4575	Application of test to particular circumstances	22-29
22.458	Other matters relating to presenting evidence at trial	22-29
22.4581	Use, for impeachment purposes, of statement obtained by questioning defendant after promise made to defense counsel not to engage in such questioning	22-29
22.4582	Having defense witness testify in jail uniform and/or shackles (see sec. 22.588)	22-29
22.4583	Presenting evidence to jury that has hearing-impaired juror (see sec. 22.587)	22-29
22.4584	Introduction of evidence relating to alleged crime that defendant had previously been acquitted of committing for purposes of proving guilt of crime for which defendant is being tried	22-29
22.4585	Defendant's constitutional right to present witnesses and other evidence in his defense in general	22-29
22.4586	Prosecutor's use of leading questions	22-29
22.4587	Use of defendant's testimony at prior trial at which state introduced evidence relating to present offense as other crimes evidence and trial court informed state it would not be permitted to bring prosecution for present offense if it introduced such evidence	22-29
22.4588	Witness's erroneous testimony regarding number of prior convictions offered to impeach credibility	22-29
22.459	Other matters relating to presenting evidence at trial (cont'd)	22-29
22.4591	Use of judicial notice to establish fact necessary for conviction	22-29
22.4592	Due process does not require state to refrain from introducing relevant evidence simply because defendant does not contest element to which evidence addressed	22-29
22.4593	Use of prior inconsistent statement as substantive evidence	22-30
22.4594	Use of judicial notice to establish fact necessary for conviction	22-30
22.4595	Use of statements made to defense presentence report preparer	22-30
22.5	Due Process Requirements Relating To Criminal Trial	22-30

	<i>Page</i>
22.51 Right To Fair Trial In General	22-30
22.52 Relating To Judge	22-30
22.521 Requirement of impartiality (see sec. 30.5)	22-30
22.522 Decision making (see sec. 22.82)	22-30
22.523 No need for military judge to be given fixed term of office	22-30
22.53 Relating To Jury	22-30
22.531 Juror impartiality (see sec. 26.23)	22-30
22.532 Juror competency (see sec. 26.24)	22-30
22.533 Jury pool representative of fair cross-section of community (see sec. 26.21)	22-30
22.534 Defendant's right to peremptory challenge to jurors	22-30
22.5341 In general (see sec. 8.155)	22-30
22.5342 Defendant has no constitutional right to peremptory challenge (see sec. 8.1554)	22-30
22.5343 Does failure to strike juror for cause, which requires defendant to exercise peremptory strike to remove juror, arbitrarily deny defendant's right to full compliment of peremptory challenges and thus violates due process? (see sec. 8.158)	22-30
22.535 Need for unanimous verdict (see sec. 8.681)	22-30
22.536 Presence on jury of hearing-impaired juror (see sec. 22.587)	22-31
22.537 Juror understanding of English language (see sec. 26.271)	22-31
22.54 Relating To Defendant's Appearance	22-31
22.541 Shackling and other restraining devices (e.g., taser armband)	22-31
22.5411 General principles for determining when shackling and other restraining devices are required and permitted	22-31
22.54111 In general	22-31
22.54112 Trial court's affirmative, sua sponte duty to inquire into necessity for device once court becomes aware of it	22-31
22.54113 Trial court may not defer to, or rely primarily on, law enforcement procedures; it must independently determine risk particular defendant poses for violence or escape	22-31
22.54114 Need to set forth reasons in record justifying restraining devices	22-31
22.5412 Waiver of right to complain about shackling and other restraining devices	22-31
22.54121 In general	22-31
22.54122 By declining offer of clothing that would conceal restraining device	22-31
22.54123 Need to instruct jury that restraint is not to be considered in assessing proof and determining guilt	22-31
22.5413 Need to instruct jury that restraint is not to be considered in assessing proof and determining guilt	22-32
22.5414 At sentencing phase in capital case	22-32
22.5415 Need to show prejudice; harmless error	22-32

	22.54151	Defendant need not demonstrate actual prejudice to make out a due process violation when shackling or other restraints are improperly employed; shackling is "inherently prejudicial"	22-32
	22.54152	Improper shackling may be harmless error when shackling not visible to jury	22-32
22.5416		At proceedings other than those conducted before jury	22-32
	22.54161	Plea hearing	22-32
	22.54162	Sentencing hearing	22-32
22.5417		In case of deaf defendant	22-32
	22.54171	In general	22-32
	22.54172	At plea hearing	22-32
	22.54173	At sentencing	22-32
22.5418		Constitutional rights other than due process that are arguably implicated in shackling	22-32
	22.54181	Right to be meaningfully present at proceedings	22-32
	22.54182	Right to assistance of counsel	22-32
22.5419		Other matters	22-32
	22.54191	Burden of proof on factual questions relating to whether shackling violated due process or other constitutional rights	22-32
	22.54192	Juror's observation of defendant in restraints outside courtroom	22-32
22.542		Clothing (e.g., prison garb)	22-32
22.543		Gagging	22-33
22.544		Accompaniment by uniformed security officers	22-33
22.55		Relating To Defendant's Ability To Comprehend, And Intelligently Participate In, Proceedings	22-33
	22.551	Requirement of competency	22-33
	22.5511	Competency to proceed to trial (see sec. 6.63)	22-33
	22.5512	Competency to proceed with postconviction motion and appeal (see sec. 10.165)	22-33
	22.5513	Competency to proceed in probation or parole revocation proceedings (see sec. 41.31291)	22-33
22.552		Need for interpreter for one who does not understand English (see sec. 30.971)	22-33
22.553		Need for interpreter for hearing impaired	22-33
22.554		Trial of one suffering from amnesia	22-33
22.56		Relating To Presenting Evidence	22-33
	22.561	In general (see sec. 22.45)	22-33
	22.562	Defendant's right to present a defense	22-33
22.57		Relating To Submission Of Case To, And Decision By, Jury Or Other Fact Finder	22-33
	22.571	Prosecutor's change in theory of case	22-33
	22.572	Right to have jury consider defendant's defense	22-33
	22.573	Right to lesser-included offense instruction (see sec. 31.35)	22-34
	22.574	Sending exhibits to jury room during deliberations	22-34
	22.5741	Written or transcribed statement of witness	22-34
	22.5742	Defendant's written confession or transcription of defendant's oral confession	22-34

	<i>Page</i>
22.575	Determination of guilt 22-34
22.5751	Proof beyond a reasonable doubt (see sec. 30.3) 22-34
22.5752	Presumption of innocence (see sec. 30.94) 22-34
22.5753	Requirement of juror unanimity (see sec. 8.681) 22-34
22.5754	Need for jury agreement on particular means by which single offense committed (see sec. 8.6821) 22-34
22.576	Matters relating to verdict 22-34
22.5761	In general (see sec. 8.68) 22-34
22.5762	Need for sufficient specificity in verdict to identify act or alternative acts on which guilt is predicated (see sec. 8.6898) 22-34
22.5763	Inconsistent verdicts (see sec. 8.683) 22-34
22.577	Single trial before same fact finder on inconsistent defenses 22-34
22.578	Conviction upon charge not made or upon charge not tried 22-34
22.579	Other matters relating to submission of case to, and decision by, jury or other fact finder 22-34
22.5791	Need for reinstruction not indicative of denial of right to fair trial 22-34
22.5792	When two modes of proof of guilt submitted to jury, need for sufficient evidence to prove both (see sec. 19.551) 22-34
22.5793	Effect of constitutional invalidity of one basis on which guilty verdict may have been predicated when evidence sufficient to support another basis of liability (see sec. 21.54) 22-34
22.5794	Effect of insufficiency of evidence as to one basis upon which guilty verdict may have been predicated when evidence sufficient to support another basis of liability (see sec. 19.551) 22-35
22.5795	Requirement that jury receive proper instructions that fully and fairly inform it of law to apply 22-35
22.5796	Defendant cannot be convicted of nonexistent crime 22-35
22.5797	Defendant may not be convicted except on the evidence adduced against him 22-35
	22.57971 In general 22-35
	22.57972 Prosecutor's comment on defendant's courtroom demeanor 22-35
22.58	Relating To Other Trial Matters 22-35
22.581	Deployment of uniformed law enforcement officers in courtroom during trial (see secs. 8.8984 and 22.544) 22-35
22.582	Television, radio and photographic coverage of criminal trial 22-35
	22.5821 In general 22-35
	22.5822 Defendant's right to removal of microphone from defense table 22-35
22.583	Spectator conduct 22-35
	22.5831 In general 22-35
	22.5832 Wearing button displaying victim's image 22-35
22.584	Maintenance of proper decorum at trial 22-35
22.585	Prosecutor's closing argument (see sec. 8.464) 22-35
22.586	Compelling defendant to take antipsychotic drug during trial 22-35
22.587	Presence on jury of hearing-impaired juror 22-35
22.588	Relating to defense witness's appearance 22-36
	22.5881 Shackling and other restraints 22-36

	<i>Page</i>
22.5882 Clothing (e.g., jail uniform)	22-36
22.589 Other matters	22-36
22.5891 Presence of spectators with distinguishing dress or adornments that might prejudice jury	22-36
22.5892 Juror's sleeping during testimony	22-36
22.5893 Presence on jury of person who does not understand English language (see sec. 26.271)	22-36
22.5894 Fair trials require comprehension of the spoken word by parties, by witnesses, and by fact-finders	22-36
22.5895 Defendant's right to be present at all stages of trial (see sec. 8.811)	22-36
22.5896 Problems relating to bailiff who has charge of jury during trial (see sec. 8.8952)	22-36
22.6 Due Process Requirements Relating To Punishment For Criminal Offense	22-36
22.61 Prescribing Punishment	22-36
22.611 In general	22-36
22.612 Requirement, in controlled substances prosecution, that weight of the carrier medium must be included when determining the appropriate sentencing	22-36
22.613 Limitations on punishment for strict liability criminal offense	22-37
22.614 Requirement of clarity in statute prescribing penalty (see sec. 22.1423)	22-37
22.615 It is not arbitrary or irrational and, therefore, not a violation of due process, to impose a more severe punishment for a second or subsequent offense	22-37
22.616 It is not arbitrary or irrational and, therefore, not a violation of due process, to prescribe life imprisonment without parole for persons previously committing two serious felonies while requiring only one prior serious sex offense to impose that punishment	22-37
22.617 Due process not violated by increasing penalty for offense of drunk driving based on events (e.g., instances of drunk driving or convictions for drunk driving) that occurred after commission of present offense (see sec. 37.21723)	22-37
22.618 Due process not violated by prescribing enhanced penalty for offense when it is committed in school zone (see sec. 31.6612)	22-37
22.62 Imposing Punishment	22-37
22.621 Requirement of credit on sentence for time served on earlier invalid sentence or probation	22-37
22.6211 In general	22-37
22.6212 When earlier sentence is unrelated to present sentence on which credit is sought	22-37
22.622 Required disclosure of presentence report to defendant (see sec. 9.192)	22-37
22.623 Defendant's due process rights at sentencing hearing (see sec. 9.13)	22-37
22.624 Defendant's right to be present at sentencing proceeding (see sec. 9.132)	22-37
22.625 Lack of specific guidelines controlling imposition of consecutive sentences does not violate due process	22-38

22.626	When state attempts to enhance punishment because defendant is recidivist, due process does not require state to prove validity of prior conviction by clear and convincing evidence	22-38
22.627	Different procedures, under Wis. Stat. §§ 346.65(2) and 973.12(1), for establishing prior offenses that render defendant subject to increased punishment does not violate due process	22-38
22.628	Using different standard for proving prior convictions that subject defendant to increased punishment for being repeat offender under Wis. Stat. § 343.44(2), than is used under Wis. Stat. § 973.12(1), does not violate due process	22-38
22.629	Other matters	22-38
22.6291	Insofar as proof of sentencing factors is concerned, application of preponderance-of-evidence standard at sentencing generally satisfies due process (see sec. 9.1963)	22-38
22.6292	Court's consideration of evidence contained in sealed document to which defendant has no access	22-38
22.6293	Due process principles governing trial court's exercise of sentencing discretion have not changed with advent of truth-in-sentencing; greater specification of reason for sentence imposed not constitutionally required (see secs. 9.2185 and 9.2196)	22-38
22.6294	Comparative information on sentencing practices, or sentencing guidelines, are not required in order to impose constitutionally valid sentence (see sec. 9.2197)	22-38
22.6295	When punishment for multiple offenses is prohibited by legislature, imposition of punishment for multiple offenses violates due process	22-38
22.6296	Prosecutor's obligation to comply with plea agreement at sentencing (see sec. 7.153)	22-38
22.63	Increasing Punishment	22-38
22.631	What constitutes increase in punishment that will trigger due process limitations on increasing punishment; determining whether increase in sentence has occurred	22-38
22.632	Upon reconviction after original conviction set aside or reversed	22-39
22.6321	In general	22-39
22.6322	Presumption of Judicial vindictiveness (see sec. 22.6332)	22-39
22.633	Upon resentencing after original sentence set aside or reversed	22-39
22.6331	In general	22-39
22.6332	Presumption of judicial vindictiveness	22-40
22.63321	When presumption arises in general	22-40
22.63322	Presumption does not arise when defendant is resentenced by different judge at his request due to non-judicial defect at original sentencing hearing and resentencing was granted by original court in which defect occurred	22-40
22.63323	Rebutting presumption: in general	22-40
22.63324	Rebutting presumption: new factors that can rebut presumption include all relevant sentencing factors; they are not limited to defendant's conduct	22-40

22.63325	Rebutting presumption: victim's deteriorated condition since original sentence can provide nonvindictive justification for imposition of more severe sentence	22-40
22.63326	New increased sentence that implements original dispositional scheme is not tainted by vindictiveness	22-40
22.634	Upon resentencing after related conviction set aside or reversed	22-40
22.635	Eliminating presentence incarceration credit after such credit initially granted	22-40
22.636	Absent double-jeopardy violation, due process clause does not prohibit imposition of capital punishment after original imposition of life imprisonment set aside on appeal	22-41
22.637	Increasing extended supervision portion of sentence to comply with provision imposing minimum period of extended supervision	22-41
22.638	Appellate review of question whether increase in punishment violates due process	22-41
22.6381	Standard of review	22-41
22.64	Other Matters Relating To Punishing Criminal Offense	22-41
22.641	Defendant cannot be punished for nonexistent crime (see sec. 22.5796)	22-41
22.642	Juvenile's right to credit on disposition for pretrial time spent in secured detention	22-41
22.643	Extending period of confinement under intensive sanctions program (see sec. 9.49633)	22-41
22.644	Defendant does not have constitutional right to notice or hearing before court corrects clerical error in sentence portion of judgment of conviction	22-41
22.645	Consistency, with due process, of requiring person convicted of crime that lacks sexual element (e.g., false imprisonment of minor) to comply with sex offender reporting requirement (see sec. 47.87224)	22-41
22.7	Due Process Requirements Relating To Proceedings Other Than Criminal Trial	22-41
22.71	Investigative Proceedings	22-41
22.711	Grand jury proceeding	22-41
22.7111	Non-discriminatory selection of members of grand jury	22-41
22.7112	Non-discriminatory selection of grand jury foreman	22-41
22.712	John Doe proceeding	22-41
22.7121	Requiring defendant in John Doe proceeding to make statements under oath, thus subjecting him to possible charges of false swearing	22-41
22.7122	Law enforcement officer's questioning of witness does not constitute violation of due process (see sec. 4.213)	22-42
22.72	Pretrial Proceedings In Criminal Prosecution	22-42
22.721	Initial appearance	22-42
22.7211	Requirement of prompt initial appearance (see sec. 6.321)	22-42
22.722	Preventive detention	22-42
22.7221	Constitutionality of preventive detention	22-42
22.7222	Procedures required by due process	22-42
22.723	Motion to suppress	22-42

22.724	Entry of plea	22-42
22.725	Withdrawal or substitution of counsel	22-42
22.726	"Reverse waiver" proceedings	22-42
22.73	Sentencing (see sec. 22.62)	22-42
22.74	Commitments Associated With Criminal Proceeding	22-42
22.741	General due process principles and requirements governing commitments associated with criminal proceeding	22-42
22.7411	Nature and duration of commitment must bear reasonable relationship to purpose for which person committed	22-42
22.742	Incompetency commitment	22-42
22.7421	In general	22-42
22.7422	Duration of commitment	22-42
22.743	Commitment of one found not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect	22-42
22.7431	Original commitment (see sec. 8.78)	22-42
22.7432	Reexamination and release of those found not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect	22-43
22.74321	Differing standards for civil and criminal reexamination	22-43
22.74322	Once insanity acquittee no longer suffers from a mental illness, may he continue to be confined based on dangerousness alone?	22-43
22.7433	Recommitment after conditional release (see sec. 10.582)	22-43
22.744	Sex crimes commitment	22-43
22.7441	Original commitment	22-43
22.7442	Extension of sex crimes commitment (see sec. 9.7532)	22-43
22.745	Sexually violent person (ch. 980) commitment	22-43
22.7451	Consistency of proceeding with requirement of due process in general (see secs. 10.715 and 10.7923)	22-43
22.7452	Unreasonable delay in holding probable cause hearing (see sec. 10.72624)	22-43
22.7453	Consistency with due process of failure of responsible government agencies to comply with trial-court orders directing that person committed under ch. 980 be placed in community under supervised release when such failure results from inability to find suitable placement (see secs. 10.71529 and 10.71542)	22-43
22.75	Probation And Parole Proceedings	22-43
22.751	Parole grant (see sec. 41.22)	22-43
22.752	Parole rescission	22-43
22.753	Mandatory release on parole	22-43
22.7531	No need for hearing before mandatory release on parole	22-43
22.754	Probation or parole revocation	22-43
22.7541	In general (see sec. 41.312)	22-43
22.7542	Forfeiture of good time upon parole revocation	22-44
22.7543	Revocation of indigent's probation for failure to pay fine or make restitution	22-44
22.7544	When delay in holding final revocation hearing violates defendant's right to due process (see sec. 41.3625)	22-44

22.7545	Not violation of due process to revoke probation after defendant's refusal to admit guilt as necessary part of successful participation in sex offender treatment program even though defendant has entered Alford plea	22-44
22.7546	Need for competency determination (see sec. 41.31291)	22-44
22.7547	Refusal to grant credit against sentence for time successfully spent on parole does not deny due process	22-44
22.7548	Not violation of due process to revoke probation after defendant's refusal to admit guilt as necessary part of successful participation in sex offender treatment program even though defendant has entered Alford plea	22-44
22.755	Extension of probation	22-44
22.756	Modification of probation conditions (see sec. 9.6253)	22-44
22.76	Postconviction Proceedings	22-44
22.761	Motion for new trial	22-45
22.7611	Based on newly discovered evidence (see sec. 22.871)	22-45
22.7612	Requirement of defendant's competency during postconviction proceedings (see sec. 10.1651)	22-45
22.762	Appeal	22-45
22.7621	Defendant's constitutional right to appeal in general (see sec. 11.957)	22-45
22.7622	Right to appeal cannot be extinguished by attorney's incompetence or criminal justice system's failures	22-45
22.7623	Lack of counsel's aid in preparing petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision (see sec. 25.174)	22-45
22.7624	Incomplete record of proceedings in trial court (see sec. 22.873)	22-45
22.7625	Affirmance of conviction on basis other than that considered by trier of fact (see sec. 11.841)	22-45
22.7626	Requirement of defendant's competency during postconviction proceedings (see sec. 10.1651)	22-45
22.763	Postconviction (i.e., sec. 974.06) motion	22-45
22.7631	Denial of counsel's assistance in pursuing postconviction relief (see sec. 22.8451)	22-45
22.7632	No need to follow Anders (i.e., no merit) procedures when appointed counsel finds no meritorious issues in postconviction proceeding where defendant has no constitutional right to appointed counsel	22-45
22.7633	Requirement of defendant's competency during postconviction proceedings (see sec. 10.1651)	22-45
22.764	Habeas corpus	22-45
22.7641	Stay of successful habeas petitioner's release pending appeal (see sec. 10.684)	22-45
22.77	Implied Consent Proceedings	22-45
22.771	Failure to warn person arrested for drunk driving that refusal to submit to chemical breath test can be used against him at trial (see sec. 13.36214)	22-45
22.772	Revocation of operator's license for failure to submit to chemical testing under implied consent law (see sec. 44.412)	22-46
22.773	Notice of intent to revoke satisfies due process requirement of notice	22-46

22.774	Due process does not require that notice of intent to revoke be served immediately following refusal	22-46
22.775	Informing driver that, if any tests resulted in BAC of 0.10 percent or more, his license would be suspended	22-46
22.776	Failure to inform person arrested for drunk driving that e or she has no right to counsel before administration of test for intoxication	22-46
22.777	Statutorily prescribed "Informing the Accused" form does not either overstate consequences of refusal or understate what may occur if test shows prohibited blood alcohol concentration and, therefore, does not deny accused's right to due process (see sec. 44.2385)	22-46
22.778	Informing defendant of obligations, rights and penalties under implied consent law	22-46
22.78	Juvenile Delinquency Proceedings	22-46
22.781	In general (see sec. 42.14)	22-46
22.782	Hearing for imposition of sanctions for violation of conditions of dispositional order (see sec. 42.2851)	22-46
22.783	Juvenile's right to credit on disposition for pretrial time spent in secure detention (see sec. 22.642)	22-46
22.784	No due process right to jury trial in juvenile delinquency proceeding (see sec. 42.542)	22-46
22.785	Delay in filing complaint in criminal case to avoid juvenile court jurisdiction (see secs. 42.42 and 42.92)	22-46
22.786	Delay in filing waiver petition until juvenile is older, thus making it more likely that waiver will be ordered (see sec. 42.8181)	22-46
22.79	Other Proceedings	22-46
22.791	Forfeiture of seized firearms and other contraband	22-46
22.792	Administrative Proceedings	22-46
22.7921	Consultation with hearing examiner regarding credibility of witnesses before agency reverses hearing examiner's decision	22-47
22.793	Federal forfeiture of property used in, or proceeds from, drug offenses	22-47
22.7931	Need to afford owner notice and opportunity to be heard before seizure of real property	22-47
22.794	Courts martial	22-47
22.7941	No need for military judge to have fixed term of office	22-47
22.795	Sexually violent person (chap. 980) commitments (see sec. 22.745)	22-47
22.796	Contempt proceeding (see sec. 47.366)	22-47
22.797	Forfeiture of property used in or derived from criminal activity	22-47
22.798	Forfeiture of vehicle under Wis. Stat. § 346.65(6) (see sec. 47.192)	22-47
22.799	Other proceedings	22-47
22.7991	Pardon, clemency, and commutation proceedings	22-47
22.7992	Termination of intensive sanctions and return to prison (see sec. 9.4964)	22-47
22.7993	Declaration and abatement of nuisances	22-47
22.8	Due Process Requirements Relating To Other Matters	22-47
22.81	Relating To Penalizing Accused's Exercise Of Rights	22-47
22.811	General principles	22-47
22.8111	In general	22-47

22.812	Use of defendant's pretrial silence (see secs. 8.4211, 8.4212, and 20.612)	22-47
22.813	Use of defendant's invocation of right to counsel (see secs. 8.422 and 20.62)	22-47
22.814	Penalizing defendant's exercise of rights by increasing charges or penalty	22-47
22.8141	General principles	22-47
22.81411	In general	22-47
22.81412	Circumstances under which increase in charges gives rise to presumption of prosecutorial vindictiveness: in general	22-48
22.81413	Circumstances under which increase in charges gives rise to presumption of prosecutorial vindictiveness: presumption does not arise when additional charges relate to separate and distinct course of criminal conduct	22-48
22.8142	Increasing sentence recommendation after defendant rejects proffered plea bargain and goes to trial	22-48
22.8143	Increasing charges after defendant invokes right to appeal and obtains reversal of conviction	22-48
22.81431	In general	22-48
22.81432	Adding charges based on separate and distinct course of criminal conduct	22-48
22.8144	Increasing sentence after defendant successfully challenges conviction or sentence, or related conviction or sentence (see secs. 22.631, 22.632, and 22.633)	22-48
22.8145	Taking away pretrial sentence credit improperly awarded when defendant appeals seeking even more credit	22-48
22.8146	Threat of higher or additional charge if defendant refuses to plead guilty (see sec. 7.133)	22-48
22.8147	Proceeding to trial on multiple charges after first trial has resulted in mistrial on original charge and defendant refuses to accept plea bargain calling for plea to original charge	22-48
22.815	Penalizing defendant's exercise of right to appeal by offering less favorable plea bargain after successful appeal than was offered before initial trial	22-49
22.816	Other penalizing of accused's exercise of rights	22-49
22.82	Relating To Trial Court's Decision Making	22-49
22.821	Decision without explanation of reasons for it	22-49
22.822	Change in trial court's ruling after defendant relied on it	22-49
22.83	Relating To Enforcement Of Promises Or Representations Made By Prosecutor Or Other State Agent	22-49
22.831	In general	22-49
22.832	In plea bargaining context	22-49
22.8321	Prosecutor's withdrawal from plea bargain accepted by defendant (see sec. 7.152)	22-49
22.8322	Prosecutor's obligation to comply with plea agreement (see sec. 7.15)	22-49
22.8323	Prosecutor's obligation to comply with agreement to dismiss charges	22-49

22.8324	Prosecutor's obligation to comply with promise not to reinstate charge with respect to which trial court allows plea withdrawal, when conviction on another charge is permitted to stand, all charges having been disposed of by guilty pleas pursuant to plea agreement	22-49
22.833	In interrogation context	22-49
22.8331	Promise to suspect's counsel not to question suspect (see sec. 22.4122)	22-49
22.8332	Promise implied in Miranda warning that silence will not be used against person exercising Miranda rights (see secs. 8.42122, 8.42124, 20.6123, and 20.6125)	22-49
22.834	In probation and parole context	22-49
22.8341	Agent's representation that probation or parole would not be revoked (see sec. 41.4921)	22-49
22.8342	Agent's mistaken representation that defendant was released from probation or parole (see sec. 41.4922)	22-50
22.835	In nonprosecution context	22-50
22.8351	Prosecutor's withdrawal from nonprosecution agreement (see sec. 12.6812)	22-50
22.836	In context of agreement pursuant to which defendant makes admission in lieu of trial in chap. 980 proceeding (see sec. 10.7332)	22-50
22.837	In other contexts	22-50
22.84	Relating To Counsel	22-50
22.841	In general	22-50
22.842	Right to the effective assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.2)	22-50
22.843	Counsel's assistance at presentence interview	22-50
22.8431	Defendant has no due process right to counsel's assistance at presentence interview	22-50
22.844	Counsel's assistance on appeal	22-50
22.8441	In general (see sec. 25.173)	22-50
22.8442	Lack of counsel's aid in preparing petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision (see sec. 25.174)	22-50
22.845	Counsel's assistance in postconviction (i.e., sec. 974.06) review	22-50
22.8451	Denial of counsel's assistance in pursuing postconviction relief	22-50
22.8452	No need to follow Anders (i.e., no merit) procedures when appointed counsel finds no meritorious issues in postconviction proceeding where defendant has no constitutional right to appointed counsel (see sec. 11.89454)	22-50
22.846	Forfeiture of proceeds from criminal activity that defendant wants to use to pay attorney's fees	22-50
22.847	Right to counsel in noncriminal proceeding	22-50
22.848	Charging defense counsel with crime on eve of trial to disqualify counsel, to delay trial, or for some other improper purpose	22-51
22.85	Relating To State's Procurement Or Participation In Criminal Offense	22-51
22.851	Creating criminal intent that would not have existed but for state agent's importuning (entrapment) (see sec. 5.2)	22-51

22.852	Law enforcement officers engaging in tactics that are fundamentally unfair because the officers are so enmeshed in the criminal activity that they can be said to have instigated it (outrageous governmental conduct) (see sec. 5.31)	22-51
22.86	Relating To Prosecutorial Delay In Charging	22-51
22.861	Deliberate delay in charging until defendant reaches eighteenth birthday to avoid juvenile court jurisdiction (see secs. 42.42 and 42.92)	22-51
22.862	Deliberate delay in institution of prosecution to prejudice defense (see sec. 22.312)	22-51
22.87	Relating To Other Matters	22-51
22.871	Grant of new trial based on newly discovered evidence	22-51
22.8711	In general	22-51
22.8712	Standard of appellate review of question whether due process requires grant of new trial based on newly discovered evidence	22-51
22.872	Unforeseeable judicial construction of statute creating criminal offense, unforeseeable judicial abrogation of previously recognized defense, or unforeseeable judicial interpretation of common law, that has effect of criminalizing defendant's conduct or enlarging his punishment	22-51
22.8721	In general	22-51
22.8722	Case decided after offense was committed has no bearing on whether law at time of offense was clear enough to provide fair notice	22-52
22.873	Incomplete record of proceedings in trial court	22-52
22.874	Punishing person for doing what law allows	22-52
22.875	Imposition of occupational tax designed to aid law enforcement rather than raise revenue	22-52
22.876	Imposition of tax on controlled substances	22-52
22.877	Setting aside of conviction on basis that defendant is "actually innocent"	22-52
22.878	Due process limitations on prosecutor's use of inconsistent arguments	22-52
22.8781	In general	22-52
22.8782	To obtain conviction	22-52
22.8783	To obtain death sentence (see sec. 9.5185)	22-52
22.879	Compelling defendant to take antipsychotic drug	22-52
22.8791	To render him competent to stand trial (see secs. 6.6397 and 22.586)	22-52
22.8792	To reduce his dangerousness	22-52
22.8793	To reduce threats to his health	22-52
22.88	Relating To Other Matters (cont'd)	22-52
22.881	When prosecutorial misconduct rises to level of due process violation in general	22-52
22.882	Requirement of defendant's competency (i.e., ability to comprehend, and intelligently participate in, proceedings)	22-52
22.8821	Defendant's competency to proceed to trial (see sec. 6.6311)	22-52
22.8822	Competency to pursue post-conviction proceedings (see sec. 10.1651)	22-53

22.8823	Competency to participate in probation or parole revocation proceedings (see sec. 41.31291)	22-53
22.883	Outrageous governmental conduct in prosecuting case	22-53
22.884	Charging defense counsel with crime on eve of trial to disqualify counsel, to delay trial, or for some other improper purpose (see sec. 22.848)	22-53
22.885	Charging offenses with respect to which evidence was introduced in prior prosecution of different offense, when trial court admitted evidence at prior prosecution with admonition that district attorney would not be permitted to prosecute offense if he adduced evidence regarding it (see sec. 22.344)	22-53
22.886	Execution of search warrant	22-53
22.8861	When law enforcement officers seize property pursuant to warrant, due process requires reasonable notice that property has been taken so owner can pursue available remedies for its return (see sec. 1.4295)	22-53
22.887	Misleading person as to rights	22-53
22.888	Judicial construction of statute that simply declares what the statute has always meant does not violate due process	22-53
22.889	Retroactive application of statute	22-53
22.8891	General principles	22-53
22.8892	Particular situations	22-53
22.88921	Applying requirement that commitment order shall require "that person be placed in institutional care" to persons for whom petition for commitment filed prior to effective date of enactment of that requirement, but for whom commitment order entered after that date (see sec. 10.7442)	22-53
22.88922	Applying requirement that committed person wait eighteen months before filing petition for supervised release to persons for whom petition for commitment filed prior to effective date of enactment of that requirement, but for whom commitment order entered after that date (see sec. 10.7623)	22-54
22.89	Relating To Other Matters (cont'd)	22-54
22.891	Police officer does not violate substantive due process by causing death through deliberate or reckless indifference to life in high-speed automobile chase aimed at apprehending suspected offender; only purpose to cause harm unrelated to legitimate object of arrest will satisfy the element of arbitrary conduct shocking to the conscience, necessary for a due process violation	22-54
22.892	Relating to imposition of conditions of probation	22-54
22.8921	Requirement that condition of probation must give fair notice of conduct that is prohibited (see sec. 9.623)	22-54
22.893	Due process not violated by permitting prosecutor during closing argument to call jury's attention to fact that defendant, who testified, had opportunity to hear all other witnesses testify and to tailor his own testimony accordingly	22-54

22.894	Strip search of prisoner returning to segregation status from disciplinary hearing	22-54
22.895	Creating two related but discrete offenses, both of which may be charged and submitted to jury, but with respect to which there may be only one conviction and punishment	22-54
22.8951	In general (see sec. 22.2851)	22-54
22.8952	Drunk driving statute that proscribes operating motor vehicle while intoxicated and operating motor vehicle with prohibited alcohol concentration and permits charging both offenses and submitting both offenses to jury, but prohibits conviction and punishment for both offenses (see sec. 22.2852)	22-54
22.896	Assessing against criminal defendant costs of transportation and service of orders to produce for trial court hearings that were adjourned through no fault or action of the defendant	22-54
22.897	Sex offender reporting and registration requirements	22-54
22.8971	In general	22-54
22.8972	Allowing juveniles convicted of sexual contact with child who was not more than four years younger than the offender to seek to be excused from sex offender registration requirement, but not allowing juvenile convicted of false imprisonment of victim who was not more than four years younger than offender to seek to be excused from that requirement (see sec. 47.87221)	22-55
22.8973	Subjecting juveniles to requirements (see secs. 42.96323 and 42.96324)	22-55
22.898	Transfer of convicted defendant to out-of-state prison facility	22-55
22.899	Other matters	22-55
22.8991	Juvenile's right to credit on disposition for pretrial time spent in secure detention (see sec. 22.642)	22-55
22.8992	Prosecution for crime in Wisconsin after federal or other state prosecution for crime based on same conduct, when such successive prosecutions are not barred by either constitutional or statutory double jeopardy provisions	22-55
22.8993	Due process not violated by disparities between drunk driving sentencing guidelines established in each judicial administrative district under Wis. Stat. § 346.65(2m) that result in greater punishment in one district than would be imposed in another (see sec. 37.21771)	22-55
22.8994	Due process not violated when drunk driving sentencing guidelines, established in each judicial administrative district under Wis. Stat. § 346.65(2m), are implemented differently in different counties within district (see sec. 37.21772)	22-55
22.8995	Does Wis. Stat. § 808.08(3), which places duty on parties to initiate further proceedings in circuit court on remand, violate due process?	22-55
22.8996	With respect to use of defendant's involuntary statements, rights conferred by due process clause and Fifth Amendment are coextensive (see sec. 24.8993)	22-55

22.8997	Shakedown search of jail cell in inmate's absence	22-55
22.8998	Recoupment from defendant of attorney's fees for indigent defendant	22-56
22.89981	In general	22-56
22.89982	Defendant may not be committed to jail for failure to pay attorney's fees absent finding that defendant was able to pay attorney-fee obligation (see sec. 12.72242)	22-56
22.8999	Requiring person convicted of crime that lacks sexual element (e.g., false imprisonment of minor) to comply with sex offender reporting requirement (see sec. 47.87224)	22-56

Chapter 23 Right To Equal Protection

23.1	General Principles Relating To Equal Protection	23-1
23.11	Scope Of Equal Protection Guarantee In General	23-1
23.111	In general	23-1
23.112	Legislature may choose to address one evil at a time	23-2
23.113	Statute does not violate equal protection because it is underinclusive	23-2
23.114	Legislature may determine which crimes are in need of more urgent repression than others	23-2
23.115	Mere inequity, standing alone, cannot serve as basis for equal protection complaint	23-2
23.116	Court's obligation to locate or construct rationale for statutory classification	23-2
23.117	Legislature not required to choose best or wisest means to achieve its goals	23-2
23.12	Equal Protection Tests	23-2
23.121	In general	23-2
23.122	When confronted with equal protection challenge, court must first determine which test is applicable (i.e., which level of judicial scrutiny is required)	23-2
23.123	Traditional (rational relationship) test	23-3
23.1231	In general	23-3
23.1232	When applicable	23-4
23.12321	In general	23-4
23.12322	Absent purposeful racial discrimination, existence of differential impact of law upon one race is subject to rational basis analysis	23-5
23.12323	Applicable to challenges to statutes involving differences in criminal penalties	23-5
23.124	Intermediate (substantially related to important governmental objectives) test	23-5
23.1241	In general	23-5
23.1242	When applicable	23-6
23.125	Strict scrutiny (compelling state interest) test	23-6
23.1251	In general	23-6

23.1252	When applicable in general: when legislative classification impermissibly interferes with exercise of fundamental right or operates to peculiar disadvantage of suspect class	23-6
23.1253	Determining whether classification impermissibly interferes with exercise of fundamental right, thereby triggering strict scrutiny	23-7
23.12531	In general	23-7
23.12532	There is no fundamental right not to be incarcerated for criminal behavior	23-7
23.12533	There is no fundamental right to be treated as a juvenile	23-7
23.12534	There is no fundamental right to preliminary examination	23-7
23.12535	Distinctions based on age insofar as exercise of fundamental rights is concerned	23-7
23.12536	After criminal conviction, there is no fundamental right, triggering strict scrutiny, to be free from deprivations of liberty (i.e., sentencing) as a result of arbitrary distinction, so long as arbitrary distinction does not violate due process	23-8
23.12537	Invoking right to present defense to trigger strict scrutiny	23-8
23.12538	Classifications of crimes in ways that affect sentencing	23-8
23.1254	Determining whether classification operates to particular disadvantage of suspect class, thereby requiring strict scrutiny	23-8
23.12541	General principles for determining whether person is member of suspect class	23-8
23.12542	Particular class: children	23-8
23.12543	Absent purposeful racial discrimination, existence of differential impact of law upon one race is subject to rational basis analysis (see sec. 23.12122)	23-8
23.13	Relationship Between State And Federal Constitutional Guarantees (see sec. 23.72)	23-8
23.14	Need For State Action	23-8
23.141	What constitutes state action	23-8
23.15	Other Matters	23-8
23.151	Equal protection not violated when statutory mandates followed by public officers with respect to defendant even though other public officers fail to follow statutory mandates with respect to others similarly situated	23-8
23.152	Equal protection not offended by under-inclusive or over-inclusive restriction on one's ability to engage in conduct not protected by constitution (see sec. 23.663)	23-8
23.153	Legislature's elimination of distinction in statute that is challenged as violative of equal protection is not indication that distinction lacked rational basis	23-8

23.154	Statute does not violate equal protection merely because it is not all-embracing; legislature may recognize degrees of harm and confine restrictions to those classes of cases where need is deemed clearest	23-8
23.155	Legislature may address one phase of problem and neglect others	23-9
23.156	Is it irrational, and therefore a violation of equal protection, to prescribe greater punishment for less serious offense than is prescribed for more serious offense?	23-9
23.1561	In general	23-9
23.1562	When other factors in addition to seriousness of offense (e.g., offense committed by recidivist, offense committed in particular way [e.g., while armed, while wearing bulletproof vest]) are present	23-9
23.157	Tests for violation of equal protection and for violation of substantive due process are similar	23-9
23.2	Classification And Discrimination Based On Impermissible Factors	23-9
23.21	Based On Sex	23-9
23.211	In making one criminally liable for act	23-9
23.212	In decision to prosecute	23-9
23.213	In jury selection	23-9
23.2131	In general	23-9
23.2132	Use of peremptory strikes to exclude jurors of particular sex (see sec. 8.16421)	23-9
23.22	Based On Race	23-9
23.221	In making one criminally liable for act	23-9
23.222	In decision to prosecute	23-9
23.223	In jury selection	23-10
23.2231	In general	23-10
23.2232	Use of peremptory strikes to exclude jurors of particular race (see secs. 8.154 and 8.16)	23-10
23.224	In selecting members of grand jury (see sec. 4.1212)	23-10
23.225	In selecting grand jury foreman (see sec. 4.1222)	23-10
23.226	In decision to impose death penalty	23-10
23.227	Absent purposeful racial discrimination, existence of differential impact of law upon one race is subject to rational basis analysis (see sec. 23.12122)	23-10
23.23	Based On Wealth (see sec. 23.3)	23-10
23.24	Based On Alienage	23-10
23.25	Based On Invocation Of Constitutional Rights (e.g., right to free speech or press)	23-10
23.251	In decision to prosecute	23-10
23.26	Based On Religion	23-10
23.261	In decision to prosecute	23-10
23.27	Based On Other Factors	23-10
23.3	Equal Treatment Of Indigents	23-10
23.31	Indigent's Rights	23-10
23.311	Right to transcript	23-10
23.3111	In general	23-10
23.3112	Transcript of separate trial of codefendant	23-11

23.312	Right to assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.54)	23-11
23.313	Right to assistance of expert witnesses	23-11
23.3131	In general (see sec. 28.6421)	23-11
23.3132	Child psychologist (see sec. 28.6422)	23-11
23.3133	Pediatrician (see sec. 28.6423)	23-11
23.3134	Psychiatrist (see sec. 6.6422)	23-11
23.314	Right to appeal	23-11
23.32	Imprisonment Of Indigent For Failure To Pay Fine, Costs, Fees, Surcharges, Or Other Obligation Imposed In Criminal Proceeding	23-11
23.321	For Failure To Pay Fine (see sec. 9.473)	23-11
23.322	For Failure To Pay Attorney's Fees For Court-Appointed Counsel (see sec. 12.72242)	23-11
23.33	Revocation Of Indigent's Probation For Failure To Pay Fine Or Make Restitution	23-11
23.34	Denial Of Credit Or Good Time For Period Indigent Defendant Unable To Raise Bail Spends In Jail Awaiting Trial	23-11
23.341	Denial of credit on sentence	23-12
23.342	Denial of credit on incarceration or confinement imposed as condition of probation	23-12
23.343	Denial of good time for period (see sec. 23.6112)	23-12
23.344	Denial of credit toward parole eligibility date established by court under Wis. Stat. § 973.014(1)(b) (see sec. 23.6121)	23-12
23.35	Deployment Of Uniformed Law Enforcement Officers In Courtroom During Trial Of One Financially Unable To Post Bail	23-12
23.36	Discrimination In Decision To Charge	23-12
23.37	Imposition Of Lengthy Term Of Probation Or Extended Supervision To Allow Defendant Of Limited Means Time To Pay Ordered Restitution	23-12
23.4	Different Sanctions Applicable To Same Conduct	23-12
23.41	Overlapping Criminal Statutes Prescribing Different Penalties	23-12
23.42	Statutes Creating Crimes With Identical Elements But Prescribing Different Penalties	23-12
23.43	State Statute And Municipal Ordinance	23-12
23.5	Selective Prosecution	23-13
23.51	In General	23-13
23.52	Particular Applications	23-13
23.521	Policy of enforcing selective service registration requirement only against those who advised government of their failure to register	23-13
23.522	Policy of enforcing prostitution laws only against women	23-13
23.523	Bringing charge of homicide by negligent operation of vehicle against defendant when such charges have not previously been brought against person engaging in similar conduct	23-13
23.524	Prosecution of blacks on charges involving "crack" cocaine	23-13
23.525	Enforcing proscription on obscene material or performance against selected adult video stores	23-13
23.526	Sending warning letter to one identifiable group of potential offenders, while not sending letter to another identifiable group of potential offenders, and then prosecuting member of latter group	23-13
23.527	Prosecuting only those in particular geographic location	23-13

23.53	When Defendant Entitled To Evidentiary Hearing On Question Whether There Has Been Discriminatory Or Selective Prosecution	23-14
23.54	Appellate Review Of Determination Whether There Has Been Selective Prosecution	23-14
23.55	Other matters	23-14
23.551	Defendant's right to discovery to establish selective prosecution defense	23-14
23.6	Other Applications Of Right To Equal Protection	23-14
23.61	Distinctions Made In Prescribing, Imposing, And Executing Criminal Punishment	23-14
23.611	Differences in computation of good time credits between jail inmates and prison inmates	23-14
23.6111	In general	23-14
23.6112	Denying good time credit awarded for prison time to pretrial jail time	23-14
23.612	Differences on granting of credit for pretrial and other custody	23-14
23.6121	Denial of credit for presentence custody to person for whom trial court sets parole eligibility date under Wis. Stat. § 973.014	23-14
23.613	Disparity in sentencing (see sec. 9.43)	23-14
23.614	Equal protection not violated by provision for computing when defendant is repeat offender subject to increased penalty under drunk driving law	23-14
23.615	Statute imposing more severe punishment for less serious crime than is imposed for more serious crime	23-14
23.616	Extending leniency to one pleading guilty and denying it to one going to trial	23-14
23.617	Differences in when consecutive sentences may be imposed	23-14
23.618	Greater punishment under repeater statute for misdemeanor repeater committing less serious misdemeanor or committing misdemeanor rather than felony	23-15
23.619	Equal protection not violated by enhancing punishment for offense when offense committed in school zone (see sec. 31.6611)	23-15
23.62	Distinctions Made In Prescribing, Imposing, And Executing Criminal Punishment (cont'd)	23-15
23.621	Increasing penalty for crime because actor leaves state	23-15
23.622	Denial of credit for period indigent defendant unable to raise bail spends in jail awaiting trial (see sec. 23.34)	23-15
23.623	Irrational sanction prohibited	23-15
23.624	Choice of crimes that subject defendant to enhanced punishment for being persistent repeater	23-15
23.625	Imposition of harsher punishment on one delivering cocaine base than on one delivering cocaine powder	23-15
23.626	Prescription of more severe penalty for armed burglary than for unarmed burglary	23-15
23.627	Distinction in treatment between misdemeanant serving sentence in state prison because he has other prison sentences and conventional misdemeanant held in county jail or house of correction	23-15
23.628	Other distinctions	23-15

23.6281	Prescribing life imprisonment without parole for persons previously committing two serious felonies while requiring only one prior serious sex offense to impose that punishment	23-15
23.6282	Equal protection not violated by exceptions to requirement that preliminary hearing be held for probation or parole violators	23-15
23.6283	Equal protection not violated by treating person who previously refused breathalyzer test and previously was found not guilty differently than person who took test and previously was found not guilty (former is treated as second offender, while latter is treated as first offender) for purposes of determining punishment for drunk driving (see sec. 37.21721)	23-16
23.6284	Equal protection not violated by treating person who previously refused breathalyzer and previously was found guilty the same as person who refused breathalyzer and is found not guilty (both are treated as second offenders) for purposes of determining punishment for drunk driving (see sec. 37.21722)	23-16
23.6285	Classification of crimes that make one ineligible for early release program	23-16
23.63	Other Applications	23-16
23.631	Differences between delinquency and CHIPS proceedings do not require Becker hearing	23-16
23.632	Prosecutor's refusal to grant immunity to state's witness who invokes privilege against self-incrimination on cross-examination	23-16
23.633	Classification based on content of speech	23-16
23.634	Commitment of defendants found not guilty by reason of insanity	23-16
23.635	Lack of counsel's aid in preparing petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision (see sec. 25.174)	23-16
23.636	Increasing sentence upon reconviction after reversal of first conviction	23-16
23.637	Incomplete record of trial court proceedings	23-16
23.638	Differing standards for civil and criminal commitments	23-16
23.639	Creating criminal liability for operating motor vehicle with 0.1 grams or more of alcohol in 210 liters of breath	23-16
23.64	Other Applications (cont'd)	23-17
23.641	Equal protection not violated by exception to physician-patient privilege for homicide trials (see sec. 17.3424)	23-17
23.642	Determination of adult court jurisdiction over defendant who committed crime while juvenile is not irrational and arbitrary on ground that it is based on date of charging, not date of offense	23-17
23.643	Equal protection limitations on prosecutor's exercise of discretion	23-17
23.6431	General principles	23-17
23.6432	Particular exercises of discretion	23-17
23.64321	Refusal to consent to jury waiver	23-17
23.64322	Exercise of peremptory strikes (see sec. 8.16)	23-17
23.64323	Discretion to charge in general (see sec. 23.5)	23-17

23.64324	Prosecutor's discretion to choose whether to charge defendant as persistent offender does not violate equal protection	23-17
23.64325	Prosecutor's discretion to charge as crime or refer matter for civil prosecution does not violate equal protection	23-17
23.64326	Equal protection not violated where prosecutor charges more serious of two identical-element crimes that have different penalties	23-17
23.644	Exemption of Native Americans from controlled substances law does not violate equal protection	23-17
23.645	No equal protection violation in District of Columbia statute prohibiting congregation at foreign embassy	23-17
23.646	Imposing mandatory minimum penalty on person who sells drugs within 1,000 feet of school premises	23-17
23.647	Federal government's failure to file "substantial assistance" motion allowing downward departure from sentencing guidelines may not be based on impermissible factors such as race or religion	23-17
23.648	Conferring adult court jurisdiction over crime committed by defendant when defendant was fifteen years of age or younger	23-18
23.649	Granting good time or mandatory release on NGI commitment, but denying it on incompetency commitment	23-18
23.65	Other Applications (cont'd)	23-18
23.651	Granting right to jury trial in reexamination proceedings relating to persons committed because of mental disease or defect, but denying jury trial at recommitment proceeding	23-18
23.652	Classification in hostage-taking statute that differentiates defendants who release hostage unharmed before arrest from those who do not	23-18
23.653	Requirement of controlled substances act that weight of carrier medium is to be included when determining appropriate penalty	23-18
23.654	Denying juvenile procedures and protections afforded adults	23-18
23.6541	In general	23-18
23.6542	Denying juvenile credit on disposition for pretrial time spent in secure detention while granting adults credit on sentence for pretrial custody	23-18
23.655	Equal protection not violated by juvenile code provision which, with stated exceptions, vests "adult" court with jurisdiction over traffic and motor vehicle offenses committed by children sixteen years of age and older	23-18
23.656	Statute conferring criminal court jurisdiction over child accused of committing assault or battery in secured correctional facility (see sec. 42.112)	23-18
23.657	Statutory provision relating to preliminary examination of child accused of committing assault or battery in secured correctional facility (see sec. 42.112)	23-18
23.658	Presumptive minimum sentence for child accused of assault or battery in secured correctional facility (see sec. 31.6931)	23-18
23.659	Introduction of DNA testing results based on comparison of defendant's DNA to population data bases that do not include defendant's racial group	23-18

	<i>Page</i>
23.66 Other applications (cont'd)	23-18
23.661 Distinction made in sexual assault statute between sexual intercourse and sexual contact insofar as element of intent is imposed	23-19
23.662 Distinguishing between civil and criminal cases with respect to prohibition on requiring witness to invoke fifth amendment privilege in presence of jury and on drawing adverse inference from witness's invocation of fifth amendment privilege	23-19
23.663 Equal protection not offended by under-inclusive or over-inclusive restriction on one's ability to engage in conduct not protected by constitution	23-19
23.664 Punishing enticement of child with intent to commit crime of exposing sex organ as class C felony when penalty for act of exposure itself is only class A misdemeanor	23-19
23.665 Advising noncommercial OWI suspects of commercial motor vehicle warnings under implied consent law when other law enforcement officers do not advise noncommercial operators of such warnings	23-19
23.666 Exemption for libraries and educational institutions in statute proscribing exposing a child to harmful material	23-19
23.667 Requiring convicted burglars to pay DNA surcharge whether or not DNA sample given, while charging all other convicted persons the surcharge only if DNA sample has been given	23-19
23.668 Different procedures, under Wis. Stat. §§ 346.65(2) and 973.12(1), for establishing prior offenses that render defendant liable for increased punishment as repeat offender do not violate equal protection	23-19
23.669 Distinctions and classifications in felon-in-possession-of-firearm statute (e.g., distinction between felons and misdemeanants, failure to distinguish violent from non-violent felonies, and exemption of correctional officers employed before May 1, 1982) do not violate equal protection (see sec. 38.14114)	23-19
23.67 Other applications (cont'd)	23-19
23.671 Using different standard for proving prior convictions that subject defendant to increased punishment for being repeat offender under Wis. Stat. § 343.44(2), than is used under Wis. Stat. § 973.12(1), does not violate equal protection	23-19
23.672 Holding that NGI acquittee who has been committed is prisoner for purposes of statute prescribing battery by prisoners	23-19
23.673 Forbidding competent, terminally ill persons to hasten death by self administering prescribed drugs while forbidding those who wish to hasten death to do so by directing removal of life-support systems	23-20
23.674 Differences and distinctions between standards and procedures for commitment under sexually violent person commitment (ch. 980) proceeding and standards and procedures for chap. 51 commitment	23-20
23.675 Differences and distinctions between standards and procedures for sexual violent person commitment (ch. 980) proceeding and standards and procedures for proceeding relating to commitment of one found not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect (Wis. Stat. § 971.17)	23-20

23.676	Differences in allocation of burden of proof on mitigating circumstances of first-degree intentional homicide and on defense in prosecutions for injury or death resulting from drunk driving that injury or death would have occurred even in absence of intoxication	23-20
23.677	Denial of preliminary examination to corporations while granting it to natural persons	23-20
23.678	No equal protection violation in standards applied in preliminary examination in single-count complaint setting and in multiple-count complaint setting because the standards are the same in both settings (see sec. 6.445)	23-20
23.679	Stalking law's exemption for conduct involving labor disputes	23-20
23.68	Other Applications (cont'd)	23-20
23.681	Denial of right to jury trial in juvenile delinquency proceeding while granting jury trial in other proceedings	23-20
23.682	Prostitution statute does not violate equal protection clause	23-20
23.683	Because standard of proof (i.e., "substantial probability") is the same in both ch. 51 proceedings and ch. 980 proceedings, there is no denial of equal protection	23-20
23.684	Different standards of proof in competency proceeding depending on whether defendant claims to be competent or incompetent	23-21
23.685	Requiring defendant to pay cost of order to produce him for court appearances while he is in state custody, when allegedly similarly situated defendants in county custody are not assessed these fees	23-21
23.686	Treatment of deaf persons under implied consent law	23-21
23.687	Allowing juveniles convicted of sexual contact with child who was not more than four years younger than the offender to seek to be excused from sex offender registration requirement, but not allowing juvenile convicted of false imprisonment of victim who was not more than four years younger than offender to seek to be excused from that requirement (see sec. 47.87221)	23-21
23.688	Applying Prisoner Litigation Reform Act to in-state prisoners but not to out-of-state prisoners	23-21
23.689	Transfer of convicted defendant to out-of-state prison facility	23-21
23.69	Other Applications (cont'd)	23-21
23.691	Equal protection not violated by disparities between drunk driving sentencing guidelines established in each judicial administrative district under Wis. Stat. § 346.65(2m) that result in greater punishment in one district than would be imposed in another (see sec. 37.21771)	23-21
23.692	Equal protection not violated when drunk driving sentencing guidelines, established in each judicial administrative district under Wis. Stat. § 346.65(2m), are implemented differently in different counties within district (see sec. 37.21772)	23-21
23.693	Consistency with equal protection of standards and procedures in ch. 980 proceedings that are different from those afforded in ch. 51 proceedings	23-21
23.6931	General principles	23-21
23.69311	In general	23-21

23.69312	Persons committed under chs. 51 and 980 are similarly situated for purposes of equal protection guarantee	23-21
23.69313	Level of judicial scrutiny to be applied in determining whether differences in standards and procedures violate equal protection	23-21
23.6932	Because standard of proof (i.e., "substantial probability") is the same in both ch. 51 proceedings and ch. 980 proceedings, there is no denial of equal protection (see sec. 23.683)	23-22
23.6933	Consistency with equal protection of not closing ch. 980 proceedings to public when proceedings would be closed to public in ch. 51 proceeding	23-22
23.6934	Equal protection denied by failure to provide for jury trial at discharge hearing under ch. 980 while respondents have right to jury trial at discharge hearing under ch. 51	23-22
23.6935	Consistency with equal protection of fact that pattern jury instructions provide for special verdict in ch. 51 commitments and for general verdict in ch. 980 commitments	23-22
23.6936	Consistency with equal protection of alleged disparate application of special verdicts in ch. 51 and ch. 980 cases	23-22
23.6937	Consistency with equal protection of different standard for commitment: ch. 51 employs "substantial probability" standard while ch. 980 employs "likely" standard	23-22
23.6938	Consistency with equal protection of fact that ch. 51 requires recent overt act or omission to show dangerousness, while ch. 980 does not	23-22
23.69381	In general	23-22
23.69382	When, before commencement of ch. 980 proceeding, defendant was released to community upon finding he was ineligible for commitment	23-22
23.694	Different limitations on successive prosecutions imposed generally for all offenses by Wis. Stat. § 939.71 and specifically for drug offenses by Wis. Stat. § 961.45 do not violate equal protection of the laws	23-22
23.695	Denial of sentence credit, to Wisconsin prisoner serving Wisconsin sentence in another state, that is awarded under that state's law to persons serving sentences under that state's law	23-22
23.696	Consistency with equal protection of denial of special verdict in ch. 980 cases	23-23
23.697	Permitting state to dismiss prosecution after trial court excludes evidence for discovery violation under Wis. Stat. § 971.23(7m)(a) does not violate equal protection	23-23
23.698	Consistency with equal protection of denying person seeking supervised release under chap. 980, appointment of expert examiner of his own choosing (see sec. 10.76333)	23-23
23.699	Other applications	23-23
23.6991	Subjecting juveniles to sex offender reporting requirements (see sec. 42.96325)	23-23

23.6992	Proscribing operation of motor vehicle with detectable amount of restricted controlled substance in one's blood does not deny equal protection (see sec. 37.2214)	23-23
23.6993	Charging crime with more severe penalty when offense with less serious penalty also covers defendant's conduct (see sec. 23.41)	23-23
23.6994	Consistency, with equal protection, of requiring person convicted of crime that lacks sexual element (e.g., false imprisonment of minor) to comply with sex offender reporting requirement (see sec. 47.87224)	23-23
23.7	Other Matters Relating To Equal Protection	23-23
23.71	Equal Protection Constitutionally Guaranteed	23-23
23.72	State And Federal Protections Substantially Equivalent	23-23
23.73	Standing To Raise Equal Protection Claim	23-24
23.74	Burden On Person Challenging Statute On Equal Protection Grounds	23-24
23.75	Waiver Of Equal Protection Claim	23-24
23.76	Appellate Review Of Equal Protection Challenge	23-24
23.761	Standard of review	23-24
23.77	Equal Protection Under State Constitution	23-24
23.771	Equal protection guaranteed by state constitution	23-24
23.772	Source of equal protection guarantee in state constitution	23-24
23.773	State constitutional protection is substantially equivalent to federal constitutional protection (see sec. 23.71)	23-24

Chapter 24

Privilege Against Self-Incrimination

24.1	General Principles Relating To Privilege Against Self-Incrimination	24-1
24.11	Defendant's Privilege Against Self-Incrimination And Right To Remain Silent In General	24-1
24.12	General Principles Governing Scope Of Privilege	24-1
24.121	In general	24-1
24.122	May be invoked when person has "real and appreciable" apprehension that information could be used against him	24-1
24.123	Privilege extends not only to direct use of information but also to derivative use	24-2
24.1231	In general	24-2
24.1232	When expert opinion and/or testimony is based on statement taken in violation of privilege, that opinion or testimony is inadmissible (see sec. 18.2315)	24-2
24.124	Privilege protects innocent as well as guilty; privilege may be claimed by person who disclaims all culpability	24-2
24.125	Although conduct by law enforcement officials prior to trial may ultimately impair privilege, constitutional violation occurs only at trial	24-2
24.126	Privilege is "fundamental" constitutional right	24-2
24.13	Statements And Actions To Which Privilege Is And Is Not Applicable	24-2
24.131	In general	24-2

	<i>Page</i>
24.132	Limited to evidence of a testimonial nature 24-2
24.133	Limited to statements that are incriminating (i.e., that might be used in criminal proceeding) 24-2
24.134	Limited to statements that are compelled 24-3
24.135	Submission to test for intoxication; refusal to submit to test for intoxication 24-3
24.136	Providing personal identification 24-3
24.137	Production of evidence (see sec. 24.6) 24-3
24.138	Statements intended to be exculpatory are covered 24-3
24.139	Other statements and action 24-3
24.1391	Defendant's testifying at trial 24-3
24.13911	In general 24-3
24.13912	Cross-examination of testifying defendant (see sec. 24.333) 24-3
24.1392	Reporting requirements essential to objectives of regulatory statute not directed at group inherently suspected of criminal activities 24-3
24.1393	Payment of tax on illegal activities 24-3
24.1394	Production of physical evidence in response to subpoena (see sec. 24.642) 24-3
24.1395	Limited to statements that might incriminate in state and federal prosecution; concern with foreign prosecution is beyond scope of self-incrimination clause 24-3
24.1396	Compliance with discovery statutes (see sec. 24.898) 24-4
24.1397	Applicability when person not faced with law enforcement questioning or criminal investigation and questioning conducted in noncoercive atmosphere in which person's freedom of action not curtailed 24-4
24.1398	Privilege extends to information that itself is not inculpatory but may lead to incriminating evidence 24-4
24.1399	Admission of guilt required as part of participation in sex offender treatment program ordered as condition of probation 24-4
24.14	Proceedings In Which Privilege Is And Is Not Applicable 24-4
24.141	In general 24-4
24.142	Privilege generally limited to criminal cases 24-4
24.1421	In general 24-4
24.1422	But may be invoked in any case or proceeding in which information sought could be used in criminal proceeding 24-4
24.143	Psychiatric examination to determine defendant's competency or responsibility 24-4
24.144	Commitment proceedings 24-4
24.1441	In general 24-4
24.1442	Not applicable in civil proceedings for commitment of sexually dangerous persons 24-4
24.145	Parole or probation revocation proceeding 24-4
24.146	Sentencing proceeding 24-4
24.1461	In general 24-4
24.1462	After entry of guilty plea 24-5
24.147	CHIPS proceeding 24-5

24.148	Government agency's investigation of employee misconduct	24-5
24.149	Is applicable to mental responsibility phase of criminal trial	24-5
24.15	Persons To Which Privilege Is And Is Not Applicable	24-5
24.151	Not applicable to extraterritorial aliens	24-5
24.152	Applicable to, and may be invoked by, resident alien	24-5
24.16	Privilege Not Self-Executing; Must Be Invoked	24-5
24.161	General rule: privilege must be invoked	24-5
24.162	Exceptions to general rule	24-5
24.1621	When defendant in custody	24-5
24.1622	When penalty (e.g., loss of job) imposed for exercise of privilege	24-5
24.1623	Tax returns required from gamblers	24-5
24.17	How Long Privilege Survives In Criminal Prosecution With Respect To Crime That Is Subject Of Invocation Of Privilege (see sec. 24.83)	24-5
24.18	Relationship Between Federal And State Constitutional Guarantees (see sec. 24.85)	24-5
24.19	Other Principles	24-5
24.191	Privilege concerned with officially coerced self-accusation	24-5
24.192	Standing to raise self-incrimination claim	24-6
24.193	What constitutes "compulsion" for purposes of privilege against self- incrimination	24-6
24.1931	In general	24-6
24.1932	Requiring person participating in sex offender treatment program as condition of probation to admit guilt	24-6
24.1933	Custody status alone is not sufficient to determine that statements were compelled	24-6
24.194	Privilege does not confer right to lie or give false testimony	24-6
24.195	Federal privilege against self-incrimination applicable to states (see sec. 24.8991)	24-6
24.196	Right to remain silent is not third corner of new "cruel trilemma"	24-6
24.197	Defendant may not circumvent waiver that direct introduction of personal testimony would effect by using expert psychological witness to put his story before jury (see sec. 24.382)	24-6
24.2	Invocation By Witness	24-6
24.21	When Invocation Appropriate	24-6
24.211	In general	24-6
24.212	After conviction of crime with respect to which testimony is self- incriminating	24-6
24.213	After plea of guilty to crime with respect to which testimony is self- incriminating	24-6
24.214	Witness may not testify voluntarily about subject and then invoke privilege against self-incrimination when questioned about details	24-7
24.22	Necessity Of Invocation (see sec. 24.16)	24-7
24.23	Sufficiency Of Invocation	24-7
24.24	Court's Responsibility To Determine That Invocation Of Privilege Is Valid	24-7
24.241	In general	24-7
24.242	Waiver of complaint that court did not adequately assess propriety of witness's invocation of privilege	24-7
24.25	Invocation May Be Made By Attorney On Witness's Behalf	24-7

	<i>Page</i>
24.26 Sustaining Defense Witness's Invocation Of Privilege (see sec. 28.21)	24-7
24.27 Striking Testimony Of Witness Who Invokes Privilege On Cross-Examination (see secs. 20.3523 and 28.22)	24-7
24.28 Prohibition On Requiring Witness To Invoke Privilege In Presence Of Jury	24-7
24.3 Waiver Of Privilege	24-7
24.31 By Failure To Invoke Privilege In A Timely Fashion	24-7
24.32 By Pleading Guilty To Crime	24-7
24.321 In general	24-7
24.322 Guilty plea does not waive self-incrimination privilege at sentencing	24-7
24.33 By Testifying In One's Defense	24-8
24.331 In general	24-8
24.332 When testimony impelled by state's introduction of unlawfully obtained evidence	24-8
24.333 Testifying defendant has no right to claim privilege against self- incrimination on cross-examination	24-8
24.334 Defendant may not circumvent waiver that direct introduction of personal testimony would effect by using expert psychological witness to put his story before jury	24-8
24.335 When defendant waives privilege by testifying in his or her own defense, must court conduct on-the-record colloquy with defendant to determine whether waiver is knowing and voluntary? (see sec. 24.3822)	24-8
24.34 By Raising Insanity Defense	24-8
24.35 By Raising Question Of Competency To Stand Trial	24-8
24.36 By Otherwise Putting Mental Status In Issue	24-9
24.361 In general	24-9
24.362 It makes no difference whether mental status is put in issue to establish affirmative defense or to persuade jury as to element on which state has burden of proof	24-9
24.363 Waiver may, but does not necessarily, occur when defendant offers expert testimony to show that he lacks psychological profile of sex offender and, therefore, did not commit charged sexual assault	24-9
24.37 By Other Action	24-9
24.371 By accepting governmental benefit conditioned on waiver of Fifth Amendment rights	24-9
24.372 By attacking conviction on basis of denial of right to counsel	24-9
24.38 Waiver Of Privilege Must Be Knowing And Voluntary	24-9
24.381 In general	24-9
24.382 Establishing that waiver was knowing and voluntary when defendant has testified in own defense	24-9
24.3821 In general	24-9
24.3822 Must court conduct on-the-record colloquy with defendant at time he testifies in own defense to determine whether waiver is knowing and voluntary?	24-9
24.3823 Post-trial claim that waiver was not knowing and voluntary does not need to be raised within context of claim of ineffective assistance of counsel	24-9

24.3824	Post-trial claim that waiver was not knowing and voluntary requires court to conduct hearing to determine whether waiver was knowing and voluntary	24-9
24.383	Voluntariness of waiver of privilege	24-9
24.3831	General Principles	24-9
24.3832	In Interrogation Context (see sec. 2.2)	24-10
24.3833	When statement given by government employee (e.g., police officer) who reasonably believed that he or she would lose job if she did not give statement (see sec. 2.547)	24-10
24.3834	When statement given under threat of discharge from public employment: such statements are compelled and may not be used in criminal proceeding (see sec. 2.548)	24-10
24.3835	When statement given by police officer during criminal investigation	24-10
24.39	Other Matters	24-10
24.391	No waiver results from testifying at prior proceeding	24-10
24.392	Defendant may not circumvent waiver that direct introduction of personal testimony would effect by using expert psychological witness to put his story before jury (see sec. 24.334)	24-10
24.393	Appellate review of whether waiver has occurred and whether it was valid	24-10
24.4	Protection Afforded By Privilege Outside Courtroom	24-10
24.41	When Privilege Against Self-Incrimination Attaches Outside Courtroom In General	24-10
24.42	During Police Interrogation (see secs. 2.1 and 2.2)	24-10
24.43	Statements Elicited By Undercover Government Agents	24-10
24.44	In Relation To Tests For Inebriation	24-10
24.441	In general	24-10
24.442	Refusal to submit to test to determine inebriation	24-10
24.4421	In general	24-10
24.4422	Blood-alcohol test	24-11
24.4423	Field sobriety test	24-11
24.443	Requiring defendant to perform tests for inebriation (see sec. 24.6213)	24-11
24.45	Compelling Production Of Evidence (see sec. 24.6)	24-11
24.46	During Mental Status (i.e., Psychological Or Psychiatric) Examination	24-11
24.461	In general	24-11
24.462	Mental evaluation of defendant by state's expert when defendant puts mental status at issue in guilt phase of trial	24-11
24.4621	In general	24-11
24.4622	It makes no difference whether mental status is put in issue to establish affirmative defense or to persuade jury as to element on which state has burden of proof	24-11
24.4623	When defendant puts mental status at issue by offering expert testimony to show that he lacks psychological profile of sex offender and, therefore, did not commit charged sexual assault	24-11
24.463	Mental evaluation of defendant by state's expert when defendant enters insanity plea	24-11
24.4631	In general	24-11

24.4632	In case involving insanity plea, it is not necessary to delay state's access to psychiatrist's report of examination until completion of guilt phase	24-12
24.4633	In case involving insanity plea, privilege against self-incrimination protected by prohibition on use of defendant's statements on any issue other than mental condition	24-12
24.47	At Interview By Probation Or Parole Officer	24-12
24.471	In general	24-12
24.472	Prosecution's use of statement obtained from probationer in compliance with duty to account for whereabouts and activities	24-12
24.473	Privilege against self-incrimination does not preclude use at probation revocation proceeding of defendant's refusal to answer agent's questions (see sec. 41.3182)	24-12
24.474	Need to provide use and derivative-use immunity for incriminating statements made to probation or parole agent in compliance with duty to account for whereabouts and activities	24-12
24.48	At Presentence Interview And Investigation	24-12
24.49	Other Circumstances	24-13
24.491	Juvenile mental status examination	24-13
24.492	Compelling production of identification	24-13
24.493	Requirement that welfare recipient report receipt of income and assets	24-13
24.494	Payment of tax on controlled substances and display of stamp demonstrating payment of tax (see sec. 24.891)	24-13
24.495	Imposing tax that requires reporting of unlawful conduct	24-13
24.496	Statute requiring person to summon law enforcement officers or provide assistance to victim when person knows that crime is being committed and that victim is exposed to bodily harm does not violate privilege against self-incrimination	24-13
24.497	Sex offender treatment program ordered as condition of probation	24-13
24.4971	In general	24-13
24.4972	Need to afford use and derivative-use immunity to overcome self-incrimination right implicated in requiring admission of guilt as part of program (see sec. 9.63714)	24-13
24.498	Questioning by governmental employer regarding misconduct on job	24-13
24.499	Other circumstances	24-13
24.4991	Compliance with discovery statutes (see sec. 24.898)	24-13
24.4992	Interview with juvenile regarding delinquent acts that will be basis of CHIPS	24-13
24.4993	Pardon, clemency, and commutation proceedings	24-13
24.4994	Requiring participants in sexual abuse treatment program to admit responsibility for crimes for which they are sentenced and other sexual offenses they have committed, which admissions are not privileged and may be used against participant in future criminal proceeding, when failure to participate in program results in loss of prison privileges	24-14
24.4995	Requiring person involved in accident to identify himself or herself at scene, as required by hit-and-run statute	24-14
24.5	Burdening Exercise Of Privilege	24-14

24.51	Prosecutorial Reference To, Or Use Of, Defendant's Silence (see secs. 8.421 and 20.61)	24-14
24.52	Prohibition On Inferring Guilt From Exercise Of Privilege	24-14
24.521	In general	24-14
24.522	Prohibition On Instructing Jury It May Draw Negative Inference From Defendant's Failure To Testify	24-14
24.523	Prohibition on state's introducing evidence of, or using, defendant's silence to suggest guilt (see secs. 8.421 and 20.61)	24-14
24.53	Failure To Give Instruction On Drawing No Adverse Inferences From Defendant's Failure To Testify (see sec. 8.5375)	24-14
24.54	Creating Affirmative Defense That Compels Defendant To Testify To Avoid Conviction	24-14
24.55	Prosecutor's Attempt To Call Defendant Adversely	24-14
24.56	Penalizing Invocation Of Privilege	24-14
24.561	By subjecting one who goes to trial to possibility of imposition of death penalty, while removing death penalty as possible punishment for one who pleads guilty	24-14
24.562	Increasing sentence because of invocation of self-incrimination privilege (see sec. 9.2522)	24-14
24.563	By introducing evidence of, or using, defendant's silence to suggest guilt (see secs. 8.421 and 20.61)	24-14
24.564	Taking into consideration, and drawing adverse inferences from, person's refusal to testify or answer questions in civil proceeding or investigation	24-15
24.565	By drawing inference, from defendant's refusal to testify at hearing into validity of waiver of counsel, that waiver of counsel was valid	24-15
24.566	By discharging government employee for exercising privilege	24-15
24.6	Compelling Production Of Evidence	24-15
24.61	General Principles	24-15
24.611	In general	24-15
24.612	When production required for effective operation of generally applicable regulatory regime constructed to effect state's public purposes unrelated to the enforcement of its criminal laws	24-15
24.62	Production Of Evidence From Suspect's Person	24-15
24.621	Requiring bodily actions	24-15
24.6211	In general	24-15
24.6212	Voice exemplar	24-15
24.62121	In general	24-15
24.62122	Propriety of court's order requiring defendant to give voice exemplar at trial	24-15
24.62123	Informing a jury of defendant's refusal to provide a voice sample	24-15
24.62124	Denial of defendant's request for an in-court voice lineup	24-16
24.6213	Sobriety test	24-16
24.62131	In general	24-16

24.62132	Suppression of test results on ground that test was compelled under threat to government employee (e.g., police officer) that, if he or she did not perform test, he or she would lose job	24-16
24.6214	Fingerprinting	24-16
24.6215	Photographing	24-16
24.6216	Appearance in lineup	24-16
24.6217	Requiring suspect to assume stance, walk, or make particular gesture	24-16
24.6218	Requiring suspect to wear particular clothing	24-16
24.6219	Handwriting exemplars or specimens	24-16
24.622	Taking samples from body	24-16
24.6221	In general	24-16
24.6222	Blood	24-16
24.6223	Breath	24-16
24.6224	Urine	24-17
24.6225	Hair	24-17
24.63	Production Of Documentary Evidence	24-17
24.631	General principles	24-17
24.6311	In general	24-17
24.6312	Production of documentary evidence in response to subpoena (see sec. 24.642)	24-17
24.632	Income tax returns	24-17
24.633	Corporate and other business records	24-17
24.634	Bank records	24-17
24.64	Production Of Other Evidence	24-17
24.641	Requiring production of child from person having custody of child	24-17
24.642	Requiring production of physical or documentary evidence in response to subpoena	24-17
24.65	Compelling Mental Status (i.e., Psychological Or Psychiatric) Examination Of Defendant	24-17
24.651	In general (see secs. 24.34, 24.35, and 24.46)	24-17
24.652	Appellate review of determination whether compelled mental status examination of defendant is constitutionally permissible	24-17
24.66	Related Matters	24-17
24.661	Compelling suspect to execute consent form directing disclosure of bank records	24-17
24.662	Compliance with discovery and disclosure statutes, rules, and orders (see sec. 24.898)	24-17
24.7	Grant Of Immunity To Supplant Privilege	24-17
24.71	General Principles	24-17
24.711	In general	24-17
24.712	Privilege may be replaced by sufficient grant of immunity	24-18
24.713	Prosecutor can offer, but cannot grant, use immunity	24-18
24.714	Even after grant of immunity, witness may elect not to testify at risk of contempt of court	24-18
24.72	Under Immunity Statute	24-18
24.721	Type of immunity conferred	24-18
24.722	Need for district attorney to request immunity	24-18

	<i>Page</i>
24.723 Sufficiency of invocation of privilege	24-18
24.724 Persons covered under immunity statute applicable to creditor's actions	24-18
24.73 Authority To Grant Immunity	24-18
24.74 Type And Scope Of Immunity Constitutionally Required	24-18
24.75 Use Of Testimony Made After Immunity Grant	24-18
24.751 In general	24-18
24.752 In perjury prosecution arising out of giving of testimony	24-18
24.76 No Use Immunity For Statements Made By Testifying Defendant On Cross- Examination (see sec. 24.331)	24-19
24.77 Use Immunity For Defendant's Testimony At Suppression Hearing	24-19
24.78 A Deponent's Civil Deposition Testimony, Closely Tracking His Prior Immunized Testimony, Is Not Immunized Testimony That Can Be Compelled Over Valid Assertion Of Privilege	24-19
24.79 Other matters	24-19
24.791 Need to grant immunity to defense witness (see sec. 28.212)	24-19
24.792 Need to afford use and derivative-use immunity to overcome self- incrimination right implicated in requiring admission of guilt as part of sex offender treatment program ordered as condition of probation (see sec. 9.63714)	24-19
24.793 Use immunity for statements made by government employee (e.g., police officer) in compliance with rule or regulation requiring statement and imposing job loss as penalty for failure to make statement (Garrity immunity)	24-19
24.7931 In general	24-19
24.7932 When statement is false and is basis for prosecution for crimes such as perjury or obstruction of justice	24-19
24.8 Other Matters Relating To Privilege Against Self-Incrimination	24-19
24.81 Remedy For Violation Of Privilege	24-19
24.811 In general	24-19
24.812 Excluding evidence derived from Fifth Amendment violation (fruit of the poisonous tree doctrine)	24-19
24.82 Use Of Statements Made By Testifying Defendant (see sec. 24.331)	24-20
24.83 How Long Privilege Survives In Criminal Prosecution For Crime That Is Subject Of Invocation Of Privilege	24-20
24.831 Privilege survives conviction through post-conviction attack and appeal	24-20
24.832 How long privilege survives after guilty or no-contest plea	24-20
24.8321 Privilege survives guilty or no-contest plea and continues at least until sentencing	24-20
24.8322 Privilege survives guilty or no-contest plea and applies to mental responsibility phase of criminal trial	24-20
24.8323 Privilege survives guilty or no-contest plea and continues through potential appeal	24-20
24.833 Privilege survives conviction and continues while defendant intends to or is in process of moving to modify sentence and can show appreciable chance of success	24-20

24.834	Privilege does not survive conviction when asserted to prohibit compelling admission of guilt as part of treatment ordered as condition of probation	24-20
24.84	Prohibition On Drawing Adverse Inference From Witness's Invocation Of Fifth Amendment Privilege	24-21
24.841	In general	24-21
24.842	Instructing jury on drawing no adverse inferences from defendant's failure to testify (see sec. 8.5375)	24-21
24.85	Relationship Between State And Federal Constitutional Guarantee	24-21
24.851	In general	24-21
24.852	Granting same or greater protection from compelled self-incrimination in interrogation context under state constitution	24-21
24.853	Granting greater protection from prosecutorial use of defendant's silence (see secs. 8.4218 and 20.6165)	24-21
24.854	Granting greater protection under state constitution insofar as scope of exclusionary rule applied to Fifth Amendment violations is concerned	24-21
24.86	Compelling Suspect To Execute Consent Form Directing Disclosure Of Bank Records	24-21
24.87	Propriety Of Calling Witness Knowing He Will Invoke Privilege Against Self-Incrimination (see sec. 20.371)	24-21
24.88	Appellate Review Of Matters Relating To Privilege Against Self-Incrimination	24-22
24.881	In general	24-22
24.882	Review of question whether statute violates privilege against self-incrimination	24-22
24.883	Review of question whether state violated right to remain silent by comment on invocation of right	24-22
24.89	Other Matters	24-22
24.891	Applicability of harmless error rule to admission of evidence in violation of privilege against self-incrimination	24-22
24.8911	In general	24-22
24.8912	Admission of defendant's statement obtained in violation of privilege against self-incrimination (see sec. 11.7321)	24-22
24.8913	Admission of evidence derived from violation of privilege against self-incrimination	24-22
24.892	Consistency with privilege against self-incrimination of statute requiring payment of tax on controlled substances and display of stamp demonstrating such payment, now that derivative use immunity is provided by statute	24-22
24.893	Striking testimony of witness who invokes privilege on cross-examination (see sec. 20.3523)	24-22
24.894	Statute requiring person to summon law enforcement officers or provide assistance to victim when person knows that crime is being committed and that victim is exposed to bodily harm does not violate privilege against self-incrimination (see sec. 24.496)	24-22
24.895	Admissibility of evidence offering explanation for defendant's failure to testify	24-22
24.896	Testifying defendant may be impeached by proof of prior convictions without violation of Fifth Amendment privilege	24-22

24.897	Privilege does not confer right to lie or give false testimony (see sec. 24.194)	24-22
24.898	Requiring compliance with discovery and disclosure statutes, rules, and orders	24-23
24.8981	In general	24-23
24.8982	Statute requiring defendant to provide prosecutor with summary of expert's findings or subject matter of expert's testimony	24-23
24.89821	In general	24-23
24.89822	When experts findings based on information provided by defendant	24-23
24.8983	Notice of alibi	24-23
24.8984	Order requiring disclosure of evidence defendant intended to present in support of self-defense claim	24-23
24.899	Other matters	24-23
24.8991	Applicability of Fifth Amendment's self-incrimination clause to states	24-23
24.8992	Does coercive interrogation violate privilege against self-incrimination if statement that is coerced is never used as evidence against person from whom it has been elicited? (see sec. 2.293)	24-23
24.8993	With respect to use of defendant's involuntary statements, rights conferred by due process clause and Fifth Amendment are coextensive	24-23
24.8994	Consistency with privilege against self-incrimination of statute requiring payment of tax on controlled substances and display of stamp demonstrating such payment, but not providing derivative use immunity for such activity	24-23

Chapter 25 Right To Counsel

25.1	When Counsel Required	25-1
25.11	General Principles	25-1
25.111	In general	25-1
25.112	When right to counsel attaches in criminal prosecution	25-2
25.1121	In general	25-2
25.1122	Right to counsel attaches when warrant is issued or complaint is filed	25-3
25.1123	When no warrant issued or complaint filed, right to counsel attaches at defendant's initial appearance before judicial officer, where he learns accusation against him and his liberty is subject to restriction	25-3
25.11231	In general	25-3
25.11232	Attachment does not require that prosecutor (as distinct from police officer) be aware of initial proceeding or involved in its conduct	25-3
25.113	Attachment of right to counsel on one charge does not give rise to right to counsel on other, uncharged offense	25-3

25.1131	General rule: right to counsel is offense-specific; applies only to charged offense	25-3
25.1132	Is there an exception to general rule where other, uncharged offense is "closely related" to offense on which right to counsel has attached?	25-3
25.11321	No (United States Supreme Court's answer)	25-3
25.11322	Yes (Wisconsin Court of Appeals' answer)	25-3
25.1133	Determination of whether offense has been charged is to be determined by applying the Blockburger test	25-3
25.1134	Deliberate delay in charging to avoid sixth amendment right to counsel	25-3
25.114	Attachment of right to counsel in juvenile delinquency proceeding (see sec. 25.191)	25-4
25.115	Under federal constitution, defendant charged with misdemeanor has no constitutional right to counsel unless sentence of imprisonment is imposed	25-4
25.1151	In general	25-4
25.1152	Right to counsel attaches if sentence of imprisonment is imposed even though sentence is suspended or stayed	25-4
25.116	Sixth Amendment right to counsel applicable to states	25-4
25.117	Sixth Amendment right to counsel does not attach in civil proceedings	25-4
25.118	Counsel required at any "critical stage" of prosecution	25-4
25.119	Other matters	25-4
25.1191	Relationship of state and federal constitutional guarantees of right to counsel (see sec. 25.5977)	25-4
25.1192	When right to counsel attaches, and whether proceeding is "critical stage" of prosecution, present distinct questions	25-4
25.12	When Person Is In Custody	25-4
25.13	At Judicial and Nonjudicial Proceedings That Are Part Of Investigative Process	25-4
25.131	At interrogation	25-4
25.1311	In criminal case (see secs. 2.1 and 2.3)	25-4
25.1312	As part of juvenile detention (see sec. 42.224)	25-4
25.132	At lineup or other identification procedure (see sec. 3.2)	25-4
25.133	At John Doe investigation (see sec. 4.241)	25-4
25.134	At grand jury proceeding (see sec. 4.141)	25-4
25.135	When statement of accused heard by undercover government agent	25-4
25.1351	When statement elicited by government agent after charges filed (see sec. 2.3141)	25-4
25.1352	When statement elicited by government agent before charges filed (see sec. 2.3142)	25-5
25.1353	When statement heard by government agent who did not deliberately elicit it (see sec. 2.315)	25-5
25.136	At court-ordered psychiatric examination (see sec. 25.195)	25-5
25.14	At Judicial and Nonjudicial Proceedings That Are Part Of Formal Criminal Prosecution Leading To Trial Or Guilty Plea	25-5
25.141	In general	25-5
25.142	At preliminary examination	25-5
25.1421	In general	25-5

25.1422	Need for pretrial appeal to challenge denial of right to counsel at preliminary examination (see sec. 6.4863)	25-5
25.1423	When denial of right to counsel at preliminary examination is harmless error	25-5
25.143	At suppression hearing	25-5
25.144	At court-ordered psychiatric examination (see sec. 25.195)	25-5
25.145	At review of indigency determination (see sec. 25.5461)	25-5
25.146	At guilty or no-contest plea proceeding (see sec. 7.2411)	25-5
25.15	At Trial Or Guilty Plea Proceeding	25-5
25.151	At trial	25-5
25.1511	In general	25-5
25.1512	During voir dire examination of potential jurors	25-6
25.1513	Argument on legal issues	25-6
25.1514	During recesses while defendant is on stand	25-6
25.1515	Instruction of jury	25-6
25.1516	Response to jury inquiry	25-6
25.1517	Return of verdict	25-6
25.1518	Communication between judge and jurors	25-6
25.15181	During trial	25-6
25.15182	During deliberations	25-6
25.152	At guilty plea proceeding	25-6
25.16	At Sentencing	25-6
25.161	In general	25-6
25.162	At presentence interview	25-7
25.163	Sentencing after revocation of probation	25-7
25.17	In Post-Conviction Proceedings Attacking Conviction	25-7
25.171	Post-conviction motion and hearing on it	25-7
25.1711	In general	25-7
25.1712	Motion alleging ineffective assistance of counsel and attendant Machner hearing	25-7
25.172	Sec. 974.06 motion or other collateral attack on conviction	25-7
25.1721	In general	25-7
25.1722	In death penalty cases	25-7
25.1723	Fact that probation not revoked until after time for filing direct appeal has expired does not create right to counsel for purposes of a sec. 974.96 motion or other collateral attack on conviction	25-7
25.173	Appeal	25-7
25.1731	From judgment of conviction	25-7
25.17311	In general	25-7
25.17312	Right to counsel on appeal includes guarantee of effective assistance of counsel on appeal	25-8
25.1732	From denial of sec. 974.06 motion	25-8
25.1733	Discretionary appeal	25-8
25.174	Wisconsin Supreme Court review of court of appeals' decision	25-8
25.175	United States Supreme Court's review of state court decision	25-8
25.176	State habeas corpus	25-8
25.1761	In general	25-8
25.1762	In death penalty cases	25-9
25.177	Federal habeas corpus	25-9

	<i>Page</i>
25.178 Hearing challenging adequacy of counsel (see sec. 25.2643)	25-9
25.18 In Post-Conviction Proceedings Resulting From Conviction	25-9
25.181 In probation or parole proceeding	25-9
25.1811 Hearing on extension of probation	25-9
25.1812 Hearing on parole or probation revocation (see sec. 41.3152)	25-9
25.1813 On administrative review of revocation decision (see sec. 41.3153)	25-9
25.1814 On certiorari review in circuit court of revocation decision (see sec. 41.582)	25-9
25.182 Sexual predator (chap. 980) proceeding	25-9
25.1821 In general	25-9
25.1822 First appeal as of right from denial of petition for supervised release	25-9
25.19 In Other Proceedings And Circumstances	25-9
25.191 In juvenile delinquency proceeding	25-9
25.192 When statement of accused heard by undercover government agent	25-9
25.1921 When statement elicited by government agent after charges filed (see sec. 2.3141)	25-9
25.1922 When statement elicited by government agent before charges filed (see sec. 2.3142)	25-9
25.1923 When statement heard by government agent who did not deliberately elicit it (see sec. 2.315)	25-9
25.193 At investigative proceedings (see secs. 4.141 and 4.241)	25-10
25.194 Proceedings relating to issuance of search warrant	25-10
25.1941 Application for search warrant	25-10
25.1942 Hearing at which application for warrant reconstructed because original telephonic application for warrant was not recorded	25-10
25.195 At court-ordered psychiatric examination	25-10
25.1951 In general	25-10
25.1952 When defense counsel has notice of examination	25-10
25.196 At interview by probation officer	25-10
25.197 Sexual predator (chap. 980) proceeding (see sec. 25.182)	25-10
25.198 Other proceedings and circumstances	25-10
25.1981 Hearing on prosecutor's motion to dismiss special prosecutor	25-10
25.1982 At chemical test for intoxication (see sec. 44.26)	25-10
25.1983 In civil or remedial contempt proceeding brought by state in which defendant's liberty is threatened	25-10
25.1984 When prison inmate subject of investigation for criminal activities committed in prison	25-10
25.1985 When conviction used to criminalize, or increase penalty for, subsequent offense	25-10
25.1986 In refusal revocation proceeding (i.e., proceeding seeking revocation of driver's license because of refusal to consent to blood-alcohol testing) (see sec. 44.4124)	25-10
25.2 Ineffective Assistance Of Counsel: General Principles	25-10
25.21 Right to, And Test For Determining Whether Defendant Received, Effective Assistance Of Counsel	25-10

25.211	Right to effective representation in general	25-11
25.2111	In general	25-11
25.2112	Where statutory right to counsel exists, right includes right to effective counsel (see sec. 25.2895)	25-11
25.2113	Right extends to closing argument	25-11
25.2114	Right exists under state constitution	25-11
25.212	Two-prong test for determining adequacy of representation: defendant must show deficient performance and prejudice	25-12
25.2121	In general	25-12
25.2122	Burden of proof with respect to two prongs of test	25-19
25.2123	Test applies when defendant convicted on guilty plea	25-19
25.2124	Defendant must satisfy both prongs to succeed on claim of ineffective assistance of counsel; if defendant fails to meet either prong, ineffective-assistance-of-counsel claim fails	25-19
25.2125	Defendant must prevail on both parts of test to be afforded relief	25-19
25.2126	Two-prong test has been adopted by Wisconsin Supreme Court	25-19
25.213	First prong of test: deficient performance	25-19
25.2131	In general	25-19
25.2132	Judicial review of counsel's performance must be highly deferential; there is a strong presumption that counsel acted reasonably within professional norms	25-22
25.2133	Counsel not required to argue point of law that is unsettled	25-24
25.2134	Test for deficient performance is not legal correctness of counsel's judgments, but rather their reasonableness	25-24
25.2135	Other matters	25-24
25.21351	Counsel not required to have total and complete knowledge of all aspects of reported criminal law, no matter how obscure	25-24
25.21352	Counsel not required to raise every available nonfrivolous defense, regardless of its merit, viability, or realistic chance for success	25-24
25.21353	Counsel is not required to have tactical reason— above and beyond reasonable appraisal of claim's dismal prospects for success—for recommending that weak claim be dropped altogether	25-24
25.21354	When counsel recommends that defendant abandon particular defense (e.g. plea of insanity) and defendant does so, counsel will not be found ineffective simply because defendant "had nothing to lose" by continuing to pursue that defense	25-25
25.21355	Competence does not require attorney to browbeat reluctant witness into testifying	25-25
25.2136	Other matters (cont'd)	25-25
25.21361	Counsel's performance should be judged in light of law at time counsel acts; counsel not required to anticipate change in law	25-25

25.21362	Failure to file, pursue, or make meritless motion, legal challenge, objection, or argument does not constitute deficient performance; if motion, challenge, objection, or argument would have been unsuccessful, trial counsel is not deficient for not filing, pursuing, or making it	25-25
25.21363	Failure to argue issue on appeal that is waived is not ineffective assistance of counsel	25-26
25.21364	In assessing whether performance was deficient, reviewing court may rely on reasoning that trial counsel overlooked or even disavowed	25-26
25.21365	Counsel will not be found deficient for failing to discover information that was available to defendant, but defendant failed to share with counsel	25-26
25.21366	Reviewing court will not second guess counsel's selection of trial tactics or strategies in face of alternatives that counsel has considered	25-26
25.21367	Must judge reasonableness of counsel's conduct based on facts viewed as of time of counsel's conduct	25-26
25.21368	Strategic choices, made after thorough investigation of law and facts, are virtually unchallengeable; court will uphold counsel's strategic decision if it was rationally based on facts and law; reasonable trial strategy is virtually unassailable	25-26
25.21369	Failure to raise an issue of law is not deficient performance if legal issue is later determined to be without merit	25-26
25.2137	Other matters (cont'd)	25-26
25.21371	"Counsel need not be perfect, indeed not even very good, to be constitutionally adequate"	25-26
25.21372	Trial counsel expected to know law relevant to defendant's case (see sec. 25.2241)	25-27
25.21373	Claim of deficient performance based on failure to preserve issue for appellate review need not be considered if appellate court addresses, and rejects defendant's claim relating to, that issue on its merits (see sec. 25.275)	25-27
25.21374	Labeling counsel's action as "strategic" does not insulate it from finding of deficient performance	25-27
25.21375	Burden of proof on question of deficient performance	25-27
25.21376	Challenge to counsel's performance must be based on more than speculation	25-27
25.21377	Reasonableness of counsel's actions may be determined or substantially influenced by defendant's own statements or actions	25-27

25.21378	Test is whether counsel's performance was "objectively" reasonable	25-27
25.21379	Counsel's testimony that his decision was not product of reasoned strategy, but rather of inadvertence or mistake, is not dispositive of question of deficient performance	25-27
25.2138	Other matters (cont'd)	25-27
25.21381	Defense counsel may select particular defense from available alternative defenses and is not required to present jury with alternatives inconsistent with chosen defense	25-28
25.21382	Sixth Amendment guarantees reasonable competence, not perfect advocacy	25-28
25.21383	Even if it appears in hindsight that another defense would have been more effective, counsel's strategic decision will be upheld as long as it is founded on rationality of fact and law	25-28
25.21384	Appellate court will uphold counsel's strategic decision if it was rationally based on facts and law	25-28
25.21385	Counsel not required to cooperate in defendant's attempt to perpetrate fraud on court	25-28
25.21386	When defendant alleges that counsel was ineffective for failing to take certain steps, defendant must show with specificity what the actions, if taken, would have revealed and how they would have altered the outcome of the proceedings (see sec. 25.2673)	25-28
25.21387	Ignorance of well-defined legal principles is nearly inexcusable	25-28
25.21388	Because lawyer's performance is evaluated under prevailing professional norms, case law and treatises on legal malpractice can be instructive	25-28
25.21389	Defendant not entitled to ideal, perfect defense or best defense, only reasonably effective representation	25-28
25.2139	Other matters (cont'd)	25-28
25.21391	Use of ABA Standards, and other statements of professional standards, to determine what is reasonable performance	25-28
25.21392	In determining whether there was deficient performance, court may consider reasons trial counsel overlooked or disavowed	25-29
25.21393	Counsel's right to choose strategy and to select particular defense from available alternative defenses (see sec. 25.218)	25-29
25.21394	Failure to object to objectionable evidence may not be deficient performance if counsel did not object because he or she did not want to draw unwarranted attention to evidence	25-29

25.21395	Deficient performance is limited to situations where law or duty is clear such that reasonable counsel should know enough to raise issue	25-29
25.21396	Attorney's experience is not criterion for determining whether representation was effective in particular case	25-29
25.21397	When prior decisions reveal that action that defense counsel is being faulted for not taking has occurred only once before, court cannot say failure to take that action fell below prevailing professional norms	25-29
25.21398	Defense counsel is not kept from providing effective assistance at preliminary examination by refusal to permit him to subpoena police reports and other non-privileged investigatory materials for examination and copying in anticipation of preliminary examination	25-29
25.214	Second prong of test: prejudice	25-29
25.2141	General principles	25-29
25.21411	In general	25-29
25.21412	When multiple deficiencies in counsel's performance found, prejudice should be assessed based on cumulative effect of those deficiencies	25-34
25.21413	To be included in calculus for prejudice, each act or omission must fall below objective standard of reasonableness	25-34
25.21414	In determining prejudice, must consider totality of evidence	25-34
25.21415	When defendant contends that he will be prejudiced in future by some court rule or ruling, he must demonstrate that he would be prejudiced per se	25-34
25.2142	When prejudice is and is not conclusively presumed, i.e., when particular defect in counsel's performance is and is not deemed prejudicial as matter of law	25-34
25.21421	In general	25-35
25.21422	When counsel fails to object to material and substantial breach of plea agreement as to sentencing recommendation (see sec. 25.3822)	25-35
25.21423	When attorney fails to present known evidence calling into question defendant's competency to stand trial	25-35
25.21424	Actual or constructive denial of assistance of counsel altogether; complete denial of counsel at critical stage of proceeding	25-35
25.21425	When counsel's deficient performance has denied defendant a judicial proceeding (e.g., appeal) to which he is entitled	25-35
25.21426	Failure to timely file notice of appeal or otherwise deprive defendant of direct appeal	25-36

25.21427	Failure to object to instruction that is lacking essential element of crime	25-36
25.2143	When prejudice is and is not conclusively presumed, i.e., when particular defect in counsel's performance is and is not deemed prejudicial as matter of law (cont'd)	25-36
25.21431	Counsel entirely failed to subject prosecution's case to adversarial testing	25-36
25.21432	Counsel was called upon to render assistance under circumstances where competent counsel very likely could not	25-36
25.21433	When counsel had active conflict of interest	25-36
25.21434	When counsel concedes guilt in capital (i.e., death penalty) case, so as to preserve credibility for penalty phase)	25-36
25.2144	When prejudice not presumed	25-36
25.21441	Prejudice not presumed when counsel fails to object to denial of requisite number of peremptory strikes	25-36
25.21442	Prejudice not presumed when counsel fails to file timely request for substitution of judge	25-36
25.21443	Prejudice not presumed when counsel fails to object to oral questioning by jurors or to make offer of proof of follow-up questioning that was not permitted	25-36
25.21444	Prejudice not presumed when appellate counsel performs deficiently by failing to find arguable issues to appeal and, instead, filing no-merit report	25-37
25.21445	Prejudice not presumed when counsel fails to file notice of appeal where defendant has not expressed wishes one way or another on question whether appeal should be filed	25-37
25.21446	Prejudice not presumed when counsel has failed to object to six-person jury, thereby depriving defendant of state constitutional right to twelve-person jury	25-37
25.21447	Prejudice not presumed when counsel fails to object to denial of requisite number of peremptory strikes	25-37
25.21448	Prejudice not presumed when defense counsel improperly shifts from question-answer to narrative format in eliciting defendant's testimony	25-37
25.21449	Prejudice not presumed when counsel fails to make Batson objection (see sec. 25.33221)	25-37
25.2145	When prejudice not presumed (cont'd)	25-37
25.21451	Prejudice not presumed when counsel fails to object to judge's possible violation of authority to call and interrogate witnesses	25-37

25.21452	Prejudice not presumed when counsel fails to object to denial of public trial	25-37
25.21453	Prejudice is not presumed when defense counsel is denied right to subpoena police reports and other non-privileged investigatory materials for examination and copying in anticipation of preliminary examination	25-37
25.2146	Prejudice when defects affect defendant's right to appeal	25-37
25.21461	When ineffective assistance deprives defendant totally of right to appeal	25-37
25.21462	When defendant's counsel fails to pursue appeal	25-38
25.21463	Complete denial of appellate counsel	25-38
25.2147	Burden of proof on question of prejudice	25-38
25.21471	In general	25-38
25.21472	Defendant bears burden of showing prejudice under both the federal and state constitutions	25-38
25.2148	Applicability of prejudice prong to particular defects in counsel's performance	25-38
25.21481	Keeping defendant off stand in violation of his right to testify in own defense	25-38
25.21482	Waiver of Batson violation	25-38
25.2149	Other matters (cont'd)	25-38
25.21491	Failure to timely pursue pretrial motion is not prejudicial if motion would have been properly denied on merits	25-38
25.21492	Failure to raise issue or legal challenge is not prejudicial if defendant's position on that issue is found to be wrong or legal challenge would have been unsuccessful	25-38
25.21493	Failure to make argument is not prejudicial if argument would have been unsuccessful	25-38
25.21494	To show prejudice, more than mere speculation is required; when defect in counsel's performance is failure to present evidence, that evidence must be fully developed at hearing in trial court	25-38
25.21495	Although there are a few situations in which overriding focus on fundamental fairness may affect analysis, separate inquiry into fundamental fairness not appropriate when ineffectiveness of counsel deprives defendant of substantive or procedural right to which law entitles him	25-39
25.21496	Determining when prejudice exists with respect to defects in counsel's performance that do not affect trial and its outcome: counsel's failure to seek enforcement of, or to inform defendant of right to seek enforcement of, plea agreement with which prosecutor has refused to comply (see sec. 25.3964)	25-39

25.21497	When deficient performance directly results in increase in sentence over what it would have been, prejudice prong of test does not require that increase must meet a standard of significance	25-39
25.21498	When there is strong evidence supporting verdict, it is less likely defendant can prove prejudice	25-39
25.21499	Verdict only weakly supported by record is more likely to have been affected by errors than one with overwhelming record support	25-39
25.215	Second prong of test: prejudice (cont'd)	25-39
25.2151	Other matters	25-39
25.21511	In determining prejudice, defendant has no entitlement to luck of lawless decision maker; must assume that decision-maker would reasonably and impartially decide case; assessment of prejudice should not depend on idiosyncracies of particular decision-maker	25-39
25.21512	For purpose of test for prejudice, does "result" refer to judgment of conviction or sentence?	25-40
25.21513	Comparison of test for prejudice and test for harmless error (see sec. 11.7141)	25-40
25.21514	Defendant not prejudiced unless ineffectiveness deprives him of substantive or procedural right to which law entitles him; likelihood of different outcome attributable to incorrect interpretation of law that was accepted at the time of defendant's trial should be regarded as potential "windfall" to defendant rather than legitimate "prejudice"	25-40
25.21515	When decision upon which defendant bases claim of prejudice, which was controlling law at time of alleged ineffective assistance of counsel, is later overruled, prejudice is removed	25-40
25.21516	Does prejudice result from failure to object to prosecutor's breach of agreement as to sentencing recommendation when trial court did not rely on recommendation? (see sec. 25.3823)	25-40
25.21517	When claimed deficiency in counsel's performance relates to charge on which defendant was acquitted, defendant cannot demonstrate prejudice	25-40
25.21518	Test for prejudice when conviction based on guilty plea: when guilty plea entered, defendant must show that he would not have pled guilty if lawyer performed effectively in order to make out claim of prejudice	25-40
25.21519	Determining prejudice when defendant has rejected plea bargain as result of faulty advice of counsel, but has received fair trial	25-40
25.2152	Other matters (cont'd)	25-41

25.21521	In assessing prejudice, may consider success of challenged strategy on counts on which defendant was acquitted	25-41
25.21522	When defendant alleges that counsel was ineffective for failing to take certain steps, defendant must show with specificity what the actions, if taken, would have revealed and how they would have altered the outcome of the proceedings (see sec. 25.2673)	25-41
25.21523	Federal court's assessment, on federal habeas corpus, of whether state court applied correct test of prejudice: general principles governing assessment	25-41
25.21524	Federal court's assessment, on federal habeas corpus, of whether state court applied correct test of prejudice: particular assessments	25-41
25.21525	When claimed deficient performance is failure to adequately question prospective juror on voir dire to uncover bias, test of prejudice is whether counsel's performance resulted in seating of biased juror, not whether differently composed jury would have acquitted defendant (see sec. 25.3342)	25-41
25.21526	Appropriateness of trial court, which acted as fact finder at proceeding, concluding that, had alleged deficient performance not occurred, it would not have altered decision	25-41
25.21527	When claimed deficiency is failure to move to suppress out-of-court identification, but in-court identification would have been admissible even if out-of-court identification should have been suppressed, there is no prejudice (see sec. 25.31382)	25-41
25.21528	To show prejudice, more than mere speculation is required; when defect in counsel's performance is failure to investigate, what investigation would have revealed must be fully developed at hearing in trial court	25-41
25.21529	Defendant is not prejudiced when defense counsel is denied right to subpoena police reports and other non-privileged investigatory materials for examination and copying in anticipation of preliminary examination	25-42
25.2153	Other matters (cont'd)	25-42
25.21531	When alleged deficiency in counsel's performance relates to count on which defendant was acquitted, prejudice is lacking	25-42

25.21532	When deficient performance is failure to present certain evidence in defendant's defense, determination of prejudice requires consideration of evidence that state would be permitted to adduce in rebuttal	25-42
25.216	Need to consider one prong of test when court finds other prong not satisfied	25-42
25.2161	In general	25-42
25.2162	No need to consider performance prong of test if case can be disposed of upon finding of no prejudice	25-44
25.2163	No need to consider prejudice prong of test if case can be disposed of upon finding of no deficient performance	25-44
25.217	Relationship between state and federal guarantees of effective assistance of counsel	25-44
25.2171	In general	25-44
25.2172	Failure to brief issue under state constitution constitutes abandonment of claim under state constitution	25-45
25.218	Counsel's right to choose strategy and to select particular defense from available alternative defenses	25-45
25.219	Other matters	25-45
25.2191	Power of discretionary reversal not intended to enable defendant to circumvent requirements of ineffective-assistance-of-counsel claim when counsel is alleged to have been ineffective for failure to pursue particular defense (see sec. 11.8182)	25-46
25.2192	When defendant's amnesia renders counsel's assistance ineffective	25-46
25.2193	Defendant cannot claim ineffective assistance of counsel in proceeding in which he has no right to counsel	25-46
25.2194	Right to effective assistance of counsel extends to appeal (see sec. 25.245)	25-46
25.2195	Denying defense counsel sufficient preparation time may deprive defendant of effective assistance of counsel	25-46
25.2196	Effect of defendant's instruction to counsel on determination of whether what counsel did was ineffective assistance of counsel	25-46
25.21961	In general	25-46
25.21962	Counsel not required to actively participate in trial when defendant has instructed him or her not to	25-46
25.21963	Counsel may have obligation to make sentencing argument, or to withdraw from case, when defendant orders counsel not to make sentencing argument	25-46
25.21964	Whether defendant's instruction to follow particular course of action precludes attack on counsel's following that course of action depends on whether defendant's decision to issue that instruction was informed by counsel's advice regarding it	25-46

25.21965	Counsel may have obligation to withdraw as counsel rather than to follow defendant's instruction	25-46
25.2197	Distinction between consequences on appeal of trial court error and consequences of same error when raised in ineffective-assistance-of-counsel context	25-46
25.2198	Defendant is entitled to an adequate lawyer, not the best	25-47
25.2199	Other matters	25-47
25.21991	When decision about which defendant complains was the defendant's own decision, he cannot complain that there was ineffective assistance of counsel	25-47
25.21992	When defendant's right to effective assistance of counsel on appeal is denied by state's post-trial destruction of evidence that was not introduced at trial, but which defendant claims is exculpatory	25-47
25.21993	Appellate court is obligated to review ineffective assistance of counsel claims based on law in place at time of appeal	25-47
25.21994	When failure to adequately investigate alleged, defendant must allege with specificity what investigation would have revealed and how it would have altered outcome (see sec. 25.2672)	25-47
25.21995	When defendant alleges that counsel was ineffective for failing to take certain steps, defendant must show with specificity what the actions, if taken, would have revealed and how they would have altered the outcome of the proceedings (see sec. 25.2673)	25-47
25.21996	When defendant alleges that trial counsel was deficient for failing to present testimony, defendant just allege with specificity what particular witness would have said if called to testify (see sec. 25.2674)	25-47
25.21997	When defendant contends his counsel was ineffective for failing to raise particular issue, and appellate court reviews merits of that issue and finds it without merit, it follows that claim of ineffective assistance of counsel is also without merit	25-47
25.22	Duties Of Counsel	25-47
25.221	In general	25-48
25.222	Duties with respect to defendant	25-48
25.2221	To interview defendant	25-48
25.2222	To advise defendant	25-48
25.2223	To prepare defendant for trial	25-48
25.2224	To advise defendant regarding appeal rights	25-48
25.2225	To keep defendant reasonably informed about status of matter	25-48
25.2226	To explain proposed trial strategy to defendant	25-48

	<i>Page</i>
25.223 Duty to investigate	25-48
25.2231 In general	25-48
25.2232 To investigate facts and circumstances of case	25-48
25.2233 To ascertain defendant's prior convictions	25-48
25.2234 To investigate and interview witnesses	25-49
25.2235 To seek psychiatric evaluation of defendant	25-49
25.2236 To investigate possible defenses	25-49
25.22361 In general	25-49
25.22362 Alibi defense	25-49
25.2237 To learn that victim of sexual assault was state championship qualifier as varsity team wrestler	25-49
25.2238 Need to retain expert witness	25-49
25.22381 In general	25-49
25.22382 In arson case	25-49
25.2239 Other matters	25-49
25.22391 Duty to have evidence scientifically tested	25-49
25.22392 When failure to adequately investigate alleged, defendant must allege with specificity what investigation could have revealed and how it would have altered outcome (see sec. 25.2672)	25-49
25.22393 Duty to investigate potential mitigating circumstances in death penalty case	25-49
25.22394 Duty to review readily available court file on prior conviction state intends to rely on as aggravating circumstance in death penalty case	25-50
25.22395 Duty to review discovery materials provided by state	25-50
25.22396 Duty to secure and review information in possession of prosecution and law enforcement authorities	25-50
25.22397 Duty to investigate information in police reports	25-50
25.224 Duties with respect to legal matters and representation afforded in general	25-50
25.2241 To fully research and be fully informed on the law	25-50
25.22411 In general	25-50
25.22412 Counsel not required to have total and complete knowledge of all aspects of reported criminal law, no matter how obscure (see sec. 25.2135)	25-50
25.22413 Counsel's performance should be judged in light of law at time counsel acts; counsel not required to anticipate change in law (see sec. 25.21361)	25-50
25.2242 To cite legal authority to court	25-50
25.225 Duties with respect to offer of plea bargain	25-50
25.2251 To communicate proffered plea bargain to defendant (see sec. 7.122)	25-50
25.2252 To inform defendant that decision to reject plea bargain offer is for defendant to make (see sec. 7.122)	25-50
25.2253 To adequately advise defendant with respect to whether he should accept or reject plea bargain (see sec. 25.323)	25-50
25.226 Duties with respect to entry of guilty plea	25-50

	<i>Page</i>
25.2261	To ensure that record of jury waiver is developed 25-50
25.227	Duties with respect to appeal 25-50
25.2271	To advise defendant regarding appeal rights (see sec. 25.2224) 25-51
25.2272	To consult with defendant regarding whether or not he desires to file notice of appeal (see secs. 25.39122, 25.39123, and 25.39124) 25-51
25.2273	To decide what issues have merit for appeal 25-51
25.2274	To exercise judgment in winnowing out even arguable issues in favor of others perceived to be stronger 25-51
25.228	Other duties 25-51
25.2281	Duty to raise question of defendant's competency (see sec. 6.6393) 25-51
25.2282	Duty of withdrawing attorney to protect client's interest 25-51
25.229	Duties not imposed on defense counsel 25-51
25.2291	Cooperation in presentation of perjured testimony 25-51
25.2292	Advice as to collateral consequences of plea (see secs. 7.24122, 7.24123, and 7.24124) 25-51
25.2293	Argue non-frivolous issues that defendant wants raised on appeal (see sec. 25.284) 25-51
25.2294	Not required to actively participate in trial when defendant has instructed him or her not to participate in trial (see sec. 25.21961) 25-51
25.23	Conflict Of Interest 25-51
25.231	In general 25-51
25.232	Particular conflicts 25-52
25.2321	Joint representation of codefendants 25-52
25.2322	Representation by attorney hired by defendant's employer who is running criminal enterprise 25-52
25.2323	Representation of defendant and potential witness 25-52
25.2324	Parallel representation of defendants charged with related crimes 25-53
25.2325	Representation of defendant and chief investigating officer in case 25-53
25.2326	Representation by public defender after public defender's office had represented victim's mother 25-53
25.2327	Representation of defendant by attorney who previously worked as assistant district attorney and previously prosecuted defendant while in that position 25-53
25.2328	Representation of defendant and earlier representation of state in same case 25-53
25.2329	Representation of state by district attorney who previously represented defendant in earlier unrelated prosecution 25-53
25.233	Particular conflicts (cont'd) 25-53
25.2331	Representation of defendant and chief investigating officer in case 25-53
25.2332	Representation of defendant while affiliated with prosecutorial agency 25-53
25.2333	Representation of defendant after previous representation of victim 25-53

25.234	Rules governing serial representation (e.g., where defense counsel has appeared for and represented state as prosecutor in prior proceedings in same case in which he or she now represents defendant)	25-53
25.2341	Court of appeals' rule, overturned by supreme court: in serial representation case, conflict of interest exists, warranting reversal even in absence of evidence of actual conflict or of prejudice to defendant or state	25-53
25.2342	Supreme court's rule: in serial representation case, defendant who did not raise objection at trial must demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that counsel converted potential conflict of interest into actual conflict of interest by (1) knowingly failing to disclose trial attorney's former prosecution of defendant, or (2) representing defendant in manner that adversely affected defendant's interests	25-54
25.2343	When serial representation made known to trial court prior to representation at court proceedings	25-54
25.235	Rules governing reverse serial representation (e.g., where prosecutor has appeared for and represented defendant in prior prosecution of defendant)	25-54
25.2351	When defendant raised objection prior to trial or other proceedings	25-54
25.23511	When reverse serial representation made known to trial court prior to representation at court proceedings, test is whether the prior representation and present representation are "substantially related"	25-54
25.23512	Motion raising objection before trial may be denied on grounds of untimeliness	25-54
25.23513	Factors to consider in determining whether to deny, on grounds of untimeliness, motion raising objection before trial	25-54
25.23514	Propriety under particular circumstances of denying motion raising objection prior to trial on grounds of untimeliness	25-54
25.23515	Substantial relationship test does not require defendant to show that confidential information relevant to present prosecution was actually communicated to prosecutor in prior proceeding; it is sufficient if prosecutor could have obtained confidential communication	25-55
25.23516	When motion raising objection was made before trial, but denied on account of untimeliness, test to be applied when objection is raised in postconviction proceedings is test applicable when objection was not raised prior to trial, i.e., did actual conflict of interest exist	25-55
25.2352	When defendant did not raise objection prior to trial and conviction	25-55

25.23521	Defendant who did not raise objection prior to trial and conviction must demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that actual conflict of interest existed	25-55
25.23522	Sufficiency of showing of actual conflict of interest under particular circumstances	25-55
25.2353	Appellate review of issue related to reverse serial representation	25-55
25.236	When prejudice presumed because of conflict of interest	25-55
25.237	Attorney's obligation to make potential conflict of interest known to trial court	25-55
25.238	Appellate review of conflict issues	25-55
25.2381	Standard of review	25-55
25.23811	Of question whether trial court applied correct test	25-55
25.23812	Of question whether disqualification was required under appropriate test	25-55
25.23813	Of issue related to reverse serial representation (see sec. 25.2353)	25-55
25.239	Other matters	25-55
25.2391	Insofar as defendant's entitlement to relief is concerned, different rules apply depending upon whether conflict was made known to trial court before representation occurred or whether conflict was first discovered or brought to trial court's attention after representation occurred	25-56
25.2392	Waiver of conflict	25-56
25.23921	In general	25-56
25.23922	Court may reject waiver of conflict and disqualify counsel, thus overriding defendant's counsel of choice (see sec. 25.516)	25-56
25.23923	While courts may deny waiver of conflict of interest, and thus override defendant's choice of counsel, nothing requires courts to do so	25-56
25.23924	When defendant waives right to conflict-free representation, can he or she later contend that he or she received ineffective assistance of counsel as result of conflict? (see sec. 25.2393)	25-56
25.23925	Appellate review of decision to accept waiver	25-56
25.2393	When defendant waives right to conflict-free representation, can he or she later contend that he or she received ineffective assistance of counsel as result of conflict?	25-56
25.24	Right To Effective Assistance At Various Stages Of Proceeding	25-56
25.241	General principles	25-56
25.2411	When defendant has statutory right to counsel at particular stage of proceeding, that right necessarily includes right to effective counsel	25-56
25.242	At investigative stage	25-56
25.243	During plea bargaining and at guilty plea hearing (see secs. 7.2412 and 25.32)	25-57
25.244	At sentencing (see sec. 9.1372)	25-57

25.245	On direct appeal to court of appeals from judgment of conviction	25-57
25.2451	In general	25-57
25.2452	Proper vehicle for attacking ineffective assistance of appellate counsel (see sec. 25.2611)	25-57
25.2453	When defendant's right to effective assistance of counsel is denied by state's post-trial destruction of evidence that was not introduced at trial, but which defendant claims is exculpatory (see sec. 25.21992)	25-57
25.246	On petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision	25-57
25.247	On collateral attack on conviction	25-57
25.248	At probation and parole proceedings	25-57
25.2481	At revocation hearing	25-57
25.2482	On administrative review of revocation decision	25-57
25.2483	On certiorari review in circuit court of revocation decision	25-58
25.249	Sexual predator (chap. 980) proceeding	25-58
25.2491	In general	25-58
25.2492	First appeal as of right from denial of petition for supervised release	25-58
25.25	Particular Defects In Counsel's Performance (see sec. 25.3)	25-58
25.26	Challenge To Adequacy Of Representation	25-58
25.261	Vehicle for challenge	25-58
25.2611	When attack made on appellate counsel's performance in appellate court after appeal on the merits is taken	25-58
25.26111	Generally, appropriate vehicle is habeas corpus petition directed to appellate court	25-58
25.26112	When appellate counsel's deficiency is failure to timely file petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision, court of appeals is unable to supply any remedy for deficiency	25-58
25.26113	When appellate counsel's deficiency is failure to timely file petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision, supreme court may grant habeas petition and allow late filing of petition for review	25-59
25.26114	Absent good cause, defendant limited to one habeas corpus attack on sufficiency of appellate counsel's performance	25-59
25.26115	Appellate court's right to submit matter to referee or to trial court for inquiry into counsel's conduct or to refer habeas petition to trial court	25-59
25.26116	Habeas corpus petition attacking effectiveness of appellate counsel is subject to doctrine of laches (see sec. 25.26141)	25-59
25.26117	When issue counsel is faulted for not raising on appeal has not been properly preserved in trial court by postconviction motion or otherwise, appropriate vehicle for attack is habeas petition or § 974.06 motion in trial court	25-59

25.26118	Appellate court may deny habeas relief when allegations of petition are insufficient on their face to warrant relief, but it may not grant relief without first remanding case to circuit court for Machner hearing, unless state concedes error	25-59
25.2612	When attack made on appellate counsel's performance in appellate court after no-merit appeal is taken	25-59
25.26121	In general	25-59
25.26122	Wis. Stat. § 974.06 motion is not exclusive vehicle for raising attack; habeas corpus petition filed in appellate court may be appropriate vehicle	25-59
25.26123	Based on counsel's failure to identify arguably meritorious issue	25-60
25.2613	When attack made on postconviction counsel's performance in trial court prior to taking of appeal	25-60
25.2614	When attack made on counsel's failure to file notice of appeal or to adequately advise defendant regarding taking of appeal	25-60
25.26141	In general	25-60
25.26142	Provision permitting extension or enlargement of time for appeal (i.e., Wis. Stat. § 809.82(2)) cannot be used to circumvent Knight requirement of habeas petition when defendant contends counsel was ineffective for failing to take appeal or to adequately advise defendant regarding taking of appeal	25-60
25.2615	Requirements and limitations imposed when habeas corpus is appropriate vehicle for challenge	25-60
25.26151	Doctrine of laches applies; defendant's resort to habeas corpus must be prompt and speedy	25-60
25.26152	Defendant must demonstrate in petition that he sought prompt and speedy relief	25-60
25.26153	Required contents of petition for habeas corpus	25-61
25.26154	Requirement that petition for habeas corpus be verified	25-61
25.2616	Writ of error coram nobis	25-61
25.262	Postconviction motion challenging adequacy of representation	25-61
25.2621	Need for postconviction motion to preserve challenge for appellate review	25-61
25.2622	When defendant's allegations sufficient to require hearing (see sec. 25.2632)	25-61
25.2623	When failure to adequately investigate alleged, defendant must allege with specificity what investigation could and revealed and how it would have altered outcome (see sec. 25.2672)	25-61
25.2624	When defendant alleges that counsel was ineffective for failing to take certain steps, defendant must show with specificity what the actions, if taken, would have revealed and how they would have altered the outcome of the proceedings (see sec. 25.2673)	25-61

25.2625	When defendant alleges that trial counsel was deficient for failing to present testimony, defendant must allege with specificity what particular witness would have said if called to testify (see sec. 25.2674)	25-61
25.263	Hearing on challenge	25-61
25.2631	Need for hearing to preserve challenge for appellate review	25-61
25.26311	In general	25-61
25.26312	No need for hearing if claim of ineffective assistance of counsel can be disposed of on ground that defendant has not shown prejudice	25-62
25.2632	When defendant's allegations sufficient to require hearing	25-62
25.26321	In general	25-62
25.26322	When guilty plea entered, defendant must allege, and provide support for allegation, that he would not have pled guilty if lawyer performed effectively in order to make out claim of prejudice (see sec. 25.21518)	25-63
25.26323	In determining whether allegations are sufficient, admissibility and/or credibility are irrelevant; credibility issues are to be resolved at hearing	25-63
25.26324	Is defendant required to submit affidavit from trial counsel to obtain hearing?	25-63
25.26325	Standard of appellate review of sufficiency of allegations	25-63
25.26326	When defendant alleges that counsel performed deficiently by failing to present testimony of particular witness at suppression hearing, allegations of motion are insufficient if defendant does not describe testimony witness would have offered (see sec. 25.2674)	25-63
25.26327	When trial court rules that its decision on suppression motion would have been same, even if defense counsel had performed in manner defendant alleges he should have, allegations of petition are not sufficient to require hearing	25-63
25.2633	Requirement of notice to, and testimony from, defense counsel (see secs. 25.2641 and 25.2642)	25-63
25.2634	Defendant's presence at hearing	25-63
25.26341	When defendant's presence required	25-63
25.26342	Defendant's right to be present at hearing	25-63
25.2635	Defendant's right to counsel at hearing	25-64
25.26351	In general (see sec. 25.1712)	25-64
25.26352	Right to counsel of defendant's own choosing (see sec. 25.51722)	25-64
25.2636	Applicability of attorney-client privilege at hearing (see sec. 17.2421)	25-64
25.2637	Need for testimony of witness whose testimony the defendant is contending his trial court counsel should have adduced in support of defendant's position at some stage of trial court proceedings	25-64

25.2638	Use of expert witness at hearing (see sec. 25.2681)	25-64
25.2639	Need for testimony of live witnesses at hearing; trial court's discretion to accept and consider written submissions, including witness affidavits and proffers of testimony	25-64
25.264	Requirements relating to defense counsel whose performance is challenged	25-64
25.2641	Requirement of notice to defense counsel	25-64
25.2642	Requirement that defense counsel be present and testify at hearing	25-64
25.26421	In general	25-64
25.26422	But counsel's testimony that his decision was not product of reasoned strategy, but rather of inadvertence or mistake, is not dispositive of question of deficient performance (see sec. 25.21379)	25-65
25.26423	When trial counsel is unavailable	25-65
25.2643	Defendant's right to counsel at hearing	25-65
25.2644	Applicability of attorney-client privilege at hearing (see sec. 17.2421)	25-65
25.2645	Rules of evidence applicable at hearing on challenge	25-65
25.265	Burden of proof on challenge	25-65
25.266	Waiver of challenge to particular actions of counsel	25-65
25.2661	By failing to identify those actions as defective in trial court	25-65
25.2662	By failure to question counsel about those particular actions at hearing on challenge	25-65
25.267	Other matters	25-65
25.2671	Challenge to adequacy of representation rendered moot or deficiency shown harmless when challenge is based on failure to make particular argument in trial court, but court considers such argument and finds it meritless	25-65
25.2672	When failure to adequately investigate alleged, defendant must allege with specificity what investigation could have revealed and how it would have altered outcome; court cannot speculate regarding what investigation may have discovered	25-66
25.2673	When defendant alleges that counsel was ineffective for failing to take certain steps, defendant must show with specificity what the actions, if taken, would have revealed and how they would have altered the outcome of the proceedings	25-66
25.2674	When defendant alleges that trial counsel was deficient for failing to present testimony, defendant must allege with specificity what particular witness would have said if called to testify	25-66
25.2675	Defendant who alleges that counsel was ineffective for failing to bring, or withdrawing, suppression motion must show that motion would have succeeded (see sec. 25.31312)	25-66
25.2676	Need for testimony of witness who defendant contends his trial court counsel should have called to support defendant's position at proceeding in trial court (see sec. 25.2637)	25-66

25.2677	When failure to timely file petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision alleged, court of appeals has no remedy to provide (see sec. 25.26112)	25-66
25.2678	Need for trial court to make findings of fact and conclusions of law	25-66
25.2679	Rule that one cannot raise claim in postconviction motion under 28 U.S.C. §2255 that could have been raised on direct appeal, but was not, does not apply to claims of ineffective assistance of counsel	25-66
25.268	Other matters (cont'd)	25-66
25.2681	Use of expert witness to establish that defense counsel was ineffective	25-67
25.2682	Counsel may not properly allege his or her own ineffectiveness	25-67
25.27	Appellate Review Of Challenge To Adequacy Of Representation	25-67
25.271	Standards of review	25-67
25.2711	In general	25-67
25.2712	No deference owed to trial court's ultimate assessment of whether counsel's performance was deficient and whether deficiency was prejudicial	25-71
25.2713	Of trial court's finding based on credibility determination	25-71
25.2714	Must appellate court view record in light most favorable to circuit court's decision?	25-71
25.2715	Of question whether allegations of defendant's postconviction motion were sufficient to require hearing (see sec. 25.26325)	25-71
25.272	When defect in performance is failure to object to claimed error, appellate court may consider merits of claimed error as if objection had been made and accordingly not reach the question of ineffective assistance of counsel	25-71
25.273	When defect in performance is failure to file particular motion, appellate court may consider merits of motion and, if it would have been properly denied, defendant's claim that trial counsel's performance was deficient is defeated (see sec. 25.21362)	25-71
25.274	Relief granted by appellate court in particular situations	25-71
25.2741	When trial court does not expressly address particular claim of ineffectiveness, appellate court will ordinarily remand matter to trial court	25-71
25.2742	When trial court has rejected ineffectiveness claim based on lack of prejudice without holding hearing, and appellate court finds prejudice, appellate court will remand to trial court for hearing on issue of deficient performance	25-72
25.275	Claim of ineffective assistance of counsel based on failure to preserve issue for appellate review need not be addressed by appellate court if it addresses and rejects defendant's claims relating to that issue on the merits	25-72
25.276	Appellate court will not review issue if defendant was denied right to be present at hearing on challenge	25-72

25.277	When trial court does not make specific findings of fact, appellate court may assume that findings of fact were made implicitly in favor of trial court's decision	25-72
25.278	Need for hearing in trial court to preserve challenge for appellate review (see sec. 25.2631)	25-72
25.279	Finding of ineffectiveness of counsel is not finding of fact to which presumption of correctness is due in federal habeas corpus proceeding (see sec. 10.6443)	25-72
25.28	Other Matters	25-72
25.281	State's access to defense psychiatrist's reports	25-72
25.282	State's right to call expert witness retained by defense	25-72
25.283	Effective assistance of counsel not denied by prosecutor's withdrawal from plea bargain	25-72
25.284	No right to compel attorney to argue non-frivolous issues that defendant wants raised on appeal	25-72
25.285	Remedy when counsel's faulty advice has caused defendant to reject favorable plea bargain	25-72
25.2851	Remedy is "new trial" which signifies not only a new trial but also a resumption of plea bargaining	25-73
25.2852	Determining whether prosecutor required to reinstate original plea bargain	25-73
25.28521	In general	25-73
25.28522	Withdrawal of original plea bargain permitted when intervening circumstances justify prosecutor's withdrawal of plea bargain	25-73
25.286	Effect of defendant's own wrongdoing on right to successfully prosecute ineffective assistance of counsel claim	25-73
25.2861	In general	25-73
25.2862	Defendant's taking counsel's faulty advice, made in connection with counsel's recommendation to reject plea bargain, to lie at trial	25-73
25.287	Other matters	25-73
25.2871	Waiver of challenge to adequacy of representation	25-73
25.28711	By failure to have hearing on challenge to adequacy of representation (see sec. 25.2631)	25-73
25.28712	By failure to bring postconviction motion challenging adequacy of representation (see sec. 25.2621)	25-73
25.28713	Waiver of challenge to particular actions of counsel when defendant fails to identify those actions as defective in trial court (see sec. 25.2661)	25-73
25.2872	Effective assistance not denied by counsel's refusal to cooperate with defendant in presenting perjured testimony (see sec. 25.2291)	25-73
25.2873	Failure to pursue meritless motion does not constitute deficient performance (see sec. 25.21362)	25-73
25.2874	Effect of defendant's instruction to counsel on determination of whether what counsel did was ineffective assistance of counsel	25-73

25.28741	Defense counsel not required to actively participate in trial when defendant has instructed him or her not to participate in it (see sec. 25.21961)	25-73
25.28742	Counsel may have obligation to make sentencing argument, or to withdraw from case, when defendant orders counsel not to make sentencing argument (see sec. 25.21962)	25-74
25.28743	Whether defendant's instruction to follow particular course of action precludes attack on counsels' following that course of action depends on whether defendant decision to issue that instruction was informed by counsel's advice regarding it (see sec. 25.21963)	25-74
25.28744	Counsel may have obligation to withdraw as counsel rather than to follow defendant's instruction (see sec. 25.21964)	25-74
25.2875	Defendant who insists on making decision contrary to advice given by attorney cannot complain that attorney was ineffective for complying with obligation to follow defendant's decision	25-74
25.28751	In general	25-74
25.28752	But rule only applies when defendant has received advice from attorney regarding matter to which defendant's decision relates	25-74
25.2876	Finding of ineffectiveness of counsel is not finding of fact to which presumption of correctness is due in federal habeas corpus proceeding (see sec. 10.6443)	25-74
25.2877	When failure to timely file petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision alleged, supreme court may grant relief via habeas corpus proceeding and permit late filing of petition for review (see sec. 25.26113)	25-74
25.2878	Relationship of federal and state constitutional guarantees of effective assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.217)	25-74
25.2879	Jury's belief in victim's testimony notwithstanding discrepancies in it does not establish ineffective assistance of counsel	25-74
25.288	Other matters (cont'd)	25-74
25.2881	Defendant cannot be deprived of effective assistance of counsel in proceedings in which defendant has no right to counsel	25-74
25.2882	Adequacy of retained counsel	25-74
25.2883	Right to effective assistance of counsel on appeal not denied by no-merit procedure	25-75
25.2884	Requirement that counsel be free of state control	25-75
25.2885	Defense counsel's failure to object to jury instruction does not constitute deficient performance when jury instruction properly stated the law	25-75
25.2886	Adequacy of representation at probation revocation hearing may not be raised on certiorari review	25-75

25.2887	Need for interpreter for one who does not understand English (see sec. 30.971)	25-75
25.2888	Effect, on adequacy of representation, of trial court's change in ruling upon which defense counsel relied	25-75
25.2889	When defendant's right to effective assistance of counsel is denied by state's post-trial destruction of evidence that was not introduced at trial, but which defendant claims is exculpatory (see sec. 25.21992)	25-75
25.289	Other matters (cont'd)	25-75
25.2891	Right to effective assistance of counsel extends to appeal (see sec. 25.245)	25-75
25.2892	Cannot find trial counsel ineffective for failing to adequately present defendant's position on certain matter when defendant's testimony is essential to presenting that position and defendant refuses to testify	25-75
25.2893	When decision is defendant's to make, counsel is not ineffective for allowing defendant to make that decision, so long as counsel assures that defendant's choice was made with knowledge of his options and his rights	25-75
25.2894	When guilty plea entered, defendant must show that he would not have pled guilty if lawyer performed effectively in order to make out claim of prejudice (see sec. 25.21518)	25-75
25.2895	Where statutory right to counsel exists, right includes right to effective counsel	25-76
25.2896	Distinction between consequences on appeal of trial-court error and consequences of same error when raised in ineffective-assistance-of-counsel context (see sec. 25.2197)	25-76
25.2897	When defendant represented successively by multiple lawyers, earlier lawyer's representation not at issue; only representation afforded by final lawyer representing defendant need be examined to determine whether he received ineffective assistance of counsel	25-76
25.3	Ineffective Assistance Of Counsel: Particular Defects In Counsel's Performance	25-76
25.31	Relating to pretrial matters	25-76
25.311	Relating to advice given to defendant	25-76
25.3111	Duty to advise defendant in general (see sec. 25.2222)	25-76
25.3112	Misrepresentation of state's burden of proof	25-76
25.3113	Advising defendant to invoke fifth amendment privilege at John Doe investigation	25-76
25.3114	Advising defendant to assist police in investigation	25-76
25.3115	Advice to abandon alibi defense	25-76
25.312	Relating to the failure to give advice to defendant	25-76
25.3121	Duty to advise defendant in general (see sec. 25.2222)	25-76
25.3122	Failure to advise defendant about problems with entering pleas of not guilty and not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect	25-76
25.3123	Failure to advise defendant waiving jury trial of unanimity requirement	25-76

25.3124	Failure to advise defendant to retrieve victim's belongings and turn them over to police	25-76
25.3125	Failure to advise of withdrawal of speedy trial request	25-77
25.3126	Failure to properly advise defendant regarding right to testify in own defense	25-77
25.3127	Failure to inform defendant of right to trial in county where crime occurred and to secure his waiver before filing motion to change venue	25-77
25.313	Relating to pretrial motions challenging evidence	25-77
25.3131	General principles	25-77
25.31311	In general	25-77
25.31312	Defendant who alleges that counsel was ineffective for failing to bring, or withdrawing, suppression motion must show that motion would have succeeded	25-77
25.3132	Failure to file suppression motion	25-77
25.31321	In general	25-77
25.31322	Motion seeking suppression of statement obtained from defendant after his arrest in home without arrest warrant	25-77
25.31323	Motions seeking suppression of evidence on ground officers acting outside of jurisdiction in executing search warrant	25-77
25.31324	Motion seeking to suppress out-of-court identification (see sec. 25.3138)	25-77
25.3133	Failure to challenge execution of search warrant	25-77
25.3134	Failure to challenge search	25-77
25.3135	Failure to challenge blood draw from drunk driver on ground that he had refused to consent to draw	25-77
25.3136	Failure to seek to suppress statement on ground that it was obtained in violation of ethical rule prohibiting attorney's communication with party who is represented by counsel	25-78
25.3137	Failure to seek to suppress statement on ground that it was obtained in violation of electronic surveillance control law ("wiretap" statute)	25-78
25.3138	Failure to file motion to suppress out-of-court identification of defendant	25-78
25.31381	In general	25-78
25.31382	When in-court identification would have been admissible even if out-of-court identification should have been suppressed	25-78
25.3139	Other failures	25-78
25.31391	Failure to argue that search warrant did not have sufficient indicia of probable cause to satisfy requirements of good faith exception to exclusionary rule	25-78
25.31392	Failure to pursue to hearing motion to suppress statement on grounds it was coerced and given without Miranda warnings	25-78

	25.31393	Failure to file motion in limine seeking to prevent introduction of certain evidence	25-78
25.314		Relating to pretrial motions challenging complaint or information	25-78
	25.3141	Failure to object to allegedly duplicitous complaint	25-78
	25.3142	Failure to challenge time period alleged in complaint	25-78
	25.3143	Failure to challenge validity of complaint	25-78
	25.3144	Failure to move to dismiss counts charged under statute that did not exist when they occurred	25-79
	25.3145	Failure to pursue motion to dismiss complaint	25-79
	25.3146	Failure to challenge complaint as multiplicitous	25-79
25.315		Relating to other pretrial motions	25-79
	25.3151	Failure to challenge statute under which defendant prosecuted as unconstitutional	25-79
	25.3152	Failure to move to sever defendants	25-79
	25.3153	Failure to move to sever offenses	25-79
	25.3154	Failure to pursue motion challenging legality of arrest	25-79
	25.3155	Failure to file motion seeking dismissal based on allegedly deficient probable cause determination after warrantless arrest	25-79
	25.3156	Failure to file motion challenging personal jurisdiction and seeking dismissal because of unreasonable delay in holding initial appearance	25-79
	25.3157	Failure to pursue pretrial motion that lacks merit	25-79
	25.3158	Failure to bring new motion to withdraw as counsel for defendant	25-79
	25.3159	Other failures	25-79
	25.31591	Failure to move for change of venue	25-80
	25.31592	Failure to move, prior to second trial, to dismiss on double jeopardy grounds when first trial ended in mistrial declared over defendant's objection and not supported by manifest necessity (see sec. 25.3967)	25-80
	25.31593	Failure to challenge territorial jurisdiction of trial court	25-80
	25.31594	Failure to file motion under Wis. Stat. § 972.11(3) that would allow defense to offer evidence of complaining witness's prior personal and medical history	25-80
	25.31595	Failure to seek and obtain pretrial ruling via motion in limine regarding admissibility of particular testimony or other evidence	25-80
25.316		Relating to pretrial investigation and preparation	25-80
	25.3161	General principles	25-80
	25.31611	In general (see sec. 25.223)	25-80
	25.31612	Counsel will not be found deficient for failing to discover information that was available to defendant, but defendant failed to share with counsel (see sec. 25.21365)	25-80
	25.3162	Failure to engage in adequate preparation in general	25-80
	25.3163	Failure to investigate defendant's story	25-80

25.3164	Failure to request adjournment so witness can be found	25-80
25.3165	Failure to investigate and interview witnesses (see sec. 25.2234)	25-80
25.3166	Failure to investigate victim's and/or other critical witness's motive to lie	25-81
25.3167	Failure to adequately investigate alibi defense	25-81
25.3168	Failure to investigate possible defense	25-81
25.3169	Other defects	25-81
25.31691	Failure to adequately prepare defendant for trial	25-81
25.31692	Failure to investigate exculpatory prior consistent statements made by defendant	25-81
25.31693	Failure to investigate possible expert testimony regarding appropriateness of therapy techniques used by defendant accused of sexual exploitation by therapist	25-81
25.31694	Failure to adequately investigate whether jail inmate who testified regarding statement made by defendant actually ever had contact with defendant	25-81
25.317	Relating to pretrial investigation and preparation (cont'd)	25-81
25.3171	Failure to ascertain defendant's prior convictions (see sec. 25.2233)	25-81
25.3172	Failure to request written summary of defendant's oral statements pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 971.23(1)	25-81
25.3173	Failure to have bodily specimens allegedly left at crime scene by perpetrator subjected to DNA analysis	25-81
25.3174	Failure to request independent examination of child-victim who has been examined by state's expert	25-81
25.3175	Failure to attack expertise of psychotherapist offered by state to show why victim should be allowed to testify through videotaped deposition	25-81
25.3176	Failure to look up psychological diagnosis of victim made by expert offered by state to show why victim should be allowed to testify through videotaped deposition	25-82
25.3177	Failure to prepare for cross-examination of child victim	25-82
25.3178	Other defects	25-82
25.31781	Failure to have evidence scientifically tested (see sec. 25.22391)	25-82
25.31782	Failure to adequately prepare defendant to testify	25-82
25.3179	Other defects (cont'd)	25-82
25.31791	Failure to adequately investigate alibi witnesses	25-82
25.31792	Failure to conduct poll of community to determine whether defense is feasible	25-82
25.31793	Failure to sufficiently investigate potential insanity defense	25-82
25.31794	Failure to file formal discovery demand (see sec. 25.3198)	25-82
25.31795	Failure to retain arson expert in arson case	25-82
25.31796	Failure to review discovery materials provided by state (see sec. 25.22392)	25-82

	25.31797	Failure to investigate matters relating to victim's credibility	25-82
	25.31798	Failure to earlier retain expert on eyewitness identification so that adjournment detrimental to defendant would not have been necessary	25-82
25.318		Relating to other pretrial matters	25-82
	25.3181	Recommendation to waive preliminary examination	25-82
	25.3182	Informing police that defendant has lied to him	25-82
	25.3183	Failure to raise question of defendant's competency (see sec. 6.6393)	25-82
	25.3184	Failure to renew question of defendant's competency (see sec. 6.6394)	25-83
	25.3185	Relating to preliminary examination	25-83
	25.31851	Failure to object to closure of preliminary examination	25-83
	25.31852	Failure to object to bindover on multiple counts when trial court determined only that there was probable cause to believe defendant committed a felony	25-83
	25.31853	Failure to make detailed argument against bindover	25-83
	25.31854	Failure to cross-examine victim	25-83
	25.3186	Failure to have defendant present at evidentiary hearings	25-83
	25.3187	Failure to object to defendant's absence at hearing where venue changed	25-83
	25.3188	Failure to seek recusal of judge	25-83
	25.3189	Providing doctor's report to expert witness, thereby making it discoverable by state	25-83
25.319		Relating to other pretrial matters (cont'd)	25-83
	25.3191	Failure to file timely request for substitution of judge	25-83
	25.3192	Failure to object to circuit court's sua sponte preliminary discussion about change of venue	25-83
	25.3193	Failure to hire expert to challenge testimony of expert offered by state to show why victim should be allowed to testify through videotaped deposition	25-83
	25.3194	Failure to adequately present defendant's case on question of defendant's competency	25-83
	25.3195	Allowing defendant to withdraw NGI pleas	25-83
	25.3196	Failure to conduct poll of community to determine whether defense is feasible (see sec. 25.31792)	25-83
	25.3197	Failure to advance insanity defense	25-84
	25.3198	Failure to file formal discovery demand	25-84
	25.3199	Defendant is not denied effective assistance of counsel when defense counsel is precluded from subpoenaing police reports and other non-privileged investigatory materials for examination and copying in anticipation of preliminary examination	25-84
25.32		Relating to plea bargaining and guilty, no contest, and not guilty pleas	25-84

25.321	Failure to communicate plea bargain offer to defendant and to inform defendant that decision to reject plea offer is for defendant to make (see sec. 7.122)	25-84
25.322	Failure to adequately inform defendant of nature of plea agreement and effect of its terms, i.e., precisely what state is agreeing to (see sec. 7.122)	25-84
25.323	General requirement that counsel provide effective assistance with respect to advice as to whether defendant should accept or reject a plea bargain	25-84
25.3231	In general	25-84
25.3232	Remedy when counsel's ineffective advice has caused defendant to reject favorable plea bargain (see sec. 25.285)	25-84
25.324	Advice relating to rejection of proffered plea bargain	25-84
25.3241	Advising defendant that he had nothing to lose by rejecting plea bargain	25-84
25.3242	Advising defendant that he has defenses to charge when in fact defenses do not exist or defendant is unable to satisfy evidentiary requirements of defense	25-84
25.325	Advice relating to acceptance of proffered plea bargain	25-84
25.3251	Advising defendant to accept plea bargain in general	25-84
25.3252	Advising defendant that he has no defense	25-85
25.3253	Advising defendant as to counsel's prediction of sentence that will be imposed when advice turns out to be wrong and harsher sentence actually imposed	25-85
25.3254	Advising defendant that lighter sentence will be imposed if defendant pleads guilty than will be imposed if he goes to trial	25-85
25.326	Failure to inform defendant of consequences of guilty plea	25-85
25.3261	In general	25-85
25.3262	Deportation consequences (see sec. 7.24123)	25-85
25.3263	Collateral consequences in general	25-85
25.327	Defects relating to withdrawal of plea	25-85
25.3271	Failure to bring motion to withdraw guilty plea (see sec. 25.3976)	25-85
25.3272	Failure to adequately attempt to withdraw guilty or no contest plea	25-85
25.3273	Allowing defendant to withdraw not guilty pleas	25-85
25.328	Other defects	25-85
25.3281	Failure to advise defendant about parole eligibility	25-85
25.32811	In general	25-85
25.32812	Failure to provide accurate information regarding parole eligibility	25-85
25.3282	Pressing defendant to accept plea agreement and enter guilty plea	25-85
25.3283	Failure to advise defendant that agreement not to reveal information to sentencing judge is illegal and will not be honored (see sec. 7.123)	25-85

25.3284	Failure to object to state's refusal to comply with plea agreement when express provision of plea agreement excused state's compliance with agreement upon defendant's breach	25-86
25.3285	Implicitly advising defendant to lie at trial as part of advice to reject plea bargain	25-86
25.3286	Failure to advise defendant of right to seek sentencing in front of different judge when prosecutor breaches plea agreement by asking for sentence in excess of that agreed upon, but prosecutor's misstatement of agreement is inadvertent and promptly rectified	25-86
25.3287	Counsel's claim that he or she is unable to adequately advise defendant regarding appropriate plea because he or she is unable to determine meaning of controlling statutory provisions	25-86
25.3288	Failure to object to prosecutor's breach of plea agreement	25-86
25.32881	In general	25-86
25.32882	Prosecutor's breach as to sentencing recommendation (see sec. 25.382)	25-86
25.32883	Failure to object to state's refusal to comply with plea agreement when express provision of plea agreement excused state's compliance with agreement upon defendant's breach (see sec. 25.3284)	25-86
25.3289	Failure to seek enforcement of, or to inform defendant of right to seek enforcement of, plea agreement with which prosecutor has refused to comply (see sec. 25.3964)	25-86
25.329	Other defects (cont'd)	25-86
25.3291	Counsel's false representation about court's intention regarding sentence allegedly made to induce defendant to plead guilty	25-86
25.3292	Strategic decision by defense counsel to forego objection to prosecutor's breach is tantamount to entering into renegotiated plea agreement; entering into renegotiated plea agreement without consulting defendant and obtaining his consent is deficient performance (see sec. 25.3824)	25-87
25.3293	Counsel threatens to abandon defendant on morning of trial, without valid grounds for withdrawing from representation, in order to pressure defendant into pleading guilty or no contest	25-87
25.3294	Counsel's appearance at guilty-plea hearing by telephone	25-87
25.33	Relating to jury selection and jury management during trial	25-87
25.331	Failure to object to manner in which voir dire is conducted	25-87
25.332	Failure to raise Batson objection (i.e., objection that prosecutor has used peremptory challenges to exclude members of particular race or other cognizable group)	25-87
25.3321	In general	25-87
25.3322	Test for prejudice when counsel performs deficiently by failing to make Batson objection	25-87
25.33221	Prejudice not presumed	25-87

	25.33222	Test for prejudice is whether there is reasonable probability that Batson objection would have been sustained and court would have taken appropriate curative action	25-87
25.333		Absence of defense counsel from part of voir dire examination of jurors	25-87
25.334		Failure to adequately question prospective jurors to uncover potential bias or other disqualifying factor	25-87
	25.3341	In general	25-87
	25.3342	When this is claimed deficient performance, test of prejudice is whether counsel's performance resulted in seating of biased juror, not whether differently composed jury would have acquitted defendant	25-88
	25.3343	Failure to ask prospective jurors whether knowledge of defendant's prior conviction, which was element of offense, would prevent them from being fair and impartial	25-88
25.335		Failure to move to strike objectionable juror for cause	25-88
	25.3351	In general	25-88
	25.3352	Failure to move to strike perspective juror for cause and failure to use peremptory strike to remove juror results in prejudice to defendant	25-88
25.336		Failure to have voir dire recorded	25-88
25.337		Failure to have defendant present for in camera voir dire	25-88
25.338		Failure to request proper number of peremptory strikes or to object to court's failure to afford proper number of peremptory strikes	25-88
25.339		Other failures	25-88
	25.3391	Failure to renew motion for change of venue on account of prejudicial pretrial publicity	25-88
	25.3392	Failure to object to six-person jury provided for in statute later declared unconstitutional	25-88
	25.3393	Failure to effectively utilize peremptory strikes	25-88
	25.3394	Failure to object to alleged mismanagement of jury deliberations by trial court	25-89
25.34		Relating to introduction of evidence at trial	25-89
	25.341	Failure to introduce or present evidence in general	25-89
	25.342	Failures relating to impeachment of witnesses or hearsay declarants and contradiction of their testimony or statements	25-89
	25.3421	Failure to impeach witness's credibility in general	25-89
	25.3422	Failure to present evidence to impeach witness in general	25-89
	25.3423	Failure to impeach witness with prior inconsistent statement	25-89
	25.3424	Failure to introduce prior inconsistent statements of complaining witness	25-89
	25.3425	Failure to impeach witness with readily available evidence that would have shown witness was promised substantial consideration in exchange for testimony	25-89
	25.3426	Failure to impeach witness with readily available evidence that would have shown that witness lied about whether he had been promised anything in exchange for testimony	25-89

25.3427	Failure to call witness to contradict testimony of other witness	25-89
25.3428	Failure to impeach with fact and/or number of prior criminal convictions	25-90
25.34281	Failure to impeach state's witness with number of witness's prior criminal convictions	25-90
25.34282	Failure to impeach hearsay declarant with fact and number of prior convictions	25-90
25.3429	Other failures	25-90
25.34291	Failure to investigate parole revocation proceedings relating to state witness, which would have revealed that witness allegedly lied to parole agent concerning some matter other than facts of case	25-90
25.34292	Failure to adduce evidence regarding probationary status of state's witness	25-90
25.34293	Failure to present evidence of witness's motive to lie (e.g., animosity toward defendant)	25-90
25.343	Failures relating to responsibility phase of trial	25-90
25.3431	Failure to present psychiatric evidence at responsibility phase of trial	25-90
25.344	Failures relating to calling, questioning, and presenting witnesses or other evidence in the defendant's defense	25-90
25.3441	Failure to call witness in general	25-90
25.3442	Failure to assure that defense witness would not appear in jail uniform and shackles while testifying at trial	25-90
25.3443	Failure to call character witnesses on defendant's behalf	25-90
25.3444	Failure to call additional character witnesses on defendant's behalf	25-90
25.3445	Failure to present expert (e.g., pathologist) to counter expert presented by state	25-91
25.3446	Failure to present testimony of alibi witness	25-91
25.3447	Failure to call witness who would provide testimony supporting defendant's defense	25-91
25.3448	Failure to call expert on false confessions to testify	25-91
25.3449	Other failures and related matters	25-91
25.34491	Failure to present evidence of exculpatory prior consistent statements made by defendant	25-91
25.34492	Failure to present expert testimony regarding appropriateness of therapy techniques used by defendant accused of sexual exploitation by therapist	25-91
25.34493	Beginning examination of defendant with open-ended question that permitted her to begin testimony with lengthy narrative description of her version of events	25-91
25.34494	Failure to present evidence regarding complaints against defendant made to police by victim and state's principal witness	25-91

	25.34495	Failure to pressure reluctant witness to testify (see sec. 25.21355)	25-91
	25.34496	When deficient performance is failure to present certain evidence in defendant's defense, determination of prejudice requires consideration of evidence that state would be permitted to adduce in rebuttal (see sec. 25.21532)	25-91
25.345		Failures relating to cross-examination of witnesses	25-91
	25.3451	Failure to adequately cross-examine state's witnesses in general	25-91
	25.34511	In general	25-91
	25.34512	When state's witness is young child	25-92
	25.3452	Failure to adequately cross-examine state's witness regarding matters that impeach witness's credibility in general	25-92
	25.3453	Failure to adequately cross-examine state's witness regarding consideration he received for testifying	25-92
	25.3454	Failure to cross-examine witness regarding matters that have not yet occurred	25-92
	25.3455	Failure to cross-examine victim regarding medical problems	25-92
	25.3456	Failure to question victim about conduct prior to sexual assault allegedly showing that victim was jealous of defendant's relationship with other women	25-92
	25.3457	Failure to elicit number of times state's witness had been convicted of crime for purpose of impeaching witness	25-92
	25.3458	Failure to adequately impeach prosecution witnesses	25-92
	25.3459	Other failures	25-92
	25.34591	Eliciting opinion from witness (e.g., police officer who investigated crime and questioned defendant) that he or she believes defendant lied in statements defendant gave (see sec. 20.84192)	25-92
	25.34592	Failure to cross-examine state's witness regarding witness's motive to lie (e.g., animosity toward defendant)	25-92
	25.34593	Asking police officer whether and/or why he believed what victim told him on night of crime	25-92
	25.34594	Failure to properly cross-examine witness regarding motivation for testifying	25-93
	25.34595	Failure to cross-examine victim-witness regarding voluntary contact she had with defendant after threat she testified to occurred	25-93
25.346		Defects relating to defendant testifying in his own defense and declining to testify in his own defense	25-93
	25.3461	Failure to properly advise defendant regarding right to testify in own defense	25-93
	25.3462	Threatening defendant that he or she will withdraw as attorney if defendant exercises right to testify in own defense	25-93
	25.3463	Failure to adequately prepare defendant for his testimony	25-93
	25.3464	Advising defendant to take the stand	25-93

25.3465	Failure to ascertain number of defendant's prior convictions before asking defendant about number of prior convictions when defendant takes stand in own defense	25-93
25.3466	Failure to elicit more direct testimony from defendant regarding factual discrepancies in victim's story	25-93
25.3467	Shifting from question-answer to narrative format in presenting defendant's testimony without any triggering perjury justifying shift	25-93
25.3468	Shifting from question-answer to narrative format in presenting defendant's testimony without advising defendant that counsel was going to do so	25-93
25.3469	Other defects	25-93
	25.34691 Advising defendant not to testify	25-93
	25.34692 Failing to adequately represent defendant in his attempt to revoke decision not to testify	25-93
	25.34693 Failure to present evidence of third party's statement against interest exculpating defendant	25-94
25.347	Other defects	25-94
25.3471	Failure to have bodily specimens allegedly left at crime scene by perpetrator, which state introduces into evidence at trial to connect defendant to crime, subjected to DNA analysis	25-94
25.3472	Failure to adequately rebut expert witness	25-94
25.3473	Stipulation to accuracy of transcript of 911 call	25-94
25.3474	Failure to assure that defense witness would not appear in jail uniform and shackles when testifying at trial (see sec. 25.3442)	25-94
25.3475	Failure to adequately supervise drafting of stipulation of facts submitted at trial	25-94
25.3476	Failure to make adequate offer of proof as to expert testimony	25-94
25.3477	Failure to present mitigating evidence in capital sentencing proceeding	25-94
25.3478	Failure to attempt to introduce evidence trial court has ruled inadmissible	25-94
25.3479	Failure to attack interviewing techniques of psychotherapist and investigating officer	25-94
25.348	Other defects (cont'd)	25-94
25.3481	Stipulating to inconclusive findings regarding penile swabs of victim	25-94
25.3482	Stipulating, in sexual assault prosecution, to nurse's report of no physical trauma, instead of having her testify to same	25-94
25.3483	Failure to call witness to refute detective's testimony in sexual assault prosecution that tearing and lacerations were not normally present in cases of sexual assault	25-94
25.3484	Stipulating that crime lab tests were inconclusive in sexual assault prosecution when in fact tests on vaginal swabs proved negative for semen	25-95
25.3485	Advising defendant to commit perjury at trial	25-95

25.3486	Failure to have evidence that state introduces into evidence at trial scientifically tested (see sec. 25.22391)	25-95
25.3487	Failure to made offer of proof when trial court denies follow-up questioning of witness after juror has asked witness questions	25-95
25.3488	Failure to be aware of possibility of, and to enter into, Wallerman stipulation to avoid admission of other acts evidence (see sec. 25.3585)	25-95
25.3489	Introducing evidence relating to defendant's silence prior to trial	25-95
25.349	Other defects (cont'd)	25-95
25.3491	Failure to present evidence of defendant's use of medication on day of offense	25-95
25.3492	Failure, in sexual assault prosecution, to introduce crime lab analyst's testimony that test for presence of semen was negative, but instead joining in evidentiary stipulation that test results were inconclusive	25-95
25.3493	Failure, in sexual assault prosecution, to introduce clothing worn by complaining witness and crime lab report on it to show condition of clothing allegedly inconsistent with forcible assault	25-95
25.3494	Failure to cooperate in presenting perjured testimony	25-95
25.3495	Eliciting testimony that state's witness took polygraph exam	25-95
25.3496	Failure to present a defense (see sec. 25.3982)	25-95
25.3497	Failure to stipulate to element of offense so as to render prejudicial evidence relevant to that element inadmissible	25-95
25.34971	In general (see sec. 25.39651)	25-95
25.34972	Failure to stipulate to prior felony conviction where prior felony conviction is element of offense so as to preclude evidence of nature of prior crime (see sec. 25.39652)	25-96
25.34973	Failure to stipulate to element of offense so as to avoid introduction of other acts evidence relevant to that element (see secs. 25.3585 and 25.39653)	25-96
25.34974	Failure to stipulate to harmfulness of material so as to preclude introduction of material into evidence (see sec. 25.39654)	25-96
25.3498	Other defects	25-96
25.34981	Introducing allegedly prejudicial evidence in sexual assault prosecution that defendant's sons sexually assaulted victim	25-96
25.34982	Failure to point out inconsistencies in witnesses' descriptions of perpetrator of crime	25-96
25.34983	Failure to introduce, pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 906.09(5), evidence of pending appeal of prior conviction that was element of crime charged	25-96
25.3499	Other defects (cont'd)	25-96

25.34991	Failure to produce expert testimony to support defense in sexual assault prosecution that defendant was too immature to experience sexual arousal or gratification from charged touching	25-96
25.34992	Failure to present mitigating evidence at sentencing phase of capital case	25-96
25.34993	Eliciting testimony from investigating officer that he believed victim and not defendant when interviewing them	25-96
25.34994	Failure to present DNA evidence that excluded defendant as source of physical evidence (hairs, tissue, cigarette butts, etc.) found at scene of crime	25-96
25.34995	Failure to earlier retain expert on eyewitness identification so that adjournment detrimental to defendant would not have been necessary (see sec. 25.31798)	25-96
25.34996	Failure to seek and obtain pretrial ruling via motion in limine regarding admissibility of particular testimony or other evidence (see sec. 25.31595)	25-97
25.34997	Failure to present evidence to support coercion defense, (e.g., testimony that person who allegedly coerced defendant was violent psychopath, testimony that relationship between that person and defendant was that of master and slave, etc.)	25-97
25.34998	Failure to produce witnesses relating to other acts about which state has presented testimony	25-97
25.34999	Failure to understand trial court's ruling on motion in limine regarding admissibility of defense evidence	25-97
25.35	Relating to objecting to evidence at trial	25-97
25.351	Failure to object to evidence in general	25-97
25.3511	In general	25-97
25.3512	Failure to object to objectionable evidence may not be deficient performance if counsel did not object because he or she did not want to draw unwarranted attention to evidence (see sec. 25.21393)	25-97
25.352	Failure to object to prior consistent statements of victim	25-97
25.353	Failure to object to "other crimes, wrongs or acts" evidence	25-97
25.354	Failure to object to prejudicial hearsay	25-97
25.355	Failure to object to evidence regarding defendant's invocation of right to silence	25-97
25.3551	Defendant's silence prior to trial	25-98
25.3552	Defendant's invocation of right to silence while being questioned by police in general	25-98
25.3553	Defendant's post-arrest, post-Miranda silence	25-98
25.356	Other failures	25-98

25.3561	Failure to object, at insanity phase of trial, to admission of defense's statements given to presentence investigator	25-98
25.3562	Failure to object to testimony that defendant had been in prison	25-98
25.3563	Failure to object to admission of defendant's statements made in connection with offer to plead guilty	25-98
25.3564	Failure to object on ground that evidence or testimony denied defendant right to confrontation	25-98
25.3565	Failure to object to prosecutor's asking open-ended question that called for narrative response by state's witness	25-98
25.3566	Failure to object to evidence that victim of robbery had miscarriage shortly after robbery	25-98
25.3567	Failure to object to testimony of state's witness that he knew defendant prior to crime because defendant was in jail with him	25-98
25.3568	Failure to object to hearsay evidence	25-98
25.357	Other failures (cont'd)	25-98
25.3571	Failure to object, at trial for perjury in trial testimony given in prior prosecution, to admission of statements to presentence investigator in that prior prosecution that were inconsistent with that trial testimony	25-99
25.3572	Failure to object, at trial for perjury in trial testimony given in prior prosecution for crime, to evidence that defendant was convicted of that crime	25-99
25.3573	Failure to object to trial court admitting exhibit that neither party asked to be admitted	25-99
25.3574	Failure to object to state calling particular witness because witness's name was not on witness list	25-99
25.35741	Failure to object to state calling expert witness who was not on state's witness list	25-99
25.35742	Failure to object to state calling, as rebuttal witness, witness who was not on state's witness list, on ground that witness was not bona fide rebuttal witness	25-99
25.3575	Failure to object to state calling, as rebuttal witness, witness who was not on state's witness list, on ground that witness was not bona fide rebuttal witness (see sec. 25.35742)	25-99
25.358	Other failures (cont'd)	25-99
25.3581	Failure to object to witness's opinion about credibility of another witness or testimony that allegedly constituted opinion on victim's credibility	25-99
25.3582	Failure to object to expert testimony regarding defendant's credibility	25-99
25.3583	Failure to object, at insanity phase of trial held long after guilt phase of trial, to witnesses reading their testimony from guilt phase	25-99
25.3584	Failure to object to questioning by jurors	25-99
25.3585	Failure to be aware of possibility of, and to enter into, Wallerman stipulation to avoid admission of other acts evidence	25-100

25.35851	In general	25-100
25.35852	Counsel not required to enter into stipulation; all he needs to do is to be aware of possibility of stipulation and to strategize whether it is in defendant's interest to enter into stipulation	25-100
25.3586	Failure to make motion for mistrial when objection sustained and jury instructed to disregard evidence	25-100
25.3587	Failure to object to evidence of threats to state witness	25-100
25.3588	Failure to object to evidence relating to unrelated violations of written work rules and regulations in prosecution of defendant for criminal activity on job	25-100
25.3589	Failure to object to evidence in prosecution for fraudulent use of financial transaction card of defendant's use of card in another county	25-100
25.359	Other failures (cont'd)	25-100
25.3591	Failure to object to irrelevant evidence	25-100
25.3592	Failure to object to testimony that defendant was incarcerated before and during trial	25-100
25.3593	Failure to object to admission of witness's prior statements	25-100
25.3594	Failure to object to videotaped statement of child offered pursuant to Wis. Stat. sec. 908.08	25-100
25.35941	In general	25-100
25.35942	Failure to object on ground that required notice of intent to introduce videotape was not given	25-101
25.35943	Failure to object on ground that videotape was shown before child testified	25-101
25.35944	Failure to object on ground that admission of videotape violated defendant's right to confrontation	25-101
25.3595	Failure to make objection supported by decision that was subsequently overruled	25-101
25.3596	Failure to object to admission of co-defendant's statement implicating defendant that violates defendant's right to confrontation	25-101
25.3597	Failure to object to testimony that allegedly constituted improper opinion on witness's credibility	25-101
25.3598	Failure to seek recess to review documents proffered by state	25-101
25.3599	Other failures	25-101
25.35991	Failure to object to testimony that might have been objectionable, but with respect to which counsel did not object for strategic reasons	25-101
25.35992	Failure to move to strike testimony of expert witness whose opinions represented personal practice, not professional standards	25-101
25.35993	Failure to challenge admissibility of hypnotically refreshed testimony on ground that it did not meet requirements for admissibility of such testimony	25-101

25.35994	Failure to object to admission of evidence relating to nature of defendant's prior conviction	25-101
25.35995	Failure to object to testimony on basis of "surprise"	25-101
25.35996	Failure to object to references to defendant's use of alias	25-101
25.35997	Failure to object to evidence of defendant's lifestyle	25-102
25.35998	Failure to object to state's questioning of its witness on ground of lack of foundation	25-102
25.36	Relating to jury instructions and verdict forms	25-102
25.361	Failure to object to instructions in general	25-102
25.362	Failure to object to language added to pattern jury instruction	25-102
25.363	Failure to request instruction on particular matters	25-102
25.3631	Accident instruction	25-102
25.3632	Instruction on eyewitness identification	25-102
25.3633	Accomplice instruction	25-102
25.3634	Unanimity instruction	25-102
25.36341	In general	25-102
25.36342	In prosecution for exposing child to harmful material, when state has introduced evidence that defendant exposed child to two pictures	25-102
25.3635	Instruction on confessions and admission	25-102
25.3636	Instruction on defendant's right not to testify	25-102
25.3637	Instruction on liability of aider and abettor for natural and probable consequences of intended crime	25-102
25.3638	Instruction on defense of mistake	25-102
25.3639	Other-acts evidence	25-102
25.364	Failure to request lesser-included offense instruction	25-103
25.365	Failure to discuss lesser-included offense option with defendant	25-103
25.366	Failure to object to instruction does not constitute deficient performance if instruction properly stated the law	25-103
25.367	Failure to make objection supported by decision that was subsequently overruled	25-103
25.368	Other defects	25-103
25.3681	Failure to object to verdict form that lacks requisite specificity	25-103
25.3682	Failure to object to instruction that baseball bat is dangerous weapon	25-103
25.3683	Failure to object to instructions and verdict forms that allegedly did not require unanimous agreement on offenses being tried	25-103
25.3684	Failure to object to reinstruction of jury that allegedly failed to sufficiently or correctly respond to jury's questions that prompted reinstruction	25-103
25.3685	Failure to request cautionary instruction relating to jail clothing worn by defense witnesses	25-103
25.3686	Failure to anticipate instruction on lesser-included offense (see sec. 25.3991)	25-103
25.369	Other defects	25-103

25.3691	Failure to request limiting instruction on statements made by victim to third parties	25-103
25.3692	Failure to object to jury instruction defining "deliver" in prosecution for delivery of controlled substances	25-104
25.3693	Failure to object to instruction on meaning of sexual contact	25-104
25.3694	Failure to object to instruction on bail jumping that informed jury that defendant had been convicted of offenses for which he was out on bail	25-104
25.3695	Failure to object to jury instruction in prosecution for fraudulent use of financial transaction card that used the words "without authorization" instead of "without consent"	25-104
25.3696	Counsel does not perform deficiently in failing to request jury instruction on invalid defense	25-104
25.3697	Failure to object to instruction that is lacking essential element of crime	25-104
25.36971	In general	25-104
25.36972	Instruction on penalty enhancer for being armed that does not contain Peete nexus requirement	25-104
25.3698	Failure to object to instruction on liability of aider and abettor for natural and probable consequences of intended crime	25-104
25.37	Relating to closing argument	25-104
25.371	When defense counsel's closing argument deemed deficient in general	25-104
25.372	Failure to object to district attorney's references to defendant in closing argument	25-104
25.373	Counsel's admission in closing argument that defendant was not telling truth in his testimony before jury	25-104
25.374	Failure to argue potential defense	25-104
25.3741	In general	25-104
25.3742	Failure to argue accident	25-104
25.3743	Failure to argue alibi	25-105
25.375	Failure to make objection supported by decision that was subsequently overruled	25-105
25.376	Failure to have closing arguments recorded	25-105
25.377	Other defects	25-105
25.3771	Failure to adequately present defendant's defense in closing argument	25-105
25.3772	Making inconsistent arguments, i.e., advancing inconsistent theories regarding defendant's guilt (e.g., contending that defendant did not commit crime, but that, if he did, he committed lesser-included offense, not offense charged)	25-105
25.3773	Not making inconsistent argument, i.e, not advancing inconsistent theories regarding defendant's guilt	25-105
25.3774	Failure to object to prosecutor's comment on defendant's failure to testify at trial	25-105
25.378	Other defects (cont'd)	25-105
25.3781	Failure to object to district attorney's reliance on testimony allegedly constituting improper opinion on witness's credibility	25-105

25.3782	Failure to object to prosecutor's comments on witnesses during closing arguments	25-105
25.3783	Failure to highlight potentially exculpatory pieces of evidence	25-105
25.3784	Mentioning host of details that hurt defendant's position	25-105
25.3785	Failure to explicitly argue that state failed to prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt	25-105
25.3786	Confessing that he (defense counsel) could not be sure truth, thereby implying that he did not believe defendant's testimony	25-105
25.3787	Failure to object to prosecutor's vouching for credibility of witnesses	25-105
25.3788	Failure to object to prosecutor's references to matters not supported by evidence	25-105
25.3789	Failure to object to prosecutor's alleged mischaracterization of evidence during closing argument	25-106
25.379	Other defects (cont'd)	25-106
25.3791	Failure to object to prosecutor's allegedly impermissible comments on defendant's pretrial invocation of right to silence	25-106
25.3792	Failure to object to prosecutor's comment on victim's post-crime activity and activity of other victims	25-106
25.3793	Failure to ask for acquittal	25-106
25.3794	Failure to emphasize state's burden to prove elements beyond a reasonable doubt	25-106
25.3795	Failure to object to prosecutor's argument regarding defendant's manipulative disposition	25-106
25.3796	Conceding defendant's guilt	25-106
25.37961	In general	25-106
25.37962	On one of multiple counts for which defendant is being tried	25-106
25.37963	In capital (i.e., death penalty) case (see sec. 25.3951)	25-106
25.3797	Failure to make any closing argument	25-106
25.37971	In general	25-106
25.37972	At sentencing phase of capital case	25-106
25.3798	Failure to object to prosecutor's improper remarks during closing argument in general	25-106
25.38	Relating to sentencing	25-107
25.381	In general	25-107
25.382	Failure to object to prosecutor's breach of plea agreement	25-107
25.3821	In general	25-107
25.3822	When prosecutor's breach is substantial and material, prejudice is presumed	25-107
25.3823	Is prejudice negated if trial court did not rely on recommendation?	25-107

25.3824	Strategic decision by defense counsel to forgo objection to prosecutor's breach is tantamount to entering into renegotiated plea agreement; entering into renegotiated plea agreement without consulting defendant and obtaining his consent is deficient performance	25-107
25.3825	When state's recommendation or other conduct does not breach plea agreement, counsel's failure to object to recommendation or conduct does not constitute ineffective assistance of counsel	25-108
25.3826	If defense counsel has strategic reason for forgoing objection and obtains defendant's consent to forgoing objection, performance is not deficient	25-108
25.3827	Counsel does not render ineffective assistance of counsel for not objecting to technical breach (i.e., one that is not material and substantial)	25-108
25.3828	Counsel does not render ineffective assistance of counsel for not objecting to breach of plea agreement when no breach has occurred	25-108
25.3829	When prosecutor agrees to cap sentencing recommendation for TIS sentence at specific number of years, recommends that number of years of confinement, but asks for additional years of extended supervision	25-108
25.383	Failure to make sentencing argument	25-108
25.3831	In general	25-108
25.3832	When defendant has instructed counsel not to make sentencing argument	25-108
25.384	Failure to make adequate challenge to inaccuracies and other defects in presentence report	25-108
25.385	Failures relating to sentencing in capital (i.e., death penalty) case	25-108
25.3851	Failure to present evidence in capital sentencing proceeding (see sec. 25.3477)	25-108
25.3852	Failure to investigate in general	25-108
25.3853	Failure to review readily available court file on prior conviction state intends to rely on as aggravating circumstance (see sec. 25.22394)	25-108
25.386	Failure to request presentence investigation	25-108
25.387	Failure to call witnesses at sentence hearing	25-108
25.388	Other defects	25-109
25.3881	Failure to seek adjournment, or take up court's offer of continuance, so that defense counsel and defendant can complete review of presentence report	25-109
25.3882	Failure to make objection supported by decision that was subsequently overruled	25-109
25.3883	Failure to adequately contest no-grouping position taken by government under federal sentencing guidelines, under which position offense level was increased for sentencing purposes	25-109
25.3884	Miscellaneous failures that are rejected on grounds that prejudice not shown	25-109

25.3885	Failure to seek judicial recommendation against deportation (see sec. 7.24126)	25-109
25.3886	Failure to seek revocation of bond in case in which defendant was released on bond, while defendant in custody awaiting trial in another case, so as to create situation in which defendant would be entitled to sentence credit in first case for time spent in custody in second case (see sec. 25.3955)	25-109
25.3887	Failure to object to prosecutorial misconduct at sentencing (e.g., prosecutor's alleged misrepresentations to court)	25-109
25.3888	Failure to say enough about particular matter	25-109
25.389	Other matters	25-109
25.3891	Effect of trial court's finding that if trial counsel had performed at sentencing in manner suggested, sentence would have been the same	25-109
25.3892	When deficient performance directly results in increase in sentence over what it would have been, prejudice prong of test for ineffective assistance of counsel does not require that increase must meet a standard of significance	25-109
25.3893	Failure to advise defendant to tell presentence investigator about prior conviction	25-109
25.39	Relating to other matters	25-109
25.391	Relating to appeal	25-110
25.3911	Failure to inform defendant of appeal rights (see sec. 25.2224)	25-110
25.3912	Failure to file notice of appeal, i.e., to take or pursue appeal	25-110
25.39121	In general	25-110
25.39122	When defendant has specifically instructed counsel to file notice of appeal	25-110
25.39123	When defendant has told counsel not to file appeal	25-110
25.39124	When defendant has not clearly conveyed wishes one way or the other on question whether appeal should be taken	25-110
25.39125	Remedy when counsel performed deficiently in failing to file notice of appeal	25-110
25.3913	Failure to properly preserve issue for appellate review	25-110
25.3914	Failure to pursue particular issue on appeal	25-110
25.39141	In general	25-110
25.39142	When issue has not been properly preserved for appeal	25-110
25.3915	Failure to take appeal raising issue that appellate court reviews and finds meritless	25-110
25.3916	Failure to file timely petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision	25-110
25.3917	Failures relating to no-merit (Anders) procedure (see sec. 25.392)	25-110
25.3918	Failure to file timely notice of appeal from denial of petition for supervised release in ch. 980 proceeding (see sec. 25.39394)	25-111

25.3919	Other defects	25-111
25.39191	Failure to file formal motion to withdraw before closing file when counsel and defendant agree that no appeal should be pursued because of no merit to appeal or for some other reason (see sec. 6.9525)	25-111
25.39192	Failure to challenge trial counsel's performance	25-111
25.39193	Filing of motion to withdraw when attorney concludes that appeal would have no merit, but defendant does not want no-merit report filed (see sec. 25.3926)	25-111
25.392	Relating to no-merit (Anders) procedure	25-111
25.3921	Failure to find arguable issues to appeal and, instead, filing no-merit report	25-111
25.3922	Failure to inform defendant of no-merit option	25-111
25.3923	Failure to file no-merit report when defendant expresses desire for appeal	25-111
25.3924	Terminating representation without filing no-merit report when defendant consents to closing of file without further court action	25-111
25.3925	Failure to file formal motion to withdraw before closing file without filing no-merit report when counsel and defendant agree that no appeal should be pursued because of no merit to appeal or for some other reason (see sec. 6.9525)	25-111
25.3926	Failure to file partial no-merit report when counsel identifies arguably meritorious issue that defendant does not want to pursue, but also concludes that no other non-frivolous grounds are present to support appeal	25-111
25.3927	Filing of motion to withdraw when attorney concludes that appeal would have no merit, but defendant does not want no-merit report filed	25-111
25.393	Relating to failures in chap. 980 proceedings	25-112
25.3931	In general	25-112
25.3932	Failure to raise issue whether probable cause hearing was held within seventy-two hours of filing of petition	25-112
25.3933	Failure to raise issue whether trial was held within forty-five days of probable cause hearing	25-112
25.3934	Failure to raise issue whether trial court erroneously admitted juvenile delinquency adjudication	25-112
25.3935	Failure to raise issue whether chap. 980 is unconstitutional as applied	25-112
25.3936	Failure to raise issue whether pattern instruction violated defendant's constitutional rights or was otherwise defective because it failed to define "substantially probable" and failed to define it to mean "extremely likely"	25-112
25.3937	Failure to object to expert's opinion regarding defendant's credibility	25-112

25.3938	Failure to understand that defendant had right to independent reexamination the results of which could be introduced at probable cause hearing on request for discharge	25-112
25.3939	Other failures	25-112
25.39391	Failure to move to dismiss petition as untimely	25-112
25.39392	Failure to move to strike juror for cause	25-112
25.39393	Failure to object to other crimes evidence	25-112
25.39394	Failure to file timely notice of appeal from denial of petition for supervised release	25-113
25.39395	Failure to seek assistance from expert to counter state's key opinion testimony	25-113
25.39396	Failure to seek exclusion of psychologist's report and testimony, or statements made by person to psychologist, on Miranda grounds	25-113
25.394	Relating to jury deliberations, return of verdict, polling of jury, and impeachment of verdict	25-113
25.3941	Relating to communications between court and jury during deliberations	25-113
25.39411	Failure to object to court's responding to question posed by jury during deliberations without notifying defendant or counsel of question and obtaining their input	25-113
25.3942	Relating to sending exhibits to jury during deliberations	25-113
25.39421	Failure to object to providing jury with police reports concerning statements given by state's witnesses	25-113
25.3943	Relating to polling of jury	25-113
25.39431	Failure to request polling of jury (see sec. 8.6868)	25-113
25.39432	Failure to inform defendant of right to poll jurors individually (see sec. 8.68691)	25-113
25.3944	Failure to object and to ask court to (1) order jury to return to deliberations on question of guilt, or (2) declare mistrial, when, in bifurcated trial, juror dissents from verdict of guilty accepted at guilt stage after polling of jury determined unanimity, when jury begins deliberations at sanity phase of trial	25-113
25.395	Relating to other matters	25-113
25.3951	Relating to capital (i.e., death penalty) proceeding	25-113
25.39511	Conceding guilt of offense, so as to preserve credibility for penalty phase	25-114
25.39512	Failing to adduce mitigating evidence	25-114
25.39513	Failing to undertake sufficient investigation regarding existence of mitigating evidence (see sec. 25.22393)	25-114
25.39514	When deficient performance is failure to present certain mitigating evidence, determination of prejudice requires consideration of state's evidence to which introduction of that mitigating evidence would have opened door	25-114

	25.39515	Failing to make adequate closing argument	25-114
25.3952		Relating to collateral attack on earlier conviction	25-114
	25.39521	Failure to argue that Pickens standard, not bangert standard, is applicable to waiver of counsel in earlier proceeding that resulted in conviction being attacked	25-114
25.3953		Relating to revocation of probation, parole, or extended supervision	25-114
	25.39531	In general	25-114
	25.39532	Advising defendant that he should give up right to revocation hearing	25-114
	25.39533	Failure to investigate alternatives to revocation	25-114
25.3954		Relating to defendant's appearance at trial	25-114
	25.39541	Failure to object to defendant being required to wear restraining device	25-114
25.3955		Failure to seek revocation of bond in case in which defendant was released on bond, while defendant in custody awaiting trial in another case, so as to create situation in which defendant would be entitled to sentence credit in first case for time spent in custody in second case	25-114
25.396		Relating to other matters	25-114
	25.3961	Failure to request severance of defendants at trial (see sec. 25.3152)	25-114
	25.3962	Ignorance of heat-of-passion defense	25-115
	25.3963	Relating to defense of mental disease or defect (i.e., plea of not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect)	25-115
	25.39631	Failure to consider, investigate, and/or pursue defense	25-115
	25.39632	Advising defendant to withdraw plea because of small likelihood of success in pursuing plea	25-115
25.3964		Failure to seek enforcement of, or to inform defendant of right to seek enforcement of, plea agreement with which prosecutor has refused to comply	25-115
	25.39641	In general	25-115
	25.39642	This defect is prejudicial	25-115
25.3965		Failure to stipulate to element of offense so as to render prejudicial evidence relevant to that element inadmissible	25-115
	25.39651	In general	25-115
	25.39652	Failure to stipulate to status element (e.g., that defendant is convicted felon) so as to avoid prejudicial evidence relevant to prove that status (e.g., nature of conviction)	25-115
	25.39653	Failure to stipulate to element of offense so as to avoid introduction of other acts evidence relevant to that element	25-115
	25.39654	Failure to stipulate to harmfulness of material so as to preclude introduction of material into evidence	25-115
25.3966		Failure to object, at trial, to charges as multiplicitous when objection based on evidence state adduced at trial	25-115

25.3967	Failure to move, prior to second trial, to dismiss on double jeopardy grounds when first trial ended in mistrial declared over defendant's objection and not supported by manifest necessity	25-116
25.3968	Failure to request psychological examination of victim	25-116
25.3969	Failure to object to denial of public trial	25-116
25.397	Relating to other matters (cont'd)	25-116
25.3971	Failure to make objection supported by decision that was subsequently overruled	25-116
25.3972	Failure to argue that defendant was not type of person covered by criminal statute under which she was prosecuted	25-116
25.3973	Failure to maintain adequate communication with defendant	25-116
25.3974	Failure to request mistrial because of presence of uniformed firefighters as spectators at arson trial	25-116
25.3975	Failure to object when trial court states that witness is "credible"	25-116
25.3976	Failure to bring motion to withdraw guilty plea	25-116
25.3977	Failure to ask for interpreter for defendant	25-116
25.3978	Failure to make motion that would have been denied (see sec. 25.21362)	25-116
25.3979	Failure to move for mistrial	25-116
25.398	Relating to other matters (cont'd)	25-116
25.3981	Avoiding confrontation with prosecution	25-116
25.3982	Failure to present defense at trial	25-116
25.3983	Failure to renew pretrial motion for change of venue, or to submit additional evidence in support of motion, at trial	25-116
25.3984	Failure to raise issue of insufficiency of evidence to prove venue	25-117
25.3985	Failure to investigate potential mitigating circumstances in death penalty case (see sec. 25.22393)	25-117
25.3986	Defense counsel's acquiescence in inculpatory expert testimony	25-117
25.3987	Failure to contest defendant's guilt (i.e., conceding defendant's guilt) (see sec. 25.3796)	25-117
25.3988	Failure to object to references to defendant's use of alias (see sec. 25.35996)	25-117
25.3989	Pursuing an overall defense strategy of trying to "keep it simple"	25-117
25.399	Relating to other matters (cont'd)	25-117
25.3991	Failure to anticipate that lesser-included offense would be submitted to jury	25-117
25.3992	Failure to move to withdraw plea before sentencing	25-117
	25.39921 In general	25-117
	25.39922 When opportunity to seek possible reduction of grade of offense at sentencing that defendant and counsel expected was denied by trial court	25-117
25.4	Waiver Of Right	25-117

25.41	General Principles	25-117
25.411	In general	25-117
25.412	Failure to object to counsel's absence is not waiver of right to counsel	25-118
25.42	In Interrogation Context	25-118
25.421	In general (see secs. 2.16 and 2.33)	25-118
25.422	After request for counsel	25-118
25.4221	At court proceeding (see sec. 2.32)	25-118
25.4222	At interrogation (see sec. 2.132)	25-118
25.43	In Criminal Prosecution	25-118
25.431	In general (see sec. 25.41)	25-118
25.432	Need for trial court inquiry into whether waiver knowingly and voluntarily made	25-118
25.4321	In general	25-118
25.4322	Effect of, and remedy for, failure to conduct inquiry: Bangert-type analysis required	25-119
25.4323	Use of written waiver form in making inquiry	25-119
25.4324	Informing defendant regarding right to appointed counsel	25-119
25.43241	In general	25-119
25.43242	No need to advise defendant of variety of sources for appointed counsel and variety of sources for reimbursement of counsel	25-119
25.4325	To establish waiver of right to counsel in conjunction with taking of plea in high-volume traffic and misdemeanor courts, court may make en masse recitation of constitutional rights at start of proceedings and then incorporate that recitation by reference as each individual defendant's case is called	25-119
25.4326	Must defendant be alerted to dangers and disadvantages of self-representation when he is waiving counsel as part of guilty or no-contest plea? (see sec. 7.2151)	25-119
25.4327	Requirement that court conduct inquiry mandated in Klessig survives United States Supreme Court's decision in Tovar	25-119
25.4328	Reconstruction of record when trial court's colloquy with defendant regarding waiver of right to counsel was not recorded (see sec. 25.484)	25-119
25.4329	Other matters	25-119
25.43291	No need for inquiry when waiver of right occurs as a result of defendant's conduct (i.e., when right is forfeited) (see sec. 25.4344)	25-119
25.433	Need for trial court inquiry into competency to waive right; relationship of competency standard for waiving right to counsel to competency standard for standing trial	25-120
25.4331	In general	25-120
25.4332	Relationship of competency standard for waiving right to counsel to competency standard for standing trial	25-120
25.4333	Is showing of competency required when waiver of right occurs as a result of defendant's conduct (i.e., when right is forfeited)? (see sec. 25.4343)	25-120
25.434	Waiver by conduct (i.e., forfeiture of right to counsel)	25-120
25.4341	General principles	25-120

25.4342	Waiver by particular conduct	25-120
25.43421	By failure to assert right to counsel in timely fashion	25-120
25.43422	By disruptive and/or manipulative conduct	25-120
25.43423	By refusal to obtain attorney or accept services of appointed attorney	25-120
25.4343	When right to counsel waived by conduct (i.e., forfeited), is showing of competency still required?	25-121
25.4344	When right to counsel waived by conduct (i.e., forfeited), court does not need to engage in colloquy with defendant to ensure that he understood risks of self-representation	25-121
25.435	Other matters	25-121
25.4351	Defendant not entitled to new trial in interest of justice because of failure to raise defense to criminal charge when failure to raise defense was result of defendant's decision to waive right to counsel (see sec. 11.8194)	25-121
25.44	Waiver of postconviction or appellate counsel	25-121
25.441	General Principles	25-121
25.442	By rejection of court-appointed or state-provided counsel for postconviction or appellate proceedings	25-121
25.443	No need for formal motion to withdraw before closing file when counsel and defendant agree that no appeal should be pursued because of no merit to appeal or for some other reason (see sec. 6.9525)	25-121
25.444	State may not condition entry of guilty plea on indigent's waiver of right to counsel on discretionary appeal attacking plea	25-121
25.445	Waiver can be effected by conduct	25-121
25.45	Relationship Between Waiver Of Sixth Amendment Right To Counsel And Waiver Of Fifth Amendment Right To Counsel (see sec. 2.337)	25-121
25.46	Waiver Or Forfeiture Of Appellate Review Of Denial Of Right To Counsel	25-121
25.47	Appellate Review Of Determination Whether Defendant Has Validly Waived Right To Counsel	25-121
25.471	Standard of review	25-121
25.4711	In general	25-121
25.4712	Review of whether defendant made out prima facie case of invalid waiver	25-122
25.48	Other Matters	25-122
25.481	Burden of proof on question of waiver	25-122
25.482	When court conducted adequate colloquy demonstrating constitutionally sufficient waiver to right to counsel, evidentiary hearing on defendant's postconviction motion attacking sufficiency of waiver is not required	25-122
25.483	Effect of, and remedy for, failure to conduct required inquiry into whether waiver of right to counsel was knowingly and voluntarily made: hearing on whether defendant knowingly and voluntarily waived right to counsel required	25-122
25.4831	When direct attack on conviction made, Bangart-type analysis applies to determine when hearing is required at which state must show that defendant knowingly and voluntarily waived right to counsel	25-122

25.4832	When collateral attack on conviction made, defendant must make prima facie showing, pointing to facts that demonstrate he did not knowingly and voluntarily waive right to counsel, to trigger hearing at which state is given opportunity to show that waiver was valid	25-122
25.4833	Burden and standard of proof state must meet at hearing	25-122
25.4834	State's right to call defendant at hearing and examine him regarding waiver of right to counsel	25-123
25.4835	If defendant refuses to testify at hearing, trial court may infer that state has satisfied burden to show knowing and voluntary waiver of right to counsel	25-123
25.4836	When collateral attack on conviction made, state is not required to overcome "presumption against waiver"	25-123
25.484	Reconstruction of record when trial court's colloquy with defendant regarding waiver of right to counsel was not recorded	25-123
25.5	Other Matters Relating To Right To Counsel	25-123
25.51	Right To Counsel Of Defendant's Own Choosing	25-123
25.511	General principles	25-123
25.5111	In general	25-123
25.5112	Is only "presumptive" right	25-123
25.5113	Limitations on right to counsel of own choosing	25-123
25.51131	In general	25-123
25.51132	Does not require honoring of defendant's choice of counsel who has conflict of interest (see sec. 25.516)	25-124
25.51133	Does not extend to defendants who require counsel to be appointed for them (see sec. 25.5192)	25-124
25.51134	May be denied when it is outweighed by public's interest in prompt and efficient administration of justice	25-124
25.512	Motions related to securing counsel of defendant's own choosing	25-124
25.5121	Motion for continuance to allow substitution of counsel or to permit particular counsel to be present (see secs. 8.82124 and 8.82125)	25-124
25.5122	Motion for substitution of counsel (see sec. 6.951)	25-124
25.513	Disqualification of counsel of defendant own choosing (see sec. 25.52)	25-124
25.514	Not violated by forfeiture or pretrial restraining order precluding defendant from using proceeds of criminal activity to pay attorney	25-124
25.515	Counsel of choice may be denied not only when actual conflict exists, but also when serious potential for conflict exists	25-124
25.516	Court not required to honor defendant's waiver of right to conflict-free representation	25-124
25.5161	In general	25-124
25.5162	While courts may deny waiver of conflict of interest, and thus override defendant's choice of counsel, nothing requires courts to do so (see sec. 25.23922)	25-124

25.517	Right to counsel of defendant's own choosing at proceedings other than trial	25-125
25.5171	In general	25-125
25.5172	At post conviction proceedings in trial court	25-125
25.51721	In general	25-125
25.51722	At Machner hearing	25-125
25.5173	On appeal	25-125
25.518	Defendant's waiver of complaint that he or she was denied right to counsel of his or her own choice by entry of guilty or no-contest plea after right allegedly denied (see sec. 7.32127)	25-125
25.519	Other matters	25-125
25.5191	Denial of right not subject to harmless-error analysis	25-125
25.5192	Right does not extend to defendants who require counsel to be appointed for them	25-125
25.5193	When defendant, in order to secure counsel of choice, waives right to conflict-free representation, can he or she later contend that he or she received ineffective assistance of counsel as result of conflict? (see sec. 25.2393)	25-125
25.52	Disqualification Of Defense Counsel	25-125
25.521	In general	25-125
25.522	Where record demonstrates defense attorney's actual conflict of interest or shows a serious potential for conflict	25-125
25.5221	In general	25-125
25.5222	Court not required to honor defendant's waiver of right to conflict-free representation (see sec. 25.516)	25-126
25.523	Where serial representation (e.g., counsel presently representing defendant has appeared for and represented the state as prosecutor in prior proceedings in same case) brought to trial court's attention	25-126
25.524	Appellate review of disqualification decision	25-126
25.5241	Standard of review	25-126
25.53	Manipulation Of Right To Counsel	25-126
25.54	Indigent's Right To Appointment Of Counsel At State Expense	25-126
25.541	In general	25-126
25.542	Burden of proof on question of indigency	25-127
25.543	Right to appointment of counsel in particular proceedings	25-127
25.5431	In post-trial proceedings in criminal prosecution	25-127
25.54311	Right to appointment of counsel on appeal, including review of court of appeals' initial decision on appeal by Wisconsin Supreme Court and United States Supreme Court (see sec. 11.8926)	25-127
25.54312	Right to appointment of counsel on sec. 974.06 motion or other collateral attack on conviction	25-127
25.54313	Fact that probation not revoked until after time for direct appeal has expired does not give indigent right to appointment of counsel to pursue sec. 974.06 motion or other collateral attack on conviction	25-127
25.5432	In civil or criminal contempt proceeding brought by state where defendant's liberty is threatened	25-127

25.5433	Federal habeas corpus proceeding attacking state court conviction	25-127
25.544	Appointment of counsel by public defender	25-127
25.5441	In general	25-127
25.5442	State's right to challenge authority of public defender to provide representation	25-127
25.5443	Referral to public defender for representation	25-127
25.5444	Need for SPD to appoint successor counsel whenever counsel is permitted to withdraw by trial court	25-127
25.5445	When defendant fails to provide documentation to public defender that is current and sufficient to allow accurate assessment of financial circumstances, public defender may properly refuse to appoint counsel (see sec. 25.5454)	25-127
25.5446	Procedural matters relating to State Public Defender (see sec. 12.661)	25-128
25.545	Court review of public defender's determination not to appoint counsel	25-128
25.5451	In general	25-128
25.5452	No right to representation at hearing on review of indigency determination	25-128
25.5453	Circuit court has no jurisdiction to declare SPD rules defining indigency invalid in proceeding to determine whether indigent entitled to SPD representation (see sec. 12.6618)	25-128
25.5454	When defendant fails to provide documentation to public defender that is current and sufficient to allow accurate assessment of financial circumstances, reviewing court cannot conclude that public defender improperly refused to appoint counsel	25-128
25.546	Appointment of counsel by court when public defender fails to appoint counsel; court's inherent power to appoint counsel for indigent defendant	25-128
25.5461	In general	25-128
25.5462	Fact that defendant does not qualify for services of public defender does not relieve trial court of obligation to make independent determination whether defendant is indigent and to appoint counsel at county expense if indigency established	25-128
25.5463	Court may require defendant seeking appointed counsel to make showing of attempts to retain counsel	25-128
25.5464	Court should consider federal poverty guidelines	25-129
25.5465	Court must consider all relevant evidence submitted by defendant; it is not limited to review of SPD criteria	25-129
25.5466	Court's inherent power to appoint counsel for indigent defendant should be exercised only after defendant has fully cooperated with SPD to afford SPD opportunity to properly assess whether defendant qualifies for SPD appointment	25-129
25.547	Setting rate of compensation for indigent's attorney	25-129
25.5471	Court appointing counsel may set rate of compensation in excess of that provided in supreme court rules	25-129

25.5472	Court appointing counsel may set rate of compensation before appointment but is not permitted to set total amount of compensation before services have been rendered	25-129
25.5473	Public defender's reduction in fees claimed by appointed counsel for his services	25-129
25.548	Appellate review of trial court's decision whether to appoint counsel	25-129
25.5481	Standards of review	25-129
25.54811	In general	25-129
25.54812	Of court's findings of fact	25-129
25.54813	Of decision whether defendant denied right to counsel	25-129
25.54814	Of decision whether defendant has financial means to obtain counsel	25-129
25.54815	Of decision whether defendant has provided court with sufficient facts to allow appointment of counsel	25-129
25.549	Other matters	25-129
25.5491	Indigent defendant entitled to lawyer with whom he can communicate (see sec. 25.593)	25-129
25.5492	Does not require appointment of counsel and payment of fees at rate prescribed by supreme court rules when public defender possible source for appointment of counsel	25-130
25.5493	Requiring counsel to provide representation to indigent without full compensation for services does not constitute unconstitutional taking of property without just compensation or involuntary servitude	25-130
25.5494	Requiring defendant to pay costs of court-appointed counsel	25-130
25.54941	Order requiring payment of costs must be part of sentence; order separate from sentence is invalid	25-130
25.54942	Defendant may not be committed to jail for failure to pay attorney's fees absent finding that defendant was able to pay attorney-fee obligation (see sec. 12.72242)	25-130
25.55	Defense Counsel's Obligations In Assessing And Responding To Defendant's Possible Perjurious Testimony	25-130
25.551	Standard for determining that defendant intends to give false testimony: Defense counsel must know defendant intends to testify falsely; absent most extraordinary circumstances, defense counsel cannot know defendant is going to testify falsely absent defendant's admission of intent to do so	25-130
25.552	Counsel's duties and options upon determining defendant intends to give false testimony	25-130
25.5521	In general	25-130
25.5522	Attempt to dissuade defendant from giving false testimony	25-130
25.5523	Inform defendant who is not dissuaded from giving false testimony of what will happen	25-130
25.5524	Move to withdraw	25-130
25.5525	Use narrative question to present defendant's testimony	25-131

	25.5526	Inform opposing counsel and court of change in questioning style prior to use of narrative	25-131
25.553		Court's obligations upon being informed by defense counsel that defendant intends to give false testimony	25-131
25.56		Remedy For Denial Of Right	25-131
	25.561	In general	25-131
	25.562	Exclusion of evidence that is product of denial of right	25-131
	25.5621	In general	25-131
	25.5622	Inevitable discovery doctrine	25-131
	25.5623	Independent source doctrine	25-131
25.563		Remedy for denial of right to counsel in connection with obtaining of statement, other than suppression (e.g., dismissal or grant of new trial) (see sec. 2.356)	25-131
25.57		Applicability And Application Of Harmless Error Rule To Denial Of Right	25-131
	25.571	Applicability of harmless error rule	25-131
	25.5711	In general	25-131
	25.5712	Denial of right to representation by counsel at particular stage of trial	25-132
	25.5713	Prejudice presumed when counsel denied at critical stage of proceeding	25-132
	25.5714	Improper joint representation of codefendants (see sec. 25.2321)	25-132
	25.5715	When counsel absent from communication between court and jurors during deliberations	25-132
	25.5716	When counsel absent from voir dire examination of potential jurors	25-132
25.572		Application of harmless error rule	25-132
	25.5721	Application under particular set of circumstances	25-132
	25.5722	Application when right to have counsel present when judge responds to question posed by jurors during deliberations has been denied and there is absence of complete record of communication	25-132
25.58		Allocation Of Decision Making Between Counsel And Defendant; When Decision Must Be Made By Defendant Personally	25-132
	25.581	In general	25-132
	25.582	Regarding waiver of constitutional and other rights	25-133
	25.5821	In general	25-133
	25.5822	Right to interpreter	25-133
	25.5823	Right to testify on own behalf	25-133
	25.5824	Right not to testify (i.e. privilege against self-incrimination)	25-133
	25.5825	Rights relating to trial and its attributes	25-133
	25.58251	Right to trial	25-133
	25.58252	Right to jury trial	25-133
	25.58253	Right to jury trial in vicinage of crime	25-133
	25.5826	Right to poll of jury	25-134
	25.5827	Right to impartial juror (i.e., whether to move to strike potential juror for cause)	25-134
	25.5828	Right to have judge conduct proceedings other than actual trial (e.g., voir dire and jury selection) in federal criminal case (see sec. 25.58393)	25-134

25.583	Regarding decisions made in trial court	25-134
25.5831	In general	25-134
25.5832	Tactical trial decisions in general	25-134
25.5833	Decision whether to request lesser-included offense instruction	25-134
25.5834	Decision whether to accept trial court's offer of mistrial	25-134
25.5835	Decision whether to oppose closing of preliminary examination	25-134
25.5836	Decisions relating to entry of plea and plea agreements	25-134
25.58361	Decision regarding what plea to enter	25-134
25.58362	Decision whether to plead guilty	25-134
25.58363	Decision whether to accept plea agreement	25-135
25.5837	Decision to concede, during closing argument, guilt on one of the counts for which the defendant is being tried	25-135
25.5838	Decision to concede, in capital (i.e., death penalty) case, guilt of offense charged	25-135
25.5839	Other decisions	25-135
25.58391	Decision whether to withdraw NGI plea	25-135
25.58392	Decision whether to request change of venue	25-135
25.58393	Decision whether to consent to having judicial officer other than judge preside over proceedings other than actual trial (e.g., voir dire and jury selection) in federal criminal case	25-135
25.584	Regarding decisions relating to appeal of criminal conviction	25-135
25.5841	Decision whether or not defendant should appeal (see sec. 11.936)	25-135
25.5842	Decision regarding which issues to raise on appeal	25-135
25.585	Related matters	25-135
25.5851	Defendant's responsibility for attorney's decisions (see sec. 25.59712)	25-135
25.59	Other Matters	25-135
25.591	Appointment of standby counsel to assist pro se defendant	25-135
25.5911	Trial court's inherent power to appoint standby counsel	25-135
25.5912	Trial court's right to appoint "standby counsel" even when defendant does not want "standby counsel"	25-135
25.5913	Defendant's right to standby counsel	25-135
25.5914	Appointment of attorney not licensed to practice law in this state	25-136
25.5915	Role of standby counsel	25-136
25.5916	Requiring county to pay for standby counsel's services	25-136
25.5917	Imposing cost of standby counsel as condition of probation (see sec. 9.6323)	25-136
25.5918	By welcoming appointment and heavily utilizing counsel, defendant may effectively convert counsel into his co- counsel	25-136
25.592	No right to self-representation and representation by counsel	25-136
25.5921	In trial court	25-136
25.5922	On appeal (see sec. 11.8998)	25-136
25.5923	In trial court while case pending on appeal (see sec. 10.1692)	25-136

25.593	Indigent defendant entitled to lawyer with whom he can communicate	25-136
25.5931	In general	25-136
25.5932	In case of hearing-impaired defendant	25-137
25.594	After request for counsel at court proceeding, any waiver of right to counsel at police-initiated interrogation is invalid (see sec. 2.32)	25-137
25.595	Appearance and representation by person other than attorney licensed to practice law in this state	25-137
25.5951	Appearance of attorney not licensed to practice law in this state	25-137
25.5952	Representation by non-attorney	25-137
25.5953	Representation by law student under student practice rules	25-137
25.59531	In general	25-137
25.59532	Effect of failure to have defendant authorize student representation in writing	25-137
25.596	No-merit report	25-137
25.5961	On appeal (see sec. 11.8945)	25-137
25.5962	In postconviction proceedings	25-137
25.597	Other matters	25-137
25.5971	General rule that attorney acts on behalf of his or her client	25-137
25.59711	In general	25-137
25.59712	Defendant is bound by and responsible for attorney's decisions and misconduct	25-137
25.5972	Right to self-representation (see sec. 30.4)	25-137
25.5973	Right to counsel not violated by requiring defendant to provide prosecutor with pretrial discovery of expert's findings or subject matter of expert's testimony	25-137
25.5974	Appellate review of question whether right to counsel was denied	25-137
25.5975	Violation of right to counsel by opening prisoner's mail purportedly containing communications with counsel	25-138
25.59751	Opening of incoming envelope marked "legal papers" does not violate right to counsel when there is no evidence that documents in envelope were communications with attorney or somehow related to attorney's representation of prisoner	25-138
25.5976	Burdening Exercise Of Privilege By Prosecutorial Reference To, Or Use Of, Defendant's Invocation Of Right To Counsel	25-138
25.5977	Relationship of state and federal constitutional guarantees of right to counsel	25-138
25.59771	In general	25-138
25.59772	Extending greater protection of right to counsel under state constitution than that afforded under federal constitution	25-138
25.5978	Mere inconvenience to court is insufficient to deny defendant's right to counsel	25-138
25.598	Other matters (cont'd)	25-138
25.5981	Collateral attack on conviction because it was obtained in violation of defendant's right to counsel	25-138

25.5982	Requiring defendant to pay costs of court-appointed private attorney (see sec. 25.5474)	25-139
25.5983	Use of conviction obtained in violation of right to counsel for purpose of enhancing punishment (see sec. 31.623)	25-139
25.5984	Counsel's obligation when client decides after filing notice of intent to pursue post-conviction relief not to pursue such relief	25-139
25.5985	Trial court's inherent power to appoint counsel for criminal defendant, indigent and non-indigent (see sec. 12.677)	25-139
25.5986	Charging defense counsel with crime on eve of trial to disqualify counsel, delay trial, or for some other improper purpose (see sec. 22.848)	25-139
25.5987	Work product doctrine (see sec. 17.883)	25-139
25.5988	Right to counsel not violated by improper disclosure of investigator's reports on defense witnesses' statements	25-139
25.5989	Attorney must act in conformity with instructions given by defendant	25-139
25.59891	In general	25-139
25.59892	But rule may not apply if defendant has not received adequate advice from attorney regarding matter to which defendant's instruction relates	25-139
25.599	Other matters (cont'd)	25-139
25.5991	Substitution or withdrawal of counsel (see secs. 6.951 and 6.952)	25-139
25.5992	Right to assistance of counsel not denied by trial court's giving of instruction for defendant's benefit that interferes with defense strategy	25-139
25.5993	No right to present false testimony	25-139
25.5994	Violation of right by calling defense expert to testify	25-140
25.5995	Defendant's right to consult with counsel during trial	25-140
25.59951	During brief break in defendant's testimony	25-140
25.59952	During overnight recess during defendant's testimony	25-140
25.5996	Use of statements taken in violation of defendant's right to counsel for purposes of impeachment (see sec. 2.34)	25-140
25.5997	Nature of right to counsel in general	25-140
25.5998	No constitutional right to "meaningful" attorney-client relationship	25-140
25.5999	Applicability of Sixth Amendment right to counsel to state court proceedings	25-140

Chapter 26
Right To Jury Trial

26.1	General Principles Relating To Right To Jury Trial	26-1
26.11	Right Constitutionally Guaranteed	26-1
26.12	Purpose Of Jury Trial In General	26-1
26.13	When Jury Trial Required	26-1
26.131	General principles for determining when jury trial required	26-1

26.1311	In general	26-1
26.1312	Fact that defendant charged with multiple counts of petty offense and therefore faced aggregate potential prison term greater than six months does not transform petty offense into serious one to which jury trial right would apply	26-1
26.132	At sentencing proceeding (see sec. 26.48)	26-1
26.133	No need for jury finding of use of weapon that subjects defendant to mandatory minimum sentence	26-1
26.134	At juvenile delinquency hearing (see sec. 42.542)	26-1
26.135	At civil forfeiture trials (e.g., prosecution for civil traffic violation)	26-2
26.14	Nature And Purpose Of Right To Jury Trial	26-2
26.15	Other Principles	26-2
26.2	Attributes Of Jury Constitutionally Required	26-2
26.21	Selection From Fair Cross-Section Of Community	26-2
26.211	In general	26-2
26.212	Application of requirement to petit jury	26-2
26.2121	In general	26-2
26.2122	Retroactivity of application of requirement to petit jury, if imposed	26-2
26.213	Not violated by prosecutor's use of peremptory strike to remove all members of particular group from jury	26-2
26.214	Relationship between state and federal constitutional provision creating this right	26-2
26.215	Not violated by removal of "Witherspoon-excludables" from jury	26-2
26.216	Not violated by improper exclusion of jurors who found defendant in unrelated case not guilty	26-3
26.22	Selection From Vicinage Of Crime (see sec. 12.22)	26-3
26.23	Juror Impartiality	26-3
26.231	In general	26-3
26.232	Particular circumstances under which right to impartial jury is and is not violated	26-4
26.2321	Not violated by removal of "Witherspoon-excludables" from jury	26-4
26.2322	Not necessarily violated by juror's failure to answer questions accurately on voir dire (see sec. 8.1315)	26-4
26.2323	Not necessarily violated by exclusion from defendant's jury array of jurors who found a defendant in an unrelated case not guilty	26-4
26.2324	Denial of impartial jury when juror is hearing impaired	26-4
26.2325	Denial of impartial jury when juror is asleep during testimony	26-4
26.2326	Juror not biased if opinion regarding defendant's participation in crime is consistent with defendant's theory of defense (see sec. 8.14352)	26-4
26.233	Assuring impartiality through voir dire	26-5
26.2331	Effect of incomplete or incorrect responses at voir dire on determination of juror impartiality (see sec. 8.1315)	26-5
26.2332	Need to question prospective jurors about content of prejudicial publicity to which jurors have been exposed	26-5

	<i>Page</i>
26.2333	Need to question prospective jurors about racial prejudice 26-5
26.2334	Sufficiency, to establish juror's impartiality and fitness to serve, of particular declaration of juror (see sec. 8.145) 26-5
26.234	Determining whether a juror is impartial under particular circumstances revealed during voir dire examination (see secs. 8.142, 8.143, and 8.144) 26-5
26.235	Right to trial by impartial jury not denied by trial court's refusal to strike partial juror, or by counsel's failure to move to strike partial juror, when defendant uses peremptory challenge to remove juror 26-5
26.2351	In general 26-5
26.2352	Does requiring defendant to exercise peremptory strike to remove juror violate defendant's right to due process by arbitrarily denying defendant full compliment of peremptory strikes (see secs. 8.1591 and 8.1592) 26-5
26.236	Juror's oath is integral element of this right 26-5
26.237	Waiver of claim that juror was not impartial 26-5
26.2371	In general 26-5
26.2372	Defendant does not waive claim that juror was not impartial by failure to exercise peremptory strike to remove juror 26-5
26.2373	Waiver of claim that juror was not impartial by failure to move to strike juror during voir dire 26-5
26.2374	When juror's "manifest bias" discovered during trial and defendant moves for mistrial because there was no alternate juror, defendant does not waive complaint about juror or about court's denial of mistrial by agreeing to trial by jury of eleven (see sec. 8.172) 26-6
26.238	Effect of incomplete or incorrect responses at voir dire on determination of juror impartiality 26-6
26.2381	In general (see sec. 8.1315) 26-6
26.2382	When juror in sexual assault case fails to answer correctly or completely a question on voir dire about his or her being a victim of sexual assault 26-6
26.239	Other matters 26-6
26.2391	Right to jury untainted by effects of prejudicial pretrial publicity (see sec. 30.2) 26-6
26.2392	Appellate review of trial court's finding of impartiality 26-6
26.2393	Finding of juror impartiality is finding of fact to which presumption of correctness applies in federal habeas corpus proceeding (see sec. 10.6431) 26-6
26.2394	When juror's "manifest bias" discovered during trial and defendant moves for mistrial because there was no alternate juror, defendant does not waive complaint about juror or about court's denial of mistrial by agreeing to trial by jury of eleven (see sec. 8.172) 26-6
26.2395	Applicability Of Harmless-Error Rule To Denial Of This Right (see sec. 11.73991) 26-6
26.24	Juror Competency 26-6
26.25	Number Of Jurors 26-6
26.251	In general 26-6

26.252	Statutory provision providing for six-person juries in criminal misdemeanor cases violates Wisconsin Constitution	26-7
26.2521	In general	26-7
26.2522	Retroactivity of decision declaring provision unconstitutional	26-7
26.2523	Waiver of right to claim that trial by six-person jury violated Wisconsin Constitution by failure to object to six-person jury in trial court	26-7
26.2524	Failure to object to trial by six-person jury after enactment of statutory provision but before it was declared unconstitutional does not constitute ineffective assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.3392)	26-7
26.253	Statutory provision for six-person juries in civil forfeiture (e.g., non-criminal traffic) cases does not violate Wisconsin Constitution	26-7
26.254	Relationship between state constitution and federal constitution with respect to number of jurors required	26-7
26.255	Waiver of right to twelve-member jury (see sec. 26.371)	26-7
26.256	Remedy for failure to provide requisite number of jurors	26-7
26.26	Juror Unanimity (see sec. 8.681)	26-7
26.27	Other Attributes	26-7
26.271	Understanding of English language	26-7
26.272	Ability to comprehend testimony	26-7
26.3	Waiver Of Right	26-8
26.31	General Principles	26-8
26.311	In general	26-8
26.312	Concession of, or stipulation to, element of crime that is submitted to jury does not constitute waiver of jury trial with respect to that element so as to require formal waiver by defendant	26-8
26.313	Defendant does not have constitutional right to waive right to jury	26-8
26.32	Need For Personal Waiver By Defendant	26-8
26.321	In general	26-8
26.322	When particular element of crime to be determined by judge rather than jury	26-9
26.323	Concession of, or stipulation to, element of crime that is submitted to jury does not constitute waiver of jury trial to that element so as to require personal waiver by defendant	26-9
26.324	Defendant not estopped from complaining about lack of personal waiver by counsel's representation that court need not make further inquiry regarding defendant's waiver of right to trial by jury	26-9
26.325	Of right to twelve-person jury (see sec. 26.3713)	26-9
26.326	Remedy for failure to obtain personal waiver by defendant	26-9
26.33	Need For Consent Of State	26-9
26.331	In general	26-9
26.332	Constitutionality of requiring consent of state when defendant wants to waive jury; constitutionality of conditioning defendant's waiver of right to jury trial on consent of state	26-9
26.333	Applicability of statute requiring consent of state to insanity phase of bifurcated trial (see sec. 8.735)	26-9

26.334	When defendant wants particular element determined by judge rather than jury	26-9
26.335	When defendant admits existence of particular element, so that it need not be determined by either jury or court	26-10
26.336	State not required to justify refusal to consent	26-10
26.337	Consent may be evinced by state's participation in bench trial without voicing objection	26-10
26.338	When defendant waives right to jury trial, but jury trial held, absence of affirmative consent of state justifies holding of jury trial	26-10
26.34	Need For Approval Of Court	26-10
26.341	In general	26-10
26.342	Approval may be evinced by acceptance of waiver, scheduling of bench trial, and holding of bench trial.	26-10
26.343	When defendant waives right to jury trial, but jury trial held, absence of affirmative approval of court justifies holding of jury trial	26-10
26.344	Court not required to justify refusal to approve	26-10
26.35	Requirement Of Personal Colloquy Between Court And Defendant, Establishing That Waiver Is Knowing, Intelligent, And Voluntary	26-10
26.351	Requirement in general	26-10
26.352	Effect of, and remedy for, failure to conduct required colloquy	26-10
26.36	Particular Aspects Of Jury Trial Of Which Defendant Must Be Informed To Secure Valid Waiver	26-10
26.361	Unanimity requirement	26-10
	26.3611 In general	26-11
	26.3612 Remedy for failure to advise defendant of unanimity requirement: Bangert-type analysis required	26-11
26.37	Waiver Of Particular Aspects Of Jury Trial	26-11
26.371	Right to twelve-member jury	26-11
	26.3711 In general	26-11
	26.3712 Waiver of right to twelve member jury by agreeing to jury consisting of more than twelve jurors	26-11
	26.3713 Need for personal waiver by defendant	26-11
	26.3714 Remedy for failure to obtain waiver of right to twelve-person jury when defendant tried by jury of less than twelve without objection	26-11
	26.3715 Waiver of right to complain that trial by six-person jury pursuant to statutory provision authorizing six-person jury was unconstitutional by failing to object to six-person jury in trial court (see sec. 26.2523)	26-11
26.38	Partial Waiver (i.e., Waiver Of Right To Jury Trial With Respect To Particular Element)	26-11
26.381	In general	26-11
26.382	Need for consent of state	26-11
26.383	Need for personal waiver by defendant	26-11
26.384	When defendant admits existence of particular element, so that it need not be determined by either jury or court	26-12
26.385	Whether defendant waived right to have jury determine all elements of crime or only some of them, waiver analysis is the same; same procedures apply to waiver of jury trial with respect to one element as apply to full jury waiver	26-12

26.386	Concession of, or stipulation to, element of crime that is submitted to jury does not constitute partial waiver of jury trial with respect to that element so as to require formal waiver by defendant (see sec. 26.312)	26-12
26.39	Other Matters	26-12
26.391	Withdrawal of waiver	26-12
26.392	No constitutional right to waive jury	26-12
26.393	Waiver of federal statutory right to jury determination of forfeitability of assets in criminal proceeding	26-12
26.394	Remedy when defendant is tried by court, or by jury of less than 12, without objection, but statutorily mandated procedures for waiver of jury, or waiver of jury of 12, have not been followed	26-12
26.395	Effect of defects with respect to waiver of right to jury trial	26-12
26.3951	When defendant has not made valid waiver	26-12
26.3952	When trial court failed to conduct colloquy sufficient to establish that waiver was knowing, intelligent, and voluntary	26-12
26.4	Other Matters Relating To Right To Jury Trial	26-12
26.41	Use Of Peremptory Strikes To Remove Jurors Of Particular Race Or Other Cognizable Group (see secs. 8.154, 8.16, and 26.213)	26-12
26.42	No Right To Jury Composed Of Members Of Defendant's Class, Race Or Sex	26-12
26.421	In general	26-12
26.422	Prosecutor's use of peremptory strikes to remove jurors of defendant's race (see secs. 8.16 and 26.213)	26-13
26.43	Directing Verdict As To Guilt Or Particular Element	26-13
26.431	With respect to defendant's guilt	26-13
26.432	With respect to particular element	26-13
26.44	Requirement Of Submission To Jury Of, And Finding By Jury Of, Each And Every Essential Element Of Crime And, Other Than Fact Of Prior Conviction, Any Fact That Increases Penalty For Crime Beyond Prescribed Statutory Maximum Or That Is Necessary To Support Sentence Exceeding Maximum Authorized By Facts Established By Plea Of Guilty Or Jury Verdict	26-14
26.441	In general	26-14
26.442	Determining what constitutes element of offense that must be submitted to, and found by, jury (see sec. 31.77)	26-15
26.443	Need for jury finding with respect to element of "materiality" when materiality element of offense	26-15
26.444	Harmless error	26-15
26.4441	Applicability of harmless-error doctrine when deficient jury instruction given with regard to particular element of crime (see sec. 11.7361)	26-15
26.4442	Can failure to instruct jury on essential element of offense constitute harmless error where, at trial, defendant admitted that element?	26-15
26.4443	Applicability of harmless-error doctrine when court fails to submit to jury, for its determination, fact that increases penalty for crime beyond prescribed statutory maximum, i.e., fact that permits imposition of sentence outside of standard range prescribed for offense (see sec. 11.7395)	26-15

26.445	Need for jury determination of aggravating circumstance that permits imposition of sentence outside of standard range prescribed for offense	26-15
26.4451	In general	26-15
26.4452	When imposition of sentence outside of standard range prescribed for offense is mandatory	26-15
26.4453	When imposition of sentence outside of standard range prescribed for offense is discretionary	26-15
26.446	Defendant's removal of element from jury's consideration by admission of existence of element (see sec. 26.496)	26-15
26.447	Use of judicial notice to fulfill requirement	26-15
26.448	Fact that defendant has conceded existence of particular element, or of specific facet of that element, does not mean that he has been deprived of right to jury trial with respect to that element	26-16
26.449	Other matters	26-16
26.4491	In capital case, any fact or circumstance that subjects defendant to death penalty must be submitted to jury (see sec. 9.51831)	26-16
26.4492	Requirement not implicated when court engages in what appears to be fact finding in course of judicial construction of statute relating to penalty for offense	26-16
26.4493	Need for submission to jury of, and finding by jury of, question whether defendant is habitual criminal subject to increased penalty	26-16
26.44931	In general	26-16
26.44932	When determination of whether defendant is habitual criminal turns on whether present crime was committed within five years of conviction of earlier offense	26-16
26.44933	Applicability of harmless error rule to failure to submit question to jury if required (see sec. 11.7395)	26-16
26.44934	When question is whether crime of which defendant was convicted in another jurisdiction was comparable to Wisconsin offense	26-16
26.4494	Apprendi decision does not create constitutional test for determining what elements of particular offense are; rather, it sets out test for determining what facts must be determined by jury and proved beyond reasonable doubt, whether or not those facts are elements of offense (see sec. 31.7793)	26-16
26.4495	Under statutory scheme that requires finding of particular fact before consecutive sentence may be imposed, it is not required that jury, rather than judge, make that finding of fact (see sec. 9.4283)	26-16
26.45	Exclusion Of Jurors With Particular Scruples	26-16
26.451	In general	26-16
26.452	Opposition to death penalty	26-17
26.46	Burdening Or Penalizing Exercise Of Right	26-17
26.461	By increasing charges after its invocation	26-17

	<i>Page</i>
26.4611 In general	26-17
26.4612 By increasing charges after first trial has resulted in mistrial	26-17
26.462 By assessing jury fees for untimely withdrawal of demand for jury trial	26-17
26.463 By mandatory punishment for those convicted after trial not imposed on those pleading guilty	26-17
26.47 Jury Nullification: Power Of Jury To Acquit Even When Evidence Found Sufficient To Convict	26-17
26.48 Right To Jury Trial In Sentencing Proceeding	26-17
26.481 In noncapital sentencing proceeding	26-17
26.4811 No right to jury trial on sentencing factors	26-17
26.4812 No right to jury trial at hearing setting amount of restitution	26-17
26.4813 No right to jury trial on what sentence should be imposed	26-17
26.482 In capital sentencing proceeding	26-17
26.4821 In general	26-17
26.4822 Not required for finding of aggravating circumstances necessary to authorize imposition of death penalty	26-17
26.49 Other Matters	26-18
26.491 Right to jury trial not violated by failure to submit lesser-included offense instruction	26-18
26.492 No right to separate juries on inconsistent defenses	26-18
26.493 Assessing jury fees (see sec. 8.193)	26-18
26.494 Exclusion of jurors because of views regarding death penalty	26-18
26.4941 Opposition to death penalty (see sec. 26.452)	26-18
26.4942 Readiness to automatically impose death penalty upon conviction, regardless of facts (see sec. 8.14242)	26-18
26.495 Discrimination in jury selection	26-18
26.4951 Racial (see sec. 23.223)	26-18
26.4952 Gender-based (see sec. 23.213)	26-18
26.496 Defendant's removal of element from jury's consideration by admission of existence of element	26-18
26.4961 Removal of element of prior convictions, etc., under Wis. Stat. § 343.307(1) that subject defendant to lower prohibited blood alcohol concentration in drunk driving prosecution by defendant's admission of element	26-18
26.4962 Cannot remove element from jury's consideration when fairness requires jury's consideration of element (e.g., when element necessary to fulfill jurors' expectations)	26-18
26.4963 Removal of element of prior conviction in prosecution for offense in which prior conviction is element of offense	26-18
26.497 Other matters	26-18
26.4971 Right to jury untainted by effects of prejudicial pretrial publicity (see sec. 30.2)	26-18
26.4972 Right not violated by court's refusal to modify sentence imposed upon revocation of probation when defendant acquitted of new charge that prompted revocation	26-18
26.4973 State's right to present evidence relating to element, even though defendant admits element, when evidence is necessary for full evidentiary richness and narrative integrity in state's presentation of case (see sec. 20.8796)	26-19

26.4974	Single trial of multiple defendants before multiple juries (see sec. 8.8977)	26-19
26.4975	Does taking of judicial notice of incontestable fact violate right to jury trial?	26-19
26.49751	Because defendant's right to jury trial extends only to contestable issues of fact, the taking of judicial notice of incontestable fact does not violate that right (court of appeals' answer, overruled by supreme court)	26-19
26.49752	Taking of judicial notice of incontestable fact may violate right to jury trial	26-19
26.4976	Guilty verdict without twelve impartial jurors renders outcome unreliable and fundamentally unfair	26-19
26.4977	Applicability of harmless error rule to denial of right to jury trial	26-19
26.4978	Right to jury trial not violated by subjecting juveniles to sex offender reporting requirements (see sec. 42.96322)	26-19

Chapter 27 Right to Confrontation

27.1	General Principles Relating To Right To Confrontation	27-1
27.11	In General	27-1
27.12	Out-Of-Court Statement Not Introduced To Prove Truth Of Matter Asserted Does Not Implicate Confrontation Clause	27-2
27.13	Federal And State Constitutional Guarantee Equivalent	27-2
27.131	In general	27-2
27.132	United States Supreme Court precedents are applied in interpreting right to confrontation guaranteed by state constitution	27-3
27.14	Federal Constitutional Right To Confrontation Applicable In State Prosecutions	27-3
27.15	Right To Confrontation Applies Only In Relation To Giving Of Testimony Considered By Trier Of Fact On Issue Of Guilt	27-3
27.16	What Right To Confrontation Does Not Do	27-3
27.161	Does not require state to produce any particular witness	27-3
27.162	Does not require pretrial access to witness, witness's personal data (e.g., address), or witness's history (see sec. 27.466)	27-3
27.163	Does not necessarily confer right to discovery of otherwise privileged information (see sec. 27.467)	27-3
27.164	Does not necessarily guarantee absolute right to face-to-face confrontation with testifying witness in all cases	27-4
27.17	Purposes Of Confrontation Clause	27-4
27.18	Other Principles	27-4
27.181	Confrontation Clause does not require that defendant have confronted declarant at time statement state seeks to introduce into evidence was made; it only requires that defendant have opportunity to confront declarant at some point, e.g., trial	27-4
27.182	Confrontation guaranteed by state constitution	27-4

27.183	"Necessities of trial and adversary process" provide no basis for relaxing requirements of confrontation clause	27-4
27.2	Admissibility Of Out-Of-Court Statements	27-4
27.21	Out-Of-Court Statements Of Testifying Witness	27-4
27.211	In general	27-4
27.212	When witness denies recollection of statement or of event to which statement relates	27-4
27.2121	In general	27-4
27.2122	Crawford decision does not change law regarding admission of out-of-court statement of testifying witness when witness denies recollection of statement or of event to which statement relates	27-5
27.213	When witness invokes fifth amendment	27-5
27.214	Videotaped statements of children	27-5
27.22	Out-Of-Court Statements Of Nontestifying Declarant	27-5
27.221	General principles	27-5
27.2211	In general	27-5
27.2212	Old rule recognized in Roberts: unavailable witness's out-of-court statement is admissible so long as it has adequate indicia of reliability – i.e., falls within firmly rooted hearsay exception or bears particularized guarantees of trustworthiness	27-7
27.22121	In general	27-7
27.22122	Continuing applicability, after Crawford, of Roberts rule to nontestimonial hearsay statements: Wisconsin decisions holding that it has continuing applicability	27-7
27.22123	Continuing applicability, after Crawford, of Roberts rule to nontestimonial hearsay statements: United States Supreme Court decisions suggesting that it does not have continuing applicability	27-7
27.2213	New rule recognized in Crawford: where testimonial evidence is at issue, confrontation clause demands unavailability and prior opportunity for cross-examination	27-8
27.22131	In general	27-8
27.22132	What constitutes "testimonial evidence" for purposes of this rule (see sec. 27.2221)	27-8
27.22133	Unavailability of declarant for purposes of this rule (see sec. 27.223)	27-8
27.22134	When defendant has had prior opportunity for cross-examination for purposes of this rule (see sec. 27.224)	27-8
27.22135	Crawford rule applies to cases pending on direct review when Crawford was decided	27-8
27.22136	Crawford does not apply to cases in which conviction was final when Crawford decided (i.e., Crawford does not apply retroactively to cases on collateral review: in general	27-8

27.22137	Crawford does not apply to cases in which conviction was final when Crawford decided (i.e., Crawford does not apply retroactively to cases on collateral review): state courts are free to give Crawford broader retroactive application and to apply it in cases in which conviction was final (i.e., cases on collateral review)	27-9
27.22138	Crawford rule does not bar use of testimonial statements for purposes other than establishing truth of matter asserted	27-9
27.22139	Crawford rule does not apply to reports, opinions, studies, etc. of other persons relied on by expert in giving opinion	27-9
27.2214	New rule recognized in Crawford: where testimonial evidence is at issue, confrontation clause demands unavailability and prior opportunity for cross-examination (cont'd)	27-9
27.22141	Forfeiture by wrongdoing doctrine: defendant forfeits right to application of Crawford rule when he or she obtains absence of witness by wrongdoing (see sec. 27.2217)	27-9
27.22142	When defendant introduces hearsay statement of unavailable declarant, state's introduction of prior inconsistent statement of declarant, about which defendant had not had prior opportunity to cross-examine declarant, does not violate defendant's right to confrontation (see sec. 27.2215)	27-9
27.2215	Threshold question before proceeding to confrontation issue is whether statements were admissible under rules of evidence; only if statements fall within hearsay exception or were erroneously admitted, is there need to apply confrontation analysis; if statements are not admissible under rules of evidence, they are excluded and court need not proceed to constitutional question	27-9
27.2216	When defendant introduces hearsay statement of unavailable declarant, state's introduction of prior inconsistent statement of declarant, about which defendant had not had prior opportunity to cross-examine declarant, does not violate defendant's right to confrontation	27-10
27.2217	Forfeiture by wrongdoing doctrine: defendant forfeits right to raise confrontation objection when he or she causes absence of witness by wrongdoing	27-10
27.22171	In general	27-10
27.22172	Standard of proof in determining whether wrongdoing exists	27-10
27.22173	Forfeiture doctrine applies even if act with which defendant is charged is same as act by which he allegedly rendered witness unavailable	27-10

27.22174	No requirement that act that rendered witness unavailable have been done with intent to prevent witness from testifying (Wisconsin Supreme Court's holding prior to United States Supreme Court's holding in Giles)	27-10
27.22175	Requirement that act that rendered witness unavailable have been done with intent (i.e., design) to prevent witness from testifying (United States Supreme Court's holding in Giles)	27-10
27.22176	Where jury finds beyond reasonable doubt that defendant intimidated person who was witness to crime, defendant has forfeited right to confront that witness	27-10
27.22177	In determining whether defendant caused witness's absence, court must determine whether defendant's conduct was "substantial factor" in producing witness's absence; defendant's conduct need no be only cause	27-10
27.22178	Solicitation of intermediary to cause witness's absence	27-10
27.2218	Exception to Crawford requirement that defendant must have had prior opportunity for cross-examination: dying declaration	27-11
27.222	What constitutes "testimonial evidence" for purposes of Crawford rule	27-11
27.2221	General principles	27-11
27.22211	In general	27-11
27.22212	Statement is testimonial if reasonable person in declarant's position would objectively foresee that statement might be used in investigation or prosecution of crime	27-11
27.22213	Government does not need to be involved in creation of statement to render it testimonial	27-11
27.22214	Volunteered statement may be testimonial	27-11
27.2222	Particular evidence	27-11
27.22221	Testimony at preliminary examination	27-11
27.22222	Statements of victim taken by law enforcement officers in course of investigation	27-11
27.22223	Victim's statement to treating nurse	27-12
27.22224	Victim's identification of defendant at lineup	27-12
27.22225	Statement of co-conspirator	27-12
27.22226	Confession of accomplice	27-12
27.22227	Spontaneous statement made to police officer responding to scene	27-12
27.22228	Statements offered unsolicited by victim or witness at scene of traumatic event	27-12
27.22229	Affidavit of verification accompanying admission of bank records under Wis. Stat. § 891.24	27-12
27.2223	Particular evidence (cont'd)	27-12
27.22231	911 Call	27-12

27.22232	Statements resulting from police questioning at crime scene designed to determine what had happened	27-12
27.22233	Statements resulting from police questioning of excited victim at crime scene designed to determine what is happening, so that appropriate aid may be offered and/or safety secured	27-12
27.22234	Statements resulting from police questioning of attack-victim when stress and cognitive disruption caused by attack is still dominant in attack-victim's mind	27-12
27.22235	Statement of witness to crime made to witness's girlfriend	27-13
27.22236	Letter addressed to police, accusing another of crime that has not yet occurred	27-13
27.22237	Voicemails left for police officer, indicating that declarant was afraid of defendant who is accused of murdering declarant	27-13
27.22238	Statements to nongovernmental person (e.g., neighbor, child's teacher, etc.), indicating fear of defendant who is accused of murdering declarant	27-13
27.2224	Particular evidence (cont'd)	27-13
27.22241	Statements to friends and neighbors about abuse and intimidation	27-13
27.22242	Statements to physicians in course of receiving treatment	27-13
27.22243	Medical reports created for treatment purposes	27-13
27.22244	Business records in general	27-13
27.22245	Bank records in general	27-13
27.22246	Certificate of state laboratory analyst regarding identification of tested substance	27-13
27.22247	Documents prepared in regular course of equipment maintenance	27-13
27.22248	Present sense impression	27-13
27.22249	Public Records	27-13
27.2225	Particular evidence (cont'd)	27-13
27.22251	Clerk's certificate authenticating official record, or copy thereof, for use as evidence	27-13
27.22252	Clerk's certificate attesting to fact that clerk had searched for particular record and failed to find it	27-14
27.22253	Results of coroner's inquest	27-14
27.223	Unavailability of declarant for purposes of Crawford and Roberts rules	27-14
27.2231	In general	27-14
27.2232	Finding of unavailability because declarant who was young child would be forced to testify against parent	27-15
27.2233	Applicability of unavailability requirement to particular types of statements	27-15
27.22331	Statement of co-conspirator	27-15

27.22332	Statement made for medical diagnosis or treatment	27-15
27.22333	Prior testimony	27-15
27.22334	Excited utterance	27-15
27.22335	Spontaneous declaration	27-16
27.22336	Statement admissible under residual exception to hearsay rule	27-16
27.22337	Child's videotaped deposition	27-16
27.22338	Videotaped statement of child	27-16
27.22339	Statement of recent perception	27-16
27.2234	Finding of unavailability based on declarant's invocation of privilege against self-incrimination	27-16
27.22341	In general	27-16
27.22342	When declarant has been convicted of crime with respect to which claim of privilege against self-incrimination made	27-16
27.2235	Finding of unavailability based on other factors	27-16
27.22351	Declarant's death	27-16
27.22352	Witness's physical illness or infirmity	27-16
27.2236	Finding of incompetency of declarant not ground for declaration of unavailability	27-16
27.2237	Relationship of statutory unavailability for purposes of hearsay exceptions and constitutional unavailability for purposes of confrontation	27-17
27.2238	Determination of unavailability may be made at post-conviction hearing	27-17
27.2239	Other matters	27-17
27.22391	Standard of appellate review of trial court's determination whether declarant was unavailable	27-17
27.22392	Finding of unavailability based on fact that there was no dispute about it	27-17
27.224	When defendant has had prior opportunity for cross-examination for purposes of Crawford rule	27-17
27.2241	In general	27-17
27.2242	Cross-examination by codefendant at codefendant's trial will not suffice; "confrontation by proxy" not sufficient	27-17
27.2243	Cross-examination by defendant at preliminary examination	27-17
27.2244	Crawford does not require that defendant have confronted declarant at time statement state seeks to introduce into evidence was made; it only requires that defendant have opportunity to confront declarant at some point, e.g., trial (see sec. 27.181)	27-17
27.225	Reliability of statement for purposes of Roberts rule	27-17
27.2251	General principles	27-17
27.22511	In general	27-17

27.22512	Where testimonial evidence is at issue, confrontation clause is not satisfied by showing that unavailable witness's out-of-court statement is reliable; confrontation clause demands prior opportunity for cross-examination (see sec. 27.2213)	27-18
27.2252	Determining whether particular type of statement meets requirement of reliability	27-19
27.22521	Statement against penal interest	27-19
27.22522	Statement against social interest	27-19
27.22523	Statement of child sexual assault victim, admitted under residual hearsay exception	27-19
27.22524	Statement of young child forced to testify against parent, admitted under residual hearsay exception	27-19
27.22525	Past recollection recorded	27-19
27.22526	Statement of then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition	27-19
27.22527	Present sense impression	27-19
27.22528	Statement of recent perception	27-19
27.22529	Excited utterance	27-19
27.2253	Determining whether particular type of statement meets requirement of reliability (cont'd)	27-19
27.22531	Prior testimony	27-20
27.22532	Dying declaration	27-20
27.22533	Statement made for purpose of medical treatment	27-20
27.22534	Statement of co-conspirator	27-20
27.22535	Business and public records	27-20
27.2254	Applicability of reliability requirement to particular types of statements	27-20
27.22541	Statement of co-conspirator	27-20
27.2255	Applicability of reliability requirement when statement not offered to prove truthfulness of its assertions (see sec. 27.12)	27-20
27.2256	Even when hearsay statement falls within recognized hearsay exception, court must determine whether unusual circumstances exist requiring exclusion of statement	27-20
27.2257	Other evidence introduced at trial tending to corroborate statement is not proper factor in determining whether statement is reliable for confrontation purposes	27-20
27.226	Particular types of statements	27-20
27.2261	Prior testimony subject to cross-examination	27-20
27.22611	In general	27-20
27.22612	Limitation on cross-examination due to scope of preliminary hearing, e.g., fact that party is precluded from attacking witness's credibility at preliminary hearing, does not automatically create confrontation bar to admission of that testimony at trial under old Roberts rule	27-21

27.22613	Limitation on cross-examination due to scope of preliminary hearing, e.g., fact that party is precluded from attacking witness's credibility at preliminary hearing, creates confrontation bar to admission of that testimony at trial under new Crawford rule	27-21
27.22614	Is Wisconsin hearsay exception for prior testimony a "firmly rooted" hearsay exception?	27-21
27.22615	When cross-examination was by co-defendant at co-defendant's separate trial	27-21
27.2262	Videotaped deposition	27-21
27.2263	Dying declarations	27-22
27.2264	Admission of party opponent	27-22
27.2265	Excited utterance; spontaneous declaration	27-22
27.2266	Translator's statement of what declarant said	27-22
27.2267	Statement of co-conspirator (i.e., statement made by co-conspirator during course of, and in furtherance of, conspiracy)	27-22
27.2268	Statement made for purposes of medical diagnosis or treatment	27-22
27.227	Particular types of statements (cont'd)	27-22
27.2271	Past recollection recorded	27-22
27.2272	Statement of then-existing mental, emotional, or physical condition	27-22
27.2273	Statement of child sexual assault victim, admitted under residual hearsay exception	27-22
27.2274	Statement against social interest	27-22
27.2275	Statement against penal interest	27-23
27.2276	Statement of recent perception	27-23
27.2277	Videotaped statement of child, admitted pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 908.08 (see sec. 15.6423)	27-23
27.2278	Present sense impression	27-23
27.2279	Statements in report relied upon by expert in giving opinion (see sec. 27.3732)	27-23
27.228	Particular types of statements (cont'd)	27-23
27.2281	Statements of judge and prosecutor in transcript of prior proceeding that is read to jury	27-23
27.2282	Statements of prosecutor in closing argument relating to facts not supported by evidence and/or of which prosecutor claims personal knowledge	27-23
27.2283	Business records	27-23
27.2284	Public records	27-23
27.2285	Certificate of state laboratory analyst regarding identification of tested substance	27-23
27.23	Out-Of-Court Statements Of Fellow Participant In Crime (i.e., Accomplice)	
	Who Does Not Testify At Trial	27-23
27.231	In general	27-23
27.232	Made during course of, and in furtherance of, conspiracy	27-24
27.233	Admitting guilt and implicating defendant	27-24

27.234	Avoiding confrontation problems in admitting, at joint trial of defendant and accomplice, out-of-court statement of accomplice implicating defendant in crime	27-24
27.2341	By instructing jury to consider statement only on question of accomplice's guilt (see sec. 27.4711)	27-24
27.2342	By redacting accomplice's out-of-court confession to eliminate all references to defendant's existence and then giving instruction limiting jury's consideration of confession to question of accomplice's guilt (see sec. 27.472)	27-24
27.2343	By redacting accomplice's out-of-court confession to substitute blanks and word "delete" for defendant's proper name and then giving instruction limiting jury's consideration of confession to question of accomplice's guilt (see sec. 27.473)	27-24
27.2344	By conducting single trial before multiple juries	27-24
27.2345	By severing defendant's trial from that of codefendant who made statement (see sec. 6.9324)	27-24
27.235	When statements of fellow participant interlock with those made by defendant	27-24
27.2351	In general	27-24
27.2352	Retroactivity of ruling that confrontation clause is violated by admission of out-of-court statements of fellow participant in crime who does not testify at trial even when statements interlock with those made by the defendant and instruction given to consider statement only on question of accomplice's guilt	27-25
27.24	Hospital, Business And Other Records	27-25
27.241	In general	27-25
27.242	Hospital records	27-25
27.243	Defendant's driving record	27-25
27.25	Other matters	27-25
27.251	When defendant introduces hearsay statement of unavailable declarant, state's introduction of prior inconsistent statement of declarant, about which defendant had not had prior opportunity to cross-examine declarant, does not violate defendant's right to confrontation (see sec. 27.2215)	27-25
27.252	Confrontation right limited to witness who is "against" defendant	27-25
27.2521	In general	27-25
27.2522	Fact that testimony is inculpatory only when taken together with other evidence does not exempt testimony from confrontation under this limitation	27-25
27.253	Ability of defendant to subpoena witness does not obviate confrontation objection; burden of production of witness for cross-examination is on state, not defendant	27-25
27.254	Constitutionality of notice and demand statutes, under which prosecutor provides notice to defendant of intent to use declarant's out-of-court statements, after which defendant is given period to object to admission of statements absent declarant's appearance live at trial	27-25
27.2541	In general	27-25

27.2542	Use of such statutes in context of admission of report of state laboratory analyst regarding nature of substance	27-26
27.3	Right To Cross-Examine State's Witnesses	27-26
27.31	General Principles	27-26
27.311	In general	27-26
27.312	In exercising right to cross examine witnesses, defendant must comply with established rules of procedure and evidence	27-27
27.313	No right to cross-examine on irrelevant matters	27-27
27.314	No right to cross-examine when probative value outweighed by prejudicial effect or other countervailing considerations	27-27
27.315	No right to cross-examine when such cross-examination would be repetitive, obfusatory, or prejudicial	27-27
27.316	No right to cross-examination that is repetitive and only marginally relevant	27-27
27.317	Fact that rules of evidence prohibit cross-examination does not necessarily defeat right to engage in that cross-examination under confrontation clause	27-27
27.318	Fundamental inquiry is whether defendant had "opportunity" for effective cross-examination	27-27
27.319	Other general principles	27-28
27.3191	Right to confront and cross-examine is not absolute and may bow to accommodate other legitimate interests in criminal trial process	27-28
27.32	Witness's Invocation Of Fifth Amendment Privilege On Cross-Examination	27-28
27.33	Cross-Examination Of Sexual Assault Victim Regarding Prior Sexual Conduct	27-28
27.331	In general	27-28
27.332	Cross-examination regarding prior untruthful allegations of sexual assault	27-29
27.333	Cross-examination designed to elicit alternative source of victim's knowledge of sexual matters	27-29
27.334	Cross-examination regarding prior sexual conduct with defendant designed to establish motive for alleged false accusation of sexual assault	27-29
27.335	Cross-examination regarding prior sexual assault to show source of injury alleged to have been caused by assault being tried	27-29
27.34	Regarding Matters Affecting Credibility (e.g., Witness's Bias Or Motive For Testifying)	27-29
27.341	In general	27-29
27.342	State's dismissal of charges against state's witness prior to witness's testifying at defendant's trial	27-29
27.343	Pending prosecution against witness	27-29
27.344	Fact that there are pending charges against witness, even though there are no promises of leniency	27-29
27.345	Witness's expectation of consideration in return for his testimony	27-29
27.35	Regarding Witness's Prior Inconsistent Statement	27-30
27.36	Trial Judge's Traditional Power To Control Scope Of Cross-Examination	27-30
27.361	In general	27-30

27.362	Right to limit cross-examination when probative value outweighed by countervailing factors	27-30
27.363	Right to curtail cross-examination that trial court deems insolent, disrespectful, flippant, uncivil, etc. (see sec. 27.374)	27-30
27.37	Consistency Of Particular Practices With Right To Cross-Examine State's Witnesses	27-30
27.371	Exclusion of defendant from hearing into witness's competency	27-30
27.372	Limitation on cross-examination by one defendant to avoid confrontation violation as to codefendants	27-30
27.373	Testimony of expert based on reports, opinions, studies, etc., of others	27-30
27.3731	In general	27-30
27.3732	Crime lab supervisor who relies on tests performed by another analyst in giving expert opinion as to particular matter (e.g., nature of substance tested, presence of ignitable fluids on material tested, etc.)	27-30
27.374	Curtailment of cross-examination that trial court deems insolent, disrespectful, flippant, uncivil, etc.	27-30
27.375	Curtailment of cross-examination regarding contents of conversation after witness denies conversation occurred	27-30
27.376	Curtailment of cross-examination regarding witness's bias	27-30
27.38	Other Matters	27-30
27.381	Effect of expert witness's inability to recall basis for opinion	27-30
27.382	Confrontation does not require pretrial disclosure of any information useful in cross-examination	27-31
27.383	Protecting right to confrontation when expert bases opinion on reports, opinions, studies, etc., or others (see sec. 27.373)	27-31
27.384	Defendant's right to perform experiment (e.g., to test victim's ability to identify defendant) on cross-examination of victim or other state's witness	27-31
27.4	Other Matters Relating To Right To Confrontation	27-31
27.41	Applicability Of Confrontation Right At Proceedings Other Than Trial	27-31
27.411	In general	27-31
27.412	Preliminary examination (see sec. 6.4611)	27-31
27.413	At hearing on admissibility of evidence	27-31
27.414	At sentencing (see sec. 9.1397)	27-31
27.415	At postconviction motion (see sec. 10.1322)	27-31
27.416	Probation and parole revocation hearings (see sec. 41.316)	27-31
27.42	Waiver Of Confrontation Objection	27-31
27.421	By failure to object	27-31
27.4211	In general	27-31
27.4212	Failure to object when statements originally introduced for purpose that did not implicate right to confrontation does not waive confrontation objection so long as defendant objects when statements are about to be put to improper use that violates right to confrontation	27-32
27.4213	Consideration of confrontation issue notwithstanding failure to object	27-32
27.422	By failure to object on ground of confrontation	27-32

	<i>Page</i>
27.423	By objection on ground other than confrontation 27-32
27.4231	In general 27-32
27.4232	Objection on relevancy grounds does not preserve confrontation issue 27-32
27.4233	Hearsay objection does not preserve confrontation issue 27-32
27.424	By failure to specify grounds of objection 27-32
27.425	By failing to invoke confrontation clause as basis for right to ask question(s) on cross-examination when state objects to question(s) 27-32
27.426	By failure to support argument with legal authority 27-32
27.427	By failure to press argument that witness was not shown to be unavailable 27-32
27.428	By failure to make adequate offer of proof 27-32
27.429	By other action or inaction 27-32
27.4291	By failure to call available witness with respect to whose statements defendant raises confrontation objection 27-32
27.4292	By obtaining absence of witness by wrongdoing (see sec. 27.2217) 27-33
27.4293	By offering statement whose admission defendant contends violates his right to confrontation 27-33
27.4294	By failing to demand presence at trial of declarant whose out-of-court statements prosecutor intends to introduce and of which intent prosecutor has given notice under notice and demand statute (see sec. 27.254) 27-33
27.43	Propriety Of Practices Designed To Alleviate Stress Of Victim Of Sensitive Crime Or Victim Who Is Particularly Vulnerable (e.g., Child) 27-33
27.431	In general 27-33
27.432	Shielding witness from defendant's view 27-33
27.4321	By use of screen 27-33
27.43211	In general 27-33
27.43212	United States Supreme Court's Craig decision approving use of screen under specified circumstances was not overruled by Supreme Court's Crawford decision 27-33
27.4322	By use of one-way closed circuit television (see sec. 27.434) 27-33
27.433	Use of videotaped deposition of victim 27-33
27.4331	In general 27-33
27.4332	Fact that deposition conducted by former attorney no longer representing defendant has no constitutional significance 27-34
27.434	Limiting cross-examination of victim 27-34
27.4341	In general 27-34
27.4342	Precluding questioning regarding victim's prior sexual conduct (including prior sexual assault on victim) (see sec. 27.33) 27-34
27.435	Allowing witness to testify at trial outside of defendant's physical presence by one-way closed circuit television 27-34
27.436	Permitting young child-witness to testify while sitting in adult's (e.g., relative's) lap 27-34
27.44	Harmless Error 27-34
27.441	Applicability of harmless error analysis to denial of right 27-34
27.442	Test of harmless error 27-35

	<i>Page</i>	
27.443	Factors to be considered in harmless-error analysis	27-35
27.4431	In general	27-35
27.4432	Fact that trial court struck evidence and gave jury admonitory instruction to disregard evidence	27-35
27.444	Finding of harmless error under particular circumstances	27-35
27.445	Standard of appellate review of trial court's finding of harmless error	27-35
27.45	Appellate Review Of Confrontation Issues	27-35
27.451	In general	27-35
27.452	Standard of review	27-36
27.46	Other Matters	27-37
27.461	Refusal of witness against defendant to answer question because of fear	27-37
27.462	Loss of confrontation through destruction of material evidence	27-37
27.463	Confrontation clause does not apply to out-of-court statement not offered for truth of the matter asserted (see sec. 27.12)	27-37
27.464	Failure to have victim testify	27-37
27.465	Right to confrontation guarantees criminal defendant meaningful opportunity to present defense	27-37
27.466	Right to confrontation does not require pretrial access to witness, witness's personal data (e.g., address), or witness's history	27-37
27.467	Right to confrontation does not necessarily confer right to discovery of otherwise privileged information	27-37
27.468	Right to compulsory process (see sec. 30.8)	27-37
27.469	Constitutionality of notice and demand statutes, under which prosecutor provides notice to defendant of intent to use declarant's out-of-court statements, after which defendant is given period to object to admission of statements absent declarant's appearance live at trial (see sec. 27.254)	27-37
27.47	Other Matters (cont'd)	27-37
27.471	Avoiding confrontation problem in joint trial of defendant and accomplice, in which accomplice's out-of-court confession has been introduced, by use of instruction limiting jury's consideration of accomplice's out-of-court confession implicating defendant to question of accomplice's guilt (Bruton problem)	27-37
27.4711	In general	27-37
27.4712	When accomplice's confession interlocks with defendant's	27-37
27.4713	When confession redacted to eliminate all references to defendant's existence (see sec. 27.472)	27-38
27.4714	When confession redacted to substitute blanks and/or the word "delete" for defendant's proper name (see sec. 27.473)	27-38
27.4715	Sufficiency of instruction to obviate confrontation problem	27-38
27.4716	When instruction required	27-38
27.472	Avoiding confrontation problems by redacting accomplice's out-of- court confession admitted at joint trial of defendant and accomplice to omit all references to defendant's existence and then giving instruction limiting jury's consideration of confession to question of accomplice's guilt	27-38

27.473	Confrontation problem not avoided by redacting accomplice's out-of-court confession admitted at joint trial of defendant and accomplice to substitute blanks and word "delete" for defendant's proper name and then instructing jury to consider accomplice's confession only on question of accomplice's guilt	27-38
27.474	Avoiding confrontation problem in admission of codefendant's statement against him by conducting single trial before multiple juries (see sec. 27.2344)	27-38
27.475	Right does not apply in civil cases	27-38
27.476	Sending investigative report, which contains hearsay statements of nontestifying declarant, to jury during deliberations	27-38
27.477	Right to confront witnesses includes right to be present at all stages of trial	27-38
27.478	No right to have defense witness invoke privilege against self-incrimination in front of jury	27-38
27.479	No right to have jury instructed that adverse inference may be drawn from defense witness's invocation of privilege against self-incrimination	27-39
27.48	Other matters (cont'd)	27-39
27.481	Denial of right to confrontation by prosecutor's use of leading questions	27-39
27.482	Need for interpreter for one who does not understand English (see sec. 30.971)	27-39
27.483	Right to confrontation does not require state to produce any particular witness (see sec. 27.161)	27-39
27.484	Right not denied or unconstitutionally burdened by permitting prosecutor in closing argument to call jury's attention to fact that defendant, who testified, had opportunity to hear all other witnesses testify and to tailor his own testimony accordingly	27-39
27.485	Defendant's right to confrontation denied when factfinder relies on facts not in evidence to make decision	27-39
27.486	Consistency, with right to confrontation, of court's prohibition on defendant looking at victim during her sentencing statement	27-39
27.487	Protecting right to confrontation when expert bases opinion on reports, opinions, studies, etc., or others (see sec. 27.373)	27-39

Chapter 28 Right to Present a Defense

28.1	General Principles Relating To Right To Present A Defense	28-1
28.11	In General	28-1
28.12	Generally, There Is No Right To Present Evidence Inadmissible Under Standard Rules Of Evidence	28-3
28.121	In general	28-3
28.122	Irrelevant or incompetent evidence	28-3
28.123	Evidence whose probative value is outweighed by prejudicial effect or other countervailing factors	28-4
28.124	Hearsay evidence (see sec. 28.3)	28-4
28.125	Cumulative evidence	28-4

	<i>Page</i>
28.126 Marginally relevant evidence	28-4
28.127 Repetitive evidence	28-4
28.128 But right to present a defense may in some cases require admission of testimony or other evidence that would otherwise be excluded under applicable evidentiary rules	28-4
28.13 Must Evidence Be "Critical" To Defense?	28-5
28.14 Other General Principles	28-5
28.141 No right to present evidence attacking credibility based on prior alleged false statement	28-5
28.142 Right does not necessarily encompass requirement that jury consider defendant's affirmative defense	28-5
28.143 Accused's right to pretrial discovery in order to be able to present relevant evidence and witnesses in defense of criminal charge (see sec. 28.641)	28-5
28.144 Defendant's Right To Fair Opportunity To Defend Against State's Charges Requires Trial Court To Resolve Close Questions Of Admissibility Of Evidence In Favor Of Inclusion, Not Exclusion	28-5
28.145 In exercising right, defendant must comply with established rules of procedure designed to insure fairness and reliable ascertainment of truth	28-5
28.1451 In general	28-5
28.1452 Rules relating to pretrial discovery and disclosure	28-5
28.146 Constitution does not guarantee right to particular defense defendant desires	28-5
28.147 Source of right	28-5
28.148 Right is abridged by evidence rules that infringe upon weighty interest of accused and are arbitrary or disproportionate to purpose they are designed to server	28-5
28.149 Right is abridged by "voucher rule," which bars parties from impeaching their own witnesses (see sec. 28.697)	28-5
28.15 Other General Principles (cont'd)	28-5
28.151 Denial of right to present defense by appellate court's recognition, on appeal from judgment of conviction, of new element of offense of which defendant was previously unaware and, with respect to which, he therefore was unable to present a defense at time of trial	28-6
28.152 Right to present defense not denied by trial court's requiring defendant to make offer of proof before he or she is permitted to introduce evidence	28-6
28.2 When Defense Witness Invokes Fifth Amendment	28-6
28.21 Sustaining Defense Witness's Invocation Of Fifth Amendment Privilege	28-6
28.211 In general	28-6
28.212 No right to immunize witness to obtain testimony	28-6
28.213 Defendant's right to new trial when defense witness who invokes fifth amendment privilege at defendant's trial later states that he is willing to testify without invoking privilege	28-6
28.22 Striking Testimony Of Defense Witness Who Invokes Fifth Amendment On Cross-Examination	28-6
28.23 No Right To Require Defense Witness To Invoke Fifth Amendment Privilege In Presence Of Jury	28-6

28.24	No Right To Have Jury Instructed That Adverse Inference May Be Drawn From Defense Witness's Invocation To Fifth Amendment Privilege	28-7
28.3	Exclusion Of Hearsay Evidence Offered By Defendant	28-7
28.31	In General	28-7
28.32	Exclusion Of Particular Types Of Hearsay	28-7
28.321	Hearsay statement against declarant's penal interest exculpating defendant	28-7
28.322	Defendant's hearsay statement	28-8
28.323	Hearsay statement regarding complainant's sexual behavior prior to alleged assault when expert testified that complainant's post-assault behavior was consistent with that of child sexual assault victims	28-8
28.4	Exclusion Of Expert Testimony And Scientific Evidence Offered By Defendant	28-8
28.41	General Principles For Determining When Defendant Has Constitutional Right To Present Expert Testimony And Scientific Evidence	28-8
28.411	In general	28-8
28.42	Psychiatric Evidence Relating To Defendant's State Of Mind	28-8
28.421	In guilt phase of trial, offered, e.g., to negate mental element of offense	28-8
28.422	In insanity phase of trial	28-9
28.43	Polygraph Evidence	28-9
28.44	Expert Testimony On Identification	28-9
28.45	Other Expert Testimony And Scientific Evidence	28-9
28.451	Expert testimony on sleep when defendant accused of sexually assaulting unconscious victim	28-9
28.452	Expert opinion regarding whether victim could have slept through sexual assault	28-9
28.453	Blood-alcohol chart	28-9
28.454	Defendant's "psycho-social" history	28-9
28.455	Expert testimony regarding recantation of sexual assault victim	28-9
28.456	Expert testimony regarding reliability of interview technique used with child sexual assault victim	28-9
28.457	Expert testimony regarding defendant's blood alcohol content based, in part, on preliminary breath test (see sec. 44.5652)	28-9
28.5	Exclusion Of Other Types Of Evidence Offered By Defendant	28-9
28.51	Evidence Offered To Show That Someone Other Than Defendant Committed Crime Charged	28-9
28.511	In general	28-9
28.512	Evidence of motive and opportunity for others to commit crime with which defendant is charged	28-10
28.513	Evidence, in case raising defense of mistaken identity, of other suspects matching defendant's description	28-10
28.514	Victim's reaction upon seeing photograph of person who defendant claims was assailant	28-10

28.515	In case involving charges of numerous burglaries occurring about the same time and in same area, evidence that defendant was identified by victim of similar burglary at about same time and in same area, but identification was mistaken because defendant was incarcerated at time of that burglary	28-10
28.516	Right to present defense is violated by evidence rule under which defendant may not introduce proof of third-party guilt if prosecution has introduced forensic evidence that, if believed, strongly supports guilt	28-10
28.52	Evidence Of Victim's Prior Sexual Conduct In Sexual Assault Prosecution (Including Prior Sexual Assaults On Victim)	28-10
28.521	In general	28-10
28.522	Evidence of victim's prior sexual conduct with defendant to establish motive for alleged false allegation of sexual assault	28-11
28.523	Evidence of prior sexual assault on child-victim to show victim's alternative source of sexual knowledge	28-11
28.524	Evidence of prior sexual assault on victim to show source of physical injury allegedly caused by sexual assault being tried	28-11
28.525	Evidence of complainant's sexual behavior prior to alleged assault when expert has testified that post-assault behavior was consistent with that of child sexual assault victim	28-11
28.526	Other and related matters	28-11
28.53	Evidence That Defendant Was Acquitted Of Related Crime	28-11
28.54	Evidence In Drunk Driving Case That Defendant Asked For, But Was Denied, Alternative Test	28-11
28.55	Evidence Concerning Physical And Psychological Environment In Which Defendant's Confession Was Obtained, Offered To Show That Confession Was Unreliable	28-12
28.56	Evidence Of Department's Failure To Conduct Periodic Reexamination In Court Hearing On Whether Sex Crimes Commitment Should Be Continued	28-12
28.57	Evidence Regarding Violent Character And Prior Violent Acts Of Victim When Defendant Claims Self-Defense	28-12
28.58	Other Evidence	28-12
28.581	Hypnotically-Refreshed Testimony	28-12
28.582	Prior inconsistent statement of state's witness	28-12
28.583	In prosecution for misconduct in public office, evidence that others were engaging in same conduct (e.g., using state resources to promote candidate for office) or that defendant believed others were engaging in same conduct (see secs. 35.23342 and 35.23343)	28-12
28.59	Other Evidence (cont'd)	28-12
28.591	Defendant's mental health history	28-12
28.592	Evidence that defendant has not engaged in other criminal conduct	28-12
28.5921	In general	28-12
28.5922	Evidence that defendant has not sexually assaulted other available children in prosecution for sexual assault of a child	28-12
28.593	Evidence of sexual assault victim's prior untruthful allegations of sexual assault	28-12
28.594	Defendant's "psycho-social" history (see sec. 28.454)	28-12

28.595	Evidence of intoxication offered to show defendant did not have mental state that is element of crime	28-12
28.596	Evidence offering explanation or defendant's failure to testify	28-13
28.597	Testimony from co-defendants or co-participants in crime	28-13
28.598	Evidence of defendant's incarceration to establish defense of inability to pay in prosecution for failure to support	28-13
28.599	Evidence regarding violent character and prior violent acts of victim when defendant claims self-defense	28-13
28.6	Other Matters Relating To Right To Present A Defense	28-13
28.61	Accused's Right To Testify In Own Behalf (see sec. 30.6)	28-13
28.62	Prosecutor's And Other Government Agencies' Interference With Defendant's Right To Present Witnesses In His Defense	28-13
28.621	Prosecutorial Intimidation Of Defense Witnesses	28-13
28.622	Deportation Of Material Witness (see sec. 22.422)	28-13
28.63	Waiver Of Right To Present Evidence In One's Defense	28-13
28.631	In general	28-13
28.632	Need for "informed and knowing" waiver of right	28-13
28.64	Accused's Right Of Access To Witnesses And Evidence In Order To Effectuate Right To Present Witnesses In His Defense	28-13
28.641	Right to pretrial discovery and access to witnesses and evidence in general	28-13
28.642	Right to hire expert witness at state's expense	28-13
28.6421	In general	28-13
28.6422	Child psychologist	28-14
28.6423	Pediatrician	28-14
28.6424	Psychiatrist	28-14
28.643	Right of access to victim's mental health treatment records (see secs. 6.8921 and 6.893)	28-14
28.644	Right to pretrial psychological examination of victim	28-14
28.6441	In general (see sec. 6.89171)	28-14
28.6442	When state gives notice it intends to introduce evidence generated by psychological examination of the victim by state's experts (see sec. 6.89172)	28-14
28.6443	When state uses psychological examination of victim to obtain order allowing victim to testify through videotaped deposition (see sec. 6.89174)	28-14
28.6444	When state introduces evidence that sexual assault victim's post-assault behavior is consistent with that of sexual assault victim (see sec. 6.89173)	28-14
28.645	Accused's right to services of psychiatrist to testify on his behalf (see sec. 6.6422)	28-14
28.646	Defendant's right to have trial court make in camera inspection of documents or records (e.g., victim's mental health treatment records) that are privileged or protected or in the possession of third parties to determine whether they contain evidence necessary to effectuate defendant's right to present witnesses in his defense (see sec. 6.893)	28-14
28.65	Harmless error	28-14
28.651	Applicability of harmless error analysis to denial of right	28-14
28.6511	In general	28-14

28.6512	Harmless error rule is not applicable where exclusion of evidence deprived defendant of necessary element of defense	28-14
28.652	Finding of harmless error under particular circumstances	28-15
28.66	Right To Compulsory Process (see sec. 30.8)	28-15
28.67	Precluding Defense Witness's Testimony As Sanction For Notice Or Discovery Violation	28-15
28.671	In general	28-15
28.672	Failure to provide notice of alibi	28-15
28.673	Failure to provide witness's report	28-15
28.674	Failure to identify defense witnesses in response to pretrial discovery request (see sec. 6.8642)	28-15
28.675	Failure to timely disclose name of witness prior to trial	28-15
28.676	Failure to provide notice of intent to introduce evidence of victim's prior sexual conduct (see sec. 20.8312)	28-15
28.677	Failure to comply with order to disclose evidence defendant intends to introduce to support claim of self-defense	28-15
28.68	Appellate Review Of Issues Relating To Right To Present A Defense	28-15
28.69	Other Matters	28-16
28.691	No right to have co-defendant tried first or separately so he will testify at defendant's trial	28-16
28.692	Failure to submit critical defense exhibits to jury	28-16
28.693	Trial court does not deny right to present defense by simply sustaining objections to form of questions asked by defense counsel attempting to elicit defense	28-16
28.694	Right to present a defense may be violated by amendment of information on day of trial	28-16
28.695	Defendant's right to disclosure of exculpatory evidence in possession of prosecutor or other entity (see sec. 6.81)	28-16
28.696	Right to present defense not violated by enforcement of pretrial discovery rules	28-16
28.6961	In general	28-16
28.6962	Rule requiring defendant to provide prosecutor with defense expert's findings or subject matter of defense expert's testimony	28-16
28.697	Enforcement of state "voucher rule," which bars parties from impeaching their own witnesses	28-16
28.698	Ways in which defendant can gather information and evidence to use in his defense	28-16

Chapter 29

Right to be Free from Double Jeopardy

29.1	General Principles Relating To Double Jeopardy	29-1
29.11	Federal Constitutional Provisions, And Its Interpretation By United States Supreme Court, Is Applicable And Controls Interpretation Of State Constitutional Provision	29-1
29.111	In general	29-1

29.112	Grady v. Corbin rejected and United States v. Dixon embraced as statement of protection afforded by state constitution	29-2
29.113	Court of appeals will not fashion stricter interpretation of Wisconsin's double jeopardy provision than that given to federal provision	29-2
29.12	Purpose Of Double Jeopardy Clause; Interests Embodied In, And Served By, Double Jeopardy Clause	29-2
29.13	Scope Of Double Jeopardy Protection In General	29-3
29.131	In general	29-3
29.132	Scope of protection against multiple punishments	29-5
29.14	What Constitutes Jeopardy	29-5
29.141	Meaning of "jeopardy"	29-5
29.1411	Denotes risk associated with proceedings essentially criminal in nature	29-5
29.1412	Means "exposure to the risk of determination of guilt"	29-6
29.142	General principles for determining whether proceeding, and/or sanction imposed as result of it, is civil (i.e., remedial) or criminal (i.e., punitive) in nature	29-6
29.1421	In general	29-6
29.1422	Nature of proceeding determined by substance, not form or label	29-7
29.1423	When authority to impose sanction is conferred upon administrative agency, that is prima facie evidence that Congress intended provide civil sanction	29-7
29.1424	Whether particular proceeding, or sanction imposed as a result of it, is criminal or civil is, at least initially, a matter of statutory construction	29-7
29.1425	Propriety of considering actual conditions of confinement and implementation of statute to determine whether confinement scheme is civil or criminal in nature	29-7
29.1426	Proceeding found to be civil cannot be deemed punitive "as applied" to single individual	29-7
29.1427	When tax imposed upon illegal activity is criminal punishment (see sec. 29.773)	29-7
29.1428	Parole is not punishment	29-7
29.143	Determining whether particular procedure or sanction is civil (i.e., remedial) or criminal (i.e., punitive) in nature	29-7
29.1431	Juvenile court sanction for violation of dispositional order	29-8
29.1432	Prosecution for municipal ordinance violation	29-8
29.1433	University disciplinary proceeding	29-8
29.1434	Prison disciplinary proceeding	29-8
29.1435	Sexually violent person (chap. 980) proceeding	29-8
29.144	Court must have jurisdiction (see sec. 29.31)	29-8
29.145	Civil forfeiture proceeding does not ordinarily place defendant in jeopardy	29-8
29.146	Jeopardy "means exposure to the risk of determination of guilt"	29-8
29.15	When Jeopardy Attaches (see sec. 29.362)	29-8
29.16	Other principles	29-8
29.161	Determination whether second judgment violates double jeopardy turns on whether defendant had legitimate expectation of finality in first judgment	29-8

29.2	Limitations On Prosecution And Punishment For Multiple Offenses In Single Proceeding	29-8
29.21	General Principles For Determining When Prosecution And Punishment For Multiple Offenses In Single Proceeding Are Barred By Double Jeopardy	29-8
29.211	In general	29-8
29.212	Only first part of test for multiplicity involves double jeopardy protections	29-11
29.213	Even when multiple offenses are "same offense," double jeopardy does not bar prosecution and punishment for those offenses if legislature intended to permit such prosecution and punishment	29-11
29.214	Even when double jeopardy clause would prohibit multiple punishments for two offenses, it would not prohibit prosecution for the two offenses in a single prosecution	29-11
29.215	Multiple offenses must be "same offense" before double jeopardy violation can occur	29-11
29.216	When offenses are not the "same offense," but punishment for both is prohibited by legislature, only due process violation, not double jeopardy violation can occur	29-11
29.217	Single transaction can give rise to distinct offenses under separate statutes without violating double jeopardy clause	29-11
29.22	Particular Applications Of Limitations On Prosecution And Punishment For Multiple Offenses In Single Trial	29-12
29.221	Prosecution and punishment for armed robbery and extortion based on same conduct	29-12
29.222	Prosecution and punishment for two counts of obtaining telephone service by fraud when defendant opened separate accounts with telephone company under different names and at different times	29-12
29.223	Prosecution and punishment for reckless endangerment, aggravated battery, and disorderly conduct, all arising from one incident	29-12
29.224	Prosecution and punishment for multiple counts of nonsupport for each 120-day period of nonsupport during continuous period of nonsupport	29-12
29.225	Prosecution and punishment both for bail jumping and for offense whose commission provides basis for bail jumping charge	29-12
29.226	Prosecution and punishment for multiple counts of bail jumping	29-12
29.2261	Based on single act violating same condition in multiple bonds	29-12
29.2262	Based on multiple violations of same bond at same time and place	29-12
29.2263	Based on failure to comply, in two cases, with condition of single bond that covered both cases (e.g. failure to appear at preliminary hearings in two cases when preliminary hearings were scheduled for same time and requirement of appearance at hearings was contained in single bond that covered both cases)	29-12
29.227	Prosecution and punishment for multiple counts of sexual assault	29-12
29.2271	Multiple counts of sexual assault based on single act that violates multiple sections of sexual assault statute	29-12

	29.2272 Multiple counts of sexual assault arising out of single episode	29-13
29.228	Prosecution and punishment for attempted murder and aggravated battery based on same conduct	29-13
29.229	Prosecution and punishment for both aggravated battery under Wis. Stat. § 940.19(6) and battery by prisoner under Wis. Stat. § 940.20(1)	29-13
29.23	Particular Applications Of Limitations On Prosecution And Punishment For Multiple Offenses In Single Proceeding (cont'd)	29-13
29.231	Prosecution and punishment for attempted murder and injury by conduct regardless of life based on same conduct	29-13
29.232	Prosecution and punishment for various forms of theft proscribed by theft statute	29-13
29.233	Prosecution and punishment for aggravated battery and first-degree reckless injury based on same conduct	29-13
29.234	Prosecution and punishment for burglary/battery and intermediate battery	29-13
29.235	Conviction of multiple counts of issuance of worthless check during fifteen-day period based on groups of checks having a total value of more than \$1,000	29-13
29.236	Conviction of both homicide by intoxicated use of a vehicle and homicide by negligent operation of a vehicle as a result of the death of a single victim	29-13
29.237	Prosecution and punishment for both delivery of controlled substance and tax stamp violation in relation to that controlled substance	29-13
29.238	Prosecution and punishment for both possession of controlled substance with intent to deliver and tax stamp violation in relation to that controlled substance	29-13
29.239	Multiple counts of failure to prevent sexual assault on child for multiple acts of sexual assault occurring after single report by child that sexual assault is occurring	29-14
29.24	Particular Applications Of Limitations On Prosecution And Punishment For Multiple Offenses In Single Proceeding (cont'd)	29-14
29.241	Prosecution and punishment for multiple counts of child enticement when there is one enticement but multiple counts are based on each different act that the defendant intended to commit	29-14
29.242	Prosecution and punishment for both second-degree reckless homicide and homicide by intoxicated use of a vehicle where defendant's criminal conduct resulted in death of one person	29-14
29.243	Prosecution and punishment or two counts of second-degree reckless endangerment arising out of one episode of reckless driving	29-14
29.244	Prosecution and punishment for both child enticement and attempted child exploitation	29-14
29.245	Prosecution and punishment for multiple counts of perjury based on separate and distinct false declarations in testimony that are all related and arise out of the same transaction or subject matter	29-14
29.246	Prosecution and punishment for two inchoate crimes (e.g., conspiracy and attempt) when both inchoate crimes have same completed crime as their objective	29-14

29.247	Prosecution and punishment for multiple counts of sexual assault of a child based on different touchings of breasts in relatively short period of time	29-14
29.248	Prosecution and punishment for multiple counts of possession of child pornography each based on separate and distinct pornographic image on computer disk	29-14
29.249	Prosecution for carjacking based on initial taking of vehicle and prosecution for operating without owner's consent based on later operation of same vehicle	29-15
29.25	Particular Applications Of Limitations On Prosecution And Punishment For Multiple Offenses In Single Proceeding (cont'd)	29-15
29.251	Charging multiple counts of theft each based on different firearm taken during single act of taking, i.e., single episode of theft	29-15
29.252	Prosecution for both operating motor vehicle while intoxicated and operating motor vehicle with prohibited alcohol concentration	29-15
29.253	Prosecution and punishment for operating while under the influence of an intoxicant, sixth offense, and causing injury to another person while operating while under the influence of an intoxicant	29-15
29.254	Prosecution and punishment for operating while having a prohibited alcohol concentration, sixth offense, and causing injury to another person while operating while having a prohibited alcohol concentration	29-15
29.255	Charging multiple homicides as result of single act of drunk driving resulting in deaths of multiple victims	29-15
29.256	Charging multiple counts of obscenity for sale of multiple obscene magazines in one transaction	29-15
29.257	Prosecution and punishment for both substantive crime and conspiracy to commit that crime	29-15
29.258	Prosecution and punishment for harassment and violation of harassment injunction	29-15
29.259	Prosecution and punishment for aggravated battery and first-degree recklessly endangering safety based on the same act	29-15
29.26	Particular Applications Of Limitations On Prosecution And Punishment For Multiple Offenses In Single Proceeding (cont'd)	29-15
29.261	Prosecution and punishment for both felony murder and underlying felony	29-16
29.262	Charging multiple counts of theft by fraud based on multiple fraudulent invoices submitted by defendant	29-16
29.263	Prosecution and punishment for burglary with intent to steal with a dangerous weapon in violation of Wis. Stat. § 943.10(2)(a) and burglary with intent to steal while committing a battery upon person lawfully in burglarized enclosure in violation of Wis. Stat. § 943.10(2)(d)	29-16
29.264	Prosecution and punishment for multiple counts of conspiracy based on single conspiracy that has as its object commission of multiple crimes	29-16
29.265	Prosecution and punishment for multiple counts of first-degree reckless injury based on separate blows struck and injuries inflicted	29-16
29.266	Prosecution and punishment for first-degree recklessly endangering safety and attempted first-degree intentional homicide	29-16

29.27	Related Matters	29-16
29.271	Multiplicity (see sec. 6.171)	29-16
29.28	Other Matters	29-16
29.281	When defendant contends prosecution for two offenses in single proceeding violates double jeopardy, acquittal of one charge renders issue moot	29-16
29.282	When defendant convicted of only one of multiple charges, double jeopardy attack on multiple charges need not be addressed	29-16
29.283	Effect of jury's improperly returning verdicts of guilty of two offenses, conviction of both of which is barred by double jeopardy; proper remedy is vacation of lesser, rather than greater, offense (see sec. 8.6892)	29-16
29.3	Limitations On Successive Proceedings – First Inquiry: Was Accused Placed In Jeopardy In Earlier Proceeding?	29-16
29.31	Court In Earlier Proceeding Must Have Had Jurisdiction	29-16
29.32	Earlier Proceeding Must Have Been Criminal In Nature (see secs. 29.141 and 29.142)	29-16
29.33	Earlier Proceeding Must Have Been By Same Sovereign (see sec. 29.5)	29-16
29.34	Earlier Proceeding Must Have Been For Same Offense	29-17
29.341	In general	29-17
29.342	Even if earlier proceeding was not for "same offense" under Blockburger test, does double jeopardy bar further proceedings when state will again attempt to prove conduct for which defendant convicted or acquitted at earlier proceeding, in order to establish essential element of new offense charged? (see sec. 29.437)	29-17
29.343	If earlier proceeding was not for "same offense" under Blockburger test, double jeopardy does not bar further proceedings even though present prosecution is for offense that was lesser-included offense of crime that was subject of earlier proceeding by virtue of specific provisions of lesser-included offense statute	29-17
29.344	Substantive crime and conspiracy to commit that crime are not the same offense for double jeopardy purposes	29-17
29.345	In multi-count case, if defendant is convicted on one or more counts and acquitted of one or more counts, and defendant successfully appeals conviction or convictions, acquittals pose no direct bar to retrying defendant even if count of which defendant was acquitted is same offense because, with respect to those convictions, jeopardy is continuing	29-17
29.3451	General rule (see sec. 29.3751)	29-17
29.3452	Exception: retrial will be barred if state will need to prove that defendant committed crime of which he was acquitted (see sec. 29.3752)	29-18
29.346	Need to determine legislative intent with respect to propriety of successive prosecutions, even if offenses are different in law or fact	29-18
29.35	Earlier Proceeding Must Have Been Against Same Defendant	29-18
29.36	Jeopardy Must Have Attached In Earlier Proceeding	29-18
29.361	Requirement that jeopardy must have attached in general	29-18
29.362	When jeopardy attaches	29-18
29.3621	In general	29-18

29.3622	When case disposed of by guilty or no-contest plea	29-18
29.3623	When case tried to jury	29-18
29.3624	When case tried to court	29-19
29.3625	Attachment of jeopardy to charge dismissed pursuant to plea agreement	29-19
29.3626	In juvenile court	29-19
29.37	Jeopardy Must Have Terminated In Earlier Proceeding (Continuing Jeopardy)	29-19
29.371	In general	29-19
29.372	When jeopardy terminates in general	29-19
29.373	When court accepts guilty or no-contest plea to charge, jeopardy attaches but continues until judgment of conviction	29-19
29.374	When court accepts guilty or no-contest plea to charge, but later before sentencing retakes plea to correct defects in original plea taking, defendant is not subjected to double jeopardy (see sec. 29.472)	29-19
29.375	In multi-count case, if defendant is convicted on one or more counts and acquitted of one or more counts, and defendant successfully appeals conviction or convictions, acquittals pose no direct bar to retrying defendant because, with respect to those convictions, jeopardy is continuing	29-20
29.3751	General rule	29-20
29.3752	Exception: retrial will be barred if state will need to prove that defendant committed crime of which he was acquitted	29-20
29.376	In multi-count prosecution, jeopardy may terminate on some counts even as it continues on others	29-20
29.4	Limitations On Successive Proceedings--Second Inquiry: If Accused Previously Placed In Jeopardy, Are Further Proceedings Barred?	29-20
29.41	Retrial After Mistrial	29-20
29.411	Where mistrial declared without consent or over objection of defendant	29-20
29.4111	"Manifest necessity" required	29-20
29.4112	Particular situations	29-21
29.41121	Hung jury	29-21
29.41122	Illness or incapacitation of juror	29-21
29.41123	Jurisdictional defect in proceedings	29-21
29.41124	Defense misconduct	29-21
29.41125	Absence or unavailability of witness	29-21
29.41126	Defense attorney to be called as witness	29-21
29.41127	Defendant's perpetration of fraud by presenting perjured testimony known to be such	29-21
29.41128	Defense counsel's attempt to elicit prior sexual conduct of sexual assault victim	29-21
29.4113	Particular situations (cont'd)	29-21
29.41131	Defense counsel's reference in opening statement to other acts evidence in violation of court order prohibiting reference to other acts until admissibility of other acts is determined, when other acts evidence was admissible	29-22

29.41132	Defense counsel's reference in opening statement to alternative theory of what happened for which no evidence, in particular defendant's testimony, was presented at trial	29-22
29.41133	Defense counsel's attempt to elicit from state witness, in sexual assault prosecution, testimony that witness engaged in unrelated earlier acts of sexual assault	29-22
29.41134	Defendant's failure to provide notice of alibi before calling witness who state asserts is alibi witness	29-22
29.41135	Defense counsel held in contempt and trial court is concerned with (1) its reputation and (2) likelihood that conviction would be overturned because of attorney's ineffectiveness	29-22
29.4114	What constitutes objection by defendant	29-22
29.4115	In determining whether to grant mistrial, trial court must consider alternatives (such as curative instruction by court, argument by state that will effectively counter defense counsel's misconduct, etc.)	29-22
29.4116	Determining whether manifest necessity exists is matter of discretion for trial court and reviewing court must satisfy itself that trial court exercised sound discretion in concluding that manifest necessity existed for mistrial	29-22
29.41161	In general	29-23
29.41162	In exercising discretion, court should give parties full opportunity to state their respective positions	29-23
29.41163	In exercising discretion, trial court must consider alternatives to mistrial (see sec. 29.4115)	29-23
29.41164	Trial court fails to exercise discretion when it permits state to choose between giving curative instruction or granting mistrial	29-23
29.4117	Appellate review of trial court's initial exercise of discretion to grant mistrial and later decision denying motion to prohibit second trial on double jeopardy grounds	29-23
29.41171	In general	29-23
29.41172	Strict scrutiny of trial court's exercise of discretion not required in every case; in some situations, reviewing court should show deference to trial court's exercise of discretion	29-23
29.41173	Although appeal is from judgment of conviction or order denying postconviction relief, appellate review is of trial court's order granting mistrial	29-24
29.41174	There is spectrum of deference to circuit court's exercise of discretion in granting mistrial; in cases in which mistrial was ordered on basis of defense misconduct (e.g., improper opening statement, improper questioning of witness, etc.) circuit court's determination "is entitled to special respect"	29-24

29.4118	Other matters	29-24
29.41181	Requirement that defendant raise double jeopardy claim prior to second trial to preserve issue for appellate review (see sec. 29.822)	29-24
29.41182	Defendant does not waive double jeopardy claim by seeking, as alternative to dismissal based on claim that trial was barred on double jeopardy grounds, a new trial based on other grounds (see sec. 29.827)	29-24
29.41183	In determining whether "manifest necessity" existed when mistrial declared, reasons given at time mistrial declared trump later explanations, particularly when later explanations contradict what was said when mistrial declared	29-24
29.412	When mistrial declared at defendant's request	29-24
29.4121	General rule: retrial permitted	29-24
29.4122	Exception: retrial barred where mistrial attributable to prosecutorial overreaching (i.e., prosecutorial intent to subvert defendant's double jeopardy rights)	29-25
29.41221	In general	29-25
29.41222	Determination of prosecutor's intent involves factual finding that will not be reversed unless clearly erroneous	29-25
29.41223	Police officer's improper testimony, which prompted defendant's mistrial request, will not be imputed to prosecutor in absence of evidence of collusion by prosecutor's office intended to provoke defendant's motion for mistrial	29-25
29.4123	Extension of exception: retrial barred even in absence of defendant's motion for mistrial when prosecutor has engaged in misconduct undertaken with intent to prevent acquittal, or to prejudice the possibility of acquittal, that prosecutor believed was likely in absence of misconduct (see sec. 29.447)	29-25
29.413	No need to determine sufficiency of evidence at first trial before second trial held	29-26
29.42	Further Proceedings, Retrial, Or Appeal After Dismissal Or Acquittal Before Verdict	29-26
29.421	Dismissal or acquittal before jeopardy has attached	29-26
29.4211	In general	29-26
29.4212	Dismissal of charges as part of plea bargain	29-26
29.422	Dismissal or acquittal after jeopardy has attached	29-26
29.4221	On grounds not related to guilt or innocence	29-26
29.4222	On grounds related to guilt or innocence	29-26
29.42221	In general	29-26
29.42222	When, at close of evidence, judge expresses doubt about sufficiency of evidence to prove charged offense and indicates intention to give lesser-included offense instruction	29-26

	29.42223	When, at close of state's case, court grants defendant's motion for acquittal based on insufficiency of evidence to convict: in general	29-26
	29.42224	When, at close of state's case, court grants defendant's motion for acquittal based on insufficiency of evidence to convict: in state in which acquittal is final, double jeopardy clause prohibits court's reconsideration of acquittal later in trial and submission of question of guilt to jury	29-26
29.43	Retrial Or Appeal After Conviction Or Acquittal		29-26
	29.431	After conviction of offense charged	29-26
	29.4311	In general	29-26
	29.4312	When conviction or acquittal obtained by defendant's fraud (see sec. 29.4392)	29-27
	29.432	After acquittal of offense charged	29-27
	29.4321	In general	29-27
	29.4322	When acquittal based on error of law	29-27
	29.43221	In general	29-27
	29.43222	Acquittal by court, acting as judge and fact finder, based on error of law	29-27
	29.4323	When acquittal obtained by defendant's fraud (see sec. 29.4392)	29-27
	29.433	After acquittal of related offense (collateral estoppel or issue preclusion)	29-27
	29.4331	In general	29-27
	29.4332	When acquittal obtained through defendant's fraud	29-27
	29.4333	In multi-count case, if defendant is convicted on one or more counts and acquitted of one or more counts, and defendant successfully appeals conviction or convictions, acquittals pose no direct bar to retrying defendant because, with respect to those convictions, jeopardy is continuing	29-28
	29.43331	General rule (see sec. 29.3751)	29-28
	29.43332	Exception: retrial will be barred if state will need to prove that defendant committed crime of which he was acquitted (see sec. 29.3752)	29-28
	29.4334	Apparent inconsistency between jury verdict of acquittal on some counts (on which verdict defendant relies to support invocation of issue preclusion) and jury's failure to return verdict on other counts does not affect preclusive force of acquittals under double jeopardy clause	29-28
	29.4335	Particular applications of constitutional collateral estoppel doctrine	29-28
	29.43351	Prosecution of defendant for perjury based on allegedly false testimony in prior prosecution in which defendant's false testimony was believed by jury and led to acquittal	29-28
	29.4336	Related matters	29-28
	29.43361	Doctrine of collateral estoppel (issue preclusion) (see sec. 12.621)	29-28

	29.43362 Application of issue preclusion doctrine in death penalty context (see sec. 29.672)	29-28
29.434	After conviction or acquittal of lesser-included offense	29-28
	29.4341 In general	29-28
	29.4342 When all elemental facts of greater offense have not occurred when defendant convicted of lesser offense	29-28
	29.43421 In general	29-28
	29.43422 "Necessary facts" double jeopardy exception permits prosecution for greater offense after conviction of lesser when element of greater offense has not occurred at time of prosecution for lesser offense	29-29
	29.4343 When conviction based on guilty plea to lesser-included offense charged in same proceeding with greater offense	29-29
	29.4344 Trial for greater offense following breach of plea agreement under which defendant was convicted and sentenced for lesser offense	29-29
	29.4345 Prosecution of offense in which element is commission of another offense of which defendant was previously convicted or acquitted (see sec. 29.438)	29-29
	29.4346 In multi-count case, if defendant is convicted on one or more counts and acquitted of one or more counts, and defendant successfully appeals conviction or convictions, acquittals pose no direct bar to retrying defendant even if count of which defendant was acquitted is lesser-included offense because, with respect to those convictions, jeopardy is continuing	29-29
	29.43461 General rule (see sec. 29.3751)	29-29
	29.43462 Exception: retrial will be barred if state will need to prove that defendant committed crime of which he was acquitted (see sec. 29.3752)	29-29
29.435	After conviction or acquittal of greater offense	29-29
	29.4351 In general	29-29
	29.4352 In multi-count case, if defendant is convicted on one or more counts and acquitted of one or more counts, and defendant successfully appeals conviction or convictions, acquittals pose no direct bar to retrying defendant even if count of which defendant was acquitted is greater offense because, with respect to those convictions, jeopardy is continuing	29-30
	29.43521 General rule (see sec. 29.3751)	29-30
	29.43522 Exception: retrial will be barred if state will need to prove that defendant committed crime of which he was acquitted (see sec. 29.3752)	29-30
29.436	After conviction that was nullity for lack of jurisdiction (see sec. 29.31)	29-30
29.437	After conviction or acquittal for conduct which state will again attempt to prove to establish essential element of new offense charged	29-30
	29.4371 In general	29-30

29.4372	Prohibition on retrial after conviction or acquittal for conduct which state will again attempt to prove to establish essential element of new offense charged not applicable when multiple charges tried in a single prosecution	29-30
29.4373	Conduct of which defendant was previously convicted or acquitted must have been criminal in nature	29-30
29.4374	Grady v. Corbin overruled	29-30
29.4375	Grady v. Corbin rejected as test for state constitutional protection against double jeopardy	29-31
29.4376	Application of Dixon's overruling of Grady to case tried while Grady was law does not violate ex post facto protection	29-31
29.4377	Prosecution of offense in which element is commission of another offense of which defendant was previously convicted or acquitted (see sec. 29.438)	29-31
29.4378	Prosecution for conspiracy where certain overt acts relied upon by the government are based on substantive offenses for which defendant has been previously convicted is not barred by double jeopardy	29-31
29.4379	Mere overlap in proof between two prosecutions does not establish double jeopardy violation	29-31
29.438	After conviction or acquittal of offense whose commission is essential element of new offense charged	29-31
29.439	Other matters	29-31
29.4391	Trial of offense after introduction at earlier trial on separate offense of evidence of present offense	29-31
29.4392	When conviction or acquittal obtained by defendant's fraud	29-31
29.4393	Prosecution for conspiracy where certain overt acts relied upon by the government are based on substantive offenses for which defendant has been previously convicted is not barred by double jeopardy	29-31
29.4394	Limitations on charging additional counts of same offense as that for which defendant was tried when additional counts occurred during expansive time period alleged in charging document for offense for which defendant tried (see sec. 29.8432)	29-31
29.4395	Definition of "acquittal" for double jeopardy purposes (see sec. 29.844)	29-31
29.44	Retrial After Setting Aside Of Conviction By Trial Court, Or Reversal By Appellate Court	29-32
29.441	When setting aside or reversal based on error in first trial	29-32
29.4411	In general	29-32
29.4412	Requirement that appellate court consider sufficiency of evidence issue when it finds other error because double jeopardy clause precludes retrial when evidence is insufficient to convict	29-32
29.4413	When error upon which setting aside or reversal based is prosecutorial misconduct intended to prevent acquittal (see sec. 29.447)	29-33

29.4414	When error is failure to instruct jury on essential element of offense	29-33
29.442	When setting aside or reversal based on insufficiency of evidence to convict	29-33
29.4421	In general	29-33
29.4422	Requirement that appellate court consider sufficiency of evidence issue even when it finds other reversible error (see sec. 29.4412)	29-33
29.4423	In considering sufficiency of evidence, court must consider all evidence submitted, including that erroneously admitted	29-34
29.4424	Rule barring retrial when evidence insufficient to convict does not apply when jury has failed to make finding of essential element of offense because it was not instructed on that element (see sec. 29.4414)	29-34
29.443	When setting aside or reversal granted in interest of justice because verdict against weight of evidence	29-34
29.444	When setting aside or reversal based on lack of jurisdiction of trial court (see sec. 29.31)	29-34
29.445	When setting aside or reversal based on defect in charging document	29-34
29.446	When setting aside or reversal based on improper ex post facto application of statute under which defendant was charged and convicted	29-34
29.447	When setting aside or reversal based on prosecutor's misconduct that was undertaken with the intent to prevent an acquittal, or to prejudice the possibility of an acquittal, that the prosecutor believed was likely in the absence of the misconduct	29-34
29.448	Other situations	29-34
29.449	Related matters	29-34
29.4491	Requirement of credit for time already served pursuant to first conviction	29-34
29.45	Court Of Appeals' Review Of Setting Aside Of Verdict By Trial Court	29-34
29.46	Supreme Court Review After Reversal By Court Of Appeals	29-34
29.47	Other Proceedings And Situations	29-34
29.471	Capital sentencing proceeding based on aggravating circumstance that was element of offense for which defendant was prosecuted in guilt phase of proceeding	29-34
29.472	Trial court's taking of guilty or no-contest plea and then, before sentencing, retaking of plea to correct defects in original plea taking, does not subject defendant to double jeopardy	29-35
29.48	Other Matters	29-35
29.481	As applied to successive prosecutions, the double jeopardy clause is concerned with the risk of trial and conviction, not punishment	29-35
29.482	Definition of "acquittal" for double jeopardy purposes (see sec. 29.844)	29-35
29.5	Limitations On Successive Prosecutions By Different Governmental Entities	29-35
29.51	Dual Sovereignty Doctrine In General	29-35
29.511	In general	29-35

29.512	Bar to drug prosecution for the same act both in this state and under federal law or the law of another state; statutory abrogation of dual sovereignty doctrine with respect to drug offenses (Wis. Stat. § 961.45) (see sec. 36.195)	29-35
29.513	Bar to prosecution for same act both in this state and under federal law or the law of another state unless each offense requires proof of fact for conviction which other does not; statutory abrogation of dual sovereignty doctrine (Wis. Stat. § 939.71) (see sec. 31.421)	29-35
29.52	By State And Federal Government	29-35
29.53	By State And Municipality Or Other Lower Governmental Unit	29-35
29.54	By Indian Tribe And Federal Or State Government	29-35
29.541	In general	29-35
29.542	When prosecution by Indian tribe was of Indian who was not member of tribe	29-35
29.55	By One State Government And Another State Government	29-36
29.551	In general	29-36
29.552	Prosecution for bail jumping based on violation of bond term that defendant is not to commit any crime after conviction in other state of crime whose commission constitutes violation of bail term	29-36
29.56	By State And Subordinate Administrative Agency	29-36
29.6	Limitations Relating To Sentencing And Increasing Or Modifying Punishment Or Other Disposition	29-36
29.61	General Principles	29-36
29.611	In general	29-36
29.612	Applicability of double jeopardy clause to sentencing proceedings	29-36
29.613	Applicability of double jeopardy clause to multiple punishments for same offense (see secs. 29.13 and 29.2)	29-36
29.614	Applicability of double jeopardy clause to restitution (see sec. 9.48976)	29-37
29.615	General principles for determining when sentence may be increased after initial imposition without violating double jeopardy	29-37
29.62	Modifying Sentence After Defendant Begins Serving It	29-37
29.621	In general	29-37
29.622	Amending sentence to correct mistake made in imposing it (e.g., after court determines it mistakenly used word "concurrent" instead of "consecutive" in original sentence pronouncement)	29-37
29.623	Amending sentence to make it consecutive rather than concurrent when, at time of original imposition of sentence, trial court indicated desire for consecutive sentence but believed he only had authority to impose concurrent one	29-37
29.624	Correcting obvious error in sentencing where it is clear that good faith mistake was made in initial sentencing pronouncement, where court promptly recognizes error, and where court, by reducing erroneous original sentence on one count and increasing original sentence on another count, seeks to impose lawfully structured sentence that achieves overall disposition court originally intended	29-37
29.63	Increasing Punishment	29-37

29.631	What constitutes increase in punishment that will trigger double jeopardy process limitations on increasing punishment; determining whether increase in sentence has occurred	29-37
29.632	Increasing Punishment Upon Reconviction And Resentencing After Setting Aside Or Reversal Of Original Conviction Or Related Convictions	29-37
29.633	Increasing Punishment Upon Resentencing When Original Sentence, Or Related Sentence, Vacated Or Reversed	29-38
29.634	Increasing Punishment Upon Resentencing After Related Conviction Set Aside Or Reversed	29-38
29.635	Increasing Punishment When, At Initial Sentencing, Defendant Made Fraudulent Representation That Trial Court Accepted And Relied On In Imposing Initial Sentence	29-38
29.636	Imposing Sentence Or Longer Period Of Probation When Probation Or Condition Of Probation Found Invalid Or Unachievable	29-38
29.64	Double Jeopardy Limitations Relating To Imposition Of Death Penalty	29-39
29.641	In general	29-39
29.642	Application, in death penalty context, of issue preclusion doctrine, which is encompassed in double jeopardy protection under Ashe v. Swenson	29-39
29.643	When, prior to Supreme Court holding forbidding execution of mentally retarded offenders, defendant's mental retardation was found to exist and was considered in context of weighing aggravating and mitigating factors and death penalty was imposed, double jeopardy does not preclude full hearing on defendant's mental capacity to determine whether he is mentally retarded to degree that imposition of death penalty would be unconstitutional	29-39
29.644	Imposing death penalty after original imposition of life imprisonment set aside on appeal	29-39
29.645	Trial judge's imposition of death penalty after jury recommends life imprisonment	29-39
29.65	Other situations	29-39
29.651	When statute authorizes government to seek appellate review of sentence	29-39
29.652	Propriety of remand to trial court for new sentencing hearing at which state will have opportunity to prove allegation triggering penalty enhancer (e.g., prior conviction) when appellate court has concluded that state failed to prove allegation at original sentencing hearing	29-39
29.653	Imposition of two consecutive sentences, one for underlying substantive offense and other for penalty enhancer, violates double jeopardy	29-39
29.654	Amending restitution order to clarify arguably ambiguous provision does not violate double jeopardy (see sec. 9.48974)	29-39
29.66	Other Situations (cont'd)	29-39
29.661	On appeal	29-39
29.662	Eliminating presentence incarceration credit initially given	29-40
29.663	Imposing restitution that was omitted from sentence when it was initially imposed	29-40
29.6631	In general	29-40

29.6632	After prison sentence has commenced	29-40
29.664	Making sentence legal when sentence originally imposed was illegal	29-40
29.665	Using prior convictions in subsequent sentencing	29-40
29.666	Using prior conviction to enhance potential punishment under habitual criminality statute	29-40
29.667	Entering judgment of conviction imposing consecutive sentence after oral pronouncement of sentence that is silent on whether it is concurrent or consecutive does not increase punishment and violate double jeopardy	29-40
29.668	Use of prior conviction to enhance potential punishment under habitual criminality statute	29-40
29.669	Consideration of criminal activity of which defendant has been acquitted in determining appropriate sentence of impose (see sec. 29.7984)	29-40
29.7	Particular Applications Of Double Jeopardy Protections	29-40
29.71	Particular Applications Of Limitations On Prosecution And Punishment For Multiple Offenses In Single Trial (see sec. 29.22)	29-40
29.72	Criminal Prosecution After Disciplinary Proceedings For Same Conduct	29-40
29.721	After prison disciplinary proceedings	29-40
29.7211	In general	29-40
29.7212	When prison disciplinary proceeding results in extension of release date	29-41
29.722	After juvenile institution disciplinary proceedings	29-41
29.73	Propriety Of Successive Prosecutions For Multiple Offenses Arising Out Of One Act Or Transaction	29-41
29.74	Reprosecution After Proceedings That Were Nullity For Lack Of Jurisdiction (see sec. 29.31)	29-41
29.75	Right To Review Of Sufficiency Of Evidence At First Trial Before Second Trial Held	29-41
29.751	By trial court when mistrial granted (see sec. 29.413)	29-41
29.752	By appellate court when reversal ordered (see sec. 29.4412)	29-41
29.753	In two-tiered trial system, no right to determination of sufficiency of evidence at first tier before trial de novo at second tier	29-41
29.76	Double Jeopardy And Probation Or Parole Proceedings	29-41
29.761	General principles	29-41
29.7611	Revocation of probation is not considered punishment for double jeopardy purposes	29-41
29.762	Propriety of considering, in sentencing upon revocation of probation, conduct for which defendant was revoked	29-41
29.763	Revocation of probation or parole and imposition of imprisonment	29-41
29.764	Propriety of probation or parole revocation and of criminal prosecution and punishment based on same conduct	29-41
29.765	Forfeiture of good time upon parole revocation	29-42
29.766	Imposition of sentence or longer period of probation after probation or condition of probation invalidated or unachievable (see sec. 29.67)	29-42
29.767	Double jeopardy clause does not require modification of sentence imposed upon revocation of probation when defendant acquitted of charge that prompted revocation	29-42
29.768	Denial of credit against sentence for time successfully spent on parole	29-42

29.77	Other Applications	29-42
29.771	Propriety of capital sentencing proceeding based on aggravating circumstance that was element of offense for which defendant was prosecuted in guilt phase of proceeding (see sec. 29.471)	29-42
29.772	Use of prior convictions to enhance sentences for subsequent convictions (see sec. 29.696)	29-42
29.773	Imposition of punitive tax on criminal activity and criminal punishment for that activity violates constitutional prohibition against successive punishments for same offense	29-42
29.7731	In general	29-42
29.7732	Imposition of controlled substances tax and criminal punishment for possession of controlled substances	29-42
29.774	Successive prosecutions for theft by fraud and forgery growing out of same transaction	29-42
29.775	Trial and/or conviction of felony murder after conviction or acquittal of underlying felony	29-42
29.776	Trial after accomplice has been acquitted, or has been convicted of lesser offense	29-42
29.777	Prosecution for offenses committed in county after offenses have been improperly consolidated and disposed of in another county pursuant to statute allowing plea of guilty to offenses committed in several counties	29-43
29.778	Requirement that when defendant reconvicted after first conviction set aside or reversed he receive credit for time already served on first conviction	29-43
29.779	Propriety, under statute proscribing engaging in repeated acts of sexual assault of the same child, of multiple prosecutions based on acts during same time period that occurred in different venues	29-43
29.78	Other Applications (cont'd)	29-43
29.781	Conviction of continuing criminal enterprise offense after conviction of predicate offense	29-43
29.782	Multiple criminal convictions for single act of drunk driving	29-43
29.783	Prosecution for criminal drunk driving offense (e.g., criminal drunk driving, homicide by intoxicated use of motor vehicle, etc.) after earlier noncriminal proceeding	29-43
29.7831	After conviction of civil drunk driving or other civil offense based on same conduct	29-43
29.7832	After administrative suspension of driver's operating privileges based on drunk driving	29-44
29.784	Reimposition of restitution upon conviction of subsequent offense	29-44
29.785	Revocation of operating privilege of habitual traffic offender after conviction of offenses on which revocation based	29-44
29.786	Prosecution of charge after that charge has been taken into consideration in sentencing on prior conviction	29-44
29.787	Introduction of testimony relating to alleged crime that defendant had previously been convicted or acquitted of committing to show defendant's guilt of crime being tried	29-44
29.788	Forfeiture or other proceeding governed by lower standard of proof than beyond a reasonable doubt, after acquittal of criminal charge arising out of same conduct that is subject of proceeding	29-44

29.789	Requiring defendant who has reached mandatory release date by earning good time to serve balance of sentence on parole	29-44
29.79	Other Applications (cont'd)	29-44
29.791	Imposition of civil penalty and criminal punishment for same act	29-44
29.7911	In general	29-44
29.7912	Imposition of penalty for failure to pay drug tax and criminal punishment for such failure	29-44
29.7913	Punitive tax imposed on criminal activity violates constitutional prohibition against successive punishment for same offense (see sec. 29.773)	29-45
29.7914	Prosecution for criminal drunk driving offense after conviction of civil drunk driving or other civil penalty based on same conduct (see sec. 29.783)	29-45
29.7915	Imposition of civil forfeiture (e.g., forfeiture of property used in, or representing proceeds of, commission of crime) and criminal punishment for same act (see sec. 29.796)	29-45
29.7916	Prosecution and punishment for municipal ordinance violation and criminal punishment for same act	29-45
29.792	When two consecutive sentences imposed for same crime, court may vacate shorter one even though already served, and then enforce longer one with credit for time served on shorter sentence, without violating double jeopardy prohibition	29-45
29.793	Prosecution as adult or in adult court for acts that were subject of juvenile delinquency proceedings	29-45
29.7931	In general	29-45
29.7932	Juvenile court waiver of juvenile into adult court based on conduct that provided basis for imposition of sanction for violation of dispositional order for earlier delinquent act	29-45
29.7933	Criminal prosecution after extension of juvenile disposition based in part on conduct giving rise to criminal prosecution	29-45
29.7934	Criminal prosecution after rescission of juvenile's conditional release based on same conduct that underlies criminal prosecution	29-45
29.794	Forfeiture proceeding after acquittal of criminal charge arising out of same conduct	29-45
29.795	Charging defendant with bail jumping for failure to comply with condition that he refrain from driving without a valid license	29-45
29.796	Imposition of civil forfeiture (e.g., forfeiture of property used in, or representing proceeds of, commission of crime) and criminal punishment for same act	29-45
29.7961	In general	29-45
29.7962	Forfeiture of property used to commit, or representing proceeds from commission of, drug offenses	29-46
29.7963	Forfeiture of vehicle under Wis. Stat. § 346.65(6) after conviction of drunk driving or other offense triggering forfeiture	29-46
29.797	Other applications	29-46
29.7971	Prosecution of defendant for greater offense following breach of plea agreement under which he was convicted and sentenced for lesser offense	29-46

29.7972	Administrative imposition of monetary penalties and occupational debarment on defendant for violation of federal banking statutes followed by later criminal prosecution for essentially same conduct	29-46
29.7973	Attempted execution of condition of probation (e.g., confinement to jail) after term of probation itself has expired	29-46
29.7974	Successive prosecution for misdemeanor battery after acquittal of felony battery	29-46
29.7975	Prosecution for both operating motor vehicle while intoxicated and operating motor vehicle with prohibited alcohol concentration (see sec. 29.252)	29-46
29.7976	Defendant may be prosecuted for perjury based on allegedly false testimony in prior prosecution in which defendant's false testimony was believed by jury and led to acquittal if state has newly discovered evidence of perjury (see sec. 29.43341)	29-46
29.7977	Remand for proof of compliance with 90-day requirement in sexually violent person (ch. 980) proceeding does not violate double jeopardy	29-46
29.798	Other applications (cont'd)	29-46
29.7981	Propriety of reducing jeopardy-barred conviction for greater offense to a conviction for lesser-offense that is not jeopardy barred as remedy for double jeopardy violation	29-46
29.7982	Conviction and punishment for violation of criminal statute and for violation of order enjoining violations of statute	29-47
29.7983	Sexually violent person commitment after completion of sentence for commission of sexually violent offense (see sec. 10.712)	29-47
29.7984	Consideration in imposing sentence of criminal activity after defendant has been acquitted of that criminal activity	29-47
29.7985	Prosecution of charge after that charge has been taken into consideration in sentencing on prior conviction	29-47
29.7986	Prosecution and punishment for both delivery of controlled substance and tax stamp violation in relation to that controlled substance (see sec. 29.237)	29-47
29.7987	Prosecution and punishment for both possession of controlled substance with intent to deliver and tax stamp violation in relation to that controlled substance (see sec. 29.238)	29-47
29.7988	Propriety of criminal conviction and punishment and DIS sanction based on same conduct	29-47
29.7989	Imposition of sanction pursuant to university disciplinary procedures and subsequent criminal prosecution for same activity	29-47
29.799	Other applications (cont'd)	29-47
29.7991	Propriety of proceedings requiring the making of supplemental findings following trial	29-47
29.7992	When expansive allegation of time in charging document violates double jeopardy (see sec. 29.843)	29-47

29.7993	Prosecution for offense after defendant convicted of criminal contempt based on commission of offense	29-47
29.7994	After acceptance of guilty or no contest plea based on plea bargain providing for reduction of charges on some counts and dismissal of others, trial court cannot reinstate original charges without violating double jeopardy clause	29-47
29.7995	Fact that government offers in evidence in one prosecution acts of misconduct that might ultimately be charged as criminal offenses in second prosecution does not bar that second prosecution	29-48
29.7996	Prosecution for criminal operating after revocation offense after conviction of civil traffic infraction based on same operation of motor vehicle	29-48
29.7997	Application of habitual criminality sentence enhancer to offense of possession of firearm by felon	29-48
29.7998	Prosecution for bail jumping after conviction or acquittal of offense whose commission provides basis for bail jumping charge	29-48
29.79981	In general	29-48
29.79982	When bail jumping and offense whose commission provides basis for bail jumping are prosecuted together, and defendant is acquitted of that offense but convicted of bail jumping based on commission of lesser included offense, and conviction of bail jumping is reversed on account of trial error	29-48
29.7999	Criminal prosecution after revocation of probation or parole and imposition of imprisonment based upon the same conduct (see sec. 29.764)	29-48
29.8	Other Matters Relating To Double Jeopardy	29-48
29.81	Burden And Standard Of Proof On Double Jeopardy Issues	29-48
29.82	Waiver Of Double Jeopardy Objection	29-48
29.821	In general	29-48
29.822	By failure to raise double jeopardy issue by motion to dismiss before second trial held	29-48
29.8221	In general	29-48
29.8222	Circumvention of waiver rule by claiming that counsel was ineffective for failing to bring motion to dismiss before second trial	29-49
29.823	By entry of guilty plea (see sec. 7.3233)	29-49
29.824	Sufficiency of double jeopardy objection to state's request for mistrial	29-49
29.825	Double jeopardy claim not waived by failure to bring it in trial court	29-49
29.826	Failure to raise double jeopardy issue prior to state's decision to retry defendant does not constitute waiver of double jeopardy objection	29-49
29.827	Request for new trial on postconviction motion or on appeal from conviction does not bar, either on grounds of equitable estoppel or on grounds of judicial estoppel, defendant from moving to dismiss on double jeopardy grounds when state attempts to retry defendant when new trial granted	29-49

29.828	Defendant does not waive double jeopardy claim by seeking, as alternative to dismissal based on claim that trial was barred on double jeopardy grounds, a new trial based on other grounds	29-49
29.829	Other matters	29-49
29.8291	Does defendant waive double jeopardy claim he has unsuccessfully raised in trial court before second trial by failing to pursue, before second trial commences, interlocutory review of trial court's rejection of double jeopardy claim?	29-49
29.8292	Express waiver of double jeopardy claim	29-49
29.82921	As part of guilty-plea process (see sec. 7.32333)	29-49
29.83	Appellate Review Of Double Jeopardy Issues	29-49
29.831	Standards of review	29-49
29.8311	Review of factual findings	29-49
29.83111	In general	29-49
29.83112	Determination of prosecutor's intent involves factual finding that will not be reversed unless clearly erroneous (see sec. 29.41222)	29-49
29.8312	Review of ultimate decision	29-50
29.83121	In general	29-50
29.83122	Of trial court's decision that manifest necessity for mistrial existed (see sec. 29.4117)	29-51
29.83123	Of effect of guilty plea on right to raise double jeopardy claim	29-51
29.832	Appealability of pretrial order denying motion to dismiss on double jeopardy grounds (see sec. 11.11411)	29-51
29.84	Other Matters	29-51
29.841	Subjecting defendant to possibility of double jeopardy by duplicitous charging (see sec. 6.172)	29-51
29.842	Continuing jeopardy (see sec. 29.37)	29-51
29.843	Double jeopardy and expansive allegation of time in charging document	29-51
29.8431	When expansive allegation of time in charging document violates double jeopardy	29-51
29.8432	Limitations on charging additional counts of same offense as that for which defendant was tried when additional counts occurred during expansive time period alleged in charging document for offense for which defendant tried	29-52
29.844	Definition of "acquittal" for double jeopardy purposes	29-52
29.845	Effect of jury's improperly returning verdicts of guilty of two offenses, conviction of both of which is barred by double jeopardy; proper remedy is vacation of lesser, rather than greater, offense (see sec. 8.6892)	29-52

Chapter 30 Other Constitutional Rights

30.1	Right To Speedy Trial	30-1
30.11	Right To Speedy Trial Constitutionally Guaranteed	30-1

	<i>Page</i>
30.111 In general	30-1
30.112 By federal constitution	30-1
30.113 By state constitution	30-1
30.12 When Right To Speedy Trial Commences	30-1
30.121 In general	30-1
30.122 Right to speedy trial attaches upon arrest	30-1
30.13 Test For Determining Speedy Trial Violation	30-1
30.131 In general	30-1
30.132 When lack of speedy sentencing claimed	30-2
30.133 Is prejudice required to establish violation?	30-2
30.134 Test is same under both federal and state constitutions	30-2
30.135 Periods that are not considered in speedy trial analysis (see sec. 30.1423)	30-2
30.14 Factors To Be Considered	30-2
30.141 In general	30-2
30.1411 When lack of speedy trial claimed	30-2
30.1412 When lack of speedy sentencing claimed	30-2
30.142 Length of delay	30-2
30.1421 In general	30-2
30.1422 When lack of speedy sentencing claimed	30-3
30.1423 Periods that are not considered in speedy trial analysis	30-3
30.14231 Period during which defendant not under indictment or restraint is not to be considered	30-3
30.14232 When prosecution is commenced, but initial charging document is dismissed, and prosecution is later recommenced by filing of new charging document, time between dismissal of original charging document and filing of second charging document is not to be considered	30-3
30.14233 When prosecution is commenced, but initial charging document is dismissed, and prosecution is later recommenced by filing of new charging document, is time during which initial prosecution was pending to be considered?	30-3
30.1424 Length of delay has two roles: (1) triggering mechanism and (2) factor in four-part balancing test	30-3
30.1425 Length of delay as triggering mechanism: until there is delay that is presumptively prejudicial, there is no need to examine other factors	30-3
30.14251 In general	30-3
30.14252 Delay approaching one-year is generally considered presumptively prejudicial	30-4
30.14253 If delay attributable to state is not presumptively prejudicial, there is no need to examine other factors	30-4
30.1426 Length of delay as factor in four-part balancing test	30-4
30.14261 In general	30-4
30.14262 Periods of delay that are not counted in applying balancing test: delay caused by something intrinsic to case, e.g., witness unavailability	30-4

30.14263	Periods of delay that are either not counted, or not counted against state, in applying balancing test: delay caused by defendant	30-4
30.14264	Periods of delay that are not counted against state in applying balancing test: delay caused by defense counsel's failure to move case forward in general	30-4
30.14265	Periods of delay that are not counted against state in applying balancing test: delay caused by defense counsel's failure to move case forward when defense counsel is appointed by state (e.g., through public defender system)	30-4
30.14266	What is "end point" of time period to be considered	30-4
30.143	Reasons for delay and how they affect weighing process required by test for denial of right to speedy trial	30-4
30.1431	In general	30-4
30.1432	Particular reasons	30-4
30.14321	Interlocutory appeal	30-4
30.14322	Governmental negligence	30-5
30.14323	Something intrinsic to case, e.g., witness unavailability	30-5
30.14324	Overcrowded courts, i.e., court's inability to calendar case earlier	30-5
30.14325	Defendant's actions, e.g., request for continuance, request for new counsel, or other request for relief	30-5
30.14326	Actions of defense counsel in general	30-5
30.14327	Actions of defense counsel when defense counsel is appointed by state (e.g., through public defender system)	30-5
30.14328	Systemic breakdown in public defender system	30-5
30.1433	When lack of speedy sentencing claimed	30-5
30.1434	In assessing reasons for delay and weight to be accorded particular period of delay based on reason for it, delay caused by defendant's counsel is charged against defendant	30-5
30.14341	In general	30-5
30.14342	This principle applies whether counsel is privately retained or publicly assigned	30-5
30.1435	Defendant's deliberate attempt to disrupt proceedings (e.g., by repeated dismissals of counsel and requests for new counsel) at early stages of prosecution should be factored into court's analysis of subsequent delays	30-5
30.144	Demand for speedy trial	30-5
30.1441	In general	30-5
30.1442	When lack of speedy sentencing claimed	30-6
30.1443	Defendant's requests for, or consents to, adjournments or continuances significant diminish weight of demand for speedy trial	30-6
30.145	Prejudice to defendant	30-6

	<i>Page</i>
30.1451 In general	30-6
30.1452 When lack of speedy sentencing claimed	30-6
30.1453 Is prejudice required to establish speedy trial violation (see sec. 30.133)	30-6
30.15 Remedy For Denial Of Right	30-6
30.16 Appellate Review Of Question Whether Defendant's Right To Speedy Trial Violated	30-6
30.161 In general	30-6
30.162 Standard of review	30-6
30.17 Other Matters	30-7
30.171 Statutory right to speedy trial	30-7
30.1711 In general (see sec. 6.912)	30-7
30.1712 Difference between statutory right and constitutional right	30-7
30.172 Precharging delay (see sec. 22.31)	30-7
30.173 Obligation to obtain defendant from another jurisdiction	30-7
30.174 Right to speedy trial not applicable to proceedings involving revocation of probation	30-7
30.175 Absent extraordinary circumstances, determination whether speedy trial rights violated should await conclusion of trial	30-7
30.176 Denial of right to speedy sentencing	30-7
30.2 Right To Fair Trial Free From Prejudicial Pretrial Publicity	30-7
30.21 In General	30-7
30.22 Change Of Venue To Ensure Fair Trial	30-7
30.221 Defendant's right to change of venue if securing impartial jury is not possible in county where crime committed	30-7
30.222 Test for determining when change of venue required	30-7
30.223 Factors to be considered	30-7
30.2231 In general	30-7
30.2232 Inflammatory nature of the publicity	30-8
30.2233 Degree to which the publicity permeated the area	30-8
30.2234 Timing and specificity of the publicity	30-8
30.2235 Degree of care exercised, and the amount of difficulty encountered, in selecting the jury	30-8
30.2236 Extent to which the jurors were familiar with the publicity	30-8
30.2237 Defendant's utilization of the challenges available to him on voir dire	30-8
30.2238 Participation of the state in the adverse publicity	30-8
30.2239 Other factors	30-9
30.22391 Severity of the offenses charged and nature of the verdict returned	30-9
30.22392 Threats against the defendant	30-9
30.224 Using jury from another county in county of prosecution	30-9
30.2241 Right of court to select jury from another county in lieu of changing the place of trial	30-9
30.2242 Right of court after granting change of venue to move trial back to original county with jury selected from county to which venue initially changed	30-9
30.225 Effect of improper change of venue over defendant's objection	30-9

30.226	In considering motion for change of venue, trial court limited to evidence elicited at hearing on motion	30-9
30.227	Hearing on motion for change of venue	30-9
30.2271	Defendant's right to be present at hearing	30-9
30.228	Appellate review of decision on motion for change of venue	30-9
30.229	Other matters	30-9
30.2291	Procedural matters relating to change of venue (see sec. 6.698)	30-9
30.23	Alternative Measures To Ensure Fair Trial	30-9
30.231	Continuance	30-9
30.232	Closure of pretrial proceedings to press	30-9
30.233	Sequestration of jury	30-10
30.234	"Gag" orders	30-10
30.235	Use of voir dire to uncover and eradicate prejudice	30-10
30.2351	In general	30-10
30.2352	No right to private, individual examination (see sec. 8.1313)	30-10
30.236	Selecting jury from another county	30-10
30.24	Trial Court's Exercise Of Discretion	30-10
30.241	In general	30-10
30.242	Limited to consideration of evidence elicited at hearing	30-10
30.25	Trial Court's Right And Duty To Act Sua Sponte	30-10
30.26	Other Matters Relating To Right	30-10
30.261	Does not require questioning on voir dire about content of prejudicial pretrial publicity to which jurors may have been exposed	30-10
30.27	Appellate Review Of Trial Court's Decision	30-10
30.3	Requirement Of Proof Beyond A Reasonable Doubt	30-10
30.31	General Principles	30-10
30.311	In general	30-10
30.312	Requirement that each and every element of offense be proven beyond a reasonable doubt	30-12
30.3121	In general	30-12
30.3122	Prior conviction(s) that subjects defendant to increased potential punishment for offense is not element of offense that must be proved at trial beyond a reasonable doubt (see sec. 31.62232)	30-13
30.3123	Determining what constitutes element of offense that must be proved beyond a reasonable doubt (see sec. 31.77)	30-13
30.313	Requirement of proof beyond a reasonable doubt of any fact, other than fact of prior conviction, that increases penalty for crime beyond prescribed statutory maximum or that is necessary to support sentence exceeding maximum authorized by facts established by plea of guilty or jury verdict	30-13
30.3131	In general	30-13
30.3132	Need for proof beyond a reasonable doubt of aggravating circumstance that permits imposition of sentence outside of standard range prescribed for offense	30-13
30.31321	In general	30-13
30.31322	When imposition of sentence outside of standard range prescribed for offense is mandatory	30-14

30.31323	When imposition of sentence outside of standard range prescribed for offense is discretionary	30-14
30.3133	Applicability of harmless-error rule when court fails to require finding, beyond a reasonable doubt, of fact that increases penalty for crime beyond prescribed statutory maximum, i.e., fact that permits imposition of sentence outside of standard range prescribed for offense (see sec. 11.7395)	30-14
30.3134	Apprendi decision does not create constitutional test for determining what elements of particular offense are; rather, it sets out test for determining what facts must be determined by jury and proved beyond reasonable doubt, whether or not those facts are elements of offense (see sec. 31.7793)	30-14
30.3135	Need for proof beyond reasonable doubt of facts, other than fact of prior conviction, that render defendant habitual criminal, subject to increased penalty	30-14
30.31351	In general	30-14
30.31352	When question is whether crime of which defendant was convicted in another jurisdiction was comparable to Wisconsin offense	30-14
30.314	Only guilt, not credibility of particular witness, must be proved beyond a reasonable doubt	30-14
30.315	Presumption of innocence (see sec. 30.94)	30-14
30.316	Applicability of harmless-error doctrine when deficient jury instruction given with regard to particular element (see sec. 11.7361)	30-14
30.317	Aggravating factor identified by trial court as basis for imposing harsher sentence does not need to be proved beyond a reasonable doubt	30-14
30.32	What Constitutes Reasonable Doubt	30-14
30.33	Shifting Burden Of Proof To Defendant	30-15
30.331	In general	30-15
30.332	On elements of offense	30-15
30.333	On defenses and mitigating circumstances	30-15
30.3331	In general	30-15
30.3332	With respect to negative defense	30-16
30.3333	With respect to affirmative defense	30-16
30.334	By use of presumptions (see sec. 30.341)	30-16
30.335	Prosecutor's comment by questioning or argument about shortcomings of defense evidence does not, per se, constitute shifting of burden of proof	30-16
30.336	When prosecutorial comment on failure to call or question witness shifts burden of proof to defendant	30-16
30.337	Applicability of harmless error doctrine when instruction given that erroneously shifts burden of proof to defendant	30-16
30.34	Relieving State Of Requirement	30-17
30.341	By use of presumptions	30-17
30.3411	In general (see sec. 20.24)	30-17

30.3412	Instruction relieving state of requirement by use of presumptions subject to harmless error analysis (see sec. 20.28)	30-17
30.3413	Retroactivity of rule proscribing instructions on presumptions that have effect of relieving state of burden of persuasion	30-17
30.342	By excluding defense evidence on element of crime	30-17
30.343	By directing verdict as to guilt or particular element (see sec. 26.43)	30-17
30.344	By instructing jury to take judicially noticed elemental fact as conclusively proven	30-17
30.345	State's burden to prove every element of crime is not relieved by defendant's decision not to contest essential element of offense (see sec. 30.381)	30-17
30.35	Instructing On Requirement Of Proof Beyond A Reasonable Doubt	30-17
30.351	Content of instruction in general (see sec. 8.5352)	30-17
30.352	Constitutionally deficient reasonable doubt instruction cannot be harmless error	30-17
30.36	Sufficiency Of Evidence To Sustain Burden Of Proof Beyond A Reasonable Doubt	30-17
30.37	Other Matters	30-17
30.371	No need to prove every alternative alleged in charging document (see sec. 19.511)	30-17
30.372	Not required in noncriminal actions	30-17
30.373	Directing verdict with respect to defendant's guilt or particular element (see secs. 26.431 and 26.432)	30-18
30.374	Requirement of submission to jury of proof of, and finding by jury of, all essential elements (see sec. 26.44)	30-18
30.375	Constitutionality of imposing mandatory minimum sentence based on defendant's use of weapon when use of weapon not proved beyond a reasonable doubt	30-18
30.376	Dispensing with need for proof of particular element by defendant's stipulation to that element	30-18
30.377	Requirement not violated by failure to submit lesser-included offense instruction	30-18
30.378	Effect of insufficiency of evidence as to one basis of liability upon which jury was instructed when evidence sufficient to support another basis of liability upon which jury was instructed (see sec. 19.551)	30-18
30.38	Other matters (cont'd)	30-18
30.381	State's burden to prove every element of crime is not relieved by defendant's decision not to contest essential element of offense	30-18
30.382	Need for sufficient specificity in verdict to identify act or alternative acts on which guilt is predicated	30-18
30.383	Requirement of proof beyond a reasonable doubt violated by lack of unanimity instruction	30-18
30.384	Evidentiary rule that reduces state's burden of proof in the sense of making the burden easier to bear is not unconstitutional unless rule violates fundamental principle of fairness	30-18

30.385	Requirement that appellate court consider sufficiency of evidence issue even when it finds other error warranting reversal (see sec. 29.4412)	30-18
30.386	Effect of court decision in another case, decided after defendant's conviction became final, holding that conduct in which defendant engaged does not constitute crime of which he was convicted	30-18
30.3861	In general	30-18
30.3862	Need for court that decided case to determine whether decision declared law that existed at time defendant's conviction became final or, rather, changed law from that which existed at time defendant's conviction became final	30-19
30.39	Other matters (cont'd)	30-19
30.391	Applicability of harmless error rule to instruction that relieves state of proving each element beyond a reasonable doubt because it misstates, omits, or is otherwise deficient with regard to, particular element (see sec. 11.7361)	30-19
30.392	Applicability of harmless-error rule when court fails to require jury to find, beyond a reasonable doubt, fact that increases penalty for crime beyond prescribed statutory maximum, i.e., fact that permits imposition of sentence outside of standard range prescribed for offense (see sec. 11.7395)	30-19
30.393	Satisfying requirement of proof beyond a reasonable doubt by taking judicial notice of fact (see sec. 20.181)	30-19
30.4	Right To Self-Representation	30-19
30.41	General Principles	30-19
30.411	Right to self-representation in general	30-19
30.412	Lack of legal expertise (i.e., technical legal knowledge) is impermissible basis on which to deny request to represent oneself	30-20
30.413	Does right imply right of pro se defendant to have access to law library?	30-20
30.414	Court not required to advise defendant of right to self-representation	30-20
30.4141	In general	30-20
30.4142	Complaint about defendant's current attorney's performance does not trigger any obligation of court to advise defendant of right to self-representation	30-20
30.415	Mere inconvenience to court is insufficient to deny defendant's right to self-representation	30-20
30.42	Assertion Or Invocation Of Right	30-20
30.421	Timeliness	30-20
30.422	Sufficiency	30-20
30.4221	In general	30-20
30.4222	Defendant must clearly and unequivocally make declaration of desire to proceed pro se in order to invoke right to self-representation	30-20
30.4223	Defendant's expression of dissatisfaction with current attorney or request for another attorney does not constitute clear and unequivocal declaration that defendant wants to proceed pro se	30-20
30.4224	Sufficiency in particular case	30-20

30.43	Need For Sufficient Showing That Defendant's Waiver Of Right To Counsel And Decision To Proceed Pro Se Has Been Knowingly, Intelligently And Voluntarily Made	30-20
30.431	In general	30-20
30.432	Requirement that court engage fully in required waiver-of-counsel colloquy before it denies right to self-representation	30-21
30.44	Competency To Exercise Right; Need For Independent Inquiry Into Competence To Represent Oneself Beyond Showing Of Competence To Stand Trial	30-21
30.441	General Principles	30-21
30.4411	In general	30-21
30.4412	Determination of incompetency must appear in record	30-21
30.44121	In general	30-21
30.44122	Court is not necessarily required to make express finding as to which specific problem or disability prevents defendant from being able to meaningfully represent himself	30-21
30.442	Constitutional requirements relating to competency to exercise right to represent oneself	30-21
30.4421	No requirement of independent inquiry into competence to represent oneself beyond showing of competence to stand trial; state may permit defendant to represent himself upon showing of competence necessary to stand trial	30-21
30.4422	State may deny defendant who is competent to stand trial right to represent himself when he suffers from severe mental illness to point where he is not competent to conduct trial proceedings by himself	30-22
30.443	Need independent inquiry into competence; competence required to exercise right to represent oneself is more demanding than competence necessary to stand trial (state rule)	30-22
30.4431	In general	30-22
30.4432	Court is not necessarily required to make express finding as to which specific problem or disability prevents defendant from being able to meaningfully represent himself (see sec. 30.4412)	30-22
30.444	Defendant's ability to represent himself has no bearing upon his competence to choose self-representation (see sec. 30.494)	30-22
30.445	When competency determination not made prior to trial, trial court may hold postconviction evidentiary hearing to determine competency if adequate and meaningful nunc pro tunc inquiry into question of competency is possible	30-22
30.45	Application Of Right To Proceedings Other Than Criminal Trial (e.g., Guilty Plea Hearing, Postconviction Motion Hearing, etc.)	30-22
30.451	In general	30-22
30.452	Appeal	30-22
30.46	Waiver Of Right	30-22
30.461	In general	30-22
30.462	By disruptive conduct	30-22
30.463	By failing to make sufficiently explicit request for self-representation	30-22

30.47	Applicability Of Harmless Error Rule To Denial Of Right To Self-Representation	30-22
30.48	Appellate Review Of Matters Relating To Defendant's Right To Self-Representation	30-23
30.481	Appellate review of ruling whether right denied	30-23
30.482	Appellate review of ruling whether defendant was competent to exercise right to self-representation	30-23
30.483	Appellate review of sufficiency of showing that defendant knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waived his right to counsel	30-23
30.49	Other Matters	30-23
30.491	No right to self-representation and representation by counsel	30-23
30.4911	At trial (see sec. 25.5921)	30-23
30.4912	On appeal (see sec. 11.8998)	30-23
30.492	Appointment of "standby counsel" to assist pro se defendant (see sec. 25.591)	30-23
30.493	Relationship of competency to stand trial to competency to represent oneself (see sec. 30.44)	30-23
30.494	Defendant's ability to represent himself has no bearing upon his competence to choose self-representation	30-23
30.495	Relationship between state and federal constitutional rights to self-representation	30-23
30.496	Defendant not entitled to new trial in interest of justice because of failure to raise defense to criminal charge when failure to raise defense was result of defendant's decision to proceed pro se (see sec. 11.8194)	30-24
30.497	Defendant has no right to represent himself on appeal (see sec. 30.452)	30-24
30.498	Does right imply right of pro se defendant to have access to law library? (see sec. 30.413)	30-24
30.5	Right To Impartial Judge	30-24
30.51	General Principles	30-24
30.511	In general	30-24
30.512	When bias against counsel denies right to impartial judge	30-25
30.513	Is defendant denied due process only if judge, in fact, treats him unfairly?; Is appearance of judge's partiality sufficient to establish constitutional violation?	30-25
30.514	Must defendant show actual bias, not simply appearance of partiality, to establish denial of right?	30-25
30.515	Presumption that judge is free of bias and prejudice	30-25
30.516	Judge's prior statements of how he would rule on, or otherwise decide, particular matters as evidence of partiality	30-25
30.5161	Prior adverse legal rulings or expressions of views of the law are not sufficient to demonstrate bias	30-25
30.5162	Prior statements as to how judge would decide matter committed to his discretion (e.g., length of sentence upon revocation of extended supervision) may establish partiality	30-25
30.517	When trial court's questioning of witness reveals its disbelief of defendant's testimony, court is not impartial	30-25

30.518	Opinions formed by judge on basis of facts introduced or events occurring in course of current proceedings or of prior proceedings do not constitute basis for bias or partiality motion unless they display deep-seated favoritism or antagonism that would make fair judgment impossible	30-25
30.52	Use Of Substitution Of Judge To Ensure Right To Impartial Judge (see sec. 6.61)	30-25
30.53	Recusal Or Disqualification Of Judge (see sec. 6.971)	30-26
30.54	Waiver Of Right	30-26
30.541	By failure to request removal from case once alleged grounds for claim of impartiality known and prior to judge's acting on matter	30-26
30.55	Particular Bases For Questioning Impartiality Of Judge	30-26
30.551	Fact that judge permitted juror to speak during sentencing	30-26
30.552	Defendant's prior filing of complaints against judge	30-26
30.553	Judge's prior self-disqualification in cases involving defendant (see sec. 30.581)	30-26
30.554	Judge's having conducted prior proceeding that is under review (see sec. 30.582)	30-26
30.555	Fact that judge consulted with victim's treating physician in determining whether treatment records contained exculpatory evidence	30-26
30.556	Fact that judge was taking bribes to fix cases at time defendant tried	30-26
30.557	Fact that sentencing judge is facing recall effort based on judge's alleged leniency in sentencing	30-26
30.558	Fact that judge made ruling in prior case involving defendant, ruling was reversed by appellate court in that case, but in nonprecedential decision, and judge intends to make same ruling in present case	30-26
30.559	Judge's calling of witness and questioning him outside presence of jury in manner that reveals disbelief of defendant's testimony, followed by prosecutor's use of witness in rebuttal	30-26
30.56	Particular Bases For Questioning Impartiality Of Judge (cont'd)	30-26
30.561	Judge noting the following on letter from probation agent suggesting that probation should not be extended because of failure to pay restitution: "No--I want his probation extended"	30-26
30.562	Court at sentencing announces that it will impose maximum sentence if extended supervision is revoked, and indicates at sentencing hearing after revocation of extended supervision that it is acting in accord with that announcement and imposes maximum sentence (see sec. 41.74194)	30-26
30.57	Applicability Of Harmless-Error Rule To Denial Of This Right	30-27
30.58	Appellate Review Of Question Whether Judge Was Impartial	30-27
30.59	Other Matters	30-27
30.591	Judge's prior self-disqualification in cases involving defendant does not mean that judge was not neutral and detached magistrate	30-27
30.592	No need to provide different judge because judge has conducted prior proceeding that is under review	30-27
30.593	Violation of substitution-of-judge statute or of recusal or disqualification statutes does not necessarily violate constitutional right to impartial judge	30-27
30.594	Right to fair and impartial appellate judge	30-27

30.6 Right To Testify On Own Behalf	30-27
30.61 General Principles	30-27
30.611 In general	30-27
30.612 Nature of right	30-28
30.62 Particular Matters	30-28
30.621 Deterring exercise of right at suppression hearing by ruling that testimony can be used for impeachment	30-28
30.622 When defendant's testimony refreshed by hypnosis	30-28
30.623 Testifying defendant has no right to consult with attorney during brief break in testimony	30-28
30.624 Right not violated by enhancement of sentence based on defendant's perjury at trial	30-28
30.625 Defendant has no right to testify falsely; right to testify does not include right to commit perjury	30-28
30.626 When defense counsel keeps defendant from taking stand by threat to withdraw from case if he does, the two-part Strickland test is appropriate vehicle to assess defendant's contention that right to testify was violated	30-28
30.6261 In general	30-28
30.6262 In applying Strickland test, court must determine whether defendant prejudiced by counsel's conduct	30-28
30.627 Right not violated by refusing to permit testifying defendant to challenge court's ruling permitting introduction of evidence of prior conviction to impeach if defendant preemptively introduces such evidence on direct examination	30-28
30.628 Applicability of harmless-error rule to denial of this right	30-29
30.63 Waiver Of Right	30-29
30.631 In general	30-29
30.632 May counsel waive defendant's right to testify in absence of defendant's express disapproval?	30-29
30.6321 Yes (former rule)	30-29
30.6322 No (present rule)	30-29
30.633 Need for on-the-record colloquy with defendant outside presence of jury to establish knowing and voluntary waiver of right	30-29
30.6331 In general	30-29
30.6332 Remedy for failure to conduct colloquy: If colloquy not conducted, may its absence be rectified by post-conviction inquiry into whether defendant knowingly and voluntarily waived right?	30-29
30.634 Need for reaffirmation of waiver made at opening of defense case after defense witnesses have testified	30-29
30.635 Withdrawal of waiver of right to testify on own behalf; reopening evidence to allow defendant to testify when defendant changes his mind after waiving right to testify	30-29
30.6351 In general	30-29
30.6352 Waiver or forfeiture of right to complain about trial court's refusal to permit withdrawal of waiver of right to testify (i.e., trial court's refusal to permit defendant to testify after he waived right to testify)	30-30

	30.63521 In general	30-30
	30.63522 By failure to make offer of proof as to nature of testimony defendant would have given	30-30
	30.6353 Appellate review of trial court's refusal to permit defendant to testify after he waived right to testify	30-30
30.636	Sufficiency of showing of knowing and voluntary waiver in particular case	30-30
30.64	Other Matters	30-30
30.641	Appellate review of issues related to right to testify in own behalf	30-30
	30.6411 Of requirements for valid waiver	30-30
	30.6412 Of whether valid waiver occurred in particular case	30-30
	30.6413 Of trial court's refusal to permit defendant to testify after he waived right to testify (see sec. 30.6353)	30-30
30.642	Withdrawal of waiver of right to testify in own behalf; reopening evidence to allow defendant to testify when defendant changes his mind after waiving right to testify (see sec. 30.635)	30-30
30.7	Right To Public Trial And Related Criminal Proceedings	30-30
30.71	General Principles	30-30
	30.711 In general	30-30
	30.712 Federal constitutional right to public trial is applicable to states	30-31
	30.713 Sources of right: defendant has right o public trial under Sixth Amendment; press has right of access to trial and related criminal proceedings under First Amendment	30-31
	30.714 Purposes of right to public trial; values served by public trial	30-31
	30.715 Test for determining when court may close trial or related criminal proceedings to public	30-31
	30.716 Test for determining whether right to public trial was denied	30-31
	30.7161 In general	30-31
	30.7162 Two-step test for determining whether right to public trial was denied: (1) did closure at issue implicate constitutional right to public trial? (2) if so, was closure justified under circumstances of case?	30-31
	30.7163 First step: did closure at issue implicate constitutional right to public trial?	30-31
	30.71631 In general	30-31
	30.71632 When closing of trial to public is so trivial that constitutional right to public trial not implicated	30-31
	30.7164 Second step: was closure justified under circumstances of case?	30-31
	30.71641 Closure must meet four conditions	30-31
	30.71642 Condition 1: Overriding interest that is likely to be prejudiced by public trial must be present	30-31
	30.71643 Condition 2: Closure must be narrowly tailored to protect interest	30-31
	30.71644 Condition 3: Court must consider alternatives to closure	30-32
	30.71645 Condition 4: Court must make findings sufficient to support closure	30-32
30.717	Other matters	30-32

	30.7171	To assert violation of right to public trial, must defendant object at time violation occurs? (see sec. 30.741)	30-32
	30.7172	When defendant fails to object to closing of trial to public or particular members of public, may complaint about lack of public trial be raised only through claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, requiring showing of prejudice? (see sec. 30.7412)	30-32
	30.7173	Statutory guarantee of right to public trial	30-32
30.72		Right To Public's Presence At Particular Proceedings; Closure Of Particular Proceedings To Public And Press	30-32
	30.721	Pretrial proceedings	30-32
	30.7211	Immunity hearing in John Doe proceeding	30-32
	30.7212	Ex parte request to judge to issue criminal charges under Wis. Stat. § 968.02(3)	30-32
	30.7213	Preliminary examination	30-32
	30.72131	In general	30-32
	30.72132	Decision to agree to closure is counsel's, not defendant's	30-32
	30.72133	Remedy when preliminary examination improperly closed	30-32
	30.7214	Suppression hearing	30-32
	30.7215	Related matters	30-33
30.722		Voir dire	30-33
	30.7221	In general	30-33
	30.7222	Effect of trial court's withholding, or barring revelation of, information that would identify jurors	30-33
30.723		Trial	30-33
30.73		Particular Situations In Which Right Deemed Denied Or Not Deemed Denied	30-33
	30.731	Courthouse locked at close of business day while trial still in progress	30-33
	30.7311	In general	30-33
	30.7312	When alternative means of access (entry through another door; call button that will summon someone to open door, etc.) provided	30-33
	30.732	Exclusion of defendant's family from trial because of concern about possible violations of sequestration order	30-33
30.74		Waiver Or Forfeiture Of Right	30-33
	30.741	By failure to object at time proceeding is closed to public or particular members of public	30-33
	30.7411	In general	30-33
	30.7412	When defendant fails to object to closing of trial to public or particular members of public, may complaint about lack of public trial be raised only through claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, requiring showing of prejudice?	30-33
	30.742	Can right be waived or forfeited only by showing of voluntary and intelligent relinquishment of known right?	30-34
30.75		Applicability Of Harmless-Error Rule To Denial Of This Right	30-34
	30.751	General rule: harmless-error rule is not applicable to denial of right; prejudice is presumed and automatic reversal, without proof of prejudice, is required when right denied	30-34

30.752	Is general rule applicable only when objection to denial of right made?; when defendant fails to object to closing of trial to public or particular members of public, may complaint about lack of public trial be raised only through claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, requiring showing of prejudice? (see sec. 30.7412)	30-34
30.753	Related matter: When closing of trial to public is so trivial that constitutional violation does not occur (see sec. 30.715)	30-34
30.76	Appellate Review Of Question Whether Right Denied	30-34
30.761	Standard of review	30-34
30.8	Right To Compulsory Process	30-34
30.81	General Principles	30-34
30.811	In general	30-34
30.812	No right to present irrelevant evidence	30-35
30.813	No right to present evidence whose probative value is substantially outweighed by prejudicial effect	30-35
30.814	Right may have to bow to accommodate other legitimate interests in criminal trial process	30-35
30.815	Relationship of state constitutional right to federal constitutional right	30-35
30.816	Right to compulsory process provides no greater protection than that afforded by due process	30-35
30.817	Right appears to be right that is exercised in relation to trial, not other proceedings	30-35
30.818	Applicability of right to preliminary examination (see sec. 6.4628)	30-35
30.819	Other principles	30-35
30.8191	Federal constitutional right to compulsory process applicable to states	30-35
30.82	Particular Applications	30-35
30.821	Defendant's right to subpoena victim	30-35
30.822	Defendant's right to present testimony of witness concerning victim's prior sexual conduct in sexual assault prosecution	30-35
30.823	Defendant's right to subpoena district attorney to testify	30-36
30.824	Right not violated by preclusion of defense witness's testimony as sanction for discovery violation	30-36
30.825	Defendant's right to access to identity of witness (see sec. 22.443)	30-36
30.826	Defendant's right to funds to secure services of expert witnesses	30-36
30.827	Government's interference with defendant's ability to present witness's testimony	30-36
30.828	Right violated by state evidentiary rule prohibiting co-participants in crime from testifying for one another	30-36
30.829	Other applications	30-36
30.8291	Right not violated by refusal to require reporters to testify to matters protected by newsman's privilege	30-36
30.8292	Right not violated by denying defendant right to subpoena police reports and other non-privileged investigatory materials for examination and copying in anticipation of preliminary examination	30-36
30.83	Waiver Of Right	30-36
30.84	Appellate Review Of Compulsory Process Rulings	30-36

	<i>Page</i>
30.841 In general	30-36
30.842 Standard of review	30-36
30.85 Related Matters	30-36
30.851 Right to present witnesses in one's defense	30-36
30.852 Right to access to identity of witness (see sec. 22.443)	30-36
30.9 Other Constitutional Rights	30-36
30.91 Right To Be Informed Of Nature And Cause Of Accusation	30-36
30.911 In general	30-36
30.912 Right to be informed of time of offense	30-37
30.913 Denial of right to be informed of nature and cause of accusation by amendment of charge on day of trial	30-37
30.914 Denial of right to be informed of nature and cause of accusation by amendment of charge at conclusion of trial	30-37
30.915 Determining what constitutes element of offense that must be alleged in charging document (see sec. 31.77)	30-37
30.916 Right is not denied when defendant's misapprehension regarding nature of offense charged is attributable to incorrect reading of statute under which charge leveled rather than insufficient charging document	30-37
30.92 Right Not To Be Subjected To Ex Post Facto Law	30-37
30.921 General principles	30-37
30.9211 In general	30-37
30.9212 Does right apply to judicial decisions?	30-38
30.92121 Right applies only to legislative enactments, not to judicial decisions (United State's Supreme Court's answer to question)	30-38
30.92122 Unforeseeable enlargement of criminal statute or constriction of available defenses, by judicial construction, applied retroactively, violates ex post facto provision (answer suggested or stated in earlier Wisconsin Supreme Court decisions)	30-38
30.9213 Change in law that retroactively increases prisoner's period of incarceration violates ex post facto provision; change in law that inflicts greater punishment than that set for crime when it was committed violates ex post facto provision	30-39
30.9214 Construction of statute that simply declares what statute has always meant does not violate ex post facto provision	30-39
30.9215 Law that alters legal rules of evidence and receives less, or different, testimony than the law required at the time of the commission of the offense in order to convict the offender violates ex post facto provision	30-39
30.9216 To prevail on ex post facto claim, criminal defendant must show that law operates retroactively	30-39
30.9217 Propriety of considering actual conditions of confinement and implementation of statute to determine whether confinement scheme is criminal in nature for purposes of ex post facto clause	30-39

30.9218	For purposes of protection afforded by ex post facto clause, proceeding found to be civil cannot be deemed punitive "as applied" to single individual	30-39
30.922	General principles (cont'd)	30-39
30.9221	Right only applies to statutes that are criminal in nature	30-39
30.9222	Determining whether statute attacked as ex post facto law is criminal in nature for purposes of this right	30-39
30.9223	Not violated by extending statute of limitations for offense after its commission but before limitation existing at time of its commission has run	30-39
30.9224	Violated by extending statute of limitations for offense after limitation existing at time of its commission has run	30-40
30.923	Application to particular legislative acts or judicial pronouncements	30-40
30.9231	Increase in presumptive sentences in sentencing guidelines that have been increased since crime committed violates ex post facto law prohibition	30-40
30.9232	Change in computation of good time that increases defendant's sentence	30-40
30.9233	New statute allowing appellate court to reform improper verdict when prior practice required granting defendant a new trial	30-40
30.9234	Penalty enhancer based on commission of prior offense when prior offense committed before enactment of statute making it basis for penalty enhancer	30-40
30.9235	Amendment to parole procedures to allow parole board to decrease the frequency of parole suitability hearings	30-40
30.9236	Application of Camacho decision's recognition of objective element in second-degree intentional homicide (unnecessary defensive force)	30-40
30.9237	Prohibition on convicted felon possessing firearms when felony conviction occurred prior to enactment of statute prohibiting possession	30-40
30.9238	Judicial nullification of longstanding administrative interpretation of statute for calculation of prisoner's mandatory release date	30-40
30.9239	Application of Dixon's overruling of Grady to case tried while Grady was law	30-40
30.924	Application to particular legislative acts or judicial pronouncements (cont'd)	30-40
30.9241	Law canceling provisional release credits awarded to inmates for purpose of relieving prison overcrowding	30-40
30.9242	Subjecting person to sexually violent person commitment based on commission of offense prior to enactment of commitment law	30-41
30.9243	Subjecting person to sexually violent person commitment after completion of sentence for sexually violent offense (see sec. 10.713)	30-41
30.9244	Judicial pronouncement abrogating common law defense	30-41

30.9245	Amendment authorizing conviction of certain sexual offenses on victim's testimony alone, when previous statute in effect at time offense was committed required the victim's testimony plus other corroborating evidence to convict the offender	30-41
30.9246	Amendment to parole procedures to allow parole board to decrease frequency of parole suitability hearings	30-41
30.9247	Judicial abrogation of year-and-a-day rule	30-41
30.9248	Refusal to apply § 304.06(1r), relating to the granting of parole, to sentences for crimes committed while it was in existence does not violate ex post facto clause (see sec. 41.24242)	30-41
30.9249	Statute requiring registration of sex offender even if convictions that subject person to sex offender reporting requirement occurred before statute creating requirement was enacted (see sec. 47.87223)	30-41
30.925	Application to particular legislative acts or judicial pronouncements (cont'd)	30-41
30.9251	Conviction of defendant of misappropriation of identifying information when original misappropriation of identifying information occurred before effective date of statute creating this crime, but defendant obtains money, goods, services, etc., after effective date of act	30-41
30.9252	Statutory changes in sexually violent person (chap. 980) proceedings that are detrimental to committed person	30-41
30.9253	Legislative extension of statute of limitations for sexual assault of a child after commission of assault but before limitation existing at time of commission has run (see sec. 12.374)	30-41
30.926	Application to other matters	30-42
30.9261	Governor Thompson's letter instructing DOC Secretary to pursue any and all available avenues to block release of violent offenders who have reached mandatory release date	30-42
30.927	Other matters	30-42
30.9271	Not violated when change in law applied to defendant is simply clarification of old law in effect when act committed	30-42
30.9272	Not violated by court's correction of earlier erroneous interpretation of statute under which defendant convicted	30-42
30.9273	Not violated by interpretation of statute that has been subject of earlier conflicting decisions	30-42
30.9274	Cannot base ex post facto claim on dicta in prior decision that allegedly was law at the time defendant committed offense	30-42
30.9275	Use of United States Supreme Court's decisions interpreting ex post facto clause of federal constitution in interpreting ex post facto clause of state constitution	30-42
30.9276	Appellate review of question whether ex post facto clause violated	30-42
30.928	Related matters	30-42

30.9281	Unforeseeable judicial construction of statute creating criminal offense, or unforeseeable judicial interpretation of common law, that has effect of criminalizing defendant's conduct or enlarging his punishment (see sec. 22.872)	30-42
30.93	Right To Be Free From Punishments Prohibited By Eighth Amendment	30-42
30.931	Right to be free from cruel and unusual punishment	30-42
30.9311	General principles for determining what constitutes cruel and unusual punishment	30-42
30.93111	In general	30-42
30.93112	Disproportionality analysis in general	30-43
30.9312	Imposition of death penalty (capital punishment) (see sec. 9.5)	30-43
30.9313	When sentence other than death constitutes cruel and unusual punishment	30-43
30.93131	In general	30-43
30.93132	Sentence well within maximum sentence generally will not constitute cruel and unusual punishment	30-44
30.9314	Federal constitutional prohibition on cruel and unusual punishment applicable to states	30-44
30.9315	Consistency of recidivist statutes (e.g., repeater law, three-strikes law, two-strikes law) with prohibition on cruel and unusual punishment	30-44
30.93151	Mandatory imposition of life sentence without possibility of parole on persistent offender pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 939.62(2m) does not constitute cruel and unusual punishment	30-44
30.93152	Imposition of life sentence with possibility of parole after 25 years under California's "three strikes" law does not constitute cruel and unusual punishment	30-44
30.93153	Mandatory imposition of life sentence under Texas recidivist statute did not constitute cruel and unusual punishment	30-44
30.93154	Imposition of life sentence without possibility of parole under South Dakota's recidivist statute constituted cruel and unusual punishment	30-44
30.9316	Particular applications of right	30-44
30.93161	Revocation of operating privilege with no possibility of occupational license	30-44
30.93162	Mandatory sentence	30-44
30.93163	Consecutive sentences for crimes arising out of same course of conduct	30-44
30.93164	Use of victim impact statement does not render sentence cruel and unusual punishment in noncapital case	30-45
30.93165	Mandatory minimum sentence imposed for drug dealing within 1,000 feet of school	30-45
30.93166	Trial court's setting of parole eligibility for Class A felony	30-45

30.93167	Conditions of confinement as cruel and unusual punishment	30-45
30.93168	Imposition of consecutive sentences resulting in lengthy term of imprisonment	30-45
30.93169	Imposition of mandatory life term without possibility of parole for possession of large amount of controlled substance not cruel and unusual punishment	30-45
30.9317	Particular applications of right (cont'd)	30-45
30.93171	Felony murder statute does not violate this protection on ground that penalty is disproportionate to crime	30-45
30.93172	Strips search of prisoner returning to segregation status from disciplinary hearing	30-45
30.93173	Failure to release defendant from prison when he reaches his mandatory release date	30-45
30.93174	Criminalization of status of being drug addict	30-45
30.93175	Criminalizing operation of motor vehicle with detectable amount of restricted controlled substance (see sec. 33.3416)	30-45
30.93176	Imposition of life sentence without possibility of parole on fourteen-year-old does not per se constitute cruel and unusual punishment	30-45
30.9318	Waiver of right	30-46
30.93181	By failure to challenge sentence in trial court	30-46
30.9319	Other matters	30-46
30.93191	Need for specific argument when contention made that sentence constitutes cruel and unusual punishment	30-46
30.93192	Claim that potential punishment that may or may not be imposed would constitute cruel and unusual punishment is not ripe for determination and therefore appellate court will decline to address it	30-46
30.932	Right to be free from excessive fines	30-46
30.9321	In general	30-46
30.9322	Applicability of Eighth Amendment's excessive fines clause to states	30-46
30.9323	Applicability of excessive fines clause in general	30-46
30.9324	Application of excessive fines clause to forfeitures of property	30-46
30.93241	In general	30-46
30.93242	Determining when forfeiture of property violates excessive fines clause	30-46
30.93243	Applicability to forfeiture under federal comprehensive drug abuse prevention and control act is a monetary punishment and, as such, is subject to limitations of excessive fines clause	30-46
30.93244	Applicability to forfeitures under RICO	30-47

30.93245	Applicability to state forfeiture of property derived from crime and certain vehicles (see sec. 47.1611)	30-47
30.93246	Forfeiture of large amount of currency that defendant failed to declare when leaving country in violation of federal statute requiring such declaration and imposing forfeiture of "any property . . . involved in such an offense" violates excessive fines clause (see sec. 30.93272)	30-47
30.9325	Applicability of excessive fines clause to closure and sale of property under Drug Abatement Law	30-47
30.9326	Relationship of state and federal prohibition on excessive fines	30-47
30.9327	Particular applications of excessive fines clause	30-47
30.93271	Applicability to return of seized property under Wis. Stat. § 968.20	30-47
30.93272	Forfeiture of large amount of currency that defendant failed to declare when leaving country in violation of federal statute requiring such declaration and imposing forfeiture of "any property . . . involved in such an offense" violates excessive fines clause	30-47
30.933	Other matters	30-47
30.9331	Applicability of Eighth Amendment to states (see secs. 30.9314 and 30.9322)	30-47
30.94	Presumption Of Innocence	30-47
30.941	General principles	30-47
30.942	When compromised by particular practice (e.g. having defendant appear at trial in shackles, wearing prison clothing, gagged, etc.) at trial	30-48
30.943	Once guilt found, presumption disappears	30-48
30.944	Instructing on presumption of innocence (see sec. 8.5351)	30-48
30.95	Right To Freedom Of Speech And Press	30-48
30.951	General principles	30-48
30.9511	In general	30-48
30.9512	Applicability and relationship of state and federal constitutional provisions protecting freedom of speech and press	30-49
30.95121	First Amendment applicable to states via Fourteenth Amendment	30-49
30.95122	Relationship of state and federal constitutional provisions relating to free speech	30-49
30.9513	Determining whether law regulates speech (and thus is subject to First Amendment's strictures) or only conduct (and thus is not subject to First Amendment's strictures)	30-49
30.95131	In general	30-49
30.95132	First Amendment protects symbolic as well as written and oral speech	30-49
30.95133	Speech includes expressive conduct	30-50

30.9514	Determining whether law regulating speech is content-based (thus triggering strict scrutiny) or content-neutral (thus triggering only intermediate scrutiny)	30-50
30.95141	In general	30-50
30.9515	Determining whether law regulating speech survives appropriate scrutiny	30-50
30.95151	Determining whether law that is content-based survives strict scrutiny	30-50
30.95152	Determining whether law that is content-neutral survives intermediate scrutiny	30-50
30.9516	Types of speech that generally do not enjoy first amendment protection	30-50
30.95161	Fighting words	30-50
30.95162	Advocacy directed to inciting or producing imminent lawless action and likely to incite or produce such action	30-50
30.95163	True threats (see sec. 30.9565)	30-50
30.95164	Obscenity (see sec. 30.9521)	30-50
30.95165	Child pornography (see sec. 30.9531)	30-50
30.95166	Offers to engage in illegal transactions	30-50
30.95167	Offers to provide, or requests to obtain, unlawful material, whether part of commercial exchange or not	30-50
30.95168	Fraudulent offers	30-50
30.9517	Overbreadth infringing on first amendment rights (see sec. 47.48)	30-50
30.9518	Statute that effectively suppresses large amount of speech that adults have constitutional right to receive and to address to one another is unacceptable if less restrictive alternatives would be at least as effective in achieving statute's legitimate purpose	30-50
30.9519	Other principles	30-50
30.95191	Right does not protect speech that is incidental to or part of course of criminal conduct (see sec. 30.9586)	30-51
30.952	With respect to adult obscenity, pornography, nudity, and other sexual indecency and activity	30-51
30.9521	First Amendment does not extend to obscenity; right not denied by statute proscribing obscene material or performance	30-51
30.9522	Definition of obscenity	30-51
30.9523	First amendment not implicated in closure of adult bookstore as public nuisance on basis of unlawful sexual activity conducted therein	30-51
30.9524	Return to owner of seized property that is claimed to be obscene	30-51
30.9525	First Amendment not violated by public indecency law, or prohibition on public nudity, that prevents totally nude dancing	30-51

30.9526	First Amendment violated by Wisconsin statute proscribing photographs, motion pictures, videotapes or other visual representations showing nudity (see sec. 38.2421)	30-51
30.9527	Making obscenity violations predicate offense for RICO prosecution is constitutionally permissible	30-51
30.953	With respect to production, distribution, and possession of child pornography	30-51
30.9531	In general	30-51
30.9532	First Amendment violated by statute proscribing sexual exploitation of child (i.e., creating or distributing material showing child engaging in sexually explicit conduct) when offense does not require proof of defendant's knowledge of age of person depicted and there has been no opportunity for face-to-face meeting between defendant and person depicted	30-52
30.9533	First Amendment not violated by statute proscribing possession of child pornography	30-52
30.95331	In general	30-52
30.95332	Wisconsin's present statute, which requires that defendant know or reasonably should know child's age, has scienter element that is required to render it constitutional (see sec. 38.65412)	30-52
30.9534	First Amendment not violated by statute proscribing child pornography	30-52
30.9535	First Amendment denied by statute proscribing virtual child pornography (pornography that appears to depict minors but which was produced by means other than using real children)	30-52
30.9536	First Amendment not violated by statute proscribing pandering or solicitation of child pornography (see sec. 38.68711)	30-52
30.954	With respect to exposure of minors to sexually explicit or other harmful material	30-52
30.9541	In general	30-52
30.9542	First Amendment violated by prohibition that does not require defendant's knowledge of age when offense does not involve face-to-face contact between defendant and victim	30-52
30.9543	First Amendment not violated by statute proscribing exposing child to harmful material	30-52
30.9544	First Amendment violated by Communications Decency Act of 1996, which seeks to protect minors from harmful material on the Internet	30-53
30.9545	Sexually oriented speech heard by children	30-53
30.9546	Fact that law prohibiting distribution to minors of material harmful to minors via World Wide Web relies on "community standards" to identify what material "is harmful to minors" does not in itself render statute substantially overbroad for First Amendment purposes	30-53
30.955	With respect to the media	30-53
30.9551	Press access to criminal proceedings and records	30-53

30.9552	First amendment protection of press with respect to reporting on criminal justice system	30-53
30.9553	First amendment does not give reporter right to break law to obtain news (see sec. 46.53)	30-53
30.9554	Media's right to publish truthful information lawfully obtained (see sec. 46.41)	30-53
30.956	Other aspects of right	30-53
30.9561	Denied by statute proscribing flag burning or desecration	30-53
30.9562	Propriety of imposing condition of probation, or revoking probation for violation of condition, that infringes on freedom of speech or press (see secs. 9.62222 and 41.4383)	30-53
30.9563	Not violated by prohibiting officials in sensitive confidential positions from disclosing wiretaps	30-53
30.9564	Not violated by disorderly conduct statute	30-53
30.9565	Protection not afforded to speech containing "true threat"	30-54
30.95651	In general	30-54
30.95652	"True threat" not limited to threats to person or groups of persons of bodily harm or death; includes threats to destroy property	30-54
30.9566	Protection afforded by first amendment in school context	30-54
30.9567	Not violated by housing authority's policy limiting access to housing project	30-54
30.9568	Consistency of campaign financing regulations with right (see sec. 38.9631)	30-54
30.957	Other aspects of right (cont'd)	30-54
30.9571	Not denied by regulation prohibiting solicitation on postal premises	30-54
30.9572	Propriety of restricting freedom of expression through condition of probation (see sec. 9.62222)	30-54
30.9573	RICO forfeiture provisions do not violate first amendment when applied to expressive activity (e.g., sale of sexually explicit materials)	30-54
30.9574	With respect to crimes directed at particular racial, ethnic, religious, or other group ("hate crimes")	30-54
30.95741	Not violated by statute creating penalty enhancer for "hate crimes"	30-54
30.95742	Violated by statute proscribing "hate crimes"	30-54
30.9575	With respect to cross burning with intent to intimidate	30-54
30.95751	Not generally violated by proscription of cross burning with intent to intimidate	30-54
30.95752	But violated by statute that makes cross burning prima facie evidence of intent to intimidate	30-55
30.9576	Propriety of consideration in sentencing of defendant's beliefs and associations protected by first amendment	30-55
30.9577	Propriety of consideration in sentencing of defendant's exercise of freedom of speech or press (see secs. 9.2443 and 9.25233)	30-55
30.9578	Commercial speech	30-55
30.95781	In general	30-55
30.958	Other aspects of right (cont'd)	30-55

30.9581	Not violated by trespass prosecution of abortion protesters	30-55
30.9582	Not violated by statute making it unlawful for any person within 100 feet of health care facility's entrance to knowingly approach within six feet of another person, without that person's consent, in order to pass a leaflet or handbill to, display a sign to, or engage in oral protest, education or counseling with, that person	30-55
30.9583	Ordinance banning residential picketing is not facially unconstitutional	30-55
30.9584	Not violated by rule that prohibits attorney from making statements to press that will have substantial likelihood of prejudicing an adjudicative proceeding	30-55
30.9585	Overbreadth infringing on first amendment rights (see sec. 47.48)	30-55
30.9586	Right does not protect speech that is incidental to or part of course of criminal conduct	30-55
30.9587	Not violated by child enticement statute, even though enticement may be initiated, evidenced, or carried out by means of language	30-55
30.9588	Not denied by enjoining, under public nuisance statute, activities associated with prostitution	30-56
30.9589	Not violated by crime of attempted child sexual assault, even though attempted sexual assault may be initiated, evidenced, or carried out by means of language	30-56
30.959	Other aspects of right (cont'd)	30-56
30.9591	Constitutionality of prohibiting broadcast of lottery advertisements in states without lotteries, while allowing broadcasters to advertise state-run lotteries on stations licensed in a state which conducts such lotteries	30-56
30.9592	Constitutionality of prohibiting advertising of lawful private casino gambling broadcast by radio or television stations located in state where such gambling is legal	30-56
30.9593	Denied by bail condition that infringes on freedom of speech	30-56
30.9594	Denied by prohibition on grand jury witness's disclosure of own testimony after term of grand jury has ended	30-56
30.9595	Not violated by governmental denial of access to information in its possession	30-56
30.9596	Limiting creation of strict liability offense when freedom of expression is implicated (see sec. 22.222)	30-56
30.9597	Not violated by bomb-scare statute	30-56
30.9598	Not denied by application of identity-theft statute to defendant who misappropriates identify of public official to defame public official with true information (see sec. 34.4111)	30-56
30.9599	When defendant is charged with defamation of public official, state must show actual malice	30-56
30.96	Right To Be Present At All Stages Of Criminal Proceeding	30-56
30.961	General principles	30-57
30.9611	In general	30-57

30.9612	For purposes of due process right to be present, an audio-video hookup may well be the legal equivalent of physical presence	30-57
30.9613	Source of right	30-57
30.962	Right to be present at particular stages of criminal proceeding	30-57
30.9621	At all stages of trial (see sec. 8.811)	30-57
30.9622	At hearing on motion for change of venue (see sec. 30.2271)	30-57
30.9623	At guilty or no contest plea hearing (see sec. 7.2413)	30-57
30.9624	At sentencing (see sec. 9.132)	30-57
30.9625	At postconviction hearing (see sec. 10.164)	30-57
30.963	Waiver of right	30-57
30.9631	In general (see sec. 8.8114)	30-57
30.9632	By failure to object	30-57
30.96321	In general (see sec. 8.81142)	30-57
30.96322	When defendant and/or counsel fail to object to court's communicating with jury in defendant's absence, alleged error is treated as direct challenge in appellate court, not as claim of ineffective assistance of counsel (see sec. 8.81174)	30-57
30.964	Other matters	30-57
30.9641	When defendant and/or counsel fail to object to court's communicating with jury in defendant's absence, alleged error is treated as direct challenge in appellate court, not as claim of ineffective assistance of counsel (see sec. 8.81174)	30-57
30.965	Related matters	30-57
30.9651	Statutory right to be present at stages of criminal proceeding (see sec. 12.77)	30-57
30.97	Other Constitutional Rights	30-57
30.971	Right to interpreter	30-57
30.9711	In general	30-57
30.9712	Responsibility for payment of interpreter's fees	30-58
30.972	Right not to be subject to corruption of blood or forfeiture of estate	30-58
30.973	Right to be free from involuntary servitude	30-58
30.9731	In general	30-58
30.9732	Not violated by requiring attorney to provide representation to indigent defendant	30-58
30.9733	Right not violated by transfer of convicted defendant to out-of-state prison facility	30-58
30.9734	Violated by statute imposing criminal penalties for breach of labor contract in absence of fraud or misrepresentation	30-58
30.9735	State constitutional protection is co-extensive with federal constitutional protection	30-58
30.974	Privileges and immunities clause	30-58
30.975	Constitutional limitations on state's exercise of police power	30-58
30.9751	In general	30-58
30.9752	Statute proscribing operation of motor vehicle with detectable amount of restricted controlled substance in one's blood does not exceed police power of legislature (see sec. 37.2212)	30-58

30.9753	Statute proscribing causing injury by operation of motor vehicle with detectable amount of restricted controlled substance in one's blood does not exceed police power of legislature (see sec. 33.3412)	30-59
30.976	Right to unanimous jury verdict (see sec. 8.681)	30-59
30.977	Right to meaningful appeal	30-59
30.978	Right to freedom of association	30-59
30.9781	General principles	30-59
30.9782	Propriety of restricting freedom of association through condition of probation (see sec. 9.62221)	30-59
30.9783	Propriety of consideration in sentencing of defendant's beliefs and associations protected by first amendment	30-59
30.9784	Propriety of imposing condition of probation, or revoking probation for violation of condition, that infringes on freedom of association (see secs. 9.62221 and 41.4382)	30-59
30.9785	Propriety of consideration in sentencing of defendant's exercise of freedom of association (see secs. 9.2444 and 9.25234)	30-59
30.9786	Not denied by application of nuisance statute to place of prostitution	30-59
30.9787	Not denied by enjoining, under public nuisance statute, activities associated with prostitution	30-59
30.979	Delegation of powers	30-59
30.9791	General principles	30-59
30.9792	Provisions relating to establishment of uniform misdemeanor bail schedule	30-59
30.9793	Separation of powers doctrine (see sec. 30.986)	30-59
30.98	Other Constitutional Rights (cont'd)	30-59
30.981	Right To Closing Argument	30-59
30.9811	General principles	30-59
30.9812	No right to argument by counsel and by defendant personally (see sec. 8.4612)	30-60
30.982	Right to remedy for all wrongs	30-60
30.9821	General principles	30-60
30.9822	Specific applications	30-60
30.98221	Denial of preliminary examination to corporations	30-60
30.983	Federal right to keep and bear arms	30-60
30.9831	Scope and nature of right	30-60
30.98311	In general	30-60
30.98312	Is individual right unconnected to service in militia	30-60
30.9832	History of constitutional provision creating this right	30-60
30.9833	Consistency with right of various laws and regulations	30-60
30.98331	Prohibition on possession of handgun in home	30-60
30.98332	Requirement that handgun in home be disassembled, bound with trigger-lock, or otherwise rendered inoperable	30-60
30.98333	Prohibition on possession of firearm by felon	30-60

30.98334	Prohibition on possession of firearm by insane person	30-60
30.98335	Prohibition on carrying concealed weapon	30-60
30.98336	Restrictions on commercial sale of firearms	30-60
30.984	State right to keep and bear arms	30-61
30.9841	History of constitutional amendment creating this right	30-61
30.9842	Effective date of constitutional amendment creating this right	30-61
30.9843	Scope of this right	30-61
30.98431	In general	30-61
30.98432	In judging the constitutionality of statutory provisions alleged to violate this right, the test is whether the statute constitutes a "reasonable regulation" of it	30-61
30.98433	As general matter, this right permits person to possess, carry, and sometimes conceal arms to maintain security of private residence or privately operated business	30-61
30.98434	As general matter, this right does not permit person to keep loaded weapon hidden in glove compartment or under front seat of vehicle	30-61
30.9844	Consistency of particular statutory provisions regulating firearms with this right	30-61
30.98441	Provision proscribing carrying concealed weapon (see sec. 38.13411)	30-61
30.98442	Provision proscribing possession of firearm by felon	30-61
30.98443	Provision proscribing transport of firearm in vehicle unless it is unloaded and encased (see sec. 38.94211)	30-61
30.9845	Other matters	30-61
30.98451	This right is "fundamental" constitutional right	30-61
30.985	Right to religious freedom	30-61
30.9851	In general	30-62
30.9852	Not denied by enforcement of prohibitions relating to controlled substances when such prohibitions ban their use for religious purposes	30-62
30.98521	In general	30-62
30.98522	But enforcement of prohibitions relating to controlled substances when such prohibitions ban their use for religious purposes may violate Religious Freedom Restoration Act (see sec. 30.98584)	30-62
30.9853	Denied by prohibition on ritualistic sacrifice of animal	30-62
30.9854	Propriety of imposing condition of probation, or revoking probation for violation of condition, that infringes on freedom of religion (see secs. 9.62223 and 41.4384)	30-62
30.9855	Propriety of considering, in sentencing, defendant's exercise of right to religious freedom (see secs. 9.2442 and 9.25232)	30-62

30.9856	Violated by requiring Old Order Amish to display slow-moving vehicle emblem on their horse-drawn buggies	30-62
30.9857	First Amendment of federal constitution and freedom of religion provision of state constitution are not equivalent; state constitution affords greater protection in some instances	30-62
30.9858	Religious Freedom Restoration Act	30-62
30.98581	Constitutionality of	30-62
30.98582	Effect of	30-63
30.98583	Retroactivity of	30-63
30.98584	Consistency with act of enforcing prohibitions relating to controlled substances so as to ban their use for religious purposes	30-63
30.98585	Propriety of preliminary injunction seeking to stop enforcement of criminal statute whose application is alleged to violate this act	30-63
30.98586	Cannot constitutionally be applied to states	30-63
30.9859	Other matters	30-63
30.98591	Establishment of religion clause not violated by prostitution statute	30-63
30.986	Separation of powers	30-63
30.9861	General principles	30-63
30.98611	In general	30-63
30.98612	Legislature's authority to determine scope of trial court's sentencing discretion in general	30-64
30.98613	Legislature's authority to determine scope of trial court's discretion in setting initial disposition in commitment proceedings	30-64
30.98614	Power to decide individual case is exclusive core judicial function	30-64
30.9862	Test for determining when legislation unconstitutionally intrudes upon judicial power and therefore violates separation of powers doctrine	30-64
30.98621	In general	30-64
30.98622	When particular power is shared by legislative and judicial branches; "shared power doctrine"	30-64
30.9863	Particular matters falling within shared powers of legislature, executive, and judiciary	30-64
30.98631	Regulation of practice and procedure in judicial system in general	30-64
30.98632	Continuances and adjournments for witnesses, parties, and attorneys who are members of Legislature in session	30-65
30.98633	Sentencing of criminal defendant	30-65
30.98634	Rules regulating admission of evidence	30-65
30.9864	Separation of powers doctrine not violated by statute permitting court to set parole eligibility for Class A felony	30-65
30.98641	In general	30-65

30.98642	Violation does not occur even though statute permits setting of date beyond defendant's expected lifetime	30-65
30.9865	Other applications of separation of powers doctrine	30-65
30.98651	Setting compensation for special prosecutor	30-65
30.98652	Enforcement of Wis. Stat. § 940.04 does not violate separation of powers doctrine	30-65
30.98653	Mandatory imposition of life sentence without possibility of parole on persistent offender pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 939.62(2m) does not violate separation of powers doctrine	30-65
30.98654	Consistency with separation of powers of provisions relating to establishment of uniform misdemeanor bail schedule	30-65
30.98655	Separation of powers doctrine not violated by statute permitting President to establish aggravating factors for purposes of imposing death penalty in court-martial	30-65
30.98656	Legislative delegation of probation revocation to executive branch does not violate separation of powers doctrine (see sec. 41.3998)	30-65
30.98657	Legislative prohibition on judicial revocation of probation does not violate separation of powers doctrine (see sec. 41.3983)	30-66
30.98658	When court imposes jail time as condition of probation, its preclusion of placement of defendant on home monitoring substantially interferes with sheriff's authority to place persons in his or her custody on home monitoring and thus violates separation of powers doctrine	30-66
30.98659	When court imposes jail time as condition of probation, requiring defendant to refuse home monitoring substantially interferes with sheriff's authority to place persons in his or her custody on home monitoring and thus violates separation of powers doctrine	30-66
30.9866	Other applications of separation of powers doctrine (cont'd)	30-66
30.98661	Consistency with separation of powers doctrine of provision mandating reversal for failure to provide jury with written instructions on substantive law	30-66
30.98662	Consistency with separation of powers doctrine of provision mandating adjournment or continuance when witness, party, or attorney is member of Legislature, in session	30-66
30.98663	Consistency with separation of powers doctrine of statute proscribing misconduct in public office, when applied to state legislator (see sec. 35.23314)	30-66

30.98664	Doctrine does not protect records of legislators' communications maintained by Legislative Technology Services Bureau from disclosure in response to subpoena duces tecum	30-66
30.98665	Separation of powers not violated by Congressional delegation of power to establish sentencing guidelines to sentencing commission (see sec. 9.1713)	30-66
30.98666	Legislation that mandates automatic reversal of trial court judgment upon violation of statute impermissibly limits and circumscribes judicial power	30-67
30.98667	Giving prosecutor veto over defendant's petition for sentence adjustment would violate separation of powers doctrine (see sec. 10.8531)	30-67
30.98668	Legislature's determination that initial disposition in chap. 980 proceeding shall be institutional placement	30-67
30.98669	Consistency with separation of powers doctrine of statute providing for admission of videotaped statements of child (see sec. 15.6424)	30-67
30.9867	Appellate review of question whether separation of powers doctrine violated	30-67
30.9868	Related matters	30-67
30.98681	Delegation of powers (see sec. 30.979)	30-67
30.987	Right to travel (i.e., to move from one place to another according to inclination): freedom of movement	30-67
30.9871	General principles	30-67
30.9872	Not denied by enjoining, under public nuisance statute, activities associated with prostitution	30-67
30.9873	Constitutional right to travel does not bar prosecution for traffic crimes, in particular, operating after revocation or suspension (see sec. 37.1512)	30-67
30.9874	Right to travel does not create constitutional right to operate motor vehicle	30-67
30.9875	Right not violated by requirement that individual provide authorities with his or her address (e.g., sex offender reporting requirement)	30-67
30.988	Right to privacy (i.e., avoiding disclosure of personal matters, engaging in private consensual sexual activity, and independence in making certain kinds of important decisions, e.g., decisions relating to marriage, procreation, contraception, family relationships, and child rearing and education)	30-68
30.9881	In general	30-68
30.9882	Right does not protect possession of child pornography	30-68
30.9883	Less rigorous test applied to restrictions on privacy rights of minors and to restrictions on interaction with minors than are applied to similar restrictions on privacy rights of adults	30-68
30.9884	Does not protect sexual activity with minor	30-68

30.9885	Application of right when records sought under state open records law	30-68
30.9886	Woman's right to have abortion (see secs. 32.411, 32.821, 32.831, 32.841, and 47.8921)	30-68
30.9887	Right to procreate (see sec. 30.9984)	30-68
30.9888	Protects consensual homosexual activity conducted in private	30-68
30.989	Access to courts	30-68
30.99	Other Constitutional Rights (cont'd)	30-68
30.991	Federal constitutional right to be tried only on indictment of grand jury	30-68
30.992	Right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures	30-69
30.993	Prohibition on excessive bail	30-69
30.9931	In general	30-69
30.9932	Preventive detention does not violate prohibition	30-69
30.9933	Constitutionality of application of bail money to pay fine	30-69
30.994	State victims' rights provision	30-69
30.9941	General principles	30-69
30.99411	In general	30-69
30.99412	First sentence of state constitutional provision relating to victims of crime (i.e., "This state shall treat crime victims, as defined by law, with fairness, dignity and respect for their privacy") describes policies to be promoted by State and does not provide victim with enforceable, self-executing right (see sec. 47.5212)	30-69
30.9942	Particular rights	30-69
30.99421	Right of allocution at sentencing (see sec. 9.1996)	30-69
30.995	Commerce clause	30-69
30.9951	General principles	30-69
30.99511	In general	30-69
30.99512	State action cannot circumscribe Congress's plenary commerce power	30-69
30.9952	Congress had no power to enact Gun-Free School Zones Act of 1990 under the Interstate Commerce Clause	30-69
30.9953	Attempt to apply federal arson statute to arson of owner-occupied residence not used for any commercial purpose would raise question as to whether Congress had power to create crime under commerce clause	30-69
30.9954	Congress's Commerce Clause authority includes power to prohibit local cultivation and use of marijuana for medical purposes in compliance with state law	30-70
30.996	Supremacy clause	30-70
30.9961	In general	30-70
30.9962	Treaties as supreme law of land	30-70
30.99621	In general	30-70
30.99622	When subsequent enactment of Congress conflicts with treaty, statute renders treaty null to extent of conflict	30-70

30.99623	Procedural rules of foreign nation govern implementation of treaty in that nation	30-70
30.9963	Federal Controlled Substances Act applies to, and prohibits, cultivation and use of marijuana for medical purposes in compliance with state law	30-70
30.997	Other rights	30-70
30.9971	Right not to be imprisoned for debt	30-70
30.99711	In general	30-70
30.99712	Particular application: conviction and incarceration for nonsupport based on failure to pay arrearages after child reaches majority is not unconstitutional imprisonment for debt	30-70
30.9972	Right to practice profession	30-70
30.99721	In general	30-70
30.99722	Right not violated by executing search warrant for attorney's person while attorney's client is testifying before grand jury	30-70
30.9973	State's immunity from suit under Eleventh Amendment	30-70
30.99731	In general	30-70
30.99732	Application to foreign country seeking to enforce provisions of Vienna Convention on consular relations	30-70
30.9974	Forfeiture of estate	30-71
30.99741	Forfeiture of vehicle under Wis. Stat. § 346.65(6) does not violate prohibition on forfeiture of estate when there is nexus between vehicle to be seized and forfeited and the crime	30-71
30.9975	Legislator's state constitutional privilege not to be arrested during legislative session	30-71
30.99751	In general	30-71
30.99752	Exception to privilege for "treason, felony and breach of peace" includes all crimes	30-71
30.9976	Speech and debate clause	30-71
30.99761	In general	30-71
30.99762	Clause provides only use immunity, i.e., immunity from prosecution based on use of communications, and not right to keep all legislative communications secret	30-71
30.99763	Protection provided by clause for records of legislators' communications maintained by Legislative Technology Services Bureau Does (see sec. 4.25363)	30-71
30.9977	Necessary and proper clause	30-71
30.99771	General principles	30-71
30.99772	Bribery statute is valid enactment under this clause even though it does not require, as element of offense, proof of connection between federal funds and alleged bribe (see sec. 4.25365)	30-71
30.9978	Constitutional provision that "[e]ach house may determine the rules of its own proceedings" (Wis. Const., art. IV, sec. 8)	30-71

	30.99781	In general	30-71
	30.99782	Wis. Stat. § 13.96, which provides for confidentiality of records, is not rule of proceeding under Wis. Const., art. IV, sec. 8, that renders question of enforceability of subpoena for records a non-justiciable political question (see sec. 4.25365)	30-72
30.998		Other rights (cont'd)	30-72
	30.9981	Origination clause	30-72
	30.9982	Dual sovereignty doctrine	30-72
	30.99821	Operation of this doctrine in double jeopardy context (see sec. 29.51)	30-72
	30.99822	Under this doctrine, Congress may not compel state official to administer federal regulation	30-72
	30.9983	Right to abortion (see secs. 32.411, 32.821, 32.831, and 32.841)	30-72
	30.9984	Right to procreate	30-72
	30.99841	In general	30-72
	30.99842	Constitutionality of condition of probation that requires defendant convicted of nonsupport to avoid having another child unless he shows he can support that child and his current children	30-72
	30.9985	Right to assisted suicide (see sec. 32.851)	30-72
	30.9986	Right to refuse medical treatment	30-72
	30.9987	Full faith and credit clause	30-72
	30.99871	In general	30-72
	30.99872	Does not preempt state statutes relating to authentication or admissibility of out-of-state orders, such that certificate from judge is always required to authenticate out-of-state order	30-72
	30.9988	Prohibition on usurpation of legislative authority	30-72
30.999		Other rights (cont'd)	30-72
	30.9991	Taking property without just compensation	30-73
	30.99911	Not violated by requiring attorney to represent indigent defendant	30-73
	30.99912	Not violated by forfeiting innocent owner's interest in property used in commission of crime	30-73
	30.9992	Appointments clause	30-73
	30.99921	Requires appointment of appellate military judges by president, court of law, or head of department	30-73
	30.99922	Permits appointment of appellate military judges by head of department	30-73
	30.99923	Does not require special appointment of officer to serve as military judge	30-73
	30.9993	Federal preemption (see sec. 40.984)	30-73
	30.9994	Impairment of contract	30-73
	30.9995	Constitutional limits on federal power to create crime in general	30-73
	30.9996	Prohibition on suspension of writ of habeas corpus	30-73
	30.99961	In general	30-73

30.99962 Restrictions on successive petitions for habeas corpus imposed by Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 do not violate this prohibition (see sec. 10.668) 30-73

30.9997 Right to have jurors individually polled (see sec. 8.6861) 30-73

30.9998 Restrictions on enactment of private or local laws 30-73

30.9999 Other rights 30-73

30.99991 Victim's right of allocution at sentencing (see sec. 9.1996) 30-73

30.99992 Right to be released from imprisonment upon proof of "actual innocence" 30-73

**PART V
CRIMES AND DEFENSES**

**Chapter 31
General Principles Relating to Criminal Liability**

31.1 Mental Element Of Crime 31-1

31.11 General Principles 31-1

31.111 Different elements of offense can require different mental states 31-1

31.112 Direct proof of mental state is rare; jury may base findings regarding defendant's mental state on circumstantial evidence and reasonable inference from it 31-1

31.12 Intent 31-1

31.121 In general 31-1

31.122 When required 31-1

31.1221 In general 31-1

31.1222 Concern about infliction of substantial punishment on person innocent of any intentional or negligent wrongdoing, which often animates court's rejection of strict liability and finding that intent is element of offense, does not support claim that in multiple-element offense, intent is required with respect to each element (see sec. 31.766) 31-2

31.1223 Use of passive voice in statute creating criminal offense indicates that offense does not require proof of intent 31-2

31.123 Determining existence of 31-2

31.1231 In general 31-2

31.1232 Use of presumptions and inferences to determine intent 31-3

31.1233 Admissibility of psychiatric testimony regarding defendant's intent (see sec. 18.533) 31-4

31.124 Sufficiency of evidence to establish intent 31-4

31.125 Constitutionality of definition of intent 31-4

31.1251 Creating alternatives of purpose and knowledge is constitutionally permissible 31-4

31.1252 Creating alternatives of purpose and knowledge does not deny defendant's right to unanimous verdict 31-4

31.1253 Creating alternatives of purpose and knowledge does not render definition unconstitutionally vague 31-4

	<i>Page</i>
31.126 Other matters	31-4
31.1261 Intent not negated by mistake as to legality of acts	31-4
31.1262 Expanded definition of intent applies to attempt statute	31-4
31.1263 After-the-fact explanation that events did not unravel as one wanted them to is irrelevant to state of mind at time events were transpiring	31-4
31.1264 What one wants and what one intends are not always the same	31-5
31.1265 Conditional intent	31-5
31.1266 Difference between general and specific intent	31-5
31.1267 Difference between intent and knowledge (see sec. 31.5715)	31-5
31.13 Knowledge	31-5
31.131 In general	31-5
31.132 When required	31-5
31.1321 In general	31-5
31.1322 In sex offenses where victim's age is element	31-5
31.13221 In general	31-5
31.13222 Constitutionality of not requiring knowledge of victim's age as element of offense	31-5
31.133 Determining extent of knowledge required when "knowingly" appears in statute	31-5
31.134 Every citizen is presumed to know the law	31-5
31.135 Difference between intent and knowledge (see sec. 31.5715)	31-5
31.14 Recklessness	31-6
31.141 Aggravated recklessness: acting recklessly under circumstances which show utter disregard for human life (formerly conduct imminently dangerous to another and evincing a depraved mind, regardless of life) (see sec. 31.5511)	31-6
31.1411 In general	31-6
31.1412 Current "utter disregard" same as former "depraved mind"	31-6
31.1413 Effect of subsequent acts demonstrating concern for life of victim (e.g., calling 911 for medical help) on question whether "utter disregard for human life" shown	31-6
31.1414 "Utter disregard" to be judged by objective standard; not necessary to demonstrate defendant's subjective awareness of risk of death	31-7
31.1415 In determining whether defendant's conduct showed regard for life, conduct before, during, and after commission of criminally reckless act is considered	31-7
31.1416 If reason for defendant's conduct was to protect himself or herself or others, then conduct does not evince utter disregard even if legal requirements of self-defense or defense of others are not established	31-7
31.1417 Sufficiency of evidence to demonstrate circumstances which show utter disregard for human life	31-7
31.142 Simple recklessness: knowing creation of substantial and unreasonable risk of harm	31-7
31.1421 In general	31-7

31.1422	When statute creating offense with element of recklessness sets forth specific definition of recklessness, general definition in Wis. Stat. § 939.24 is not applicable	31-7
31.143	Recklessness for purposes of crimes against children	31-7
31.15	Negligence	31-7
31.151	In general (see sec. 31.552)	31-7
31.152	Constitutionality of statutory definition of criminal negligence	31-7
31.153	Mistake is not defense to crimes involving criminal negligence (see sec. 40.572)	31-8
31.16	Strict Liability (see sec. 31.76)	31-8
31.17	Other Mental Elements	31-8
31.171	Wilfulness (see sec. 31.576)	31-8
31.2	Party To A Crime	31-8
31.21	Accomplice Liability Generally	31-8
31.211	In general	31-8
31.212	No need for jury unanimity as to theory of liability	31-8
31.213	Effect of inability to commit crime directly	31-9
31.214	Liability for aggravating circumstance attributable to other party	31-9
31.215	No need for conviction of principal	31-9
31.216	Defendant who participates in designated felony with accomplice who kills pursuing officer during course of felony is liable, as party to the crime, for felony murder	31-9
31.22	As Aider And Abettor	31-9
31.221	Elements of aiding and abetting	31-9
31.222	Extent of liability of aider and abettor: defendant liable not only for crime he intended to aid and abet, but also for crime that is natural and probable consequence of intended crime	31-10
31.2221	In general	31-10
31.2222	When evidence sufficient to warrant instruction on this theory of liability (see sec. 31.26222)	31-10
31.223	Withdrawal from aiding and abetting	31-10
31.224	No need to show that aider and abettor had intent required by substantive offense	31-11
31.225	Liability of bystander	31-11
31.226	Where statute imposes harsher punishment on one side of bilateral transaction (e.g., seller in drug transaction is subject to harsher punishment than purchaser), person subject to less harsh punishment (e.g., purchaser) cannot be made subject to harsher punishment by being deemed aider and abettor of person subject to harsher punishment (e.g., seller)	31-11
31.23	As Co-Conspirator	31-11
31.231	Elements of co-conspirator liability	31-11
31.232	Extent of liability of co-conspirator	31-11
31.233	Commencement of conspiracy	31-11
31.234	Termination of conspiracy	31-11
31.235	Single or multiple conspiracy	31-11
31.236	Withdrawal from conspiracy	31-11
31.237	Other matters	31-12

31.2371	Co-conspirator liability is applicable when crime charged is inchoate crime of attempt	31-12
31.2372	There is distinction between conspiracy as substantive inchoate crime and conspiracy as theory of liability for substantive consummated crime	31-12
31.24	Probable Cause To Believe Defendant Is Accomplice	31-12
31.241	As aider and abettor	31-12
31.242	As co-conspirator	31-12
31.25	Charging Party To A Crime	31-12
31.26	Instructions	31-12
31.261	Content in general	31-12
31.262	On aider and abettor liability	31-12
31.2621	In general	31-12
31.2622	On extent of liability of aider and abettor: defendant liable not only for crime he intended to aid and abet, but also for crime that is natural and probable consequence of intended crime	31-12
31.26221	In general	31-12
31.26222	When evidence sufficient to warrant instruction on this theory of liability	31-13
31.2623	On liability of bystander as aider and abettor	31-13
31.263	On co-conspirator liability	31-13
31.2631	In general	31-13
31.2632	On extent of liability of co-conspirator	31-13
31.2633	Instructing jury on co-conspirator liability is permissible when crime charged is inchoate crime of attempt (see sec. 31.2371)	31-13
31.264	No need to require unanimity as to theory of participation (see sec. 31.212)	31-13
31.265	No need for state to elect theory of participation	31-13
31.266	Instructing on theory of liability not supported by evidence	31-13
31.2661	In general	31-13
31.2662	Instructing on theory not supported by evidence is harmless error if no evidence adduced or argument made in support of that theory of liability	31-13
31.267	Other matters	31-13
31.2671	Effect of use of term "defendant" rather than name of person who directly committed crime in instruction on elements of substantive offense	31-13
31.27	Sufficiency Of Evidence	31-13
31.271	To establish liability as aider and abettor	31-13
31.272	To establish liability as co-conspirator	31-14
31.28	Other Matters	31-14
31.281	Conviction as party to a crime after person who directly committed it has been acquitted, or has been convicted of a lesser offense	31-14
31.282	Person may be party to crime of manslaughter	31-14
31.283	Imposition of death penalty on accomplice (see sec. 9.517)	31-14
31.284	Party to the crime of concealing identity	31-14

31.285	Defendant who participates in designated felony with accomplice who kills pursuing officer during course of felony is liable, as party to the crime, for felony murder (see sec. 31.216)	31-14
31.286	Applicability of party-to-a-crime statute to particular offenses	31-15
31.2861	To offense of medical assistance fraud (see sec. 38.81432)	31-15
31.287	Manner of participation in crime (i.e., theory of party-to-crime liability) is not element of offense (see sec. 31.7792)	31-15
31.3	Lesser-Included Offenses	31-15
31.31	General Principles For Determining What Constitutes Lesser-Included Offense	31-15
31.311	In general	31-15
31.312	Meaning of "lesser-included" offense	31-16
31.3121	In general	31-16
31.3122	Lesser-included offense must be both lesser and included	31-16
31.313	Determining whether one offense is lesser than another	31-16
31.3131	Magnitude of punishment is controlling	31-16
31.3132	Offense with heavier penalty cannot be regarded as lesser offense of one with lighter penalty	31-16
31.3133	Seriousness of offense is determined by reference to penalty structure established in Wis. Stat. §§ 939.50-939.52 additional sanctions (e.g., revocation of driver's license, assessments, etc.) are irrelevant	31-16
31.3134	In case of homicide offenses, seriousness of offense is based on maximum penalty for it	31-16
31.314	Particular types of lesser-included offenses created by Wis. Stat. § 939.66 in addition to classic lesser-included offense created in § 939.66(1)	31-16
31.3141	Less serious type of criminal homicide than one charged (Wis. Stat. § 939.66(2))	31-16
31.31411	In general	31-16
31.31412	Seriousness of offense is based on maximum penalty for it (see sec. 31.3134)	31-16
31.31413	Is offense whose punishment increases when death results from commission of offense a "homicide" for purposes of Wis. Stat. § 939.66(2)?	31-17
31.31414	Does "homicide," as used in Wis. Stat. § 939.66(2), encompass only homicide crimes listed in Wis. Stat. ch. 940?	31-17
31.3142	Less serious or equally serious type of battery than one charged (Wis. Stat. § 939.66(2m))	31-17
31.31421	In general	31-17
31.31422	Does statutory provision (i.e., § 939.66(2m)) that makes less or equally serious types of battery a lesser included offense of the battery charged apply to all batteries, not just those in § 940.19? (see sec. 31.3151)	31-17
31.315	Other principles	31-17

31.3151	Does statutory provision (i.e., § 939.66(2m)) that makes less or equally serious types of battery a lesser included offense of the battery charged apply to all batteries, not just those in § 940.19?	31-17
31.31511	Yes (Wisconsin Court of Appeals' answer, overruled by Wisconsin Supreme Court)	31-17
31.31512	No (Wisconsin Supreme Court's answer)	31-17
31.3152	Existence of more specific crime directly covering defendant's conduct, but which is not lesser-included offense of charged offense because it contains additional element(s), does not keep more general offense that is supported by evidence from being lesser-included offense upon which instruction must be given if evidence warrants it	31-17
31.32	Particular Offenses As Lesser-Included Offenses	31-17
31.33	Determining When Evidence Requires Lesser-Included Offense Instruction	31-17
31.331	General principles	31-17
31.332	On particular offenses	31-19
31.333	When defendant raises affirmative defense that does not negate element of charged offense	31-19
31.334	When defendant denies any participation in crime	31-19
31.335	When only basis for acquittal on greater offense would also be grounds for acquittal on lesser offense, there is no reasonable basis in evidence for acquittal on greater offense and conviction of lesser offense	31-19
31.34	Instructing Jury On How To Consider Lesser-Included Offenses	31-20
31.341	In general	31-20
31.342	Need for such instruction when charge of bail jumping is based on defendant's violation of term of bail bond that he not commit any crime and state relies, as alternative basis for guilt, on theory that defendant committed lesser-included offense of crime alleged	31-20
31.35	When Instruction On Lesser-Included Offense Constitutionally Required	31-20
31.36	Effect Of Failure To Give Lesser-Included Offense Instruction When Required	31-20
31.361	Generally requires reversal	31-20
31.362	Can be harmless error in certain circumstances	31-20
31.37	Trial Court's Sua Sponte Offer Of Lesser-Included Offense Instruction	31-21
31.371	Propriety of sua sponte offer	31-21
31.372	Necessity of sua sponte offer	31-21
31.38	Other Matters Relating To Instructing On Lesser-Included Offenses	31-21
31.381	Lesser-included offense instruction must be given if warranted by evidence even though conviction of offense barred by statute of limitations (see secs. 12.342 and 12.371)	31-21
31.382	Failure to instruct on lesser-included offense, when warranted, subject to harmless error rule in certain situations (see sec. 31.362)	31-21
31.383	Allocation of decision-making responsibility with respect to request for lesser-included offense instruction as between defendant and counsel (see sec. 25.5833)	31-21

31.384	Right of appellate court, upon finding evidence insufficient to support conviction, to order entry of judgment of conviction for lesser-included offense (see sec. 19.577)	31-21
31.385	Defendant cannot be convicted of lesser-included offense that does not exist	31-21
	31.3851 In general	31-21
	31.3852 Rule applies even when defendant requests instruction on nonexistent lesser-included offense	31-21
31.386	Prosecutor's pre-instruction conference assertion that no lesser-included offense instruction would be requested does not bind prosecutor either as stipulation or under doctrine of judicial estoppel	31-21
31.387	State's right to lesser-included offense instruction when evidence warrants it, even over defendant's objection	31-21
31.388	Other matters	31-21
	31.3881 When defendant claims entrapment, he cannot request instruction on lesser-included offense (see sec. 5.274)	31-22
	31.3882 Does Wis. Stat. § 939.66 prohibit conviction of both greater offense and lesser-included offense when both offenses are alleged in charging document?	31-22
31.389	Other matters (cont'd)	31-22
	31.3891 Need for counsel to discuss lesser-included offense option with defendant before rejecting it (see sec. 25.365)	31-22
	31.3892 When failure to request lesser-included offense instruction constitutes ineffective assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.364)	31-22
	31.3893 In instructing jury on offenses other than charged offense, only instructions on lesser-included offenses permitted (see sec. 8.5211)	31-22
	31.3894 Effect of jury's returning verdicts of guilty of both charged offense and lesser-included offense (see sec. 8.6892)	31-22
	31.3895 May lesser-included offense option be given to jury after it has commenced its deliberations?	31-22
	31.3896 Does prohibition on conviction of both charged offense and lesser-included offense apply when both the greater offense and the lesser-included offense are charged?	31-22
	31.3897 Does prohibition on conviction of both charged offense and lesser-included offense also preclude charging both offenses? (see sec. 31.41122)	31-22
	31.3898 Propriety of instructing on lesser-included offense after jury has retired to deliberate (e.g., in response to jury's indication that it is deadlocked on offense(s) on which it was initially instructed)	31-22
	31.38981 In general	31-22
	31.38982 Effect of improper instruction on lesser-included offense of one crime with which defendant charged on other crime(s) with which defendant was charged	31-22
31.39	Appellate Review Of Failure To Submit Lesser-Included Offense	31-22
	31.391 General principles of review	31-22
	31.392 Harmless-error rule applicable (see sec. 31.362)	31-23

31.4 Prosecution For Multiple Offenses Based On Single Act	31-23
31.41 Limitations On Number Of Charges, Convictions, And Punishments In Single Proceeding	31-23
31.411 Statutory or case law limitations	31-23
31.4111 In general	31-23
31.4112 Where act forms basis for crime under more than one statutory provision, multiple charging under different provisions is permitted (Wis. Stat. § 939.65)	31-24
31.41121 General rule	31-24
31.41122 May prosecutor charge under multiple provisions even though multiple convictions and/or punishments for crimes proscribed in those multiple provisions is prohibited under lesser-included offense statute or otherwise?	31-24
31.41123 Charging defendant with, convicting him of, or punishing him for, both greater offense and lesser-included offense	31-24
31.41124 Person may be prosecuted under general section even though there is specific section that covers conduct	31-24
31.4113 Charging defendant with, convicting him of, or punishing him for, both greater offense and lesser-included offense (see sec. 31.3)	31-24
31.4114 No conviction for both inchoate and completed crime (see sec. 31.793)	31-24
31.4115 Is conviction of two inchoate crimes, both of which have same completed crime as their objective, permitted? (see sec. 31.7988)	31-24
31.4116 Charging of two inchoate crimes, both of which have same completed crime as their objective, is permitted (see sec. 31.7989)	31-25
31.4117 Propriety of charging defendant with inconsistent crimes for same act	31-25
31.4118 Charging multiple offenses for same act does not produce unacceptable risk of compromise verdict	31-25
31.4119 Other matters	31-25
31.41191 "Necessary facts" exception to statutory (i.e., Wis. Stat. § 939.66) limitation on number of convictions in single proceeding	31-25
31.412 Constitutional (double jeopardy) limitations (see sec. 29.2)	31-25
31.42 Propriety Of Successive Prosecutions	31-25
31.421 Statutory (i.e., Wis Stat. § 939.71) or case law limitations	31-25
31.4211 In general	31-25
31.4212 Sec. 939.71 does not prohibit successive prosecutions for two crimes based on same conduct (e.g., misdemeanor battery and felony battery), so long as each requires proof of a fact that the other does not even though one crime is lesser-included offense of other by virtue of specific provisions of lesser-included offense statute	31-25

31.4213	Statutory bar, under controlled substances act, to prosecution for same act both in this state and under federal law or law of another state (see sec. 36.195)	31-25
31.4214	No conviction for both inchoate and completed crime (see sec. 31.793)	31-25
31.4215	Is conviction of two inchoate crimes, both of which have same completed crime as their objective, permitted? (see sec. 31.7988)	31-25
31.4216	Statutory limitations imposed by Wis. Stat. § 939.71 do not bar prosecution in Wisconsin for armed robbery after federal conviction of aggravated bank robbery based on same conduct	31-26
31.4217	"Necessary facts" exception to statutory (i.e., Wis. Stat. § 939.71) prohibition on successive prosecutions: prosecution for greater offense allowed when element of greater offense has not occurred at time of prosecution of lesser offense	31-26
31.4218	Different limitations on successive prosecutions imposed by Wis. Stat. § 939.71 and Wis. Stat. § 961.45 do not violate equal protection of the laws (see sec. 23.694)	31-26
31.422	Constitutional (double jeopardy) limitations (see secs. 29.3 and 29.4)	31-26
31.43	Related Matters	31-26
31.431	No conviction for both inchoate and completed crime (see sec. 31.793)	31-26
31.432	Is conviction of two inchoate crimes, both of which have same completed crime as their objective, permitted? (see sec. 31.7988)	31-26
31.433	Charging of two inchoate crimes, both of which have same completed crime as their objective, is permitted (see sec. 31.7989)	31-26
31.5	Definitions	31-26
31.51	Relating To Crime And Its Grades	31-26
31.511	"Crime"	31-26
31.5111	In general	31-26
31.5112	Definition of crime in Wis. Stat. § 939.12 applies to conduct proscribed by statute falling outside of chs. 939 to 951	31-27
31.512	"Felony"	31-27
31.5121	In general	31-27
31.5122	Crime may be felony if Legislature designates it as such, even if punishment for crime is less than one year's imprisonment	31-27
31.513	"Misdemeanor"	31-27
31.5131	In general	31-27
31.5132	Crime punishable by less than one year's imprisonment may be felony, not misdemeanor, if Legislature designates it as such (see sec. 31.5122)	31-27
31.514	"Forfeiture"	31-27
31.515	"Statutory traffic regulation"	31-27
31.52	Relating To Instrumentality Used Or Otherwise Involved In Commission Of Crime	31-28
31.521	Relating to weapons	31-28
31.5211	"Dangerous weapon"	31-28

	31.52111	In general	31-28
	31.52112	Dog can be dangerous weapon	31-28
	31.52113	Bare hands and other parts of accused's anatomy do not constitute dangerous weapons	31-28
	31.52114	BB gun constitutes dangerous weapon	31-28
	31.52115	Automobile may be dangerous weapon where circumstances are egregious	31-28
	31.52116	Firearm that is inoperable due to disassembly can be dangerous weapon	31-28
	31.52117	Unloaded pellet gun can be dangerous weapon	31-28
	31.52118	Starter pistol can be dangerous weapon	31-28
	31.52119	Baseball bat, when used to strike someone, qualifies as dangerous weapon	31-28
	31.5212	"Firearm"	31-28
	31.52121	In general	31-28
	31.52122	Firearm that is inoperable due to disassembly is still a firearm	31-29
	31.5213	"Airgun"	31-29
	31.5214	"Shotgun"	31-29
31.522	Relating to vehicles		31-29
	31.5221	"Vehicle"	31-29
	31.52211	In general	31-29
	31.52212	Trailer attached to tractor is vehicle	31-29
	31.5222	"Motor vehicle"	31-29
31.523	Relating to explosive material		31-29
	31.5231	"Explosive compound"	31-29
	31.5232	"Explosives"	31-29
31.53	Relating To Injury Inflicted		31-29
	31.531	"Bodily harm"	31-29
	31.532	"Great bodily harm"	31-29
	31.533	"Cruel maltreatment"	31-29
	31.534	"Physical harm"	31-29
	31.535	"Pain"	31-29
31.54	Relating To Persons Committing, Or Victims Of, Criminal Activity		31-29
	31.541	"Peace officer"	31-29
	31.542	"Public officer"	31-30
	31.543	"Public employe"	31-30
	31.544	"Child"	31-30
	31.545	"Human being"	31-30
	31.5451	In general	31-30
	31.5452	Definition of "human being" found in Wis. Stat. § 939.22(16) is applicable to all sections of ch. 940.	31-30
	31.546	"Person"	31-30
	31.5461	In general	31-30
	31.5462	Includes partnerships, associations, and bodies politic or corporate	31-30
	31.5463	Dead victim is "person" for purposes of robbery	31-30
31.547	"Prisoner"		31-30
	31.5471	In general	31-30

31.5472	Probationer who violates condition of probation and who, as a result, is taken into custody under an apprehension request, is prisoner	31-30
31.548	"Victim"	31-30
31.5481	In general	31-30
31.5482	Crime may have victim even though victim not necessary to its commission	31-30
31.549	Other	31-31
31.5491	"Whoever"	31-31
31.5492	"Bailee"	31-31
31.5493	"Criminal gang member" (see sec. 31.5927)	31-31
31.5494	"Correctional staff member"	31-31
31.55	Relating To Criminal Activity	31-31
31.551	Relating to reckless conduct	31-31
31.5511	Acting recklessly "under circumstances which show utter disregard for human life" (formerly "conduct imminently dangerous to another and evincing a depraved mind, regardless of human life") (see sec. 31.141)	31-31
31.5512	"Reckless conduct" (see sec. 31.142)	31-31
31.5513	"Recklessly" (see sec. 31.142)	31-31
31.552	Relating to negligent conduct	31-31
31.5521	"High degree of negligence"	31-31
31.5522	"Negligent"	31-31
31.5523	"Criminal negligence"	31-31
31.55231	In general	31-31
31.55232	Constitutionality of statute defining "criminal negligence" (see sec. 31.152)	31-31
31.55233	Physical or temporal proximity to dangerous weapon is not prerequisite to finding criminally negligent handling of that weapon	31-32
31.55234	Mistake is not defense to crimes involving criminal negligence (see sec. 40.572)	31-32
31.55235	Present statutory definition of "criminal negligence" is substantially the same as former statutory definition of "high degree of negligence," so decisions interpreting latter term are relevant in interpreting former term	31-32
31.55236	Violation of traffic statute does not necessarily constitute criminal negligence	31-32
31.5524	"Ordinary negligence"	31-32
31.553	Relating to sexual activity	31-32
31.5531	"Sexual intercourse"	31-32
31.55311	In general	31-32
31.55312	When defendant does not directly undertake activity that constitutes sexual intercourse, that activity must be undertaken "upon the defendant's instruction" to constitute sexual intercourse	31-32

31.55313	Alleged unconstitutionality of definition of sexual intercourse because definition does not include intent element (see sec. 33.2113)	31-32
31.55314	Alleged unconstitutionality of definition of sexual intercourse because it does not exempt intrusions that are part of bona fide medical, health care, and hygiene procedures	31-32
31.55315	By judicial construction, definition does not include intrusions that are part of bona fide medical, health care, and hygiene procedures	31-33
31.5532	"Sexual contact"	31-33
31.55321	In general	31-33
31.55322	Sexual contact includes contact that defendant caused, allowed, or permitted	31-33
31.5533	"Fellatio"	31-33
31.5534	"Intimate parts"	31-33
31.55341	In general	31-33
31.55342	Male breast is intimate part	31-33
31.5535	"Vagina"	31-33
31.5536	"Groin"	31-33
31.5537	"Pubic Mound"	31-33
31.5538	"Prostitution"	31-33
31.5539	"Cunninlingus"	31-33
31.55391	In general	31-33
31.55392	Does not require "stimulation" of female genital area	31-33
31.554	Relating to operation of motor vehicle	31-33
31.5541	"Operate" or "operation" (see sec. 37.122)	31-33
31.5542	"Drive" (see sec. 37.125)	31-33
31.5543	"Handling"	31-34
31.5544	Operation of motor vehicle while "under the influence of an intoxicant" (see sec. 31.5571)	31-34
31.555	Relating to delivery or transfer of item whose delivery or transfer is proscribed	31-34
31.5551	"Deliver"; "delivery" (see sec. 36.1921)	31-34
31.5552	"Transfer"	31-34
31.55521	In general	31-34
31.55522	"Indirect transfer"	31-34
31.55523	"Constructive transfer"	31-34
31.556	Other	31-34
31.5561	"Obstructs"	31-34
31.5562	"Threat"	31-34
31.5563	"True treat"	31-34
31.5564	"Abusive"	31-34
31.5565	"Actus reus"	31-34
31.5566	"Dissuade"	31-34
31.5567	"Verbal"; "verbally"	31-34
31.5568	"Promotes"	31-34
31.5569	"Presents"	31-34
31.557	Other (cont'd)	31-34

31.5571	"Under the influence of an intoxicant"	31-34
31.55711	In general	31-34
31.55712	"Materially impaired" aspect of definition of "under the influence of an intoxicant"	31-35
31.5572	"Takes away"	31-35
31.5573	"Take"	31-35
31.5574	"Cause to leave"	31-35
31.5575	"Hides"	31-35
31.5576	"Possession"; "Possess"	31-35
31.5577	"Going armed"	31-35
31.5578	"Perpetrate" (see sec. 47.87163)	31-35
31.5579	"Disclose"	31-35
31.558	Other (cont'd)	31-35
31.5581	"Neglect"	31-35
31.5582	"Operation"	31-35
31.5583	"Handling"	31-35
31.55831	In general	31-35
31.55832	Physical or temporal proximity to dangerous weapon is not prerequisite to finding criminally negligent handling of that weapon (see sec. 31.55233)	31-35
31.5584	"Permit"	31-35
31.5585	"Defile"	31-35
31.5586	"Carry"	31-35
31.5587	"Use" of dangerous weapon	31-36
31.5588	"Materially impaired"	31-36
31.5589	"Resists"	31-36
31.559	Other (cont'd)	31-36
31.5591	"Cause"; "Causes"	31-36
31.5592	"Burglary"	31-36
31.5593	"Conduct"	31-36
31.5594	"Use"; "Uses"	31-36
31.55941	In general	31-36
31.55942	"Use" of dangerous weapon (see sec. 31.5587)	31-36
31.5595	"Selects"	31-36
31.5596	"By use or threat of force or violence"; "threat of force"	31-36
31.5597	"Commit"	31-36
31.5598	"Possess"; "possession"	31-36
31.55981	In general	31-36
31.55982	Constructive possession	31-36
31.5599	Other	31-37
31.55991	"Deliver"	31-37
31.55992	"Communicate"	31-37
31.55993	"Facilitate"	31-37
31.56	Relating To Property, Its Ownership, And Other Relationships To It	31-37
31.561	"Property of another"	31-37
31.5611	In general	31-37
31.5612	Defendant's marital home in which wife also has ownership interest is "property of another"	31-37
31.562	"Owner"	31-37

31.563	"Property"	31-37
31.5631	Property includes interest in lawsuit	31-37
31.5632	Property includes government's right to tax revenue (e.g., uncollected excise taxes)	31-37
31.564	"Dwelling of another"	31-37
31.565	"Possess"; "possession"	31-37
31.566	"Ownership"	31-37
31.567	"Constructive possession"	31-37
31.57	Relating To State Of Mind	31-37
31.571	Relating to intent	31-37
31.5711	"Criminal intent" (see sec. 31.12)	31-37
31.57111	Test Heading	31-37
31.5712	"Intentionally"	31-37
31.5713	"Intentional"	31-38
31.5714	"With intent to" or "with intent that"	31-38
31.5715	Difference between intentional and knowing	31-38
31.572	Relating to knowledge	31-38
31.5721	In general (see sec. 31.13)	31-38
31.5722	"Knew" or "Know"	31-38
31.5723	"Knowingly"	31-38
31.57231	In general	31-38
31.57232	Determining extent of knowledge required when "knowingly" appears in statute (see sec. 31.133)	31-38
31.57233	Concern about infliction of substantial punishment on person innocent of any intentional or negligent wrongdoing, which often animates court's rejection of strict liability and finding that knowledge is element of offense, does not support claim that in multiple-element offense, knowledge is required with respect to each element (see sec. 31.766)	31-38
31.5724	"Knowing"	31-38
31.5725	"Reasonably should know" (see sec. 31.5792)	31-38
31.5726	"Knowledge"	31-39
31.5727	Difference between intentional and knowing (see sec. 31.5715)	31-39
31.573	"Reasonably believes"	31-39
31.574	"Heat of passion" (see secs. 32.21 and 32.531)	31-39
31.575	"Intent to defraud"	31-39
31.576	"Wilfully"; "Willfulness"	31-39
31.577	"Depraved mind" (see sec. 31.5511)	31-39
31.578	"Mens rea"	31-39
31.579	Other	31-39
31.5791	"Scienter"	31-39
31.5792	"Reasonably should know"	31-39
31.58	Relating To Other Matters	31-39
31.581	Relating to matters covered elsewhere in this book	31-39
31.5811	Relating to crimes against children (see sec. 38.61)	31-39
31.5812	Relating to procedural matters (see sec. 12.71)	31-39
31.5813	Relating to gambling offenses (see sec. 38.52)	31-39

	31.5814	Relating to traffic offenses (see sec. 37.12)	31-39
	31.5815	Relating to controlled substances offenses (see sec. 36.191)	31-39
	31.5816	Relating to sentencing (see sec. 9.492)	31-40
	31.5817	Relating to everyday words commonly interpreted as part of statutory construction (see sec. 45.28)	31-40
	31.5818	Relating to other matters (see sec. 47.871)	31-40
31.582		Other words and phrases	31-40
	31.5821	"Benefit"	31-40
	31.5822	"Value"; "valuable"	31-40
	31.5823	"Effect"	31-40
	31.5824	"Element" of offense	31-40
	31.5825	"Acting in official capacity"	31-40
31.59		Relating To Other Matters (cont'd)	31-40
	31.591	Other words and phrases	31-40
	31.5911	"Consent" and "without consent"	31-40
		31.59111 In general	31-40
		31.59112 Use of sexual-assault definition of consent to define consent for purposes of other offenses	31-40
	31.5912	"Legal custody" (see sec. 38.613)	31-40
	31.5913	"Legal custodian"	31-40
	31.5914	"Reasonable"	31-40
	31.5915	"Expectation of privacy"	31-40
	31.5916	"Reasonable person"	31-40
	31.5917	"Accident"	31-40
		31.59171 In general	31-41
		31.59172 Can include intentional conduct	31-41
		31.59173 "Accident" involving motor vehicle includes, at a minimum, an operator's loss of control of vehicle that results in collision; it is not limited to collision itself	31-41
	31.5918	"Design"; "designed"	31-41
	31.5919	"Subcontractor"	31-41
31.592		Other words and phrases (cont'd)	31-41
	31.5921	"Sentence" (see sec. 9.4921)	31-41
	31.5922	"Vagina"	31-41
	31.5923	"Parent"	31-41
	31.5924	"Not subsequently legitimated"	31-41
	31.5925	"Evidence"	31-41
	31.5926	"Judgment"	31-41
	31.5927	"Criminal gang member"	31-41
	31.5928	"Substantial factor"	31-41
	31.5929	"Prisoner" (see sec. 31.547)	31-41
31.593		Other words and phrases (cont'd)	31-41
	31.5931	"Lewd"	31-41
	31.5932	"Void"	31-41
	31.5933	"Worthless"	31-42
	31.5934	"Spent"	31-42
	31.5935	"Into"	31-42
	31.5936	"Sale"	31-42
	31.5937	"In relation to"	31-42

	31.5938 "Falsely made"	31-42
	31.5939 "Improvement"	31-42
31.594	Other words and phrases (cont'd)	31-42
	31.5941 "Conviction" (see sec. 12.7131)	31-42
	31.5942 "Born alive"	31-42
	31.5943 "Similar"	31-42
	31.5944 "Results in"	31-42
	31.5945 "Consideration"	31-42
	31.5946 "Custody": in general	31-42
	31.5947 "Custody": for purposes of escape statute (see sec. 35.4412)	31-42
	31.5948 "Imminent"	31-42
	31.5949 "Go"; "goes"; "going"	31-42
31.595	Other words and phrases (cont'd)	31-42
	31.5951 "Immediate"	31-42
	31.5952 "Bond"	31-42
	31.5953 "Premises"	31-42
	31.5954 "Prosecution"	31-43
	31.5955 "Any"	31-43
	31.5956 "Compound"	31-43
	31.5957 "Sanction"	31-43
	31.5958 "Medical care"	31-43
	31.5959 "Park"	31-43
31.596	Other words and phrases (cont'd)	31-43
	31.5961 "Dwelling"	31-43
	31.5962 "Indirect"	31-43
	31.5963 "Earn"	31-43
	31.5964 "Materiality"	31-43
	31.5965 "Accompanied"	31-43
	31.5966 "Reproduce"; "Reproduction"	31-43
	31.5967 "Pictorial"	31-43
	31.5968 "Picture"	31-43
	31.5969 "Battered child syndrome"	31-43
31.597	Other words and phrases (cont'd)	31-43
	31.5971 "Probable"	31-43
	31.5972 "Substantial" and "substantially"	31-43
	31.5973 "Practice"	31-44
	31.5974 "Afford"	31-44
	31.5975 "Automatically"	31-44
	31.5976 "Contraband"	31-44
	31.59761 In general	31-44
	31.59762 Not limited to materials that are per se illegal	31-44
	31.59763 Includes money acquired through sale of, or used to purchase, controlled substances	31-44
	31.59764 Includes items that are put to an illegal use or acquired illicitly	31-44
	31.5977 "Violation"	31-44
	31.5978 "Employed by"	31-44
	31.5979 "Relationship"	31-44
31.598	Other words and phrases (cont'd)	31-44
	31.5981 "Claim"	31-44

31.5982	"Value"	31-44
31.5983	"Incapable"	31-44
31.5984	"Appraise"	31-44
31.5985	"Whoever" (see sec. 31.5491)	31-44
31.5986	"Away"	31-44
31.5987	"Leave"	31-44
31.5988	"Corrupt"; "corruptly"	31-44
31.5989	"During"	31-44
31.599	Other words and phrases (cont'd)	31-45
31.5991	"Practice"	31-45
31.5992	"Profession"	31-45
31.5993	"Calling"	31-45
31.5994	"Trade"	31-45
31.5995	"Property"	31-45
31.5996	"Used"	31-45
31.5997	"Agency"; "principal and agent"	31-45
31.5998	"False"	31-45
31.5999	"Mislead"; "Misleading"	31-45
31.6	Penalty Enhancers	31-45
31.61	General Principles	31-45
31.611	Effect of penalty enhancer on substantive offense; does penalty enhancer become element of offense?	31-45
31.6111	In general	31-45
31.6112	Penalty enhancer that concerns facts or circumstances related to the underlying crime (e.g., while armed with a dangerous weapon, with one's identity concealed, etc.) alters substantive nature of charged offense by becoming an element of the offense that must be proved at trial beyond a reasonable doubt	31-45
31.6113	Penalty enhancer based on defendant's prior commission or conviction of offense, which does not concern the factual circumstances surrounding underlying crime and does not change substantive nature of charged offense, is not element of offense that must be proved at trial beyond a reasonable doubt	31-46
31.612	Manner or method of calculation of enhanced sentence; determining length of potential enhancement and periods of confinement and extended supervision	31-46
31.6121	When multiple penalty enhancers applied	31-46
31.61211	When two penalty enhancers are applicable to same crime, length of second penalty enhancer is based on maximum term for base crime as extended by first penalty enhancer	31-46
31.6122	Under determinate sentencing, only term of confinement, not period of extended supervision, is increased by penalty enhancer	31-46
31.61221	In general	31-46

31.61222	When trial court improperly allocates portion of enhanced sentence to extended supervision, remedy is remand for resentencing	31-46
31.6123	Under TIS-I version of determinate sentencing, for unclassified felonies penalty enhancer is added to underlying maximum term of confinement, which increases total term of imprisonment by same amount and then the 75% rule of Wis. Stat. § 973.01(2)(b)6 is applied to the total term of imprisonment to calculate the maximum amount of confinement	31-46
31.6124	Under TIS-I version of determinate sentencing, extended supervision portion of enhanced sentence cannot exceed extended supervision portion of sentence for underlying offense, even in those cases where it then becomes impossible to impose period of extended supervision that is at least 25% of period of confinement	31-47
31.6125	When court has allocated portion of confinement under enhanced sentence to underlying offense that is in excess of maximum confinement for underlying offense, remedy is remand to trial court for resentencing	31-47
31.613	If sentence does not exceed maximum for underlying offense, does appellate court need to decide whether enhanced penalty provision was applicable or properly applied? In such a situation, is any error harmless?	31-47
31.6131	In general	31-47
31.6132	When penalty enhancer requires minimum sentence and sentence is greater than that minimum, portion of sentence may have been due to that enhancer, so appellate court must decide whether enhancer applicable	31-47
31.614	Effect of imposition of sentence for underlying offense, or of attributing portion of sentence to underlying offense, that is less than maximum possible for that offense	31-47
31.6141	No need to decide whether penalty enhancer applicable when sentence imposed is within maximum for underlying offense (see sec. 31.613)	31-47
31.6142	Court abuses discretion in allocating portion of sentence to repeater status when less than maximum sentence imposed	31-47
31.6143	Penalty enhancement not applicable unless court seeks to impose sentence in excess of maximum prescribed by law	31-47
31.6144	In multi-count cases, failure to make maximum sentence consecutive does not make sentence less than maximum; consecutive sentencing not required to trigger penalty enhancement provision	31-47
31.6145	Does not invalidate portion of sentence attributed to penalty enhancer if total sentence, including portion attributed to penalty enhancer, exceeds maximum possible for underlying offense	31-48
31.615	Liability of aider and abettor or coconspirator for a penalty enhancer when principal is one who provides basis for finding penalty enhancer present	31-48

31.616	Burden and standard of proof on penalty enhancer based on defendant's prior conviction of crime	31-48
31.6161	In general	31-48
31.6162	Constitutional requirements	31-48
31.61621	In general	31-48
31.61622	Is state required by constitution to prove penalty enhancer beyond a reasonable doubt?	31-48
31.61623	Under constitution, may penalty enhancer only be proved by preponderance of the evidence?	31-48
31.617	Sentencing or resentencing for crime with enhanced penalty	31-48
31.6171	On resentencing after setting aside of penalty enhancer, appellate court can direct sentence to be imposed when trial court has allocated portion of sentence to substantive crime, but if trial court has not, resentencing by trial court is necessary	31-48
31.618	Other matters	31-48
31.6181	Sentence is not considered enhanced until court has imposed maximum possible sentence for underlying offense	31-48
31.6182	When state alleges one penalty enhancer in complaint, but then alleges different penalty enhancer in information, penalty enhancer alleged in complaint is deemed abandoned	31-48
31.6183	Effect of appellate court's holding that penalty enhancer cannot be sustained on conviction of underlying offense to which penalty enhancer was attached	31-49
31.6184	When elements of penalty enhancer are not in fact present, court has no jurisdiction to impose enhanced penalty even though defendant has pled guilty to charging document alleging penalty enhancer	31-49
31.6185	Imposition of two consecutive sentences, one for underlying substantive offense and other for penalty enhancer, violates double jeopardy (see sec. 29.685)	31-49
31.6186	Use of multiple enhancers based on prior convictions (see sec. 31.6281)	31-49
31.6187	When penalty for present offense is enhanced based on defendant's conviction of prior offense(s), i.e., his status as recidivist, enhanced punishment is only for present offense and not for offense(s) of which he was previously convicted	31-49
31.62	For Habitual Criminality Under State Repeater Statute	31-49
31.621	Constitutionality of habitual criminality statute	31-49
31.6211	In general	31-49
31.6212	Constitutionality of application to misdemeanor offenses which results in all misdemeanors ending up with same potential punishment regardless of seriousness	31-49
31.6213	Constitutionality of application to attempt under which halving effect of attempt statute may be negated	31-49
31.6214	Enhanced penalty is not additional punishment for earlier crime and thus does not violate double jeopardy (see sec. 29.696)	31-49

31.622	Purpose, effect, operation, and history of habitual criminality statute in general	31-49
31.6221	In general	31-49
31.6222	Purpose of habitual criminality statute	31-50
31.6223	Effect of habitual criminality statute	31-50
31.62231	Effect of this penalty enhancer on substantive offense	31-50
31.62232	Repeater status does not constitute element of crime charged that must be proved at trial beyond reasonable doubt	31-50
31.62233	Does not create separate offense	31-50
31.6224	Operation of habitual criminality statute	31-50
31.62241	In general	31-50
31.62242	Meaning of "crime" in description of present offense for which punishment may be enhanced: in general	31-51
31.62243	Meaning of "crime" in description of present offense for which punishment may be enhanced: includes motor vehicle offenses	31-51
31.62244	When prior conviction occurs for purposes of habitual criminality statute (see sec. 31.6294)	31-51
31.62245	Requirement that prior conviction(s) that render one a repeater have been committed within preceding five-year period (see secs. 31.6257 and 31.6258)	31-51
31.6225	History of habitual criminality statute	31-51
31.623	Prior convictions and other matters that may not be used to support repeater status	31-51
31.6231	Prior conviction obtained in violation of defendant's constitutional rights (e.g., denial of counsel, lack of knowing and voluntary plea, etc.)	31-51
31.62311	In general	31-51
31.62312	Constitutionality of placing burden of proof or production on defendant to show violation of constitutional rights	31-51
31.62313	Constitutionality of limiting state's burden to prove constitutionality of conviction to making showing of constitutionality by preponderance of evidence	31-51
31.6232	Read-in offenses	31-51
31.624	Alleging repeater status in charging document and amending charging document with respect to repeater status	31-51
31.6241	Alleging repeater status in charging document	31-51
31.62411	Requirement of allegation of repeater status in general	31-52
31.62412	Required content of allegation of repeater status in general	31-52
31.62413	Need to plead repeater allegation with relative clarity and precision	31-52

31.62414	Repeater allegation that correctly identifies nature of prior conviction, county where conviction occurred, and case number, but misstates date of conviction by one calendar day is sufficient to adequately charge repeater status	31-52
31.62415	Need to allege not only that defendant is repeater, but also the prior conviction(s) that render him or her a repeater	31-52
31.62416	Failure of information to allege necessary factual information regarding prior conviction (i.e., nature and date) is not fatal if complaint alleges that information, so long as the two documents are not factually inconsistent with each other	31-52
31.62417	When defendant alleged to be repeater in information, but information lacks required allegation regarding nature of prior conviction(s) that renders him or her a repeater, state's subsequent submission of certified copy of prior conviction(s) before defendant enters final plea operates as amendment of information curing its defects	31-52
31.62418	When prior conviction is outside five-year period, but sufficient time is excluded by defendant's incarceration to bring conviction within five years, it is not necessary to allege the period of incarceration	31-52
31.62419	Not necessary to allege repeater status in information, so long as allegation made in complaint	31-53
31.6242	Alleging repeater status in charging document (cont'd)	31-53
31.62421	Not necessary to allege enhanced penalty to which defendant is exposed	31-53
31.62422	Assuming notice of repeater status requires informing defendant of requirements under sec. 939.62 for proving repeater status, reference to statute number is sufficient	31-53
31.62423	Compliance with requirement that defendant have adequate notice of repeater status through repeater allegations raises constitutional due process concerns	31-53
31.6243	Amending charging document with respect to repeater status	31-53
31.62431	In general	31-53
31.62432	By amending original charging document to include repeater allegation not initially made: in general	31-53
31.62433	By amending original charging document to include repeater allegation not initially made: when defendant agrees to amendment as part of plea agreement	31-53

31.62434	Amendment of charging document alleging repeater status to include required information regarding nature of prior conviction(s) that renders him or her a repeater may be made at anytime prior to entry of final plea	31-54
31.62435	By amending charging document that alleges repeater status to change information regarding prior conviction, e.g., its nature, its date, etc.	31-54
31.62436	By amending charging document that alleges repeater status to make technical corrections, e.g., corrections in designation of statutory subsection under which repeater allegation made	31-54
31.62437	By amending charging document that alleges repeater status to correct error regarding that status	31-54
31.62438	By amending incorrect recitation of additional punishment to which defendant would be liable as repeater	31-54
31.62439	By amending charging document that alleges repeater status to add additional prior misdemeanor conviction to three prior misdemeanor convictions initially alleged as basis for repeater status	31-54
31.6244	Amending charging document with respect to repeater status (cont'd)	31-54
31.62441	When defendant alleged to be repeater in information, but information lacks required allegation regarding nature of prior conviction(s) that renders him or her a repeater, state's subsequent submission of certified copy of prior conviction(s) before defendant enters final plea operates as amendment of information (see sec. 31.62417)	31-55
31.62442	By dismissing original charging document without prejudice and filing new charging document with repeater allegation	31-55
31.62443	By amending charging document with respect to basis for repeater allegation, e.g., by substituting felony conviction not originally alleged in place of three misdemeanor convictions originally alleged	31-55
31.62444	By amending charging document with respect to basis for repeater allegation, e.g., by substituting new conviction(s) not originally alleged in place of convictions originally alleged	31-55
31.62445	May amendment be made after jury trial?	31-55
31.625	Proving prior conviction or convictions and that they occurred during preceding five-year period	31-55
31.6251	General principles	31-55
31.62511	Need for proof of prior conviction in general	31-55
31.62512	Burden and standard of proof	31-55

31.62513	How repeater status is to be proved in general	31-55
31.62514	When, in criminal prosecution, proof of repeater status is to be made	31-55
31.62515	Proof of repeater status is not to be submitted to jury	31-56
31.62516	Defendant must have opportunity to challenge existence of prior conviction(s) before sentencing	31-56
31.6252	Proving prior conviction by defendant's admission	31-56
31.62521	In general	31-56
31.62522	Admission of prior conviction by defendant's entry of guilty or no-contest plea to charging document alleging prior convictions: in general	31-56
31.62523	Admission of prior conviction by defendant's entry of guilty or no-contest plea to charging document alleging prior convictions: requires defendant's understanding of meaning and consequences of plea and understanding or acknowledgement of linkage between prior record and possible maximum penalties	31-56
31.62524	Admission, through guilty or no-contest plea to one charge, of prior convictions provides basis for sentencing as a repeater on any other charges that have been consolidated with the charge to which the guilty or no-contest plea was entered	31-57
31.62525	Admission must be made by defendant personally; cannot be made by attorney	31-57
31.62526	Admission must contain specific reference to date of conviction and any relevant period of incarceration if conviction is more than five years old	31-57
31.62527	Admission by defendant that he is "repeater" as defined by Wisconsin Statutes, combined with allegation of nature and date of prior conviction in complaint, is sufficient to constitute admission of prior conviction	31-57
31.62528	Admission by defendant that he pled guilty to offense is sufficient to establish that he was convicted of offense	31-57
31.6253	Proving prior conviction by proof submitted by state in general	31-57
31.62531	In general	31-57
31.62532	In proving prior conviction by documentary evidence, state is not bound to rules of evidence	31-57
31.62533	In determining whether state has submitted sufficient proof, court must look to totality of post-trial evidence presented by state	31-57
31.62534	Burden and standard of proof (see sec. 31.62512)	31-58
31.62535	Use of CCAP report	31-58
31.62536	Sufficiency of proof in particular case	31-58

31.6254	Proving prior conviction by use of official report of FBI or other governmental agency	31-58
31.62541	In general	31-58
31.62542	Presentence report	31-58
31.62543	Certified copy of conviction (see sec. 31.6255)	31-58
31.62544	CCAP report is not official report of governmental agency	31-58
31.6255	Proving prior conviction by production of judgment of conviction	31-58
31.62551	In general	31-58
31.62552	Contention that judgment of conviction was not sufficient because it was not certified is waived by failure to object on that ground in trial court	31-58
31.62553	Contention that judgment of conviction was not admissible to prove prior conviction because it was not certified is waived by failure to object on that ground in trial court	31-59
31.62554	Admissibility of, and reliance on, judgment of conviction that is not certified	31-59
31.6256	Proving prior conviction by court's taking judicial notice of prior convictions	31-59
31.6257	Proving that prior conviction was during preceding five-year period	31-59
31.62571	In general	31-59
31.62572	By defendant's admission of imprisonment that brings prior conviction within required five-year period: in general	31-59
31.62573	By defendant's admission of imprisonment that brings prior conviction within required five-year period: admission must be unequivocal	31-59
31.62574	By state's proof: proof of incarceration that tolls five-year period must be unequivocal	31-59
31.62575	By state's proof: proving incarceration that tolls five-year period by use of probation summary prepared for sentencing upon revocation of probation	31-59
31.62576	By state's proof: proving incarceration that tolls five-year period by use of document from DOC indicating dates of imprisonment: contention that document was not sufficient because it was not "official report" is waived by failure to object on that ground in trial court	31-60
31.62577	By state's proof: proving incarceration that tolls five-year period by use of document from DOC indicating dates of imprisonment: admissibility of document in general	31-60
31.62578	By state's proof: proving incarceration that tolls five-year period by use of presentence report indicating dates of imprisonment	31-60

31.6258	Proving that prior conviction was during preceding five-year period (cont'd)	31-60
31.62581	Time spent in actual confinement serving criminal sentence that is excluded in computing five-year period does not include time spent in sex crimes commitment	31-60
31.62582	Time spent in actual confinement serving criminal sentence that is excluded in computing five-year period includes time spent on parole hold	31-60
31.62583	Time spent in actual confinement serving criminal sentence that is excluded in computing five-year period includes time spent in jail as condition of probation	31-60
31.62584	Time spent in actual confinement serving criminal sentence that is excluded in computing five-year period includes time spent in supervision under the intensive sanctions program	31-60
31.62585	When judgment of conviction entered, date of that judgment, not date of finding of guilt, is critical date for determining compliance with five-year period (see sec. 31.62943)	31-60
31.62586	Need for jury determination that prior conviction was during preceding five-year period (see sec. 26.44932)	31-60
31.62587	To determine whether "time which actor spent in actual confinement serving a criminal sentence" exists, question that must be answered is whether defendant would be entitled to sentence credit for time at issue	31-61
31.6259	Other matters	31-61
31.62591	Waiver of challenge to sufficiency of proof of prior conviction (see sec. 31.6287)	31-61
31.62592	Remedy for failure to prove prior conviction (see sec. 31.6286)	31-61
31.62593	If convictions, and that they occurred within required five-year period, not established at sentencing, defect may not be cured at postconviction proceeding	31-61
31.62594	Rule forbidding raising of issue on sec. 974.06 motion that was not raised in earlier attack on conviction (i.e., motion for new trial, direct appeal, earlier sec. 974.06 motion) does not apply to postconviction motion attacking sufficiency of (1) state's proof of defendant's repeater status or (2) defendant's admission of repeater status (see sec. 10.2471)	31-61

	31.62595 Statute curing error in excessive sentence (sec. 973.13) applies when defendant is challenging sufficiency of (1) state's proof of defendant's repeater status or (2) defendant's admission of repeater status (see sec. 9.49823)	31-61
	31.62596 Need for jury determination (see sec. 26.4493)	31-61
31.626	Effect of imposition of sentence for underlying offense, or of attributing portion of sentence to underlying offense, that is less than maximum possible for that offense	31-61
31.6261	No need to decide whether repeater provision applicable when sentence imposed is within maximum for offense	31-61
31.6262	Court abuses discretion in allocating portion of sentence to repeater status when less than maximum sentence imposed	31-62
31.6263	Habitual criminality enhancement not applicable unless court seeks to impose sentence in excess of maximum prescribed by law	31-62
31.6264	In multi-count cases, failure to make maximum sentence consecutive does not make sentence less than maximum; consecutive sentencing not required to trigger habitual criminality penalty enhancement provision	31-62
31.6265	Does not invalidate portion of sentence attributed to penalty enhancer if total sentence, including portion attributed to penalty enhancer, exceeds maximum possible for underlying offense	31-62
31.627	Manner or method of calculation of enhanced sentence; determining length of potential enhancement	31-62
31.6271	In determining maximum term of imprisonment for offense of conviction, other penalty enhancers may be applied first	31-62
31.6272	Potential enhancement is to be based on maximum term of imprisonment for offense of conviction, not grade of offense	31-62
31.6273	When substantive offense is attempt	31-62
31.6274	Under determinate sentencing, only term of confinement, not period of extended supervision, is increased by habitual criminality penalty enhancer	31-62
31.628	Other matters	31-62
31.6281	Use of multiple enhancers based on prior convictions	31-62
31.62811	General rule: when multiple enhancers are based on separate and distinct prior convictions, imposition of multiple enhancers is permissible	31-62
31.62812	General rule: when multiple enhancers are based on same prior conviction, imposition of multiple enhancers is not permissible	31-63
31.62813	Propriety of imposing enhanced sentence for habitual criminality under general repeater statute and under controlled substances act's repeater provision (see sec. 36.1844)	31-63

31.62814	May impose enhanced sentence for habitual criminality under general repeater statute on defendant convicted of the crime of possession of firearm by felon after being convicted of possession of firearm by felon (see sec. 38.14221)	31-63
31.62815	May impose enhanced sentence for habitual criminality under general repeater statute on defendant convicted of crime of drunk driving even though penalty has already been enhanced because crime is second or subsequent offense of drunk driving (see sec. 37.21793)	31-63
31.62816	In determining maximum term of imprisonment for offense of conviction under habitual criminality provision, other penalty enhancers may be applied first (see sec. 31.6271)	31-63
31.6282	Statutes curing errors in imposition of enhanced penalty: statute construing as single sentence combined terms when portion of sentence is attributable to defendant's repeater status (Wis. Stat. § 973.12(2))	31-63
31.62821	In general	31-63
31.6283	Statutes curing errors in imposition of enhanced penalty: Statute curing error in excessive sentence (Wis. Stat. § 973.13)	31-63
31.62831	This statute applicable when state has neither proven, nor gained the defendant's admission of, the defendant's repeater status (see sec. 9.499933)	31-63
31.62832	If defendant illegally sentenced as repeater, sentence is commuted without further proceedings to maximum permitted by law	31-63
31.6284	In determining maximum probationary term for an offense, one must use the maximum prison sentence for the offense when that sentence has been enhanced by the provisions of the habitual criminality statute	31-64
31.6285	Application of habitual criminality sentence enhancer to crime of possession of a firearm by a felon	31-64
31.62851	In general	31-64
31.62852	May apply habitual criminality sentence enhancer to offense of possession of firearm by felon without violating double jeopardy	31-64
31.6286	Remedy for failure to prove prior conviction	31-64
31.6287	Waiver of challenge to propriety of sentencing as repeater and to proof of repeater status	31-64
31.62871	By failure to make motion for new trial raising issue	31-64
31.62872	By sitting silently by at sentencing hearing during discussion of repeater status	31-64
31.62873	By failing to object to sentencing as repeater at time of sentencing	31-64
31.62874	By failing to object to proof offered by state at sentencing	31-64

31.62875	Contention that judgment of conviction was not sufficient because it was not certified is waived by failure to object on that ground in trial court (see sec. 31.62552)	31-64
31.62876	Contention that judgment of conviction was not admissible to prove prior conviction because it was not certified is waived by failure to object on that ground in trial court (see sec. 31.62553)	31-64
31.62877	Contention that document showing dates of incarceration was not sufficient because it was not "official report" is waived by failure to object on that ground in trial court (see sec. 31.62576)	31-64
31.6288	Use of prior conviction obtained without counsel	31-65
31.62881	In general	31-65
31.62882	When prior conviction not invalid on account of absence of counsel because incarceration not imposed upon conviction	31-65
31.6289	Repeater statute and its sentence enhancing provisions not applicable to sentence imposed for contempt	31-65
31.629	Other matters (cont'd)	31-65
31.6291	Requirement that conviction precede subsequent offense	31-65
31.6292	Meaning of phrase "convicted of a misdemeanor on three separate occasions"	31-65
31.6293	Collateral attack on prior convictions	31-65
31.62931	In general	31-65
31.62932	On basis of lack of counsel at proceeding resulting in conviction: in general	31-65
31.62933	On basis of lack of counsel at proceeding resulting in conviction: burden of proof (see sec. 12.7525)	31-66
31.62934	On basis that plea, pursuant to which conviction was entered, was not knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily entered	31-66
31.62935	On basis of ineffective assistance of counsel	31-66
31.62936	When defendant has stipulated to prior conviction, collateral attack on it is not permitted	31-66
31.6294	Prior "conviction" occurs upon finding of guilt or entry and acceptance of guilty plea	31-66
31.62941	In general	31-66
31.62942	Subsequent entry of judgment of conviction after commission of present offense for which state is attempting to enhance punishment does not mean that "conviction" did not occur before commission of present offense	31-66
31.62943	When judgment of conviction entered, date of that judgment, not date of finding of guilt, is critical date for determining compliance with five-year period	31-66
31.6295	Appellate review of repeater issues	31-66
31.62951	In general	31-67

31.62952	Whether repeater allegation in charging document was sufficient	31-67
31.62953	Whether state adequately proved that defendant was a repeater	31-67
31.6296	Other matters	31-67
31.62961	Rule forbidding raising of issue on sec. 974.06 motion that was not raised in earlier attack on conviction (i.e., motion for new trial, direct appeal, earlier sec. 974.06 motion) does not apply to postconviction motion attacking sufficiency of (1) state's proof of defendant's repeater status or (2) defendant's admission of repeater status (see sec. 10.2471)	31-67
31.62962	When state alleges habitual criminality penalty enhancer in complaint, but alleges persistent repeater penalty enhancer in information, it has abandoned habitual criminality penalty enhancer	31-67
31.62963	Not necessary to allege repeater status in information, so long as allegation made in complaint	31-67
31.62964	Criminal conviction in cases in which defendant was waived from juvenile court into adult court may be used as basis for a repeater allegation	31-67
31.62965	Constitutionality of using prior conviction to create repeater status when prior conviction occurred before statute was enacted that authorized its use to create repeater status	31-67
31.62966	This penalty enhancer does not apply to crime of escape: does it apply to solicitation to commit escape?	31-67
31.62967	Need for jury determination whether defendant is repeater (see sec. 26.4493)	31-67
31.63	For Habitual Criminality Under State Persistent Repeater Statute Based On Two Or More Prior Convictions Of "Serious Felony" ("Three Strikes" Provision)	31-67
31.631	Constitutionality of this penalty enhancer	31-68
31.6311	In general	31-68
31.6312	Consistency of this penalty enhancer with Eighth Amendment's prohibition on cruel and unusual punishments	31-68
31.6313	Consistency of this penalty enhancer with right to equal protection	31-68
31.632	Operation of this penalty enhancer in general	31-68
31.633	Meaning of phrase "convicted of a serious felony on two or more separate occasions"	31-68
31.634	Meaning of "serious felony"	31-68
31.6341	In general	31-68
31.6342	Crime under federal law or law of any other state that is "comparable" to Wisconsin crime specified as "serious felony" in persistent repeater statute	31-68

31.63421	General principles for determining whether crime in other jurisdiction constitutes "comparable" crime	31-68
31.63422	Requirement that court determine whether crime is "comparable" even though defendant admits conviction of crime and that it is "comparable"	31-68
31.63423	Particular offenses as "comparable" crime: Alabama's "assault with intent to murder" is comparable to Wisconsin's attempted first-degree intentional homicide	31-68
31.63424	Particular offenses as "comparable" crimes: Illinois' "second degree murder" is comparable to Wisconsin crimes specified in persistent repeater statute	31-69
31.63425	Standard of proof that crime is "comparable"	31-69
31.63426	Who must make determination that crime is "comparable": court or jury?	31-69
31.635	Required temporal relationship between other crimes and between other crimes and present crime	31-69
31.6351	Requirement that conviction of at least one other prior crime occurred before commission of at least one other prior crime	31-69
31.6352	Requirement that conviction of two prior offenses occurred before commission of present offense	31-69
31.636	Proving prior convictions of serious felony	31-69
31.6361	Manner or method of proving prior convictions	31-69
31.63611	In general	31-69
31.63612	By defendant's entry of guilty or no contest plea to charging document alleging prior conviction	31-69
31.63613	By submission of official document	31-69
31.63614	By defendant's admission	31-69
31.6362	Standard of proof	31-69
31.63621	In general	31-69
31.63622	When question is whether prior conviction in another jurisdiction is "comparable" to Wisconsin crime specified as "serious felony" in persistent repeater statute (see sec. 31.63425)	31-69
31.63623	What may trial court consider in determining whether prior convictions of serious felony have been proved	31-69
31.637	Amending charging document regarding persistent repeater allegations	31-69
31.6371	By amending charging document with respect to basis for repeater allegation, e.g., by substituting new conviction(s) not originally alleged in place of convictions originally alleged	31-70
31.6372	May amendment be made after jury trial?	31-70
31.638	Collateral attack on prior conviction(s)	31-70
31.6381	In general	31-70
31.6382	On basis of lack of counsel at proceeding resulting in conviction	31-70

31.6383	On basis that plea, pursuant to which conviction was entered, was not knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily entered	31-70
31.6384	On basis of ineffective assistance of counsel	31-70
31.639	Other matters	31-70
31.6391	Need to identify specific prior serious felonies in charging document	31-70
31.6392	When state alleges one repeater allegation in complaint, but identifies different repeater allegation in information, state has abandoned the complaint's repeater allegation	31-70
31.64	For Habitual Criminality Under State Persistent Repeater Statute Based On Prior Conviction Of "Serious Child Sex Offense" ("Two Strikes" Provision)	31-71
31.641	Constitutionality of this penalty enhancer	31-71
31.6411	In general	31-71
31.6412	Consistency of this penalty enhancer with substantive due process	31-71
31.6413	Consistency of this penalty enhancer with equal protection	31-71
31.6414	Consistency of this penalty enhancer with Eighth Amendment's prohibition on cruel and unusual punishments	31-71
31.642	Operation of this penalty enhancer in general	31-71
31.643	Meaning of "serious child sex offense"	31-71
31.6431	In general	31-71
31.6432	Crime at any time under federal law or law of any other state or, prior to July 16, 1998, under the law of this state that is "comparable" to Wisconsin crime specified as "serious child sex offense" in persistent repeater statute	31-71
31.64321	General principles for determining whether crime constitutes "comparable" crime	31-71
31.64322	Particular offense as "comparable" crime: former first degree sexual assault of a child under Wis. Stat. § 940.225(1)(d) is comparable to present first degree sexual assault of a child under Wis. Stat. § 948.02(1)	31-71
31.65	For Use Of Dangerous Weapon	31-71
31.651	Effect of this penalty enhancer on substantive offense	31-71
31.6511	In general	31-71
31.6512	When this penalty enhancer charged, it becomes element of substantive offense that must be proved at trial beyond a reasonable doubt	31-72
31.6513	Does not convert misdemeanor to felony when total sentence exceeds one year	31-72
31.652	Constitutionality of imposing mandatory minimum sentence based on defendant's use of weapon when use of weapon not proved beyond a reasonable doubt to a jury (see sec. 9.465)	31-72
31.653	Elements of this penalty enhancer	31-72
31.6531	Requires showing of nexus between possession of weapon and commission of substantive offense	31-72
31.65311	Requirement of showing in general	31-72
31.65312	Nature of required showing	31-72

31.65313	Sufficiency of evidence to make required showing	31-72
31.65314	Retroactivity of nexus requirement	31-72
31.65315	Nexus requirement applies to cases of actual possession as well as cases of constructive possession	31-73
31.65316	Nexus requirement does not apply to aggravated burglary (unarmed, but arms himself) (see sec. 34.22213)	31-73
31.65317	Nexus requirement only applies to "possession" alternative, not to "use" or "threat" alternatives	31-73
31.65318	Effect of failure to give nexus instruction when required: error may be harmless	31-73
31.6532	Possession	31-73
31.65321	Includes both actual and constructive possession	31-73
31.6533	Dangerous weapon	31-73
31.65331	Definition of "dangerous weapon" (see sec. 31.5211)	31-73
31.65332	May include automobile (see sec. 31.6592)	31-73
31.654	Instructing on this penalty enhancer	31-73
31.6541	In general	31-73
31.6542	It is error to instruct jury that "dangerous weapon means a baseball bat"	31-73
31.6543	Effect of failure to give nexus instruction when required: error may be harmless (see sec. 31.65318)	31-73
31.655	Sufficiency of evidence to prove the existence of this penalty enhancer	31-73
31.6551	Sufficiency to show that device wielded by defendant was designed as a weapon	31-73
31.6552	Sufficiency to show required nexus between possession of weapon and commission of substantive offense (see sec. 31.65313)	31-73
31.656	When determination of this penalty enhancer taken from jury, personal waiver of right to jury trial by defendant required	31-74
31.657	Penalty enhancer may be invoked when robbery is committed while possessing a weapon	31-74
31.658	Need for new trial and/or resentencing when jury misinstructed on this penalty enhancer but judge has not used it to increase sentence beyond that provided for underlying substantive offense	31-74
31.659	Other matters	31-74
31.6591	Applicability of harmless-error rule to faulty instruction on this penalty enhancer	31-74
31.65911	In general	31-74
31.65912	When instruction lacks required "nexus" element (see sec. 31.65318)	31-74
31.6592	For purposes of this penalty enhancer, automobile may be dangerous weapon where circumstances are egregious	31-74

31.6593	Fact that court has allocated, to underlying offense, portion of sentence that is less than maximum for that offense does not invalidate portion of sentence attributed to penalty enhancer if total sentence, including portion attributed to penalty enhancer, exceeds maximum possible for underlying offense	31-74
31.66	Violent Crime In School Zone	31-74
31.661	Constitutionality of this penalty enhancer	31-74
31.6611	Consistency with equal protection	31-74
31.6612	Consistency with due process	31-74
31.67	For Concealing Identity	31-74
31.671	In general	31-74
31.672	Liability of aider and abettor or coconspirator for this penalty enhancer when principal masked	31-74
31.673	Effect of this penalty enhancer on substantive offense	31-74
31.6731	In general	31-75
31.6732	When this penalty enhancer charged, it becomes element of substantive offense that must be proved at trial beyond reasonable doubt	31-75
31.68	For Intentionally Selecting Victim Because Of Victim's Race, Religion, Etc.	31-75
31.681	Constitutionality of this penalty enhancer	31-75
31.69	For Other Factors	31-75
31.691	For Committing Second Or Subsequent Offenses Under State Controlled Substances Law (see sec. 36.184)	31-75
31.692	For committing controlled substances offense within 1,000 feet of school premises or other designated building, object, or place (see sec. 36.183)	31-75
31.693	Assault or battery in secured juvenile correctional facility	31-75
31.6931	Constitutionality of this penalty enhancer	31-75
31.694	For having three prior convictions for violent felony or serious drug offense (federal penalty enhancer for those convicted of felon in possession of firearm) (18 U.S.C. § 924(e))	31-75
31.6941	Determining whether defendant has been "convicted" of violent felony	31-75
31.69411	Where conviction resulted from jury trial	31-75
31.69412	Where conviction resulted from trial to court	31-75
31.69413	Where conviction resulted from guilty or no-contest plea	31-75
31.6942	Meaning of "burglary" in definition of "violent felony"	31-75
31.6943	Meaning of phrase "or otherwise involves conduct that presents a serious potential risk of physical injury to another" in definition of "violent felony"	31-75
31.69431	In general	31-75
31.69432	Attempted burglary, as defined by Florida law, is "violent felony" under this phrase	31-76
31.69433	Driving under influence of intoxicant is not "violent felony" under this phrase	31-76
31.69434	Failure to report for penal confinement is not "violent felony" under this phrase	31-76

31.6944	Exclusion of "any conviction which has been expunged, or set aside or for which a person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored"	31-76
31.69441	In general	31-76
31.69442	"Civil rights restored" exemption does not encompass, and therefore does not remove from 18 U.S.C. § 924(e)'s reach, state-court convictions that at no time deprived offender of civil rights (e.g., misdemeanor convictions in Wisconsin)	31-76
31.6945	Collateral attack on prior conviction not permitted except for denial of right to counsel	31-76
31.6946	Meaning of "serious drug offense"	31-76
31.69461	State drug-trafficking conviction qualifies as "serious drug offense" if "maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed by law" for "offense": "maximum term of imprisonment . . . prescribed by law" must be determined by taking recidivist enhancements into account	31-76
31.695	For using or carrying a firearm during and in relation to crime of violence or a drug trafficking crime (federal penalty enhancer) (18 U.S.C. § 924(c))	31-76
31.6951	Meaning of terms used in creating this penalty enhancer	31-76
31.69511	Meaning of "second or subsequent conviction under this subsection"	31-76
31.69512	Meaning of "uses . . . a firearm"	31-76
31.69513	Meaning of "carry" a firearm	31-76
31.69514	Meaning of "use" of a firearm	31-77
31.69515	Meaning of "in relation to" a violent crime or a drug trafficking crime	31-77
31.6952	Factors that increase mandatory minimum punishment provided for by this penalty enhancer are not elements of crime that need to be proved beyond reasonable doubt to jury	31-77
31.6953	Requirement that sentence not run concurrently with any other term of imprisonment applies to both federal and state terms of imprisonment	31-77
31.6954	Proper venue for charging this penalty enhancer: may be charged in any venue appropriate for the underlying crime of violence, even though use of firearm did not occur in that venue	31-77
31.6955	This penalty enhancer constitutes separate and distinct offense	31-77
31.6956	That firearm was machinegun, which increases penalty for this offense, is element of offense, not sentencing factor	31-77
31.6957	Provision that increases mandatory minimum sentence "if the firearm is discharged"	31-77
31.69571	In general	31-77

31.69572	Does not create element of offense that needs to be proved beyond reasonable doubt to jury (see sec. 31.6952)	31-77
31.69573	Does not require that discharge be intentional; provision applies when firearm discharges accidentally	31-77
31.6958	Provision that increases mandatory minimum sentence "if the firearm is brandished"	31-77
31.69581	In general	31-77
31.69582	Does not create element of offense that needs to be proved beyond reasonable doubt to jury (see sec. 31.6952)	31-77
31.69583	Requires that brandishment be intentional	31-77
31.696	For using fire or explosive to commit felony, or carrying explosive during commission of felony (18 U.S.C. § 844(4))	31-78
31.6961	"During" simply denotes temporal link; it does not require that carrying of explosive be related to commission of felony	31-78
31.697	For conviction of firearms possessor with three previous convictions as provided in federal armed career criminal act	31-78
31.698	For committing second or subsequent traffic offenses (see sec. 37.1551)	31-78
31.699	For Use Of Bullet Proof Garment	31-78
31.7	Other Matters Relating To Criminal Liability	31-78
31.71	Criminal Conduct Or Contributory Or Other Negligence Of Victim Is No Defense	31-78
31.711	Criminal conduct of victim	31-78
31.712	Contributory negligence of victim	31-78
31.7121	In general	31-78
31.7122	Propriety of instruction that contributory negligence of victim is no defense when, in criminal prosecution for drunk driving causing injury or death, defendant raises defense that death or injury would have occurred even in absence of intoxication (see sec. 32.73511)	31-78
31.7123	Propriety of instruction that contributory negligence of victim is no defense when issue is raised whether defendant's conduct was proximate cause of injury	31-78
31.713	Other negligence of victim	31-78
31.72	Causation	31-78
31.721	In general	31-78
31.722	Intervening cause	31-79
31.7221	In general	31-79
31.7222	Contributory negligence of victim may constitute intervening cause	31-79
31.7223	Medical malpractice as intervening cause (see sec. 31.723)	31-79
31.723	Effect of medical malpractice that contributes to victim's death	31-79
31.724	Defendant's act must have been "substantial factor" in causing proscribed result (e.g., death)	31-79

31.725	Flight to escape threatened injury is reasonably foreseeable and thus person threatening injury becomes liable for harm suffered by fleeing victim	31-79
31.726	Need for expert testimony to establish causal relationship between defendant's conduct and harm (e.g., death) done to victim (see sec. 19.5752)	31-79
31.727	Instructing on causation	31-79
31.728	When statute creating crime speaks of defendant's conduct as "result[ing] in" harm or harm "as a result" of defendant's conduct	31-79
31.73	Determining Appropriate Unit Of Prosecution	31-79
31.731	In general	31-79
31.732	Determining appropriate unit of prosecution with respect to particular offense	31-80
31.733	Determining number of drug offenses when controlled substance possessed on different occasions is from same cache (see sec. 36.1921)	31-80
31.734	When multiple victims involved	31-80
31.735	When continuing offense charged	31-81
31.736	No need to remand for resentencing when improper multiple charges have resulted in concurrent sentences	31-81
31.737	Effect of legislature's creation of gradations in penalty structure for ongoing offense	31-81
31.74	Common Law Rules And Crimes	31-81
31.741	Common law rules preserved	31-81
31.7411	General principles	31-81
31.7412	Particular common law rules	31-81
31.74121	Common right to resist unlawful arrest (see sec. 40.9981)	31-81
31.74122	Year-and-a-day rule applicable in murder prosecutions (see sec. 31.79732)	31-81
31.742	Court's authority to modify or abrogate common law rules	31-81
31.7421	In general	31-81
31.7422	In face of Legislature's failure to modify or abrogate rule	31-81
31.7423	Particular common law rules	31-81
31.74231	Year-and-a-day rule	31-81
31.7424	Determining whether modification or abrogation of rule should be retroactive or prospective only	31-81
31.743	Abrogation of particular common law rules	31-81
31.7431	Common right to resist unlawful arrest (see sec. 40.9982)	31-81
31.7432	Year-and-a-day rule (see sec. 31.79735)	31-82
31.744	Common law crimes abolished	31-82
31.75	Criminal Liability For Omissions	31-82
31.751	In general	31-82
31.752	Duty of parent to child	31-82
31.753	If state intends to rely on omissions theory of liability in charging physical abuse of child, it must charge failing to act to prevent bodily harm under Wis. Stat. § 948.03(4)	31-82
31.754	Crime involving failure to act is committed at place where act is required to be performed	31-82
31.76	Strict Liability	31-82
31.761	In general	31-82

	<i>Page</i>
31.762	Constitutional limitations on creation of strict liability offense 31-82
31.763	Determining whether scienter or intent is element of offense when statute is silent on scienter or intent 31-83
31.7631	In general 31-83
31.7632	Inclusion of mental state in one section of statute but omission from another section indicates legislative intent to exclude mental state from latter section 31-83
31.7633	Fact that severe criminal penalty is imposed for offense does not necessarily mean that offense is not strict liability offense 31-83
31.764	Decriminalizing penalties alone is insufficient to manifest legislative intent that statute which previously had been construed to require scienter be applied as one of strict liability 31-83
31.765	Appellate review of question whether statute imposes strict liability 31-83
31.766	Concern about infliction of substantial punishment on person innocent of any intentional or negligent wrongdoing, which often animates court's rejection of strict liability and finding that intent or knowledge is element of offense, does not support claim that in multiple-element offense, knowledge or intent is required with respect to each element 31-83
31.77	Determining What Constitutes Element Of Offense That Must Be Submitted To Jury And Proved Beyond A Reasonable Doubt 31-83
31.771	In general 31-83
31.772	To determine elements of offense, one must look to statute proscribing that offense 31-84
31.773	Factor unrelated to facts and circumstances of present offense (e.g., prior conviction) that enhances punishment for particular offender is not necessarily element of offense 31-84
31.774	Factor relating to facts or circumstances of present offense (e.g., death or great bodily harm resulting from offense) that increases maximum penalty for the offense may, as constitutional matter, be necessary element of offense 31-84
31.775	Factor relating to facts and circumstances of present offense that establishes or increases mandatory minimum penalty for offense is not necessary element of offense 31-85
31.776	When statute creating offense provides that it does not apply to certain constitutionally protected conduct, fact that conduct is not constitutionally protected does not become element of offense that state must prove (see sec. 31.7987) 31-85
31.777	For purposes of "continuing series of violations" that are part of a continuing criminal enterprise, each of the "violations" that make up that "continuing series" are individual elements of offense 31-85
31.778	Other matters 31-85
31.7781	Determining whether particular matter (e.g., use of firearm or other penalty enhancer relating to circumstances of offense) constitutes element of offense or sentencing factor 31-85
31.7782	Manner of participation in crime (i.e., theory of party-to- crime liability) is not element of offense 31-85

31.7783	Fact that matter set out in statute describing offense presents legal question (e.g., whether statement was material, whether court issuing order defendant violated had jurisdiction, etc.) does not prevent it from being element of offense when mixed question of fact and law is presented	31-85
31.7784	When matter set out in statute describing offense presents pure question of law not requiring resolution of factual issue(s), it is not element of offense, but rather matter to be resolved by court	31-86
31.7785	Determining elements of offense presents question of law that appellate court reviews de novo	31-86
31.7786	Aggravating factor whose presence authorizes imposition of death penalty is functional element of offense that must be submitted to jury and proved beyond a reasonable doubt (see sec. 9.51831)	31-86
31.7787	Need for jury determination, and proof beyond a reasonable doubt, of aggravating circumstance that permits imposition of sentence outside of standard sentencing range prescribed for offense	31-86
	31.77871 Need for jury determination (see sec. 26.4493)	31-86
	31.77872 Need for proof beyond a reasonable doubt (see sec. 30.3132)	31-86
31.7788	Need for jury determination, and proof beyond a reasonable doubt, of any fact, other than fact of prior conviction, that increases penalty for crime beyond prescribed statutory maximum or that is necessary to support sentence exceeding maximum authorized by facts established by plea of guilty or jury verdict	31-86
	31.77881 Need for jury determination (see sec. 26.44)	31-86
	31.77882 Need for proof beyond a reasonable doubt (see sec. 30.313)	31-86
31.7789	Elements generally relate to "conduct" prohibited	31-86
31.779	Other matters (cont'd)	31-86
31.7791	Determining whether valuation is element of offense or sentencing factor	31-86
31.7792	Harmless error	31-86
	31.77921 Applicability of harmless error rule to instruction that relieves state of proving each element beyond a reasonable doubt because it misstates, omits, or is otherwise deficient with regard to, particular element (see sec. 11.7361)	31-86
	31.77922 Applicability of harmless error rule when court fails to require jury to find, beyond a reasonable doubt, fact that increases penalty for crime beyond prescribed statutory maximum, i.e., fact that permits imposition of sentence outside of standard range prescribed for offense (see sec. 11.7395)	31-87

31.7793	Apprendi decision does not create constitutional test for determining what elements of particular offense are; rather, it sets out test for determining what facts must be determined by jury and proved beyond reasonable doubt, whether or not those facts are elements of offense	31-87
31.7794	Determining elements of offense is not matter of constitutional law, but rather matter of legislative mandate	31-87
31.7795	Unless otherwise designated by legislature, fact relevant to conviction is presumed to be element of offense	31-87
31.7796	Legislature may designate prior conviction as element of offense	31-87
31.78	Liability Of Corporation And Corporate Officers	31-87
31.781	Liability of corporation	31-87
31.7811	In general	31-87
31.7812	Liability for homicide	31-87
31.782	Liability of corporate officers	31-87
31.7821	In general	31-87
31.7822	Liability for acts done in name of corporation	31-87
31.79	Other Matters	31-87
31.791	Conviction as party to crime after person who directly committed it has been acquitted, or has been convicted of lesser offense (see sec. 31.281)	31-87
31.792	Ignorance of law is no defense or excuse	31-88
31.793	No conviction for both inchoate and completed crime	31-88
31.7931	In general	31-88
31.7932	Does prohibition on conviction of both inchoate and completed crime prohibit conviction of two inchoate crimes that have the same completed crime as their objective? (see sec. 31.7988)	31-88
31.7933	Prohibition on conviction of both inchoate crime and completed crime does not prohibit charging both offenses	31-88
31.794	Legislature's role in creating crime	31-88
31.7941	Only legislature can create crime	31-88
31.7942	Legislature's power to define crime	31-88
31.7943	Legislature's power to delegate authority to define crime to executive or administrative agency (see sec. 31.7984)	31-88
31.795	Alleging and proving time and place of offense (see secs. 6.142, 6.143, 6.542, 12.25, and 19.22)	31-88
31.796	Continuing offense	31-88
31.7961	General principles	31-88
31.7962	Offenses that are and are not continuing offenses	31-89
31.79621	In general	31-89
31.79622	Misappropriation of personal identifying information or personal identification documents (see sec. 34.4121)	31-89
31.79623	Stalking (see sec. 33.7751)	31-89
31.79624	Welfare fraud (see sec. 38.81183)	31-89
31.7963	Propriety of charging series of separate acts that violate statute as one continuous offense	31-89

31.7964	Cannot hold defendant accountable for continuing violation beginning on date not alleged in information	31-89
31.7965	Statute of limitations for continuing offense (see sec. 12.312)	31-89
31.7966	Penalty or punishment for continuing offense	31-89
31.79661	Determining penalty that applies to continuing crime when course of conduct constituting continuing crime commences prior to date of penalty change, but concludes after that date (see sec. 9.4988)	31-89
31.797	Other matters	31-89
31.7971	Liability for homicide or other harm when victim, after being born alive, dies or suffers proscribed harm as a result of injury inflicted by defendant while victim was fetus	31-89
31.7972	Jurisdiction of state over crime	31-89
31.7973	Year-and-a-day rule applicable in murder prosecutions	31-89
31.79731	In general	31-89
31.79732	Rule preserved in Wisconsin by art. XIV, sec. 13, Wis. Const.	31-89
31.79733	Abrogation of this rule in Wisconsin: in general	31-90
31.79734	Abrogation of this rule in Wisconsin: abrogation of rule is prospective only	31-90
31.79735	Rule may be abrogated by court and abrogation may be applied to defendant's case without violating ex post facto prohibition or due process	31-90
31.7974	Reliance on LaFave's treatise on substantive criminal law in resolving legal issues relating to criminal liability	31-90
31.7975	Crime, such as robbery, is not complete until successful escape is made, which means reaching "safe harbor"	31-90
31.798	Other matters (cont'd)	31-90
31.7981	Defendant cannot be convicted of nonexistent crime	31-90
31.7982	Victim's negligence is no defense (see sec. 31.712)	31-90
31.7983	Determining whether single criminal statute defines more than one offense	31-90
31.7984	Legislature's power to delegate authority to define crime to executive or administrative agency	31-90
31.7985	Vicarious Liability	31-90
31.7986	Prohibition on criminalizing consumption of alcohol beverages	31-90
31.79861	In general	31-90
31.79862	Propriety of prosecuting defendant for bail jumping because he violated condition of bail that he not consume alcoholic beverages	31-90
31.7987	When statute creating offense provides that it does not apply to certain constitutionally protected conduct, fact that conduct is not constitutionally protected does not become element of offense that state must prove (see sec. 19.587)	31-91
31.7988	Is conviction of two inchoate crimes, both of which have same completed crime as their objective, permitted?	31-91
31.7989	Charging of two inchoate crimes, both of which have same completed crime as their objective, is permitted	31-91

	<i>Page</i>
31.799 Other matters (cont'd)	31-91
31.7991 Effect of repeal of statute creating criminal liability (Wis. Stat. § 990.04) (see sec. 45.442)	31-91
31.7992 Statute of limitations (see sec. 12.3)	31-91
31.7993 When statute refers to victim of described crime in singular, that does not necessarily mean that defendant must knowingly direct his prohibited conduct toward particular individual	31-91
31.7994 Prosecutor may charge more general statute proscribing defendant's criminal conduct even though more specific statute exists (see secs. 12.435 and 45.1412)	31-91

Chapter 32
Crimes Against Life

*Part A: Crimes Committed
Before January 1, 1989*

32.1 Crimes Classified As Murder	32-1
32.11 First-Degree Murder	32-1
32.111 Elements of this offense	32-1
32.1111 In general	32-1
32.1112 Absence of heat of passion (see secs. 32.11513 and 32.11831)	32-1
32.1113 Absence of belief in necessity of self-defense (see secs. 32.11514 and 32.11832)	32-1
32.1114 Causes the death of another "human being"	32-1
32.1115 "Causes" the death of another human being	32-1
32.1116 Relation of heat of passion to element of intent	32-1
32.112 Probable cause to believe this offense committed	32-1
32.1121 Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	32-1
32.1122 Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	32-1
32.113 Charging this offense	32-2
32.1131 Sufficiency of complaint	32-2
32.1132 Sufficiency of evidence to support this charge in information	32-2
32.114 Admission of evidence	32-2
32.1141 Evidence of motive and opportunity of others to commit murder	32-2
32.1142 Threats by accused against victim	32-2
32.1143 Psychiatric and psychologic evidence relating to defendant's intent (see sec. 18.533)	32-2
32.115 Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	32-2
32.1151 Instructing on this offense as charged offense	32-2
32.11511 Content of instruction in general	32-2
32.11512 Content of instruction when manslaughter submitted	32-2

32.11513	Necessity of instruction that state must prove absence of heat of passion	32-2
32.11514	Necessity of instruction that state must prove absence of belief in necessity of self-defense	32-2
32.11515	Instructing that jury could consider defendant's psychiatric and personal history in determining intent or mental state	32-2
32.1152	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	32-2
32.11521	Offenses that are lesser-included offenses of this offense	32-3
32.11522	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	32-3
32.11523	When evidence provides reasonable grounds for conviction of lesser offense	32-3
32.116	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	32-3
32.117	Attempt to commit this offense	32-3
32.1171	Elements of attempt to commit this offense	32-4
32.1172	Instructing on attempt to commit this offense	32-4
32.11721	In general	32-4
32.11722	Necessity of instruction that state must prove absence of belief in necessity of self-defense	32-4
32.1173	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for attempt to commit this offense	32-4
32.11731	Offenses that are and are not lesser-included offense of this attempt	32-4
32.11732	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	32-4
32.1174	Sufficiency of evidence to convict of attempt to commit this offense	32-5
32.1175	Other matters relating to attempt to commit this offense	32-5
32.11751	Relationship of attempted first-degree murder to completed first-degree murder	32-5
32.118	Other matters	32-5
32.1181	Party to a crime	32-5
32.1182	Defenses to this offense	32-5
32.11821	Imperfect self-defense (see sec. 32.22)	32-5
32.11822	Heat of passion (see sec. 32.21)	32-5
32.1183	Other matters	32-5
32.11831	Requirement that state prove absence of heat of passion	32-5
32.11832	Requirement that state prove absence of belief in necessity of self-defense	32-5
32.11833	Effect of heat of passion	32-5
32.11834	Difference between first- and second-degree murder	32-5
32.11835	Relationship of completed first-degree murder to attempted first-degree murder	32-5

32.11836	Liability for this crime when victim, after being born alive, dies of injury inflicted by defendant while victim was fetus	32-5
32.11837	Effect of medical malpractice that contributes to victim's death	32-6
32.11838	Propriety of convicting and punishing for this offense and for burglary/battery arising out of same transaction	32-6
32.11839	Year-and-a-day rule (see sec. 31.7973)	32-6
32.12	Second-Degree Murder (By Conduct Imminently Dangerous And Evincing A Depraved Mind, Regardless Of Human Life)	32-6
32.121	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	32-6
32.122	Elements of this offense	32-6
32.1221	In general	32-6
32.1222	Depraved mind	32-6
32.1223	Conduct imminently dangerous to another	32-6
32.1224	Absence of heat of passion (see secs. 32.12513 and 32.12712)	32-6
32.1225	Absence of belief in necessity of self-defense (see secs. 32.12514 and 32.12713)	32-6
32.1226	Causes the death of another "human being"	32-6
32.1227	"Causes" the death of another human being	32-7
32.123	Charging this offense	32-7
32.1231	Sufficiency of evidence at preliminary to support charging this offense	32-7
32.124	Sufficiency of evidence to provide factual basis for plea	32-7
32.125	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	32-7
32.1251	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	32-7
32.12511	Content of instruction in general	32-7
32.12512	When evidence sufficient to permit instruction	32-7
32.12513	Necessity of instruction that state must prove absence of heat of passion	32-7
32.12514	Necessity of instruction that state must prove absence of belief in necessity of self-defense	32-7
32.1252	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	32-7
32.12521	Offenses of which this is a lesser-included offense	32-7
32.12522	When evidence provides reasonable grounds for conviction of this offense	32-7
32.12523	When evidence leaves doubt as to greater offense	32-7
32.1253	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	32-7
32.12531	Offenses that are and are not lesser-included offenses of this offense	32-7
32.12532	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	32-8
32.12533	When evidence provides reasonable grounds for conviction of lesser offense	32-8
32.126	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	32-8
32.1261	In general	32-8
32.1262	To prove that defendant caused death	32-8

32.1263	To prove that conduct was "imminently dangerous to another"	32-8
32.1264	To prove that conduct "evinced a depraved mind, regardless of live"	32-8
32.1265	When small child is victim	32-8
32.127	Other matters	32-8
32.1271	Other matters	32-8
32.12711	Relationship of heat of passion to this offense	32-8
32.12712	Requirement that state prove absence of heat of passion	32-8
32.12713	Requirement that state prove absence of belief in necessity of self-defense	32-8
32.12714	Difference between second-degree murder and first-degree murder	32-9
32.12715	Difference between second-degree murder and manslaughter (heat of passion)	32-9
32.12716	Liability for this crime when victim, after being born alive, dies of injury inflicted by defendant while victim was fetus	32-9
32.12717	Effect of medical malpractice that contributes to victim's death	32-9
32.12718	Year-and-a-day rule (see sec. 31.7973)	32-9
32.13	Second-Degree Murder (As Natural And Probable Consequence Of Commission Of Felony) (Former Third-Degree Murder)	32-9
32.131	Elements of this offense	32-9
32.1311	In general	32-9
32.1312	Causes the death of another "human being"	32-9
32.1313	"Causes" the death of another human being	32-9
32.132	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	32-9
32.1321	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	32-9
32.13211	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	32-9
32.13212	When evidence provides reasonable grounds for conviction of this offense	32-9
32.13213	Evidence must reveal reasonable doubt as to both first- and second-degree murder	32-9
32.133	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	32-9
32.134	Other matters	32-10
32.1341	Penalty or punishment for offense	32-10
32.13411	Capital punishment	32-10
32.1342	Other matters	32-10
32.13421	Propriety of conviction of this offense and underlying felony	32-10
32.13422	Liability for this crime when victim, after being born alive, dies of injury inflicted by defendant while victim was fetus	32-10
32.13423	Effect of medical malpractice that contributes to victim's death	32-10
32.13424	Propriety of conviction of this offense and underlying felony	32-10

32.2 Crimes Classified As Manslaughter	32-10
32.21 Manslaughter (Heat Of Passion)	32-10
32.211 Elements of this offense	32-10
32.2111 In general	32-10
32.2112 Heat of passion	32-10
32.2113 Effect of intoxication	32-10
32.212 Admission of evidence	32-10
32.2121 In general	32-10
32.2122 Psychiatric and psychological evidence	32-10
32.2123 Expert testimony on battered woman syndrome	32-10
32.213 Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	32-11
32.2131 Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	32-11
32.21311 Offenses of which this is a lesser-included offense	32-11
32.21312 When evidence provides reasonable grounds for conviction of this offense	32-11
32.21313 Content of instruction when this offense submitted as lesser-included degree of homicide	32-11
32.214 Sufficiency of evidence to convict	32-11
32.215 Attempt to commit this offense	32-11
32.2151 Other matters	32-11
32.21511 Crime of attempted manslaughter (heat of passion) does exist	32-11
32.216 Other matters	32-11
32.2161 Other matters	32-11
32.21611 Effect of intoxication in determining whether defendant acted in heat of passion	32-11
32.21612 Difference between this offense and second- degree murder (see sec. 32.12715)	32-11
32.21613 Burdens of production and proof with respect to manslaughter (heat of passion)	32-11
32.21614 State must prove nonexistence of this offense to convict of first- or second-degree murder (see secs. 32.11513, 32.11831, 32.12513, and 32.12712)	32-11
32.21615 Nature of this offense	32-12
32.22 Manslaughter (Imperfect Self-Defense)	32-12
32.221 Elements of this offense	32-12
32.2211 In general	32-12
32.2212 Imperfect self-defense requires that defendant have a threshold reasonable belief that he was preventing or terminating an unlawful interference with his person	32-12
32.2213 Unreasonable belief stemming entirely from mental delusions and paranoia does not qualify defendant for conviction of this offense	32-12
32.222 Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	32-12
32.2221 Instructing on this offense as charged offense	32-12
32.22211 Content of instruction	32-12
32.22212 When evidence sufficient to permit or require instruction	32-12

32.22213	When jury properly instructed on perfect self-defense, it is inconsistent and improper to deny manslaughter self-defense instruction	32-12
32.2222	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	32-12
32.22221	Offenses of which this is a lesser-included offense	32-12
32.22222	When evidence provides reasonable grounds for conviction of this offense	32-12
32.22223	When jury properly instructed on perfect self-defense, it is inconsistent and improper to deny manslaughter self-defense instruction	32-13
32.223	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	32-13
32.224	Attempt to commit this offense	32-13
32.2241	Instructing on attempt to commit this offense	32-13
32.2242	Instructing on this attempt as lesser-included offense	32-13
32.22421	Offenses of which this a lesser-included offense	32-13
32.22422	When evidence provides reasonable grounds for conviction of this offense	32-13
32.2243	Crime of attempted manslaughter (imperfect self-defense) does exist	32-13
32.225	Other matters	32-13
32.2251	Party to a crime	32-13
32.2252	Unreasonable belief stemming entirely from mental delusions and paranoia does not qualify defendant for conviction of this offense (see sec. 32.2213)	32-13
32.2253	State must prove nonexistence of this offense to convict of first- or second-degree murder (see secs. 32.11514, 32.11832, 32.12514, and 32.12713)	32-13
32.2254	Imperfect self-defense requires that defendant have a threshold reasonable belief that he was preventing or terminating an unlawful interference with his person (see sec. 32.2212)	32-13
32.23	Manslaughter (Coercion)	32-13
32.24	Manslaughter (Necessity)	32-13
32.3	Crimes Classified As Homicide	32-13
32.31	Homicide By Reckless Conduct	32-13
32.311	Elements of this offense	32-13
32.3111	In general	32-13
32.3112	Causes the death of another "human being"	32-14
32.3113	"Causes" the death of another human being	32-14
32.312	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	32-14
32.3121	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	32-14
32.313	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	32-14
32.3131	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	32-14
32.31311	When evidence provides reasonable grounds for conviction of this offense	32-14
32.314	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	32-14
32.315	Other matters	32-14
32.3151	Defenses to this offense	32-14
32.3152	Other matters	32-14

32.31521	Charging driver involved in race on public road with this offense when other vehicle strikes victim	32-14
32.31522	Liability for this crime when victim, after being born alive, dies of injury inflicted by defendant while victim was fetus	32-14
32.31523	Effect of medical malpractice that contributes to victim's death	32-14
32.32	Homicide By Negligent Use Of Vehicle Or Weapon	32-14
32.321	Elements of this offense	32-14
32.3211	In general	32-15
32.3212	Causes the death of another "human being"	32-15
32.3213	"Causes" the death of another human being	32-15
32.3214	"High probability"	32-15
32.322	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	32-15
32.3221	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	32-15
32.323	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	32-15
32.3231	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	32-15
32.32311	Instructing that one exceeding legal speed limit is negligent	32-15
32.3232	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	32-15
32.32321	When evidence provides reasonable grounds for conviction of this offense	32-15
32.324	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	32-15
32.325	Other matters	32-15
32.3251	Defenses to this offense	32-15
32.32511	Victim's failure to wear seat belt which would have prevented injury is not a defense to this offense	32-15
32.3252	Other matters	32-15
32.32521	Cannot charge this offense in prosecution for homicide of same victim by intoxicated use of vehicle	32-15
32.32522	Liability for this crime when victim, after being born alive, dies of injury inflicted by defendant while victim was fetus	32-15
32.32523	Propriety of charging, convicting, and punishing for this offense and for homicide by intoxicated use of vehicle	32-15
32.32524	Effect of medical malpractice that contributes to victim's death	32-15
32.33	Homicide By Intoxicated User Of Vehicle Or Firearm	32-16
32.331	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	32-16
32.3311	Constitutionality of absence of requirement of causal connection between intoxicated condition of operator and death of victim	32-16
32.3312	Constitutionality of proscription on having 0.1 grams or more of alcohol in 210 liters of breath	32-16

32.3313	Constitutionality of placing burden on defendant to prove defense that death would have occurred even in absence of intoxication	32-16
32.3314	Constitutionality of proscribing homicide by this conduct when conduct itself was not illegal	32-16
32.3315	Constitutionality of defense that death would have occurred even in absence of intoxication (see sec. 32.33621)	32-16
32.332	Elements of this offense	32-16
32.3321	Under the influence	32-16
32.3322	Having 0.1 grams or more of alcohol in 210 liters of breath	32-16
32.3323	Causes the death of "another"	32-16
32.3324	"Causes" the death of another human being	32-16
32.333	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	32-16
32.3331	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	32-16
32.334	Admission of evidence	32-16
32.3341	Evidence that defendant asked for, but was denied, alternative test for blood alcohol concentration	32-16
32.3342	Defendant's refusal to submit to blood alcohol test (see sec. 13.3621)	32-16
32.3343	Blood test evidence (see sec. 18.4)	32-17
32.335	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	32-17
32.3351	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	32-17
32.33511	Content of instruction	32-17
32.3352	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	32-17
32.33521	When evidence provides reasonable grounds for conviction of this offense	32-17
32.336	Other matters	32-17
32.3361	Appropriate unit of prosecution	32-17
32.3362	Defenses to this offense	32-17
32.33621	Defense that death would have occurred even in absence of intoxication: constitutionality of this defense	32-17
32.33622	Defense that death would have occurred even in absence of intoxication: sufficiency of evidence to raise defense	32-17
32.33623	Victim's failure to wear seat belt which would have prevented injury is not a defense to this offense	32-17
32.3363	Other matters	32-17
32.33631	Cannot charge homicide of same victim by negligent use of vehicle in prosecution for this offense	32-17
32.33632	Judge's right to permit filing of complaint charging this offense when district attorney charges civil traffic offense of operating motor vehicle while intoxicated	32-17
32.33633	No double jeopardy bar to prosecution for this offense after conviction of civil drunk driving offense based on same incident	32-17

32.33634	Liability for this crime when victim, after being born alive, dies of injury inflicted by defendant while victim was fetus	32-18
32.33635	Propriety of separate convictions based on alcohol concentration in breath and on alcohol concentration in blood	32-18
32.33636	Requirement of court approval for dismissal or amendment of this charge (see sec. 37.142)	32-18
32.33637	Propriety of charging, convicting, and punishing for this offense and for homicide by negligent use of vehicle	32-18
32.33638	Effect of medical malpractice that contributes to victim's death	32-18
32.34	Homicide Resulting From Negligent Control Of Vicious Animal	32-18
32.341	Elements of this offense	32-18
32.3411	In general	32-18
32.3412	Causes the death of another "human being"	32-18
32.3413	"Causes" the death of another human being	32-18
32.342	Other matters	32-18
32.3421	Other matters	32-18
32.34211	Liability for this crime when victim, after being born alive, dies of injury inflicted by defendant while victim was fetus	32-18
32.34212	Effect of medical malpractice that contributes to victim's death	32-18
32.4	Other Crimes Against Life	32-18
32.41	Abortion	32-18
32.411	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	32-18
32.42	Assisting Suicide	32-19
32.43	Other Crimes	32-19

*Part B: Crimes Committed
On Or After January 1, 1989*

32.5	Crimes Classified As Intentional Homicide	32-19
32.51	First-Degree Intentional Homicide	32-19
32.511	Elements of this offense	32-19
32.5111	In general	32-19
32.5112	"Human being"	32-19
32.512	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	32-19
32.5121	Probable cause to arrest	32-19
32.5122	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	32-19
32.513	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	32-19
32.5131	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	32-19
32.51311	Offenses that are and are not lesser-included offenses of this offense	32-19

32.51312	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	32-19
32.51313	When evidence provides reasonable grounds for conviction of lesser offense	32-19
32.514	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	32-19
32.5141	In general	32-19
32.5142	Sufficiency to prove element of "intent to kill"	32-20
32.5143	Sufficiency to negate mitigating circumstance of unnecessary defensive force (imperfect self-defense)	32-20
32.5144	Sufficiency to disprove defense of accident	32-20
32.515	Attempt to commit this offense	32-20
32.5151	Elements of attempt to commit this offense	32-20
32.51511	In general	32-20
32.51512	"Human being"	32-20
32.5152	Probable cause to believe attempt to commit this offense was committed	32-20
32.51521	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	32-20
32.5153	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	32-20
32.51531	Offenses that are lesser-included offenses of this offense	32-20
32.51532	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	32-20
32.5154	Sufficiency of evidence to convict of attempt to commit this offense	32-20
32.5155	Other matters relating to attempt to commit this offense	32-20
32.51551	Attempt to commit this offense does not cover pregnant woman's attempt to kill unborn child (e.g., by ingestion of alcohol)	32-20
32.51552	Verdict of guilty of this offense and verdict of guilty of attempted first-degree intentional homicide, based on same conduct, are not inconsistent	32-21
32.516	Other matters	32-21
32.5161	This offense is analogous to first-degree murder under old statutes	32-21
32.5162	Year-and-a-day rule (see sec. 31.7973)	32-21
32.5163	When defendant raises affirmative defense of accident, state must disprove defense beyond a reasonable doubt	32-21
32.5164	Defenses to this offense: accident	32-21
32.5165	Sufficiency of evidence at preliminary examination to prove venue for this offense	32-21
32.5166	Sufficiency of evidence at preliminary examination to prove territorial jurisdiction over this offense	32-21
32.52	First-Degree Intentional Homicide Of Unborn Child	32-21
32.521	This offense does not apply to act of woman who is pregnant with unborn child	32-21

	<i>Page</i>
32.53 Second-Degree Intentional Homicide	32-21
32.531 Mitigated by adequate provocation	32-21
32.5311 Admission of evidence	32-21
32.53111 Psychiatric and psychological evidence	32-21
32.53112 Expert testimony on battered woman syndrome	32-21
32.532 Mitigated by unnecessary defensive force (imperfect self-defense)	32-21
32.5321 Elements of this offense	32-21
32.53211 In general	32-21
32.53212 Unnecessary defensive force requires that defendant have a threshold reasonable belief that he was preventing or terminating an unlawful interference with his person (requirement announced in Camacho)	32-22
32.53213 Unnecessary defensive force does not require that defendant have threshold reasonable belief that he was preventing or terminating an unlawful interference with his person (Head holding, overruling Camacho): in general	32-22
32.53214 Unnecessary defensive force requires that defendant have threshold actual belief that he was preventing or terminating an unlawful interference with his person (Head holding, overruling Camacho): retroactivity of Head holding	32-22
32.5322 Admission of evidence	32-22
32.53221 Admission of McMorris evidence to establish defendant's state of mind	32-22
32.5323 Instructing on this offense as charged, or as lesser-included, offense	32-22
32.53231 When evidence sufficient to require instruction on this mitigating circumstance	32-22
32.53232 When jury properly instructed on perfect self-defense, it is inconsistent and improper to deny instruction on second-degree intentional homicide (unnecessary defensive force)	32-23
32.53233 Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	32-23
32.53234 When evidence provides reasonable grounds for conviction of this offense (see sec. 32.53221)	32-23
32.53235 Content of instruction	32-23
32.5324 Sufficiency of evidence to negate this mitigating circumstance (see sec. 32.5143)	32-23
32.5325 Sufficiency of evidence to convict of this offense	32-23
32.53251 In general	32-23
32.53252 Sufficiency to disprove defense of accident	32-23
32.5326 Other matters	32-23
32.53261 Relationship of new law on "unnecessary defensive force" to old law of "imperfect self-defense"	32-23

32.53262	Does imperfect self-defense require that defendant have a threshold reasonable belief that he was preventing or terminating an unlawful interference with his person? (see secs. 32.53212 and 32.53213)	32-23
32.533	Mitigated by coercion	32-23
32.534	Mitigated by necessity	32-23
32.6	Crimes Classified As Reckless Homicide	32-23
32.61	First-Degree Reckless Homicide (By Conduct Showing Utter Disregard Of Human Life)	32-23
32.611	Elements of this offense	32-23
32.6111	In general	32-23
32.6112	Defendant's awareness of risk of death or great bodily harm	32-24
32.612	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	32-24
32.6121	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	32-24
32.61211	Instructing on criminal recklessness	32-24
32.6122	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	32-24
32.61221	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	32-24
32.61222	When evidence leaves doubt as to greater offense	32-24
32.6123	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	32-24
32.61231	Offense that are and are not lesser-included offenses of this offense	32-24
32.613	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	32-24
32.6131	In general	32-24
32.6132	Sufficiency to prove "utter disregard for human life"	32-24
32.6133	Sufficiency to prove defendant's awareness of risk of death or great bodily harm	32-24
32.614	Other matters	32-24
32.6141	This offense is analogous to prior offense of second-degree murder; accordingly, decisions dealing with prior offense of second-degree murder are instructive in interpreting and dealing with this offense	32-24
32.6142	Year-and-a-day rule (see sec. 31.7973)	32-24
32.62	First-Degree Reckless Homicide (By Manufacture, Delivery, Or Administration Of Controlled Substance)	32-24
32.621	Elements of this offense	32-24
32.6211	In general	32-24
32.622	Admission of evidence	32-25
32.623	Instructing on this offense	32-25
32.6231	Use of word "alleged" to refer to substance defendant is alleged to have delivered	32-25
32.624	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	32-25
32.6241	Offenses that are and are not lesser-included offenses of this offense	32-25
32.625	Other matters	32-25

	32.6251	Permissibility of charging and convicting of this offense and contributing to delinquency of child with death as consequence based on same act (see sec. 6.17244)	32-25
32.63		Second-Degree Reckless Homicide	32-25
	32.631	Elements of this offense	32-25
		32.6311 In general	32-25
		32.6312 Defendant's awareness of risk of death or great bodily harm	32-25
	32.632	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	32-25
		32.6321 Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	32-25
		32.63211 Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	32-25
		32.63212 When evidence provides reasonable grounds for conviction of this offense	32-25
	32.6322	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	32-25
		32.63221 Offenses that are and are not lesser-included offenses of this offense	32-25
	32.633	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	32-25
		32.6331 Sufficiency to prove defendant's awareness of risk of death or great bodily harm	32-25
	32.634	Other matters	32-26
		32.6341 This offense is analogous to former homicide by reckless conduct	32-26
32.7		Crimes Classified As Homicide	32-26
	32.71	Homicide By Negligent Handling Of Dangerous Weapon, Explosives Or Fire	32-26
	32.72	Homicide By Negligent Operation Of Vehicle	32-26
		32.721 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	32-26
		32.722 Elements of this offense	32-26
		32.7221 In general	32-26
		32.7222 Criminal negligence	32-26
		32.7223 Vehicle	32-26
		32.7224 Operation or handling	32-26
	32.723	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	32-26
		32.7231 Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	32-26
		32.7232 Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	32-26
	32.724	Admission of evidence	32-26
		32.7241 Evidence of marijuana use prior to accident	32-26
		32.72411 Admissibility in general	32-26
		32.72412 Need for "conditional relevance" instruction when this evidence is admitted	32-27
	32.725	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	32-27
		32.7251 Instructing on this offense as charged offense	32-27
		32.72511 Instructing on need for unanimity as to specific act that constitutes criminal negligence	32-27
		32.7252 Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	32-27
		32.72521 Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	32-27
	32.726	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	32-27

	<i>Page</i>
32.7261 In general	32-27
32.7262 Sufficiency to prove criminal negligence	32-27
32.7263 Sufficiency to prove causal connection between criminal negligence and victim's death	32-27
32.7264 Sufficiency to prove that item negligently operated or handled was vehicle	32-27
32.7265 Sufficiency to prove operation or handling	32-27
32.727 Other matters	32-27
32.7271 Corporate liability for this offense	32-27
32.7272 Need for juror unanimity on specific act that constitutes criminal negligence (see sec. 32.72511)	32-27
32.73 Homicide By Intoxicated User Of Vehicle Or Firearm	32-27
32.731 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	32-28
32.7311 Constitutionality of placing burden on defendant to prove defense that death would have occurred even in absence of intoxication	32-28
32.7312 Constitutionality of absence of requirement of causal connection between intoxicated condition of operator and death of victim	32-28
32.732 Elements of this offense	32-28
32.7321 In general	32-28
32.7322 "Vehicle": farm tractor is vehicle	32-28
32.7323 Prior convictions, etc., are element of crime when charge involves operation with blood alcohol concentration of .08 percent or more	32-28
32.7324 Under the influence of an intoxicant	32-28
32.733 Probable cause to believe this offense committed	32-28
32.7331 Probable cause for arrest	32-28
32.734 Admission of evidence	32-28
32.7341 Prior convictions are admissible to prove "prohibited alcohol concentration" element of crime when charge involves operation with blood alcohol concentration of .08 percent or more	32-28
32.735 Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	32-28
32.7351 Instructing on this offense as charged offense	32-28
32.73511 Propriety of instruction that contributory negligence of victim is no defense when defense that death would have occurred even in absence of intoxication is raised	32-28
32.73512 Instructing on meaning of "materially impaired" in definition of "under the influence of an intoxicant"	32-28
32.73513 Instructing on meaning of "under the influence of an intoxicant"	32-29
32.7352 Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	32-29
32.73521 Offenses that are and are not lesser-included offenses of this offense	32-29
32.7353 Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	32-29

32.73531	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	32-29
32.7354	Instructing on presumption of intoxication from blood-alcohol content (see sec. 8.5316)	32-29
32.736	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	32-29
32.7361	Sufficiency to show that defendant was operator of vehicle	32-29
32.737	Defenses to this offense	32-29
32.7371	Defense that death would have occurred even in absence of intoxication	32-29
32.73711	Nature of this defense in general	32-29
32.73712	Constitutionality of this defense	32-29
32.73713	Propriety of instructing jury that contributory negligence of victim is no defense when this defense is raised	32-29
32.73714	Defendant has no right to mid-trial advisory opinion whether evidence supports giving instruction on this defense	32-29
32.738	Other matters	32-29
32.7381	No double jeopardy bar to prosecution for this offense after conviction of civil drunk driving offense based on same incident	32-29
32.74	Homicide Resulting From Negligent Control Of Vicious Animal	32-30
32.8	Other Crimes Against Life	32-30
32.81	Felony Murder	32-30
32.811	Elements of this offense	32-30
32.8111	In general	32-30
32.8112	This is strict liability offense; no mental state required	32-30
32.8113	Causation element	32-30
32.81131	In general	32-30
32.81132	Satisfied by causal connection between commission of felony and death	32-30
32.81133	Does not require that defendant's own personal conduct was substantial factor in producing death	32-30
32.8114	While committing or attempting to commit (see sec. 32.8164)	32-30
32.812	Charging this offense	32-30
32.8121	Party to underlying felony should be charged as principal to murder occurring as result of that felony, not as party to crime of felony murder	32-30
32.81211	In general	32-30
32.81212	But charging party to crime of felony murder can be harmless error	32-30
32.813	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	32-30
32.8131	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	32-30
32.81311	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	32-30
32.8132	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	32-31

	32.81321	Offenses that are and are not lesser-included offenses of this offense	32-31
32.814		Sufficiency of evidence to convict	32-31
32.815		Attempt to commit this offense	32-31
	32.8151	Crime of attempted felony murder does not exist	32-31
32.816		Other matters	32-31
	32.8161	Defendant liable for felony murder when defendant participates with accomplice in designated felony and accomplice kills pursuing officer during course of felony	32-31
	32.8162	Defendant liable for felony murder when co-felon is killed by the intended felony victim	32-31
	32.8163	Defendant liable for felony murder when one of the intended victims shoots and kills another of the intended victims	32-31
	32.8164	Scope of "while committing or attempting to commit"	32-31
	32.81641	Encompasses immediate flight from felony	32-31
	32.81642	Includes acts taken to facilitate perpetrator's escape	32-31
	32.8165	Party to the crime	32-31
	32.81651	Person convicted of felony as party to the crime becomes principal to murder occurring as result of that crime	32-31
	32.8166	Cannot convict of, and punish for, both felony murder and underlying felony	32-31
	32.8167	Nature of this offense	32-31
	32.81671	Is stand-alone unclassified crime	32-31
	32.81672	Is not penalty enhancement	32-31
	32.8168	Penalty or punishment for offense	32-32
	32.81681	Because this offense is stand-alone unclassified crime, maximum term of initial confinement under truth-in-sentencing is 37 years and 6 months (under 1999-2000 versio of statutes) and 26 years and 3 months (under 2001-02 version of statutes)	32-32
32.82		Abortion (in violation of Wis. Stat. § 940.04) (feticide)	32-32
	32.821	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	32-32
	32.8211	In general	32-32
	32.8212	Severability of invalid portions of abortion statute from valid portions (see sec. 32.8241)	32-32
	32.822	Scope and reach of this offense in general	32-32
	32.8221	This offense proscribes feticide, the intentional causing of death of an unborn quick child by violently assaulting the unborn child's mother	32-32
	32.823	This offense not impliedly repealed by enactment of the offense of abortion in violation of Wis. Stat. § 940.15	32-32
	32.824	Other matters	32-32
	32.8241	Severability of invalid portions of abortion statute from valid portions	32-32
	32.825	Related matters	32-32

	32.8251	Wisconsin statutory provision requiring parental consent prior to abortion and prescribing judicial waiver procedure (Wis. Stat. § 48.375) (see sec. 47.892)	32-32
32.83		Abortion (in violation of Wis. Stat. § 940.15)	32-32
	32.831	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	32-32
	32.832	Related matters	32-32
	32.8321	Wisconsin statutory provision requiring parental consent prior to abortion and prescribing judicial waiver procedure (Wis. Stat. § 48.375) (see sec. 47.892)	32-33
32.84		Partial-Birth Abortion (in violation of Wis. Stat. § 940.16)	32-33
	32.841	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	32-33
	32.842	Related matters	32-33
	32.8421	Wisconsin statutory provision requiring parental consent prior to abortion and prescribing judicial waiver procedure (Wis. Stat. § 48.375) (see sec. 47.892)	32-33
32.85		Assisting Suicide	32-33
	32.851	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	32-33
32.86		Mutilating Or Hiding A Corpse	32-33
	32.861	Elements of this offense	32-33
	32.8611	In general	32-33
	32.8612	"Hides"	32-33
	32.862	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	32-33
	32.8621	Sufficiency to prove that defendant "hid" corpse	32-33
32.87		Other Crimes	32-33

Chapter 33 Crimes Against Bodily Security

33.1		Crimes Involving Battery	33-1
	33.11	Simple Battery: Causing Bodily Harm With Intent To Cause Bodily Harm	33-1
	33.111	Elements of this offense	33-1
	33.1111	In general	33-1
	33.1112	Bodily harm	33-1
	33.112	Admission of evidence	33-1
	33.1121	Evidence not limited to acts alleged in complaint	33-1
	33.113	Sufficiency of evidence to establish factual basis for guilty plea to this offense	33-1
	33.114	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	33-1
	33.1141	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	33-1
	33.11411	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	33-1
	33.11412	When evidence provides reasonable grounds for conviction of this offense	33-1
	33.115	Other matters	33-1
	33.1151	Appropriate unit of prosecution	33-1
	33.11511	In general	33-1
	33.11512	Propriety of charging multiple acts of battery as one continuing offense	33-1

33.1152	No need for jury unanimity as to specific act of battery when offense continuous	33-1
33.1153	Successive prosecution for this offense after acquittal of more serious battery offense does not violate either double jeopardy or statutory prohibition on successive prosecutions	33-2
33.1154	Inmate's throwing urine in face of guard or fellow inmate does not, in itself, constitute bodily harm; it does, however, constitute bodily harm if victim testifies he suffered pain, e.g., burning in eyes	33-2
33.12	Substantial Battery: Causing Substantial Bodily Harm With Intent To Cause Bodily Harm	33-2
33.13	Substantial Battery: Causing Substantial Bodily Harm With Intent To Cause Substantial Bodily Harm	33-2
33.131	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	33-2
33.1311	Offenses that are lesser-included offenses of this offense	33-2
33.132	Other matters	33-2
33.1321	After acquittal of this offense, defendant may be prosecuted for simple battery without violating either double jeopardy or statutory prohibition on successive prosecutions	33-2
33.14	Intermediate Battery: Causing Great Bodily Harm With Intent To Cause Bodily Harm	33-2
33.141	Elements of this offense	33-2
33.1411	Causation element	33-2
33.142	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	33-2
33.1421	Offenses that are and are not lesser-included offenses of this offense	33-2
33.1422	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	33-2
33.143	Other matters	33-2
33.1431	Appropriate unit of prosecution	33-2
33.14311	Propriety of charging multiple acts of battery as one continuing offense	33-2
33.15	Aggravated Battery: Causing Great Bodily Harm With Intent To Cause Either Substantial Bodily Harm Or Great Bodily Harm	33-2
33.151	Elements of this offense	33-2
33.1511	In general	33-2
33.1512	Great bodily harm	33-3
33.152	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	33-3
33.1521	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	33-3
33.153	Admission of evidence	33-3
33.1531	Evidence not limited to acts alleged in complaint	33-3
33.154	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	33-3
33.1541	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	33-3
33.15411	Offenses that are and are not lesser-included offenses of this offense	33-3

	33.15412	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	33-3
	33.1542	Instructing on this offense as lesser included offense	33-3
	33.15421	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	33-3
	33.1543	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	33-3
	33.15431	In general	33-3
	33.15432	Instructing on "great bodily harm"	33-3
33.155		Sufficiency of evidence to convict	33-3
	33.1551	In general	33-3
	33.1552	Sufficiency to show intent to cause great bodily harm	33-3
33.156		Other matters	33-3
	33.1561	Other matters	33-3
	33.15611	No need for jury unanimity as to specific act of battery when offense continues	33-4
	33.15612	May prosecute for this offense and for recklessly endangering safety based on single course of conduct without violating double jeopardy or creating multiplicitous charge	33-4
33.16		High Risk Battery: Causing Bodily Harm With Substantial Risk (Formerly "High Probability") Of Great Bodily Harm	33-4
	33.161	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	33-4
	33.162	Elements of this offense	33-4
	33.1621	In general	33-4
	33.163	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	33-4
	33.1631	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	33-4
	33.16311	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	33-4
	33.1632	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecution for this offense	33-4
	33.16321	Offenses that are, and are not lesser-included offenses of this offense	33-4
	33.164	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	33-4
	33.1641	In general	33-4
	33.1642	Sufficiency to show "high probability of great bodily harm"	33-4
	33.165	Other matters	33-4
	33.1651	Double jeopardy does not bar conviction of both this offense and burglary/battery based on same conduct	33-4
33.17		Battery To An Unborn Child	33-4
33.18		Battery; Special Circumstances (Wis. Stat. § 940.20)	33-4
	33.181	Battery by prisoners (Wis. Stat. § 940.20(1))	33-5
	33.1811	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	33-5
	33.18111	Consistency of holding that NGI acquittee is prisoner for purposes of this crime with requirements of equal protection clause	33-5
	33.1812	Elements of this offense	33-5
	33.18121	In general	33-5
	33.18122	Confinement to state prison	33-5
	33.18123	Prisoner: in general	33-5

33.18124	Prisoner: juvenile delinquent committed to juvenile institution is prisoner	33-5
33.18125	Prisoner: person committed to mental institution upon finding of NGI is prisoner	33-5
33.18126	Prisoner: probationer who violates condition of probation and who, as a result, is taken into custody under an apprehension request is prisoner	33-5
33.1813	Admission of evidence	33-5
33.18131	Testimony of pharmacist regarding effect of medication defendant had taken to show he lacked intent to harm or felt need to defend himself	33-5
33.18132	Evidence that defendant, after biting victim, said, "I've got AIDS and now you've got it"	33-5
33.1814	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	33-5
33.18141	Offenses that are, and are not, lesser-included offenses of this offense	33-5
33.18142	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	33-6
33.1815	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	33-6
33.18151	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	33-6
33.1816	Other matters	33-6
33.18161	Defenses to this offense	33-6
33.182	Battery by persons subject to certain injunctions (Wis. Stat. § 940.20(1m))	33-6
33.183	Battery to law enforcement officers and fire fighters (Wis. Stat. § 940.20(2))	33-6
33.1831	Elements of this offense	33-6
33.18311	In general	33-6
33.18312	In official capacity: in general	33-6
33.18313	In official capacity: law enforcement officer need not be acting "lawfully" for what he or she does to be done in officer's "official capacity"	33-6
33.18314	In official capacity: officer acting outside his or her jurisdiction is not acting in "official capacity"	33-6
33.18315	Officer's "acting lawfully" is not element of this offense	33-6
33.1832	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	33-6
33.184	Battery to probation, extended supervision and parole agents and aftercare agents (Wis. Stat. § 940.20(2m))	33-6
33.185	Battery to jurors (Wis. Stat. § 940.20(3))	33-6
33.1851	Elements of this offense	33-6
33.186	Battery to public officers (Wis. Stat. § 940.20(4))	33-7
33.187	Battery to technical college district or school district officers and employees (Wis. Stat. § 940.20(5))	33-7

33.188	Battery to public transit vehicle operator, driver or passenger (Wis. Stat. § 940.20(6))	33-7
33.189	Battery to emergency medical care providers (Wis. Stat. § 940.20(7))	33-7
33.19	Battery Or Threat To Particular Persons	33-7
33.191	Battery or threat to witnesses (Wis. Stat. § 940.201)	33-7
33.1911	Elements of this offense	33-7
33.19111	In general	33-7
33.1912	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	33-7
33.19121	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	33-7
33.192	Battery or threat to judge (Wis. Stat. § 940.203)	33-7
33.1921	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	33-7
33.1922	Elements of this offense	33-7
33.19221	In general	33-7
33.19222	Must be "true threat," not idle talk, jest, exaggerated political comment, hyperbole, jest, innocuous talk, expression of political views, or other similarly protected speech	33-7
33.1923	Instructing on this offense	33-7
33.19231	Instructing on requirement that there be a "true threat"	33-7
33.1924	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	33-7
33.19241	In general	33-7
33.19242	Sufficiency to show "true threat"	33-7
33.193	Battery or threat to Department of Revenue employe (Wis. Stat. § 940.205)	33-8
33.194	Battery or threat to Department of Commerce or Department of Workforce Development employe (Wis. Stat. § 940.207)	33-8
33.2	Crimes Involving Sexual Activity	33-8
33.21	Sexual Assault	33-8
33.211	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	33-8
33.2111	In general	33-8
33.2112	Constitutionality of prohibition on sexual intercourse "with a person who the defendant knows is unconscious"	33-8
33.2113	Constitutionality of lack of requirement of intent in assaults involving sexual intercourse	33-8
33.2114	Constitutionality of prohibition on sexual contact or intercourse "with a person who suffers from a mental illness or deficiency which renders that person temporarily or permanently incapable of appraising the person's conduct"	33-8
33.212	Elements of this offense	33-8
33.2121	In general	33-8
33.21211	In general	33-8
33.21212	Elements of violation of Wis. Stat. § 940.225(2)(a) in general	33-9
33.21213	Elements of violation of Wis. Stat. § 940.225(2)(c) in general	33-9
33.2122	By use or threat of force or violence	33-9
33.21221	In general	33-9

33.21222	Includes forcible contact or force used as means of making sexual contact; element is satisfied whether force is used or threatened as part of sexual contact itself or whether it is used or threatened before sexual contact	33-9
33.21223	Use or threat of force or violence on prior occasion may be sufficient to satisfy this element (see sec. 33.21722)	33-9
33.21224	Grabbing and hugging victim tightly may constitute force or violence	33-9
33.2123	By use or threat of use of dangerous weapon	33-9
33.21231	In general	33-9
33.21232	Dog can be a dangerous weapon	33-9
33.2124	Without consent	33-10
33.21241	In general	33-10
33.21242	This element does not require victim to resist assault (see sec. 33.2125)	33-10
33.21243	Use of sexual-assault definition of consent for purposes of other crimes	33-10
33.2125	Physical resistance is not element of this offense	33-10
33.2126	Sexual contact	33-10
33.21261	In general (see sec. 31.5532)	33-10
33.21262	Touching must be for purpose of sexual arousal or gratification: in general	33-10
33.21263	Touching must be for purpose of sexual arousal or gratification: does not require proof of sexual maturity of defendant	33-10
33.21264	Sexual contact includes touching permitted or allowed by defendant	33-10
33.21265	Definition of "vagina"	33-10
33.2127	Sexual intercourse	33-10
33.21271	In general (see sec. 31.5531)	33-10
33.21272	Fellatio (see sec. 31.5533)	33-10
33.21273	Cunnilingus (see sec. 31.5539)	33-10
33.2128	Intent element of offense	33-10
33.21281	Intent not required when assault involves sexual intercourse	33-10
33.21282	Intent required when assault involves sexual contact	33-11
33.2129	Other elements of this offense	33-11
33.21291	Causes great bodily harm	33-11
33.21292	Victim's unconsciousness	33-11
33.21293	Aiding and abetting that renders what would otherwise be second-degree sexual assault first-degree sexual assault	33-11
33.21294	Element of violation of Wis. Stat. § 940.225(2)(g) that defendant be employee of facility or program specified in statute: "inpatient health care facility" includes only those facilities that are licensed or approved by DHFS	33-11

33.21295	Element of violation of Wis. Stat. § 940.225(2)(g) that defendant be employee of facility or program specified in statute: must defendant-employee's conduct occur "within scope of employment" to satisfy this element?	33-11
33.21296	Element of violation of Wis. Stat. § 940.225(2)(h) that defendant be "correctional staff member": "correctional staff member" does not include sheriff's deputy assigned to work as bailiff in courthouse, who brings inmates from correctional institution to courthouse	33-11
33.21297	If victim of assault is dead, must state prove that defendant caused death of victim as part of sequence of events during which assault occurred? court of appeals' answer: yes; supreme court's answer: no	33-11
33.213	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	33-11
33.2131	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	33-11
33.214	Charging this offense	33-11
33.2141	Sufficiency of charging document in general	33-11
33.2142	Sufficiency of charging document with respect to time of offense	33-12
33.2143	Sufficiency of complaint	33-12
33.2144	Sufficiency of information	33-12
33.2145	Amending information	33-12
33.2146	When acts of sexual assault were committed during short period of time and as such were part of one continuous criminal transaction, they may be charged together as one offense (see sec. 33.21836)	33-12
33.215	Admission of evidence	33-12
33.2151	In general	33-12
33.2152	Matters relating to admissibility of evidence covered elsewhere in this book	33-12
33.21521	In general	33-12
33.21522	Evidence barred by rape shield law (see sec. 20.83)	33-12
33.21523	Prior sexual conduct of victim (see sec. 13.3611)	33-12
33.21524	Post-assault conduct of sexual assault victim (see secs. 18.257 and 20.8422)	33-12
33.21525	Rape trauma syndrome (see sec. 18.731)	33-12
33.21526	Child sexual assault and incest victim syndrome (see sec. 18.732)	33-12
33.21527	Expert testimony on traumatization of sexual assault victim's memory (see sec. 18.2581)	33-12
33.21528	Expert testimony on lack of physical evidence in sexual assault case (see sec. 18.2591)	33-13
33.21529	Expert testimony on percent of child sexual assault accusations that are fabricated (see sec. 18.2592)	33-13

33.2153	Matters relating to admissibility of evidence covered elsewhere in this book (cont'd)	33-13
33.21531	Evidence challenged as irrelevant because its probative value is outweighed by countervailing factors (see sec. 13.361)	33-13
33.21532	Other acts evidence	33-13
33.2154	Expert testimony regarding psychosexual maturity of defendant (see sec. 18.2583)	33-13
33.2155	Admission of prior sexual conduct between defendant and victim	33-13
33.21551	For the purpose of determining whether the victim consented to alleged sexual intercourse or contact	33-13
33.21552	For the purpose of establishing motive for allegedly false accusation of sexual assault	33-13
33.2156	Admission of prior sexual assault	33-13
33.21561	To establish alternative source of child-victim's knowledge of sexual matters	33-13
33.21562	To show alternative source of injury alleged to have been caused by assault being tried	33-13
33.2157	Particular evidence	33-13
33.21571	Semen-stained blanket	33-13
33.21572	Victim's statement that she did not want defendant to go to jail	33-13
33.21573	Hospital records	33-13
33.21574	Videotaped statements of children	33-13
33.21575	Hearsay statements of child-victim	33-14
33.21576	Admission of prior sexual conduct between the defendant and the victim for the purpose of determining whether victim consented to alleged sexual intercourse or contact	33-14
33.216	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	33-14
33.2161	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	33-14
33.21611	Content of instruction	33-14
33.21612	Instructing on sexual contact with vagina	33-14
33.21613	Instructing on consent element	33-14
33.21614	Instructing on element of causing great bodily harm	33-14
33.21615	Instructing on what constitutes sexual contact	33-14
33.21616	Need for instruction telling jurors they must be unanimous about specific act that forms basis for each count when numerous counts charged and numerous instances of sexual assault testified to	33-14
33.21617	Propriety of instruction that jury should not consider evidence of prior sexual conduct between the defendant and the victim in determining whether victim consented to alleged sexual intercourse or contact	33-14

33.21618	When penetration accomplished by object other than penis, it is error to instruct jury that "emission of semen is not required, but may occur"	33-15
33.21619	Instructing on meaning of "cunnilingus"	33-15
33.2162	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	33-15
33.21621	When evidence provides reasonable grounds for conviction of this offense	33-15
33.2163	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	33-15
33.21631	Offenses that are lesser-included offenses of this offense	33-15
33.21632	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	33-15
33.21633	Second-degree sexual assault as lesser-included offense of first-degree sexual assault	33-15
33.21634	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about first-degree sexual assault to permit instruction on second-degree sexual assault	33-15
33.21635	When evidence in prosecution for second-degree sexual assault provides basis for instruction on third-degree sexual assault	33-15
33.21636	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about sexual assault to permit instruction on child abuse or battery	33-15
33.217	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	33-15
33.2171	In general	33-15
33.2172	Sufficiency to show "by use or threat of force or violence"	33-16
33.21721	In general	33-16
33.21722	Use or threat of force or violence on prior occasion may be sufficient to satisfy this element	33-16
33.21723	Grabbing and hugging victim tightly may constitute force or violence	33-16
33.2173	Sufficiency to show "for the purpose of . . . sexually arousing or gratifying the defendant"	33-16
33.2174	Sufficiency to show "by use or threat of use of dangerous weapon"	33-16
33.21741	In general	33-16
33.21742	Dog can be dangerous weapon	33-16
33.2175	Sufficiency to show that sexual assault occurred	33-16
33.2176	Sufficiency to show that victim suffered from mental illness or deficiency which rendered victim incapable of appraising his or her conduct	33-16
33.21761	In general	33-16
33.21762	Expert testimony not necessary to make this showing	33-16
33.2177	Sufficiency to show that defendant knew that victim suffered from mental illness or deficiency which rendered victim incapable of appraising his or her conduct	33-17

33.2178	Sufficiency to show sexual intercourse or contact	33-17
33.21781	Sufficiency to show sexual intercourse	33-17
33.21782	Sufficiency to show touching of vagina	33-17
33.21783	Cannot sustain verdict on basis of sufficient evidence to prove type of sexual intercourse or contact on which jury was not instructed	33-17
33.2179	Sufficiency as to other matters	33-17
33.21791	Sufficiency to show that victim was unconscious; unconsciousness includes loss of awareness caused by sleep	33-17
33.21792	Sufficiency to show that assaulter was "aided and abetted" by another person	33-17
33.21793	Sufficiency of evidence as to potential theories of guilt	33-17
33.21794	Sufficiency to show that victim was alive when sexual assault occurred	33-17
33.21795	Sufficiency to show lack of consent	33-17
33.218	Sufficiency of evidence to establish factual basis to support guilty plea	33-17
33.2181	Sufficiency to establish factual basis for allegation that "cunnilingus" occurred	33-17
33.219	Other matters	33-17
33.2191	Appropriate unit of prosecution	33-17
33.21911	In general	33-17
33.21912	Aggregating multiple assaults in single count	33-18
33.21913	Charging multiple assaults during one assaultive episode that are same in law but significantly different in fact	33-18
33.2192	Party to a crime	33-18
33.21921	Aiding and abetting this offense	33-18
33.2193	Provision relating to "death of victim": sexual assault statute applies whether victim is dead or alive at time of assault	33-18
33.21931	Does this provision criminalize necrophilia generally? court of appeals' answer: no; supreme court's answer: yes	33-18
33.21932	If victim of assault is dead, state must prove that defendant caused death of victim as part of sequence of events during which assault occurred (see sec. 33.21297)	33-19
33.21933	Under this provision, sexual assault statute criminalizes sexual contact or sexual intercourse with victim already dead at time of sexual activity, even when defendant did not cause victim's death	33-19
33.2194	Other and related matters	33-19
33.21941	Rape shield law (see sec. 20.83)	33-19
33.21942	Ordering psychiatric examination of complaining witness	33-19
33.21943	Need for sufficient specificity in verdict to identify act or alternative acts on which guilt is predicated	33-19

33.21944	Defendant may properly be convicted of multiple counts of sexual assault based on single act that violates multiple sections of sexual assault statute	33-19
33.21945	Defendant's right to discovery of victim's mental health treatment records	33-19
33.21946	When acts of sexual assault were committed during short period of time and as such were part of one continuous criminal transaction, they may be charged together as one offense	33-19
33.21947	Sex offender reporting requirements (see sec. 47.872)	33-19
33.22	Sexual Exploitation Of Children	33-19
33.221	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	33-19
33.222	Elements of this offense	33-20
33.2221	In general	33-20
33.2222	Importation	33-20
33.2223	Sexually explicit conduct	33-20
33.22231	Lewd exhibition of genital or pubic area	33-20
33.2224	Scienter	33-20
33.223	Charging this offense	33-20
33.2231	Need for allegation of scienter	33-20
33.224	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	33-20
33.2241	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	33-20
33.22411	Content of instruction: definition of "lewd"	33-20
33.225	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	33-20
33.2251	In general	33-20
33.2252	Lewd exhibition of genital or pubic area	33-20
33.23	Sexual Exploitation By Therapist	33-20
33.231	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	33-20
33.232	Elements of this offense	33-20
33.2321	In general	33-20
33.2322	Professional therapist-patient/client relationship	33-20
33.23221	In general	33-20
33.23222	Does not include teacher providing counseling to student that does not take place in a professional therapist-client relationship	33-20
33.23223	Effect of fact that victim-client is acting as police agent to obtain incriminating evidence	33-21
33.233	Instructing on this offense and lesser include offenses	33-21
33.2331	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	33-21
33.23311	Content of instruction	33-21
33.234	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	33-21
33.2341	Sufficiency to prove professional therapist-patient/client relationship	33-21
33.23411	In general	33-21
33.23412	Does not include teacher providing counseling to student that does not take place in a professional therapist-client relationship	33-21
33.23413	Effect of fact that victim-client is acting as police agent to obtain incriminating evidence	33-21

33.235	Other matters	33-21
33.2351	Statute of limitations for this offense (see sec. 12.322)	33-21
33.2352	Appropriate unit of prosecution	33-21
33.24	Forced Viewing Of Sexual Activity	33-21
33.3	Crimes Involving Injury	33-21
33.31	Reckless Injury	33-21
33.311	First-degree reckless injury to human being (formerly injury by conduct regardless of life)	33-21
33.3111	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	33-21
33.3112	Elements of this offense	33-21
33.31121	In general	33-21
33.31122	"Human being"	33-22
33.31123	Utter disregard for human life	33-22
33.3113	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	33-22
33.31131	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	33-22
33.3114	Admission of evidence	33-22
33.3115	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	33-22
33.31151	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser- included offense	33-22
33.31152	Offenses that are lesser-included offenses of this offense	33-22
33.31153	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	33-22
33.3116	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	33-22
33.31161	In general	33-22
33.31162	Sufficiency to prove "utter disregard for human life"	33-22
33.3117	Other matters	33-22
33.31171	Appropriate unit of prosecution	33-23
33.31172	This offense does not apply to pregnant woman who harms unborn child (e.g., by ingesting excessive amounts of alcohol)	33-23
33.312	First-degree reckless injury of unborn child	33-23
33.3121	This offense does not apply to act by woman who is pregnant with unborn child	33-23
33.313	Second-degree reckless injury	33-23
33.3131	Elements of this offense	33-23
33.31311	In general	33-23
33.3132	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	33-23
33.31321	Offense of which this is and is not a lesser- included offense	33-23
33.32	Injury By Negligent Handling Of Dangerous Weapon, Explosives Or Fire	33-23
33.321	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	33-23
33.322	Elements of this offense	33-23
33.3221	In general	33-23
33.3222	"Operating or handling"	33-23
33.32221	In general	33-23

33.3222	Physical or temporal proximity to dangerous weapon not prerequisite to finding criminally negligent handling of dangerous weapon	33-23
33.3223	Dangerous weapon	33-23
33.32231	In general	33-23
33.32232	Dog can be dangerous weapon if it was intended to be used as weapon	33-23
33.3224	"Negligent"	33-23
33.32241	In general	33-23
33.32242	Criminal negligence is purely objective; no criminal intent required	33-24
33.323	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	33-24
33.3231	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	33-24
33.32311	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	33-24
33.324	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	33-24
33.3241	Sufficiency to show "negligent" handling of dangerous weapon	33-24
33.3242	Sufficiency to prove "handling" of dangerous weapon	33-24
33.3243	Sufficiency to prove that item handled was "dangerous weapon"	33-24
33.325	Other matters	33-24
33.3251	Defenses to this offense	33-24
33.32511	Mistake is not a defense to this offense	33-24
33.33	Injury By Intoxicated Use Of Vehicle (i.e., While Under Influence Of Intoxicant Or With Prohibited Blood Alcohol Concentration) (Wis. Stat. § 940.25(1)(a) and (b))	33-24
33.331	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	33-24
33.3311	Constitutionality of absence of requirement of causal connection between intoxicated condition of operator and injury	33-24
33.3312	Constitutionality of proscription on having 0.1 grams or more of alcohol in 210 liters of breath	33-24
33.3313	Constitutionality of placing burden of proof on defendant to establish affirmative defense that death would have occurred even in absence of intoxication	33-25
33.332	Elements of this offense	33-25
33.3321	In general	33-25
33.3322	Having 0.1 grams or more of alcohol in 210 liters of breath	33-25
33.3323	Under the influence of an intoxicant	33-25
33.3324	Prior convictions, etc., are element of crime when charge involves operation with blood alcohol concentration of .08 percent or more	33-25
33.333	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	33-25
33.3331	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	33-25
33.334	Admission of evidence	33-25
33.3341	Evidence that defendant asked for, but was denied, alternative test for blood alcohol concentration	33-25
33.3342	Defendant's refusal to submit to blood alcohol test (see sec. 13.3621)	33-25

33.3343	Blood test evidence (see sec. 18.4)	33-25
33.3344	Prior convictions are admissible to prove "prohibited alcohol concentration" element of crime when charge involves operation with blood alcohol concentration of .08 percent or more	33-25
33.335	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	33-25
33.3351	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	33-25
33.33511	Content of instruction in general	33-25
33.33512	Instructing on meaning of "materially impaired" in definition of "under the influence of an intoxicant"	33-25
33.33513	Instructing on meaning of "under the influence of an intoxicant"	33-26
33.3352	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	33-26
33.33521	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	33-26
33.3353	Instructing on presumption of intoxication from blood-alcohol content (see sec. 8.5316)	33-26
33.336	Other matters	33-26
33.3361	Appropriate unit of prosecution	33-26
33.3362	Defenses to this offense	33-26
33.33621	Defense that death would have occurred even in absence of intoxication: constitutionality of placing burden of proof for this defense on the defendant	33-26
33.33622	Defense that death would have occurred even in absence of intoxication: defendant has no right to mid-trial advisory ruling on sufficiency of evidence to support this defense	33-26
33.33623	Victim's failure to wear seat belt which would have prevented injury is not a defense to this offense	33-26
33.3363	Other matters	33-26
33.33631	Propriety of separate convictions based on alcohol concentration in breath and on alcohol concentration in blood	33-26
33.33632	Requirement of court approval for dismissal or amendment of this charge (see sec. 37.142)	33-26
33.33633	Propriety of charging, convicting, and punishing for this offense and for injury by negligent use of vehicle	33-26
33.33634	No double jeopardy bar to prosecution for this offense after conviction of civil drunk driving offense based on same incident (see sec. 29.783)	33-26
33.34	Injury By Intoxicated Use Of Vehicle (i.e., With Detectable Amount Of Restricted Controlled Substance In One's Blood (Wis. Stat. § 940.25(1)(am))	33-27
33.341	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	33-27
33.3411	In general	33-27

	<i>Page</i>
33.3412	Consistency with police powers doctrine 33-27
33.3413	Consistency with due process 33-27
33.3414	Constitutionality of absence of requirement of causal connection between presence of detectable amount of restricted controlled substance and injury 33-27
33.3415	Statute does not contain any unconstitutional presumptions 33-27
33.3416	Statute does not create unconstitutional status offense 33-27
33.3417	Constitutionality of placing burden of proof on defendant to establish affirmative defense that death would have occurred even in absence of intoxication 33-27
33.342	Elements of this offense 33-27
33.3421	In general 33-27
33.3422	Causation element 33-27
33.34221	Only requires causal connection between driving and injury 33-27
33.34222	Does not require causal connection between presence of detectable amount of restricted controlled substance and injury 33-27
33.35	Injury By Negligent Use Of A Vehicle 33-27
33.351	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense 33-27
33.352	Probable cause to believe this offense committed 33-27
33.3521	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint 33-27
33.353	Other matters 33-27
33.3531	Other matters 33-27
33.35311	Propriety of charging, convicting, and punishing for this offense and for injury by negligent use of vehicle 33-27
33.4	Crimes Involving Abuse 33-28
33.41	Abuse Of Children 33-28
33.411	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense 33-28
33.412	Elements of this offense 33-28
33.4121	In general 33-28
33.4122	Specific intent (i.e., intent to cruelly maltreat) not element of this offense 33-28
33.4123	Act of commission not required 33-28
33.413	Admission of evidence 33-28
33.414	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses 33-28
33.4141	Instructing on this offense as charged offense 33-28
33.41411	Content of instruction 33-28
33.4142	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense 33-28
33.41421	Offenses that are lesser-included offenses of this offense 33-28
33.415	Other matters 33-28
33.4151	Party to a crime 33-28
33.4152	Other matters 33-28
33.41521	Liability of parent who fails to protect child from abuse by another 33-28
33.42	Abuse Of Vulnerable Adults 33-28

33.43	Abuse Of Residents Of Penal Facilities	33-28
33.431	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	33-28
33.432	Other matters	33-28
33.4321	Other matters	33-28
33.43211	Existence of crime	33-28
33.44	Abuse And Neglect Of Patients And Residents	33-29
33.5	Crimes Involving Confinement Or Restraint	33-29
33.51	False Imprisonment	33-29
33.511	Elements of this offense	33-29
33.5111	In general	33-29
33.5112	Confinement or constraint	33-29
33.5113	Without consent	33-29
33.51131	In general	33-29
33.51132	Use of sexual-assault definition of consent to define consent for purposes of this offense	33-29
33.5114	Without lawful authority	33-29
33.512	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	33-29
33.5121	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	33-29
33.51211	Content of instruction	33-29
33.5122	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offenses	33-29
33.51221	Offenses of which this offense is, and is not, a lesser-included offense	33-29
33.513	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	33-29
33.5131	In general	33-29
33.5132	Sufficiency to prove confinement or restraint	33-29
33.51321	In general	33-29
33.51322	Holding victim very tightly may constitute restraint	33-29
33.5133	Sufficiency to show lack of consent	33-29
33.5134	Sufficiency to show lack of lawful authority	33-30
33.514	Other matters	33-30
33.5141	Defenses to this offense	33-30
33.5142	Other matters	33-30
33.51421	Effect of defendant's status as parent of victim	33-30
33.51422	Effect of order granting custody of victim to defendant's wife	33-30
33.52	Kidnapping	33-30
33.521	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	33-30
33.522	Elements of this offense	33-30
33.5221	In general	33-30
33.5222	Confinement	33-30
33.5223	"Held to service against his will"	33-30
33.52231	In general	33-30
33.52232	Embraces acts (e.g., sexual acts) performed at command of another	33-30
33.5224	Asportation element: "Carries another from one place to another"	33-30
33.52241	In general	33-30

33.52242	No need to show that asportation or secret confinement was wholly independent of, and nonincidental to, the commission of any additional crime charged	33-30
33.5225	Requirement that state prove absence of mitigating factor that victim was released without permanent physical injury	33-31
33.523	Admission of evidence	33-31
33.5231	Evidence of prior acts of custodian of child kidnapped, offered to establish coercion defense	33-31
33.524	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	33-31
33.5241	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	33-31
33.52411	Content of instruction in general	33-31
33.52412	Need for instruction that person acting on behalf of parent of child kidnapped cannot be guilty of kidnapping	33-31
33.5242	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	33-31
33.52421	Offenses which are, and are not, lesser-included offenses of this offense	33-31
33.525	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	33-31
33.5251	In general	33-31
33.5252	Sufficiency to prove confinement	33-31
33.5253	Requirement that state prove absence of mitigating factor that victim was released without permanent physical injury (see sec. 33.5225)	33-31
33.526	Sufficiency of evidence to establish factual basis to support guilty plea	33-31
33.5261	In general	33-31
33.5262	Need to provide factual basis to negate mitigating factor the victim was released without permanent physical injury	33-31
33.527	Attempt to commit this offense	33-31
33.5271	Instructing on attempt to commit this offense	33-31
33.5272	Sufficiency of evidence to convict of attempt to commit this offense	33-31
33.528	Other matters	33-32
33.5281	Defenses to this offense	33-32
33.52811	Parent cannot be guilty of kidnapping	33-32
33.52812	Person acting on behalf of parent can be guilty of kidnapping child	33-32
33.5282	Proper venue for this offense	33-32
33.5283	This offense is a unitary or continuing crime that, once begun, does not end until victim is free	33-32
33.53	Abduction	33-32
33.54	Taking Hostages	33-32
33.541	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	33-32
33.542	Elements of this offense	33-32
33.543	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	33-32
33.6	Crimes Involving Intimidation	33-32
33.61	Intimidation Of Witnesses	33-32

	<i>Page</i>
33.611 Elements of this offense	33-32
33.6111 In general	33-32
33.6112 "Dissuade"	33-32
33.6113 "Witness"	33-32
33.61131 In general	33-32
33.61132 Includes putative witness	33-32
33.612 Probable cause to believe this offense committed	33-32
33.6121 Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	33-32
33.613 Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	33-32
33.6131 Instructing on this offense as charged offense	33-32
33.61311 Content of instruction	33-32
33.6132 Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecution for this offense	33-32
33.61321 When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	33-33
33.614 Sufficiency of factual basis to support guilty plea	33-33
33.615 Sufficiency of evidence to convict	33-33
33.6151 In general	33-33
33.6152 Sufficiency to show conspiracy	33-33
33.6153 Sufficiency to show intent to intimidate	33-33
33.6154 Sufficiency to show intimidation	33-33
33.61541 In general	33-33
33.61542 Intimidation may be accomplished by contact with third party, e.g., parent of person defendant is attempting to dissuade from testifying, friend or relative of defendant who is asked to convey message to witness, etc.	33-33
33.616 Other matters	33-33
33.6161 Appropriate unit of prosecution	33-33
33.61611 Each separate act of intimidation constitutes separate offense	33-33
33.6162 Attempt to intimidate witness may be prosecuted as completed act	33-33
33.62 Intimidation Of Victims: Misdemeanor (Wis. Stat. § 940.44)	33-33
33.621 Elements of this offense	33-33
33.6211 In general	33-33
33.6212 Victim	33-33
33.62121 In general	33-33
33.62122 Crime that does not require victim (e.g., disorderly conduct) may have victim for purposes of this crime	33-33
33.6213 Under subsec. (2), it is sufficient to show that intimidation was done to prevent or dissuade victim from doing any one or more of the listed actions, even though those actions are listed using the conjunctive "and"	33-34
33.622 Instructing on this offense and lesser included offenses	33-34
33.6221 Instructing on this offense as charged offense	33-34
33.62211 Content of instruction	33-34

33.623	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	33-34
33.6231	In general	33-34
33.6232	Evidence not rendered insufficient to convict because jury acquitted defendant of underlying crime of which person is alleged to have been victim	33-34
33.6233	Under subsec. (2), it is sufficient to show that intimidation was done to prevent or dissuade victim from doing any one or more of the listed actions, even though those actions are listed using the conjunctive "and" (see sec. 33.6213)	33-34
33.624	Other matters	33-34
33.6241	No need to jury unanimity as to underlying crime of which intimidated person was a victim	33-34
33.6242	Evidence not rendered insufficient to convict because jury acquitted defendant of underlying crime of which person is alleged to have been victim (see sec. 33.6232)	33-34
33.6243	Under subsec. (2), it is sufficient to show that intimidation was done to prevent or dissuade victim from doing any one or more of the listed actions, even though those actions are listed using the conjunctive "and" (see sec. 33.6213)	33-34
33.63	Violation Of Court Orders	33-34
33.7	Other Crimes Against Bodily Security	33-34
33.71	Mayhem	33-34
33.711	Elements of this offense	33-34
33.7111	In general	33-34
33.7112	"Other bodily member"	33-34
33.71121	In general	33-34
33.71122	Forehead is "other bodily member"	33-35
33.71123	"Other bodily member" encompasses all bodily parts	33-35
33.7113	Great bodily harm	33-35
33.712	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	33-35
33.7121	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	33-35
33.71211	Offenses that are lesser-included offenses of this offense	33-35
33.71212	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	33-35
33.713	Other matters	33-35
33.7131	History of crime of mayhem and mayhem statute	33-35
33.7132	Forehead is "other bodily member" for purposes of this offense (see sec. 33.71122)	33-35
33.7133	"Other bodily member" encompasses all bodily parts (see sec. 33.71123)	33-35
33.72	Hazing	33-35
33.73	Failure To Support (see sec. 38.658)	33-35
33.74	Abandonment Of Young Child	33-35
33.75	Law Enforcement Officer; Failure To Render Aid	33-35
33.76	Duty To Aid Victim Or Report Crime	33-35

	<i>Page</i>	
33.761	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	33-35
33.762	Elements of this offense	33-35
	33.7621 In general	33-35
	33.7622 Knowledge	33-35
33.763	Other matters	33-35
	33.7631 Defenses to this offense	33-35
	33.76311 Burden of proof on defenses provided in statute creating this offense	33-35
33.77	Stalking (Wis. Stat. § 940.32)	33-35
33.771	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	33-35
	33.7711 In general	33-35
	33.7712 Overbreadth	33-36
	33.7713 Vagueness	33-36
	33.7714 Denial of due process	33-36
33.772	Elements of this offense	33-36
	33.7721 In general	33-36
	33.7722 "Actor's acts induce fear in [victim]"	33-36
	33.7723 This offense does not require that defendant threatened victim	33-36
	33.7724 That defendant's conduct not protected by constitutional right to freedom of speech or to peaceably assemble is not element of offense	33-36
	33.7725 In prosecution under Wis. Stat. § 940.32(2m)(a), prior conviction (see sec. 33.7763)	33-36
	33.7726 Elements of offense described in § 940.32(2m)(b) in general	33-36
	33.7727 "Course of conduct"	33-36
	33.77271 In general	33-36
	33.77272 When defendant charged under § 940.32(2m)(b), "course of conduct" is not limited to conduct that occurred after previous conviction (see sec. 33.7788)	33-36
33.773	Charging this offense	33-36
	33.7731 Sufficiency of information	33-36
	33.77311 In general	33-36
	33.77312 With respect to time of offense	33-36
33.774	Admission of evidence	33-36
	33.7741 In prosecution under Wis. Stat. § 940.32(2m)(a), evidence of prior conviction	33-36
	33.77411 In general (see sec. 33.7764)	33-36
	33.77412 By way of stipulation when defendant is willing to stipulate to prior conviction's existence	33-36
	33.7742 In prosecution under Wis. Stat. § 940.32(3)(b), evidence of prior conviction involving same victim	33-37
	33.77421 In general (see sec. 33.7775)	33-37
	33.7743 Evidence of acts showing "course of conduct"	33-37
	33.77431 In general	33-37
	33.77432 Evidence is not excludable as inadmissible other- acts evidence	33-37

33.77433	In prosecution under § 940.32(2m)(b), evidence of acts showing "course of conduct" is not limited to acts that occurred after previous conviction	33-37
33.7744	Evidence of conduct directed at third party that is similar to that directed at victim in present case	33-37
33.775	Instructing on this offense	33-37
33.7751	Content of instruction	33-37
33.77511	In general	33-37
33.77512	Instructing on "course of conduct"	33-37
33.776	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	33-37
33.7761	In general	33-37
33.7762	Sufficiency to prove "course of conduct," i.e., "maintaining a visual or physical proximity to a person . . . on 2 or more calendar days"	33-37
33.7763	Sufficiency to prove that "actor's acts induce[d] fear in [victim]"	33-37
33.7764	State not required to prove that defendant's conduct was not protected by constitutional right to freedom of speech or to peaceably assemble	33-37
33.777	Penalty or punishment for this offense	33-37
33.7771	Determining penalty that applies when course of conduct constituting stalking commences prior to date of penalty change, but concludes after that date	33-37
33.77711	In general	33-37
33.77712	When penalty change occurs before all elements of charged stalking offense are present	33-37
33.778	Other matters	33-38
33.7781	Is this continuing offense?	33-38
33.7782	Appropriate unit of prosecution	33-38
33.7783	In prosecution under Wis. Stat. § 940.32(2m)(a), prior conviction is not akin to penalty enhancer for being repeater, which is decided by court, but rather is element of offense that needs to be submitted to jury and proved beyond reasonable doubt	33-38
33.7784	In trial of prosecution under Wis. Stat. § 940.32(2m)(a), adducing evidence of prior conviction (e.g., by way of stipulation when defendant is ready to stipulate to prior conviction's existence) and submission of question of existence of prior conviction to jury for its determination is permissible	33-38
33.7785	In trial of prosecution under Wis. Stat. § 940.32(3)(b), adducing evidence of prior conviction involving same victim (e.g., by way of stipulation when defendant is ready to stipulate to prior conviction's existence), and submission of question of existence of prior conviction to jury for its determination, are permissible	33-38
33.7786	Legislative history of statute creating this offense	33-38
33.7787	Wis. Stat. § 940.32 creates three distinct classifications of stalking offenses, depending on presence of aggravating factors	33-38

33.7788 When defendant charged under § 940.32(2m)(b), "course of conduct" is not limited to conduct that occurred after previous conviction 33-38

33.78 Other Crimes 33-38

Chapter 34
Crimes Against Property

34.1 Crimes Involving Damage To Property 34-1

34.11 Criminal Damage To Property 34-1

34.111 Elements of this offense 34-1

34.1111 Property of another: defendant's marital home in which wife also has ownership interest is property of another 34-1

34.112 Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses 34-1

34.1121 Instructing on this offense as a lesser-included offense 34-1

34.11211 Offenses of which this is a lesser-included offense 34-1

34.12 Criminal Damage Or Graffiti On To Religious And Other Property 34-1

34.13 Criminal Damage; Threat; Property Of Judge 34-1

34.14 Demolition Of Historic Building Without Authorization 34-1

34.15 Criminal Damage; Threat; Property Of Department Of Revenue Employe 34-1

34.16 Graffiti 34-1

34.17 Arson Of Buildings; Damage Of Property By Explosives (State Offense) 34-1

34.171 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense 34-1

34.1711 Use of definition of intent that provides alternatives of purpose and knowledge does not render statute unconstitutionally vague 34-1

34.172 Elements of this offense 34-1

34.1721 In general 34-1

34.1722 Intent 34-1

34.1723 Explosives 34-1

34.173 Probable cause to believe this offense committed 34-1

34.1731 Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination 34-1

34.174 Admission of evidence 34-1

34.175 Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses 34-1

34.1751 Instructing on this offense as charged offense 34-2

34.17511 Content of instruction 34-2

34.1752 Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecution for this offense 34-2

34.17521 Offenses that are lesser-included offenses of this offense 34-2

34.17522 When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction 34-2

34.176 Sufficiency of evidence to convict 34-2

34.1761 In general 34-2

34.1762 Sufficiency to prove cause of fire 34-2

34.1763 Sufficiency to prove explosives 34-2

34.177 Attempt to commit this offense 34-2

	34.1771 Sufficiency of evidence to convict of attempt to commit this offense	34-2
34.18	Arson Of Buildings (Federal Offense) (see sec. 34.92)	34-2
34.19	Other Crimes	34-2
34.191	Arson of property other than building	34-2
34.192	Arson with intent to defraud	34-2
	34.1921 Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-2
	34.19211 In general	34-2
	34.19212 Sufficiency to prove cause of fire	34-2
34.193	Placing of combustible materials an attempt	34-2
34.194	Molotov cocktails	34-2
34.195	Criminal damage to railroads	34-2
34.2	Crimes Involving Trespass To Property	34-2
34.21	Burglary	34-2
34.211	Elements of this crime	34-2
	34.2111 In general	34-2
	34.2112 Entry without consent	34-3
	34.2113 Intent to steal or commit a felony	34-3
	34.21131 In general	34-3
	34.21132 Intent to steal must exist at time of entry	34-3
	34.21133 Intended felony must be crime against person or property	34-3
	34.21134 Intended felony may be continuing offense that began before entry and continued when defendant entered premises	34-3
34.212	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	34-3
	34.2121 Probable cause to arrest	34-3
	34.2122 Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	34-3
34.213	Admission of evidence	34-3
	34.2131 Police officer's opinion testimony that thin piece of metal found on defendant's person could have been used to enter premises (see sec. 18.7533)	34-3
34.214	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	34-3
	34.2141 Instructing on this offense as charged offense	34-3
	34.21411 Content of instruction in general	34-3
	34.21412 No need to require juror unanimity on particular felony defendant intended when defendant charged with entry "with intent to . . . commit a felony"	34-4
34.215	Taking guilty or no contest plea to this offense	34-4
	34.2151 In ascertaining defendant's understanding of nature of offense, trial court need not identify felony that defendant intended to commit on premises	34-4
34.216	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-4
	34.2161 In general	34-4
	34.2162 Sufficiency to show particular element	34-4
	34.21621 Sufficiency to show element of nonconsent	34-4
	34.21622 Sufficiency to show intent to commit a felony	34-4

34.21623	Sufficiency to show that entry was made with intent to commit sexual assault	34-4
34.2163	Sufficiency of particular evidence	34-4
34.21631	Fingerprint left at scene of burglary	34-4
34.21632	Fabrication of alibi	34-4
34.21633	Possession of items taken in burglary	34-4
34.217	Other matters	34-5
34.2171	Defenses to this offense	34-5
34.21711	Defense of "entitlement to payment," i.e., self-help repossession of money or property stolen from, or owed to, defendant by victim	34-5
34.2172	Other matters	34-5
34.21721	Propriety of conviction of burglary and possession of burglarious tools	34-5
34.21722	Propriety of convicting and punishing for burglary/ battery and first-degree murder when both charges arise out of same transaction	34-5
34.21723	Jurors need not agree on which felony the defendant intended when defendant charged with entry "with intent to . . . commit a felony"	34-5
34.21724	Burglary statute proscribing entry "with intent to . . . commit a felony" creates a single offense whose intent element may be satisfied in different ways, not multiple offenses based on the different felonies the defendant intended to commit	34-5
34.21725	Charging multiple counts of burglary based on the different felonies the defendant intended to commit is multiplicitous	34-5
34.22	Aggravated Burglary	34-5
34.221	While armed	34-5
34.2211	Elements of this offense	34-5
34.22111	No nexus requirement, i.e., no requirement that arming was for purpose of facilitating crime	34-5
34.2212	Instructing on lesser included offenses in prosecution for this offense	34-5
34.22121	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	34-5
34.2213	Other matters	34-6
34.22131	Propriety of prosecution and punishment for this offense and for burglary while committing a battery upon person lawfully in burglarized enclosure	34-6
34.222	Unarmed, but arms himself	34-6
34.2221	Elements of this offense	34-6
34.22211	In general	34-6
34.22212	Dangerous weapon	34-6
34.22213	No nexus requirement, i.e., no requirement that arming was for purpose of facilitating crime	34-6

34.222	Sufficiency, to support acceptance of guilty plea, of factual basis to believe defendant committed offense	34-6
34.223	Opens, or attempts to open, depository by use of explosive	34-6
34.224	Commits battery on person lawfully in burglarized enclosure	34-6
34.2241	Only simple battery, and not other forms of battery, is lesser included offense barring prosecution of both offenses	34-6
34.2242	Double jeopardy does not bar conviction for both this offense and intermediate battery based on same conduct	34-6
34.2243	Other matters	34-6
34.22431	Propriety of prosecution and punishment for this offense and for burglary while armed	34-6
34.225	Other matters	34-6
34.2251	When multiple aggravating circumstances are present, multiple charges are appropriate	34-6
34.23	Entry Into Locked Vehicle	34-6
34.24	Possession Of Burglarious Tools	34-6
34.241	Admission of evidence	34-6
34.242	Other matters	34-6
34.2421	Other matters	34-6
34.24211	Propriety of conviction of both this offense and burglary	34-6
34.25	Entry Into Locked Coin Box	34-6
34.26	Trespass To Land	34-6
34.261	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	34-7
34.262	Elements of this offense	34-7
34.263	Admission of evidence	34-7
34.264	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offense	34-7
34.2641	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	34-7
34.26411	Content of instruction	34-7
34.265	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-7
34.266	Other matters	34-7
34.2661	Defenses to this offense	34-7
34.26611	No free speech defense available when land is privately owned	34-7
34.26612	Coercion and necessity	34-7
34.26613	Prosecution violates constitutional rights	34-7
34.27	Criminal Trespass To Dwellings	34-7
34.271	Elements of this offense	34-7
34.2711	In general	34-7
34.2712	Circumstances tending to create or provoke a breach of the peace	34-7
34.2713	"Dwelling of another"	34-7
34.272	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	34-7
34.2721	Probable cause to arrest	34-7
34.273	Admission of evidence	34-7
34.2731	Police officer's opinion testimony that thin piece of metal found on defendant's person could have been used to enter premises (see sec. 18.7533)	34-7
34.274	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-7
34.275	Other matters	34-7

	34.2751 This crime applies where person enters dwelling in which he or she has joint property interest, but in which he or she is not residing	34-7
34.28	Criminal Trespass To A Medical Facility	34-8
	34.281 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	34-8
	34.282 Elements of this offense	34-8
	34.2821 In general	34-8
	34.2822 That entry was made "under circumstances tending to create or provoke a breach of the peace"	34-8
	34.2823 Without consent	34-8
	34.283 Admission of evidence	34-8
	34.284 Other matters	34-8
	34.2841 Defenses to this offense	34-8
	34.2842 Other matters	34-8
	34.28421 Applicability of statute creating this offense	34-8
34.29	Entry Onto A Construction Site Or Into A Locked Building, Dwelling Or Room	34-8
34.3	Crime Of Theft	34-8
34.31	Simple Theft (i.e., Larceny)	34-8
	34.311 Elements of this offense	34-8
	34.3111 In general	34-8
	34.3112 Value is not an element of this offense	34-8
	34.3113 Words "taking and carrying away, using, concealing, transferring, and retaining" create distinct offenses of theft, not mere means of committing a single offense	34-8
	34.3114 Identity of owner of stolen property is not element of offense; only need to show that defendant was not owner	34-9
	34.3115 "Taking"	34-9
	34.312 Probable cause to believe this offense committed	34-9
	34.3121 Probable cause to arrest	34-9
	34.3122 Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	34-9
	34.3123 Sufficiency of showing of probable cause at preliminary examination	34-9
	34.313 Charging this offense	34-9
	34.3131 Propriety of charging in the disjunctive	34-9
	34.3132 Charging alternative means of committing this offense in the disjunctive creates duplicitous charging document	34-9
	34.314 Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	34-9
	34.3141 Instructing on this offense as charged offense	34-9
	34.31411 Need for instruction requiring unanimity as to mode of commission	34-9
	34.31412 Propriety of instructing in the disjunctive	34-9
	34.31413 No need to identify owner of stolen property in instruction	34-9
	34.3142 Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	34-9
	34.31421 Offenses of which this is, and is not, a lesser-included offense	34-9
	34.3143 Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	34-9

34.31431	Offenses that are lesser-included offenses of this offense	34-10
34.315	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-10
34.3151	In general	34-10
34.3152	Sufficiency of evidence to show that taking was "from the person of another" when theft from person charged (see sec. 34.31814)	34-10
34.3153	No need to prove identity of owner of stolen property; only need to show that defendant was not owner	34-10
34.316	Sufficiency of evidence to show factual basis for guilty plea	34-10
34.3161	In general	34-10
34.3162	Effect of failure to show factual basis for value of stolen property necessary to render offense a felony	34-10
34.317	Attempt to commit this offense	34-10
34.3171	Elements of attempt to commit this offense	34-10
34.3172	Sufficiency of evidence to convict of attempt to commit this offense	34-10
34.318	Aggravating circumstances, other than value of property taken, that increase grade of offense	34-10
34.3181	Property "taken from the person of another"	34-10
34.31811	"From the person" encompasses taking of property from wheelchair in which victim is sitting at time of taking	34-10
34.31812	"From the person" encompasses taking of property by causing victim to lose contact with property before defendant actually takes it	34-11
34.31813	"From the person" may encompass money in cash register that victim is attending even though money is not physically touching victim's person	34-11
34.31814	Sufficiency of evidence to show that property was taken "from the person"	34-11
34.319	Other matters	34-11
34.3191	Appropriate unit of prosecution	34-11
34.31911	In general	34-11
34.31912	When theft involves single episode in which a number of firearms were taken, separate counts of theft may be charged for each firearm taken	34-11
34.31913	When single episode of theft involves taking of a number of firearms, prosecutor may aggregate the thefts of the multiple firearms into a single felony charge, but is not required to do so	34-11
34.3192	Party to the crime	34-11
34.3193	Jurisdiction over this offense	34-11
34.31931	When charge is concealment of stolen property and concealment of property obtained in Wisconsin occurred in another state	34-11
34.3194	Defenses to this offense	34-11
34.31941	Victim's indebtedness to defendant; defendant is simply attempting to collect debt owed by victim to defendant	34-12

34.3195	Other matters	34-12
34.31951	History of this crime	34-12
34.31952	Sufficiency of evidence to establish factual basis for plea (see sec. 34.316)	34-12
34.31953	Value is not element of offense, but only relevant in determining applicable penalty upon conviction	34-12
34.31954	Propriety of considering amount of tax on item stolen in determining its "value" for purposes of deciding whether charge is misdemeanor or felony	34-12
34.31955	Alternative ways of committing this offense constitute separate offenses	34-12
34.31956	Aggregating separate misdemeanor thefts into one felony charge	34-12
34.31957	Words "taking and carrying away, using, concealing, transferring, and retaining" create distinct offenses of theft, not mere means of committing a single offense	34-12
34.31958	Failure to comply with state prevailing wage law may constitute theft	34-12
34.31959	Unless defendant can trace his ownership to specific coins and bills in possession of victim- debtor, victim-debtor is owner of money and it is theft for defendant to take money from victim- debtor with intent to permanently deprive victim- owner of its possession regardless of fact that defendant was simply attempting to collect debt (see sec. 34.31941)	34-12
34.32	Embezzlement (Theft By Bailee)	34-12
34.321	Elements of this offense	34-12
34.3211	In general	34-12
34.3212	Knowing and intentional use of other's money without consent and contrary to defendant's authority	34-13
34.3213	Bailment	34-13
34.3214	Words "intentionally uses, transfers, conceals, or retains possession" create distinct offenses of embezzlement, not mere means of committing a single offense	34-13
34.3215	Identity of owner of embezzled property is not element of offense; only need to show that defendant was not owner	34-13
34.322	Charging this offense	34-13
34.3221	Propriety of charging in the disjunctive	34-13
34.3222	Charging alternative means of committing this offense in the disjunctive creates duplicitous charging document	34-13
34.3223	Propriety of charging consignee of goods who fails to remit sales price of goods to consignor	34-13
34.3224	Propriety of charging owner of corporate entity	34-13
34.323	Admission of evidence	34-13

34.3231	Evidence that process was delivered to process server for service on defendant, which would have provided defendant with incentive to secret money she was alleged to have embezzled	34-13
34.3232	Copies of process that were delivered to process server for service on defendant, which would have provided defendant with incentive to secret money she was alleged to have embezzled	34-13
34.324	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	34-13
34.3241	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	34-13
34.32411	Need for instruction requiring unanimity as to mode of commission	34-13
34.32412	Propriety of instructing in the disjunctive	34-13
34.32413	Instructing on need to prove value beyond a reasonable doubt	34-14
34.32414	No need to identify owner of embezzled property in instruction	34-14
34.325	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-14
34.3251	In general	34-14
34.3252	Sufficiency to show knowing and intentional use of other's money without consent and contrary to defendant's authority	34-14
34.3253	Sufficiency to prove intent to convert money or property to defendant's use or use of any person other than owner	34-14
34.3254	Sufficiency to prove conversion of money or property to defendant's use or use of any person other than owner	34-14
34.3255	Sufficiency to prove that offense occurred on date alleged	34-14
34.3256	No need to prove identity of owner of embezzled property; only need to show that defendant was not owner	34-14
34.326	Other matters	34-14
34.3261	Appropriate unit of prosecution	34-14
34.3262	Other matters	34-14
34.32621	Alternative ways of committing this offense constitute separate offenses	34-14
34.32622	Words "intentionally uses, transfers, conceals, or retains possession" create distinct offenses of embezzlement, not mere means of committing a single offense	34-14
34.33	Theft From Pledgee Or Other Person Having Superior Right Of Possession	34-14
34.34	Theft By Fraud	34-14
34.341	Elements of this offense	34-14
34.3411	In general	34-14
34.3412	"Obtains" title to property of another	34-15
34.3413	Obtains "title to property" of another	34-15
34.34131	In general	34-15
34.34132	Title to property includes money	34-15
34.3414	"False representation"	34-15
34.34141	In general	34-15
34.34142	False representation must be made either to owner of property or owner's agent	34-15

34.34143	Offense covers not only misrepresentations when made directly to owner of property, but also when it is foreseeable and intended that they will be repeated to third parties and acted upon by them	34-15
34.34144	"Representation" can include failure to correct false impression	34-15
34.34145	"Representation" can include failure to disclose, when duty to disclose exists	34-15
34.34146	"Representation" can include passively permitting third party to misrepresent transaction	34-15
34.34147	"Representation" can be acts or conduct	34-15
34.3415	Value is not element of this offense	34-15
34.3416	Agency as element of this offense	34-15
34.34161	When agency is element of this offense	34-15
34.34162	What constitutes agency for purposes of this offense	34-16
34.342	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	34-16
34.3421	Probable cause for arrest	34-16
34.3422	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	34-16
34.343	Charging this offense	34-16
34.3431	Sufficiency of complaint	34-16
34.3432	Sufficiency of information	34-16
34.34321	In general	34-16
34.34322	When information must allege agency as element of offense	34-16
34.344	Admission of evidence	34-16
34.345	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	34-16
34.3451	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	34-16
34.34511	Content of instruction	34-16
34.34512	Instruction that value must be found beyond a reasonable doubt	34-16
34.3452	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	34-16
34.34521	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	34-16
34.3453	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	34-16
34.34531	Offenses that are and are not lesser-included offenses of this offense	34-16
34.346	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-16
34.3461	In general	34-16
34.3462	Sufficiency to show "obtaining" title to property of another	34-17
34.3463	Sufficiency to show obtaining "title" to property of another	34-17
34.347	Other matters	34-17
34.3471	Appropriate unit of prosecution	34-17
34.34711	In general	34-17
34.34712	When fraud consists of submission of fraudulent invoices at separate times, each invoice may support separate count of theft by fraud	34-17
34.3472	Defenses to this offense	34-17

	34.34721	In general	34-17
	34.34722	"Entitlement to payment" defense	34-17
34.3473		Venue for this offense	34-17
34.3474		Other matters	34-17
	34.34741	Conspiracy to commit this offense	34-17
	34.34742	Sufficiency of factual basis for guilty or no-contest plea to this offense	34-17
	34.34743	Offense covers not only misrepresentations when made directly to owner of property, but also when it is foreseeable and intended that they will be repeated to third parties and acted upon by them (see sec. 34.3417)	34-17
	34.34744	May charge this offense even when case is arguably covered by more specific statute prohibiting manipulation of tests used for determining value of milk or cream	34-17
	34.34745	Civil tort law may be consulted in interpreting criminal fraud statutes	34-17
34.35		Theft By Lessee	34-18
	34.351	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	34-18
	34.352	Elements of this offense	34-18
	34.3521	Not necessary to show intent to defraud	34-18
	34.353	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	34-18
	34.3531	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	34-18
	34.35311	No need to instruct on intent to defraud	34-18
34.36		Theft By Contractor (Wis. Stat. § 779.02(5))	34-18
	34.361	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	34-18
	34.362	Elements of this offense	34-18
	34.3621	In general	34-18
	34.3622	Intent	34-18
	34.3623	Owner	34-18
	34.3624	Improvement	34-18
	34.3625	Intent to defraud is not element of this offense	34-18
	34.3626	When prime contractor also acts as subcontractor, it may pay itself for labor and material, but cannot pay itself profit for materials provided for improvements on owner's premises until all moneys due and owing or to become due for labor and material are paid to other subcontractors, or paid proportionally in cases of deficiency (court of appeals' holding, rejected by supreme court on review) (see sec. 34.3673)	34-18
	34.3627	In cases of deficiency, moneys paid to contractor must be paid proportionally to subcontractors; failure to do so constitutes commission of this offense (see sec. 34.3674)	34-18
	34.3628	Meaning of "subcontractor" (see sec. 34.3675)	34-18
34.363		Probable cause to believe this offense committed	34-19
	34.3631	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	34-19
	34.3632	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	34-19
34.364		Admission of evidence	34-19

34.3641	Evidence of defendant's arrangements with suppliers	34-19
34.365	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	34-19
34.3651	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	34-19
34.36511	Content of instruction in general	34-19
34.36512	Instructing on intent element	34-19
34.366	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-19
34.367	Other matters	34-19
34.3671	Nature and purpose of this offense in general	34-19
34.3672	Penalty or punishment for this offense	34-19
34.36721	Restitution	34-19
34.3673	When prime contractor also acts as subcontractor, it may pay itself for labor and material, but cannot pay itself profit for materials provided for improvements on owner's premises until all moneys due and owing or to become due for labor and material are paid to other subcontractors, or paid proportionally in cases of deficiency (court of appeals' holding, rejected by supreme court on review)	34-19
34.3674	In cases of deficiency, moneys paid to contractor must be paid proportionally to subcontractors; failure to do so constitutes commission of this offense	34-20
34.3675	Meaning of "subcontractor"	34-20
34.4	Other Crimes Involving Misappropriation Of Property	34-20
34.41	Misappropriation Of Personal Identifying Information Or Personal Identification Documents ("Identity Theft")	34-20
34.411	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	34-20
34.4111	First Amendment not violated by application of statute to defendant who misappropriates identity of public official to defame public official with true information	34-20
34.412	Elements of this offense	34-20
34.4121	In general	34-20
34.4122	"To obtain credit, money, goods, services, employment of any other thing of value or benefit"	34-20
34.41221	In general	34-20
34.41222	Includes using misappropriated identity in bail proceedings to obtain lower bail	34-20
34.41223	Phrase "or anything else of value" does not operate to narrow meaning of "credit, money, goods [or] services"	34-20
34.41224	"Thing of value or benefit" does not include interest and fees that continued to accrue after accounts opened with misappropriated identifying information are closed	34-20
34.413	Other and related matters	34-20
34.4131	This is continuing offense	34-20
34.41311	In general	34-20

34.41312	When person uses misappropriated identifying information to open accounts, and thereby secures goods and/or services, crime does not continue after accounts are closed, even if interest and fees continue to accrue	34-21
34.41313	Person who uses misappropriated identifying information to secure employment commits continuing violation so long as person receives wages or other benefits from that employment	34-21
34.4132	If original misappropriation of identifying information occurred before effective date of act creating this crime, but defendant obtains money, goods, services, etc., after effective date of act, he may be convicted of crime without violating prohibition on ex post facto law	34-21
34.4133	Federal offense of identity theft (see sec. 34.993)	34-21
34.42	Theft Of Trade Secrets	34-21
34.43	Definitions For Offenses Proscribed In Wis. Stat. §§ 943.207 to 943.209	34-21
34.44	Transfer Of Recorded Sounds For Unlawful Use	34-21
34.45	Recording Performance Without Consent Of Performance Owner	34-21
34.46	Failure To Disclose Manufacturer Of Recording	34-21
34.47	Fraud On Hotel Or Restaurant Keeper Or Taxicab Operator	34-21
34.48	Absconding Without Paying Rent	34-21
34.49	Use Of Cheating Tokens	34-21
34.5	Other Crimes Involving Misappropriation Of Property (cont'd)	34-21
34.51	Refusal To Pay For Motor Bus Ride	34-21
34.52	Operating Vehicle Without Owner's Consent (under former statute, which was substantially revised in 1988)	34-21
34.521	Elements of this crime	34-21
34.5211	In general	34-21
34.5212	Ownership	34-21
34.522	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	34-21
34.5221	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	34-21
34.523	Charging this offense	34-21
34.5231	Sufficiency of complaint	34-21
34.5232	Sufficiency of information	34-22
34.524	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	34-22
34.5241	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	34-22
34.52411	Content of instruction	34-22
34.525	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-22
34.5251	In general	34-22
34.5252	As to ownership element	34-22
34.526	Other matters	34-22
34.5261	Defense to this offense	34-22
34.52611	Abandonment	34-22
34.53	Operating Vehicle Without Owner's Consent (under present statute)	34-22
34.531	Carjacking (Wis. Stat. § 943.23(1g))	34-22
34.5311	Other matters	34-22
34.53111	Appropriate unit of prosecution	34-22

34.53112	Appropriateness of convicting defendant of this offense based on initial taking of vehicle after he has been convicted of driving or operating without owner's consent based on later operation of same vehicle	34-22
34.532	Carjacking causing great bodily harm (Wis. Stat. § 943.23(1m))	34-22
34.533	Carjacking causing death (Wis. Stat. § 943.23(1r))	34-22
34.5331	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-22
34.5332	As to element of causation when charge is that defendant committed this crime and "cause[d] the death of another"	34-22
34.534	Intentionally taking and driving vehicle without owner's consent (Wis. Stat. § 943.23(2))	34-22
34.535	Intentionally driving or operating vehicle without owner's consent (Wis. Stat. § 943.23(3))	34-22
34.5351	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	34-22
34.53511	Offenses of which this is a lesser-included offense	34-23
34.5352	Other matters	34-23
34.53521	Appropriate unit of prosecution	34-23
34.53522	Appropriateness of convicting defendant of carjacking based on initial taking of vehicle after he has been convicted of this offense based on later operation of same vehicle	34-23
34.53523	Jurisdiction over this offense when vehicle initially obtained in Wisconsin, but operating without owner's consent occurs in another state	34-23
34.536	Knowingly and intentionally accompanying, as passenger in vehicle, person operating vehicle without owner's consent (Wis. Stat. § 943.23(4m))	34-23
34.537	Intentionally removing major part of vehicle without owner's consent (Wis. Stat. § 943.23(5))	34-23
34.54	Issue Of Worthless Check	34-23
34.541	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	34-23
34.542	Elements of this offense	34-23
34.5421	In general	34-23
34.5422	Intent to defraud	34-23
34.5423	Check must not have been given for past consideration	34-23
34.543	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-23
34.544	Sufficiency of evidence to establish factual basis for plea	34-23
34.5441	Sufficiency to show that check was not given for past consideration	34-23
34.545	Other matters	34-23
34.5451	Defenses to this offense	34-23
34.54511	Check constituted void gaming contract	34-23
34.54512	Check given for past consideration (see sec. 34.5452)	34-23
34.5452	This crime not applicable to checks given for past consideration	34-24
34.54521	In general	34-24

34.54522	Check given to obtain possession of repaired item (e.g., an automobile) is not check given for past consideration	34-24
34.5453	Appropriate unit of prosecution	34-24
34.5454	Other matters	34-24
34.54541	Prosecutor's charging discretion	34-24
34.55	Transfer Of Encumbered Property	34-24
34.551	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	34-24
34.552	Elements of this offense	34-24
34.553	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-24
34.56	Removing Or Damaging Encumbered Real Property	34-24
34.57	Possession Of Record Of Certain Usurious Loans	34-24
34.58	Loan Sharking	34-24
34.581	Elements of this offense	34-24
34.5811	In general	34-24
34.5812	"Extortionate extension of credit" encompasses credit extensions and renewals as well as the initial loan transaction between the parties	34-24
34.582	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-24
34.59	Threats To Injure Or Accuse Of Crime (Extortion)	34-24
34.591	Elements of this offense	34-24
34.5911	In general	34-24
34.5912	Threat to injure "property": interest in lawsuit qualifies as property	34-24
34.5913	Injury to "profession"	34-24
34.5914	Injury to "trade"	34-25
34.5915	Injury to "calling"	34-25
34.5916	Injury to "person"	34-25
34.5917	Injury to "property"	34-25
34.592	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	34-25
34.5921	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	34-25
34.5922	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	34-25
34.593	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	34-25
34.5931	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	34-25
34.5932	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	34-25
34.59321	Offenses of which this is and is not lesser-included offense	34-25
34.5933	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecution for this offense	34-25
34.59331	Offenses that are and are not lesser-included offenses of this offense	34-25
34.594	Other matters	34-25
34.5941	Defenses to this offense	34-25
34.59411	Defendant's belief he was legally entitled to money he extorted is not necessarily defense	34-25
34.5942	Other matters	34-25
34.59421	Threat to withhold testimony in lawsuit is threat to the "property" of another	34-25

	34.59422	Jurisdiction over, and venue for, this offense when extortionist makes threat by telephone from another state to person within Wisconsin	34-25
34.6		Other Crimes Involving Misappropriation Of Property (cont'd)	34-25
	34.61	Threats To Communicate Derogatory Information	34-25
	34.611	Elements of this offense	34-26
	34.6111	In general	34-26
	34.6112	Intent is not element of this offense	34-26
	34.612	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-26
	34.62	Robbery	34-26
	34.621	Elements of this offense	34-26
	34.6211	In general	34-26
	34.6212	Taking from "the person"	34-26
	34.6213	Taking "from the person or presence of the owner"	34-26
	34.6214	Asportation	34-26
	34.62141	In general	34-26
	34.62142	No exception to asportation requirement for automobiles; this element applies to taking of automobile	34-26
	34.6215	Use or threat of use of force	34-26
	34.62151	Threat of force element does not require express threats of bodily harm; it is met if taking of property is attended with such circumstances of terror, or such threatening by menace, word, or gesture as in common experience is likely to create an apprehension of danger and induce a person to part with property for his or her safety	34-26
	34.622	Charging this offense	34-26
	34.6221	Sufficiency of information	34-26
	34.623	Admission of evidence	34-27
	34.624	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	34-27
	34.6241	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	34-27
	34.62411	Content of instruction	34-27
	34.62412	No need to require unanimity on alternative means of establishing "force" element of crime	34-27
	34.6242	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	34-27
	34.62421	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	34-27
	34.6243	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	34-27
	34.62431	Offenses that are and are not lesser-included offenses of this offense	34-27
	34.62432	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	34-27
	34.625	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-27
	34.6251	In general	34-27
	34.6252	Sufficiency to show taking from "the person"	34-27

34.6253	Sufficiency to show taking "from the person or presence of the owner"	34-27
34.626	Sufficiency of evidence to provide factual basis for plea to this offense	34-28
34.6261	Sufficiency of factual basis as to asportation element	34-28
34.627	Attempt to commit this offense	34-28
34.6271	Elements of attempt to commit this offense	34-28
34.6272	Sufficiency of evidence to convict of attempt to commit this offense	34-28
34.6273	Defenses to attempt to commit this offense	34-28
34.628	Other matters	34-28
34.6281	Appropriate unit of prosecution	34-28
34.6282	Defenses to this office	34-28
34.62821	Defense of "entitlement to payment," i.e., self-help repossession of money or property stolen from defendant by victim	34-28
34.6283	Other matters	34-28
34.62831	Taking property from person defendant has just killed is robbery	34-28
34.62832	Penalty enhancer provided for in sec. 939.63 may be invoked when robbery is committed while possessing a weapon	34-28
34.62833	Alternative means of establishing "force" element of crime do not create separate offenses	34-28
34.63	Armed Robbery	34-28
34.631	Elements of this offense	34-28
34.6311	In general	34-28
34.6312	Use or threat of use of a dangerous weapon	34-28
34.632	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	34-29
34.6321	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	34-29
34.63211	Content of instruction	34-29
34.63212	Instructing on aiding and abetting this offense	34-29
34.6322	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	34-29
34.63221	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	34-29
34.6323	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	34-29
34.63231	Offenses that are, and are not, lesser-included offenses of this offense	34-29
34.63232	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	34-29
34.633	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-29
34.6331	In general	34-29
34.6332	Sufficiency to show use or threat of use of dangerous weapon	34-29
34.634	Other matters	34-29
34.6341	Party to a crime	34-29
34.63411	As aider and abettor	34-30
34.6342	Defenses to this offense	34-30

	34.63421	Defense of "entitlement to payment," i.e., self-help repossession of money or property stolen from defendant by victim	34-30
	34.6343	Propriety of prosecution for this offense after conviction in federal court of aggravated bank robbery based on same conduct	34-30
34.64		Receiving Stolen Property	34-30
	34.641	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	34-30
	34.642	Elements of this offense	34-30
	34.6421	In general	34-30
	34.643	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	34-30
	34.6431	Probable cause to arrest	34-30
	34.6432	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	34-30
	34.644	Other matters	34-30
	34.6441	Cannot commit this crime even if defendant believes property was stolen unless property was actually stolen	34-30
	34.6442	Can attempt to commit this offense even though property received was not actually stolen if defendant believes it was	34-30
	34.6443	Venue for this offense	34-30
	34.64431	In general	34-30
	34.64432	Venue is proper in county in which property was stolen	34-30
	34.64433	Sufficiency of evidence to prove venue for this offense	34-30
34.65		Receiving Property From Children	34-30
34.66		Alteration Of Property Identification Marks	34-30
	34.661	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	34-30
	34.6611	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	34-31
	34.66111	Content of instructions	34-31
	34.662	Other matters	34-31
	34.6621	Appropriate unit of prosecution	34-31
	34.6622	Other matters	34-31
	34.66221	Meaning of "similar" in presumption created by statute proscribing this offense	34-31
34.67		Forgery (in violation of Wis. Stat. § 943.38(1))	34-31
	34.671	Constitutionality of application of statute creating this offense to conduct also covered by financial transaction card crimes	34-31
	34.672	Elements of this offense	34-31
	34.6721	In general	34-31
	34.6722	"So that it purports to have been made by another"	34-31
	34.6723	"Falsely" makes or alters	34-31
	34.67231	In general	34-31
	34.67232	Does not cover person who has falsely made postal money order by writing in name of someone else as payer	34-31
	34.6724	"Intent to defraud"	34-31
	34.6725	Writing "whereby legal rights or obligations are created, terminated or transferred"	34-31
	34.67251	In general	34-31

	34.67252	Post-dated check is writing whereby legal rights or obligations are created and therefore can be subject of forgery	34-31
34.673		Probable cause to believe this offense committed	34-31
	34.6731	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	34-31
34.674		Sufficiency of evidence to establish factual basis for plea	34-31
	34.6741	In general	34-32
	34.6742	Sufficiency to show that writing "purport[ed] to have been made by another"	34-32
34.675		Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-32
	34.6751	In general	34-32
	34.6752	Sufficiency to show that writing "purport[ed] to have been made by another"	34-32
	34.6753	Sufficiency to show that writing was "falsely" made	34-32
34.676		Other matters	34-32
	34.6761	Design and coverage of forgery statutes in general	34-32
	34.6762	There is difference between false execution of document and false contents of document; former is forgery; latter is not	34-32
	34.6763	Forgery statutes do not cover person who has falsely made postal money order by writing in name of someone else as payer	34-32
	34.6764	Forging a check is a felony in Wisconsin	34-32
	34.6765	Use of assumed name (alias) may be forgery if done for fraudulent purpose	34-32
	34.6766	Can be charged in cases involving credit cards	34-32
34.68		Uttering Forged Writing Or Object (in violation of Wis. Stat. § 943.38(2))	34-32
34.681		Elements of this offense	34-32
	34.6811	In general	34-32
	34.6812	Falsely made or altered	34-32
	34.68121	In general	34-33
	34.68122	Does not cover person who has falsely made postal money order by writing in name of someone else as payer	34-33
	34.6813	Writing "whereby legal rights or obligations are created, terminated or transferred"	34-33
	34.68131	In general	34-33
	34.68132	Post-dated check is writing whereby legal rights or obligations are created and therefore can be subject of forgery	34-33
	34.6814	Intent to defraud is no element of this offense	34-33
34.682		Probable cause to believe this offense committed	34-33
	34.6821	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	34-33
34.683		Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	34-33
	34.6831	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	34-33
	34.68311	Content of instruction	34-33
	34.6832	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	34-33
	34.68321	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	34-33

34.6833	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	34-33
34.68331	Offenses that are and are not lesser-included offenses of this offense	34-33
34.684	Sufficiency of evidence to establish factual basis for guilty plea	34-33
34.685	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-33
34.6851	In general	34-33
34.6852	Sufficiency to show that writing was falsely made or altered	34-34
34.6853	Sufficiency to show writing "whereby legal rights or obligations are created, terminated or transferred"	34-34
34.6854	State not required to prove intent to defraud	34-34
34.686	Other matters	34-34
34.6861	Post-dated check is writing whereby legal rights or obligations are created and therefore can be subject of forgery (see sec. 34.68132)	34-34
34.6862	Victim's negligence is irrelevant to question whether this crime was committed	34-34
34.6863	Design and coverage of forgery statutes in general	34-34
34.6864	There is difference between false execution of document and false contents of document; former is forgery; latter is not	34-34
34.6865	Forgery statutes do not cover person who has falsely made postal money order by writing in name of someone else as payer	34-34
34.69	Fraudulent Writings	34-34
34.691	Falsifying corporate documents (Wis. Stat. § 943.39(1))	34-34
34.6911	Instructing on this offense	34-34
34.69111	Need to instruct jury that it must be unanimous on whether defendant had intent to injure or intent to defraud	34-34
34.6912	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-34
34.69121	In general	34-34
34.6913	Other matters	34-34
34.69131	Need for jury unanimity on whether defendant had intent to injure or intent to defraud (see sec. 34.69111)	34-34
34.69132	Does "with intent to injure or defraud" create two crimes or one crime with two different modes of commission?	34-35
34.692	Deceitfully obtaining signature to writing that is subject to forgery (Wis. Stat. § 939.39(2))	34-35
34.6921	Elements of this offense	34-35
34.6922	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	34-35
34.69221	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	34-35
34.7	Other Crimes Involving Misappropriation Of Property (cont'd)	34-35
34.71	Fraudulent Data Alteration	34-35
34.72	Fraudulent Insurance And Employee Benefit Program Claims	34-35
34.721	Elements of this offense	34-35

34.7211	Value of claim that determines grade of this offense is determined by total claim submitted, not false or fraudulent portion of claim	34-35
34.722	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	34-35
34.7221	Jury need not be instructed that it needs to unanimously agree on which item or items on proof of loss were false or fraudulent	34-35
34.723	Other matters	34-35
34.7231	Value of claim that determines grade of this offense is determined by total claim submitted, not false or fraudulent portion of claim (see sec. 34.7211)	34-35
34.7232	Unanimity not required with respect to particular item or items on proof of loss that were false or fraudulent (see sec. 34.7221)	34-35
34.7233	Sufficiency, for purposes of acceptance of guilty plea, of showing of factual basis for conspiracy to commit this offense	34-35
34.73	Fraudulent Destruction Of Certain Writings	34-35
34.74	Financial Transaction Card Crimes	34-35
34.741	Nature and purpose of this offense in general	34-35
34.742	Fraudulent use of financial transaction card	34-35
34.7421	Elements of this offense	34-35
34.74211	Acquisition of actual possession of financial transaction card not required	34-35
34.74212	"Without the cardholder's consent"	34-36
34.7422	Admission of evidence	34-36
34.74221	Evidence of acts committed in one county to prove crime charged in different county	34-36
34.7423	Instructing on this offense as charged offense: content of instruction	34-36
34.74231	Use of words "without authorization" to describe "without the cardholder's consent" element of offense	34-36
34.7424	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-36
34.74241	In general	34-36
34.74242	State not required to prove offender acquired actual possession of financial transaction card	34-36
34.74243	Proof of "without the cardholder's consent" element	34-36
34.743	Theft Of Telecommunications Service	34-36
34.7431	Other matters	34-36
34.7432	Appropriate unit of prosecution	34-36
34.75	Theft Of Cellular Telephone Service	34-36
34.76	Theft Of Cable Television Service	34-36
34.77	Theft Of Satellite Cable Programming	34-36
34.78	Retail Theft	34-36
34.781	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	34-36
34.7811	Probable cause to arrest	34-36
34.782	Other matters	34-36
34.7821	Other matters	34-36

	34.78211 Immunity for merchant detaining suspected shoplifter	34-36
34.79	Removal Of Shopping Cart	34-36
34.8	Other Crimes Involving Misappropriation Of Property (cont'd)	34-36
34.81	Criminal Slander Of Title	34-36
34.811	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	34-36
34.812	Elements of this offense	34-36
34.813	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	34-37
34.8131	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	34-37
34.814	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	34-37
34.8141	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	34-37
34.81411	Content of instruction	34-37
34.815	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-37
34.816	Other matters	34-37
34.8161	Need to submit question whether document is frivolous to jury	34-37
34.82	Theft Of Library Material	34-37
34.83	Unlawful Receipt Of Payments To Obtain Loan For Another	34-37
34.84	Computer Crimes	34-37
34.841	Definitions applicable to computer crimes (Wis. Stat. § 943.70(1))	34-37
34.8411	"Data"	34-37
34.842	Offenses against computer data and programs (Wis. Stat. § 943.70(2))	34-37
34.8421	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	34-37
34.8422	Elements of this offense	34-37
34.84221	Monetary amount of damage done is not element of crime; it only determines range of punishment	34-37
34.84222	"Other restricted access information"	34-37
34.8423	Other matters	34-37
34.84231	This criminal offense is not preempted by federal copyright law	34-37
34.84232	This offense not meant to criminalize disclosure of all types of information that was stored on computer, when information was obtained with authorization in first instance	34-37
34.843	Offenses against computer, computer equipment or supplies (Wis. Stat. § 943.70(3))	34-37
34.85	Unauthorized Release Of Animals	34-37
34.9	Crimes Against Property (Federal Offenses)	34-37
34.91	Mail Or Wire Fraud	34-37
34.911	Elements of this offense	34-37
34.9111	In general	34-38
34.9112	Materiality of fraudulent statement is element of this offense that must be submitted to jury	34-38
34.9113	Property	34-38
34.912	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-38
34.913	Other matters	34-38

34.9131	Federal mail fraud statute does not reach false statements made in application for state license	34-38
34.9132	For purposes of mail fraud statute, thing obtained must be property in hands of victim	34-38
34.9133	Plot to defraud foreign government of tax revenue violates federal wire fraud statute	34-38
34.92	Arson Of Building	34-38
34.921	Other matters	34-38
34.9211	Application of this offense to two-unit apartment building used as rental property	34-38
34.9212	Applicability of this offense to owner-occupied residence not used for any commercial purpose	34-38
34.93	Bank Robbery	34-38
34.931	Elements of this offense	34-38
34.9311	In general	34-38
34.9312	Dangerous weapon	34-38
34.932	Other matters	34-38
34.9321	Propriety of state prosecution for armed robbery after conviction in federal court of this offense based on same conduct	34-38
34.94	Robbery Of Custodian Of Mail Matter, Or Any Other Property Of The United States	34-39
34.941	Elements of this offense	34-39
34.95	Interstate Transportation Of Stolen Goods	34-39
34.951	Other matters	34-39
34.9511	Other matters	34-39
34.95111	Does not apply to inter-state transportation of phonograph records manufactured and distributed without the consent of the copyright owners	34-39
34.96	Extortion (Hobbs Act)	34-39
34.961	Elements of this offense	34-39
34.9611	In general	34-39
34.9612	Affirmative act of inducement by public official, such as a demand, is not element of extortion	34-39
34.9613	Obtaining of property	34-39
34.9614	Physical violence prohibited by this offense is limited to physical violence related to robbery or extortion	34-39
34.962	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	34-39
34.963	Other matters	34-39
34.9631	Offense completed when public official receives payment in return for agreement to perform specific official acts	34-39
34.9632	Obtaining of property is essential element of this offense; if defendant has not obtained property, this offense is not committed	34-39
34.9633	Physical violence unrelated to robbery or extortion falls outside scope of Hobbs Act	34-39
34.97	Transporting Falsely Made, Forged, Altered, Or Counterfeit Securities In Interstate Commerce	34-39
34.971	Elements of this offense	34-39

	34.9711 "Falsely made"	34-39
34.98	Carjacking	34-39
	34.981 Elements of this offense	34-39
	34.9811 "Intent to cause death or serious bodily harm": includes both conditional and unconditional intent	34-40
	34.9812 Factors (i.e., serious bodily injury; death) that are specified in carjacking statute and increase the maximum penalty for the crime are elements of offense	34-40
	34.982 Instructing on this offense	34-40
	34.9821 Content of instruction: instructing on element of "with the intent to cause death or serious bodily harm"	34-40
34.99	Other crimes	34-40
	34.991 Bank fraud	34-40
	34.9911 Elements of this offense: materiality of fraudulent statement is element of this offense that must be submitted to jury	34-40
	34.992 Theft By, Or Bribery Of, Agent Of Organization Receiving Benefits In Excess Of \$10,000 Under Federal Program (see sec. 35.78)	34-40
	34.993 Misappropriation of personal identifying information or personal identification documents ("identity theft")	34-40
	34.9931 Aggravated identity theft (18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a)(1))	34-40
	34.99311 Word "knowingly" in statute creating this offense requires government to show that defendant knew that means of identification at issue belonged to another person	34-40

Chapter 35

Crimes Against Government and Its Administration

35.1	Crimes Involving Treason And Disloyal Acts	35-1
	35.11 Treason	35-1
	35.12 Sabotage	35-1
	35.121 Elements of this offense	35-1
	35.122 Instructing on this offense and lesser included offenses	35-1
	35.1221 Instructing on this offense as offense charged	35-1
	35.12211 Content of instruction	35-1
	35.13 Sedition	35-1
	35.14 Flag Desecration	35-1
	35.141 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	35-1
	35.1411 In general	35-1
	35.1412 Violation of defendant's first amendment rights	35-1
	35.1413 Vagueness	35-1
	35.1414 Overbreadth	35-1
	35.15 Improper Use Of The Flag	35-1
35.2	Crimes Involving Bribery And Official Misconduct	35-1
	35.21 Bribery Of Public Officers And Employes	35-1
	35.211 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	35-1
	35.2111 In general	35-1

35.2112	Is statute unconstitutional because it criminalizes conduct that, absent bribe, would be protected by First Amendment?	35-1
35.212	Elements of this offense	35-2
35.213	Admission of evidence	35-2
35.214	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	35-2
35.215	Other matters	35-2
35.2151	Other matters	35-2
35.21511	Coverage of federal bribery statute	35-2
35.22	Special Privileges From Public Utilities	35-2
35.23	Misconduct In Public Office (Wis. Stat. § 946.12)	35-2
35.231	Intentionally fails or refuses to perform known mandatory, nondiscretionary, ministerial duty of officer's or employee's office or employment within time or in manner required by law (Wis. Stat. § 946.12(1))	35-2
35.2311	Elements of this offense	35-2
35.2312	Charging this offense	35-2
35.23121	Sufficiency of complaint	35-2
35.232	In officer's or employee's capacity as such officer or employee, does act which officer or employee knows is in excess of officer's or employee's lawful authority or which officer or employee knows officer or employee is forbidden by law to do in officer's or employee's official capacity (Wis. Stat. § 946.12(2))	35-2
35.2321	Elements of this offense	35-2
35.23211	In general	35-2
35.23212	In official capacity: in general	35-2
35.23213	In official capacity: does not include prison guard who has consensual sexual relations with inmate	35-2
35.2322	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	35-2
35.233	Whether by act of commission or omission, in officer's or employee's capacity as such officer or employee exercises discretionary power in manner inconsistent with duties of officer's or employee's office or employment or rights of others and with intent to obtain dishonest advantage for officer or employee or another (Wis. Stat. § 946.12(3))	35-2
35.2331	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	35-2
35.23311	Vagueness	35-3
35.23312	Overbreadth	35-3
35.23313	Speech and debate clause	35-3
35.23314	Separation of powers doctrine	35-3
35.2332	Elements of this offense	35-3
35.23321	In general	35-3
35.23322	Duties of officer's office or employee's employment	35-3
35.23323	Intent to obtain dishonest advantage	35-3
35.23324	That defendant acted "corruptly" is not element of this offense	35-3
35.2333	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	35-4
35.23331	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	35-4
35.2334	Admission of evidence	35-4
35.23341	In general	35-4

35.23342	Evidence that others were engaging in same conduct (e.g., using state resources to promote candidate for office)	35-4
35.23343	Evidence that defendant believed that others were engaging in same conduct (e.g., using state resources to promote candidate for office)	35-4
35.2335	Instructing on this offense	35-4
35.23351	Content of instruction in general	35-4
35.23352	Instruction that use of state resources to promote candidate in political campaign or to raise money for candidate provides candidate with dishonest advantage contains impermissible mandatory conclusive presumption	35-4
35.23353	Instruction that state employee who uses, or directs use, of state resources for political campaigns exercises discretionary power in manner inconsistent with duties of employment contains impermissible mandatory conclusive presumption	35-4
35.23354	Instruction that defendant acted "corruptly" is not required	35-4
35.2336	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	35-4
35.2337	Other matters	35-4
35.23371	Party to the crime	35-4
35.23372	Determining whether charging state legislator with this crime presents "political question" and therefore is nonjusticiable	35-4
35.23373	Venue for this offense: venue provision in Wis. Stat. § 971.19(12), which governs prosecution for elections, ethics, or lobbying law violations does not apply to prosecutions for this offense even when misconduct upon which prosecution is predicated is based on violation of elections, ethics, or lobbying regulation (see sec. 12.2143)	35-5
35.234	In officer's or employee's capacity as such officer or employee, makes entry in account or record book or return, certificate, report or statement which in material respect officer or employee intentionally falsifies (Wis. Stat. § 946.12(4))	35-5
35.2341	Other matters	35-5
35.23411	Grade of offense	35-5
35.235	Under color of officer's or employee's office or employment, intentionally solicits or accepts for performance of any service or duty anything of value which officer or employee knows is greater or less than is fixed by law (Wis. Stat. § 946.12(5))	35-5
35.2351	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	35-5
35.2352	Element of this offense	35-5
35.2353	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	35-5
35.24	Private Interest In Public Contract Prohibited	35-5

35.241	Enters into contract in private capacity while being authorized by law to participate in making of contract as public officer or employee (Wis. Stat. § 346.13(1)(a))	35-5
35.2411	Elements of this offense	35-5
35.24111	In general	35-5
35.24112	Public official	35-5
35.24113	Negotiate, bid or enter into contract in private capacity	35-5
35.24114	Private pecuniary interest	35-5
35.24115	At same time, authorized or required by law to perform discretionary act concerning contract	35-5
35.24116	Scienter not element	35-6
35.2412	Admission of evidence	35-6
35.24121	Evidence of defendant's activity regarding contract before notice of position it created was posted and defendant submitted letter of interest	35-6
35.2413	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	35-6
35.24131	In general	35-6
35.24132	Sufficiency to prove defendant was public official	35-6
35.24133	Sufficiency to prove defendant negotiated, bid or entered into contract in private capacity	35-6
35.24134	Sufficiency to prove private pecuniary interest	35-6
35.24135	Sufficiency to prove defendant was authorized or required by law to perform discretionary act concerning contract	35-6
35.2414	Other matters	35-6
35.24141	Nature and purpose of this offense in general	35-6
35.24142	This is strict liability offense	35-6
35.24143	Abstaining from voting does not avoid commission of this offense	35-6
35.242	In capacity as public officer or employee, participates in making of contract in which one has private pecuniary interest, or performing in regard to that contract some function requiring exercising of discretion on officer's or employee's part (Wis. Stat. § 346.13(1)(b))	35-6
35.2421	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	35-6
35.2422	Elements of this offense	35-6
35.24221	Scienter not element	35-6
35.2423	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	35-6
35.24231	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	35-7
35.2424	Other matters	35-7
35.24241	Defenses to this offense	35-7
35.24242	This is strict liability offense	35-7
35.24243	Person may avoid commission of this offense by abstaining from voting on matters relating to their private contracts	35-7
35.25	Purchasing Claims At Less Than Full Value	35-7
35.26	Public Construction Contracts At Less Than Full Value	35-7
35.27	Judicial Officer Collecting Claims	35-7
35.28	Corrupt Means To Influence Legislation; Disclosure Of Interest	35-7

	<i>Page</i>
35.29 Misconduct Sections Apply To De Facto Officers	35-7
35.3 Crimes Involving Perjury And False Swearing	35-7
35.31 Perjury	35-7
35.311 Elements of this offense	35-7
35.3111 In general	35-7
35.3112 "Materiality" of false testimony	35-7
35.312 Admission of evidence	35-7
35.3121 Prosecutor's statement in prior prosecution allegedly showing that charged perjury was in fact truth	35-7
35.3122 Admissibility of statements made by defendant to presentence investigator in prior prosecution for another crime when perjury charge is based on inconsistency between trial testimony in that prior prosecution and those statements (see secs. 9.1262 and 9.19923)	35-7
35.3123 In prosecution for perjury based on defendant's testimony at trial for crime, evidence that defendant was convicted of that crime may be admissible	35-8
35.313 Sufficiency of evidence to convict	35-8
35.3131 In general	35-8
35.3132 Sufficiency to prove that statement was false when made	35-8
35.3133 Sufficiency to prove that statement was knowing falsehood	35-8
35.3134 Sufficiency to prove that statement was material	35-8
35.314 Other matters	35-8
35.3141 Appropriate unit of prosecution	35-8
35.31411 Separate and distinct false declarations in testimony that require different factual proof of falsity may properly be charged in separate counts even if they are all related and arise out of the same transaction or subject matter	35-8
35.3142 Defenses to this offense	35-8
35.31421 Privilege against self-incrimination no defense to perjury prosecution	35-8
35.3143 Nature and purpose of this offense in general	35-8
35.3144 Defendant may be prosecuted for perjury based on allegedly false testimony in prior prosecution in which defendant's false testimony was believed by jury and led to acquittal if state has newly discovered evidence of perjury (see sec. 29.43341)	35-8
35.3145 Other matters	35-8
35.31451 Solicitation to commit perjury committed if defendant advises another party to procure the defendant to directly commit the perjury	35-8
35.31452 False testimony punishable as perjury whether judicial officer before whom testimony given is acting de jure or de facto	35-8
35.31453 "Materiality" of testimony must be submitted to jury; it is not question of law for judge to resolve	35-9

	35.31454 Propriety of perjury prosecution based on defendant's admission of guilt to defense presentence investigator that is at odds with defendant's trial testimony	35-9
35.32	False Swearing	35-9
35.321	Elements of this offense	35-9
35.3211	In general	35-9
35.3212	Materiality not required	35-9
35.3213	Oath or affirmation required or authorized by law	35-9
35.3214	When prosecution based on two inconsistent statements, that two statements were made within six years of one another is not element of this offense	35-9
35.322	Charging this offense	35-9
35.3221	Sufficiency of information	35-9
35.323	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	35-9
35.3231	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	35-9
35.32311	Content of instruction	35-9
35.324	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	35-9
35.325	Other matters	35-9
35.3251	Jury unanimity in prosecution for this offense	35-9
35.3252	Authority of clerk of court to administer oath to witness	35-9
35.3253	When prosecution based on two inconsistent statements, that two statements were made within six years of one another is not element of this offense (see sec. 35.3214)	35-9
35.4	Crimes Involving Interference With Law Enforcement	35-10
35.41	Refusing To Aid Officer	35-10
35.42	Resisting Or Obstructing Officer	35-10
35.421	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	35-10
35.4211	In general	35-10
35.4212	Constitutionality of statutes enacted in other jurisdictions that require stopped person to identify himself or herself (see sec. 35.7911)	35-10
35.422	Elements of this offense	35-10
35.4221	In general	35-10
35.4222	Obstructs: in general	35-10
35.42221	In general	35-10
35.42222	Obstructs has two independent meanings: (1) makes officer's performance of duties more difficult, and (2) gives false information with intent to mislead	35-10
35.4223	Obstructs: makes officer's performance of duties more difficult	35-10
35.42231	Failure of person properly subjected to Terry stop to identify himself may not be obstruction	35-10
35.42232	Failure to provide information, including identification, to police does not constitute obstruction (see sec. 35.4273)	35-11
35.4224	Obstructs: gives false information with intent to mislead	35-11

35.42241	Does person's false denial of guilt to police investigating crime constitute obstruction?	35-11
35.42242	Identifying other people as possible suspects, or otherwise giving false information regarding crime, constitutes obstruction	35-11
35.42243	Knowingly giving false information to mislead officer is obstruction as a matter of law; proof of actual obstruction of officer is not necessary	35-11
35.42244	Refusal to give information, including identity, is not obstruction	35-11
35.4225	In official capacity	35-11
35.4226	With lawful authority	35-11
35.42261	In general	35-11
35.42262	When resisting or obstructing is in response to police misconduct (e.g., execution of invalid search warrant)	35-11
35.42263	When resisting or obstructing is in response to arrest for new, distinct crime (e.g., disorderly conduct) that was in response to police misconduct (e.g., execution of invalid search warrant)	35-11
35.42264	When resisting or obstructing occurs outside home after illegal arrest in home	35-12
35.423	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	35-12
35.4231	Probable cause to arrest	35-12
35.42311	In general	35-12
35.42312	Failure of person properly subjected to Terry stop to identify himself does not provide probable cause for arrest	35-12
35.4232	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	35-12
35.42321	Does allegation that person falsely denied guilt to police investigating crime provide probable cause to believe this offense committed?	35-12
35.42322	Allegation that person gave false information regarding identity of perpetrator of crime provides probable cause to believe this offense committed	35-12
35.424	Admission of evidence	35-12
35.4241	Prior instances of harassment by law enforcement officers	35-12
35.425	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	35-12
35.4251	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	35-12
35.42511	Content of instruction in general	35-12
35.42512	Defendant's right, when obstruction based on alleged giving of false name, to instruction on common law right to change name through continuous and consistent use	35-12
35.42513	Instructing on "with lawful authority" element	35-12
35.426	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	35-12
35.4261	In general	35-12
35.4262	Sufficiency to prove obstructing	35-13

35.4263	Sufficiency to prove knowledge that officer was acting in official capacity and with lawful authority	35-13
35.427	Sufficiency of showing of factual basis for plea to this offense	35-13
35.4271	In general	35-13
35.4272	Sufficiency of showing with respect to element of "lawful authority"	35-13
35.428	Other matters	35-13
35.4281	Defenses to this offense	35-13
35.42811	Unlawfulness of officer's conduct	35-13
35.42812	When charge based on defendant's giving of allegedly false name, common law right to change name through continuous and consistent use may be defense	35-13
35.42813	"Exculpatory no" defense (see secs. 35.4274 and 35.4275)	35-13
35.4282	Fact that obstruction relates to attempted arrest on civil matter, rather than criminal matter, is of no consequence	35-13
35.4283	Failure to provide information, including identification, to police does not constitute obstruction	35-13
35.4284	"Exculpatory no" doctrine adopted by court of appeals with respect to this offense	35-13
35.42841	In general	35-13
35.42842	Doctrine does not extend to identifying other people as possible suspects or otherwise giving false information regarding crime	35-14
35.4285	"Exculpatory no" doctrine rejected by supreme court with respect to this offense	35-14
35.43	Failure To Comply With Officer's Attempt To Take Person Into Custody	35-14
35.431	Elements of this offense	35-14
35.4311	In general	35-14
35.432	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	35-14
35.4321	No need to instruct jurors that they must be unanimous on particular statutory alternative that applies to defendant's conduct (see sec. 35.4341)	35-14
35.433	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	35-14
35.4331	In general	35-14
35.434	Other matters	35-14
35.4341	No need for juror unanimity with respect to particular statutory alternative	35-14
35.4342	Statutory alternatives in description of this crime do not create separate offenses but rather describe alternative means of committing single offense	35-14
35.44	Escape	35-14
35.441	Elements of this offense	35-14
35.4411	In general	35-14
35.4412	Custody	35-14
35.44121	In general	35-14
35.44122	Custody does not require arrest	35-14
35.44123	Person convicted of crime is in custody notwithstanding fact that no arrest was made	35-15

35.44124	Includes custody of individuals jailed for nonpayment of municipal forfeiture who are on work release (see sec. 35.44645)	35-15
35.44125	Is probationer confined to county jail as condition of probation in custody during period her or she is on work release? (see sec. 35.4463)	35-15
35.44126	Does not include custody of probationer or parolee by probation or parole agent	35-15
35.44127	Person turned away from jail when he appears there to serve time imposed as condition of probation may be in custody for purposes of escape statute	35-15
35.44128	Does not include detention during pendency of ch. 980 proceeding	35-15
35.4413	In custody pursuant to lawful arrest	35-15
35.4414	Escape following conviction of "crime"	35-15
35.4415	Escape following conviction of "statutory traffic regulation"	35-15
35.4416	No need to show defendant progressed beyond institution's outer boundaries	35-15
35.4417	For purposes of felony escape, arrest must be for crime	35-15
35.44171	In general	35-15
35.44172	If arrest based on warrant, warrant must be for crime	35-15
35.442	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	35-15
35.4421	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	35-15
35.443	Charging this offense	35-16
35.4431	In general	35-16
35.4432	No need to allege physical control over escapee	35-16
35.444	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	35-16
35.4441	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	35-16
35.44411	Content of instruction in general	35-16
35.44412	In prosecution for felony escape, instructing on requirement that custody must be result of arrest for crime	35-16
35.445	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	35-16
35.4451	In general	35-16
35.4452	Sufficiency to show custody	35-16
35.4453	No need to show that defendant progressed beyond institution's outer boundaries	35-16
35.446	Other matters	35-16
35.4461	Penalty or punishment for offense	35-16
35.44611	In general	35-16
35.44612	Penalty enhancer for habitual criminality does not apply to this offense (see sec. 31.62967)	35-16
35.4462	Defenses to this offense	35-16
35.44621	Duress or necessity	35-16
35.44622	Self-defense	35-16
35.44623	Innocence of crime, or reversal of conviction for crime, for which arrest made is no defense	35-16

35.44624	Fact that defendant not charged with crime for which arrest made is no defense	35-17
35.4463	Is probationer who has been confined to county jail as condition of probation guilty of escape when he or she fails to return from work release?	35-17
35.44631	Before amendment of escape statute by 1995 Wis. Act 154	35-17
35.44632	After amendment of escape statute by 1995 Wis. Act 154	35-17
35.4464	Other matters	35-17
35.44641	Escape is a continuing offense	35-17
35.44642	Incarceration for operating after revocation as a habitual offender and for operating while intoxicated, fourth offense, is incarceration for a "crime," and thus escape is felony	35-17
35.44643	Arrest not lawful and defendant not guilty of escape if arrest based on evidence unconstitutionally obtained	35-17
35.44644	Defendant placed in community residential confinement as part of intensive sanctions program can be charged with escape when he cuts off electronic monitoring bracelet and fails to return to confinement	35-17
35.44645	Individual who is jailed for nonpayment of municipal forfeiture is guilty of escape when he fails to return from work release	35-17
35.44646	Meaning of "prisoner" in escape statute	35-17
35.44647	Person turned away from jail when he appears there to serve time imposed as condition of probation may be subject to charge of escape if he violates any conditions of his constructive custody (see sec. 35.44127)	35-17
35.44648	Person who absconds from detention during pendency of ch. 980 proceeding is not subject to escape charge (see sec. 35.44128)	35-18
35.45	Failure To Report To Jail	35-18
35.46	Assaults By Prisoners	35-18
35.461	Elements of this offense	35-18
35.4611	In general	35-18
35.4612	Placing victim in apprehension of immediate battery	35-18
35.4613	Intention to place victim in apprehension of immediate battery	35-18
35.462	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	35-18
35.4621	Sufficiency to show placement of victim in apprehension of immediate battery	35-18
35.4622	Sufficiency to show intention to place victim in apprehension of immediate battery	35-18
35.47	Assisting Or Permitting Escape	35-18
35.48	Negligently Allowing Escape	35-18
35.49	Other Crimes	35-18

35.491	Encouraging violation of probation or parole	35-18
35.492	Harboring or aiding felons	35-18
35.4921	Elements of this offense	35-18
35.49211	In general	35-18
35.49212	That person harbored or aided was wanted for felony at time police were seeking to apprehend him, and that the defendant knew this, are not elements of this defense	35-18
35.49213	Person harbored or aided need not be convicted felon; commission of felony is dispositive factor, not whether there has been conviction	35-18
35.4922	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	35-18
35.49221	State need not prove that person harbored or aided was wanted for felony at time police were seeking to apprehend him or that defendant knew this	35-18
35.493	Kidnapped or missing persons; false information	35-18
35.494	Bail jumping	35-18
35.4941	Elements of this offense	35-18
35.49411	In general	35-19
35.49412	Intent element	35-19
35.49413	Violation of term of bail bond that defendant shall not commit any crime: proof of violation of term does not require proof of conviction of crime defendant committed	35-19
35.49414	Violation of term of bail bond that defendant shall not commit any crime: includes crimes committed in other jurisdictions	35-19
35.49415	Term of bail bond that defendant shall not commit any crime: includes lesser-included offenses of crime alleged, but jury cannot utilize guilt of lesser-included offense as basis for guilt of bail jumping unless it is properly instructed on lesser- included offense and is given verdict form predicating guilt on commission of lesser- included offense (see secs. 35.49433 and 35.49467)	35-19
35.49416	"Released from custody under ch. 969": release from custody must have been "on a bond"	35-19
35.49417	"Released from custody under ch. 969": physical release from custody not required; when defendant has executed signature bond in one case, but remains prisoner because he cannot post cash bond in another case, he has been released from custody in first case	35-19
35.4942	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	35-19
35.49421	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	35-19
35.4943	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	35-20
35.49431	Instructing on this offense: in general	35-20

35.49432	Instructing on this offense: instructing on release from custody on bond	35-20
35.49433	Instructing on this offense when term of bail bond violated is that defendant shall not commit any crime: when jury is given option to consider whether defendant violated term of bail bond by committing lesser-included offense of crime alleged, jury must be instructed on elements of lesser-included offense and on how to consider lesser-included offense	35-20
35.49434	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense: offenses that are lesser included offenses of this offense	35-20
35.4944	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	35-20
35.49441	In general	35-20
35.49442	Failure to comply with term of bond that defendant shall not commit any crime established by showing that defendant committed crime in other jurisdiction	35-20
35.49443	Sufficiency to prove that defendant was released from custody on bond	35-20
35.49444	Sufficiency to prove that defendant intentionally failed to comply with terms of bond	35-20
35.49445	Sufficiency to prove defendant violated condition prohibiting consumption of alcohol or controlled substance	35-20
35.49446	Sufficiency to prove violation of condition that defendant not commit crime	35-20
35.49447	Proving violation of term of bail bond that defendant shall not commit any crime does not require proof of conviction of crime defendant committed (see sec. 35.49413)	35-20
35.49448	When defendant's guilt of bail jumping is predicated on finding of guilt of another crime, and that finding is overturned, evidence is, as matter of law, insufficient to support defendant's conviction (see sec. 35.49465)	35-21
35.49449	When term of bail bond allegedly violated is that defendant shall not commit any crime, jury's finding of not guilty of crime that defendant allegedly committed does not render evidence insufficient to convict of bail jumping or otherwise require setting aside of bail jumping conviction	35-21
35.4945	Appropriate unit of prosecution	35-21
35.49451	When single act violates same condition in multiple bonds, multiple counts are appropriate	35-21
35.49452	When multiple violations of single bond are committed at same time and place, multiple counts are appropriate	35-21

35.49453 When prosecution based on failure to comply, in two cases, with condition of single bond that covered both cases (e.g. failure to appear at preliminary hearings in two cases when preliminary hearings were scheduled for same time and requirement of appearance at hearings was contained in single bond that covered both cases), multiple counts are appropriate 35-21

35.4946 Other matters 35-21

35.49461 Propriety of prosecuting for or convicting of both this offense and offense whose commission constituted failure to comply with terms of bail bond: in general 35-21

35.49462 Propriety of prosecuting for or convicting of this offense and offense whose commission constituted failure to comply with terms of bail bond: when other offense committed in another jurisdiction 35-21

35.49463 Bail bond term that defendant shall not commit any crime includes crimes committed in other jurisdictions (see sec. 35.49414) 35-22

35.49464 Prosecution for violation of condition of release that defendant not consume alcohol does not violate Wis. Stat. § 51.45(1) which declares that intoxicated persons may not be criminally prosecuted because of consumption of alcohol 35-22

35.49465 When defendant's guilt of bail jumping is predicated on finding of guilt of another crime, and that finding is overturned, evidence is, as matter of law, insufficient to support defendant's conviction 35-22

35.49466 In determining meaning of condition of bond that defendant allegedly violated, resort to contract principles not appropriate; question is whether prohibition in bond condition itself covered defendant's conduct 35-22

35.49467 When term of bail bond violated is that defendant shall not commit any crime and jury is given option to consider whether defendant violated term of bail bond by committing lesser-included offense of crime alleged, jury must be provided with alternative verdict finding guilt based on commission of that lesser-included offense 35-22

35.49468 When term of bail bond allegedly violated is that defendant shall not commit any crime, jury's finding of not guilty of crime that defendant allegedly committed does not render evidence insufficient to convict of bail jumping or otherwise require setting aside of bail jumping conviction (see sec. 35.49449) 35-22

35.495	Absconding	35-22
35.5	Other Crimes Affecting Administration Of Government	35-22
35.51	Destruction Of Documents Subject To Subpoena	35-22
35.52	Bribery Of Witnesses	35-23
35.521	Elements of this offense	35-23
35.5211	To refrain from giving evidence or testifying	35-23
35.522	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	35-23
35.5221	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	35-23
35.523	Other matters	35-23
35.5231	This offense not applicable to making or accepting payment in order to have witness testify	35-23
35.53	Concealing Identity	35-23
35.531	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	35-23
35.532	Other matters	35-23
35.5321	Party to a crime	35-23
35.5322	Penalty or punishment for offense	35-23
35.5323	Other matters	35-23
35.53231	Effect of statute	35-23
35.54	Concealing Death Of Child	35-23
35.55	Communicating With Jurors	35-23
35.56	Obstructing Justice	35-23
35.561	Elements of this offense	35-23
35.562	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	35-23
35.563	Other matters	35-23
35.5631	Party to the crime	35-23
35.57	Compounding Crime	35-23
35.58	Simulating Legal Process	35-23
35.59	Falsely Assuming To Act As Public Officer Or Employee Or A Utility Employee	35-23
35.591	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	35-24
35.592	Elements of this offense	35-24
35.593	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	35-24
35.6	Other Crimes Affecting Administration Of Government (cont'd)	35-24
35.61	Impersonating Peace Officers	35-24
35.62	Interference With Custody Of Child	35-24
35.621	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	35-24
35.622	Elements of this offense	35-24
35.623	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	35-24
35.63	Interference By Parent With Parental Rights Of Other Parent	35-24
35.631	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	35-24
35.632	Elements of this offense	35-24
35.633	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	35-24
35.6331	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	35-24
35.63311	Content of instruction	35-24
35.634	Other matters	35-24
35.6341	Defenses to this offense	35-24
35.64	Unauthorized Placement For Adoption	35-24
35.65	Tampering With Public Records And Notices	35-24

35.66	Penalty For Violating Laws Governing State Or County Institution	35-24
35.67	Aiding Escape From Mental Institutions	35-24
35.68	Denial Of Right To Counsel	35-24
35.69	Premature Disclosure Of Search Warrant	35-24
35.7	Crimes Affecting Administration Of Government (Federal Offenses And Offenses In Other States)	35-24
35.71	Making False Statement In Matter Within Jurisdiction Of Federal Department Or Agency	35-25
35.711	Elements of this offense	35-25
35.7111	In general	35-25
35.7112	"Any department or agency of the United States" does not include judicial branch	35-25
35.7113	"Materiality" of statement	35-25
35.7114	"Exculpatory no" is not exempted from purview of statute creating this offense (see sec. 35.7132)	35-25
35.712	Defenses to this defense	35-25
35.7121	Fact that alleged false statement consisted merely of an "exculpatory no" is no defense to this offense (see sec. 35.7132)	35-25
35.713	Other matters	35-25
35.7131	"Materiality" of statement must be submitted to jury; it is not question of law for judge to resolve	35-25
35.7132	"Exculpatory no" doctrine rejected	35-25
35.72	Endeavoring To Obstruct Due Administration Of Justice	35-25
35.721	Elements of this offense	35-25
35.7211	"Knowingly"	35-25
35.7212	"Corruptly"	35-25
35.7213	Nexus between obstructive act and official proceeding	35-25
35.722	Instructing of this offense	35-25
35.7221	Content of instruction	35-25
35.723	Other matters	35-25
35.7231	This crime does not encompass lying to investigating officers who were not acting as arm of grand jury, whom grand jury had not subpoenaed or otherwise directed to appear, or whom the defendant knew would provide his statements to the grand jury	35-25
35.73	Illegally Disclosing A Wiretap	35-25
35.731	This crime can be committed even though disclosure of the wiretap occurred after the authorization for the wiretap expired	35-25
35.74	Criminal Violation Of Constitutional Rights (see sec. 38.48)	35-26
35.75	Bribery	35-26
35.751	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	35-26
35.7511	Statute is valid exercise of Congress's Article I authority	35-26
35.752	Elements of this offense	35-26
35.7521	Does not require proof that bribe in question had demonstrated effect upon federal funds	35-26
35.753	Coverage of this offense	35-26

	35.7531 Officers of a private, nonprofit corporation administering and expending federal community development block grants are "public officials" for purposes of this offense	35-26
35.76	Prohibition On Broadcasting Lottery Advertisements (see sec. 38.984)	35-26
35.77	Illegal Gratuities Statute	35-26
	35.771 Elements of this offense	35-26
	35.7711 Government must prove link between thing of value conferred upon public official and specific "official act" for or because of which it was given	35-26
35.78	Theft by, or bribery of, agent of organization receiving benefits in excess of \$10,000 under federal program	35-26
	35.781 Elements of this offense	35-26
	35.7811 Receipt of federal benefit	35-26
35.782	Other matters	35-26
	35.7821 Hospitals as health care providers under Medicare program receive "benefits" within meaning of this crime	35-26
35.79	Other Crimes	35-26
	35.791 "Stop and identify" offenses	35-26
	35.7911 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	35-26
35.8	Wisconsin Organized Crime Control Act	35-26
35.81	Engaging In Racketeering Activity	35-26
	35.811 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	35-26
	35.812 Definitions	35-26
	35.8121 "Enterprise"	35-26
	35.8122 "Pattern of racketeering activity"	35-27
35.813	Elements of this offense	35-27
	35.8131 Does not require intent or knowledge beyond that required for predicate offenses	35-27
	35.8132 Does not require that accused acted with intent to defraud or with knowledge that law violated	35-27
35.814	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	35-27
	35.8141 Sufficiency of showing of "enterprise"	35-27
	35.8142 Sufficiency of showing of "pattern of racketeering activity"	35-27
35.815	Admission of evidence	35-27
	35.8151 Evidence of loss suffered by victims of securities fraud	35-27
35.816	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	35-27
	35.8161 In general	35-27
	35.8162 Sufficiency to prove "enterprise"	35-27
35.817	Other matters	35-27
	35.8171 In interpreting WOCCA, federal law concerning RICO may be persuasive	35-27
	35.8172 Requirement that person be separate from enterprise	35-27
	35.8173 Propriety of imposing punishment for this offense and predicate offenses	35-27
	35.8174 Requirement that person be separate from enterprise	35-27
	35.8175 Defenses to this offense: advice of counsel	35-27
35.82	Continuing Criminal Enterprise	35-27
	35.821 Other matters	35-28
	35.8211 Appropriate unit of prosecution	35-28

	<i>Page</i>
35.8212 Other matters	35-28
35.82121 Propriety of punishment for both this offense and underlying predicate offenses	35-28
35.82122 Federal interpretation of RICO provisions is persuasive authority in interpreting this offense	35-28
35.83 Civil Remedies Under State Racketeering Activity And Continuing Criminal Enterprise Provisions (see sec. 47.11)	35-28
35.9 Federal Organized Crime Control Provisions	35-28
35.91 Racketeer Influenced And Corrupt Organizations (RICO) Offenses	35-28
35.911 Definitions relating to RICO	35-28
35.9111 "Enterprise"	35-28
35.9112 "Structure"	35-28
35.9113 "Association"	35-28
35.912 Elements of these offenses	35-28
35.9121 To conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of enterprise's affairs	35-28
35.9122 Enterprise	35-28
35.91221 In general	35-28
35.91222 Enterprise "which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce"	35-28
35.9123 Prohibition on person conducting affairs of enterprise through pattern of racketeering activity	35-28
35.91231 Requires that person and enterprise be distinct entities	35-28
35.91232 Corporation, and corporate employee who unlawfully conducts affairs of corporation of which he is sole owner, are distinct entities	35-28
35.9124 When extortion in violation of Hobbs Act is alleged racketeering activity, government must show that defendant obtained property	35-28
35.9125 When violation of Hobbs Act is alleged racketeering activity, physical violence alleged to violate Hobbs Act must be physical violence related to robbery or extortion	35-29
35.913 Instructing on this offense	35-29
35.9131 Instructing on meaning of "enterprise"	35-29
35.914 Conspiracy to commit this offense	35-29
35.9141 To be convicted of conspiracy to violate RICO, conspirator need not himself have committed or agreed to commit the two or more predicate acts requisite for a substantive RICO offense	35-29
35.915 Forfeiture of property used in, or proceeds from, racketeering activity	35-29
35.9151 Constitutionality of forfeiture	35-29
35.91511 Application of forfeiture provisions to expressive conduct (e.g., sale of sexually explicit materials) does not violate first amendment	35-29
35.91512 Forfeiture may violate excessive fines clause of eighth amendment	35-29
35.916 Other matters	35-29

35.9161	Making obscenity violations predicate offenses is constitutionally permissible	35-29
35.9162	When extortion in violation of Hobbs Act is alleged racketeering activity, government must show that defendant obtained property	35-29
35.9163	When violation of Hobbs Act is alleged racketeering activity, physical violence alleged to violate Hobbs Act must be physical violence related to robbery of extortion (see sec. 35.9115)	35-29
35.9164	Supreme court's approach to interpreting text of RICO statute in general	35-29
35.92	Continuing Criminal Enterprise Offenses	35-29
35.921	Forfeiture of property used in, or proceeds from, criminal enterprise	35-29
35.9211	Constitutionality of forfeiture	35-29
35.9212	Property to be used to pay attorney's fees not exempt from forfeiture	35-29
35.9213	Need to establish factual basis for stipulated forfeiture of assets as part of guilty plea (see sec. 7.21691)	35-30
35.9214	Waiver of right to jury determination of forfeitability as part of guilty plea (see sec. 26.393)	35-30
35.922	Jury must unanimously agree on which specific "violations" make up the "continuing series of violations" that are part of a continuing criminal enterprise	35-30
35.93	Civil Remedies Under Federal Racketeer Influenced And Corrupt Organizations And Continuing Criminal Enterprise Provisions (see sec. 47.12)	35-30

Chapter 36
Crimes Involving Controlled Substances

36.1	Problems Arising In Controlled Substances Prosecutions Generally	36-1
36.11	Constitutionality Of Controlled Substances Statutes	36-1
36.111	Constitutionality of classification of particular substance as a controlled substance or in particular schedule	36-1
36.1111	Constitutionality of classification of particular substance as a controlled substance	36-1
36.11111	Marijuana	36-1
36.11112	Cocaine base	36-1
36.11113	Other substances	36-1
36.1112	Constitutionality of classification of particular substance in particular schedule	36-1
36.11121	Classifying marijuana or THC as Schedule I substance	36-1
36.11122	Other classifications	36-1
36.112	Constitutionality of procedure for classifying substances	36-1
36.1121	Constitutionality of Congress's delegation of authority to classify to attorney general	36-1
36.1122	Constitutionality of attorney general's delegation of scheduling power to DEA	36-1

36.113	Consistency of controlled substances law and its enforcement with various constitutional and statutory rights	36-1
36.1131	Freedom of religion (see sec. 30.9852)	36-1
36.1132	Right to privacy	36-1
36.1133	Equal protection	36-1
36.1134	Commerce Clause	36-1
36.11341	Congress's Commerce Clause authority includes power to prohibit local cultivation and use of marijuana for medical purposes in compliance with state law (see sec. 30.9954)	36-1
36.1135	Religious Freedom Restoration Act (see sec. 30.98584)	36-1
36.114	Constitutionality of penalty scheme in controlled substances act	36-1
36.12	Classification Of Substance As Controlled Substance	36-2
36.121	Classification process in general	36-2
36.122	Determining whether particular substance is controlled substance	36-2
36.1221	Cocaine	36-2
36.1222	THC	36-2
36.1223	Other substances	36-2
36.123	Propriety of classification of particular substance as a controlled substance or in particular schedule	36-2
36.124	Procedure for classifying substances	36-2
36.13	Elements Of Controlled Substances Offenses	36-2
36.131	Of offenses involving possession of controlled substance	36-2
36.1311	Knowledge element	36-2
36.13111	Need to prove that defendant knew or believed that he was in possession of controlled substance	36-2
36.13112	No need to prove defendant's knowledge of specific nature of controlled substance possessed: in general	36-2
36.13113	No need to prove defendant's knowledge of specific nature of controlled substance: fact that controlled substance defendant believed he possessed is in different schedule than controlled substance actually possessed makes no difference	36-2
36.1312	No need to prove possession of usable amount or other minimum quantity	36-2
36.14	Sufficiency Of Charging Document	36-2
36.141	To charge nature of substance	36-2
36.1411	Cocaine	36-2
36.142	Need to negate statutory defenses	36-3
36.143	Other matters	36-3
36.15	Admission Of Evidence	36-3
36.16	Jury Instructions	36-3
36.161	Regarding nature of substance	36-3
36.1611	Cocaine	36-3
36.17	Sufficiency Of Evidence	36-3
36.171	To establish probable cause	36-3
36.1711	As to nature of substance	36-3
36.17111	Marijuana	36-3
36.17112	Cocaine	36-3

	<i>Page</i>
36.17113 Heroin	36-3
36.17114 Other substances	36-3
36.1712 That defendant possessed controlled substance	36-3
36.1713 That defendant engaged in drug dealing	36-3
36.17131 In general	36-3
36.17132 Particular factor: drug dealing and weapons go hand in hand	36-3
36.172 To establish guilt beyond a reasonable doubt	36-3
36.1721 As to nature of substance: general principles	36-3
36.17211 In general	36-3
36.17212 Use of Physician's Desk Reference	36-3
36.17213 Need for expert testimony	36-4
36.17214 Identification of controlled substance can be established by circumstantial evidence	36-4
36.1722 As to nature of substance: particular substances	36-4
36.17221 Oxycontin	36-4
36.17222 Marijuana	36-4
36.17223 Cocaine	36-4
36.17224 Heroin	36-4
36.17225 THC	36-4
36.1723 Sufficiency to prove offenses involving possession of controlled substance	36-4
36.17231 Sufficiency of evidence to prove possession: in general	36-4
36.17232 Sufficiency of evidence to prove possession: presence of controlled substance in one's urine or blood, without more, is insufficient evidence on which to base conviction for possession	36-4
36.17233 Sufficiency of evidence to prove defendant knew or believed he was in possession of controlled substance	36-4
36.17234 Need to prove that defendant knew or believed that he was in possession of controlled substance (see sec. 36.13111)	36-5
36.17235 No need to prove defendant's knowledge of specific nature of controlled substance possessed (see secs. 36.13112 and 36.13113)	36-5
36.17236 No need to prove possession of usable amount or other minimum quantity (see sec. 36.1312)	36-5
36.18 Penalty Or Punishment For Controlled Substances Offenses	36-5
36.181 In general	36-5
36.182 Mandatory minimum sentence; presumptive minimum sentence	36-5
36.1821 When probation imposed for drug offense carrying mandatory minimum sentence of one year or less, imprisonment for that period must be imposed as a condition of probation	36-5
36.18211 In general	36-5
36.18212 Actual imprisonment must be imposed; monitored home detention not permissible alternative	36-5

36.1822	Provision making mandatory minimum sentence only a "presumptive minimum sentence" does not apply when mandatory minimum sentence is one year or less and probation is imposed	36-5
36.1823	When taking plea to drug offense having presumptive minimum sentence, court must establish defendant's understanding of presumptive minimum sentence (see sec. 7.21323)	36-5
36.1824	Provision making minimum sentence only presumptive, not mandatory, does not apply to Wis. Stat. § 961.50, which requires suspension of operating privilege when person convicted of controlled substances offense; that requirement is mandatory (see sec. 36.1851)	36-5
36.1825	Burden of proof with respect to question whether presumptive minimum sentence should be imposed	36-5
36.1826	Calculation of mandatory minimum sentence and its components (i.e., confinement and extended supervision) under truth-in-sentencing	36-6
36.183	Penalty enhancer for committing offense within 1,000 feet of school premises or other designated building, object, or place	36-6
36.1831	Constitutionality of this penalty enhancer	36-6
36.1832	Effect of this penalty enhancer on substantive offense	36-6
36.18321	In general	36-6
36.18322	When this penalty enhancer charged, it becomes element of substantive offense that must be proved at trial beyond reasonable doubt	36-6
36.1833	Elements of this penalty enhancer	36-6
36.18331	Intent or scienter is not required; this is a strict liability penalty enhancer	36-6
36.18332	School does not include public university campus	36-6
36.18333	"Park" includes passive (i.e., undeveloped) park	36-6
36.18334	"Youth center" includes day care center	36-6
36.1834	Applicability to pending cases of amendment of penalty enhancer from "mandatory" to "presumptive" minimum sentence	36-6
36.1835	When elements of this penalty enhancer are in fact not present, court has no jurisdiction to impose enhanced penalty even though defendant pled guilty to charging document alleging penalty enhancer	36-6
36.1836	This penalty enhancer is self-executing; prosecutor need do nothing to trigger it and cannot prevent court from invoking it by simply not charging defendant as repeater	36-7
36.1837	In prosecutions for possession of a controlled substance with intent to deliver, this penalty enhancer applies to a person who possesses the controlled substance with intent to deliver it anywhere, including outside the prohibited zone; an intent to deliver it inside the prohibited zone is not necessary	36-7

36.1838	In multi-count complaint charging controlled substances offenses with this penalty enhancer, failure to prove penalty enhancer at preliminary examination does not require dismissal of count or preclude charging offense in information	36-7
36.1839	State cannot prove this penalty enhancer, or aspect of it, by use of judicial notice	36-7
36.184	Penalty enhancer for second or subsequent controlled substances offenses (Wis. Stat. § 961.48, formerly 161.48)	36-7
36.1841	Prior conviction(s) that subjects defendant to this penalty enhancer is not element of offense that must be proved at trial beyond a reasonable doubt	36-7
36.1842	Determining what constitutes prior offense that will render present offense a second or subsequent offense	36-7
36.18421	In general	36-7
36.18422	Possession of drug paraphernalia in violation of Wis. Stat. § 961.573 qualifies as prior offense	36-7
36.1843	Proving prior offense	36-7
36.18431	In general	36-7
36.18432	Admission by plea of guilty to charging document alleging prior offense	36-7
36.18433	Remedy when appellate court concludes prior offense not proven	36-8
36.1844	Propriety of enhancing sentence under repeater provision of ch. 961 (formerly ch. 161) (i.e., for committing second or subsequent controlled substances offense) and under general repeater statute (Wis. Stat. § 939.62)	36-8
36.18441	Cannot enhance sentence under repeater provision of ch. 961 and under general repeater statute when both enhancers are based on same prior drug conviction	36-8
36.18442	May enhance sentence under repeater provision of ch. 961 and under general repeater statute when each enhancer is based on different prior conviction	36-8
36.1845	When this penalty enhancer is invoked, trial court, in selecting sentence to impose, may consider violation(s) that provided basis for invoking this penalty enhancer	36-8
36.1846	Section 161.48(4)'s exclusion of offenses under sec. 161.41(2r)(a) and (3) sets forth limitation only upon the second and subsequent offense for which defendant is sentenced; the previous offense may be any conviction under ch. 161	36-8
36.1847	In multiple count complaint charging controlled substances offenses with this penalty enhancer, failure to prove penalty enhancer at preliminary examination requires dismissal of count and precludes charging the offense in information	36-8
36.185	Penalty enhancer for defendant previously convicted of "felony drug offense" (21 U.S.C. § 841(b)(1)(A)) (federal penalty enhancer)	36-8
36.1851	Meaning of "felony drug offense"	36-8

	36.18511 Is defined exclusively by § 802(44) and does not incorporate § 802(13)'s definition of "felony"; therefore, state drug offense punishable by more than one year qualifies as "felony drug offense," even if state law classifies offense as misdemeanor	36-8
36.186	Requirement that weight of carrier medium be included when determining the appropriate penalty for controlled substances offense	36-9
	36.1861 In general	36-9
	36.1862 Constitutionality of requirement	36-9
36.187	Imposing harsher penalty for defendants convicted of dealing cocaine base or crack cocaine than on defendants convicted of dealing cocaine powder	36-9
	36.1871 Constitutionality of imposition of harsher punishment	36-9
	36.1872 In sentencing for offenses relating to crack cocaine, federal court is not required to adhere to federal sentencing guidelines' disparity between crack and powder cocaine offenses; guidelines' crack/powder disparity is not effectively mandatory (see sec. 9.1796)	36-9
36.188	Deferral of further proceedings without entering judgment of guilt and conditional discharge and dismissal in case of possession of controlled substance	36-9
	36.1881 In general	36-9
	36.1882 Does not apply to possession of marijuana	36-9
	36.1883 Deferral may be ordered without consent of prosecutor	36-9
36.189	Other matters	36-9
	36.1891 Suspension of operating privilege	36-9
	36.18911 Wis. Stat. § 961.438, which makes minimum sentences under controlled substances law only presumptive, not mandatory, does not apply to Wis. Stat. § 961.50, which requires suspension of operating privilege when person convicted of controlled substances offense; that requirement is mandatory	36-9
	36.1892 Constitutionality of penalty scheme in controlled substances act (see sec. 36.114)	36-9
36.19	Other Problems	36-9
	36.191 Definitions relating to controlled substances offenses	36-9
	36.1911 "Deliver"; "delivery"	36-9
	36.1912 "Keep"; "keeping"	36-10
	36.192 Determining appropriate unit of prosecution	36-10
	36.1921 When controlled substance possessed on different occasions is from same cache	36-10
36.193	Deferral of further proceedings without entering judgment of guilt and conditional discharge and dismissal in case of possession of controlled substance (see sec. 36.193)	36-10
36.194	Conviction of controlled substance offense after forfeiture of property used in, or representing proceeds from, offense (see sec. 29.7962)	36-10

36.195	Bar to prosecution for the same act both in this state and under federal law or the law of another state; statutory abrogation of dual sovereignty doctrine with respect to drug offenses (Wis. Stat. § 961.45)	36-10
36.1951	In general	36-10
36.1952	Different limitations on successive prosecutions imposed by Wis. Stat. § 939.71 and Wis. Stat. § 961.45 do not violate equal protection of the laws (see sec. 23.694)	36-10
36.1953	Interpretation of "same act"	36-10
36.19531	"Same act" does not mean same offense	36-10
36.19532	"Same act" means same conduct	36-10
36.19533	When defendant charged with conspiracy, "same conduct" does not encompass all acts performed in furtherance of conspiracy	36-10
36.19534	To determine whether "same conduct" is involved, court must ask whether defendant came to "fork in the road" and committed separate volitional act	36-10
36.196	Controlled Substances Act does not allow United States Attorney General to prohibit doctors from prescribing regulated drugs for use in physician-assisted suicide	36-11
36.197	Controlled Substances Act cannot be read as prohibiting physician-assisted suicide through prescription of controlled substances	36-11
36.198	Where harsher punishment imposed on one side of drug transaction (e.g., seller of drug is subject to harsher punishment than purchaser), person subject to less harsh punishment (e.g., purchaser) cannot be made subject to harsher punishment by being deemed aider and abettor of person subject to harsher punishment (e.g., seller)	36-11
36.2	Particular Crimes Involving Controlled Substances	36-11
36.21	Possession Of Controlled Substance	36-11
36.211	Elements of this offense	36-11
36.2111	In general	36-11
36.2112	Knowledge element; need to prove that defendant knew or believed that he was in possession of controlled substance (see sec. 36.1311)	36-11
36.2113	No need to prove possession of usable amount or other minimum quantity (see sec. 36.1312)	36-11
36.2114	Prior conviction(s) that subjects defendant to increased potential punishment for offense is not element of offense that must be proved at trial beyond a reasonable doubt (see sec. 36.21622)	36-11
36.212	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	36-11
36.2121	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause to arrest	36-11
36.2122	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	36-11
36.213	Admission of evidence	36-11
36.214	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	36-12
36.2141	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	36-12
36.21411	Offenses of which this is a lesser-included offense	36-12

	36.21412	When evidence leaves doubt as to greater offense	36-12
36.215		Sufficiency of evidence to convict	36-12
	36.2151	In general	36-12
	36.2152	To establish that substance was cocaine	36-12
	36.2153	To establish possession	36-12
	36.21531	In general	36-12
	36.21532	Presence of controlled substance in one's urine or blood, without more, is insufficient evidence on which to base conviction for possession (see sec. 36.17232)	36-12
	36.2154	To prove defendant knew or believed he was in possession of controlled substance	36-12
36.216		Other matters	36-12
	36.2161	Appropriate unit of prosecution	36-12
	36.2162	Penalty or punishment for this offense	36-12
	36.21621	Deferral of further proceedings without entering judgment of guilt and conditional discharge and dismissal (see sec. 36.193)	36-12
	36.21622	Prior conviction(s) that subjects defendant to increased potential punishment for offense is not element of offense that must be proved at trial beyond a reasonable doubt	36-12
	36.2163	Defenses to this offense	36-12
	36.21631	Is there a defense of medical necessity to this offense in cases involving marijuana?	36-13
	36.2164	Other matters	36-13
	36.21641	Effect of ordinance proscribing this offense on criminal prosecution	36-13
36.22		Possession Of Controlled Substance With Intent To Deliver	36-13
36.221		Elements of this offense	36-13
	36.2211	In general	36-13
	36.2212	Possession	36-13
	36.2213	Knowledge (see sec. 36.1311)	36-13
	36.2214	Intent to deliver	36-13
	36.22141	In general	36-13
	36.22142	One who is holding drugs for someone else and plans to return drugs to that person has "intent to deliver"	36-13
36.222		Probable cause to believe this offense committed	36-13
	36.2221	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	36-13
	36.2222	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	36-13
36.223		Admission of evidence	36-13
	36.2231	In general	36-13
	36.2232	Weapons found at alleged site of drug dealing	36-13
	36.2233	Expert opinion testimony by law enforcement officer that, based on items found on premises, residents of premises were engaged in drug dealing	36-13

36.2234	Expert opinion testimony by law enforcement officer regarding presence of gang signs and symbols in premises used for drug dealing	36-14
36.224	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	36-14
36.2241	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	36-14
36.22411	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	36-14
36.2242	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	36-14
36.22421	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	36-14
36.225	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	36-14
36.2251	In general	36-14
36.2252	Sufficiency to prove intent to deliver	36-14
36.22521	In general	36-14
36.22522	One who is holding drugs for someone else and plans to return drugs to that person has "intent to deliver" (see sec. 36.22142)	36-14
36.2253	Sufficiency to show weight of controlled substance that determines appropriate penalty	36-14
36.2254	Sufficiency to prove guilt as aider and abettor	36-14
36.226	Other matters	36-15
36.2261	Appropriate unit of prosecution	36-15
36.2262	Party to a crime	36-15
36.2263	Defenses to this offense	36-15
36.22631	"Procuring agent of the buyer" defense	36-15
36.22632	Is there a defense of medical necessity to this offense in cases involving marijuana?	36-15
36.2264	Penalty or punishment for this offense	36-15
36.22641	Sufficiency of evidence to prove weight of controlled substance that determines appropriate penalty (see sec. 36.2253)	36-15
36.22642	In determining weight of THC that determines applicable penalty, marijuana stalks may not be considered	36-15
36.22643	Person may be punished for this offense and drug tax violation with respect to same controlled substance without violating double jeopardy (see sec. 38.98342)	36-15
36.2265	Other matters	36-15
36.22651	No liability for simply recommending or directing buyer to source	36-15
36.22652	Person may be punished for this offense and drug tax violation with respect to same controlled substance without violating double jeopardy (see sec. 38.98342)	36-15
36.23	Delivery Of A Controlled Substance	36-15
36.231	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	36-15

36.2311	Constitutionality of provision providing harsher sentence for delivering cocaine base than for delivering cocaine powder (see sec. 36.1881)	36-15
36.232	Elements of this offense	36-15
36.2321	In general	36-15
36.2322	Delivery	36-16
36.23221	Delivery may be direct or indirect	36-16
36.2323	Victim is not required element of this offense	36-16
36.233	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	36-16
36.2331	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	36-16
36.2332	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	36-16
36.234	Charging this offense	36-16
36.2341	Sufficiency of information	36-16
36.235	Admission of evidence	36-16
36.236	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	36-16
36.2361	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	36-16
36.23611	Content of instruction in general	36-16
36.23612	Instructing on element of delivery	36-16
36.2362	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	36-16
36.23621	Offenses that are lesser-included offenses of this offense	36-16
36.2363	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	36-16
36.23631	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	36-16
36.237	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	36-16
36.2371	In general	36-16
36.2372	To show substance was cocaine	36-17
36.2373	To show delivery	36-17
36.2374	Sufficiency of uncorroborated confession admitting delivery of controlled substance	36-17
36.238	Attempt to commit this offense	36-17
36.2381	Sufficiency of evidence to convict of attempt to commit this offense	36-17
36.239	Other matters	36-17
36.2391	Party to a crime	36-17
36.2392	Penalty or punishment for offense	36-17
36.23921	In general	36-17
36.23922	Person may be punished for this offense and drug tax violation with respect to same controlled substance without violating double jeopardy (see sec. 38.98343)	36-17
36.23923	Constitutionality of imposing harsher punishment for delivery of cocaine base than is imposed for delivery of cocaine powder (see sec. 36.1881)	36-17
36.2393	Defenses to this offense	36-17
36.23931	Procuring agent of the "buyer" defense	36-17
36.23932	Is there a defense of medical necessity to this offense in cases involving marijuana?	36-17

36.2394	Other matters	36-17
36.23941	No liability for simply recommending or directing buyer to source	36-17
36.23942	Cannot have conspiracy to commit this offense when agreement is between buyer and seller for seller's delivery of controlled substance to buyer for buyer's personal use	36-17
36.23943	Person may be punished for this offense and drug tax violation with respect to same controlled substance without violating double jeopardy (see sec. 38.98343)	36-18
36.23944	Although victim is not an element of this offense, this offense may have victim	36-18
36.24	Manufacture Of Controlled Substance	36-18
36.241	Elements of this offense	36-18
36.2411	In general	36-18
36.2412	Manufacture	36-18
36.2413	"For profit" not an element	36-18
36.242	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	36-18
36.2421	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	36-18
36.243	Charging this offense	36-18
36.2431	Sufficiency of information	36-18
36.244	Admission of evidence	36-18
36.245	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	36-18
36.2451	Instructing on this offense as offense charged	36-18
36.24511	Content of instruction	36-18
36.2452	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecution for this offense	36-18
36.24521	Offenses that are lesser included offenses of this offense	36-18
36.246	Other matters	36-18
36.2461	Defenses to this offense	36-18
36.24611	Personal use exception	36-18
36.24612	Production for religious use not a defense	36-18
36.24613	Is there a defense of medical necessity to this offense in cases involving marijuana?	36-18
36.25	Keeping Or Maintaining Dwelling, Building, Vehicle, Etc., Used For Manufacturing, Keeping Or Delivering Controlled Substances	36-19
36.251	Elements of this offense	36-19
36.2511	In general	36-19
36.2512	"Keeping" controlled substances	36-19
36.25121	In general	36-19
36.25122	"Keeping" defined as "warehousing or storage for ultimate manufacture or delivery"	36-19
36.25123	"Keeping" element not satisfied by showing the defendant used vehicle to transport controlled substance on single occasion for unknown distance (see sec. 36.25322)	36-19
36.252	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	36-19

36.2521	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	36-19
36.25211	Content of instruction in general	36-19
36.25212	Instructing on "keeping"	36-19
36.253	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	36-19
36.2531	In general	36-19
36.2532	Sufficiency to show vehicle was used for "keeping" controlled substance	36-19
36.25321	In general	36-19
36.25322	"Keeping" element not satisfied by showing the defendant used vehicle to transport controlled substance on single occasion for unknown distance	36-19
36.26	Conspiracy To Commit Drug Offense (State Offense)	36-19
36.261	Conspiracy to possess controlled substance with intent to deliver	36-19
36.2611	Other matters	36-19
36.26111	Penalty of punishment for this offense	36-19
36.262	Conspiracy to deliver controlled substance	36-19
36.2621	Elements of this offense	36-19
36.26211	Need to establish agreement between seller and buyer that buyer will deliver at least some of controlled substances to third party	36-20
36.2622	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	36-20
36.2623	Other matters	36-20
36.26231	Venue for this offense	36-20
36.2624	Other matters	36-20
36.26241	Cannot have conspiracy to commit delivery of controlled substance when agreement is between buyer and seller for seller's delivery of controlled substance to buyer for buyer's personal use	36-20
36.2625	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	36-20
36.26251	In general	36-20
36.26252	Sufficiency to establish agreement between seller and buyer that buyer will deliver at least some of controlled substances to third party	36-20
36.263	Conspiracy to manufacture controlled substance	36-20
36.2631	Elements of this offense	36-20
36.26311	In general	36-20
36.2632	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	36-20
36.26321	In general	36-20
36.26322	When defendant sells items that are not illegal to sell or possess to person who he believes or knows will use them to manufacture controlled substance	36-20
36.27	Conspiracy To Commit Drug Offense (Federal Offense)	36-20
36.271	Elements of this offense	36-20
36.2711	Overt act in furtherance of conspiracy is not element of this offense	36-21
36.28	Possession Of Drug Paraphernalia	36-21
36.281	What constitutes drug paraphernalia	36-21

36.2811	Pipe designed for smoking tobacco products but used for smoking controlled substances does not constitute drug paraphernalia	36-21
36.29	Other Offenses	36-21
36.291	Failure to pay tax on controlled substances (see sec. 38.983)	36-21
36.292	Fraudulent acquisition of controlled substance	36-21
36.2921	Attempt to commit this offense	36-21
36.29211	Sufficiency of evidence to prove attempt	36-21
36.293	Using communication facility in committing or in causing or facilitating certain felony controlled substances offenses (21 U.S. C. § 843(b))	36-21
36.2931	This offense not committed by someone who makes misdemeanor drug purchase on theory that his phone call to dealer can be said to facilitate felony of drug distribution committed by drug dealer	36-21
36.3	Related Matters	36-21
36.31	Forfeiture Of Property Under Uniform Controlled Substances Act (see sec. 47.14)	36-21
36.32	Regulation Of Sale Of Drug Paraphernalia (see sec. 47.84)	36-21
36.33	Federal Forfeiture Of Property Used In, Or Proceeds From, Drug Offenses (see sec. 47.15)	36-21
36.34	Imposition Of Tax On Controlled Substances (see sec. 38.983)	36-21

Chapter 37 Traffic Crimes

37.1	Problems Arising In Criminal Traffic Prosecutions Generally	37-1
37.11	Jurisdiction Over Traffic Crimes	37-1
37.111	Jurisdiction over traffic crimes committed on Indian reservation	37-1
37.112	Local government's right to enact traffic ordinances	37-1
37.113	Municipal court has no jurisdiction over second and subsequent offense drunk driving	37-1
37.114	Applicability of traffic code	37-1
37.1141	Applicability of traffic code in general: limited to activity occurring on "highway" unless otherwise expressly provided in code (see sec. 37.1681)	37-1
37.1142	Applicability of sections relating to reckless and drunken driving: limited to "highways" and "all premises held out to the public for use of their motor vehicles," etc. (see sec. 37.1682)	37-1
37.1143	Applicability of sections relating to accidents and accident reporting: limited to "highways" and "all premises held out to the public for use of their motor vehicles," etc. (see sec. 37.1683)	37-1
37.12	Definitions Relating To Traffic Crimes	37-1
37.121	"Highway"	37-1
37.1211	In general	37-1

37.1212	Sufficiency of evidence to prove that operation of vehicle was on "highway"	37-1
37.122	"Operation" of motor vehicle; "operate" motor vehicle	37-1
37.1221	In general	37-1
37.1222	Immobility of vehicle does not preclude finding of operation	37-2
37.1223	Sitting in driver's seat of running, parked vehicle, without more, is not operating motor vehicle	37-2
37.1224	Sufficiency of evidence to prove that defendant was operating motor vehicle	37-2
37.123	"Handling" of motor vehicle (see sec. 31.5543)	37-2
37.124	"Vehicle"	37-2
37.125	"Drive"	37-2
37.126	"Premises held out to the public for use of their motor vehicles"	37-2
37.1261	In general	37-2
37.1262	Includes streets in gated community to which public has access	37-2
37.127	"Accident" (see sec. 31.5917)	37-2
37.128	"Materially impaired" (see sec. 31.5588)	37-2
37.129	Other	37-2
37.1291	"Under the influence"	37-2
37.13	Charging Traffic Crimes	37-2
37.131	Use and sufficiency of uniform traffic citation to charge traffic crimes	37-2
37.132	Citation not invalid because officer's performance evaluation based, in part, on number of citations issued	37-2
37.133	Amendment of charge	37-3
37.14	Procedures In Traffic Prosecutions	37-3
37.141	Requirement of court appearance	37-3
37.1411	Court appearance may be made by letter	37-3
37.142	Requirement of court approval for dismissal or amendment of drunk driving charges	37-3
37.1421	Constitutionality of requirement	37-3
37.143	Jury trial	37-3
37.1431	Defendant's right to jury trial in prosecution for civil traffic violation	37-3
37.1432	Number of jurors required in prosecution for civil traffic violation	37-3
37.1433	Not guilty plea entered by letter constitutes appearance that triggers ten-day period for demanding jury trial	37-3
37.144	Entry of default judgment when defendant pleading not guilty fails to appear	37-3
37.145	Taxing costs	37-3
37.146	Municipal court has inherent power to vacate judgment of conviction for second or subsequent offense drunk driving over which it had no jurisdiction	37-3
37.147	Appeal in traffic prosecutions	37-3
37.1471	Time for appeal triggered by docket entry of disposition	37-3
37.1472	New trial before circuit court not permitted unless case was fully litigated on merits in municipal court	37-3
37.148	Summary judgment not appropriate in traffic forfeiture proceeding	37-3

	<i>Page</i>
37.149 Other matters	37-4
37.1491 Is request for admissions permissible in traffic prosecution?	37-4
37.15 Instructing on traffic offenses in general	37-4
37.151 Instructing on meaning of "under the influence"	37-4
37.16 Other Matters	37-4
37.161 Defenses to traffic offenses	37-4
37.1611 Defense of legal justification when law enforcement officer causes defendant to believe violation necessary to prevent bodily harm	37-4
37.1612 There is no constitutional right to travel that bars prosecution for traffic crimes, in particular, operating after revocation or suspension	37-4
37.162 When instruction as to mandatory revocation required	37-4
37.163 Statute barring proceeding under state statute after judgment for same violation in traffic ordinance action and vice versa	37-4
37.1631 Statute bars only parallel municipal and state civil judgments, not subsequent state criminal prosecution	37-4
37.164 Defendant's right to copy of complete accident report and associated materials	37-4
37.165 Penalty or punishment for traffic crimes	37-4
37.1651 Enhancing potential penalty for second or subsequent traffic offenses	37-4
37.16511 Prior conviction(s) that subjects defendant to this penalty enhancer is not element of crime that must be proved at trial beyond a reasonable doubt	37-4
37.166 Governmental entities have authority to prosecute traffic offenses (rejecting argument that accuser must be human being)	37-4
37.167 Nature of traffic forfeiture proceeding	37-4
37.168 Applicability of traffic code	37-4
37.1681 Applicability of traffic code in general: limited to activity occurring upon highways, unless otherwise expressly provided in code (Wis. Stat. § 346.02(1))	37-4
37.16811 In general	37-5
37.16812 When operator's loss of control occurs on highway, accident may be said to have occurred upon highway for purposes of commission of traffic offense, even though resulting collision occurred off highway	37-5
37.1682 Applicability of sections relating to reckless and drunken driving: limited to "highways" and "all premises held out to the public for use of their motor vehicles," etc. (Wis. Stat. § 346.61)	37-5
37.16821 In general	37-5
37.16822 Meaning of "premises held out to the public for use of their motor vehicle" (see sec. 37.126)	37-5
37.16823 Applicable to streets in gated communities to which public has access	37-5

37.1683	Applicability of sections relating to accidents and accident reporting: limited to "highways" and "all premises held out to the public for use of their motor vehicles," etc. (Wis. Stat. § 346.66)	37-5
37.16831	In general	37-5
37.16832	Meaning of "premises held out to the public for use of their motor vehicle" (see sec. 37.126)	37-5
37.2	Particular Traffic Crimes and Offenses	37-5
37.21	Operating Under Influence Of Intoxicant Or With Prohibited Blood Alcohol Concentration (Wis. Stat. § 346.63(1)(a) and (b))	37-5
37.211	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	37-5
37.2111	In general	37-5
37.2112	Constitutionality of provision permitting charging and submitting to jury of both proscription on operating while intoxicated and proscription on operating with prohibited alcohol concentration, but permitting conviction and punishment for only one offense	37-5
37.21121	Provision does not violate double jeopardy	37-5
37.21122	Provision does not violate due process	37-6
37.212	Elements of this offense	37-6
37.2121	In general	37-6
37.2122	Prior conviction is not element of prosecution for second or subsequent offense; prior conviction that renders defendant a repeat offender and thus subject to increased punishment is not element of offense	37-6
37.21221	In general	37-6
37.21222	Decision of Wisconsin Court of Appeals that indicates that prior conviction is element of offense and later decision of Wisconsin Court of Appeals rejecting that decision	37-6
37.2123	Prior convictions, etc., are element of crime when charge involves operation with blood alcohol concentration that is proscribed because defendant has those prior convictions, etc.	37-6
37.21231	In general	37-6
37.21232	When defendant admits, or stipulates to, this element, it should not be submitted to jury	37-7
37.2124	Operates (see sec. 37.122)	37-7
37.2125	Improper driving is not element of this offense; offense does not require appreciable interference in management of vehicle	37-7
37.2126	Does this offense have mental element or is it strict liability offense?	37-7
37.213	Probable cause or reasonable suspicion to believe this offense committed	37-7
37.2131	Reasonable suspicion necessary to justify stop of automobile or continued detention after stop for some other reason	37-7
37.2132	Reasonable suspicion necessary to justify blood draw	37-7
37.21321	In general	37-7

37.21322	Propriety of consideration of refusal to submit to preliminary breath test (see sec. 44.563)	37-7
37.2133	Probable cause to arrest	37-7
37.21331	In general	37-8
37.21332	May consider defendant's refusal to submit to field sobriety test in determining whether probable cause for arrest exists	37-8
37.21333	When defendant seeks to suppress evidence of intoxication because there allegedly was no probable cause to arrest, trial court not bound by finding of no probable cause made in DOT administrative review of suspension of operating privilege	37-8
37.21334	When defendant seeks to suppress evidence of intoxication because there allegedly was no probable cause to arrest, is trial court bound by finding of no probable cause made by court on judicial review of DOT administrative review of suspension of operating privilege?	37-8
37.21335	Does not require that field sobriety test, which demonstrated person's impairment, was performed	37-9
37.2134	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	37-9
37.2135	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	37-9
37.21351	Sufficiency to establish prior convictions when prior convictions render offense felony	37-9
37.2136	Comparison of concept of probable cause when defendant seeks suppression of evidence with concept of probable cause in administrative and judicial proceedings relating to suspension or revocation of operating privilege (see sec. 44.223)	37-9
37.2137	When trial court has reviewed question of probable cause for purposes of refusal proceeding, but has applied standards governing determination of probable cause to arrest for purposes of suppression of evidence, defendant has received proper, full, and correct determination of probable cause to arrest for purposes of suppression of evidence	37-9
37.214	Admission of evidence	37-9
37.2141	Prior convictions, etc., are admissible to prove "prohibited alcohol concentration" element of crime when charge involves operation with blood alcohol concentration of .08 percent or more	37-9
37.21411	General rule: Evidence is admissible	37-9
37.21412	Exception: When defendant admits prior convictions, etc., that subject him to lower blood alcohol concentration, evidence of prior convictions, etc., is inadmissible	37-9

37.21413	Proving prior convictions by submission of certified copy of defendant's DOT driving record (see sec. 37.21432)	37-9
37.2142	Evidence relating to defendant's refusal to submit to blood-alcohol test	37-10
37.21421	Fact of refusal (see sec. 13.36211)	37-10
37.21422	Reason for refusal (see sec. 13.36212)	37-10
37.2143	Certified copy of defendant's DOT driving record	37-10
37.21431	In general	37-10
37.21432	To prove prior convictions when charge involves operation with blood alcohol concentration of .08 percent or more	37-10
37.2144	Evidence that defendant asked for, but was not provided, alternative test	37-10
37.2145	Blood-alcohol chart	37-10
37.2146	Blood-alcohol test results (see sec. 18.4)	37-10
37.2147	No need for evidence of scientific reliability of intoximeter 3000	37-10
37.2148	Other evidence and matters relating to admission of evidence	37-10
37.21481	Propriety of reference to preliminary breath test	37-10
37.21482	Results of horizontal gaze nystagmus (HGN) test (see sec. 18.7552)	37-10
37.21483	"Deficient sample" printout from intoxilyzer	37-10
37.21484	Presumption of intoxication from blood alcohol content (see sec. 20.253)	37-10
37.21485	Absorption curve opinion evidence (see sec. 18.2573)	37-10
37.2149	Other evidence and matters relating to admission of evidence (cont'd)	37-10
37.21491	Corroborating circumstantial evidence of intoxication	37-10
37.21492	Defendant's prior hospitalization for intoxication	37-10
37.21493	Lay testimony as to intoxication	37-11
37.21494	Fourth amendment limitations on extracting bodily fluids for alcohol-content testing (see sec. 1.632)	37-11
37.21495	Limitations on admission of blood-alcohol test results imposed by implied consent law	37-11
37.21496	Expert testimony regarding effect of particular blood alcohol concentration (see sec. 18.7547)	37-11
37.21497	Admission of particular evidence after consideration of probative value and countervailing factors (see sec. 13.362)	37-11
37.215	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	37-11
37.2151	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	37-11
37.21511	Content of instruction in general	37-11

37.21512	When defendant admits prior convictions, etc., that subject him to lower prohibited blood alcohol concentration, jury should not be instructed with respect to status element (i.e., that defendant had prior convictions, etc., that subjected him to lower prohibited blood alcohol concentration)	37-11
37.2152	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	37-11
37.21521	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	37-11
37.2153	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	37-11
37.21531	Offenses that are and are not lesser-included offenses of this offense	37-11
37.2154	Instructing on presumption of intoxication from blood-alcohol content (see sec. 8.5316)	37-11
37.216	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	37-12
37.2161	In general	37-12
37.2162	Sufficiency of evidence to establish prior conviction(s) that subject defendant to increased punishment for being a repeat offender (see sec. 37.2175)	37-12
37.2163	Sufficiency of evidence to establish prior conviction(s) that subject defendant to lower prohibited blood alcohol concentration	37-12
37.21631	In general	37-12
37.21632	Sufficiency of certified copy of defendant's DOT driving record	37-12
37.21633	Use of drunk driving conviction in another jurisdiction (e.g., Minnesota)	37-12
37.2164	Sufficiency to prove that defendant "operated" vehicle	37-12
37.217	Penalty or punishment for this offense	37-12
37.2171	In general	37-12
37.2172	Constitutionality of provisions increasing penalty based on fact that defendant is repeat offender	37-12
37.21721	Consistency with equal protection of treating person who previously refused breathalyzer test and previously was found not guilty differently than person who previously took test and previously was found not guilty (former is treated as second offender, while latter is treated as first offender)	37-12
37.21722	Consistency with equal protection of treating person who previously refused breathalyzer and was found guilty the same as person who previously refused breathalyzer and previously was found not guilty (both are treated as second offenders)	37-13
37.21723	Consistency with due process of increasing penalty for offense based on events that occurred after commission of present offense	37-13

37.2173	Use of drunk driving conviction, operating privilege suspension or revocation, or other judicial or administrative determination, in another jurisdiction to increase penalty for drunk driving under Wis. Stat. §§ 346.65(2) and 343.307	37-13
37.21731	In general	37-13
37.21732	State has burden of establishing that prior conviction or determination qualifies as basis for imposition of enhanced penalty for drunk driving	37-13
37.21733	Illinois court's placement of OWI offender under court supervision is "conviction"	37-13
37.21734	Fact that Minnesota's OWI statute requires proof of element not contained in Wisconsin's OWI statute does not preclude use of Minnesota conviction	37-13
37.21735	Michigan license suspension as result of OWI arrest does not count as prior OWI conviction	37-13
37.21736	Illinois "zero tolerance" suspension does not count as conviction or suspension described in Wis. Stat. § 343.307	37-13
37.2174	Determining number of prior convictions for purposes of establishing penalty	37-14
37.21741	Number of prior convictions, etc., for purposes of penalty enhancement is determined as of the date of sentencing for present offense, not as of date that present offense was committed	37-14
37.21742	For purpose of determining number of prior convictions, conviction does not occur until sentence is imposed	37-14
37.2175	Establishing prior conviction(s) that subject defendant to increased punishment for being repeat offender	37-14
37.21751	In general	37-14
37.21752	Requirements of Wis. Stat. § 973.12(1) not applicable	37-14
37.21753	Defense counsel may admit prior conviction(s) on behalf of defendant	37-14
37.21754	Teletype of defendant's DOT driving record is competent and sufficient evidence of prior convictions	37-14
37.21755	Use of drunk driving conviction in another jurisdiction (e.g., Minnesota) (see sec. 37.2173)	37-14
37.21756	State not required to prove prior conviction(s) to jury beyond reasonable doubt	37-14
37.2176	Collateral attack on prior conviction(s), or other proceedings that subject defendant to increased punishment for being repeat offender (see sec. 37.2192)	37-14
37.2177	Sentencing guidelines established by chief judge of each judicial administrative district under Wis. Stat. § 346.65(2m)	37-15

37.21771	Constitutionality of guidelines: Disparity between guidelines established in each district, which results in greater punishment in one district than would be imposed in another, does not violate either equal protection or due process	37-15
37.21772	Constitutionality of guidelines: Disparate implementation of guidelines in different counties within district does not violate either equal protection or due process	37-15
37.21773	Authority to adopt "guidelines . . . for the consideration of aggravating and mitigating factors" permits establishment of appropriate sentence ranges linked to those factors	37-15
37.21774	Guidelines apply only to sentencing for offense proscribed in Wis. Stat. § 346.63(1)(b), not to sentencing for offense proscribed in Wis. Stat. § 346.63(1)(a)	37-15
37.21775	Guidelines may be considered in sentencing for offense proscribed in Wis. Stat. § 346.63(1)(a)	37-15
37.21776	Guidelines are discretionary, not mandatory	37-15
37.21777	Supreme court refuses to invalidate guidelines under its supervisory power over state courts	37-15
37.2178	Use of sentencing guidelines established by chief judge of each judicial administrative district under Wis. Stat. § 346.65(2m) when sentencing for violation of Wis. Stat. § 346.63(1)(a)	37-15
37.21781	Permissibility of use (see sec. 37.21775)	37-15
37.21782	Constitutionality of use	37-15
37.21783	When use constitutes erroneous exercise of discretion in particular case	37-16
37.2179	Other matters	37-16
37.21791	When probation imposed for fourth and subsequent OWI and PAC drunk driving offenses, which carry mandatory minimum period of imprisonment, confinement for that period must be imposed as a condition of probation	37-16
37.21792	When defendant who has been convicted of this offense commits two additional offenses, to both of which he pleads guilty at same time, it is improper for trial court to treat both offenses as third offenses for purposes of determining authorized punishment	37-16
37.21793	Person convicted of crime of drunk driving may have sentence enhanced under general repeater statute even though penalty for crime of drunk driving has already been enhanced because crime is second or subsequent offense, so long as general repeater enhancer is supported by separate and distinct prior conviction	37-16

37.21794	Consideration, when sentencing for offense proscribed in Wis. Stat. § 346.63(1)(a), of guidelines established under Wis. Stat. § 346.65(2m) for offense proscribed in Wis. Stat. § 346.63(1)(b) (see sec. 37.21775)	37-16
37.21795	Prior conviction(s) that subjects defendant to increased potential punishment for offense is not element of offense that must be proved at trial beyond a reasonable doubt (see sec. 37.2122)	37-16
37.21796	Constitutionality of increasing punishment for subsequent offense when earlier offense upon which increased punishment based occurred prior to enactment of statute making it prior offense that will increase punishment	37-16
37.21797	Mandatory imprisonment for at least thirty days for third offense	37-16
37.218	Defenses to this offense	37-16
37.2181	Privilege	37-16
37.2182	Mechanical problem causing auto's erratic movement	37-17
37.2183	Immobility of vehicle does not constitute defense to this offense	37-17
37.219	Other matters	37-17
37.2191	Implied consent law	37-17
37.2192	Collateral attack on prior drunk driving convictions used to criminalize, or enhance penalty for, this offense or to lower blood alcohol concentration that subjects defendant to prosecution for this offense	37-17
37.21921	In general	37-17
37.21922	Burden of proof on collateral attack	37-17
37.21923	Collateral attack on refusal proceeding cannot succeed because defendant has no right to counsel in such a proceeding	37-17
37.21924	State's right to appeal from order granting defendant's motion collaterally attacking prior conviction	37-17
37.2193	Proving prior convictions	37-17
37.2194	Constitutionality of incarceration of defendant for second offense when first conviction obtained without counsel	37-17
37.2195	Nature of offense – civil or criminal – for purposes of double jeopardy and other analysis	37-18
37.2196	Amending charge	37-18
37.21961	Amending complaint from charging prohibited concentration of alcohol in breath to charging prohibited concentration of alcohol in blood	37-18
37.21962	Amending information at time of trial from driving under influence of intoxicant to driving under influence of intoxicant, controlled substance, or both	37-18
37.2197	Other matters	37-18

37.21971	When instruction to accused on mandatory revocation required	37-18
37.21972	Constitutional limitations on administration of sobriety tests (see secs. 1.6314, 1.6322, 1.6324, 1.6325, 24.6213, 24.6222, 24.6223, and 24.6224)	37-18
37.21973	Gravity of this offense; carnage caused by this offense on state's and nation's highways	37-18
37.21974	Purpose of OWI statutes	37-18
37.21975	Does this offense have mental element or is it strict liability offense? (see sec. 37.2126)	37-18
37.2198	Other matters (cont'd)	37-18
37.21981	Propriety of separate convictions based on alcohol concentration in breath and on alcohol concentration in blood	37-18
37.21982	Authority of court commissioner to expunge record of conviction	37-18
37.21983	When reasonable suspicion justifying temporary investigative stop exists with respect to this offense (see sec. 1.53391)	37-18
37.21984	Local government's authority to enact ordinance punishing second-offense drunk driving	37-19
37.21985	When sole purpose of introducing evidence of defendant's prior convictions, suspensions or revocations under Wis. Stat. § 343.307(1) is to prove the status element that the defendant is subject to a .08 prohibited blood alcohol concentration, and the defendant admits that element, evidence of the defendant's prior convictions, suspensions or revocations should not be admitted and status element should not be submitted to jury	37-19
37.2199	Other matters (cont'd)	37-19
37.21991	No double jeopardy bar to prosecution for this offense after administrative suspension of driver's operating privileges based on operating under influence of intoxicant (see sec. 29.7832)	37-19
37.21992	No double jeopardy bar to prosecution for criminal drunk driving offense after conviction of civil forfeiture prosecution for this offense (see sec. 29.7831)	37-19
37.21993	Officer acting outside of local jurisdiction may make citizen's arrest for this offense	37-19
37.21994	Municipal court has no jurisdiction over second and subsequent offense drunk driving (see sec. 37.113)	37-19
37.21995	Municipal court has inherent power to vacate judgment of conviction for second or subsequent offense drunk driving over which it had no jurisdiction (see sec. 37.146)	37-19

37.21996	Criminal prosecution for second and subsequent offense is permitted even if defendant was prosecuted civilly for same act in municipal court	37-19
37.21997	Requirement of court approval for dismissal or amendment of this charge (see sec. 37.142)	37-19
37.22	Operating With Detectable Amount Of Restricted Controlled Substance In One's Blood (Wis. Stat. § 346.63(1)(am))	37-20
37.221	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	37-20
37.2211	In general	37-20
37.2212	Consistency with police powers doctrine	37-20
37.2213	Consistency with due process	37-20
37.2214	Consistency with equal protection	37-20
37.2215	Constitutionality of failure of statute to require showing of impairment as result of detectable amount of restricted controlled substance in blood	37-20
37.23	Causing Injury By Intoxicated Operation Of Vehicle	37-20
37.231	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	37-20
37.2311	Constitutionality of proscription on having 0.1 grams or more of alcohol in 210 liters of breath	37-20
37.2312	Constitutionality of absence of requirement of causal connection between intoxicated condition of operator and injury	37-20
37.232	Elements of this offense	37-20
37.2321	In general	37-20
37.2322	Having 0.1 grams or more of alcohol in 210 liters of breath	37-20
37.2323	Prior convictions, etc., are element of crime when charge involves operation with blood alcohol concentration of .08 percent or more	37-20
37.233	Admission of evidence	37-20
37.2331	In general (see secs. 13.362 and 37.214)	37-20
37.2332	Blood-alcohol test results (see sec. 18.4)	37-20
37.2333	Prior convictions, etc., are admissible to prove "prohibited alcohol concentration" element of crime when charge involves operation with blood alcohol concentration of .08 percent or more	37-21
37.234	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	37-21
37.2341	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	37-21
37.23411	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	37-21
37.2342	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	37-21
37.23421	Offenses that are and are not lesser-included offenses of this offense	37-21
37.2343	Instructing on presumption of intoxication from blood-alcohol content (see sec. 8.5316)	37-21
37.235	Other matters	37-21
37.2351	Penalty or punishment for offense	37-21
37.2352	Propriety of separate convictions based on alcohol concentration in breath and on alcohol concentration in blood	37-21

37.2353	Requirement of court approval for dismissal or amendment of this charge (see sec. 37.142)	37-21
37.2354	No double jeopardy bar to prosecution for this offense after conviction of civil traffic offense based on same incident (see sec. 29.7831)	37-21
37.24	Causing Injury By Negligent Operation Of Vehicle (Formerly, High Degree Of Negligence In Operation Of Vehicle)	37-21
37.241	Causing bodily harm	37-21
37.2411	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	37-21
37.2412	Elements of this offense	37-21
37.24121	In general	37-21
37.24122	Criminal negligence	37-21
37.2413	Instructing on this offense	37-21
37.24131	Instructing on need for unanimity as to specific act that constitutes criminal negligence	37-22
37.2414	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	37-22
37.24141	Sufficiency to prove criminal negligence	37-22
37.2415	Other matters	37-22
37.24151	Need for juror unanimity on specific act that constitutes criminal negligence (see sec. 37.24131)	37-22
37.24152	When reasonable suspicion to believe this offense committed exists	37-22
37.242	Causing great bodily harm	37-22
37.2421	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	37-22
37.2422	Elements of this offense	37-22
37.24221	In general	37-22
37.24222	Criminal negligence	37-22
37.2423	Instructing on this offense	37-22
37.24231	Instructing on need for unanimity as to specific act that constitutes criminal negligence	37-22
37.2424	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	37-22
37.24241	Sufficiency to prove criminal negligence	37-22
37.2425	Other matters	37-22
37.24251	Need for juror unanimity on specific act that constitutes criminal negligence (see sec. 37.24231)	37-22
37.24252	When reasonable suspicion to believe this offense committed exists	37-22
37.25	Endangering Safety By Negligent Operation Of Vehicle	37-22
37.251	Elements of this offense	37-22
37.2511	In general	37-22
37.252	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	37-23
37.2521	In general	37-23
37.26	Operating After Revocation Or Suspension	37-23
37.261	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	37-23
37.262	Elements of this offense	37-23
37.2621	In general	37-23
37.2622	That defendant knew or had cause to believe that his operating privilege had been revoked	37-23

37.2623	Prior conviction(s) that subject defendant to increased penalty as repeat offender are not elements of offense that need to be submitted to jury	37-23
37.263	Probable cause or reasonable suspicion to believe this offense committed	37-23
37.2631	Reasonable suspicion to believe this offense committed	37-23
37.26311	In general	37-23
37.26312	Officer's knowledge that vehicle owner's license is revoked will support reasonable suspicion for traffic stop so long as officer remains unaware of any facts that would suggest that owner is not driving	37-23
37.264	Admission of evidence	37-23
37.2641	Defendant's driving record	37-23
37.265	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	37-23
37.2651	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	37-23
37.26511	Content of instruction	37-23
37.266	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	37-23
37.2661	In general	37-23
37.2662	Sufficiency of evidence to establish prior conviction(s) that subject defendant to increased punishment for being repeat offender (see sec. 37.2678)	37-23
37.2663	Sufficiency to prove that defendant "operated" vehicle	37-23
37.2664	Sufficiency to prove that defendant operated vehicle on a "highway"	37-24
37.267	Defenses to this offense	37-24
37.2671	There is no constitutional right to travel that bars prosecution for operating after revocation or suspension (see sec. 37.1512)	37-24
37.268	Penalty or punishment for offense	37-24
37.2681	Constitutionality of mandatory imprisonment	37-24
37.2682	Court must sentence defendant to imprisonment, but sentence need not be consecutive	37-24
37.2683	To determine whether defendant is subject to penalty enhancer for multiple offenses, court must measure time between violations, not convictions	37-24
37.2684	Classification as habitual traffic offender does not make fifth or subsequent conviction criminal when original revocations or suspensions that resulted in classification as habitual traffic offender were imposed solely due to failure to pay fine or forfeiture	37-24
37.2685	When failure to pay fine or forfeiture is not sole basis for suspension, third offense remains criminal, and punishment not limited to civil forfeiture	37-24
37.2686	California suspension for failure to appear in court was not imposed "solely due to a failure to pay a fine or forfeiture," within meaning of Wis. Stat. § 343.44(2)(e)2.	37-24
37.2687	Enhanced penalty for operating after revocation or suspension when one is a habitual traffic offender	37-24
37.26871	In general (see sec. 47.177)	37-24

37.26872	Effect of rescission of HTO status after operation of motor vehicle, but before conviction (see sec. 47.1771)	37-24
37.2688	Establishing prior conviction(s) that subject defendant to increased punishment for being repeat offender	37-25
37.26881	In general	37-25
37.26882	Requirements of Wis. Stat. § 973.12(1) are not applicable	37-25
37.26883	Defense counsel may admit prior conviction(s) on behalf of defendant	37-25
37.26884	Teletype of defendant's DOT driving record is competent and sufficient evidence of prior convictions	37-25
37.2689	Other matters	37-25
37.26891	Operation after revocation period imposed for some reason other than failure to pay a fine or forfeiture has expired, but before reinstatement of operating privileges, is not subject to criminal sanction	37-25
37.26892	Mandatory minimum penalty for operating after operating privilege is suspended or revoked for violating Wis. Stat. § 346.63(1) applies when initial court-ordered period of suspension or revocation for conviction of operating motor vehicle while intoxicated has expired, but suspension or revocation continued because of failure to comply with required alcohol assessment interview or treatment program	37-25
37.26893	Criminal penalty enhancer for subsequent violations applies regardless of whether the prior convictions were civil or criminal	37-25
37.269	Other matters	37-25
37.2691	Effect of subsequent vacation of conviction upon which revocation was based	37-25
37.2692	This offense may be charged when person operates a motor vehicle outside restrictions of occupational license	37-25
37.2693	Propriety of collateral attack on prior OAR convictions used to enhance penalty for this offense	37-25
37.2694	No double jeopardy bar to prosecution for this offense after conviction of civil traffic offense based on same incident (see sec. 29.7831)	37-26
37.2695	Summary of changes in statutory scheme made by 1997 Wis. Act 84	37-26
37.27	Speeding	37-26
37.271	Admission of evidence	37-26
37.2711	Scientific evidence relating to speed of automobile (e.g., radar) (see sec. 18.71)	37-26
37.272	Defenses to this offense	37-26

37.2721	Defense of legal justification when law enforcement officer causes defendant to believe violation necessary to prevent bodily harm (see sec. 37.1511)	37-26
37.273	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	37-26
37.28	Leaving Scene Of Accident (Hit and Run)	37-26
37.281	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	37-26
37.2811	Duty to provide name, etc., and to display operator's license does not violate privilege against self-incrimination	37-26
37.2812	Word "attending" is not unconstitutionally vague	37-26
37.282	Elements of this offense	37-26
37.2821	In general	37-26
37.2822	Duty to render reasonable assistance not nullified by accident victim's immediate death	37-26
37.2823	Duty to render reasonable assistance not imposed when person struck by defendant's automobile already dead	37-27
37.2824	To fulfill obligations of hit-and-run statute, operator of vehicle is required to identify himself or herself as operator of vehicle; one who gives required information (name, etc.), but denies that he or she was operator of vehicle, violates statute	37-27
37.2825	Accident	37-27
37.28251	In general	37-27
37.28252	Can include intentional conduct	37-27
37.28253	"Accident" includes, at a minimum, an operator's loss of control of vehicle that results in collision; it is not limited to collision itself	37-27
37.283	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	37-27
37.2831	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	37-27
37.2832	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause at preliminary examination	37-27
37.284	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	37-27
37.2841	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	37-27
37.28411	Content of instruction in general	37-27
37.28412	Instructing on definition of "accident"	37-27
37.285	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	37-27
37.2851	In general	37-27
37.2852	Sufficiency to prove "premises held out to the public for use of their motor vehicles"	37-27
37.2853	Sufficiency of evidence to show defendant did not fulfill statutory obligation to render reasonable assistance to person injured in accident not defeated by fact that injured person died immediately from injuries caused by accident	37-28
37.286	Other matters	37-28
37.2861	Appropriate unit of prosecution	37-28
37.2862	Penalty or punishment for offense	37-28
37.28621	In general	37-28
37.28622	Grade of offense (see sec. 37.2866)	37-28
37.2863	Purposes of hit-and-run statute	37-28

37.2864	When operator's loss of control occurs on highway, accident may be said to have occurred on highway for purposes of commission of this offense, even though resulting collision occurred off highway	37-28
37.2865	To fulfill obligations of hit-and-run statute, operator of vehicle is required to identify himself or herself as operator of vehicle; one who gives required information (name, etc.), but denies that he or she was operator of vehicle, violates statute (see sec. 37.2824)	37-28
37.2866	Grade of offense	37-28
37.28661	In general	37-28
37.28662	Crime of hit and run causing injury, but not serious bodily harm, is felony, notwithstanding fact that punishment for offense is less than one year's imprisonment	37-28
37.2867	Other matters	37-28
37.28671	Duty to render reasonable assistance not imposed when person struck by defendant's automobile already dead (see sec. 37.2823)	37-28
37.28672	Duty to render reasonable assistance not nullified by accident victim's immediate death (see sec. 37.2822)	37-29
37.28673	Restitution for this offense	37-29
37.28674	Purposes of hit-and-run statute	37-29
37.29	Fleeing An Officer	37-29
37.291	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	37-29
37.2911	In general	37-29
37.2912	Constitutionality of juvenile code provision that vests "adult" court with jurisdiction over this offense when committed by child sixteen years of age and older (see sec. 37.2952)	37-29
37.292	Elements of this offense	37-29
37.2921	In general	37-29
37.2922	Marked police vehicle	37-29
37.2923	"Knowingly"	37-29
37.29231	Applies to "flee or attempt to elude"	37-29
37.29232	Does not apply to "interfere with or endanger"	37-29
37.2924	"So as to interfere with or endanger the operation of the police vehicle, or the traffic officer or other vehicles or pedestrians"	37-29
37.29241	In general	37-29
37.29242	Does not require actual interference with or endangering, only creation of risk or likelihood of that occurring	37-29
37.293	Admission of evidence	37-29
37.2931	Fact that defendant was on parole and/or violating conditions of that parole at time of flight (see sec. 13.36931)	37-29
37.294	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	37-29
37.2941	In general	37-29
37.2942	Marked police vehicle	37-29

37.2943	Causal relationship between fleeing and injuries	37-29
37.2944	Sufficiency to show interference with or endangerment of operation of police vehicle, or traffic officer or other vehicles or pedestrians	37-30
37.295	Other matters	37-30
37.2951	Penalty or punishment for this offense	37-30
37.2952	Constitutionality of juvenile code provision that vests "adult" court with jurisdiction over this offense when committed by child sixteen years of age and older	37-30
37.3	Particular Traffic Crimes and Offenses (cont'd)	37-30
37.31	Required display of slow-moving vehicle emblem	37-30
37.311	Constitutionality of application of this offense to Old Order Amish (see sec. 30.9856)	37-30
37.32	Operating Vehicle Without Adequate Muffler Properly Maintained To Prevent Excessive Or Unusual Noise Or Annoying Smoke	37-30
37.321	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	37-30
37.33	Violating Restriction On Occupational License (Second Offense)	37-30
37.331	Other matters	37-30
37.3311	Court has no power to impose restriction other than those enumerated in Wis. Stat. § 343.10(1)	37-30
37.3312	Trial court has discretion whether to issue occupational license	37-30
37.34	Safety Glass Violation	37-30
37.341	Requires that safety glass be installed wherever glass is used; plastic covering of area from which broken window has been removed violates statute	37-30
37.35	Operating Vehicle On Highway Without License	37-30
37.351	Other matters	37-30
37.3511	Definition of highway	37-30
37.36	Operating Left Of Center (Wis. Stat. § 346.05)	37-30
37.361	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	37-30
37.3611	Probable cause to arrest person or stop vehicle	37-30
37.37	Tinted Window Violation	37-30
37.371	When reasonable suspicion exists to believe this offense was committed (see sec. 1.52462)	37-31
37.4	Related Matters	37-31
37.41	Habitual Traffic Offender Proceeding (see sec. 47.17)	37-31
37.42	Implied Consent Law	37-31
37.43	Mandatory Revocation Of Operating Privilege	37-31
37.431	When instruction as to mandatory revocation required	37-31
37.44	Occupational License	37-31
37.441	Restrictions on occupational license limited to those enumerated in Wis. Stat. § 343.10(1)	37-31
37.442	Trial court has discretion whether to issue occupational license	37-31
37.45	Seizure And Forfeiture Or Immobilization Of Vehicle Belonging To Person With Multiple OWI Offenses (see sec. 47.198)	37-31

Chapter 38
Other Crimes

38.1	Crimes Against Public Health And Safety	38-1
38.11	Relating To Vehicles	38-1
38.111	Negligent operation of vehicle	38-1
38.112	Highway obstruction	38-1
38.113	Mooring watercraft to railway tracks or fixtures	38-1
38.12	Relating To Fire	38-1
38.121	Negligent handling of burning materials	38-1
38.122	Unsafe burning of buildings	38-1
38.123	Interfering with fire fighting	38-1
38.124	False alarms	38-1
38.13	Relating To Weapons	38-1
38.131	Endangering safety by use of dangerous weapon (formerly reckless use of weapons)	38-1
38.1311	Elements of this offense	38-1
38.13111	In general	38-1
38.13112	Discharging firearm "into" vehicle or building	38-1
38.1312	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	38-1
38.13121	Instructing on this offense: in general	38-1
38.13122	Instructing on this offense: instructing on meaning of "into"	38-1
38.13123	Offenses of which this is a lesser-included offense	38-1
38.13124	When evidence provides reasonable grounds for conviction of this offense	38-1
38.1313	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-1
38.1314	Other matters	38-1
38.13141	Police officer does not have absolute right to point gun at anyone, at any time, for any or no reason, so as to render him immune from prosecution for intentionally pointing a firearm at or toward another	38-2
38.132	Disarming a peace officer	38-2
38.133	Possession of pistol by minor	38-2
38.134	Carrying concealed weapon	38-2
38.1341	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-2
38.13411	Consistency with state constitutional right to keep and bear arms	38-2
38.13412	Consistency with federal constitutional right to keep and bear arms (see sec. 30.98335)	38-2
38.1342	Elements of this offense	38-2
38.13421	In general	38-2
38.13422	Going armed	38-2
38.13423	Concealed (weapon was "concealed, or hidden from ordinary view")	38-2
38.13424	Mental element: awareness of weapon's presence	38-2
38.13425	Is this strict liability offense?	38-2

	<i>Page</i>
38.1343	Instructing on this offense 38-2
38.13431	Instructing on element of "going armed" 38-2
38.1344	Sufficiency of evidence to convict 38-3
38.13441	In general 38-3
38.13442	Sufficiency to show "going armed" 38-3
38.13443	Sufficiency to show that weapon was "concealed, or hidden from ordinary view" 38-3
38.1345	Defenses to this offense 38-3
38.13451	Does exemption in sec. 941.237 for owner or manager of tavern from liability for carrying handgun in tavern create defense to this offense? 38-3
38.13452	Does the defense of privilege (e.g., coercion, necessity, etc.) apply to this offense? 38-3
38.13453	Is self-defense a defense to this offense? 38-3
38.1346	Other matters 38-3
38.13461	Exemption in sec. 941.237 for owner or manager of tavern from liability for carrying handgun in tavern does not create defense to this offense (see sec. 38.13451) 38-3
38.13462	History of statute proscribing carrying concealed weapon 38-3
38.135	Carrying firearm in public building 38-3
38.136	Carrying handgun where alcohol beverages may be sold and consumed 38-3
38.137	Possession of switchblade knife 38-3
38.138	Machine guns 38-3
38.139	Possession of short-barreled shotgun or short-barreled rifle 38-3
38.1391	Elements of this offense 38-3
38.13911	Shotgun 38-3
38.1392	Sufficiency of evidence to convict 38-3
38.1393	Other matters 38-4
38.13931	A shotgun whose firing pin has been removed is within prohibition this crime creates 38-4
38.14	Relating To Weapons (cont'd) 38-4
38.141	Possession of firearm by felon or others specified in Wis. Stat. § 941.29(1)(a)-(d) (state offense) (Wis. Stat. § 941.29(1)(a)-(d)) 38-4
38.1411	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense 38-4
38.14111	Consistency with state constitution provision guaranteeing right to keep and bear arms 38-4
38.14112	Consistency with federal constitution provision guaranteeing right to keep and bear arms (see sec. 30.98333) 38-4
38.14113	Vagueness 38-4
38.14114	Overbreadth 38-4
38.14115	Equal protection 38-4
38.14116	This statute does not violate prohibition against ex post facto law when felony conviction occurred prior to enactment of this statute 38-4
38.1412	Elements of this offense 38-4
38.14121	In general 38-4

38.14122	Notification to defendant that as a felon he could not possess firearm is not element of this offense	38-4
38.14123	Possession	38-4
38.14124	Firearm	38-4
38.14125	Requirement that defendant "knowingly" possess firearm	38-4
38.14126	No intent element	38-5
38.14127	Going armed	38-5
38.14128	This is a strict liability offense	38-5
38.1413	Elements of this offense (cont'd)	38-5
38.14131	"Convicted of a crime elsewhere that would be a felony if committed in this state": in general	38-5
38.14132	"Convicted of a crime elsewhere that would be a felony if committed in this state": in determining whether defendant committed crime elsewhere that would be felony in Wisconsin, must examine defendant's conduct, not elements of crime committed	38-5
38.14133	"Convicted of a crime elsewhere that would be a felony if committed in this state": But when statute in other state has elements equivalent to those in Wisconsin statute, this necessity implies that defendant's conduct in other state would be prohibited in Wisconsin	38-5
38.1414	Admission of evidence	38-5
38.14141	Evidence of nature of felony when defendant ready to stipulate he is convicted felon	38-5
38.14142	Evidence that defendant was felon	38-5
38.1415	Instructing on this offense	38-6
38.14151	Instructing on element of possession	38-6
38.1416	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-6
38.14161	In general	38-6
38.14162	Sufficiency to show possession in county of prosecution	38-6
38.14163	Sufficiency to show that item was firearm	38-6
38.1417	Penalty or punishment for this offense	38-6
38.14171	Habitual criminality penalty enhancer may be applied to this crime	38-6
38.14172	Application of habitual criminality sentence enhancer to this offense	38-6
38.1418	Defenses to this offense	38-6
38.14181	In general	38-6
38.14182	Defense of privilege when defendant confronted with threat of death or serious bodily injury (see sec. 40.671)	38-6
38.14183	Self-defense and defense of others may be defense to this offense	38-6
38.1419	Other matters	38-6
38.14191	May apply habitual criminality sentence enhancer to this offense without violating double jeopardy	38-6

38.14192	"Firearm" includes any weapon that acts by force of gunpowder to fire a projectile irrespective of whether it is operable or inoperable, assembled or disassembled	38-6
38.14193	Propriety of collateral attack on validity of prior conviction that rendered defendant a felon	38-6
38.14194	Exemption from prohibition on possession of firearm for juvenile who is subsequently found not to be dangerous	38-7
38.14195	This is strict liability offense (see sec. 38.14128)	38-7
38.14196	Establishing factual basis for plea of guilty to this charge	38-7
38.14197	Court has jurisdiction over prosecution of Indian, who is felon and who is hunting with firearm on reservation of tribe to which he belongs, for being felon in possession of firearm; such prosecution does not violate Indian's treaty right to hunt (see sec. 12.6327)	38-7
38.142	Possession of firearm by person committed under s. 51.20(13)(a) and ordered not to possess firearm (Wis. Stat. § 941.29(1)(e) and (2))	38-7
38.1421	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-7
38.14211	Consistency with federal constitution provision guaranteeing right to keep and bear arms (see sec. 30.98334)	38-7
38.143	Possession of firearm by person enjoined under injunction issued under s. 813.12 or 813.122, etc. (Wis. Stat. § 941.29(1)(f) and (2))	38-7
38.144	Possession of firearm by person ordered not to possess firearm under s. 813.125(4m) (Wis. Stat. § 941.29(1)(g) and (2))	38-7
38.1441	Elements of this offense	38-7
38.14411	In general	38-7
38.14412	Possession	38-7
38.145	Possession of firearm by felon after being convicted of possession of firearm by felon (state offense) (Wis. Stat. § 941.29(2m), now repealed)	38-7
38.1451	Elements of this offense	38-7
38.14511	In general	38-7
38.14512	Prior conviction of felon in possession	38-7
38.1452	Penalty or punishment for this offense	38-7
38.14521	Habitual criminality penalty enhancer may be applied to this crime	38-7
38.1453	Other matters	38-8
38.14531	Prior conviction of felon in possession is not akin to penalty enhancer for being repeater, but rather is element of offense (see sec. 38.14312)	38-8
38.146	Possession of firearm by felon or other described person (federal offense) (18 U.S.C. § 922(g))	38-8
38.1461	Cannot collaterally attack prior felony conviction	38-8

38.1462	Determination whether civil rights have been restored, and thus prior felony conviction is no longer considered conviction, is determined by law of jurisdiction where earlier proceedings were held	38-8
38.1463	Exception to liability for this crime if offender's civil rights have been restored with respect to previous conviction serving as predicate for this offense unless such restoration expressly provided that the person may not possess firearms	38-8
38.1464	Phrase "convicted in any court" in statute creating this offense encompasses only domestic, not foreign, convictions	38-8
38.1465	"Misdemeanor crime of domestic violence" referred to in § 922(g)(9) and defined in § 921(a)(33)(A) covers misdemeanor battery whenever battered victim was in fact offender's spouse (or other relation specified in § 921(a)(33)(A)); domestic relationship need not be defining element of predicate misdemeanor	38-8
38.1466	Penalty or punishment for this offense	38-8
38.14661	Enhanced penalty when defendant has three prior convictions for violent felony or serious drug offense (18 U.S.C. § 924(e)) (see sec. 31.694)	38-8
38.147	Possession of electric weapon	38-8
38.148	Firearm silencers	38-8
38.149	Other crimes	38-8
38.1491	Use or possession of a handgun and an armor-piercing bullet during crime	38-8
38.1492	Restrictions on use of facsimile firearms	38-8
38.1493	Possession of firearm in school zone (federal offense) (see sec. 38.686)	38-8
38.1494	Sale or distribution of imitation firearms	38-8
38.15	Other Dangerous Instrumentalities And Practices	38-8
38.151	First-degree recklessly endangering safety (formerly endangering safety by conduct regardless of life)	38-9
38.1511	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-9
38.1512	Elements of this offense	38-9
38.15121	In general	38-9
38.15122	Circumstances showing utter disregard for human life	38-9
38.1513	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	38-9
38.15131	Offenses of which this is a lesser-included offense	38-9
38.15132	When evidence provides reasonable grounds for conviction of this offense	38-9
38.15133	When evidence leaves doubt as to greater offense	38-9
38.1514	Instructing on lesser included offenses in prosecution for this offense	38-9
38.15141	Offenses that are lesser-included offenses of this offense	38-10
38.15142	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	38-10

38.1515	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-10
38.15151	In general	38-10
38.15152	Sufficiency to establish circumstances showing utter disregard for human life	38-10
38.1516	Sufficiency of evidence to establish factual basis for guilty plea to this offense	38-10
38.1517	Other matters	38-10
38.15171	This offense is analogous to former endangering safety by conduct regardless of life and thus cases decided under latter offense are instructive in construing this offense	38-10
38.15172	May prosecute for this offense and aggravated battery based on same conduct without violating double jeopardy or creating multiplicitous charge	38-10
38.15173	Verdict of guilty of this offense and verdict of guilty of attempted first-degree intentional homicide, based on same conduct, are not inconsistent	38-10
38.152	Second-degree recklessly endangering safety	38-10
38.1521	Elements of this offense	38-10
38.1522	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	38-11
38.15221	Sufficiency and accuracy of reinstruction on this offense in response to jury's questions	38-11
38.1523	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-11
38.15231	In general	38-11
38.15232	Sufficiency to prove that defendant created unreasonable and substantial risk of death or great bodily harm	38-11
38.15233	Sufficiency to prove that defendant was aware of risk of death or great bodily harm	38-11
38.1524	Other matters	38-11
38.15241	Appropriate unit of prosecution	38-11
38.15242	Sufficiency and accuracy of reinstruction on this offense in response to jury's questions (see sec. 38.15221)	38-11
38.153	Possession of explosives	38-11
38.1531	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-11
38.1532	Elements of this offense	38-11
38.15321	Explosive compound	38-11
38.1533	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-11
38.15331	Sufficiency to prove explosive compound	38-11
38.154	Administering dangerous or stupefying drug	38-11
38.155	Placing foreign objects in edibles	38-11
38.1551	Elements of this offense	38-11
38.15511	In general	38-11
38.15512	"Edibles"	38-11
38.1552	Other matters	38-11
38.15521	Edibles includes both solids and liquids	38-11
38.156	Tampering with household products	38-12
38.1561	Elements of this offense	38-12

	38.15611	In general	38-12
	38.15612	No requirement that defendant had face-to-face interaction with specific victim or directed his prohibited conduct toward particular individual	38-12
	38.157	Fluoroscopic shoe-fitting machines	38-12
	38.158	Emergency telephone calls	38-12
38.16		Other Dangerous Instrumentalities And Practices (cont'd)	38-12
	38.161	Fraudulent tapping of electric wires or gas or water meters or pipes	38-12
	38.162	Obstructing emergency or rescue personnel	38-12
	38.163	Criminal gang member solicitation and contact	38-12
38.2		Crimes Against Sexual Morality Or Decency	38-12
38.21		Crimes Which Affect The Family	38-12
	38.211	Bigamy	38-12
	38.212	Incest	38-12
38.22		Crimes Involving Children	38-12
	38.221	Enticing a child for immoral purposes	38-12
		38.2211 Elements of this offense	38-12
		38.2212 Instructing on this offense as charged offense	38-12
		38.22121 Content of instruction	38-12
		38.2213 Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-12
	38.222	Indecent behavior with a child	38-12
		38.2221 Elements of this offense	38-12
		38.2222 Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-12
		38.2223 Other matters	38-12
		38.22231 Statute of limitations for this offense	38-12
	38.223	Sexual intercourse with child	38-12
		38.2231 Elements of this offense	38-12
		38.2232 Charging this offense	38-12
		38.22321 No need to allege precise time of offense	38-12
		38.2233 Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-13
		38.22331 In general	38-13
		38.22332 No need to prove precise time of offense	38-13
		38.2234 Other matters	38-13
		38.22341 Statute of limitations for this offense	38-13
38.23		Fornication; Adultery; Gratification	38-13
	38.231	Fornication	38-13
	38.232	Adultery	38-13
		38.2321 Adultery is crime "against" spouse	38-13
	38.233	Sexual gratification	38-13
		38.2331 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-13
38.24		Crimes Involving Obscenity	38-13
	38.241	Lewd and lascivious behavior	38-13
		38.2411 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-13
	38.242	Obscene material or performance	38-13
		38.2421 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-13
		38.24211 In general	38-13
		38.24212 Constitutionality of proscribing possession of child pornography	38-13
		38.24213 Overbreadth	38-13

38.24214	Vagueness	38-13
38.2422	Elements of this offense	38-13
38.24221	In general	38-14
38.24222	Whether work lacks value must be determined by application of a "reasonable person" standard	38-14
38.24223	Contemporary community standards	38-14
38.24224	Prurient interest	38-14
38.2423	Admission of evidence	38-14
38.24231	In general	38-14
38.24232	Survey of community attitudes toward sexually explicit material (see sec. 13.36951)	38-14
38.24233	Expert testimony	38-14
38.24234	"Comparable" sexually explicit material (see sec. 13.36952)	38-14
38.2424	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	38-14
38.24241	Content of instruction in general	38-14
38.24242	Instructing on contemporary community standards: tolerance standard	38-14
38.24243	Instructing on contemporary community standards: scope of community	38-14
38.24244	Instructing on prurient interest	38-15
38.2425	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-15
38.24251	No need for expert testimony	38-15
38.2426	Other matters	38-15
38.24261	Civil action relating to pornography or obscenity (see sec. 47.13)	38-15
38.24262	Prohibiting use of a child in a sexual performance	38-15
38.24263	Indecent or obscene interstate commercial telephone messages (see sec. 38.976)	38-15
38.24264	Obscenity violations can be predicate offenses for purposes of RICO	38-15
38.24265	County may not enact ordinance regulating this conduct	38-15
38.243	Photographs, motion pictures, videotapes or other visual representations showing nudity	38-15
38.2431	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-15
38.2432	Present version of this offense is codified at Wis. Stat. Earlier version of this offense was codified at Wis. Stat. § 942.09 (see sec. 38.4751)	38-15
38.244	Obscene material or performance	38-15
38.245	Making lewd, obscene or indecent drawings	38-15
38.246	Exposing minors to harmful materials	38-15
38.2461	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-15
38.2462	Other matters	38-15
38.24621	Action to have printed material declared harmful to minors (see sec. 47.131)	38-15
38.247	Sexual exploitation of a child (see secs. 38.643 and 38.681)	38-15
38.248	Prohibition on public nudity	38-16
38.2481	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-16

38.249	Crimes involving obscenity on the Internet (see secs. 38.271 and 38.272)	38-16
38.25	Crimes Involving Prostitution	38-16
38.251	Prostitution	38-16
38.2511	Constitutionality of statute creating his offense	38-16
38.25111	In general	38-16
38.25112	Does not violate establishment of religion clause	38-16
38.25113	Does not violate equal protection clause	38-16
38.2512	Elements of this offense	38-16
38.2513	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-16
38.25131	In general	38-16
38.25132	Sufficiency to show that defendant requested to have "sexual intercourse" for something of value	38-16
38.2514	Other matters	38-16
38.25141	Constitutionality of prosecuting only females under prostitution statute	38-16
38.252	Patronizing prostitutes	38-16
38.253	Soliciting prostitutes (Wis. Stat. § 944.32)	38-16
38.2531	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-16
38.2532	Elements of this offense	38-16
38.25321	In general	38-16
38.25322	"Prostitution": in general	38-16
38.25323	"Prostitution": does not require that exchange of sexual intercourse for value be between persons involved in nonmarital act	38-16
38.25324	"Practice" prostitution	38-17
38.25325	"Causes" to practice prostitution	38-17
38.25326	No requirement that defendant have initiated conversation or contact with prostitute	38-17
38.25327	No requirement that solicited practice of prostitution has to be with someone other than solicitor	38-17
38.2533	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	38-17
38.2534	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	38-17
38.25341	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	38-17
38.2535	Charging this offense	38-17
38.25351	Sufficiency of evidence to permit charging this offense in information	38-17
38.2536	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-17
38.2537	Other matters	38-17
38.25371	Penalty or punishment for offense	38-17
38.254	Pandering	38-17
38.255	Keeping place of prostitution	38-17
38.256	Solicitation of drinks	38-17
38.26	Other Crimes Against Sexual Morality Or Decency (State Offenses)	38-17
38.261	Rape	38-17
38.2611	Elements of this offense	38-17
38.2612	Attempt to commit this offense	38-17

38.26121	Elements of attempt to commit this offense	38-17
38.26122	Sufficiency of evidence to convict of attempt to commit this offense	38-18
38.2613	Other matters	38-18
38.26131	Appropriate unit of prosecution	38-18
38.262	Sodomy	38-18
38.2621	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-18
38.263	Prohibition on public nudity (see sec. 38.248)	38-18
38.27	Other Crimes Against Sexual Morality Or Decency (Federal Offenses)	38-18
38.271	Knowing transmission by telecommunications device (e.g., Internet) of obscene or indecent messages to any recipient under eighteen years of age (Communications Decency Act of 1996)	38-18
38.2711	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-18
38.272	Knowingly sending or displaying by use of interactive computer service to a person under eighteen of any message "that, in context, depicts or describes, in terms patently offensive as measured by contemporary community standards, sexual or excretory activities or organs" (Communications Decency Act of 1996)	38-18
38.2721	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-18
38.273	Knowingly making, by means of World Wide Web, any communication that is available to any minor and that includes any material that is harmful to minors (Child Online Protection Act) (see sec. 38.683)	38-18
38.3	Crimes Against Public Peace, Order And Other Interests	38-18
38.31	Disorderly Conduct	38-18
38.311	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-18
38.312	Elements of this offense	38-19
38.3121	In general	38-19
38.3122	Conduct must be "violent, abusive, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud, or otherwise disorderly"	38-19
38.31221	In general	38-19
38.31222	"Abusive" conduct	38-19
38.31223	"Otherwise disorderly" conduct	38-19
38.3123	Conduct must be engaged in "under circumstances in which the conduct tends to cause or provoke a disturbance"	38-19
38.3124	Conduct may consist of pure speech alone	38-19
38.3125	When conduct is pure speech containing threat, threat must be "true threat"	38-19
38.3126	This offense does not require victim for its commission	38-19
38.313	Probable cause, reasonable grounds, or reasonable suspicion to believe this offense committed	38-19
38.3131	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	38-20
38.3132	Sufficiency of showing of reasonable grounds necessary to justify issuance of citation	38-20
38.314	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	38-20
38.3141	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	38-20
38.31411	Content of instruction	38-20
38.315	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-20
38.3151	In general	38-20

	<i>Page</i>
38.3152 Sufficiency to prove otherwise disorderly conduct	38-20
38.3153 Sufficiency to show that conduct was engaged in "under circumstances in which the conduct tends to cause or provoke a disturbance"	38-20
38.316 Other matters	38-20
38.3161 Although this offense does not require victim, it may have victim	38-20
38.3162 Disorderly conduct statute may be applied to private mailings	38-20
38.3163 Disorderly conduct statute does not necessarily require disruptions or disturbances that implicate the public directly	38-20
38.3164 Disorderly conduct statute encompasses conduct that tends to cause a disturbance or disruption that is personal or private in nature, so long as there exists the real possibility that this disturbance or disruption will spill over and disrupt the peace, order or safety of the surrounding community as well	38-20
38.3165 Conduct is not covered by disorderly conduct statute when it tends to cause only personal annoyance to a person	38-20
38.32 Unlawful Use Of Telephone	38-21
38.33 Unlawful Use Of Computerized Communication Systems	38-21
38.34 Harassment	38-21
38.341 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-21
38.342 Elements of this offense	38-21
38.3421 In general	38-21
38.3422 "Act is accompanied by a credible threat that places the victim in reasonable fear of death or great bodily harm"	38-21
38.3423 Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecution for this offense	38-21
38.34231 Offenses that are, and are not, lesser-included offenses of this offense	38-21
38.343 Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-21
38.3431 Sufficiency to prove that "act [was] accompanied by credible threat"	38-21
38.344 Other matters	38-21
38.3441 Propriety of collateral attack on validity of underlying injunction forbidding harassment	38-21
38.3442 Violation of harassment restraining orders and injunctions (see sec. 38.872)	38-21
38.34421 Propriety of prosecution and punishment for this offense and for violation of harassment injunction	38-21
38.35 Bomb Scares	38-21
38.351 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-21
38.352 Elements of this offense	38-21
38.3521 In general	38-21
38.3522 Threat must be "true threat"	38-21
38.36 Vagrancy	38-21
38.361 Drinking In Common Carriers Elements of this offense	38-21
38.37 Metal Or Glass Debris In Or On The Shore Of Any Body Of Water	38-21

38.38	Other Crimes	38-21
38.381	Unlawful assemblies and their suppression	38-21
38.382	Crime comics	38-21
38.383	Contributing to the delinquency of children; neglect; neglect contributing to death	38-22
38.3831	Elements of this offense	38-22
38.3832	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	38-22
38.38321	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	38-22
38.3833	Admission of evidence	38-22
38.3834	Instructing on this offense	38-22
38.38341	Content of instruction	38-22
38.3835	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-22
38.384	Loitering	38-22
38.3841	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-22
38.3842	Elements of this offense	38-22
38.3843	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	38-22
38.38431	Probable cause to arrest	38-22
38.385	Hate crime (offense created other jurisdictions)	38-22
38.3851	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-22
38.386	Prohibition On "Criminal Street Gang Members . . . Loitering" With One Another Or With Other Persons In Public Place (City of Chicago ordinance)	38-22
38.3861	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-22
38.4	Crimes Against Reputation And Civil Liberties	38-22
38.41	Defamation (Wis. Stat. § 942.01)	38-22
38.411	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-22
38.4111	To be constitutional in case involving public official, state must show that defendant acted with actual malice (see sec. 30.9599)	38-23
38.412	Elements of this offense	38-23
38.4121	In general	38-23
38.4122	When defamed person is public official, defendant must show that defendant acted with actual malice (see sec. 30.9599)	38-23
38.413	Admission of evidence	38-23
38.4131	Statement of prosecutor in prior proceeding allegedly showing that charged defamation was true	38-23
38.414	Defenses to this offense	38-23
38.4141	Privilege	38-23
38.41411	In general	38-23
38.41412	For testimony given in judicial proceeding	38-23
38.42	Giving False Information For Publication (Wis. Stat. § 942.03)	38-23
38.43	Opening Letters (Wis. Stat. § 942.05)	38-23
38.44	Use Of Polygraphs And Similar Tests (Wis. Stat. § 942.06)	38-23
38.45	Use Of Genetic Tests (Wis. Stat. § 942.07)	38-23
38.46	Invasion Of Privacy (Wis. Stat. § 942.08)	38-23
38.47	Representations Depicting Nudity (Wis. Stat. § 942.09)	38-23
38.471	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-23

	38.4711 In general	38-23
	38.4712 "Reasonable expectation of privacy" is not unconstitutionally vague	38-23
38.472	Elements of this offense	38-23
	38.4721 In general	38-23
	38.4722 "Reasonable expectation of privacy"	38-23
	38.47221 In general	38-23
	38.47222 Does not have same meaning as phrase has in Fourth Amendment context	38-24
	38.47223 Requires that victim had reasonable expectation, under the circumstances, that he or she would not be recorded in the nude	38-24
38.473	Instructing on this offense	38-24
	38.4731 Content of instruction	38-24
38.474	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-24
	38.4741 In general	38-24
	38.4742 Sufficiency to establish that victim had "reasonable expectation of privacy"	38-24
38.475	Other matters	38-24
	38.4751 Earlier version of this offense was codified at Wis. Stat. § 944.205	38-24
	38.4752 Legislative history of statute	38-24
38.48	Criminally Violating Constitutional Rights (Federal Offense)	38-24
	38.481 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-24
	38.4811 When statute unconstitutionally vague with respect to constitutional rights whose violation subjects one to punishment for this offense	38-24
38.482	Elements of this offense	38-24
	38.4821 Constitutional right whose violation subjects one to prosecution for this offense need only be a "clearly established" right	38-24
38.483	Other matters	38-24
	38.4831 Constitutional right whose violation subjects one to prosecution for this offense need only be a "clearly established" right (see sec. 38.4821)	38-24
38.5	Gambling Crimes	38-24
	38.51 Problems Arising In Gambling Prosecutions Generally	38-24
	38.511 Constitutionality of gambling provisions	38-24
	38.5111 Constitutionality of provision defining "gambling machine" (see sec. 38.5222)	38-24
	38.512 Gaming contracts void	38-24
	38.5121 Check negotiated to obtain money for gambling is void and cannot be basis for worthless check prosecution	38-25
38.52	Definitions Relating To Gambling	38-25
	38.521 "Lottery"	38-25
	38.5211 In general	38-25
	38.5212 Exception for in-pack chance promotions that meet criteria of Wis. Stat. § 100.16(2)	38-25
	38.522 "Gambling machine"	38-25

38.5221	In general	38-25
38.5222	Constitutionality of definition of "gambling machine"	38-25
38.5223	Video slot machine may be "gambling machine" even if no payout or prize is actually given to person playing machine	38-25
38.53	Gambling	38-25
38.531	Elements of this offense	38-25
38.532	Admission of evidence	38-25
38.533	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-25
38.54	Commercial Gambling	38-25
38.541	Setting up for use for the purpose of gambling or collecting the proceeds of any gambling machine	38-25
38.5411	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-25
38.54111	Constitutionality of definition of "gambling machine" (see sec. 38.5222)	38-25
38.5412	Elements of this offense	38-25
38.54121	In general	38-25
38.54122	Gambling machine	38-26
38.5413	Instructing on this offense	38-26
38.54131	Content of instruction	38-26
38.5414	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-26
38.54141	In general	38-26
38.54142	Sufficiency to show that devices in question were "gambling machines"	38-26
38.54143	Sufficiency to establish knowledge element	38-26
38.55	Permitting Premises To Be Used For Commercial Gambling	38-26
38.551	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-26
38.552	Elements of this offense	38-26
38.553	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	38-26
38.5531	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	38-26
38.554	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	38-26
38.5541	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	38-26
38.55411	Content of instruction	38-26
38.555	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-26
38.56	Dealing In Gambling Devices	38-26
38.57	Gambling By Participants In Contest	38-26
38.58	Bribery Of Participants In Contest	38-26
38.59	Endless Sales Chains	38-26
38.6	Crimes Against Children	38-26
38.61	Definitions Relating To Crimes Against Children	38-26
38.611	"Child"	38-26
38.612	"Joint legal custody"	38-26
38.613	"Legal custody"	38-26
38.614	"Mental harm"	38-26
38.615	"Person responsible for the child's welfare"	38-26
38.6151	In general	38-26
38.6152	One who acknowledges in writing that he is biological father of child is child's "parent" for purposes of definition of "person responsible for the child's welfare"	38-27

38.6153	Live-in boyfriend may be person responsible for child's welfare	38-27
38.6154	Child employed by parent to care for child for whom parent is responsible may be person responsible for child's welfare	38-27
38.6155	Person does not need to be eighteen years old to satisfy definition of person responsible for child's welfare	38-27
38.616	"Physical placement"	38-27
38.617	Relating to sexual matters	38-27
38.6171	"Sadomasochistic abuse"	38-27
38.6172	"Sexual contact"	38-27
38.61721	In general	38-27
38.61722	Sexual contact includes contact that defendant caused, allowed, or permitted	38-27
38.61723	Sexual contact includes touching of male breast	38-27
38.6173	"Sexual intercourse"	38-27
38.61731	In general	38-27
38.61732	When defendant does not directly undertake activity that constitutes sexual intercourse, that activity must be undertaken "upon the defendant's instruction" to constitute sexual intercourse	38-27
38.61733	Alleged unconstitutionality of definition of sexual intercourse because definition does not include intent element (see sec. 33.2113)	38-27
38.61734	Alleged unconstitutionality of definition of sexual intercourse because it does not exempt intrusions that are part of bona fide medical, health care, and hygiene procedures	38-28
38.61735	By judicial construction, definition does not include intrusions that are part of bona fide medical, health care, and hygiene procedures	38-28
38.6174	"Sexually explicit conduct"	38-28
38.6175	"Intimate parts" (see sec. 31.5534)	38-28
38.6176	"Lewd"	38-28
38.6177	"Nudity"	38-28
38.62	Sexual Assault Of A Child	38-28
38.621	First degree sexual assault	38-28
38.6211	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-28
38.62111	In general	38-28
38.62112	Constitutionality of not recognizing victim's affirmative misrepresentation of age as defense to this offense (see sec. 38.62175)	38-28
38.62113	Constitutionality, in cases involving sexual intercourse, of definition of sexual intercourse that does not exempt intrusions as part of bona fide medical, health care, and hygiene procedures (see sec. 38.61734)	38-28
38.6212	Elements of this offense	38-28
38.62121	In general	38-28
38.62122	Sexual contact: in general (see sec. 38.6172)	38-28

38.62123	Sexual contact: touching must be for purpose of sexual arousal or gratification: in general	38-28
38.62124	Sexual contact: touching must be for purpose of sexual arousal or gratification: does not require proof of sexual maturity of defendant	38-28
38.62125	Sexual intercourse (see sec. 38.6173)	38-29
38.62126	Lack of consent is not element of this offense	38-29
38.62127	Knowledge of victim's age is not element of this offense	38-29
38.62128	If conduct on which charge is based was assault by child on defendant (i.e., if defendant was raped by child), act of having sexual intercourse with child does not constitute crime (see sec. 38.62235)	38-29
38.6213	Admission of evidence	38-29
38.62131	In general	38-29
38.62132	Child sexual assault and incest victim syndrome (see sec. 18.732)	38-29
38.62133	Post-assault conduct of sexual assault victim (see secs. 18.257 and 20.8422)	38-29
38.62134	Expert testimony on traumatization of sexual assault victim's memory (see sec. 18.2581)	38-29
38.62135	Expert testimony on lack of physical evidence in sexual assault case (see sec. 18.2591)	38-29
38.62136	Expert testimony on percent of child sexual assault accusations that are fabricated (see sec. 18.2592)	38-29
38.62137	Doctor's expert testimony regarding his or her opinion whether the victim was molested or sexually assaulted (see sec. 18.2598)	38-29
38.62138	Nurse's expert testimony regarding consistency of victim's injuries or physical condition with victim's report of sexual assault (see sec. 18.2594)	38-29
38.6214	Admission of evidence (cont'd)	38-29
38.62141	Protecting child victims and victims of sensitive crimes from unnecessary distress in presentation of their testimony (see sec. 20.383)	38-29
38.62142	Allowing child-victim to testify while sitting in adult's lap (see sec. 20.3831)	38-29
38.62143	Expert testimony regarding psychosexual maturity of defendant (see sec. 18.2583)	38-30
38.62144	Evidence regarding defendant's belief about victim's age or evidence regarding cause or reasonableness of that belief (e.g., evidence of victim's affirmative misrepresentation of age)	38-30
38.6215	Instructing on this offense	38-30
38.62151	When sexual intercourse charged and defendant was not party who directly engaged in activity constituting sexual intercourse, defendant entitled to instruction requiring that such activity was "upon the defendant's instruction"	38-30

38.62152	Instructing on defendant's defense that intrusion allegedly constituting sexual intercourse was done as part of bona fide medical, health care, or hygiene procedure	38-30
38.6216	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	38-30
38.62161	Offenses that are and are not lesser-included offenses of this offense	38-30
38.62162	When evidence leaves sufficient doubt about this offense to permit lesser-included offense instruction	38-30
38.6217	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-30
38.62171	In general	38-30
38.62172	Sufficiency to prove sexual intercourse in general	38-30
38.62173	Sufficiency to prove sexual intercourse in form of fellatio	38-30
38.62174	Sufficiency to prove sexual contact	38-30
38.62175	Sufficiency to show that touching was for purposes of sexual arousal or gratification	38-30
38.6218	Defenses to this offense	38-31
38.62181	Consent is not a defense	38-31
38.62182	Mistake as to age is no defense	38-31
38.62183	Lack of knowledge of victim's age is no defense	38-31
38.62184	Victim's affirmative misrepresentation regarding his or her age is no defense: in general	38-31
38.62185	Victim's affirmative misrepresentation regarding his or her age is no defense: constitutionality of refusing to recognize this defense	38-31
38.62186	If conduct on which charge is based was assault by child on defendant (i.e., if defendant was raped by child), act of having sexual intercourse with child does not constitute crime (see sec. 38.62235)	38-31
38.6219	Attempt to commit this offense	38-31
38.62191	Elements of this offense	38-31
38.622	First degree sexual assault (cont'd)	38-31
38.6221	Appropriate unit of prosecution	38-31
38.62211	In general	38-31
38.62212	Aggregating multiple assaults in single count	38-31
38.62213	Charging multiple assaults that are same in law but sufficiently different in fact	38-31
38.6222	Pleading guilty to this offense	38-31
38.62221	In general	38-31
38.62222	Sufficiency of factual basis for plea: in general	38-31
38.62223	Sufficiency of factual basis for plea: when factual basis reveals that defendant claimed he or she was sexually assaulted (i.e., raped) by alleged child-victim	38-32
38.6223	Other matters	38-32
38.62231	Consent is not defense to this offense	38-32

38.62232	Lack of knowledge of victim's age is not defense to this offense	38-32
38.62233	"Goofy child's play" or "exploration" by child not within ambit of first degree sexual assault of a child; statute "criminalizes" children's behavior when they behave like adults, not when they behave in manner normative to their age	38-32
38.62234	Statute of limitations for this offense	38-32
38.62235	If conduct on which charge is based was assault by child on defendant (i.e., if defendant was raped by child), act of having sexual intercourse with child does not constitute crime	38-32
38.623	Second degree sexual assault	38-32
38.6231	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-32
38.62311	In general	38-32
38.62312	When statute applied to child enticements initiated over the Internet	38-32
38.6232	Elements of this offense	38-32
38.62321	In general	38-32
38.62322	Sexual contact: in general (see sec. 38.6172)	38-32
38.62323	Sexual contact: touching must be for purpose of sexual arousal or gratification: in general	38-32
38.62324	Sexual contact: touching must be for purpose of sexual arousal or gratification: does not require proof of sexual maturity of defendant	38-33
38.62325	Sexual intercourse (see sec. 38.6173)	38-33
38.62326	Lack of consent is not element of this offense	38-33
38.62327	Knowledge of victim's age is not element of this offense	38-33
38.62328	If conduct on which charge is based was assault by child on defendant (i.e., if defendant was raped by child), act of having sexual intercourse with child does not constitute crime (see sec. 38.62235)	38-33
38.6233	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	38-33
38.62331	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	38-33
38.6234	Charging this offense	38-33
38.62341	Duplicity in charging this offense: when complaint charges sexual contact or sexual intercourse	38-33
38.6235	Pleading guilty or no contest to this offense	38-33
38.62351	When sexual contact charged, defendant must understand that touching was for purpose of sexual degradation, humiliation, arousal, or gratification	38-33
38.6236	Admission of evidence	38-33
38.62361	In general	38-33
38.62362	Child sexual assault and incest victim syndrome (see sec. 18.732)	38-33

38.62363	Post-assault conduct of sexual assault victim (see secs. 18.257 and 20.8422)	38-33
38.62364	Expert testimony on traumatization of sexual assault victim's memory (see sec. 18.2581)	38-33
38.62365	Expert testimony on lack of physical evidence in sexual assault case (see sec. 18.2591)	38-34
38.62366	Expert testimony on percent of child sexual assault accusations that are fabricated (see sec. 18.2592)	38-34
38.62367	Nurse's expert testimony regarding consistency of victim's injuries or physical condition with victim's report of sexual assault (see sec. 18.2594)	38-34
38.62368	Doctor's expert testimony regarding his or her opinion whether the victim was molested or sexually assaulted (see sec. 18.2598)	38-34
38.62369	Expert testimony regarding psychosexual maturity of defendant (see sec. 18.2583)	38-34
38.6237	Admission of evidence (cont'd)	38-34
38.62371	Evidence regarding defendant's belief about victim's age or evidence regarding cause or reasonableness of that belief (e.g., evidence of victim's affirmative misrepresentation of age)	38-34
38.6238	Instructing on this offense	38-34
38.62381	When sexual intercourse charged and defendant was not party who directly engaged in activity constituting sexual intercourse, defendant entitled to instruction requiring that such activity was "upon the defendant's instruction"	38-34
38.6239	Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	38-34
38.62391	Offenses of which this is and is not a lesser-included offense	38-34
38.62392	When evidence provides reasonable grounds for conviction of this offense	38-34
38.624	Second degree sexual assault (cont'd)	38-34
38.6241	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-34
38.62411	In general	38-34
38.62412	Sufficiency to show sexual intercourse	38-34
38.6242	Defenses to this offense	38-34
38.62421	Consent is not a defense	38-35
38.62422	Mistake as to age is no defense	38-35
38.62423	Lack of knowledge of victim's age is not defense to this offense	38-35
38.62424	Victim's affirmative misrepresentation regarding his or her age is no defense: in general (see sec. 38.62184)	38-35
38.62425	Victim's affirmative misrepresentation regarding his or her age is no defense: constitutionality of refusing to recognize this defense (see sec. 38.62185)	38-35

38.62426	If conduct on which charge is based was assault by child on defendant (i.e., if defendant was raped by child), act of having sexual intercourse with child does not constitute crime (see sec. 38.62235)	38-35
38.6243	Appropriate unit of prosecution	38-35
38.62431	In general	38-35
38.62432	Aggregating multiple assaults in single count	38-35
38.62433	Charging multiple assaults that are same in law but sufficiently different in fact	38-35
38.6244	Attempt to commit this crime	38-35
38.62441	Crime of attempt to commit this crime does exist: in general	38-35
38.62442	Crime of attempt to commit this crime does exist: when complaint alleges attempt to have sexual intercourse with child	38-35
38.62443	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint to believe this offense committed	38-36
38.62444	Existence of actual child, not agent posing as child, is not element of offense that state must prove	38-36
38.62445	Propriety of convicting of this offense as result of Internet sting operation	38-36
38.62446	Duplicity in charging this offense: when attempt to have sexual contact or sexual intercourse charged	38-36
38.6245	Pleading guilty to this offense	38-36
38.62451	In general	38-36
38.62452	Sufficiency of factual basis for plea: in general	38-36
38.62453	Sufficiency of factual basis for plea: when factual basis reveals that defendant claimed he or she was sexually assaulted (i.e., raped) by alleged child-victim (see sec. 38.62223)	38-36
38.6246	Other matters	38-36
38.62461	Statute of limitations for this offense	38-36
38.62462	Duplicity in charging this offense: when complaint charges sexual contact or sexual intercourse (see sec. 38.62341)	38-36
38.62463	If conduct on which charge is based was assault by child on defendant (i.e., if defendant was raped by child), act of having sexual intercourse with child does not constitute crime (see sec. 38.62235)	38-36
38.625	Failure to act to prevent sexual assault	38-36
38.6251	Elements of this offense	38-36
38.62511	Person responsible for welfare of child	38-36
38.6252	Instructing on this offense	38-36
38.62521	Instructing on "person responsible for the welfare of a child"	38-36
38.6253	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-36
38.62531	Sufficiency to prove that defendant was "person responsible for welfare of child"	38-37

	38.6254	Other matters	38-37
		38.62541 Appropriate unit of prosecution	38-37
38.626		Penalty enhancement: sexual assault by certain persons	38-37
38.627		Other matters	38-37
	38.6271	Sentencing court not compelled to mitigate defendant's offenses because child-victim consented to sexual activity	38-37
	38.6272	Sexual assault is a "crime of violence" for purposes of federal deportation law	38-37
	38.6273	Child who has not attained age of 16 years cannot legally consent to sexual contact or intercourse	38-37
38.628		Related matters	38-37
	38.6281	Sex offender reporting requirements (see sec. 47.872)	38-37
38.63		Physical Abuse Of Child	38-37
38.631		Intentional causation of bodily harm	38-37
	38.6311	Elements of this offense	38-37
		38.63111 In general	38-37
	38.6312	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecution for this offense	38-37
		38.63121 When evidence provides reasonable basis for acquittal of this offense	38-37
	38.6313	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-37
		38.63131 In general	38-37
		38.63132 Sufficiency to prove causation of bodily harm	38-37
		38.63133 Sufficiency to prove intent to cause bodily harm	38-37
		38.63134 Sufficiency to disprove defense of parental privilege of reasonable discipline (see sec. 40.664)	38-37
		38.63135 Sufficiency to prove that defendant was aider and abettor of this crime	38-37
	38.6314	Need to charge failing to act to prevent bodily harm if state intends to rely on omissions theory of liability	38-38
38.632		Reckless causation of bodily harm	38-38
	38.6321	Elements of this offense	38-38
		38.63211 In general	38-38
		38.63212 Recklessly	38-38
		38.63213 This offense has no subjective mental element	38-38
	38.6322	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-38
		38.63221 In general	38-38
		38.63222 Sufficiency to prove that defendant "caused" great bodily harm	38-38
		38.63223 Sufficiency to prove that defendant acted recklessly	38-38
	38.6323	Defenses to this offense	38-38
		38.63231 Mistake is not a defense to this offense	38-38
		38.63232 Defense of reasonable parental discipline (see sec. 40.66)	38-38
	38.6324	Other matters	38-38
		38.63241 Need to charge failing to act to prevent bodily harm if state intends to rely on omissions theory of liability	38-38
38.633		Failure to act to prevent physical abuse	38-38

38.6331	Need to charge failing to act to prevent bodily harm if state intends to rely on omissions theory of liability	38-38
38.634	Penalty enhancement: abuse by certain persons	38-39
38.64	Other Crimes Against Children	38-39
38.641	Engaging in repeated acts of sexual assault of the same child	38-39
38.6411	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-39
38.64111	In general	38-39
38.64112	Constitutionality of provision that relieves jury of requirement of unanimity as to specific acts of sexual assault that combine to constitute crime	38-39
38.6412	Instructing on this offense	38-39
38.64121	Instructing jury that it need not be unanimous as to specific acts of sexual assault that combine to constitute crime	38-39
38.6413	Prohibition on charging in same action this offense and other specified sex-related offenses against same child during same time period (Wis. Stat. § 948.025(3))	38-39
38.64131	Prohibition in general	38-39
38.64132	Prohibition applies only when other offenses are charged "in same action"	38-39
38.64133	Remedy for violation of prohibition: when defendant convicted of this offense and other offenses in violation of this prohibition, court may vacate conviction of this offense and allow conviction of other offenses to stand	38-39
38.64134	Remedy for violation of prohibition: when defendant convicted of this offense and other offenses in violation of this prohibition, court may simply vacate conviction of other offenses; new trial on this offense is not necessarily required, particularly when evidence of other offenses would have been admissible at trial of this offense	38-39
38.6414	Appropriate unit of prosecution	38-39
38.64141	When repeated acts were committed during same time period in various venues, separate charge may be brought in each venue	38-39
38.6415	Propriety of multiple prosecutions based on acts during same time period that occurred in different venues	38-40
38.64151	Consistency with legislative intent regarding appropriate unit of prosecution (see sec. 38.64141)	38-40
38.64152	Consistency with constitutional prohibition on double jeopardy (see sec. 29.779)	38-40
38.64153	Consistency with doctrine of claim preclusion (see sec. 12.69737)	38-40
38.64154	Consistency with doctrine of issue preclusion (see sec. 12.62136)	38-40
38.642	Causing mental harm to a child	38-40
38.643	Sexual exploitation of a child (state offense)	38-40
38.6431	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-40

	<i>Page</i>
38.6432 Elements of this offense	38-40
38.64321 In general	38-40
38.64322 Knowledge of child's minority is constitutionally required element when offense involves distribution	38-40
38.64323 Sexually explicit conduct (see sec. 38.6174)	38-40
38.6433 Defenses to this offense	38-40
38.64331 Affirmative defense of mistake of age applicable when offense involves production	38-40
38.64332 Consent is not a defense	38-40
38.64333 When mistake of age is defense	38-40
38.6434 Attempt to commit this offense	38-40
38.64341 Elements of attempt to commit this offense: in general	38-40
38.64342 Sufficiency of evidence to convict: in general	38-41
38.64343 Other matters: may convict of this offense and offense of child enticement	38-41
38.6435 Other matters	38-41
38.64351 May convict of this offense and offense of child enticement	38-41
38.64352 Decisions under former statute creating this offense	38-41
38.64353 Related federal crime proscribing sexual exploitation of a child	38-41
38.644 Causing a child to view or listen to sexual activity	38-41
38.645 Incest with a child	38-41
38.6451 Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-41
38.64511 Sufficiency to show sexual intercourse	38-41
38.6452 Other matters	38-41
38.64521 Appropriate unit of prosecution: aggregating multiple assaults in single count	38-41
38.646 Child enticement	38-41
38.6461 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-41
38.64611 Subsection proscribing enticement to commit act of exposure does not violate equal protection on ground that this is Class C felony while act of exposure itself is only Class A misdemeanor	38-41
38.64612 When statute applied to child enticements initiated over the Internet	38-41
38.6462 Elements of this offense	38-41
38.64621 In general	38-41
38.64622 Causing child "to go into any room"	38-42
38.64623 Element of exposure when charge is enticement to commit act of exposure	38-42
38.64624 Existence of actual child is not element of this offense	38-42
38.64625 Knowledge of age of victim is not element of this offense	38-42

38.64626	It is not element of this offense that defendant caused child to go from public place (i.e., safe place) to secluded place (i.e., place of danger); it is sufficient that defendant caused victim to go from already private place (e.g., living room in home) to another private place (e.g., bedroom in home)	38-42
38.64627	"Causes" child to go into any vehicle, room, etc.	38-42
38.6463	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	38-42
38.64631	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	38-42
38.64632	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	38-42
38.6464	Charging this offense	38-42
38.64641	Amending charge in information at conclusion of trial to conform to evidence by adding additional acts that defendant intended to commit in vehicle, building, room or secluded place (see sec. 38.64796)	38-42
38.64642	Duplicity in charging this offense: when complaint charges both attempt to entice and completed enticement in single count	38-42
38.6465	Admission of evidence	38-42
38.64651	Fact that defendant was on parole and/or violating condition of that parole at time of enticement (see sec. 13.36941)	38-43
38.6466	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	38-43
38.64661	Instructing on this offense as offense charged: no need to instruct jury that it must be unanimous with respect to act that the defendant intended to commit in vehicle, building, room or secluded place (see sec. 38.64795)	38-43
38.64662	Instructing on lesser included offenses in prosecution for this offense: attempt to commit this offense does not exist, so it is not lesser included offense that can be instructed on in prosecution for this offense	38-43
38.6467	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-43
38.64671	In general	38-43
38.64672	Sufficiency to show that defendant caused victim "to go into any room"	38-43
38.64673	Sufficiency to show that defendant intended to commit proscribed act	38-43
38.64674	Sufficiency to show exposure when charge is enticement to commit act of exposure	38-43
38.64675	Sufficiency to prove that defendant attempted to cause victim to go to secluded place	38-43
38.64676	Need not show existence of actual child	38-43

38.64677	Need not show that defendant caused child to go from public place (i.e., safe place) to secluded place (i.e., place of danger); it is sufficient that defendant caused victim to go from already private place (e.g., living room in home) to another private place (e.g., bedroom in home) (see sec. 38.64726)	38-43
38.6468	Other matters	38-43
38.64681	Attempt to commit this offense does not exist as separate offense, but rather as alternative mode of commission of this offense	38-44
38.64682	Existence of actual child, not agent posing as child, is not element of offense that state must prove (see secs. 38.64712 and 38.64724)	38-44
38.64683	This crime includes both the attempted and the completed crime	38-44
38.64684	This crime has alternate modes of commission: causing or attempting to cause	38-44
38.64685	Impossibility is not defense to this crime	38-44
38.64686	Mistake as to age of victim is not a defense	38-44
38.64687	Propriety of convicting of this offense as result of Internet sting operation	38-44
38.64688	Duplicity in charging this offense: when complaint charges both attempt to entice and completed enticement in single count (see sec. 38.64742)	38-44
38.64689	Sufficiency of evidence to provide factual basis for guilty plea to this offense	38-44
38.6469	Other matters (cont'd)	38-44
38.64691	Penalty or punishment for offense: constitutionality of felony status for this offense when underlying object of enticement is only misdemeanor	38-44
38.64692	Appropriate unit of prosecution: when there is one enticement but intent to commit multiple prescribed acts, multiple counts based on each different act that defendant intended to commit are not appropriate; one enticement can only give rise to prosecution for one count no matter how many different proscribed acts the defendant intends to commit	38-44
38.64693	May convict of this offense and offense of attempted child exploitation	38-45
38.64694	Crime of attempt to commit this offense does not exist (see sec. 38.64781)	38-45
38.64695	No need for unanimity with respect to particular act that defendant intended to commit in vehicle, building, room or secluded place	38-45

38.64696	Amending information to conform to proof at trial by adding additional acts that defendant intended to commit when child was enticed into vehicle, building, room or secluded place	38-45
38.64697	Purpose of child enticement statute in general	38-45
38.64698	Propriety of conviction of this offense and of offense defendant intended to commit in vehicle, building, room or secluded place (e.g., offense of sexual assault)	38-45
38.647	Use of a computer to facilitate a child sex crime (Wis. Stat. § 948.075)	38-45
38.6471	Elements of this offense	38-45
38.64711	In general	38-45
38.64712	Proof of act, other than use of computer, to effect defendant's intent to have sexual contact or intercourse	38-45
38.6472	Instructing on this offense	38-45
38.64721	In general	38-45
38.64722	Instructing on element of offense that requires proof of act, other than use of computer, to effect defendant's intent to have sexual contact or intercourse	38-45
38.6473	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-46
38.64731	In general	38-46
38.64732	Sufficiency to prove act, other than use of computer, to effect defendant's intent to have sexual contact or intercourse	38-46
38.6474	Sufficiency of evidence to provide factual basis for plea	38-46
38.64741	Sufficiency to provide factual basis for existence of act, other than use of computer, to effect defendant's intent to have sexual contact or intercourse	38-46
38.648	Soliciting a child for prostitution (Wis. Stat. § 948.08)	38-46
38.6481	Elements of this offense	38-46
38.64811	In general	38-46
38.64812	"Practice" prostitution	38-46
38.64813	"Causes" to practice prostitution	38-46
38.64814	No requirement that defendant initiated conversation or contact with child	38-46
38.64815	No requirement that solicited practice of prostitution has to be with someone other than solicitor	38-46
38.6482	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	38-46
38.64821	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	38-46
38.6483	Sufficiency of evidence to provide factual basis for guilty plea to this offense	38-46
38.6484	Other matters	38-46
38.64841	Offense committed by either soliciting or causing practice of prostitution	38-46
38.649	Sexual intercourse with a child age 16 or older	38-46

38.65	Other Crimes Against Children (cont'd)	38-46
38.651	Sexual assault of a student by a school instructional staff person	38-46
38.6511	Elements of this offense	38-46
38.65111	In general	38-46
38.65112	"School staff": in general	38-47
38.65113	"School staff": includes volunteers	38-47
38.65114	"School staff": includes persons providing services to school at time of assault	38-47
38.6512	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	38-47
38.65121	Content of instruction: instructing on meaning of "school staff"	38-47
38.6513	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-47
38.65131	In general	38-47
38.65132	Sufficiency to prove that defendant provided services to school and thus was member of school staff	38-47
38.652	Exposing genitals or pubic area	38-47
38.6521	Elements of this offense	38-47
38.65211	"Expose"	38-47
38.6522	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-47
38.65221	Sufficiency to show exposure	38-47
38.653	Exposing a child to harmful material	38-47
38.6531	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-47
38.65311	In general	38-47
38.65312	Interpreting "verbally communicates" in subsec. (2)(am) of statute to include both oral and written communications does not render statute unconstitutionally vague	38-47
38.6532	Elements of this offense	38-48
38.65321	In general	38-48
38.65322	Defendant's knowledge that person to whom harmful materials were exhibited or transferred was a child is not element of offense	38-48
38.65323	Defendant's awareness of nature of material is element of offense	38-48
38.65324	Requirement that material be "harmful to children"	38-48
38.65325	"Verbally communicates," as used in subsec. (2)(am), includes both oral and written communications	38-48
38.65326	Child in photograph or other pictorial representation must be engaged in "sexually explicit conduct"	38-48
38.65327	"Nudity"	38-48
38.6533	Admission of evidence	38-48
38.65331	Stipulating that material is harmful so as to avoid introduction of material itself (see sec. 13.36971)	38-48
38.6534	Establishing factual basis for guilty plea to this offense	38-48
38.65341	With respect to "harmful to children" element	38-48

38.6535	Establishing defendant's understanding of nature of this offense for purposes of taking guilty plea to it	38-48
38.6536	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-48
38.65361	Sufficiency to show that material was harmful to children: in general	38-48
38.65362	Sufficiency to show that material was harmful to children: need for jury to view material to make determination that material was harmful to children	38-48
38.65363	Sufficiency to show that material was harmful to children: need for expert testimony	38-49
38.6537	Attempt to commit this offense	38-49
38.65371	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint to believe attempt to commit this offense committed	38-49
38.65372	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause at preliminary examination to believe attempt to commit this offense committed	38-49
38.6538	Defenses to this offense	38-49
38.65381	Affirmative defense of mistake of age	38-49
38.6539	Other matters	38-49
38.65391	Decisions under former statute creating this offense	38-49
38.65392	Need for jury to view material to make determination that it was harmful to children (see sec. 38.65362)	38-49
38.65393	History, purpose, and nature of this offense in general	38-49
38.654	Possession of child pornography	38-49
38.6541	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-49
38.65411	In general	38-49
38.65412	This offense requires scienter element to render it constitutional; "knows or reasonably should know" supplies required scienter element	38-49
38.65413	This offense requires that depicted child be real child to render it constitutional; statute creating offense contains that requirement	38-49
38.6542	Elements of this offense	38-49
38.65421	In general	38-49
38.65422	"Pictorial reproduction"	38-50
38.65423	"Knows or reasonably should know"	38-50
38.65424	Focus of inquiry must be on content of photograph or other media and how it was produced, not on particular location or manner in which it is stored or kept (see sec. 38.65461)	38-50
38.65425	Photograph must be real image of real child, rather than computer-generated or manipulated picture	38-50

38.65426	Child in photograph or other pictorial representation must be engaged in "sexually explicit conduct" (see sec. 38.6174)	38-50
38.6543	Admission of evidence	38-50
38.65431	Volumes containing numerous erotic/pornographic photographs among which are two photographs at issue in case	38-50
38.65432	Evidence of Internet news groups to which defendant subscribes	38-50
38.6544	Appropriate unit of prosecution	38-50
38.65441	Separate charges appropriate for each separate and distinct pornographic image on computer disk	38-50
38.65442	Separate charges appropriate for each photograph depicting child pornography in traditional photo album	38-50
38.6545	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-50
38.65451	In general	38-50
38.65452	Sufficiency to prove possession: in general	38-50
38.65453	Sufficiency to prove possession: evidence that defendant repeatedly visited child pornography Web sites, clicked on thumbnail images to create larger pictures for viewing, accessed five images twice, and saved at least one image to personal folder is sufficient to prove possession for purposes of five counts charged	38-51
38.65454	Sufficiency to show that photograph involved was real image of real child, rather than computer-generated or manipulated picture	38-51
38.65455	Sufficiency to prove that child in photograph or other pictorial representation was engaged in sexually explicit conduct	38-51
38.65456	Sufficiency to prove that defendant knew character and content of photograph or other pictorial representation to be sexually explicit	38-51
38.6546	Other matters	38-51
38.65461	Focus of inquiry must be on content of photograph or other media and how it was produced, not on particular location or manner in which it is stored or kept	38-51
38.65462	"Other pictorial reproduction" whose possession is proscribed includes computer disk that stores images of child pornography	38-51
38.655	Child sex offender working with children	38-51
38.656	Abandonment of a child	38-51
38.657	Neglecting a child	38-51
38.6571	Elements of this offense	38-51
38.65711	In general	38-51
38.65712	Person responsible for child's welfare	38-51
38.6572	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-51

	38.65721	In general	38-51
	38.65722	Sufficiency to show intentional contribution to neglect of child	38-52
	38.65723	Sufficiency to show that defendant was person responsible for child's welfare	38-52
38.658	Failure to support		38-52
	38.6581	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-52
	38.6582	Elements of this offense	38-52
	38.65821	In general	38-52
	38.65822	Intent	38-52
	38.65823	Child support order was issued by court of competent jurisdiction: court of appeals' decision holding this to be element of offense	38-52
	38.65824	Child support order was issued by court of competent jurisdiction: supreme court's decision holding this not to be element of offense when there are no disputed factual issues and pure question of law is presented	38-52
	38.6583	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	38-52
	38.65831	Sufficiency of evidence to establish probable cause at preliminary examination	38-52
	38.6584	Instructing on this offense as charged offense	38-52
	38.65841	Instructing on prima facie evidence of intent	38-52
	38.65842	Instructing on affirmative defense of inability to pay	38-52
	38.65843	Must jury be instructed on need for state to prove that child support order was issued by court of competent jurisdiction?	38-52
	38.6585	Admission of evidence	38-52
	38.65851	Evidence of defendant's incarceration offered to establish defense of inability to pay (see sec. 38.65876)	38-53
	38.65852	Copy of out-of-state support order	38-53
	38.6586	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-53
	38.65861	In general	38-53
	38.65862	Sufficiency to prove that child support order was issued by court of competent jurisdiction	38-53
	38.6587	Defense of inability to pay	38-53
	38.65871	Nature of this defense in general	38-53
	38.65872	When evidence sufficient to establish this defense	38-53
	38.65873	Burden of proof on inability to pay	38-53
	38.65874	Constitutionality of placing burden to show inability to pay on defendant	38-53
	38.65875	Instructing on this defense	38-53
	38.65876	Admissibility of evidence of defendant's incarceration to establish this defense	38-53
	38.65877	If defendant has capacity to become gainfully employed and realize earnings, his lack of financial resources is insufficient to demonstrate inability to pay	38-53

	38.65878	In trial to court, court may not rely on personal experience with job market to reject defendant's assertion that he could not find work	38-53
38.6588		Jurisdiction over this offense	38-54
	38.65881	In general	38-54
	38.65882	Court has jurisdiction over prosecution of parent in violation of valid Wisconsin child-support judgment even though child not residing in state during charged period	38-54
38.6589		Other matters	38-54
	38.65891	Venue in prosecutions for this offense	38-54
	38.65892	Appropriate unit of prosecution	38-54
	38.65893	Statute of limitations for this offense: in general	38-54
	38.65894	Statute of limitations for this offense: does not begin to run when current support obligation ceases upon child reaching majority; rather it commences at end of charged period of noncompliance with order to make payments on arrearages	38-54
	38.65895	Nonsupport statute not limited to failure to pay current child support; it also criminalizes failure to make ordered payments on arrearages	38-54
	38.65896	Nonsupport statute covers failure to pay arrearages, even after child has attained majority	38-54
	38.65897	Tax refund intercepts are payments of child support even though they are not made voluntarily	38-54
	38.65898	Nonsupport can be continuing offense	38-54
	38.65899	Collateral attack on support order with which defendant is alleged to have failed to comply	38-55
38.659		Concealing death of child	38-55
38.66		Other Crimes Against Children (cont'd)	38-55
	38.661	Unauthorized placement for adoption	38-55
	38.662	Abduction of another's child; constructive custody	38-55
	38.6621	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-55
	38.66211	Sufficiency to prove that defendant took child	38-55
38.663		Interference with custody by parent or others	38-55
	38.6631	Elements of this offense	38-55
	38.66311	In general	38-55
	38.66312	Taking child away	38-55
	38.66313	Causing child to leave	38-55
	38.66314	"Without the consent of the custodian"	38-55
	38.66315	"Legal custodian"; "legal custody"	38-55
	38.6632	Admission of evidence	38-55
	38.66321	Evidence showing that custody order that defendant is alleged to have interfered with was obtained by fraud	38-55
	38.6633	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-55
	38.66331	Sufficiency to show "withhold[ing] a child for more than 12 hours"	38-55

	38.66332	Sufficiency to show "tak[ing] a child away"	38-55
	38.66333	Sufficiency to show "caus[ing] a child to leave"	38-55
38.6634		Defenses to this offense	38-56
	38.66341	In general	38-56
	38.66342	Child taken because defendant reasonably believes there is threat of physical harm or sexual assault to child	38-56
	38.66343	Defendant's action is "otherwise authorized by law"	38-56
	38.66344	Fact that custody order was obtained by fraud is not defense to this offense	38-56
38.6635		Other matters	38-56
	38.66351	Jurisdiction over this offense: when act committed outside state but has consequence within state	38-56
	38.66352	Collateral attack on custody order defendant is alleged to have interfered with	38-56
38.664		Contributing to the delinquency of a child	38-56
	38.6641	Elements of this offense	38-56
	38.66411	In general	38-56
	38.66412	"Intent" to encourage or contribute to delinquency	38-56
	38.66413	"Delinquency": Defendant can contribute to delinquency of person who is seventeen years old even though such a person cannot be found "delinquent"	38-56
	38.6642	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-56
	38.66421	Sufficiency to prove "intent" to encourage or contribute to delinquency	38-56
	38.66422	When delinquent act is possession of controlled substance, sufficiency to show possession of substance by child (see sec. 36.1723)	38-56
	38.66423	Evidence not rendered insufficient to convict by fact that child to whose delinquency defendant allegedly contributed was seventeen years old	38-56
	38.6643	Other matters	38-57
	38.66431	Permissibility of charging and convicting of contributing to delinquency of child with death as consequence and first-degree reckless homicide by delivery of controlled substance, based on same act (see sec. 6.17244)	38-57
38.665		Contributing to truancy	38-57
38.666		Strip search by school employe	38-57
38.667		Hazing	38-57
38.668		Leaving or storing a loaded firearm within the reach or easy access of a child	38-57
38.669		Possession of a dangerous weapon by a person under 18	38-57
38.67		Other Crimes Against Children (cont'd)	38-57
	38.671	Gun-free school zones (state offense)	38-57
	38.672	Gun-free school zones (federal offense) (see sec. 38.686)	38-57
	38.673	Dangerous weapons other than firearms on school premises	38-57

	<i>Page</i>
38.6731 Elements of this offense	38-57
38.67311 Dangerous weapon	38-57
38.6732 Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-57
38.67321 Sufficiency to show dangerous weapon	38-57
38.67322 Sufficiency to show knowing possession	38-57
38.674 Receiving stolen property from a child	38-57
38.675 Receiving property from a child	38-57
38.676 Solicitation of a child to commit a felony	38-57
38.677 Use of a child to commit a Class A felony	38-57
38.678 Tattooing of children	38-57
38.68 Crimes Against Children (Federal Offenses)	38-57
38.681 Sexual exploitation of a child	38-57
38.6811 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-57
38.6812 Elements of this offense	38-57
38.68121 Knowledge that person engaging in sexually explicit conduct was minor	38-57
38.682 Distribution or possession of virtual child pornography (pornography that appears to depict minors but which was produced by means other than using real children) (prohibited in the federal Child Pornography Prevention Act of 1996)	38-57
38.6821 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-58
38.683 Knowingly making, by means of World Wide Web, any communication that is available to any minor and that includes any material that is harmful to minors (Child Online Protection Act)	38-58
38.6831 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-58
38.6832 Other matters	38-58
38.68321 Propriety of preliminary injunction prohibiting enforcement of this act	38-58
38.684 Knowing transmission by telecommunications device (e.g., Internet) of obscene or indecent messages to any recipient under eighteen years of age (Communications Decency Act of 1996) (see sec. 38.271)	38-58
38.685 Knowingly sending or displaying by use of interactive computer service to a person under eighteen of any message "that, in context, depicts or describes, in terms patently offensive as measured by contemporary community standards, sexual or excretory activities or organs" (Communications Decency Act of 1996) (see sec. 38.272)	38-58
38.686 Possession of firearm in school zone (federal offense)	38-58
38.6861 Constitutionality of federal Gun-Free School Zones Act of 1990	38-58
38.687 Pandering or solicitation of child pornography (prohibited by Prosecutorial Remedies and Other Tools to End the Exploitation of Children Today Act of 2003) (18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(3)(B))	38-58
38.6871 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-58
38.68711 Overbreadth (First Amendment violation)	38-58
38.68712 Vagueness (due process violation)	38-58
38.6872 Elements of this offense	38-58
38.68721 In general	38-58
38.69 Crimes Relating To Children Outside The Criminal Code (see sec. 38.85)	38-58
38.7 Crimes Against Animals	38-58

38.71	Mistreating Animals	38-58
38.711	Elements of this offense	38-58
38.712	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-59
38.72	Decompression Prohibited	38-59
38.73	Dognapping And Catnapping	38-59
38.74	Leading Animal From Motor Vehicle	38-59
38.75	Transportation Of Animals	38-59
38.76	Use Of Poisonous And Controlled Substances	38-59
38.77	Use Of Certain Devices Prohibited	38-59
38.78	Other Crimes Against Animals	38-59
38.781	Harassment of police animals	38-59
38.782	Instigating fights between animals	38-59
38.783	Shooting at caged or staked animals	38-59
38.784	Sale of baby rabbits, chicks and other fowl	38-59
38.785	Artificially colored animals; sale	38-59
38.786	Providing proper food and drink to confined animals	38-59
38.787	Providing proper shelter	38-59
38.788	Abandonment of animals	38-59
38.789	Prohibition on ritualistic sacrifice of animal	38-59
38.7891	Constitutionality of this prohibition	38-59
38.79	Miscellaneous Provisions Relating To Crimes Against Animals	38-59
38.791	Assessing cost of animals' removal and care against convicted defendants	38-59
38.792	Animals; neglected or abandoned; police powers	38-59
38.793	Investigation of cruelty complaints by issuance of search warrant	38-59
38.794	Other provisions	38-59
38.8	Other Crimes	38-59
38.81	Crimes Relating To Receipt Of Public Assistance	38-59
38.811	Welfare fraud	38-59
38.8111	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-59
38.8112	Elements of this offense	38-59
38.81121	In general	38-59
38.81122	Income reporting requirement covers all income, legal and illegal	38-59
38.81123	Receipt of value by defendant	38-59
38.8113	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	38-60
38.81131	Evidence at preliminary examination not insufficient to establish probable cause because defendant guilty of other crimes or subject to civil liability	38-60
38.8114	Charging this offense	38-60
38.81141	Sufficiency of evidence to support charge in information	38-60
38.81142	When charging offense as continuing offense, must allege date offense began	38-60
38.8115	Admission of evidence	38-60
38.8116	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	38-60
38.81161	Content of instruction on this offense	38-60
38.8117	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-60

38.8118	Other matters	38-60
38.81181	Statute of limitations	38-60
38.81182	Appropriate unit of prosecution	38-60
38.81183	This is continuing offense	38-60
38.812	Food stamp fraud	38-60
38.8121	Elements of this offense	38-60
38.813	Medicaid fraud	38-60
38.8131	Medicaid nondisclosure law	38-60
38.814	Medical assistance fraud	38-60
38.8141	Element of this offense	38-60
38.81411	In general	38-60
38.81412	No need to show that defendant intended to procure medical assistance payments or benefits for himself through false statements	38-61
38.81413	Only state of mind required is intentional making or causing the making of false statement	38-61
38.81414	No need to prove defendant actually made application for medical assistance benefit or payment; only necessary to prove that defendant made or caused to be made a statement or representation that appears in application	38-61
38.8142	Admission of evidence	38-61
38.81421	Evidence that, as a result of billing practices of health care provider, hours billed were actually worked although not necessarily on dates indicated, to show that misrepresentation on medical assistance form was not material (see sec. 13.36921)	38-61
38.8143	Other matters	38-61
38.81431	Venue for this offense	38-61
38.81432	Applicability of party-to-a-crime statute to this offense	38-61
38.82	Crimes Relating To Fraud In Sale Or Purchase Of Securities And Other Securities Law Violations Under Wis. Stat. ch. 551	38-61
38.821	General principles	38-61
38.8211	In general	38-61
38.8212	Statutes regulating sale of securities are sometimes referred to as "blue sky laws"	38-61
38.8213	Because purpose of securities laws is to protect investors, provisions should be liberally construed	38-61
38.8214	Rule of lenity does not apply in interpreting securities laws	38-61
38.8215	Propriety of using interpretation of federal securities laws in construing Wisconsin's securities laws	38-61
38.822	Definitions	38-61
38.8221	"Security"	38-61
38.82211	In general	38-62
38.82212	Determining whether note is "security": in general	38-62
38.82213	Determining whether note is "security": personal promissory note issued to sole investor	38-62

38.82214	Oral representation, without written security, may be sufficient to constitute offer to sell "security"; "security" need not involve written document	38-62
38.82215	"Horizontal commonality" not required in order to have solicitation to loan money involve a "security"	38-62
38.82216	Propriety of using interpretation of "security" in federal securities laws in construing definition of "security" in Wisconsin's securities laws	38-62
38.8222	"Note"	38-62
38.82221	In general	38-62
38.82222	Determining whether instrument is "note," as that term is used in definition of "security" (see secs. 38.82212 and 38.82213)	38-62
38.82223	Presumption that note is "security" does not unconstitutionally shift burden of proof to defendant	38-62
38.8223	"Investment contract"	38-62
38.823	Fraud in sale or purchase of securities in violation of Wis. Stat. § 551.41(1)	38-62
38.824	Fraud in sale or purchase of securities in violation of Wis. Stat. § 551.41(2)	38-62
38.8241	Elements of this offense	38-62
38.82411	In general	38-62
38.82412	Willfulness: in general	38-63
38.82413	Willfulness: does not require intent to defraud or knowledge that law is being violated	38-63
38.82414	Security (see sec. 38.8211)	38-63
38.82415	"Material fact"	38-63
38.82416	Investment contract	38-63
38.82417	Omission of material information	38-63
38.8242	Admission of evidence	38-63
38.82421	Expert testimony on what constitutes investment contract and whether instrument is investment contract	38-63
38.8243	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	38-63
38.82431	Content of instruction in general	38-63
38.82432	Instructing on willfulness element	38-63
38.82433	Instructing on what constitutes investment contract	38-63
38.8244	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-63
38.82441	In general	38-63
38.82442	Sufficiency to prove that instrument at issue was security	38-63
38.82443	Sufficiency to prove "material fact"	38-64
38.82444	Sufficiency to prove that instrument was investment contract	38-64
38.8245	Other matters	38-64
38.82451	Venue for this offense	38-64
38.82452	Defenses to this offense: advice of counsel	38-64

38.825	Fraud in sale or purchase of securities in violation of Wis. Stat. § 551.41(3)	38-64
38.8251	Elements of this offense	38-64
38.82511	In general	38-64
38.82512	Willfulness: in general	38-64
38.82513	Willfulness: does not require intent to defraud or knowledge that law is being violated	38-64
38.82514	Security (see sec. 38.8211)	38-64
38.8252	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	38-64
38.82521	Instructing on willfulness element	38-64
38.8253	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-64
38.82531	In general	38-64
38.82532	Sufficiency to prove that instruments at issue were securities	38-64
38.82533	Sufficiency to prove that defendant acted in way that operated as fraud or deceit upon another person	38-64
38.8254	Other matters	38-64
38.82541	Defenses to this offense: advice of counsel	38-65
38.826	Offering or selling unregistered securities in violation of Wis. Stat. § 551.21(1)	38-65
38.8261	Elements of this offense	38-65
38.82611	"Security"	38-65
38.8262	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-65
38.82621	Sufficiency to prove that what defendant offered to sell or sold was "security"	38-65
38.8263	Other matters	38-65
38.82631	Violation occurs through offer to sell unregistered security, even if no sale actually occurs	38-65
38.827	Violating Order of Prohibition in violation of Wis. Stat. § 551.58(1)	38-65
38.8271	Elements of this offense	38-65
38.82711	In general	38-65
38.82712	Willfulness: in general	38-65
38.82713	Willfulness: does not require intent to defraud or knowledge that law is being violated	38-65
38.8272	Admission of evidence	38-65
38.82721	Evidence of victim's failure to receive refunds when defendant ordered to rescind prior sales and refund proceeds	38-65
38.8273	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-65
38.82731	In general	38-65
38.8274	Other matters	38-65
38.82741	Defenses to this offense: advice of counsel	38-65
38.83	Other Crimes Relating To Economic And Monetary Activity	38-65
38.831	Fraud in the sale of securities and other securities law violations (federal offenses)	38-65
38.8311	Elements of this offense	38-65
38.83111	In general	38-65
38.83112	Offense encompasses "misappropriation theory" of liability (see sec. 38.83121)	38-65

38.8312	Other matters	38-66
38.83121	Offense encompasses "misappropriation theory" of liability	38-66
38.832	Falsifying bank entries with intent to deceive bank examiners	38-66
38.8321	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	38-66
38.83211	Offenses that are lesser-included offenses of this offense	38-66
38.833	Conspiracy to restrain trade	38-66
38.8331	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-66
38.8332	Elements of this offense	38-66
38.83321	Conduct covered	38-66
38.83322	Intent required	38-66
38.8333	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-66
38.8334	Defenses to this offense	38-66
38.83341	Exceptions to liability created by antitrust act	38-66
38.8335	Other matters	38-66
38.83351	Right of state attorney general to access to federal grand jury proceedings investigating federal anti- trust violations	38-66
38.834	Making false entries in books of bank	38-66
38.8341	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	38-66
38.83411	Offenses of which this is a lesser-included offense	38-66
38.835	Cash transaction reporting and prohibition on structuring to evade reporting requirement	38-66
38.8351	Elements of this offense	38-66
38.83511	"Willfully" requires that defendant acted with knowledge that his conduct was unlawful	38-66
38.836	Knowingly making false statement to federally insured bank (federal offense)	38-66
38.8361	Elements of this offense	38-66
38.83611	"Materiality" is not element of this offense	38-66
38.837	Fraudulent trading in connection with tender offer (federal offense)	38-67
38.8371	Other matters	38-67
38.83711	SEC did not exceed rulemaking authority by adopting Rule 14e-3(a), which proscribes trading on undisclosed information in tender offer setting, even in absence of duty to disclose	38-67
38.838	Conspiracy to commit money laundering	38-67
38.8381	Element of this offense	38-67
38.83811	Overt act in furtherance of conspiracy is not element of this offense	38-67
38.839	Money Laundering (18 U.S.C. § 1956)	38-67
38.8391	Meaning of terms used in money laundering statute	38-67
38.83911	"Proceeds": means "profits" not "receipts"	38-67
38.8392	Elements of mode of this offense dealing with certain financial transactions (18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1))	38-67
38.8393	Elements of mode of this offense dealing with transportation of monetary instruments or funds (18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2))	38-67
38.83931	In general	38-67

38.83932	"Knowing that such transportation was designed in whole or in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and control" of the funds	38-67
38.83933	Does not require proof that defendant attempted to create appearance of legitimate wealth	38-67
38.8394	Sufficiency of evidence to convict of mode of this offense dealing with certain financial transactions (18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1))	38-67
38.8395	Sufficiency of evidence to convict of mode of this offense dealing with transportation of monetary instruments or funds (18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2))	38-67
38.83951	In general	38-67
38.83952	Sufficiency to prove that defendant knew "that such transportation was designed in whole or in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and control" of the funds	38-67
38.84	Crimes Relating To Selling, Dispensing, Giving Away, Or Procuring Alcoholic Beverages	38-67
38.841	Wholesale price restrictions on fermented malt beverages	38-67
38.8411	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-67
38.8412	Elements of this offense	38-68
38.8413	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	38-68
38.84131	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	38-68
38.842	Keeping premises selling alcohol beverages open after closing hours	38-68
38.8421	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-68
38.8422	Other matters	38-68
38.84221	Liability of licensee for employe's acts	38-68
38.843	Credit restrictions on retailer of intoxicating liquor	38-68
38.8431	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-68
38.844	Selling intoxicating liquor without appropriate license	38-68
38.8441	True owner is required to have license for premises	38-68
38.845	Selling fermented malt beverage without appropriate license	38-68
38.8451	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-68
38.8452	Other matters	38-68
38.84521	Consistency of convicting defendant of this offense and offense of unlawfully giving away fermented malt beverage	38-68
38.846	Giving away fermented malt beverage to evade law relating to sale of fermented malt beverage	38-68
38.8461	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-68
38.8462	Other matters	38-68
38.84621	Consistency of convicting defendant of this offense and offense of selling fermented malt beverage without appropriate license	38-69
38.847	Procuring alcoholic beverages for, or selling, dispensing, or giving away alcohol beverages to, person under 18 years of age, causing death or great bodily harm (Wis. Stat. § 125.075(1))	38-69
38.8471	Elements of this offense	38-69

	38.84711	In general	38-69
	38.84712	Direct contact with particular victim and knowledge that particular victim would consume alcohol is not required; all that is required is that defendant knew or should have known that alcohol he procured would be consumed by persons under the age of 21	38-69
	38.84713	Although victim needs to be under 18 years of age, all that defendant needs to know or should have known is that victim was under legal drinking age, i.e., under the age of 21	38-69
	38.84714	Causation	38-69
	38.8472	Admission of evidence	38-69
	38.84721	Test results showing victim's blood-alcohol content and absence of other drugs in system	38-69
	38.8473	Instructing on this offense	38-69
	38.84731	Content of instruction in general	38-69
	38.84732	Instructing on causation	38-69
	38.8474	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-69
	38.84741	In general	38-69
	38.84742	Sufficiency to show that victim consumed alcoholic beverage that defendant provided	38-69
	38.8475	Defenses to this offense	38-69
	38.84751	Defense provided for in Wis. Stat. § 125.075(1m)	38-69
38.85	Crimes Relating To Children Outside Criminal Code		38-69
	38.851	Failing to cause child to attend school	38-69
	38.8511	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-70
	38.8512	Elements of this offense	38-70
	38.85121	In general	38-70
	38.85122	Failure to "attend school regularly" does not require that child be "habitual truant"	38-70
	38.85123	"Person having under control a child"	38-70
	38.8513	Charging this offense	38-70
	38.85131	Sufficiency of complaint	38-70
	38.8514	Admission of evidence	38-70
	38.85141	Evidence of child's nonattendance outside of period that forms basis for charge	38-70
	38.8515	Instructing on this offense	38-70
	38.85151	Refusing to instruct that failure to "attend school regularly" means "habitual truant"	38-70
	38.8516	Defense to this offense: defendant unable to comply with law because of disobedience of child (disobedience defense)	38-70
	38.85161	This is affirmative offense to be decided by jury at trial	38-70
	38.85162	Defendant has burden to establish this defense	38-70
	38.85163	This defense is not to be decided by judge before trial	38-70
	38.85164	This defense does not require conviction of offense to trigger it	38-70
	38.8517	Other matters	38-70

	<i>Page</i>
38.85171 Burden of proof	38-70
38.852 Abandonment and failure to support	38-71
38.8521 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-71
38.853 Failure to report suspected child abuse	38-71
38.8531 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-71
38.8532 Elements of this offense	38-71
38.85321 In general	38-71
38.85322 "Reasonable cause to suspect"	38-71
38.8533 Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	38-71
38.85331 Content of instruction	38-71
38.8534 Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-71
38.854 Prohibition on disclosure of identity of person who has reported child abuse	38-71
38.8541 Elements of this offense	38-71
38.85411 In general	38-71
38.85412 Disclose	38-71
38.85413 No intent element	38-71
38.8542 Other matters	38-71
38.85421 This is a strict liability offense	38-71
38.855 Related matters	38-71
38.8551 Crimes against children under Wis. Stat. ch. 948 (see sec. 38.6)	38-71
38.86 Crimes Relating To Military	38-71
38.861 Failure to register with selective service	38-71
38.8611 Other matters	38-71
38.86111 Constitutionality of government's policy of prosecuting only those who advise government of failure to register	38-71
38.862 Reentry of military base after receipt of order barring entry	38-71
38.8621 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-72
38.87 Violations Of Restraining Orders And Injunctions	38-72
38.871 General principles	38-72
38.8711 Defendant's right to rely on oral modification of restraining order or injunction	38-72
38.872 Violations of harassment restraining orders and injunctions	38-72
38.8721 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-72
38.8722 Elements of this offense	38-72
38.8723 Instructing on this offense as lesser-included offense	38-72
38.87231 Offenses of which this is, and is not, lesser- included offense	38-72
38.8724 Other matters	38-72
38.87241 Propriety of collateral attack on validity of injunction	38-72
38.87242 Propriety of extending injunction beyond two years	38-72
38.87243 Violation of harassment injunction contrary to Wis. Stat. § 813.125(7) is crime	38-72
38.87244 Propriety of prosecution and punishment for this offense and for crime of harassment	38-72
38.873 Violations of child abuse restraining orders and injunctions	38-72

	38.8731	Other matters: defendant's right to rely on oral modifications to restraining order or injunction	38-72
38.88		Violation Of Administrative Regulations Carrying Criminal Penalties	38-72
	38.881	Home improvement trade regulations	38-72
	38.8811	Constitutionality of rule creating this offense	38-72
	38.8812	Elements of this offense	38-72
	38.8813	Charging this offense	38-73
	38.88131	Sufficiency of information	38-73
	38.8814	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	38-73
	38.88141	Content of instruction	38-73
	38.8815	Other matters	38-73
	38.88151	Appropriate unit of prosecution	38-73
	38.88152	Defenses to this offense	38-73
	38.88153	Jurisdiction to hear and to prosecute this offense	38-73
	38.882	Unfair residential rental trade practices regulations	38-73
	38.8821	Constitutionality of statute and administrative regulation creating this offense	38-73
38.89		Crimes Relating To Interception And Disclosure Of Wire, Electronic Or Oral Communications	38-73
	38.891	Interception and disclosure of wire, electronic or oral communications (state offense)	38-73
	38.8911	First Amendment precludes prosecution of defendant for disclosing communication that was unlawfully obtained by another person, but which defendant lawfully obtained from that other person, and which deals with matter of public interest	38-73
	38.892	Interception and disclosure of wire, electronic or oral communications (federal offense)	38-73
	38.8921	First Amendment precludes prosecution of defendant for disclosing communication that was unlawfully obtained by another person, but which defendant lawfully obtained from that other person, and which deals with matter of public interest	38-73
38.9		Other Crimes (cont'd)	38-73
	38.91	Crimes Relating To Environmental Protection And Hazardous Waste	38-73
	38.911	Storing, treating, transporting, or disposing of any hazardous waste without a license	38-73
	38.9111	Elements of this offense	38-73
	38.91111	Willfully	38-74
	38.9112	Instruction on this offense	38-74
	38.91121	Instructing on element of "willfully"	38-74
	38.9113	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-74
	38.91131	Sufficiency to show substance was "spent" and therefore hazardous waste	38-74
	38.92	Crimes Relating To Payment Of Taxes	38-74
	38.921	Failure to file individual income tax return (state offense)	38-74
	38.9211	Instructing on this offense and lesser-included offenses	38-74
	38.92111	Content of instruction	38-74
	38.9212	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-74

38.9213	Other matters	38-74
38.92131	Trial court's jurisdiction over this offense and over defendant	38-74
38.92132	Impartiality of court and jury in prosecutions for this offense	38-74
38.922	Failure to file individual income tax return (federal offense)	38-74
38.9221	Elements of this offense	38-74
38.9222	Instructing on this offense	38-74
38.9223	Defenses to this offense	38-74
38.923	Making false statements on tax return (state offense)	38-74
38.9231	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-74
38.924	Concealing property to evade levy for taxes due	38-74
38.9241	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-74
38.925	Filing false income tax return (federal offense)	38-74
38.9251	Elements of this offense	38-74
38.92511	Materiality of false statement is element of this offense that must be submitted to jury	38-74
38.926	Tax evasion	38-75
38.9261	Elements of this offense	38-75
38.92611	In general	38-75
38.92612	Existence of tax deficiency: to show that distribution from corporation was nontaxable return of capital rather than taxable dividend or capital gain, it is not necessary that parties to distribution intended capital return when distribution occurred	38-75
38.93	Criminal Contempt (see sec. 47.3)	38-75
38.94	Crimes Relating To Transfer, Transport, And Receipt Of Firearms	38-75
38.941	Dealing in firearms without a federal license (federal offense)	38-75
38.9411	Element of this offense	38-75
38.94111	"Willfully"	38-75
38.9412	Instructing on this offense	38-75
38.942	Prohibition on transport of firearm in vehicle unless it is unloaded and encased	38-75
38.9421	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-75
38.94211	Consistency with state constitutional right to keep and bear arms	38-75
38.943	Receipt of firearm while under indictment	38-75
38.9431	Defense of duress to this offense	38-75
38.94311	Constitutionality of placing burden on defendant to prove this defense	38-75
38.95	Crimes Relating To Conservation Of Natural Resources (Wis. Stat. ch. 29)	38-75
38.951	General principles applying to these crimes and prosecutions for them	38-75
38.9511	State's right to regulate off-reservation, treaty-based hunting and fishing rights of Indian persons (see sec. 12.636)	38-75
38.952	Shining deer	38-75
38.9521	State's right to enforce statute creating this offense against Indian persons exercising off-reservation, treaty-based hunting and fishing rights	38-75

	<i>Page</i>
38.953 Resisting warden (Wis. Stat. ch. 29.951)	38-75
38.9531 Instructing on this offense as offense charged	38-75
38.95311 Propriety of instructing on assaulting, resisting, or obstructing in the disjunctive	38-75
38.9532 Appropriate unit of prosecution	38-75
38.95321 Propriety of charging multiple counts for acts that occurred during one encounter with warden	38-76
38.96 Other Crimes	38-76
38.961 Dismemberment and storage of meat from deceased animals	38-76
38.9611 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-76
38.9612 Elements of this offense	38-76
38.9613 Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-76
38.962 Campaign financing violations under former law (state offenses)	38-76
38.9621 Constitutionality of statutes creating these offenses	38-76
38.9622 Elements of this offense	38-76
38.9623 Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-76
38.9624 Other matters	38-76
38.96241 Appropriate unit of prosecution	38-76
38.963 Campaign financing violations (federal offenses)	38-76
38.9631 Constitutionality of statutes creating these offenses	38-76
38.964 Violations of elections, ethics, and lobbying regulations under current state law	38-76
38.9641 Venue for prosecution of these violations (see sec. 12.214)	38-76
38.965 Neglect of nursing home residents (see sec. 33.44)	38-76
38.966 Photographing any obligation or security of the United States	38-76
38.9661 Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-76
38.967 Sale of fireworks	38-76
38.9671 Other matters	38-76
38.96711 Exemption for sales outside Wisconsin	38-76
38.968 Transporting falsely made, forged, altered, or counterfeited securities in interstate commerce (see sec. 34.97)	38-76
38.969 Federal prohibition on deported alien's return to United States; reentry into United States by deported alien	38-77
38.9691 Elements of this offense	38-77
38.96911 Penalty enhancer when alien committed aggravated felony prior to deportation is not element of offense	38-77
38.9692 Punishment for this offense	38-77
38.96921 Penalty enhancer when alien committed aggravated felony prior to deportation is not element of offense	38-77
38.9693 Other matters	38-77
38.96931 Defendant may make collateral attack on validity of underlying deportation proceeding	38-77
38.9610 Violation of injunction issued under harassment statute (see sec. 38.872)	38-77
38.97 Other Crimes (cont'd)	38-77
38.971 Conspiracy to defraud United States	38-77
38.9711 Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-77
38.972 Mail or wire fraud (see sec. 34.91)	38-77

38.973	Prohibition on private party paying and government employe receiving supplemental compensation for employe's governmental service	38-77
38.9731	Elements of this offense	38-77
38.97311	Recipient of compensation must be government employe at time compensation received	38-77
38.974	Prohibition on solicitation on postal premises	38-77
38.9741	Constitutionality of regulation creating this offense	38-77
38.975	Making false statement in application for motor vehicle title	38-77
38.9751	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-77
38.9752	Elements of this offense	38-77
38.9753	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-77
38.976	Indecent or obscene interstate commercial telephone messages	38-77
38.9761	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-77
38.977	Possession of deer carcass	38-78
38.9771	Elements of this offense	38-78
38.9772	Probable cause to believe this offense committed	38-78
38.97721	Sufficiency of showing of probable cause in complaint	38-78
38.9773	Other matters	38-78
38.97731	Person who kills deer must attach his or her own tag	38-78
38.978	Displaying sign or congregating at foreign embassy	38-78
38.9781	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-78
38.979	Prohibition on paying circulators of initiative petition	38-78
38.9791	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-78
38.98	Other Crimes (cont'd)	38-78
38.981	Conspiracy to interfere with individual's thirteenth amendment right to be free from "involuntary servitude"	38-78
38.9811	Elements of this offense	38-78
38.9812	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-78
38.982	Holding another person "to involuntary servitude"	38-78
38.9821	Elements of this offense	38-78
38.9822	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-78
38.983	Failure to pay tax on controlled substances	38-78
38.9831	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-78
38.98311	In general	38-78
38.98312	Retroactivity of ruling declaring this crime unconstitutional (see sec. 38.98344)	38-79
38.9832	Instructing on lesser-included offenses in prosecutions for this offense	38-79
38.98321	Offenses that are and are not lesser-included offenses of this offense	38-79
38.9833	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-79
38.9834	Other matters	38-79
38.98341	Person may be punished for this offense and have civil penalty imposed for failure to pay drug tax without violating double jeopardy	38-79

38.98342	May a person be punished for this offense and for offense of possession of controlled substance with intent to deliver without violating double jeopardy?	38-79
38.98343	May a person be punished for this offense and for offense of delivery of controlled substance without violating double jeopardy?	38-79
38.98344	Retroactivity of ruling declaring statute creating this crime unconstitutional	38-79
38.984	Prohibition on broadcasting advertising of lotteries and casino gambling	38-79
38.9841	Constitutionality of prohibiting broadcast of lottery advertisements in states without lotteries, while allowing broadcasters to advertise state-run lotteries on stations licensed in a state which conduct such lotteries	38-79
38.9842	Constitutionality of prohibiting advertising of lawful private casino gambling broadcast by radio or television stations located in state where such gambling is legal	38-80
38.985	Federal restrictions on firearms possession	38-80
38.9851	Elements of this offense	38-80
38.98511	Knowledge that weapon had characteristics that brought it within statutory definition of machine gun	38-80
38.98512	Knowledge that weapon had characteristics that brought it within statutory definition of firearm	38-80
38.98513	Does not require knowledge that law required registration of firearm	38-80
38.9852	Instructing on this offense	38-80
38.98521	Instructing on knowledge element	38-80
38.9853	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-80
38.98531	Government must prove that defendant knew that weapon had characteristics that brought it within statutory definition of a machine gun	38-80
38.986	Commercial clamming without a proper license or permit	38-80
38.9861	Penalty or punishment for this offense	38-80
38.987	Using or carrying firearm during and in relation to crime of violence or a drug trafficking crime (see sec. 31.695)	38-80
38.988	Misapplication of student loan funds	38-80
38.9881	Elements of this offense	38-80
38.98811	Specific intent to injure or defraud is not element of offense	38-80
38.99	Other Crimes (cont'd)	38-80
38.991	Violation Of State Prevailing Wage Law	38-80
38.9911	State prevailing wage law is not preempted by federal ERISA	38-80
38.9912	Violation of state prevailing wage law may constitute theft	38-81
38.992	Unauthorized Practice Of Law	38-81
38.9921	What constitutes	38-81
38.99211	In general (see sec. 12.79211)	38-81

38.99212	Law enforcement officer questioning witness at John Doe proceeding (see sec. 12.79212)	38-81
38.9922	Effect of	38-81
38.99221	In general (see sec. 12.79221)	38-81
38.99222	When law enforcement officer has questioned witness at John Doe proceeding, assuming such questioning constitutes unauthorized practice of law (see sec. 12.79222)	38-81
38.993	Cross burning with intent to intimidate	38-81
38.9931	Constitutionality of statute creating this offense	38-81
38.994	Hunting bald and golden eagles	38-81
38.9941	Defenses to this offense	38-81
38.99411	Indian treaty rights	38-81
38.995	Election bribery (Wis. Stat. § 12.11(1m)(a)1)	38-81
38.9951	Sufficiency of evidence to convict	38-81
38.99511	In general	38-81
38.99512	Sufficiency to prove "induce" element of offense	38-81
38.99513	Fact that commission of this offense was impossible under facts of case does not render evidence insufficient to support conviction of conspiracy to commit this offense	38-81
38.9952	Conspiracy to commit this offense	38-81
38.99521	Fact that commission of this offense was impossible under facts of case does not render evidence insufficient to support conviction of conspiracy to commit this offense (see sec. 38.99513)	38-81

Chapter 39 Inchoate Crimes

39.1	Attempt	39-1
39.11	Elements Of Attempt	39-1
39.111	In general	39-1
39.112	Unequivocal act	39-1
39.113	Intervention of third party or other extraneous factor	39-1
39.1131	No need to prove	39-1
39.1132	Particular factors	39-2
39.11321	In general	39-2
39.11322	Victim's resistance	39-2
39.1133	In cases involving attempted crimes against children, fictitiousness of child may be extraneous factor beyond defendant's control	39-2
39.114	Intent	39-2
39.1141	In general	39-2
39.1142	Intent, under attempt statute, satisfied by either purpose to cause result or knowledge that conduct practically certain to cause result	39-2

39.1143	Person cannot attempt to commit crime which does not itself include element of specific intent (see sec. 39.185)	39-2
39.115	Must attempt to commit offense enumerated in attempt statute (see sec. 39.182)	39-2
39.116	Failure to accomplish crime is not element of offense	39-2
39.12	Probable Cause To Believe Attempt Occurred	39-2
39.13	Charging Attempt	39-2
39.14	Admission Of Evidence	39-2
39.15	Instructing On Attempt	39-2
39.16	Sufficiency Of Evidence To Establish Attempt	39-2
39.161	Sufficiency to show factual basis needed to support guilty plea	39-2
39.162	Sufficiency to prove guilty beyond a reasonable doubt	39-2
39.17	Particular Attempts	39-3
39.171	General principles	39-3
39.1711	In general	39-3
39.1712	Person cannot attempt to commit crime which does not itself include element of specific intent (see sec. 39.185)	39-3
39.172	Particular attempts that do and do not exist	39-3
39.1721	Attempted manslaughter (heat of passion) (see sec. 32.21511)	39-3
39.1722	Attempted manslaughter (imperfect self-defense) (see sec. 32.2243)	39-3
39.1723	Attempted felony murder (see sec. 32.8151)	39-3
39.1724	Attempted child enticement (see sec. 38.64781)	39-3
39.1725	Attempted second degree sexual assault of a child (see secs. 38.62441 and 38.62442)	39-3
39.18	Other Matters	39-3
39.181	Defenses to crime of attempt	39-3
39.1811	Once elements of attempt are present, voluntary abandonment is no defense	39-3
39.1812	Factual impossibility is not defense to crime of attempt	39-3
39.182	Only attempts to commit offenses enumerated in attempt statute may be prosecuted as "attempts"	39-3
39.183	Can commit attempt even though, under the circumstances, it is impossible to commit substantive crime	39-3
39.184	Penalty or punishment for this offense	39-4
39.1841	Calculating potential punishment when defendant convicted of attempt as repeater under habitual criminality statute (see sec. 31.6273)	39-4
39.1842	Under TIS-I, maximum term of confinement for attempt to commit classified felony is one-half of maximum confinement specified for completed crime (see sec. 9.4132)	39-4
39.185	Person cannot attempt to commit crime which does not itself include element of specific intent	39-4
39.186	Crimes which may and may not be prosecuted as attempts	39-4
39.1861	Crime must include element of specific intent (see sec. 39.185)	39-4
39.1862	Specific offenses	39-4
39.18621	Felony murder (see sec. 32.8151)	39-4
39.18622	Manslaughter (heat of passion) (see sec. 32.21511)	39-4

39.18623	Manslaughter (imperfect self-defense) (see sec. 32.2243)	39-4
39.18624	Child enticement (see sec. 38.64781)	39-4
39.187	Defendant may be charged with both an attempt to commit a particular offense and a conspiracy to commit that offense	39-4
39.188	May defendant be convicted of both an attempt to commit a particular offense and a conspiracy to commit that offense?	39-4
39.189	Other matters	39-4
39.1891	Crime of attempt is not lesser included offense of crime of conspiracy	39-4
39.1892	Meaning of "unequivocally" in solicitation and attempt statutes is the same (see sec. 39.375)	39-4
39.19	Other matters (cont'd)	39-5
39.191	Common law definition of crime of attempt	39-5
39.192	Sufficiency of indictment to charge attempt	39-5
39.2	Conspiracy	39-5
39.21	Elements Of Conspiracy	39-5
39.211	In general	39-5
39.212	Overt act in furtherance of conspiracy	39-5
39.213	Agreement	39-5
39.2131	In general	39-5
39.2132	Need for agreement with at least one other person	39-5
39.2133	Conspirator need not agree to commit or facilitate each and every part of substantive offense	39-5
39.2134	Express agreement not necessary; tacit understanding of shared goal is sufficient	39-5
39.214	Conspirator may be liable for conspiracy even though he was incapable of committing substantive offense	39-5
39.215	No need to prove that persons with whom defendant conspired shared intent that crime should be committed (see sec. 39.287)	39-5
39.216	No need to show "stake in the venture" or "stake in the outcome"	39-5
39.217	Person can be party to conspiracy even if he joins it after object of conspiracy becomes impossible to achieve (e.g., when police have frustrated drug conspiracy's objective by seizing drugs members have agreed to distribute)	39-6
39.218	No need to prove that crime that is subject of conspiracy was committed	39-6
39.22	Probable Cause To Believe Conspiracy Occurred	39-6
39.23	Charging Conspiracy	39-6
39.24	Admission Of Evidence	39-6
39.25	Instructing On Conspiracy	39-6
39.26	Sufficiency Of Evidence To Establish Conspiracy	39-6
39.261	Sufficiency to show factual basis needed to support guilty plea	39-6
39.2611	Sufficiency to show that defendant had agreement with at least one other person	39-6
39.262	Sufficiency to prove guilty beyond a reasonable doubt	39-6
39.2621	In general	39-6
39.2622	Conspiratorial agreement may be demonstrated by circumstantial evidence	39-6

39.2623	When defendant sells items that are not illegal to sell or possess to person who he believes or knows will use them to commit crime	39-6
39.27	Liability Of Co-Conspirator For Associate's Acts	39-6
39.28	Other Matters	39-6
39.281	When conspiracy charge appropriate	39-6
39.282	Single or multiple conspiracy	39-6
39.283	Commencement and termination of conspiracy	39-6
39.2831	In general	39-6
39.2832	Commencement of conspiracy	39-7
39.2833	Termination of conspiracy	39-7
39.28331	In general	39-7
39.28332	Conspiracy does not end automatically when object of conspiracy becomes impossible to achieve	39-7
39.284	Overt act in furtherance of conspiracy is not element of conspiracy to commit drug offense under federal Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act	39-7
39.285	Crime of conspiracy not applicable to agreement between buyer and seller for delivery of small amount of controlled substance for personal use by buyer	39-7
39.286	Venue for this offense	39-7
39.287	Wisconsin's conspiracy statute codifies "unilateral" approach to inchoate crime of conspiracy; no need to prove that persons with whom defendant conspired shared intent that crime should be committed	39-7
39.288	Defendant may be charged with both conspiracy to commit particular offense and an attempt to commit that offense	39-7
39.289	May defendant be convicted of both conspiracy to commit particular offense and an attempt to commit that offense?	39-7
39.29	Other matters (cont'd)	39-7
39.291	Crime of conspiracy is not lesser-included offense of crime of attempt	39-7
39.292	Defenses to this offense	39-8
39.2921	Impossibility is not a defense	39-8
39.293	Appropriate unit of prosecution	39-8
39.2931	May charge separate count of conspiracy for each crime that is object of conspiracy	39-8
39.294	Charging, prosecuting, and punishing for multiple counts of conspiracy based on single conspiracy that has as its object commission of multiple crimes is not multiplicitous and does not violate double jeopardy clause	39-8
39.295	There is distinction between conspiracy as substantive inchoate crime and conspiracy as theory of liability for substantive consummated crime (see sec. 31.2372)	39-8
39.296	Does crime of "conspiracy to attempt" exist? In other words, is inchoate crime of conspiracy properly charged when object of conspiracy is alleged to be inchoate crime of attempt?	39-8
39.297	Use of federal decisions interpreting federal conspiracy statutes to interpret Wisconsin's conspiracy statutes	39-8

39.298	Charge of conspiracy will not lie when alleged victim of conspiracy is not innocent victim	39-8
39.3	Solicitation	39-8
39.31	Elements Of Solicitation	39-8
39.311	In general	39-8
39.312	"To commit" element	39-8
39.313	Circumstances that indicate unequivocally that defendant has intent that solicited felony be committed	39-8
39.32	Probable Cause To Believe Solicitation Occurred	39-8
39.33	Charging Solicitation	39-8
39.34	Admission Of Evidence	39-8
39.35	Instructing On Solicitation	39-8
39.36	Sufficiency Of Evidence To Establish Solicitation	39-8
39.361	Sufficiency to show factual basis needed to support guilty plea	39-9
39.362	Sufficiency to prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt	39-9
39.3621	Sufficiency to prove circumstances that indicate unequivocally that defendant has intent that solicited felony be committed	39-9
39.37	Other Matters	39-9
39.371	Renunciation or withdrawal is not defense to this crime	39-9
39.372	Constitutionality	39-9
39.373	Crime of solicitation committed if defendant advises another party to procure the defendant to directly commit the intended felony	39-9
39.374	Does penalty enhancer for habitual criminality apply to solicitation to commit escape? (see sec. 31.62967)	39-9
39.375	Meaning of "unequivocally" in solicitation and attempt statutes is the same	39-9

Chapter 40 Defenses

40.1	General Principles Relating To Defenses	40-1
40.11	What Constitutes Affirmative Or Negative Defense	40-1
40.111	In general	40-1
40.112	Affirmative defense	40-1
40.12	Burden Of Production And Proof	40-1
40.121	General principles	40-1
40.1211	In general	40-1
40.1212	With respect to general affirmative defenses recognized in ch. 939 of criminal code, state has burden to negate defense	40-2
40.12121	In general	40-2
40.12122	These defenses must be distinguished from affirmative defenses created in statutes defining particular offenses, with respect to which legislature expressly allocates burden of proof to defendant	40-2
40.122	Constitutionality of placing burden of production on defendant	40-2
40.1221	In general	40-2

	<i>Page</i>
40.1222 With respect to negative defense	40-2
40.123 Constitutionality of placing burden of proof on defendant	40-2
40.1231 In general (see sec. 30.3331)	40-2
40.1232 With respect to negative defense (see sec. 30.3332)	40-2
40.1233 With respect to affirmative defense (see sec. 30.3333)	40-3
40.13 Negation Of Defense Not Element Of Offense	40-3
40.14 Admission Of Evidence	40-3
40.141 In general	40-3
40.142 Court does not err in excluding evidence in support of defense when that defense does not exist or is inapplicable	40-3
40.15 Instructing On Defenses	40-3
40.151 When instruction on defense required	40-3
40.1511 In general	40-3
40.1512 In determining whether instruction on defense required, evidence must be viewed in light most favorable to defendant	40-3
40.1513 Defendant entitled to instruction on inconsistent defenses	40-3
40.152 Content of instruction	40-4
40.153 Appellate court will not review mid-trial advisory ruling on sufficiency of evidence to support particular defense (see sec. 8.573)	40-4
40.154 Defendant not entitled to mid-trial advisory ruling on sufficiency of evidence to support particular defense (see sec. 8.558)	40-4
40.155 Failure to give instruction on defense subject to harmless error rule	40-4
40.156 When case submitted on stipulation of facts, appellate court does not apply general rule that trial court has discretion to determine what instructions the evidence reasonably requires	40-4
40.16 Other Matters	40-4
40.161 Defenses in non-criminal traffic prosecutions (see sec. 37.151)	40-4
40.162 Negation of defense not element of offense	40-4
40.163 Defendant not entitled to separate juries on inconsistent defenses (see sec. 26.492)	40-4
40.164 Defendant may raise inconsistent defenses	40-4
40.165 Objective reasonable person standard generally applicable to defenses	40-4
40.166 Appellate court will not review mid-trial advisory ruling on sufficiency of evidence to support particular defense (see sec. 8.573)	40-4
40.167 Defendant not entitled to mid-trial advisory ruling on sufficiency of evidence to support particular defense (see sec. 8.558)	40-4
40.168 Do federal courts have authority to recognize common-law defense not provided by statute?	40-4
40.2 Intoxicated Or Drugged Condition	40-4
40.21 Voluntary Intoxication	40-5
40.211 Elements of this defense	40-5
40.212 Burden of production and proof	40-5
40.213 Admission of evidence	40-5
40.214 When evidence sufficient to require instruction on this defense	40-5
40.215 Instructing on this defense	40-5
40.216 Sufficiency of evidence to establish or negate this defense	40-5
40.217 Other matters	40-6

40.2171	Closing argument on this defense	40-6
40.2172	Sending instruction on this defense to jury room	40-6
40.2173	Effect of intoxication on heat-of-passion defense	40-6
40.2174	Waiver of right to raise defense on appeal	40-6
40.2175	Is not defense to depraved-mind element of numerous offenses but may negate intent to do the act	40-6
40.2176	Is not defense to sexual assault involving sexual intercourse, which does not require intent	40-6
40.22	Involuntary Intoxication	40-6
40.221	Elements of this defense	40-6
40.2211	In general	40-6
40.2212	Taking of prescription medication is deemed involuntary for purposes of this defense (see sec. 40.2274)	40-6
40.222	Burden of production and proof	40-6
40.223	Admission of evidence	40-6
40.224	When evidence sufficient to require instruction on this defense	40-6
40.225	Instructing on this defense	40-6
40.226	Sufficiency of evidence to establish or negate this defense	40-6
40.227	Other matters	40-6
40.2271	Closing argument on this defense	40-6
40.2272	Sending instruction on this defense to jury room	40-7
40.2273	Effect of intoxication on heat-of-passion defense	40-7
40.2274	Effects of prescription medication can form basis of involuntary intoxication defense	40-7
40.23	Temporary Psychosis Induced By Drug Or Alcohol Ingestion Not A Defense	40-7
40.3	Self-Defense And Defense Of Others	40-7
40.31	Elements Of This Defense	40-7
40.311	In general	40-7
40.312	Intentional threat or use of force required	40-7
40.3121	In general	40-7
40.3122	When the defendant has intentionally threatened force in self-defense, claim that use of force was accident does not defeat privilege	40-7
40.313	Use or threat of force required	40-8
40.314	Reasonableness requirement; reasonableness of beliefs to establish self-defense (e.g., belief in need for self-defense or defense of others, belief that force used was necessary to prevent imminent death or great bodily harm, etc.)	40-8
40.3141	In general	40-8
40.3142	In case of child	40-8
40.315	Duty to retreat	40-8
40.316	Potential harm must be specific and imminent, not general and potential	40-8
40.317	When defendant is resisting arrest which he contends is being effected by excessive force, i.e., when defendant claims to be protecting himself or another from allegedly excessive force being used to effect arrest	40-8
40.318	When defendant is defending third party	40-8
40.319	Other elements and matters relating to elements	40-8

40.3191	Principles governing law of self-defense and defense of others must accommodate citizen's duty to accede to lawful government power and special protection due federal officials discharging official duties (see sec. 40.391)	40-8
40.32	Effect Of Defendant's Provocation On This Defense	40-8
40.321	In general	40-8
40.322	Duty to retreat	40-9
40.3221	In general	40-9
40.3222	When defendant in home	40-9
40.33	Burden Of Proof	40-9
40.331	In general	40-9
40.332	Constitutionality of placing burden of proof on defendant	40-9
40.34	Admission Of Evidence	40-9
40.341	Evidence regarding victim's reputation for violence	40-9
40.342	Evidence regarding victim's prior violent acts	40-9
40.3421	In general	40-9
40.3422	In determining whether defendant has made sufficient showing of self-defense to permit admission of this evidence, this evidence may be considered	40-9
40.3423	May court condition admissibility of this evidence on defendant's pretrial disclosure of it to court and state?	40-10
40.34231	Court of appeals' answer: No	40-10
40.34232	Supreme court's answer: Yes	40-10
40.343	Evidence regarding battered woman or battered spouse syndrome	40-10
40.344	Psycho-social history of defendant	40-10
40.345	Evidence of personal history in context of homicides in battered spouse situations	40-10
40.346	Admission of other acts of defendant to rebut claim of self-defense (see sec. 14.2599)	40-10
40.35	When Evidence Sufficient To Require Instruction On This Defense	40-10
40.351	In general	40-10
40.352	When defense of others claimed	40-10
40.353	When defendant claims to be protecting himself or another from allegedly excessive force being used to effect arrest	40-11
40.36	Instructing On This Defense	40-11
40.361	In general	40-11
40.362	Instructing on reasonableness requirement	40-11
40.3621	In general	40-11
40.3622	In case of child	40-11
40.363	Instructing on duty to retreat	40-11
40.3631	When instruction appropriate	40-11
40.364	When defendant is resisting arrest which he contends is being effected by excessive force	40-11
40.365	When defendant provoked attack	40-11
40.366	When instruction given on this defense, it is inconsistent and improper to deny instruction on manslaughter (under old law) or second-degree intentional homicide (under new law)	40-11
40.37	Sufficiency Of Evidence To Establish Or Negate This Defense	40-11
40.38	Other And Related Matters	40-11
40.381	Defense available to probationers	40-11

40.382	Right of person perpetrating criminal offense to return victim's fire and claim self-defense	40-11
40.383	Basis for this defense	40-11
40.384	Availability of this defense in prosecution for escape	40-11
40.385	Imperfect self-defense (see secs. 32.22 and 32.532)	40-11
40.386	Right of arrestee to resort to self-defense when police use excessive force in making arrest	40-12
40.387	Defense not applicable to abortion opponents engaged in criminal trespass to a medical facility	40-12
40.388	Defense may be applicable to possession of firearm by felon (see sec. 38.14183)	40-12
40.389	Defense limited to protection from physical harm	40-12
40.39	Other And Related Matters (cont'd)	40-12
40.391	Right to resist unlawful arrest (see sec. 40.998)	40-12
40.392	Principles governing law of self-defense and defense of others must accommodate citizen's duty to accede to lawful government power and special protection due federal officials discharging official duties	40-12
40.393	Relationship of this defense and defense of accident (see sec. 40.9874)	40-12
40.394	Does court have authority to compel defendant to disclose, prior to trial, summary of evidence he intends to offer in furtherance of defense regarding specific instances of victim's violent character?	40-12
	40.3941 Court of appeals answer: No	40-12
	40.3942 Supreme court's answer: Yes	40-12
40.395	Effect of perfect self-defense: gives jury basis to find defendant not guilty	40-12
40.4	Entrapment	40-12
40.41	Elements Of This Defense (see sec. 5.21)	40-12
40.42	Burden Of Production And Proof (see sec. 5.22)	40-12
40.43	Admission Of Evidence (see sec. 5.23)	40-12
40.44	When Evidence Sufficient To Require Instruction On This Defense (see sec. 5.24)	40-12
40.45	Instructing On This Defense (see sec. 5.25)	40-12
40.46	Sufficiency Of Evidence To Establish Or Negate This Defense (see sec. 5.26)	40-12
40.47	Other Matters (see sec. 5.27)	40-12
40.5	Mistake	40-13
40.51	Elements Of This Defense	40-13
40.52	Burden Of Production And Proof	40-13
40.53	Admission Of Evidence	40-13
40.54	When Evidence Sufficient To Require Instruction On This Defense	40-13
40.55	Instructing On This Defense	40-13
40.56	Sufficiency Of Evidence To Establish Or Negate This Defense	40-13
40.57	Other Matters	40-13
	40.571 Mistaken belief must negative existence of state of mind essential to crime in order to form basis for defense of mistake; defense of mistake only applicable to crimes having mental element	40-13
	40.572 Mistake is not defense to crimes involving criminal negligence	40-13
	40.573 Crimes to which mistake is not a defense	40-13
	40.5731 Crimes involving criminal negligence (see sec. 40.572)	40-13

40.5732	Crime of injury by negligent handling of dangerous weapon, explosives or fire (see sec. 33.32511)	40-13
40.5733	Crime of physical abuse of child by recklessly causing bodily harm (see sec. 38.63231)	40-13
40.574	Mistake as to age of minor is not included in defense of mistake	40-13
40.5741	In general	40-13
40.5742	Constitutionality of not recognizing mistake as to age of minor as defense	40-14
40.6	Privilege	40-14
40.61	General Principles	40-14
40.611	In general	40-14
40.612	Defense of privilege is available for "any crime"	40-14
40.613	Defense of privilege may be applicable to strict liability offense	40-14
40.62	Privilege When Actor's Conduct Occurs Under Circumstances Of Coercion Or Necessity (see secs. 40.7 and 40.8)	40-14
40.63	Privilege When Actor's Conduct Is In Defense Of Persons Or Property (see secs. 40.3 and 40.92)	40-14
40.64	Privilege When Actor's Conduct Is In Good Faith And Is An Apparently Authorized And Reasonable Fulfillment Of Any Duties Of A Public Office	40-14
40.641	Nature and elements of this defense	40-14
40.642	Burden of production and proof	40-14
40.643	Admission of evidence	40-14
40.644	When evidence sufficient to require instruction on this defense	40-14
40.645	Instructing on this defense	40-14
40.646	Sufficiency of evidence to establish or negate this defense	40-14
40.647	Particular applications of this privilege	40-14
40.6471	To actions of law enforcement officer in general	40-14
40.6472	Police officer pointing gun at another person	40-14
40.65	Privilege When Actor's Conduct Is Reasonable Accomplishment Of Lawful Arrest	40-14
40.651	Nature and elements of this defense	40-15
40.66	Privilege Of Parental Discipline	40-15
40.661	Elements of this defense	40-15
40.6611	In general	40-15
40.6612	"Person legally responsible for the child's welfare in a residential setting" includes foster parent	40-15
40.6613	"Parent" does not include live-in boyfriend	40-15
40.6614	"Parent" does not include those in loco parentis	40-15
40.662	Burden of production and proof	40-15
40.663	Admission of evidence	40-15
40.6631	Evidence of prior acts of child abuse	40-15
40.6632	Evidence of discipline defendant experienced as child	40-15
40.664	Instructing on this defense	40-15
40.665	Sufficiency of evidence to establish or negate this defense	40-15
40.666	Other matters	40-15
40.6661	"Person legally responsible for the child's welfare in a residential setting" includes foster parent	40-15
40.6662	"Parent" does not include live-in boyfriend	40-15

40.6663	"Parent" does not include those in loco parentis (see sec. 40.6614)	40-15
40.6664	This privilege would not likely permit carrying of concealed weapon	40-15
40.67	When Actor's Conduct Is Privileged By Statutory Or Common Law Of This State	40-16
40.671	Privilege of felon in possession of firearm when confronted with threat of death or serious bodily injury	40-16
40.6711	In general	40-16
40.6712	Elements of this defense	40-16
40.6713	When evidence sufficient to require instruction on this defense	40-16
40.672	Privilege Accorded Testifying Witness For Testimony Given In Judicial Proceeding	40-16
40.673	No privilege exists for defendant in prosecution for sexual assault of child when child affirmatively misrepresented his or her age	40-16
40.68	Other Matters Relating To Privilege	40-16
40.681	There is no privilege created by statutory or common law of this state that would provide a defense to carrying a concealed weapon (see sec. 38.13452)	40-16
40.682	Where legislature has revoked privilege that it once created, judiciary may not recreate privilege without exceeding its proper role	40-16
40.7	Coercion; Duress	40-16
40.71	Elements Of This Defense	40-16
40.711	In general	40-16
40.712	Act is "only means of preventing imminent death or great bodily harm to the actor or another"	40-16
40.72	Burden Of Production And Proof	40-16
40.721	In general	40-16
40.722	Constitutionality of placing burden of proof on defendant	40-17
40.73	Admission Of Evidence	40-17
40.731	In general	40-17
40.732	Admission of prior violent acts of person who is alleged source of coercion	40-17
40.74	When Evidence Sufficient To Require Instruction On This Defense	40-17
40.741	In general	40-17
40.742	When evidence sufficient to show that act was "only means of preventing imminent death or great bodily harm to the actor or another"	40-17
40.75	Instructing On This Defense	40-17
40.76	Sufficiency Of Evidence To Establish Or Negate This Defense	40-17
40.77	Other Matters	40-17
40.771	Applicability of this defense to abortion protesters trespassing on abortion clinic premises	40-17
40.772	Rationale for this defense	40-17
40.773	Does not negate intent	40-17
40.774	Effect of this defense when first-degree intentional homicide charged	40-17
40.8	Necessity	40-17

40.81	Elements Of This Defense	40-17
40.82	Burden Of Production And Proof	40-17
40.83	Admission Of Evidence	40-18
40.84	When Evidence Sufficient To Require Instruction On This Defense	40-18
40.85	Instructing On This Defense	40-18
40.86	Sufficiency Of Evidence To Establish Or Negate This Defense	40-18
40.87	Other Matters	40-18
40.871	Rationale for this defense	40-18
40.872	Applicability of this defense in particular situations or to particular offenses	40-18
40.8721	Consumption of drug by drug addict	40-18
40.8722	Attempts to protect society from potential hazards of radioactive waste	40-18
40.8723	Abortion protesters trespassing on abortion clinic premises	40-18
40.8724	Carrying concealed weapon (see sec. 38.13452)	40-18
40.873	Defense of medical necessity (see sec. 40.972)	40-18
40.9	Other Defenses	40-18
40.91	Defenses Covered Elsewhere In This Book	40-18
40.911	Mental disease or defect (see sec. 8.7)	40-18
40.912	Double jeopardy	40-18
40.913	Statute of limitations (see sec. 12.3)	40-18
40.914	Unconstitutionality of statute creating crime (see sec. 6.76)	40-18
40.915	Selective or discriminatory prosecution (see secs. 23.212, 23.222, and 23.5)	40-18
40.916	Delay in institution of proceedings	40-18
40.9161	To prejudice defendant (see sec. 22.312)	40-18
40.9162	To avoid juvenile court jurisdiction (see secs. 42.42 and 42.92)	40-18
40.917	Ex post facto law (see sec. 30.92)	40-19
40.918	Nonprosecution agreement (see sec. 12.681)	40-19
40.919	Other defenses	40-19
40.9191	Heat of passion (see secs. 32.21 and 32.531)	40-19
40.9192	Imperfect self-defense (see secs. 32.22 and 32.532)	40-19
40.9193	Denial of right to speedy trial (see sec. 30.1)	40-19
40.92	Defense Of Property And Protection Against Shoplifting	40-19
40.921	Elements of this defense	40-19
40.922	Burden of production and proof	40-19
40.923	Admission of evidence	40-19
40.924	When evidence sufficient to require instruction on this defense	40-19
40.925	Instructing on this defense	40-19
40.926	Sufficiency of evidence to establish or negate this defense	40-19
40.927	Other matters	40-19
40.93	Immunity For Merchant Detaining Suspected Shoplifter	40-19
40.94	Renunciation Or Withdrawal	40-19
40.941	Elements of this defense	40-19
40.942	Burden of production and proof	40-19
40.943	Admission of evidence	40-19
40.944	When evidence sufficient to require instruction on this defense	40-19
40.945	Instructing on this defense	40-19

40.946	Sufficiency of evidence to establish or negate this defense	40-19
40.947	Other matters	40-19
40.9471	Not a defense to crime of solicitation	40-19
40.9472	Not a defense to aiding and abetting (see sec. 31.223)	40-19
40.9473	Is a defense to conspiracy	40-19
40.95	Defense Of "Entitlement To Payment" (Self-Help Repossession Of Money Or Property Stolen From, Or Owed To, Defendant By Victim)	40-20
40.951	Elements of this defense	40-20
40.952	Instructing on this defense	40-20
40.96	Claims Not Legally Recognized As Defenses	40-20
40.961	Mistake of law	40-20
40.962	Ignorance of the law	40-20
40.963	Victim's negligence (see sec. 31.712)	40-20
40.97	Other Defenses	40-20
40.971	Diminished capacity to form requisite intent	40-20
40.972	Medical necessity	40-20
40.9721	Is this a defense to possession, possession with intent to deliver, delivery, or manufacture of marijuana	40-20
40.9722	Do federal courts have authority to recognize this defense in absence of statutory adoption of it?	40-20
40.973	Legislator's state constitutional privilege not to be arrested during legislative session (see sec. 30.9976)	40-21
40.974	Advice of counsel	40-21
40.9741	When instruction on this defense warranted	40-21
40.98	Other Defenses (cont'd)	40-21
40.981	Immunity Resulting From Being Forced To Testify About Offense (see secs. 12.64 and 24.7)	40-21
40.982	"Procuring Agent Of Buyer" Defense To Drug Offenses	40-21
40.983	Temporary psychosis induced by drug or alcohol ingestion is no defense (see sec. 40.23)	40-21
40.984	Federal preemption	40-21
40.9841	General principles	40-21
40.9842	Particular applications	40-21
40.98421	Wisconsin's prevailing wage law not preempted by federal ERISA	40-21
40.985	Inability to pay in prosecution for failure to support (see sec. 38.6587)	40-21
40.986	Seat-belt defense	40-21
40.987	Accident	40-21
40.9871	Nature of this defense in general	40-21
40.9872	Is this an affirmative defense?	40-21
40.98721	This is affirmative defense that state must disprove (court of appeals' answer)	40-21
40.98722	This is not an not affirmative defense; rather it negates intent element and, possibly, lesser mental elements (supreme court's answer)	40-21
40.9873	When defendant raises this defense, state must disprove it beyond a reasonable doubt	40-22
40.9874	Relationship of this defense and defense of self-defense	40-22
40.9875	That defendant was acting lawfully is necessary element of accident defense	40-22

	<i>Page</i>
40.9876	Necessity of instruction on this defense 40-22
40.9877	Sufficiency of evidence to disprove this defense 40-22
40.988	Alibi 40-22
40.9881	Requirement that defendant give notice of alibi defense (see sec. 6.87) 40-22
40.9882	Sufficiency of state's evidence to rebut alibi defense (see sec. 19.3522) 40-22
40.9883	Alibi evidence does not raise reasonable doubt as a matter of law 40-22
40.989	Law enforcement authority justification 40-22
40.99	Other Defenses (cont'd) 40-22
40.991	Collateral estoppel (see sec. 12.621) 40-22
40.992	Outrageous governmental conduct in procuring defendant's commission of crime (see sec. 5.31) 40-22
40.993	Defense in traffic prosecutions of legal justification when law enforcement officer causes defendant to believe violation necessary to prevent bodily harm (see sec. 37.1511) 40-22
40.994	Impossibility 40-22
40.9941	In general 40-22
40.9942	This is not a defense to inchoate crime of conspiracy (see sec. 39.2921) 40-22
40.995	Police officer does not have absolute right to point gun at another that provides defense to criminal charge based on such activity 40-23
40.996	Urban psychosis defense (post-traumatic stress disorder engendered by living in violent urban environment) 40-23
40.997	Criminal charges in adult court based on same matter that resulted in "disposition" in juvenile court (see sec. 42.492) 40-23
40.998	Common-law right to resist unlawful arrest 40-23
40.9981	In general 40-23
40.9982	This defense abrogated in Wisconsin 40-23
40.9983	Is suppression of evidence surrounding unlawful arrest inconsistent with abrogation of this privilege? 40-23
40.999	Legal justification when actor reasonably believes that violating law is only means of preventing bodily harm to actor or another 40-23

**PART VI
OTHER PROCEEDINGS AND MATTERS**

**Chapter 41
Probation and Parole; Extended Supervision**

Part A: Probation and Parole Proceedings

41.1	Imposition Of, Extension Of, And Discharge From Probation 41-1
41.11	Imposition Of Probation (see sec. 9.61) 41-1
41.12	Imposing And Modifying Conditions Of Probation (see sec. 9.62) 41-1
41.13	Particular Conditions Of Probation (see sec. 9.63) 41-1
41.14	Extension Of Probationary Period (see sec. 9.64) 41-1

41.15	Discharge From Probation (see sec. 9.66)	41-1
41.16	Other Matters	41-1
41.161	Supervision of probationer from another state	41-1
41.1611	In general	41-1
41.1612	Effect of sending state's failure to obtain Wisconsin's approval for probation supervision of probationer from sending state on right of Wisconsin probation officer to conduct search or otherwise supervise probationer from sending state (see sec. 1.7647)	41-1
41.2	The Parole Grant Process	41-1
41.21	Parole Eligibility	41-1
41.211	Eligibility for discretionary release	41-1
41.2111	In general	41-1
41.2112	On life sentence	41-1
41.21121	Eligibility set by statute	41-1
41.21122	Eligibility set by court (see sec. 9.491)	41-2
41.212	Eligibility for mandatory release	41-2
41.213	On consecutive sentence	41-2
41.214	Increase in period of parole eligibility violates ex post facto provision	41-2
41.215	Waiver of minimum service of sentence requirements pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 304.06(1m)	41-2
41.2151	Defendant not entitled to release simply because parole commission has waived minimum service of sentence requirements	41-2
41.22	Requirement Of Due Process	41-2
41.221	In general	41-2
41.222	Wisconsin prisoner has due process rights under mandatory parole scheme	41-2
41.223	Wisconsin prisoner has no due process rights under discretionary parole scheme	41-2
41.224	Wisconsin prisoner has no due process rights under presumptive mandatory parole scheme	41-2
41.225	Wisconsin prisoner had no due process rights under former Wis. Stat. § 304.06(1r), which directed release on parole if certain condition was met and there were no overriding considerations not to do so	41-2
41.226	Is due process violated by failure to release defendant when he reaches his mandatory release date?	41-3
41.23	Parole Hearing	41-3
41.231	Requirement of notice of parole application to particular offices and persons	41-3
41.2311	Requirement in general	41-3
41.2312	Trial court not required to wait for notice of parole application before making parole recommendation; parole recommendation may be included in judgment of conviction (see sec. 12.734)	41-3
41.232	Necessity of record of hearing	41-3
41.24	Making Decision Whether To Grant Or Deny Parole	41-3
41.241	Making decision whether to grant or deny discretionary parole under Wis. Stat. § 304.06	41-3

41.2411	Defendant does not have protectible expectation of parole that entitles him to due process protections (see sec. 41.223)	41-3
41.242	Making decision whether to grant parole under former Wis. Stat. § 304.06(1r)	41-3
41.2421	In making decision whether to grant parole under Wis. Stat. § 304.06(1r) parole authorities may consider as overriding considerations factors for parole contained in Wis. Adm. Code sec. PAC 1.06(7)	41-3
41.2422	Must apply different standard to person seeking parole under sec. 304.06(1r) than is applied to those seeking ordinary discretionary parole under sec. 304.06(1)(b)	41-3
41.2423	Defendant did not have protectible expectation of parole that entitles him to due process protections (see sec. 41.223)	41-3
41.2424	Defendant who committed crime while § 304.06(1r) was in effect does not have right to have it applied in parole grant proceeding conducted after its repeal	41-3
41.24241	In general	41-3
41.24242	Refusal to apply § 304.06(1r) to crimes committed while it was in existence does not violate ex post facto clause	41-4
41.243	Making Decision Whether To Deny Mandatory Release Under Wis. Stat. § 304.11(1g)	41-4
41.2431	Defendant does not have protectible expectation of parole that entitles him to due process protections (see sec. 41.224)	41-4
41.2432	If due process applicable in this context, what will satisfy it?	41-4
41.25	Mutual Agreement Program	41-4
41.251	Nature of program in general	41-4
41.252	Remedies for breach of agreement	41-4
41.26	Parole Recision	41-4
41.261	In general	41-4
41.262	Determining whether parole grant rescinded or whether only parole recommendation rescinded	41-4
41.263	Prisoner's right to hearing when parole rescinded	41-4
41.264	Appropriate vehicle for judicial review of matters relating to parole recission (see sec. 43.427)	41-4
41.265	Scope of judicial review of matters relating to parole recission	41-4
41.27	Imposition Of Conditions Of Parole	41-4
41.271	In general	41-4
41.272	Requiring mandatory release parolee to wear electronic monitoring bracelet	41-4
41.273	Requirement of participation in sex offender treatment	41-4
41.28	Judicial Review Of Parole Denial	41-5
41.281	Mode of review	41-5
41.282	Standard and scope of review	41-5
41.283	Appellate review of lower court's decision	41-5
41.29	Other Matters	41-5
41.291	Parole is statutorily created privilege; prisoner has no legal or constitutional right or entitlement to parole	41-5
41.292	Special action (early) release program	41-5
41.2921	Constitutionality of program	41-5

	<i>Page</i>
41.293 Mandatory release on parole	41-5
41.2931 Eligibility for mandatory release on parole (see sec. 41.212)	41-5
41.2932 Making decision whether to deny mandatory release under Wis. Stat. § 304.11(1g) (see sec. 41.243)	41-5
41.2933 Defendant's right to release when he reaches mandatory release date	41-5
41.29331 In general	41-5
41.29332 Release may not be delayed because department cannot find suitable residence for defendant	41-5
41.29333 Where defendant violates terms of parole immediately and simultaneously with his scheduled mandatory release date, DOC may maintain continuous custody	41-5
41.29334 Failure to grant release violates defendant's constitutional rights	41-6
41.294 Requirement of adherence to parole board's promulgated procedures	41-6
41.295 Requirement of notification to district attorney who tried case	41-6
41.296 Requirement that before inmate paroled, suitable employment must be secured for him, unless otherwise provided for by department	41-6
41.297 Individual on parole not entitle to full range of constitutional rights	41-6
41.298 Federal early release program	41-6
41.2981 Reduction of period of custody for prisoner who successfully completes substance abuse treatment program	41-6
41.29811 Validity of BOP regulation denying early release to prisoner whose current offense is felony attended by carrying, possession, or use of a firearm	41-6
41.299 Other Matters	41-6
41.2991 Denial, because of medical disability, of admission to program whose successful completion would lead to parole violates Americans with Disabilities Act	41-6
41.2992 Court of appeals will not review decision denying parole in context of review of denial of defendant's request for documents from parole commission under open records law	41-6
41.2993 Liability of state or its employes for granting parole	41-6
41.2994 Defendant on parole is serving sentence (see sec. 9.49262)	41-6
41.2995 Ex post facto limitations on amendment to parole procedures to allow parole board to decrease frequency of parole suitability hearings (see sec. 30.9235)	41-6
41.2996 Defendant not entitled to release simply because parole commission has waived minimum service of sentence requirements pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 304.06(1m) (see sec. 41.2151)	41-6
41.2997 Person serving sentences for both felonies and misdemeanors in state prison is subject to parole, and to parole revocation, not only on felony sentences but also on misdemeanor sentences when he commits parole violation (see sec. 41.3987)	41-7

41.2998	Person can attain status of parolee without being released from physical custody	41-7
41.29981	In general	41-7
41.29982	Where defendant violates terms of parole immediately and simultaneously with his scheduled mandatory release date, DOC may maintain continuous custody but defendant's status changes from prisoner serving sentence to parolee detained on parole hold	41-7
41.2999	Federal sec. 1983 civil rights action may be used to raise constitutional challenges to state parole grant procedures (e.g., eligibility for parole, standards for granting parole, etc.) (see sec. 47.87923)	41-7
41.3	The Revocation Process	41-7
41.31	Rights Of Revokee	41-7
41.311	General rule	41-7
41.312	Right to due process	41-7
41.3121	In general	41-7
41.3122	Written notice of claimed violations of probation	41-8
41.3123	Disclosure of evidence against revokee	41-8
41.3124	Opportunity to be heard in person and to present witnesses and documentary evidence	41-8
41.3125	Right to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses (see sec. 41.316)	41-8
41.3126	Neutral and detached hearing body (see sec. 41.3171)	41-8
41.3127	Written statement by factfinder regarding evidence relied on and reasons for revocation	41-8
41.3128	Right to counsel (see sec. 41.315)	41-8
41.3129	Other matters	41-8
41.31291	Right to competency determination	41-8
41.31292	Right to timely hearing (see sec. 41.3625)	41-8
41.313	Right to equal protection	41-8
41.314	Right to notice of conditions of probation	41-8
41.315	Right to counsel	41-8
41.3151	In general	41-9
41.3152	At revocation hearing	41-9
41.3153	On administrative review of hearing examiner's decision	41-9
41.3154	On certiorari review in circuit court of revocation decision (see sec. 41.582)	41-9
41.3155	Right to effective assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.3953)	41-9
41.316	Right to confrontation	41-9
41.3161	In general	41-9
41.3162	Requirement of finding that good cause exists for not allowing confrontation when confrontation is denied	41-9
41.31621	In general	41-9
41.31622	Good cause always exists when hearsay evidence would be admissible under Wisconsin Rules of Evidence	41-9

	41.31623 Failure to make finding subject to harmless error analysis	41-9
41.317	Rights relating to nature of decision maker at hearing	41-9
	41.3171 Right to neutral hearing examiner	41-9
	41.3172 No right to judicial hearing	41-10
41.318	Privilege against self-incrimination	41-10
	41.3181 Does not apply in revocation proceeding (see sec. 24.145)	41-10
	41.3182 Does not preclude consideration of defendant's refusal to answer agent's questions	41-10
41.319	Other rights and related matters	41-10
	41.3191 Right to hearing and its attendant due process protections applies not only to revocation of parole, but also to revocation of pre-parole conditional release program that is similar to parole	41-10
	41.3192 No right to jury trial	41-10
41.32	Interrogation And Investigation By Agent	41-10
	41.321 In general	41-10
	41.322 Prosecution's use of statement obtained from probationer in compliance with duty to account for whereabouts and activities (see sec. 24.472)	41-10
41.33	Arrest And Detention Of Parolee Or Probationer	41-10
	41.331 Use of parole detainer against extradited witness	41-10
	41.332 Use of interstate agreement on detainees (see sec. 6.23981)	41-10
	41.333 Issuance of violation warrant	41-10
	41.334 Arrest for probation violation or on probation hold is not arrest for purpose of criminal prosecution and does not trigger requirement of probable cause hearing with reasonable time after arrest (see sec. 6.3123)	41-10
41.34	Release Pending Revocation Proceedings	41-10
41.35	Preliminary Hearing	41-11
	41.351 Purpose of hearing	41-11
	41.352 When required	41-11
	41.3521 In general	41-11
	41.3522 Not required when probationer or parolee has signed statement admitting violation	41-11
	41.3523 Not required when probable cause has been found at preliminary examination in felony case	41-11
	41.3524 Not required when probationer or parolee has been convicted of crime	41-11
	41.353 Who may conduct	41-11
	41.354 Revokee's right to counsel (see sec. 41.315)	41-11
	41.355 Need for probable cause	41-11
41.36	Final Hearing	41-11
	41.361 Necessity of hearing	41-11
	41.362 Timeliness of hearing	41-11
	41.3621 In general	41-11
	41.3622 When detainer lodged against revokee	41-12
	41.3623 Delay of hearing until disposition of related criminal charge	41-12

41.3624	Failure to hold hearing within fifty days required by statute does not deprive division of hearings and appeals of authority to conduct parole revocation hearing	41-12
41.3625	When delay in holding final hearing violates defendant's right to due process	41-12
41.363	Notice of charges	41-12
41.3631	Adequacy	41-12
41.3632	Timeliness	41-12
41.364	Burden and standard of proof	41-12
41.365	Revoker's right to counsel (see sec. 41.315)	41-12
41.366	Propriety of considering certain violations	41-12
41.3661	Violation not raised at preliminary hearing	41-12
41.3662	Violation not set out in revocation notice (see sec. 41.3631)	41-12
41.3663	Violation dismissed for lack of probable cause at preliminary hearing	41-13
41.3664	Violations that were subject of criminal prosecution (see sec. 41.46)	41-13
41.3665	Violation based on conviction for conduct that was subject of earlier revocation proceeding	41-13
41.3666	Violation that occurred during earlier period of parole that was revoked (see sec. 41.4995)	41-13
41.367	Admission of evidence	41-13
41.3671	Rules of evidence generally not applicable at revocation hearing	41-13
41.3672	Admissibility of defendant's refusal to answer agent's questions	41-13
41.3673	Use of illegally obtained evidence (see sec. 1.8226)	41-13
41.3674	Use of hearsay	41-13
41.3675	Right to confrontation (see sec. 41.316)	41-13
41.3676	Polygraph test results	41-13
41.3677	Testimony of one granted immunity and paid for investigative activity	41-13
41.3678	Testimony by person under influence or drugs	41-13
41.368	Sufficiency of evidence	41-13
41.3681	General principles	41-14
41.3682	Burden and standard of proof (see sec. 41.364)	41-14
41.3683	Sufficiency of uncorroborated extra-judicial admission of defendant	41-14
41.36831	Uncorroborated confession may be sufficient to establish violation	41-14
41.36832	Sufficiency of particular uncorroborated confession	41-14
41.3684	Sufficiency of hearsay evidence	41-14
41.3685	Sufficiency of evidence to negate defenses	41-14
41.36851	Self-defense	41-14
41.36852	Other defenses	41-14
41.3686	Sufficiency to establish particular violations	41-14
41.36861	Possession of weapon	41-14
41.36862	No drinking condition	41-14

41.3687	Finding that evidence is insufficient to prove criminal conduct does not bar subsequent criminal prosecution for conduct	41-14
41.369	Other matters	41-14
41.3691	Competency determination	41-14
41.36911	Necessity of (see sec. 41.31291)	41-15
41.36912	Procedures for	41-15
41.37	Administrative Review Of Revocation; Administrator's (Formerly Secretary's) Decision	41-15
41.371	In general	41-15
41.372	May reverse hearing examiner without consultation regarding witnesses' credibility	41-15
41.373	Need not expressly indicate consideration of alternatives to incarceration	41-15
41.374	Revokee has no right to counsel on administrative review of revocation decision (see sec. 41.3153)	41-15
41.38	Forfeiture Of Good Time Credits	41-15
41.39	Other Matters	41-15
41.391	Nature of revocation proceeding	41-15
41.3911	In general	41-15
41.3912	Revocation proceeding is not criminal proceeding; it is civil proceeding	41-15
41.3913	Probation revocation is distinct from underlying proceedings which culminated in conviction and sentence	41-15
41.392	Tolling of probation or parole upon violation	41-15
41.393	Credit for time spent on probation and parole	41-16
41.3931	Time spent on probation (see sec. 9.3271)	41-16
41.3932	Time spent on parole	41-16
41.394	Sentencing upon revocation of probation (see sec. 9.4974)	41-16
41.395	Propriety of revoking probation based on conviction for conduct that was subject of earlier revocation proceeding (see sec. 41.3665)	41-16
41.396	Reopening revocation proceedings	41-16
41.3961	In general	41-16
41.3962	Based on newly discovered evidence	41-16
41.39621	Revokee's right to reopen revocation proceedings on this ground	41-16
41.39622	Test for determining whether newly discovered evidence warrants reopening of revocation proceeding	41-16
41.39623	Determining whether petition for reopening based on newly discovered evidence is sufficient to require hearing	41-16
41.39624	Effect of delay in seeking reopening (laches)	41-16
41.39625	Appellate review of decision on reopening	41-16
41.397	Double jeopardy limitations in probation and parole context	41-16
41.3971	In general (see sec. 29.76)	41-16
41.3972	Limitations on imposing sentence or longer period of probation when original probation or condition of probation found invalid or unachievable (see sec. 29.67)	41-16

41.3973	Double jeopardy not violated by revocation of probation and imposition of imprisonment (see sec. 29.761)	41-17
41.398	Other matters	41-17
41.3981	Forfeiture of right to earn good time on sentence remaining after revocation of parole (see sec. 41.38)	41-17
41.3982	Judge may not revoke probation	41-17
41.3983	Legislative prohibition on judicial revocation of probation does not violate separation of powers doctrine	41-17
41.3984	Judge may terminate probation upon defendant's request	41-17
41.3985	Finding that evidence is insufficient to prove criminal conduct does not bar subsequent criminal prosecution for conduct (see sec. 41.3687)	41-17
41.3986	Probation revocation proceeding is civil proceeding	41-17
41.3987	Person serving sentences for both felonies and misdemeanors in state prison is subject to parole, and to parole revocation, not only on felony sentences but also on misdemeanor sentences when he commits parole violation	41-17
41.3988	Instituting revocation proceedings before filing of criminal charges on which they are based	41-17
41.3989	Revocation of probation when defendant serving consecutive indeterminate (i.e., pre-TIS) and determinate (i.e., TIS) sentences	41-17
41.39891	When defendant is serving consecutive indeterminate (i.e., pre-TIS) and determinate (i.e., TIS) sentences, sentences are treated as one continuous sentence, with confinement periods served first, followed by nonconfinement periods of parole and extended supervision, which are treated as one continuous period of supervision, so that, when defendant violates conditions of parole and extended supervision, both parole and extended supervision may be revoked	41-17
41.399	Other matters (cont'd)	41-17
41.3991	Use of mandamus to compel production of documents on which parole board relied in denying parole	41-18
41.3992	Person serving consecutive sentences is subject to parole revocation and reimprisonment for remainder of both sentences if he commits parole violation prior to discharge of first sentence	41-18
41.3993	Once department decides to revoke probation, sentencing court loses authority to intervene in process	41-18
41.3994	Section 973.09(3)(b) notification of the sentencing court at least ninety days before probationer's term expires is not precondition to revoking probation for failure to pay restitution (see sec. 41.43622)	41-18
41.3995	Requirement that revokee be competent (see sec. 41.31291)	41-18
41.3996	Propriety of having executive branch, rather than judiciary, decide whether probation should be revoked (see sec. 41.498)	41-18

41.3997	Propriety of revoking parole based on violation of rules of parole while defendant was still in custody	41-18
41.39971	Generally, cannot revoke parole based on violation of rules of parole while defendant was still in custody (i.e., when defendant did not have conditional liberty of parolee when rule infraction occurred)	41-18
41.39972	When defendant has been kept in continuous custody after reaching mandatory release date because he violated terms of parole immediately and simultaneously with his scheduled mandatory release date, defendant's status changes from prisoner serving sentence to parolee detained on parole hold, and parole may be revoked	41-18
41.3998	Legislative delegation of probation revocation to executive branch does not violate separation of powers doctrine	41-18
41.3999	Ineffective assistance of counsel during revocation process (see sec. 25.3953)	41-19
41.4	Making The Revocation Decision	41-19
41.41	Exercise Of Discretion To Revoke In General	41-19
41.42	When Revocation Appropriate In General	41-19
41.421	In general	41-19
41.422	May parole be revoked only for violations that occur during current term of supervision; Or may violations that occurred during earlier period of supervision on parole that was revoked provide basis for revoking current parole? (see sec. 41.4995)	41-19
41.43	Particular Grounds For Revocation	41-19
41.431	Violation of no drinking restriction	41-19
41.432	Absconding	41-19
41.433	Commission of crime	41-19
41.4331	In general	41-19
41.4332	Crime committed during earlier period of parole that was revoked	41-20
41.434	Possession of firearms and other weapons	41-20
41.435	Conviction of crime	41-20
41.436	Failure to pay fine or restitution	41-20
41.4361	Failure to pay fine	41-20
41.4362	Failure to pay restitution	41-20
41.43621	In general	41-20
41.43622	Section 973.09(3)(b) notification of the sentencing court at least ninety days before probationer's term expires is not precondition to revoking probation for failure to pay restitution	41-20
41.437	Defendant's failure to admit guilt of sex crime when such admission necessary for successful participation in sex offender treatment program mandated as condition of probation	41-20
41.4371	In general	41-20
41.4372	When defendant entered Alford plea	41-20

41.4373	Before failure can be grounds for revocation, probationer or parolee must be afforded use and derivative-use immunity for admission in order to overcome self-incrimination right implicated in requiring admission (see sec. 9.63714)	41-20
41.438	Violation of condition that restricts exercise of constitutional freedoms	41-21
41.4381	In general	41-21
41.4382	Freedom of association	41-21
41.4383	Freedom of speech	41-21
41.4384	Freedom of religion	41-21
41.439	Other grounds	41-21
41.4391	Withholding information on activities or whereabouts	41-21
41.4392	Violation of condition that defendant receive permission from agent prior to engaging in sexual relationship	41-21
41.4393	Violation of condition that probationer spend every night at approved residence	41-21
41.4394	Failure to comply with condition imposed by parole agent that defendant notify neighbors on each side that he is convicted sex offender	41-21
41.4395	Cannot revoke probation or parole for legitimate exercise of Fifth Amendment privilege	41-21
41.44	Proper Factors To Consider In Making Decision	41-21
41.441	In general	41-21
41.442	Arrest of parolee	41-21
41.45	Consideration Of Alternatives	41-21
41.451	In general	41-21
41.452	Express consideration of alternatives to incarceration not constitutionally required	41-22
41.453	Requirement of consideration of alternatives may involve obligation to reasonably accommodate probationer's religious beliefs	41-22
41.46	Effect Of Acquittal Or Conviction For Conduct On Which Revocation Is Based	41-22
41.461	Acquittal	41-22
41.462	Conviction	41-22
41.47	Defenses	41-22
41.471	Mental disease or defect	41-22
41.472	Indigency	41-22
41.473	Self-defense	41-22
41.48	Establishing Period Of Reincarceration After Revocation	41-22
41.481	In general	41-22
41.482	In setting period of reincarceration, hearing examiner not bound by administrative rules of DOC	41-22
41.483	Appropriateness of period of reincarceration in particular case	41-22
41.49	Other Matters	41-22
41.491	Effect of failure to revoke for earlier violations	41-23
41.492	Effect of agent's representations	41-23
41.4921	That defendant's probation would not be revoked	41-23
41.4922	Mistaken belief that defendant was released from probation	41-23
41.493	Effect of failure to have defendant sign probation agreement	41-23
41.494	Standard of proof (see sec. 41.364)	41-23

41.495	Revoking agency does not have authority to address question whether circuit court that imposed probation being revoked had jurisdiction to do so	41-23
41.496	Revocation is leverage state has to encourage compliance with parole conditions	41-23
41.497	Need to accommodate defendant's religious beliefs in making revocation decision	41-23
41.498	Propriety of having executive branch, rather than judiciary, decide whether probation should be revoked	41-23
41.499	Other matters	41-23
41.4991	Finding that evidence is insufficient to prove criminal conduct does not bar subsequent criminal prosecution for conduct (see sec. 41.3687)	41-23
41.4992	In probation revocation proceeding, hearing examiner is not bound by administrative rules of DOC	41-23
41.4993	Cannot revoke probation or parole for legitimate exercise of Fifth Amendment privilege (see sec. 41.4395)	41-23
41.4994	Power to revoke judicially imposed term of probation is statutorily vested with executive branch	41-23
41.4995	May parole be revoked only for violations that occur during current term of supervision? Or may violations that occurred during earlier period of supervision on parole that was revoked provide basis for revoking current parole?	41-24
41.4996	Propriety of revoking parole based on violation of rules of parole while defendant was still in custody (see sec. 41.3997)	41-24
41.5	Judicial Review Of Parole Or Probation Proceeding	41-24
41.51	Review Of Parole Denial Decision	41-24
41.511	Mode of review	41-24
41.512	Standard and scope of review	41-24
41.513	Venue of review	41-24
41.5131	Effect of improper venue	41-24
41.52	Review Of Revocation Decision	41-24
41.521	Appropriate court to conduct review	41-24
41.522	Mode of review	41-24
41.5221	Certiorari is generally the proper vehicle for review of parole and probation revocation decisions	41-24
41.5222	When issue is whether defendant received ineffective assistance of counsel during revocation proceeding, writ of habeas corpus may be available to raise claim	41-25
41.5223	When defendant is attacking jurisdiction of trial court to convict him of underlying offense, habeas corpus may be available to challenge probation revocation order	41-25
41.523	Time for initiating review	41-25
41.5231	In general	41-25
41.5232	In case of prisoner subject to Prisoner Litigation Reform Act	41-25
41.5233	Calculating time for initiating review	41-25
41.52331	In general	41-25

41.52332	Tolling running of time from date petition is placed in institution's mailbox for forwarding to circuit court	41-25
41.52333	Tolling running of time from date petitioner subject to Prisoner Litigation Reform Act requests necessary DOJ certification until certification received	41-25
41.52334	Tolling running of time from date petitioner's attorney promised petitioner that petition would be filed: in general	41-26
41.52335	Tolling running of time from date petitioner's attorney promised petitioner that petition would be filed: retroactivity of tolling rule	41-26
41.524	Standard and scope of review	41-26
41.5241	In general	41-26
41.5242	Does not reach question of ineffective assistance of counsel	41-27
41.5243	On review, reviewing court may consider question whether court imposing probation that is being revoked had jurisdiction to do so	41-27
41.5244	Review of question whether evidence provides support for decision	41-27
41.5245	Review of decision on how much reincarceration time to impose	41-27
41.5246	Review of question of sufficiency of evidence to establish violation	41-27
41.5247	Review of question whether condition or rule of probation is contrary to law	41-27
41.525	Effect of delay in judicial review	41-27
41.526	Successive writs of certiorari to review parole or probation revocation decision are barred	41-27
41.527	Applicability of Prisoner Litigation Reform Act to review (see sec. 43.4712)	41-28
41.528	Other matters	41-28
41.5281	Indigent's right to waiver of payment of court fees or costs	41-28
41.5282	Making copies of necessary papers for prisoners	41-28
41.5283	Relief that circuit court may grant on certiorari review of revocation decision	41-28
41.5284	Need to exhaust administrative remedies (e.g., appeal administrative law judge's decision to administrator of division)	41-28
41.5285	In proceeding reviewing revocation decision, court should follow liberal policy when judging sufficiency of pro se pleadings by prisoners	41-28
41.53	Release Or Stay Pending Review Of Revocation	41-28
41.54	Remand For Further Hearing	41-28
41.541	Propriety of remand	41-28
41.542	Proceedings upon remand	41-28
41.55	Appellate Review Of Lower Court's Decision	41-28
41.551	In general	41-28
41.552	Right to review	41-28

41.553	Scope and standard of review	41-29
41.554	Review of whether circuit court exceeded authority in affording relief granted	41-29
41.56	Effect Of Release On Challenge To Revocation	41-29
41.57	State's Remedies When Revocation Set Aside	41-29
41.58	Other Matters And Related Matters	41-29
41.581	Sentencing upon revocation of probation (see sec. 9.4974)	41-29
41.582	Right to counsel on certiorari review of revocation decision	41-29

Part B: Extended Supervision

41.6	Imposition Of Extended Supervision And Its Conditions	41-29
41.61	Imposition Of Extended Supervision (see sec. 9.414)	41-29
41.62	Imposition Of Conditions Of Extended Supervision (see sec. 9.4151)	41-29
41.7	Revocation Of Extended Supervision	41-29
41.71	The revocation process	41-29
41.711	Jurisdiction of department over person on extended supervision for purposes of revocation of extended supervision	41-29
41.7111	In general	41-29
41.7112	For purposes of Wis. Stat. § 304.073(3), which confers jurisdiction on department, "term of supervision" includes nonconfinement and confinement time arising from same sentencing decision	41-29
41.712	Revocation of extended supervision when defendant serving consecutive sentences	41-29
41.7121	When defendant serving consecutive indeterminate (i.e., pre-TIS) and determinate (i.e., TIS) sentences	41-29
41.71211	When defendant is serving consecutive indeterminate (i.e., pre-TIS) and determinate (i.e., TIS) sentences, sentences are treated as one continuous sentence, with confinement periods served first, followed by nonconfinement periods of parole and extended supervision, which are treated as one continuous period of supervision, so that, when defendant violates conditions of parole and extended supervision, both parole and extended supervision may be revoked	41-30
41.7122	When defendant serving two consecutive determinate (i.e., TIS) sentences	41-30
41.71221	When defendant is serving two consecutive determinate (i.e., TIS) sentences, two consecutive periods of extended supervision are computed as one continuous period, so that, when defendant violates conditions of extended supervision, both periods of extended supervision may be revoked	41-30
41.713	Propriety of considering certain violations	41-30

41.7131	When sentence is imposed but stayed and defendant is placed on probation, and defendant's probation is revoked and he begins serving imposed bifurcated prison term, he may be revoked from that prison term's extended supervision component on basis of rules violation that occurred during initial term of probation	41-30
41.714	Rights of revoke	41-30
41.7141	Right to counsel	41-30
41.71411	In general	41-30
41.71412	Right to effective assistance of counsel (see sec. 25.3953)	41-30
41.715	Recommendation to court concerning period of time for which person should be returned to prison	41-30
41.7151	In general	41-30
41.7152	Does not become, and is not analogous to, plea agreement between revokee and state	41-30
41.7153	Is analogous to presentence investigation report at original sentencing	41-30
41.7154	Sentencing court is not bound by recommendation (see sec. 41.74142)	41-30
41.7155	Is not binding on prosecutor at reconfinement sentencing hearing	41-31
41.716	Other matters	41-31
41.7161	Ineffective assistance of counsel during revocation process (see sec. 25.3953)	41-31
41.72	Making the revocation decision	41-31
41.73	Judicial review of revocation decision	41-31
41.731	Scope and standard of review	41-31
41.732	Appellate review of lower court's decision	41-31
41.7321	Scope and standard of review	41-31
41.733	Mode of review: writ of certiorari	41-31
41.74	Reconfinement hearing at which judicial determination is made of period of time for which defendant will be returned to prison after revocation of extended supervision	41-31
41.741	Principles governing making of determination	41-31
41.7411	Principles in general	41-31
41.74111	In general	41-31
41.74112	Reconfinement hearing is akin to sentencing hearing; court's duty at reconfinement hearing is same as it is at original sentencing	41-31
41.7412	Need for court to set forth explanation for its determination	41-31
41.74121	In general	41-31
41.74122	In determining sufficiency of explanation, will reviewing court consider explanation given at original sentencing? (see sec. 41.7433)	41-32
41.7413	Consideration of original sentencing transcript	41-32
41.74131	In general	41-32

41.74132	When judge who determines period of time for which defendant will be returned to prison is not same judge who imposed original sentence, does judge need to review original sentencing transcript and original presentence investigation report prior to determining period of reconfinement?	41-32
41.74133	Portions of original sentencing transcript that are considered by court should be mentioned by court	41-32
41.7414	Impact on determination of DOC's recommendation regarding period of reconfinement	41-32
41.74141	Creation of recommendation by DOC (see sec. 41.715)	41-32
41.74142	Court need not give deference to DOC's recommendation	41-32
41.74143	Court not required to accept DOC's recommendation	41-32
41.74144	When adoption of DOC's recommendation constitutes erroneous exercise of discretion	41-32
41.74145	Weight to give recommendation is fully within discretion of court	41-32
41.7415	Appropriate factors to consider in making determination	41-33
41.74151	In general	41-33
41.74152	It is within circuit court's discretion to decide what weight should be given to particular factor in particular case (see sec. 41.74193)	41-33
41.7416	Reconfinement time should be minimum amount that is necessary to protect public, prevent depreciation of seriousness of offense and to meet defendant's rehabilitative needs	41-33
41.7417	Sufficiency of explanation for determination	41-33
41.74171	In general	41-33
41.74172	In determining sufficiency of circuit court's explanation of determination of reconfinement time, will reviewing court consider explanation given at original sentencing? (see sec. 41.7433)	41-33
41.74173	It is within circuit court's discretion to decide what weight should be given to particular factor in particular case (see sec. 41.74193)	41-33
41.7418	Defendant's rights at hearing at which determination made	41-33
41.74181	Right to allocution	41-33
41.74182	Right to be sentenced on basis of accurate information	41-33
41.74183	Right to fair and impartial judge	41-33
41.7419	Other matters	41-33
41.74191	In making determination, must court set recommitment time so as to allow period of supervised transition between incarceration and return to community?	41-34

41.74192	Court should impose minimum amount of confinement which is consistent with protection of public, gravity of offense, and defendant's rehabilitative needs (see sec. 41.7416)	41-34
41.74193	It is within circuit court's discretion to decide what weight should be given to particular factor in particular case	41-34
41.74194	When court at sentencing announces that it will impose maximum sentence if extended supervision is revoked, and indicates at sentencing hearing after revocation of extended supervision that it is acting in accord with that announcement and imposes maximum sentence, defendant's right to impartial judge is denied	41-34
41.742	Determination of period of time is "sentencing" and reconfinement hearing is akin to sentencing hearing	41-34
41.7421	In general	41-34
41.7422	Determination is "continuum of initial sentence"; it is extension of original sentencing proceeding	41-34
41.7423	Determination is not equivalent to imposition of new bifurcated sentence	41-34
41.7424	Determination is different species of sentencing than occurs at either probation or parole revocation sentencing hearing	41-34
41.743	Appellate review of determination	41-34
41.7431	Mode of review: Wis. Stat. § 809.30 provides appropriate means for seeking review of determination	41-34
41.7432	Standard of review	41-35
41.7433	In reviewing determination, will appellate court consider reasons stated at original sentencing hearing?; will sentencing after revocation be treated by appellate court as continuation of original sentencing?	41-35
41.74331	In general	41-35
41.74332	When judge who presided at sentencing after revocation is different from judge who presided over original sentencing	41-35
41.744	Other matters	41-35
41.7441	Court at reconfinement hearing has no authority to determine revoked supervisee's eligibility for CIP or ERP	41-35
41.7442	Court at reconfinement hearing has authority to impose new conditions on extended supervision portion of sentence that were not imposed by original sentencing court	41-35
41.75	Other matters	41-35
41.751	When inmate is erroneously released to extended supervision before initial term of incarceration has been completed, DOC has jurisdiction to revoke extended supervision for violation of rules of supervision	41-35

Chapter 42 Juvenile Delinquency Proceedings

Part A: Under Children's Code
(Wis. Stat. ch. 48)

42.1	General Principles Relating To Juvenile Delinquency Proceedings	42-1
42.11	Constitutionality Of Statutory Provisions Relating To Juvenile Delinquency	42-1
42.111	Provision which, with stated exceptions, vests "adult" court with jurisdiction over traffic and motor vehicle-related offenses committed by children sixteen years of age and older	42-1
42.112	Provision according courts of criminal jurisdiction exclusive original jurisdiction over child who is alleged to have violated Wis. Stat. §§ 940.20(1) or 946.43 while placed in a secure correctional facility; "reverse waiver" proceedings	42-1
42.12	Nature And Purpose Of Juvenile Delinquency Proceeding	42-1
42.121	Nature of juvenile delinquency proceeding	42-1
42.1211	In general	42-1
42.1212	Proceeding is civil in nature	42-1
42.122	Purposes of juvenile delinquency proceeding	42-1
42.1221	In general	42-1
42.13	Jurisdiction Of Juvenile Court Over Delinquency Proceeding And Juvenile; Competency To Proceed	42-1
42.131	Jurisdiction over delinquency proceeding	42-1
42.1311	Subject-matter jurisdiction conferred by constitution	42-1
42.1312	Jurisdiction derived from statutes	42-1
42.1313	Failure to comply with time limits results in court's loss of competency to hear petition (see sec. 42.1331)	42-2
42.1314	Effect of failure to afford timely detention hearing on jurisdiction or competency to proceed	42-2
42.1315	Exercise of jurisdiction while administrative review proceedings are pending under federal Individuals with Disabilities in Education Act	42-2
42.132	Jurisdiction over juvenile	42-2
42.1321	Jurisdiction determined by juvenile's age at commencement of proceeding	42-2
42.13211	In general	42-2
42.13212	Court has subject matter jurisdiction and personal jurisdiction over proceeding and juvenile if petition filed prior to juvenile's eighteenth birthday, even though juvenile does not make appearance until after eighteenth birthday	42-2
42.13213	No jurisdiction to hold waiver hearing when petition filed after juvenile reaches eighteenth birthday	42-2
42.1322	Jurisdiction conferred by petition and juvenile's physical appearance	42-2
42.1323	Jurisdiction not defeated by illegality of means by which juvenile's presence secured	42-2
42.1324	What constitutes delinquent act	42-2
42.13241	Violation of dispositional order resulting from status offense	42-2

42.1325	Need for personal appearance of juvenile to confer personal jurisdiction on juvenile court	42-2
42.1326	Jurisdiction over member of Indian tribe in proceeding based on acts committed off-reservation	42-3
42.1327	Exercise of jurisdiction while administrative review proceedings are pending under federal Individuals with Disabilities in Education Act (see sec. 42.1315)	42-3
42.1328	Other jurisdictional matters	42-3
	42.13281 Provision divesting juvenile court of jurisdiction over traffic offenses	42-3
42.133	Competency to proceed	42-3
	42.1331 Failure to observe mandatory time requirements at predispositional stages causes juvenile court to lose competency to exercise jurisdiction	42-3
	42.1332 Failure to inform juvenile of right to judicial substitution at plea hearing does not deprive juvenile court of competency to exercise jurisdiction	42-3
	42.1333 Competency to proceed while administrative review proceedings are pending under federal Individuals with Disabilities in Education Act (see sec. 42.1315)	42-3
42.134	Other matters	42-3
	42.1341 Provision which, with state exceptions, vests "adult" court with jurisdiction over traffic and motor vehicle-related offenses committed by children sixteen years of age and older: constitutionality of this provision (see sec. 42.111)	42-3
	42.1342 Provision according courts of criminal jurisdiction exclusive original jurisdiction over child who is alleged to have violated Wis. Stat. §§ 940.20(1) or 946.43 while placed in a secure correctional facility; "reverse waiver" proceedings	42-3
	42.13421 Constitutionality of this provision (see sec. 42.112)	42-3
	42.13422 Proceedings under this provision (see sec. 42.491)	42-4
42.14	Constitutional Rights Of Juvenile In Delinquency Proceeding	42-4
	42.141 In general	42-4
	42.142 Right to counsel	42-4
	42.143 Requirement of proof beyond a reasonable doubt	42-4
	42.144 Double jeopardy	42-4
	42.145 Right to jury trial	42-4
	42.1451 At fact-finding hearing	42-4
	42.1452 At responsibility hearing	42-4
42.15	Other Matters	42-4
	42.151 Construction of children's code	42-4
	42.152 Paramount consideration is best interest of child	42-4
	42.1521 In construing children's code	42-4
	42.1522 In conducting proceedings under children's code	42-4
	42.1523 Although court must give primary weight to child's interests, in waiving juvenile into adult court the court has discretion to consider public's best interests and order waiver even though it has concluded that child's best interests would be served by retaining jurisdiction	42-4

42.153	When juvenile delinquency proceeding commenced	42-4
42.2	Procedures In, And Disposition Of, Juvenile Delinquency Proceeding	42-4
42.21	Service Of Process	42-4
42.211	Propriety of serving state juvenile delinquency petition by mail on Indian reservation	42-4
42.22	Detention	42-5
42.221	Taking juvenile into custody	42-5
42.2211	In general	42-5
42.2212	Authority of officer to take juvenile into custody for violation of municipal ordinance	42-5
42.2213	Standards governing probable cause to arrest adult are applicable to determination whether taking juvenile into custody was lawful	42-5
42.2214	Illegality of method of taking juvenile into custody does not deprive juvenile court of personal jurisdiction (see sec. 42.1323)	42-5
42.222	Requirement that effort be made to release juvenile immediately to parent, guardian, or legal custodian	42-5
42.2221	In general	42-5
42.2222	Requirement does not justify entry into home or room therein without consent or exigent circumstances to locate parent, guardian, legal custodian, or other person to whom juvenile may be released	42-5
42.223	Detention order issued to allow officers opportunity to interrogate juvenile on unrelated crime	42-5
42.224	No statutory or sixth amendment right to counsel at interrogation during detention	42-5
42.225	Preventive detention	42-5
42.226	Statutory requirements relating to interrogation during detention not applicable outside Wisconsin	42-5
42.227	No requirement that juvenile waive right to counsel before court as prerequisite to interrogation during detention	42-5
42.228	Detention hearing	42-5
42.2281	Timeliness of hearing	42-5
42.23	Intake Procedures And Referral To District Attorney	42-5
42.231	Intake interview	42-5
42.2311	Need to inform juvenile of possibility of waiver to adult court	42-5
42.232	Initial screening by intake worker to determine jurisdiction and best interest of child	42-6
42.233	Recommendation that petition be filed	42-6
42.2331	Requirement that recommendation be made within forty days of referral (see sec. 42.23411)	42-6
42.234	Referral to district attorney	42-6
42.2341	Time for making referral	42-6
42.23411	Requirement that referral to district attorney be made within forty days of intake worker's receipt of referral information	42-6

	42.23412	Time for making referral does not begin to run until intake worker has information that would enable a reasonable juvenile intake worker to evaluate the appropriate disposition of case	42-6
	42.2342	Only request, not recommendation, that petition be filed is necessary for referral to district attorney	42-6
	42.2343	Determining when necessary request for filing of petition exists	42-6
42.24	Petition		42-6
	42.241	Nature and purpose in general	42-6
	42.242	Venue of petition (see sec. 42.291)	42-6
	42.243	Principles that govern sufficiency of criminal complaints govern sufficiency of petition in juvenile court proceeding	42-6
	42.244	Petition is critical procedure for acquiring personal jurisdiction over juvenile	42-6
	42.245	Requirement that petition be filed within twenty days after intake worker's recommendation filed	42-6
	42.2451	In general	42-7
	42.2452	When "good cause" exists to extend time limitation on filing petition	42-7
	42.2453	When district attorney in county of crime to which initial referral made, refers case to district attorney in county of juvenile's residence	42-7
	42.246	Timely filing of petition necessary to confer jurisdiction on juvenile court (see sec. 42.1313)	42-7
	42.247	Remedy for failure to file petition timely	42-7
42.25	Prehearing Procedures		42-7
	42.251	Discovery	42-7
	42.252	Demand for jury trial	42-7
	42.2521	Withdrawal of demand	42-7
	42.25211	Need for personal withdrawal by juvenile	42-7
	42.253	Juvenile's incompetency	42-7
	42.2531	Effect of incompetency	42-7
	42.254	Mental status examination	42-7
	42.255	Plea hearing	42-7
	42.2551	Timeliness of hearing	42-7
	42.25511	In general	42-7
	42.25512	Tolling of time requirements	42-8
	42.2552	Authority of court commissioner to conduct plea hearing	42-8
	42.2553	Requirement that juvenile be informed of rights (e.g., right to substitution of judge)	42-8
	42.25531	Failure to inform juvenile of rights does not deprive juvenile court of jurisdiction to proceed (see sec. 42.1332)	42-8
	42.25532	Dismissal required if juvenile makes showing of violation of requirement and alleges lack of knowledge of rights and state fails to meet burden to demonstrate otherwise	42-8
	42.256	Joinder of petitions	42-8
	42.257	Informal disposition	42-8

42.26	Adjudication (Fact-Finding) Hearing	42-8
42.261	Purpose of hearing	42-8
42.262	Timeliness of hearing	42-8
42.263	Persons that may properly be permitted to attend hearing	42-8
42.27	Responsibility Hearing	42-8
42.271	No right to jury trial	42-8
42.28	Disposition	42-8
42.281	Procedures in making initial disposition	42-8
42.2811	Time for holding hearing	42-8
42.28111	In general	42-8
42.28112	Time does not begin running until court decides juvenile's motion to set aside verdict	42-8
42.28113	Time periods to be excluded in computing time requirements	42-9
42.28114	Effect of failure to hold timely hearing	42-9
42.2812	Parties that may be permitted to attend dispositional hearing	42-9
42.2813	Requirement that dispositional order contain statement of conditions with which child is required to comply	42-9
42.2814	Requirement that judge explain at dispositional hearing conditions with which child expected to comply	42-9
42.282	Disposition when juvenile reaches age eighteen before admitting facts or before adjudication if facts denied	42-9
42.2821	Court may waive jurisdiction even though waiver petition was not filed before juvenile's plea	42-9
42.283	Particular dispositions	42-9
42.2831	Restitution	42-9
42.28311	For particular losses: property loss	42-9
42.28312	For particular losses: lost wages	42-9
42.28313	May be ordered on charge which has been dismissed but read in	42-9
42.28314	May be ordered paid to an insurance company	42-9
42.2832	Transfer of custody	42-9
42.28321	Requirement that transfer of custody from parent be least drastic alternative	42-9
42.28322	Transfer of custody to department for placement in secured correctional facility: requirement of finding that juvenile is "danger to the public"	42-10
42.28323	Transfer of custody to department for placement in secured correctional facility: may be made for violation of statute forbidding minor to go armed with a pistol	42-10
42.2833	Secure detention	42-10
42.2834	Conditions of supervision	42-10
42.28341	Condition of supervision need not relate to offense for which juvenile is found delinquent	42-10
42.28342	Requiring juvenile to submit to blood test to determine whether he is father of sister's child	42-10
42.284	Appropriateness of disposition in particular cases	42-10

42.285	Enforcement of dispositional order; imposition of sanctions for violation of conditions of dispositional order	42-10
42.2851	Constitutionality	42-10
42.2852	Prerequisites	42-10
42.28521	Hearing at which child is entitled to be represented by counsel and to present evidence	42-10
42.28522	Requirement that dispositional order contain statement of conditions with which child is required to comply	42-10
42.28523	Requirement that judge explain at dispositional hearing conditions with which child expected to comply	42-10
42.28524	Requirement that judge make findings of fact at hearing before sanctions imposed	42-10
42.2853	Placement in secured detention	42-10
42.28531	In general	42-10
42.28532	Before imposing secure detention sanction for violation of conditions of dispositional order, court not required to conclude that compliance with dispositional order could not be obtained through means other than secure detention	42-11
42.28533	Compliance with steps outlined in Wis. Stat. § 118.16(5) is not prerequisite to imposition of sanctions for truancy in violation of a dispositional order	42-11
42.28534	Sanction of secured detention for 10 days can only be meted out per incident, rather than per condition violation: in general	42-11
42.28535	Sanction of secured detention for 10 days can only be meted out per incident, rather than per condition violation: determining what constitutes "incident"	42-11
42.2854	Use of contempt power and remedies, including incarceration in secure detention facility, to remedy or punish violation of juvenile dispositional order (see sec. 42.43)	42-11
42.2855	Determining whether imposition of sanctions for violation of conditions of dispositional order constitutes punishment	42-11
42.286	Extension of dispositional order	42-11
42.2861	In general	42-11
42.2862	Temporary thirty-day extension pending hearing	42-11
42.2863	No need for finding of dangerousness	42-11
42.2864	Extension of dispositional order after juvenile reaches eighteenth birthday	42-11
42.287	Revocation of supervision	42-11
42.2871	Requirement of notice of grounds for revocation	42-11
42.2872	Sufficiency of evidence to support revocation	42-12
42.2873	Waiver of right to contest revocation	42-12
42.288	Appellate challenge to commitment	42-12
42.2881	Effect of release on challenge to commitment	42-12

42.289	Other matters	42-12
42.2891	Nature and administration of disposition in delinquency proceeding in general	42-12
42.2892	Applicability of children's code amendment to those committed to department before effective date of amendment	42-12
42.2893	Use of immunized testimony at dispositional hearing	42-12
42.2894	Effect of failure to comply with procedural requirements	42-12
42.28941	Requirement of timely hearing (see sec. 42.28114)	42-12
42.28942	Requirement that dispositional order contain statement of conditions with which child is required to comply	42-12
42.28943	Requirement that judge explain at dispositional hearing conditions with which child expected to comply	42-12
42.2895	Determining maximum term of imprisonment to which juvenile may be committed under Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act	42-12
42.2896	Juvenile's right to credit for pretrial time spent in secure detention towards the subsequent disposition order	42-12
42.29	Other Matters	42-12
42.291	Venue	42-12
42.2911	In general	42-12
42.2912	Determining venue	42-12
42.29121	Where juvenile "resides" refers to domicile	42-12
42.29122	Juvenile's residence (i.e., domicile) or presence to be determined at time of filing of petition	42-13
42.29123	Venue in proceeding for acts committed by member of Indian tribe off-reservation	42-13
42.2913	Burden of proof on question of venue	42-13
42.2914	Effect of insufficiency of proof of venue	42-13
42.2915	Waiver of venue issue	42-13
42.292	Delays, continuances, and extensions	42-13
42.2921	Continuance statute not applicable until petition filed	42-13
42.293	Criminal procedures not applicable	42-13
42.294	Comparison between delinquency proceedings and CHIPS proceedings	42-13
42.295	Juvenile's right to invoke exclusionary rule	42-13
42.296	Disclosure of name or other identifying information of juvenile offender (see sec. 42.493)	42-13
42.3	Waiver Of Juvenile Court Jurisdiction	42-13
42.31	Two Requirements: Presence Of Criteria For Waiver And Prosecutive Merit	42-13
42.32	Criteria For Waiver	42-13
42.321	In general	42-13
42.322	Factors to be considered	42-13
42.3221	In general	42-13
42.3222	Adequacy of alternatives to waiver	42-14
42.3223	Seriousness of offense	42-14
42.3224	Interest of public	42-14

	42.3225	Best interests of child	42-14
	42.3226	Whether child is mentally ill	42-14
42.323		Propriety of considering probable disposition in adult court	42-14
42.324		Need for testimony (see sec. 42.3532)	42-14
42.325		Sufficiency of showing	42-14
42.33		Prosecutive Merit	42-14
	42.331	What constitutes prosecutive merit	42-14
	42.332	Need for evidentiary hearing (i.e., testimony and other evidence) (see sec. 42.3532)	42-14
	42.333	Right to discovery prior to prosecutive merit determination (see sec. 42.36)	42-14
	42.334	Must be based on trustworthy evidence (see sec. 42.3572)	42-14
	42.335	Sufficiency of showing	42-14
42.34		Waiver Petition	42-15
	42.341	Timeliness of petition	42-15
	42.3411	Petition may be filed after plea if juvenile reaches age eighteen without an adjudication of delinquency petition	42-15
	42.342	Must contain statement of facts supporting waiver	42-15
42.35		Procedures And Requirements In Finding Grounds For Waiver And Prosecutive Merit	42-15
	42.351	In general	42-15
	42.352	Rights prior to hearing	42-15
	42.3521	Psychiatric examination	42-15
	42.3522	Discovery (see sec. 42.36)	42-15
	42.353	Evidentiary matters	42-15
	42.3531	Rules of evidence not applicable	42-15
	42.3532	Need for evidentiary hearing (i.e., testimony and other evidence)	42-15
	42.3533	Use of statements made as part of required mental examination	42-16
	42.3534	Consideration of information not included in waiver petition	42-16
	42.3535	Consideration of hearsay evidence (see sec. 42.3573)	42-16
	42.3536	Use of oral communications intercepted in violation of Wis. Stat. § 968.27-.31	42-16
42.354		Burden and standard of proof	42-16
42.355		Matters court need not consider	42-16
	42.3551	Civil commitment petition	42-16
	42.3552	Suppression motion	42-16
42.356		Matters court may consider	42-16
	42.3561	Available treatment program within adult correctional system	42-16
42.357		Findings and decision	42-16
	42.3571	Trial court's duty to set forth reasons for waiver	42-16
	42.3572	Must be based on reliable evidence	42-16
	42.3573	May be based on hearsay evidence	42-16
	42.3574	Need for findings on each criterion for waiver	42-17
	42.3575	Need not resolve all criteria against juvenile to order waiver	42-17
	42.3576	May not deny waiver based on speculation about probable disposition in adult court	42-17

42.3577	Although court must give primary weight to child's interests, in waiving juvenile into adult court the court has discretion to consider public's best interests and order waiver even though it has concluded that child's best interests would be served by retaining jurisdiction	42-17
42.358	Other procedures and requirements	42-17
42.3581	Need for timely disposition of waiver petition	42-17
42.3582	No need for separate hearing on reliability of evidence	42-17
42.3583	Stay of plea hearing after waiver denied	42-17
42.36	Discovery In Waiver Proceeding	42-17
42.361	In general	42-17
42.362	When in camera inspection required to determine whether state complied with discovery order	42-17
42.37	Waiver Of Right To Contest Waiver	42-17
42.38	Appellate Review Of Waiver Decision	42-17
42.381	Appealability of order waiving jurisdiction by permissive appeal	42-17
42.382	Stay of plea hearing pending state's appeal of denial of waiver	42-18
42.383	Standard of review	42-18
42.384	Determining when error committed by juvenile court in waiver proceeding harmless	42-18
42.385	Appellate review of waiver decision on appeal from final criminal court judgment of conviction	42-18
42.3851	In general	42-18
42.3852	When juvenile waiver order appealed earlier and affirmed	42-18
42.39	Other Matters	42-18
42.391	Authority and/or jurisdiction to waive jurisdiction over juvenile	42-18
42.3911	In general	42-18
42.3912	When juvenile reaches eighteenth birthday	42-18
42.3913	Timely filing of delinquency petition necessary to confer jurisdiction over waiver proceeding	42-18
42.3914	Effect of failure to hold timely detention hearing on jurisdiction	42-18
42.392	Limitations on charges brought in criminal court after juvenile court waives jurisdiction	42-18
42.3921	In general	42-18
42.3922	When fourteen or fifteen year old is alleged to have violated secs. 940.01 or 940.02	42-18
42.393	No need to file juvenile court waiver order in adult court to confer jurisdiction on criminal court	42-19
42.394	Effect of defects in waiver proceeding on jurisdiction of criminal court	42-19
42.395	Reconsideration of waiver decision	42-19
42.3951	Juvenile court has jurisdiction to reconsider waiver decision until criminal complaint filed in criminal court	42-19
42.3952	Juvenile court has no jurisdiction to reconsider waiver decision after criminal complaint filed in criminal court	42-19
42.3953	Criminal court has no jurisdiction to reconsider juvenile court's waiver decision or to return juvenile to juvenile court by reverse waiver	42-19

42.3954	Means by which juvenile may seek review of waiver decision after criminal complaint filed in criminal court and criminal court thereby assumes jurisdiction	42-19
42.39541	Interlocutory appeal	42-19
42.39542	If juvenile has compelling new grounds bearing on waiver, he or she may file motion in criminal court asking that court to relinquish jurisdiction to juvenile court	42-19
42.396	Until criminal complaint is filed in criminal court, juvenile court retains jurisdiction over case	42-19
42.397	Juvenile court loses jurisdiction over case when complaint filed in criminal court and criminal court thereby assumes jurisdiction over case	42-19
42.398	Other matters	42-19
42.3981	Effect of juvenile court's erroneous belief that it could not commit juvenile to private facility	42-19
42.3982	Convictions obtained in adult court after waiver of juvenile court jurisdiction may be used to establish defendant's repeater status (see sec. 31.62965)	42-19
42.3983	Purpose of waiver hearing	42-20
42.3984	Juvenile has constitutional right to waiver hearing	42-20
42.3985	Although court must give primary weight to child's interests, in waiving juvenile into adult court the court has discretion to consider public's best interests and order waiver even though it has concluded that child's best interests would be served by retaining jurisdiction	42-20
42.3986	Waiver hearing is not part of delinquency proceeding	42-20
42.3987	Waiver petition may be filed after plea if juvenile reaches age eighteen without an adjudication of petition	42-20
42.3988	Use of Wis. Stat. § 974.06 to attack juvenile waiver	42-20
42.4	Other Matters Relating To Juvenile Delinquency Proceeding	42-20
42.41	Media Access To Delinquency Proceedings And Records (see secs. 42.46, 42.91, 46.16, and 46.42)	42-20
42.42	Institution Of Criminal Proceedings After Child Reaches Age Of Majority For Act Committed While Minor	42-20
42.421	General rule: jurisdiction in criminal court cannot be maintained unless prosecution shows delay was not for purpose of avoiding juvenile court jurisdiction	42-20
42.422	Negligent failure to bring charges while child is minor will not defeat criminal court jurisdiction	42-20
42.423	Where juvenile delinquency petition has been filed	42-21
42.424	Adult court has jurisdiction to hold hearing into question of propriety of bringing charges in adult court after child reached eighteen	42-21
42.425	Neither adult court or juvenile court has jurisdiction to consider waiver of juvenile court jurisdiction when petition filed after defendant reached eighteenth birthday	42-21
42.426	Adult court has jurisdiction over adult who committed crime when fifteen years of age or younger	42-21

42.427	When evidentiary hearing required on defendant's allegation that state deliberately delayed charging him to avoid juvenile court jurisdiction	42-21
42.428	Defendant waives right to enforce general rule by entry of guilty plea without requesting a Becker hearing	42-21
42.429	Failure to comply with general rule is constitutional violation, not matter affecting court's subject-matter jurisdiction	42-21
42.43	Use Of Contempt Power, Including Incarceration In Secure Detention Facility, For Violation Of Juvenile Dispositional Order	42-21
42.431	Propriety of resort to contempt power to enforce juvenile dispositional order	42-21
42.432	Required procedure for exercise of contempt power to enforce juvenile dispositional order	42-21
42.44	Expunction Of Juvenile Arrest Record When Delinquency Petition Subsequently Dismissed	42-21
42.45	Differences Between Delinquency And CHIPS Proceedings Do Not Require Becker Hearing (see sec. 23.631)	42-22
42.46	Confidentiality Of Juvenile Records	42-22
42.461	General principles	42-22
42.4611	In general	42-22
42.4612	Policies underlying confidentiality of juvenile records are not necessarily applicable in other contexts or proceedings	42-22
42.462	Records of social services agencies	42-22
42.4621	In ordering disclosure of records, juvenile court must exercise discretion	42-22
42.463	Records of juvenile court	42-22
42.464	Records of police agencies	42-22
42.465	Related matters	42-22
42.4651	Disclosure and/or publication of name or other identifying information of juvenile offender (see sec. 42.493)	42-22
42.4652	When defendant, in postconviction motion attacking sentence, contends that presentence report contained inaccurate information and submits confidential, sealed juvenile records to support that contention, trial court must review those records in camera before denying motion (see sec. 9.2394)	42-22
42.47	Venue In Delinquency Proceeding (see sec. 42.291)	42-22
42.48	Appellate Review Of Juvenile Delinquency Proceeding	42-22
42.481	Public defender's right to be provided with juvenile court record	42-22
42.482	Review of factual determinations	42-22
42.483	Review of legal determinations	42-23
42.4831	Determination whether juvenile court has jurisdiction	42-23
42.484	Appellate review of waiver decision (see sec. 42.38)	42-23
42.49	Other Matters	42-23
42.491	Provision according courts of criminal jurisdiction exclusive original jurisdiction over child who is alleged to have violated Wis. Stat. §§ 940.20(1) or 946.43 while placed in a secure correctional facility; "reverse waiver" proceeding	42-23
42.4911	Constitutionality of this provision (see sec. 42.112)	42-23

42.4912	Burden of proof on question whether criminal court should retain jurisdiction (see sec. 6.4632)	42-23
42.4913	Propriety of criminal court retention of jurisdiction in particular cases (see sec. 6.4633)	42-23
42.4914	Appellate review of criminal court's decision to retain jurisdiction (see sec. 6.4634)	42-23
42.492	Bar on criminal prosecution on same matter after "disposition" in juvenile court	42-23
42.4921	In general	42-23
42.4922	Does not bar criminal prosecution for criminal conduct considered in extending juvenile disposition or rescinding conditional release	42-23
42.493	Disclosure and/or publication of name or other identifying information of juvenile offender	42-23
42.494	Criminal sentence may not be made consecutive to juvenile court disposition (see sec. 9.4213)	42-23
42.495	Requiring parent to contribute toward expense of post-adjudication services to child	42-23

**Part B: Under Juvenile Justice Code
(Wis. Stat. ch. 938)**

42.5	General Principles Relating To Juvenile Delinquency Proceeding	42-23
42.51	Constitutionality Of Statutory Provisions Relating To Juvenile Delinquency	42-23
42.511	Provision denying jury trial (see sec. 42.542)	42-23
42.52	Nature And Purpose Of Juvenile Justice Code And Juvenile Delinquency Proceeding	42-24
42.521	In general	42-24
42.53	Jurisdiction And Competency Of Juvenile Court	42-24
42.531	Jurisdiction over delinquency proceeding	42-24
42.5311	Failure to comply with time limits does not deprive court of jurisdiction or competency (see sec. 42.6951)	42-24
42.532	Jurisdiction over juvenile	42-24
42.5321	Failure to comply with time limits does not deprive court of jurisdiction or competency (see sec. 42.6951)	42-24
42.5322	After dispositional order has expired	42-24
42.5323	Jurisdiction over member of Indian tribe in proceeding based on acts committed off-reservation	42-24
42.53231	In general	42-24
42.53232	When juvenile placed in custody off-reservation by tribal court pursuant to agreement with Department of Corrections under which tribal court retains jurisdiction over and custody of all Indian persons affected by tribal court's orders (see sec. 12.634)	42-24
42.5324	How jurisdiction conferred	42-24
42.53241	Jurisdiction conferred by petition and juvenile's physical appearance	42-24

	42.53242	Jurisdiction conferred by petition and notice to juvenile that delinquency proceedings have been commenced if notice given by service of petition that complies with statutory requirements	42-24
	42.53243	Failure to follow statutory requirements for service defeats personal jurisdiction in the absence of physical presence	42-25
	42.53244	Jurisdiction may be acquired by appearance	42-25
	42.53245	Actual knowledge of pendency of action does not confer jurisdiction	42-25
	42.5325	State has burden to establish jurisdiction	42-25
	42.533	Competency to proceed	42-25
42.54		Constitutional Rights Of Juvenile In Juvenile Delinquency Proceeding	42-25
	42.541	In general	42-25
	42.542	Right to jury trial	42-25
	42.543	Right to counsel	42-25
	42.544	Requirement of proof beyond a reasonable doubt	42-25
	42.545	Right to advance notice of particular misconduct being charged	42-25
	42.546	Other rights	42-25
42.6		Procedures In Juvenile Delinquency Proceeding	42-25
	42.61	Institution Of Proceeding	42-25
	42.611	Venue (see sec. 42.691)	42-25
	42.612	Issuance and service of process (i.e., summons or notice)	42-25
	42.6121	Requirement that district attorney exercise due diligence in attempting to locate juvenile so that juvenile can be notified that petition has been filed	42-25
	42.6122	Procedures when summoned or notified person (including juvenile) fail to appear at hearing	42-25
	42.6123	Effect of failure to follow prescribed procedures for notifying juvenile that delinquency proceeding has been commenced	42-25
	42.613	Other matters	42-26
42.62		Detention; Holding Juvenile In Custody	42-26
	42.621	Hearing for juvenile in custody (Wis. Stat. § 938.21)	42-26
	42.6211	Court's power to order petition dismissed and to refer matter to intake worker for deferred prosecution (Wis. Stat. § 938.21(7))	42-26
	42.62111	District attorney's consent to dismissal and referral not required	42-26
	42.62112	Exercise of power not limited to juveniles in custody	42-26
	42.62113	Exercise of power not limited to custody review hearing	42-26
42.63		Pre-Petition Procedures	42-26
	42.631	Receipt of jurisdictional information; intake inquiry and disposition by intake worker, including referral to district attorney (Wis. Stat. § 938.24)	42-26
	42.6311	Required notice to district attorney when deferred prosecution is entered into	42-26

	42.63111 Notice not required when court orders deferred prosecution under Wis. Stat. § 938.21(7)	42-26
42.632	Deferred prosecution (Wis. Stat. § 938.245)	42-26
	42.6321 Termination of deferred prosecution agreement by district attorney's filing of delinquency petition within 20 days of receipt of notice of agreement (Wis. Stat. § 938.245(6)	42-26
	42.63211 District attorney has no right to file delinquency petition in order to terminate agreement when court has ordered agreement under Wis. Stat. § 938.21(7)	42-26
42.64	Petition	42-26
	42.641 Venue (see sec. 42.691)	42-26
	42.642 Sufficiency of allegations	42-26
	42.6421 In general	42-26
	42.6422 Principles that govern sufficiency of criminal complaints govern sufficiency of petition in juvenile court proceeding	42-26
	42.643 Amendment of petition	42-26
	42.6431 In general	42-26
	42.6432 At trial, to conform to proof	42-27
	42.644 Petition is critical procedure for acquiring personal jurisdiction over juvenile	42-27
	42.6441 This is court of appeals' view	42-27
	42.6442 Supreme court holds that petition is critical, but not sufficient; subsequent compliance with statutory requirements for service is essential to confer personal jurisdiction absent physical appearance (see sec. 42.53243)	42-27
	42.645 Issuance of summons or notice after filing of petition (see sec. 42.612)	42-27
42.65	Prehearing Procedures	42-27
	42.651 Discovery	42-27
	42.652 Juvenile's incompetency	42-27
	42.653 Mental status examination	42-27
	42.654 Other procedures	42-27
42.66	Adjudication (Fact-Finding) Hearing	42-27
	42.661 Purpose of hearing	42-27
	42.662 Juvenile has no right to jury trial (see sec. 42.542)	42-27
	42.663 Amendment of petition at hearing to conform to the proof (see sec. 42.6432)	42-27
42.67	Responsibility Hearing	42-27
42.68	Dispositional Hearing (see sec. 42.7)	42-27
42.69	Other Matters	42-27
	42.691 Venue	42-27
	42.6911 In general	42-27
	42.6912 Determining venue	42-27
	42.69121 Where juvenile "resides" refers to domicile	42-27
	42.69122 Juvenile's residence (i.e., domicile) or presence to be determined at time of filing of petition	42-27
	42.6913 Burden of proof on question of venue	42-27
	42.6914 Effect of insufficiency of proof of venue	42-27
	42.6915 Waiver of venue issue	42-28
42.692	Consent decree	42-28

42.6921	In general	42-28
42.6922	Court may not vacate consent decree and continue proceedings to conclusion after consent decree has expired	42-28
42.693	Delays, continuances and extensions	42-28
42.6931	Failure to comply with time limits does not deprive court of jurisdiction or competency (see sec. 42.6951)	42-28
42.6932	Exclusion of periods from time computations	42-28
42.69321	Period attributable to court congestion or scheduling	42-28
42.6933	Waiver of failure to comply with time limit by failure to object (see sec. 42.6952)	42-28
42.694	Court's power to order petition dismissed and to refer matter to intake worker for deferred prosecution (Wis. Stat. § 938.21(7)) (see sec. 42.6211)	42-28
42.695	Failure to comply with time limits	42-28
42.6951	Failure to comply with time limits does not deprive court of jurisdiction or competency	42-28
42.69511	In general	42-28
42.69512	For purposes of this rule, "time limits" does not include expiration of dispositional order	42-28
42.6952	Waiver of failure to comply with time limit by failure to object	42-28
42.69521	In general	42-28
42.69522	Cannot waive expiration of dispositional order (see sec. 42.762)	42-28
42.7	Disposition In Juvenile Delinquency Proceeding	42-28
42.71	Making Initial Disposition	42-28
42.711	General principles governing determination of initial disposition	42-29
42.7111	In general	42-29
42.7112	Court's reasons for imposing particular disposition must appear in record	42-29
42.7113	Court not required to set forth specific reasons for each component of disposition	42-29
42.712	One-year limitation on dispositional order	42-29
42.7121	When portion of dispositional order calling for confinement in secured correctional facility has been stayed, one-year limitation is not triggered until stay lifted	42-29
42.713	Imposing sex offender reporting requirement (see sec. 42.963)	42-29
42.714	Lifting stay of dispositional order	42-29
42.7141	In general	42-29
42.7142	Juvenile's avoiding lifting of stay by agreeing to disposition not authorized by juvenile justice code	42-29
42.72	Particular Dispositions	42-29
42.721	Placement in serious juvenile offender program	42-29
42.7211	Offenses that juvenile must have committed to be eligible for placement in program	42-29

42.7212	Placement in this program can only occur at original disposition; court has no authority, on motion to extend supervision or change placement, to order juvenile's placement in this program	42-29
42.722	Restitution	42-29
42.7221	In general	42-29
42.7222	Cannot exceed amount juvenile can pay during period of supervision	42-29
42.7223	Conversion of restitution order to to civil judgment	42-29
42.72231	Only unpaid amount of restitution, not unpaid amount of damage, may be converted to civil judgment	42-29
42.723	Transfer of custody	42-30
42.724	Secure detention	42-30
42.7241	In general	42-30
42.7242	Court may stay execution of secure detention	42-30
42.7243	Juvenile's entitlement to credit for time previously spent in secure detention if time was in connection with course of conduct for which detention was imposed	42-30
42.725	Other disposition	42-30
42.73	Stay of dispositional order; lifting stay of dispositional order	42-30
42.731	Stay of dispositional order	42-30
42.7311	In general	42-30
42.7312	Stay of dispositional order under Wis. Stat. § 938.34(16) does not trigger one-year limitation of Wis. Stat. § 938.355(4) until stay is lifted	42-30
42.7313	May court stay condition of dispositional order?	42-30
42.73131	Court of appeals' answer: no	42-30
42.73132	Supreme court's answer: yes	42-30
42.7314	Court may stay entire order or just portion of order	42-30
42.74	Appropriateness Of Disposition In Particular Case	42-30
42.75	Enforcement Of Dispositional Order; Imposition Of Sanctions For Violation Of Conditions Of Dispositional Order	42-30
42.751	In general	42-30
42.752	Sanctions provided by Wis. Stat. § 938.355 are not exclusive	42-30
42.753	Court may stay all or portion of dispositional order and then impose stayed order when dispositional order violated	42-30
42.76	Change In Placement; Revision Or Extension Of Dispositional Order	42-30
42.761	Change in placement	42-30
42.7611	Court has no authority, on motion to change placement, to order juvenile's placement in serious juvenile offender program (see sec. 42.7212)	42-30
42.762	Revision of dispositional order	42-31
42.7621	When dispositional order is revised to include a different dispositional alternative (e.g., confinement in a secured correctional facility) and a stay of that alternative, one-year limitation on dispositional order is not triggered until stay is lifted	42-31
42.763	Extension of dispositional order	42-31
42.7631	Temporary extension of order for thirty days	42-31

42.76311	Cannot grant 30-day extension of dispositional order after dispositional order expires	42-31
42.76312	Temporary extension order cannot be granted by implication or inference or nunc pro tunc	42-31
42.7632	Court has no authority, on motion to extend dispositional order, to order juvenile's placement in serious juvenile offender program (see sec. 42.7212)	42-31
42.7633	Cannot extend "original dispositional order" that expires after juvenile is 17 years of age	42-31
42.76331	In general	42-31
42.76332	For purposes of this prohibition, first order placing juvenile in secure correctional facility is "original dispositional order"	42-31
42.77	Expiration of dispositional order	42-31
42.771	Effect of in general	42-31
42.772	Expiration of dispositional order cannot be waived	42-31
42.773	Temporary 30-day extension of dispositional order cannot be granted after dispositional order expires (see sec. 42.75311)	42-31
42.78	Revocation Of Supervision	42-31
42.79	Appellate Challenge To Disposition	42-31
42.791	In general	42-31
42.792	Effect of defendant's absconding from residential treatment center in which he has been placed; dismissal of appeal when defendant absconds from residential treatment center in which he was placed	42-31
42.793	Appeal may not be pursued without juvenile's consent and authorization	42-32
42.8	Waiver Of Juvenile Court Jurisdiction; Original Adult Court Jurisdiction; Transfer Of Jurisdiction From Adult To Juvenile Court	42-32
42.81	Waiver Of Juvenile Court Jurisdiction	42-32
42.811	When waiver authorized	42-32
42.8111	Juvenile who committed offense at age 14 for which he could not have been waived into adult court and who absconds after denying charges but before dispositional hearing, and who returns to court after reaching age 17, may be waived into adult court	42-32
42.8112	Fourteen-year-old child charged with kidnapping	42-32
42.812	Two requirements for waiver: presence of criteria for waiver and prosecutive merit	42-32
42.8121	In general	42-32
42.8122	Criteria for waiver	42-32
42.81221	In general	42-32
42.81222	Factors to be considered	42-32
42.8123	Prosecutive merit	42-32
42.813	Waiver petition	42-32
42.8131	Timeliness of petition	42-32
42.81311	Petition may be filed after plea if juvenile absconds and reaches age 17 without adjudication of delinquency petition (see sec. 42.8111)	42-32

42.814	Procedures and requirements in finding grounds for waiver and prosecutive merit	42-32
42.8141	Trial court's duty to set forth reasons for waiver	42-32
42.815	Discovery in waiver proceeding	42-32
42.816	Waiver of right to contest waiver	42-32
42.817	Appellate review of waiver decision	42-32
42.8171	Appealability of order waiving jurisdiction by permissive appeal	42-32
42.8172	Standard of review	42-32
42.818	Other matters	42-32
42.8181	Delay in filing waiver petition until juvenile is older, thus making it more likely that waiver will be ordered	42-33
42.8182	Limitations on charges brought in criminal court after juvenile court waives jurisdiction	42-33
42.81821	In general	42-33
42.82	Original Adult Court Jurisdiction	42-33
42.821	In general	42-33
42.822	Imposing juvenile disposition in case where adult court had original jurisdiction over juvenile (see sec. 9.49989)	42-33
42.83	Transfer Of Jurisdiction From Adult To Juvenile Court ("Reverse Waiver" Proceeding) (see sec. 6.463)	42-33
42.9	Other Matters Relating To Juvenile Delinquency Proceeding	42-33
42.91	Media Access To Delinquency Proceeding And Records (see secs. 42.46, 42.93, 46.16, and 46.42)	42-33
42.92	Institution Of Criminal Proceedings After Child Reaches Age Of Majority For Act Committed While Minor	42-33
42.921	General rule: jurisdiction in criminal court cannot be maintained unless prosecution shows delay was not for purpose of avoiding juvenile court jurisdiction	42-33
42.922	Negligent failure to bring charges while child is minor will not defeat criminal court jurisdiction	42-33
42.923	Intentional attempt to avoid juvenile court jurisdiction disproved where child's post-minority conduct serves as catalyst for filing waiver petition	42-33
42.924	When evidentiary hearing required on defendant's allegation that state deliberately delayed charging him to avoid juvenile court jurisdiction	42-33
42.93	Confidentiality Of Juvenile Records	42-33
42.931	In general	42-33
42.932	Policies underlying confidentiality of juvenile records are not necessarily applicable in other contexts or proceedings	42-34
42.933	When defendant, in postconviction motion attacking sentence, contends that presentence report contained inaccurate information and submits confidential, sealed juvenile records to support that contention, trial court must review those records in camera before denying motion (see sec. 9.2394)	42-34
42.94	Expunction Of Juvenile Records	42-34
42.941	In general	42-34

42.942	Applicability of expungement provision to violations committed prior to effective date of Juvenile Justice Code	42-34
42.95	Appellate Review Of Juvenile Delinquency Proceeding	42-34
42.951	Mootness of appeal	42-34
42.9511	In general	42-34
42.9512	Effect of expiration of dispositional order	42-34
42.952	Appropriate remedy	42-34
42.9521	When dispositional order has expired	42-34
42.953	Appellate review of waiver decision (see sec. 42.817)	42-34
42.96	Other Matters	42-34
42.961	Applicability of provisions of Juvenile Justice Code	42-34
42.9611	In general	42-34
42.9612	Provision relating to expungement of juvenile record (see sec. 42.942)	42-34
42.962	History of Juvenile Justice Code	42-34
42.963	Sex offender reporting requirements	42-34
42.9631	In general	42-34
42.9632	Constitutionality of requirements	42-34
42.96321	In general	42-34
42.96322	Consistency with right to jury trial	42-35
42.96323	Consistency with procedural due process	42-35
42.96324	Consistency with substantive due process	42-35
42.96325	Consistency with equal protection	42-35
42.9633	May court stay requirement?	42-35
42.96331	Court of appeals' answer: no	42-35
42.96332	Supreme court's answer: yes	42-35
42.9634	Exercise of discretion whether to stay requirement	42-35
42.96341	In general	42-35
42.96342	Factors to be considered in exercising discretion	42-35
42.96343	Burden and standard of proof when juvenile moves court to stay requirement	42-35
42.9635	Requirements are not criminal penalty	42-35
42.97	Related Matters	42-35
42.971	Admissibility of juvenile court records and proceedings in criminal prosecution (see sec. 20.8541)	42-35
42.972	Admissibility in criminal prosecution of admission in CHIPS proceeding (see sec. 20.8547)	42-35
42.973	Counsel may not act on juvenile's behalf without juvenile's consent and authorization	42-35

Chapter 43

Extraordinary and Supervisory Writs

43.1	Extraordinary And Supervisory Relief In General	43-1
43.11	Power To Grant In General	43-1
43.12	Prerequisites To Granting	43-1
43.121	In general	43-1
43.122	Absence of available alternative remedies	43-1
43.13	Proper Parties To Action For Extraordinary Or Supervisory Relief	43-1

43.14	Other Matters	43-1
43.141	Treating writ applied for, which is inappropriate remedy for wrong sought to be remedied, as writ that is appropriate remedy for wrong	43-1
43.1411	In general	43-1
43.1412	This treatment not appropriate when litigant represented by counsel	43-1
43.142	When party mislabels pleading as petition for extraordinary and supervisory relief, court should look to substance rather than label in determining if petitioner entitled to relief	43-1
43.143	Scope of jurisdiction and authority of federal courts to grant extraordinary relief under Federal All Writs Act	43-1
43.144	Court of appeals' supervisory jurisdiction	43-1
43.1441	In general (see sec. 11.141)	43-1
43.1442	Has authority to issue supervisory writ over action of judge in John Doe proceeding (see sec. 4.2922)	43-2
43.1443	Has authority to issue supervisory writ over action of judge determining whether to permit filing of complaint under Wis. Stat. § 968.02(3) (see sec. 6.11233)	43-2
43.2	Prohibition	43-2
43.21	Nature And Scope Of Writ In General	43-2
43.22	Situations In Which This Writ Is Appropriate Remedy	43-2
43.221	Testing whether offense is misdemeanor or felony	43-2
43.222	Review of denial of substitution of judge	43-2
43.223	To challenge manner in which John Doe proceeding is being conducted (see sec. 4.29313)	43-2
43.224	Other situations	43-2
43.23	Proper Parties To Action	43-2
43.24	Prerequisites To Granting Of Writ	43-2
43.241	In general	43-2
43.242	No other adequate remedy at law; writ not available where other adequate remedies at law exist	43-2
43.25	Procedures	43-2
43.26	Appellate Review Of Disposition	43-2
43.27	Other Matters	43-2
43.3	Mandamus	43-2
43.31	Nature And Scope Of Writ In General	43-2
43.32	Situations In Which This Writ Is Appropriate Remedy	43-3
43.321	In general	43-3
43.322	Review of trial court's decision to deny complainant's petition for a John Doe proceeding, or decision to deny issuance of complaint after hearing, without examining complainant and/or his or her witnesses	43-3
43.323	Wisconsin prisoner, who is serving Wisconsin sentence out-of-state, seeking credit against sentence under law of state in which he is incarcerated	43-3
43.324	When department fails to conduct required reexamination in ch. 980 proceeding	43-3
43.33	Proper Parties To Action	43-3
43.34	Prerequisites To Granting Of Writ	43-3

	<i>Page</i>
43.35 Procedures	43-3
43.351 Motion to quash	43-3
43.36 Appellate Review Of Disposition	43-3
43.37 Other Matters	43-3
43.4 Certiorari	43-3
43.41 Nature And Scope Of Writ In General	43-3
43.411 In general	43-4
43.412 Writ is civil in nature	43-4
43.42 Situations In Which This Writ Is Appropriate Remedy	43-4
43.421 In general	43-4
43.422 Review of parole denial decision (see sec. 41.511)	43-4
43.423 Review of probation or parole revocation decision (see sec. 41.5221)	43-4
43.424 Challenge to department's calculation of mandatory release date	43-4
43.425 Review of warden's refusal to correct defendant's presentence report	43-4
43.426 Review of decision to terminate intensive sanctions and return defendant to prison	43-4
43.427 Review of decisions relating to parole rescission	43-4
43.428 Does not reach question whether judicial proceeding unjustifiably delayed	43-4
43.43 Proper Parties To Action	43-4
43.44 Prerequisites To Granting Of Writ	43-4
43.45 Procedures	43-5
43.451 In general	43-5
43.452 Time limit for seeking this writ, i.e., for filing petition for writ	43-5
43.4521 In general	43-5
43.4522 In case of prisoner subject to Prisoner Litigation Reform Act	43-5
43.4523 Calculating time for initiating review	43-5
43.45231 In general	43-5
43.45232 Tolling running of time from date petition is placed in institution's mailbox for forwarding to circuit court	43-5
43.45233 Tolling running of time from date petitioner subject to Prisoner Litigation Reform Act requests necessary DOJ certification until certification received	43-5
43.45234 Tolling running of time from date petitioner's attorney promised petitioner that petition would be filed	43-5
43.453 Indigent's right to waiver of payment of court fees or costs	43-5
43.454 Making copies of necessary papers for prisoners	43-5
43.46 Appellate Review Of Disposition	43-5
43.47 Other Matters	43-6
43.471 Applicability of Prisoner Litigation Reform Act to certiorari proceeding	43-6
43.4711 In general	43-6
43.4712 When challenge made to revocation of probation	43-6
43.472 Review by statutory certiorari has different characteristics then review by common law certiorari because former is of right while latter is discretionary	43-6

43.5 Habeas Corpus	43-6
43.51 Nature And Scope Of Writ In General	43-6
43.511 General principles	43-6
43.512 Distinction between statutory habeas corpus and common law habeas corpus	43-6
43.52 Situations In Which This Writ Is And Is Not Appropriate Remedy	43-6
43.521 In general	43-6
43.522 Challenge to adequacy of representation	43-7
43.5221 On appeal (see sec. 25.2611)	43-7
43.5222 Based on failure to file petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision	43-7
43.5223 In probation revocation proceeding	43-7
43.52231 In general	43-7
43.52232 When defendant raises this challenge in habeas corpus proceeding, he is subject to provisions of Prisoner Litigation Reform Act (see sec. 43.58922)	43-7
43.5224 Challenge to counsel's failure to file appeal (see sec. 25.2613)	43-7
43.523 Challenge to existence of crime of which defendant convicted	43-7
43.524 Challenge to subject-matter jurisdiction of court	43-7
43.5241 In general	43-7
43.5242 When defendant is challenging probation revocation order	43-7
43.525 Challenge to criminal complaint (see sec. 6.1822)	43-7
43.526 Challenge to sufficiency of evidence for bindover (see sec. 6.4811)	43-7
43.527 Challenge to probation revocation	43-7
43.5271 In general	43-7
43.5272 When defendant challenging subject matter jurisdiction of trial court to convict him of underlying offense	43-7
43.5273 When defendant challenging adequacy of representation in revocation proceeding (see sec. 43.5223)	43-8
43.5274 Challenge to failure to make competency determination in probation or parole revocation proceeding	43-8
43.528 Other situations	43-8
43.5281 Challenge to counsel's failure to file appeal (see sec. 25.2613)	43-8
43.5282 Where claim is one petitioner could have raised during prior appeal, but failed to do so, and offers no valid reason to excuse that failure	43-8
43.5283 Where claim was previously litigated in prior appeal or motion after verdict	43-8
43.5284 When department fails to conduct required reexamination in ch. 980 proceeding	43-8
43.529 Other situations (cont'd)	43-8
43.5291 Challenge to improperly enhanced sentence	43-8
43.5292 Challenge to termination of intensive sanctions and return to prison	43-8
43.5293 Claim of denial of right to petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision because of clerical error by court of appeals	43-8
43.5294 Defendant's attempt to secure refund from state of restitution improperly collected from him	43-8

43.5295	Claim of failure to comply with time limits on competency evaluation	43-8
43.5296	Case in which petitioner's claim turns on question of statutory interpretation (see sec. 43.5893)	43-8
43.5297	Challenge to allegedly unlawful failure to release defendant after parole commission has waived minimum service of sentence requirements pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 304.06(1m)	43-8
43.5298	Review of decisions relating to parole recission	43-9
43.5299	Other situations	43-9
43.53	Proper Parties To Action	43-9
43.531	Who may bring	43-9
43.532	Against whom may be brought	43-9
43.54	Prerequisites To Granting Of Writ	43-9
43.541	In general	43-9
43.542	Doctrine of laches applies; resort to writ must be promptly made	43-9
43.5421	In general	43-9
43.5422	Test for laches	43-10
43.5423	Burden of proof on question of laches	43-10
43.5424	If defense of laches proved, court still has discretion whether to apply it	43-10
43.543	No other adequate remedy at law; writ not available where other adequate remedies at law exist	43-10
43.5431	In general	43-10
43.5432	Motion under § 974.06 is adequate remedy to challenge criminal conviction, so defendant challenging conviction has adequate remedy available, rendering habeas corpus unavailable	43-10
43.55	Procedures	43-10
43.551	Venue of proceeding	43-10
43.5511	When plaintiff seeks relief from judgment of conviction or sentence	43-10
43.5512	When plaintiff seeks relief from other restraint on liberty	43-10
43.55121	In general	43-10
43.55122	Restraint imposed by chap. 980 commitment	43-10
43.5513	Discretionary change of venue under Wis. Stat. § 801.52	43-10
43.55131	In general	43-10
43.55132	When restraint imposed by chap. 980 commitment	43-11
43.552	Petition	43-11
43.5521	Contents of	43-11
43.5522	Requirement that petition be verified	43-11
43.553	Burden and standard of proof	43-11
43.554	Motion to quash writ	43-11
43.5541	In general	43-11
43.5542	Is not proper procedural tool with which to raise factual disputes	43-11
43.555	Conducting proceedings telephonically	43-11
43.5551	Propriety of in general	43-11
43.5552	Trial court has responsibility to ensure that pro se prisoner has access to telephone at time of hearing	43-11

43.556	Referral to referee or circuit court for fact finding	43-11
43.557	Supreme court review of referee's findings	43-11
43.558	Appropriate relief	43-11
43.5581	General principles	43-11
43.55811	In general	43-11
43.55812	Common law habeas corpus is equitable doctrine allowing court to tailor remedy to particular facts	43-12
43.5582	When defendant challenges appellate counsel's failure to timely file petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision, court of appeals has no power to grant any relief in habeas corpus proceeding	43-12
43.5583	When defendant challenges appellate counsel's failure to file petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision, supreme court may grant habeas corpus petition and allow late filing of petition for review	43-12
43.5584	When defendant deprived of right to file petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision because of clerical error of court of appeals, supreme court may grant habeas corpus petition and allow late filing of petition for review	43-12
43.559	Other matters	43-12
43.56	Appellate Review Of Disposition	43-12
43.561	Standard of review	43-12
43.5611	In general	43-12
43.5612	Of legal issues arising in context of petition for habeas corpus	43-13
43.5613	Of question whether petition barred by laches	43-13
43.5614	Of question whether habeas corpus is available to party seeking relief	43-13
43.57	Federal Habeas Corpus (see sec. 10.6)	43-13
43.58	Other Matters	43-13
43.581	Filing of petition prerequisite to prosecution for statutory penalty for refusal to issue writ	43-13
43.582	Equitable doctrine of laches applicable in habeas corpus proceedings (see sec. 43.542)	43-13
43.583	No need to follow Anders (i.e., no-merit) procedure when appointed counsel concludes further proceedings attacking criminal conviction are unwarranted	43-13
43.584	Costs in habeas proceeding	43-13
43.585	Right to counsel in habeas corpus proceeding (see sec. 25.176)	43-13
43.586	Petition for habeas corpus filed in appellate court is appropriate vehicle for challenging ineffective assistance of appellate counsel (see sec. 25.26111)	43-13
43.587	Habeas corpus cannot be used as vehicle to create new constitutional rule unless rule would be applied retroactively to all defendants on collateral review	43-13
43.5871	General rule	43-13
43.5872	Exception: where habeas corpus is only vehicle by which new rule could be created	43-13

43.588	Power of appellate courts when defendant challenges appellate counsel's failure to timely file petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision	43-13
43.5881	When defendant challenges appellate counsel's failure to timely file petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision, court of appeals has no power to grant any relief in habeas corpus proceeding (see sec. 43.5582)	43-13
43.5882	When defendant challenges appellate counsel's failure to timely file petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision, supreme court may grant habeas corpus petition and allow late filing of petition for review (see sec. 43.5583)	43-14
43.589	Other matters	43-14
43.5891	When defendant deprived of petition for supreme court review of court of appeals' decision because of clerical error of court of appeals, supreme court may grant habeas corpus petition and permit late filing of petition for review (see sec. 43.5584)	43-14
43.5892	Applicability of Prisoner Litigation Reform Act to habeas corpus proceeding	43-14
43.58921	In general	43-14
43.58922	When challenge made to adequacy of representation at probation revocation proceeding	43-14
43.58923	When challenge made to computation of period of incarceration after revocation of parole	43-14
43.5893	Question of statutory interpretation may be considered on writ of habeas corpus if restraint was result of noncompliance with statute that constituted constitutional or jurisdictional violation	43-14
43.5894	This writ has been replaced by Wis. Stat. § 974.06 as primary method by which defendant can attack conviction after time for appeal has expired	43-14
43.6	Other Writs	43-14
43.61	Writ of error coram nobis (see sec. 10.895)	43-14
43.62	Writ Of Habeas Corpus Ad Prosequendum	43-14
43.621	Service of this writ on federal custodian of prisoner sought for criminal prosecution in this state does not trigger Interstate Agreement on Detainers and its protections (see sec. 6.2396)	43-15
43.622	This writ may be used instead of interstate detainer to secure presence of prisoner for prosecution in this state (see sec. 6.2397)	43-15

Chapter 44
Implied Consent Law

44.1	Purpose, Construction, And Provisions In General	44-1
44.11	Purpose Of Implied Consent Law	44-1
44.12	Construction Of Implied Consent Law	44-1

44.121	In general	44-1
44.122	Substantial compliance with implied consent statute will suffice if it is actual compliance in respect to substance essential to objective of statute	44-2
44.13	Provisions Of Implied Consent Law In General	44-2
44.131	In general	44-2
44.132	Consent of driver that is implied under implied consent law extends not only to taking of blood or other sample from body, but also to testing of that sample	44-2
44.14	Other Matters	44-2
44.141	Threatened sanction under implied consent law for failure to consent to blood alcohol test (i.e., loss of driving privilege) does not constitute coercive measure invalidating consent for Fourth Amendment purposes	44-2
44.2	Prerequisites To Administering Test	44-2
44.21	Requirement Of Citation	44-2
44.211	Before 1984, citation required	44-2
44.212	After 1984, citation not required	44-2
44.22	Probable Cause To Believe Person Was Operating Vehicle Under Influence Of Intoxicant Or Other Drug	44-2
44.221	In general	44-2
44.222	Does not require proof that defendant was operating automobile, only probable cause to believe that was so	44-2
44.223	Comparison of concept of probable cause in this context with concept of probable cause in suppression context	44-3
44.224	May consider defendant's refusal to submit to field sobriety test in determining whether probable cause to arrest exists	44-3
44.225	Use of PBT to establish probable cause	44-3
44.2251	In general	44-3
44.2252	Results of PBT can be used to establish probable cause only if, before administering PBT, officer has probable cause to believe person was operating vehicle under influence of intoxicant	44-3
44.226	Propriety of considering defendant's refusal to submit to PBT in determining whether probable cause to arrest exists	44-3
44.227	Does not require that field sobriety test, which demonstrated person's impairment, was performed	44-3
44.23	Informing Driver Of Obligations, Penalties And Rights Under Implied Consent Law	44-3
44.231	General principles	44-3
44.2311	In general	44-3
44.2312	When officer fails to provide statutorily required information, this requirement is not met and operating privileges may not be revoked based on refusal to submit to chemical testing	44-4

44.2313	When officer provides statutorily required information, but then provides more information in excess of statutory duty, three-pronged <i>Quelle</i> inquiry is required to determine whether operating privileges may be revoked based on refusal to submit to chemical testing	44-4
44.23131	In general	44-4
44.23132	First prong: Has officer exceeded his statutory duty?	44-4
44.23133	Second prong: Was supply of excess information misleading (i.e., erroneous)?	44-4
44.23134	Third prong: Did misleading information affect driver's ability to make choice about chemical testing (see sec. 44.23521)	44-4
44.2314	Not required when driver is unconscious or otherwise not capable of withdrawing consent (see sec. 44.251)	44-4
44.232	Need to inform person driving personal vehicle, and not a commercial motor vehicle, of penalties applicable to persons driving or operating commercial motor vehicles	44-4
44.2321	In general	44-5
44.2322	When officer knows person is licensed as a commercial operator	44-5
44.233	Informing driver that, if any test resulted in BAC of 0.10 percent or more, his license would be suspended	44-5
44.2331	Propriety of this admonition in general	44-5
44.2332	Consistency of this admonition with due process	44-5
44.23321	In general	44-5
44.23322	Does not violate due process on ground that there is a conflict between this advice and administrative code regulations on license suspension	44-5
44.23323	Does not mislead accused or violate due process when accused refuses to take tests	44-5
44.23324	May mislead accused and violate due process when accused takes test and fails it if no additional information about administrative suspension supplied	44-5
44.2333	Effect of giving of this admonition on automatic admissibility of, and statutory presumptions relating to, blood test results	44-5
44.234	Effect of overstating and understating penalties attending refusal to submit to test	44-5
44.2341	Effect of overstating penalties attending refusal to submit to test, e.g., informing driver that refusal could result in incarceration	44-6
44.2342	Effect of understating penalties attending refusal to submit to test, e.g., informing defendant that refusal could result in one-year revocation of driving privileges when in fact revocation period would be two years	44-6

44.235	When this prerequisite to administering test is not met, must person refusing to submit to chemical testing show causal connection between failure to fulfill this prerequisite and refusal (i.e., that he would have submitted to testing had he been properly informed)?	44-6
44.2351	When officer failed to provide statutorily required information	44-6
44.2352	When officer provides statutorily required information, but then provides more information in excess of statutory duty and person contends that additional information was misleading (i.e., erroneous)	44-6
44.23521	In general	44-6
44.23522	Person who refused to submit to chemical test has burden to make prima facie showing of causal connection between misleading statements and refusal; when prima facie showing made, burden shifts to state to prove otherwise; person who refused to submit to chemical test has ultimate burden of proving by preponderance of evidence that erroneous information caused him or her to refuse to take test	44-6
44.236	Informing driver of right to alternative test	44-6
44.2361	In general	44-6
44.2362	Sufficiency and propriety of admonition when driver informed that, if any test resulted in BAC of 0.10 percent or more, his license would be suspended	44-6
44.237	In conveying information to person who might not understand oral rendition of it (e.g., deaf person, non-English speaker), law enforcement officer must use those methods which, according to circumstances that existed at time of arrest, were reasonable and would reasonably convey information	44-7
44.2371	In general	44-7
44.2372	No need to provide information to deaf person through certified sign language interpreter if other reasonable means are employed to convey information	44-7
44.238	Other matters	44-7
44.2381	Propriety of delivering commercial motor vehicle warnings to suspect who holds only regular operator's license	44-7
44.2382	In determining whether information sufficiently conveyed, whether accused driver has actually comprehended warnings is not part of the inquiry; rather, focus is on conduct of officer	44-7
44.2383	Accused driver need not comprehend implied consent warnings for warnings to have been reasonably conveyed	44-7
44.2384	Sufficiency of Informing the Accused form developed by Department of Transportation in general	44-7
44.2385	Statutorily prescribed "Informing the Accused" form does not either overstate consequences of refusal or understate what may occur if test shows prohibited blood alcohol concentration and, therefore, does not deny accused's right to due process	44-7

44.2386	Burden and standard of proof on question whether required information properly conveyed	44-7
44.2387	Effect of officer's misinforming driver that officer has right to require driver to perform field sobriety tests	44-8
44.2388	Threatened sanction under implied consent law for failure to consent to blood alcohol test (i.e., loss of driving privilege) does not constitute coercive measure invalidating consent for Fourth Amendment purposes (see sec. 44.141)	44-8
44.2389	Effect of administration of Miranda warnings in conjunction with, or immediately before, reading the Informing the Accused form (see sec. 44.347)	44-8
44.239	Other matters (cont'd)	44-8
44.2391	Particular types of misinformation conveyed to driver regarding obligations, penalties, and rights under implied consent law	44-8
44.23911	Misinforming defendant that certain penalties will be imposed if he has two or more prior suspensions, revocations or convictions within five-year period, when actual period is ten years	44-8
44.23912	Misinforming driver that, if he refuses to submit to testing, he will be entitled to hearing within ten days (driver is entitled to request hearing within ten days, not to have hearing within ten days)	44-8
44.2392	Remedy for violation of requirement (see secs. 13.36213, 44.5422, and 44.5452)	44-8
44.2393	To establish prejudicial misinformation, must defendant establish that he would have submitted to testing had he been properly informed? (see sec. 44.235)	44-8
44.2394	"Subjective confusion" as to obligations, penalties and rights is no defense to refusal to take test and does not provide basis for suppressing results of test	44-8
44.23941	In general	44-8
44.23942	Confusion as to right to consult with counsel before blood-alcohol test administered (see sec. 44.3243)	44-9
44.2395	Sufficiency of information regarding Wisconsin procedures and penalties when driver holds out-of-state license	44-9
44.2396	Defendant has no right to attorney before undergoing tests, but officer not required to inform defendant that he lacks such right (see secs. 44.26 and 44.281)	44-9
44.2397	When officer has exceeded duty with respect to informing driver of required information, and the extra information provided is erroneous, defendant has burden to prove by preponderance of evidence that erroneous information caused him or her to refuse to take test (see sec. 44.23522)	44-9
44.2398	Best practice is for law enforcement officer to give all warnings to all OWI suspects	44-9
44.24	Providing Alternative Test Or Opportunity For Additional Test	44-9
44.241	Providing alternative test at agency expense	44-9
44.2411	When alternative test required	44-9

44.24111	In general	44-9
44.24112	Alternative test not required when defendant not placed under arrest before agreeing to test to which officer asked him to submit	44-9
44.24113	When defendant entitled to alternative test at state expense	44-9
44.24114	Request for alternative test may be made before primary test administered (i.e., request for alternative test not invalid simply because it was made before primary test was administered)	44-9
44.2412	Determining whether defendant requested alternative test	44-10
44.24121	In general	44-10
44.24122	Request for alternative test may be made before primary test administered (i.e., request for alternative test not invalid simply because it was made before primary test was administered) (see sec. 44.24114)	44-10
44.24123	When defendant requests alternative test instead of or rather than primary test, not in addition to primary test, no valid request for alternative test has been made	44-10
44.24124	Where police have informed suspect of right to alternative test at agency expense, suspect has ample opportunity to make request, suspect makes no request, and suspect is released from custody and leaves presence of custodial police, subsequent request for alternative test at agency expense is not valid request	44-10
44.2413	Remedy for failure to provide alternative test (see secs. 44.5424 and 44.5441)	44-10
44.2414	Admission of evidence that requirement not met (see sec. 13.3628)	44-10
44.2415	Other and related matters	44-10
44.24151	Right to alternative test does not give driver right to designate test to be administered by officer	44-10
44.242	Providing opportunity for additional test at person's expense	44-10
44.2421	Agency required only to provide "reasonable opportunity" for additional test, not to comply with a reasonable request for an additional test	44-10
44.2422	Remedy for failure to provide reasonable opportunity for additional test (see sec. 44.5425)	44-10
44.243	Other matters	44-10
44.2431	Purpose of providing alternative test or opportunity for additional test	44-10
44.25	When Driver Is Unconscious Or Otherwise Not Capable Of Withdrawing Consent	44-10
44.251	In general	44-10
44.252	No need to advise driver of obligations, penalties and rights under implied consent law	44-11
44.253	No need to obtain consent	44-11

44.254	Person may be "otherwise not capable of withdrawing consent" due to mental disorder	44-11
44.26	Driver Has No Right To Attorney	44-11
44.27	No Need To Advise Of Potential Revocation For Drunk Driving	44-11
44.28	Other Prerequisites And Matters Of Which Defendant Need And Need Not Be Informed	44-11
44.281	Officer not required to advise driver in custody that right to counsel does not apply to administration of chemical test under implied consent law	44-11
44.282	Implied consent statute only requires arresting officers to inform defendants orally about law; it does not mandate written completion of form or obligate officers to fill out form in any particular manner	44-11
44.29	Effect Of Failure To Follow Prescribed Statutory Procedures	44-11
44.291	Failure to inform driver of obligations, penalties and rights under implied consent law (see secs. 13.36213, 44.5422, 44.5452, and 44.5461)	44-11
44.292	Failure to provide alternative test (see secs. 44.5424 and 44.5441)	44-11
44.293	Failure to honor defendant's refusal to consent to test (see sec. 44.5426)	44-11
44.3	Requirement That Driver Take Test	44-11
44.31	Requirement That Driver Take Designated Test	44-11
44.311	In general	44-12
44.312	Officer's right to request different test than that originally designated	44-12
44.313	Officer's right to request additional test after defendant submits to originally requested test	44-12
44.314	Driver has no right to refuse test based on fact that hospital or medical personnel performing test require driver to sign consent form consistent with provisions of implied consent law	44-12
44.315	Threatened sanction under implied consent law for failure to consent to blood alcohol test (i.e., loss of driving privilege) does not constitute coercive measure invalidating consent for Fourth Amendment purposes (see sec. 44.141)	44-12
44.32	What Constitutes Refusal To Take Test	44-12
44.321	In general	44-12
44.322	Verbal refusal not required; conduct of accused may serve as basis for finding refusal	44-12
44.323	Failure to cooperate in administration of test constitutes refusal to take test	44-12
44.324	Conditioning submission to chemical test upon ability to confer with attorney constitutes refusal	44-12
44.3241	General rule	44-12
44.3242	Exception to general rule when officer explicitly assures or implicitly suggests that driver has right to consult with counsel	44-12
44.3243	Exception to general rule does not exist when driver is subjectively confused about whether he has right to consult with counsel	44-12
44.325	Responding to request to submit to test with repeated requests for attorney can amount to refusal if officer informs driver that he has no right to attorney at that point	44-13

44.326	Failure to cooperate in administration of test constitutes refusal to take test	44-13
44.33	Driver's Right To Alternative Test Or Reasonable Opportunity For Additional Test	44-13
44.331	Driver's right to alternative test at agency expense	44-13
44.3311	When alternative test required (see sec. 44.2411)	44-13
44.3312	Remedy for failure to provide alternative test (see secs. 44.5424 and 44.5441)	44-13
44.3313	Admission of evidence that right was denied	44-13
44.3314	Other matters	44-13
44.33141	Right to alternative test does not give driver right to designate test to be administered by officer (see sec. 44.2415)	44-13
44.332	Driver's right to reasonable opportunity for additional test at person's expense	44-13
44.3321	Agency required only to provide "reasonable opportunity" for additional test, not to comply with a reasonable request for an additional test (see sec. 44.2421)	44-13
44.3322	Remedy for failure to provide reasonable opportunity for additional test (see sec. 44.5425)	44-13
44.34	Reasonableness Of Refusal To Take Test	44-13
44.341	In general	44-13
44.342	Where refusal due to mental disorder	44-13
44.343	Where refusal follows allegedly improper police activity (e.g., improperly returning defendant to accident scene from home without probable cause to arrest)	44-13
44.344	Driver has no right to refuse test based on fact that hospital or medical personnel performing test require driver to sign consent form consistent with provisions of implied consent law (see sec. 44.314)	44-14
44.345	Refusal is reasonable if officer explicitly assures or implicitly suggests that driver has right to consult with counsel but denies driver's request for such consultation (see sec. 44.3242)	44-14
44.346	Subjective confusion regarding obligations, penalties and rights under implied consent law does not make refusal reasonable	44-14
44.3461	In general (see sec. 44.2394)	44-14
44.3462	Confusion as to right to consult with counsel before blood-alcohol test administered (see sec. 44.3243)	44-14
44.347	Where refusal follows administration of Miranda warning	44-14
44.35	Other Matters	44-14
44.351	Willingness to submit to test, following earlier refusal, does not cure refusal	44-14
44.352	Notice of intent to revoke when defendant refuses test (see sec. 44.411)	44-14
44.4	Revocation And Suspension Proceedings	44-14
44.41	Revocation Of Operating Privilege For Refusal To Take Test	44-14
44.411	Notice of intent to revoke	44-14
44.4111	Requirement that notice be served immediately following refusal	44-14
44.41111	In general	44-14

44.41112	Failure to serve notice immediately following refusal does not render proceeding jurisdictionally defective or violate due process	44-14
44.41112	Requirement that notice contain "substantially all" information prescribed in Wis. Stat. § 343.305(9)(a)	44-14
44.41121	In general	44-14
44.41122	Information related to issues that may be raised at refusal (i.e., revocation) hearing	44-14
44.4113	Effect of defects in notice of intent to revoke	44-14
44.41131	In general	44-15
44.41132	Defect must be fundamental to deprive court of jurisdiction	44-15
44.41133	Technical defect will warrant relief only if it was prejudicial	44-15
44.412	Due process requirements relating to revocation	44-15
44.4121	In general	44-15
44.4122	Due process requires notice of intent to revoke, but does not require that notice be served immediately following refusal	44-15
44.4123	Need for pre-revocation hearing	44-15
44.4124	No right to counsel at revocation (i.e. refusal) hearing	44-15
44.413	Procedures	44-15
44.4131	Notice of intent to revoke (see sec. 44.411)	44-15
44.4132	District attorney to prosecute	44-15
44.4133	Not rendered defective by failure to submit copies of notice to concerned agencies	44-15
44.4134	Requirement that court make required determination within five days of revocation (i.e. refusal) hearing not jurisdictional	44-15
44.4135	No need for presuspension hearing	44-15
44.4136	Administrative suspension notice	44-15
44.41361	Contents of notice	44-15
44.4137	Civil procedures that do and do not apply in revocation (i.e. refusal) proceedings	44-15
44.41371	In general	44-15
44.41372	Discovery procedures provided by Wis. Stat. ch. 804 apply to revocation (i.e. refusal) proceedings	44-16
44.41373	Summary judgment not appropriate in revocation (i.e. refusal) proceeding	44-16
44.41374	Is request for admissions permissible in revocation (i.e. refusal) proceeding?	44-16
44.4138	Appointment of special prosecutor (see sec. 6.6712)	44-16
44.4139	Effect of procedural defects	44-16
44.41391	Defect must be fundamental to deprive court of jurisdiction	44-16
44.41392	Technical defect will warrant relief only if it was prejudicial	44-16

	44.41393 Particular defect: Improper denial of hearing because, e.g., trial court erroneously concludes that request for hearing was not timely made, that results in revocation of operating privilege before hearing held (see sec. 44.4173)	44-16
44.414	Procedure (cont'd)	44-16
	44.4141 Defendant has no right to counsel at revocation (i.e. refusal) hearing (see sec. 44.4124)	44-16
44.415	Issues at revocation (i.e. refusal) hearing; what must be proved	44-16
	44.4151 In general	44-16
	44.4152 Requirement that requesting officer have probable cause to believe person was operating vehicle under influence of intoxicant	44-16
	44.4153 Requirement that officer have complied with informational provisions of implied consent law	44-17
	44.41531 In general	44-17
	44.41532 When officer fails to provide statutorily required information, this requirement is not met and operating privileges may not be revoked based on refusal to submit to chemical testing (see sec. 44.2312)	44-17
	44.41533 When officer provides statutorily required information, but then provides more information in excess of statutory duty, three-pronged Quelle inquiry is required to determine whether operating privilege may be revoked based on refusal to submit to chemical testing (see sec. 44.2313)	44-17
	44.4154 To establish prejudicial misinformation, defendant not required to establish that he would have submitted to testing had he been properly informed (see sec. 44.2393)	44-17
	44.4155 No need to prove defendant was driving automobile	44-17
44.416	Standard and burden of proof at revocation (i.e. refusal) hearing	44-17
	44.4161 Standard of proof for finding of probable cause	44-17
	44.41611 In general	44-17
	44.41612 Comparison of standard of proof in this context and standard of proof in suppression context (see sec. 44.223)	44-17
	44.4162 Standard of proof for showing of causal connection between misinformation provided and person's refusal to take test (see sec. 44.23522)	44-17
	44.4163 Burden of proof on question of probable cause	44-17
	44.4164 Burden of proof for showing of causal connection between misinformation provided and person's refusal to take test (see sec. 44.23522)	44-17
	44.4165 Burden and standard of proof on question whether required information properly conveyed (see sec. 44.2386)	44-18
44.417	Appellate review of order revoking operating privilege for failure to submit to blood-alcohol testing	44-18
	44.4171 Standard of review	44-18

44.41711	In general	44-18
44.41712	Of question whether probable cause existed	44-18
44.41713	Of question whether officer has given additional and incorrect information to person	44-18
44.4172	Revocation (i.e. refusal) hearing is not "traffic regulation case"; therefore, order of revocation must be reduced to writing and filed before appellate court has jurisdiction	44-18
44.418	Other matters	44-18
44.4181	Propriety of revocation if blood, breath, or urine sample taken despite defendant's refusal	44-18
44.4182	Revocation (i.e. refusal) proceeding is a "special proceeding"	44-18
44.4183	Effect of improper denial of hearing because, e.g., trial court erroneously concludes that request for hearing was not timely made, that results in revocation of operating privilege before hearing held on whether operating privilege should be revoked	44-18
44.4184	Threatened sanction under implied consent law for failure to consent to blood alcohol test (i.e., loss of driving privilege) does not constitute coercive measure invalidating consent for Fourth Amendment purposes (see sec. 44.141)	44-18
44.4185	Defendant has no right to counsel at revocation (i.e. refusal) hearing (see sec. 44.4124)	44-18
44.4186	Revocation (i.e. refusal) proceeding is civil, not criminal, in nature	44-18
44.42	Suspension Of Operating Privilege When Test Result Indicates Alcohol Concentration Of 0.10 Or More	44-18
44.421	Initial suspension effected by law enforcement officer	44-18
44.422	Administrative hearing to review suspension	44-19
44.423	Judicial review of determination of hearing examiner	44-19
44.4231	Determining whether and when court's determination on review (e.g., determination that there was no probable cause for arrest) is binding on court in prosecution for drunk driving	44-19
44.5	Other Matters Relating To Implied Consent Law	44-19
44.51	Requirement That Driver Be Afforded Alternative Test Or Reasonable Opportunity For Additional Test	44-19
44.511	Requirement that driver be afforded alternative test (see sec. 44.241)	44-19
44.512	Requirement that driver be afforded a reasonable opportunity for additional test (see sec. 44.242)	44-19
44.52	Need To Retain Breathalyzer Ampoule Or Blood Or Urine Sample (see sec. 18.42)	44-19
44.53	Admission Of Blood Alcohol Test Results In Criminal Proceeding (see sec. 18.4)	44-19
44.54	Remedies For Failure To Follow Statutory Procedures	44-19
44.541	In general	44-19
44.542	Suppression in criminal prosecution of results of test for blood- alcohol concentration	44-19
44.5421	Generally not required for noncompliance with procedures set forth in implied consent law	44-19

44.5422	Not required for failure to inform driver of obligations, penalties and rights under implied consent law	44-19
44.5423	Not required for failure to comply with implied consent law when test administered in another state	44-20
44.5424	May be ordered, but not required to be ordered, for failure to provide alternative test	44-20
44.5425	May be ordered for failure to provide reasonable opportunity for additional test	44-20
44.5426	Not required for failure to honor defendant's refusal to consent to test	44-20
44.5427	Not required for refusal to honor request for breath test made by defendant who has not submitted to a test under implied consent law	44-20
44.5428	Not required for violations of other statutes (e.g., Americans With Disabilities Act)	44-20
44.5429	Since implied consent law does not provide sole means of collecting blood or other sample, police may use other constitutional means to collect admissible evidence of intoxication (see sec. 44.593)	44-20
44.543	Suppression in criminal prosecution of evidence of defendant's refusal to take test	44-20
44.5431	In general	44-20
44.5432	Required for failure to inform driver of obligations, penalties, and rights under implied-consent law (see sec. 13.36213)	44-20
44.544	Dismissal of criminal prosecution	44-21
44.5441	Not required for failure to provide alternative test	44-21
44.545	Dismissal of refusal proceeding seeking revocation of driving privilege	44-21
44.5451	In general	44-21
44.5452	Required for failure to inform driver of obligations, penalties, and rights under implied-consent law	44-21
44.546	Setting aside of administrative suspension of driving privilege	44-21
44.5461	Required for failure to inform driver of obligations, penalties and rights under implied consent law	44-21
44.547	Loss of automatic admissibility of, or statutory presumptions relating to, blood test results	44-21
44.5471	In general	44-21
44.5472	Not required for informing defendant that, if "any" test resulted in BAC of 0.10 percent or more, his license would be suspended	44-21
44.5473	Required for failure to inform driver of obligations, penalties and rights under implied consent law	44-21
44.55	Admissibility At Trial Of Defendant's Refusal To Submit To Test To Determine Inebriation (see secs. 13.3621, 22.4561, and 24.442)	44-21
44.56	Preliminary Breath Test (PBT)	44-21
44.561	Prerequisites to administration of PBT	44-21
44.5611	Probable cause to believe defendant operating vehicle under influence of intoxicant	44-21
44.56111	Requirement in general	44-21

44.56112	Meaning of "probable cause" in this context	44-22
44.56113	Existence of probable cause under particular circumstances	44-22
44.56114	Appellate review of determination of probable cause	44-22
44.562	Use of PBT to establish probable cause (see sec. 44.225)	44-22
44.563	Consideration of refusal to submit to PBT in determining whether probable cause or reasonable suspicion exists	44-22
44.5631	In general	44-22
44.5632	When statutory prerequisite to request to submit to PBT (e.g., probable cause) is lacking	44-22
44.564	Admissibility and admission of PBT results	44-22
44.5641	In general	44-22
44.5642	Prohibition on admission of PBT results extends only to prosecutions for offenses based on driving while intoxicated; it does not apply to prosecutions for other offenses	44-23
44.5643	Admission of PBT results to show untrustworthiness of statement given by defendant	44-23
44.5644	Prohibition on admission of PBT results extends only to proceedings relating to arrests for offenses contemplated under implied consent law	44-23
44.5645	Need for expert testimony regarding device's scientific accuracy, reliability, and compatibility with accepted scientific methods	44-23
44.56451	When PBT evidence introduced at trial on charge other than motor vehicle violation	44-23
44.56452	When PBT evidence introduced in case involving motor vehicle violation	44-23
44.5646	Need to show that PBT used was approved by department	44-23
44.5647	Admission of expert testimony based on PBT results (see sec. 44.565)	44-23
44.565	Admission of expert testimony based on PBT results	44-23
44.5651	In general	44-23
44.5652	Consistency of exclusion of expert testimony based on PBT results with defendant's right to present defense	44-23
44.566	Legislative history of statute excluding results of PBT (i.e., Wis. Stat. § 343.33)	44-23
44.567	Reasons for excluding results of PBT	44-23
44.568	PBT's place in process of OWI investigation	44-23
44.57	Dismissal Of Refusal Proceeding When Defendant Has Pled Guilty To OWI	44-24
44.571	In general	44-24
44.58	No Requirement That Driver Be Warned That Refusal To Submit To Chemical Breath Test Can Be Used Against Him At Trial (see sec. 13.36214)	44-24
44.59	Other Matters	44-24
44.591	Requirement that blood be drawn "only by a physician, registered nurse, medical technologist, physician's assistant or person acting under the direction of a physician"	44-24
44.5911	To qualify as "person acting under the direction of a physician," one need not be acting pursuant to specific order from physician in individual case	44-24

44.592	Right of defendant who has not submitted to test under implied consent law to request breath test	44-24
44.5921	In general	44-24
44.5922	No need for suppression of other test results for failure to honor request (see sec. 44.5427)	44-24
44.593	When samples sought from driver to prove intoxication, implied consent law does not provide sole means of collecting blood or other sample and failure to comply with implied consent law does not render test results inadmissible; police may use other constitutional means to collect evidence of intoxication	44-24
44.594	Appellate review of matters relating to implied consent law	44-24
44.5941	Standard of review	44-24

Chapter 45 Statutory Construction

45.1	General Principles Of Construction	45-1
45.11	Objectives Of Statutory Construction	45-1
45.111	To discern and effectuate legislative intent and statute's purpose	45-1
45.1111	In general	45-1
45.1112	Court assumes that legislature's intent is expressed in statutory language	45-9
45.1113	Court's rejection of words, or reading of words into statute, in order to effectuate legislative intent	45-9
45.11131	In general	45-9
45.11132	Court should not add words to a statute to give it a certain meaning (see sec. 45.19997)	45-10
45.1114	Does not require simplistic assumption that whatever furthers statute's primary objective must be the law	45-10
45.1115	Literal construction will not be adopted if it defeats legislative purpose	45-10
45.1116	Court's right to disregard grammatical errors or mistakes in statute in order to effectuate legislative intent	45-10
45.1117	Interpretation supporting statute's purpose is favored over one that will defeat objective of statute	45-10
45.1118	Court presumes that legislature intends for statute to be interpreted in manner that advances purposes of statute	45-10
45.1119	Other principles	45-10
45.11191	Legislative intent must be ascertained from language of statute in relation to its context, history, scope, and objective, including consequences of alternative interpretations	45-10
45.11192	But legislative intent is not primary focus of statutory construction; it is enacted law, not unenacted intent, that is binding on public	45-10
45.11193	All parts of statute should be construed to support statute's overall purpose	45-10
45.11194	Statutory interpretation that contravenes statute's purpose is not favored	45-10

45.11195	Canons of statutory construction are not mandatory rules, but guides designed to determine legislative intent; other circumstances evincing legislative intent can overcome their force (see sec. 45.19999)	45-11
45.11196	Court not required to give words their literal or usual meaning when acceptance of that meaning would thwart purpose or intent of statute	45-11
45.112	To determine, and give effect to, plain meaning of words in statute	45-11
45.1121	In general	45-11
45.1122	Meaning of language of statute to be determined in light of statute's manifest scope, context, and purpose insofar as they are ascertainable from text and structure of statute itself	45-11
45.1123	To discern plain meaning of statute, court may consider prior case law interpreting, or otherwise related to, statute	45-12
45.11231	In general	45-12
45.11232	Court of appeals may consider prior supreme court decisions stating purpose of statute	45-12
45.1124	To discern plain meaning of statute, court may consider history of statute	45-12
45.12	Primary Source Of Construction Is Language Of Statute; Statutory Construction Begins With Language Of Statute	45-12
45.121	In general	45-12
45.122	Showing of contrary intention in legislative history may justify departure from plain meaning of statutory language	45-22
45.123	When faced with plain language, court applies plain language unless such application would lead to absurd or unreasonable result; plain meaning of language of statute may be rejected if it would lead to absurd or unreasonable result	45-22
45.124	Plain meaning of language of statute may be rejected if it is clearly at odds with legislative intent	45-22
45.125	Plain meaning of statute takes precedence over all extrinsic sources and rules of construction	45-23
45.126	Court will not read words into statute if statute has clear meaning on its face	45-23
45.127	Scope, context, and purpose of statute are perfectly relevant to plain-meaning interpretation of unambiguous statute as long as the scope, context, and purpose are ascertainable from text and structure of statute itself, rather than from extrinsic sources, such as legislative history (see sec. 45.3194)	45-23
45.128	Court not at liberty to disregard plain, clear words of statute	45-23
45.129	Other matters	45-23
45.1291	If meaning of language is plain, inquiry ordinarily stops	45-23
45.13	Propriety Of Resort To Rules Of Statutory Construction: Statute Must Be Ambiguous; When Statutory Language Is Plain, It Is Interpreted According To Its Terms	45-23
45.131	General rule	45-23
45.1311	In general	45-23
45.1312	Dissent questioning validity of general rule	45-32

45.132	When statute is ambiguous: statutory language is ambiguous when it can be understood in two or more senses by reasonably well-informed persons	45-32
45.133	Disagreement on meaning of statute between parties or judges or between party and judge does not necessarily render statute ambiguous	45-33
45.134	Ambiguity can be created by statute's interaction with, or relation to, another statutory provision	45-33
45.135	Impact of legislative history of statute on question of ambiguity	45-34
45.1351	Legislative history of statute cannot be used to create ambiguity	45-34
45.1352	Legislative history of statute can be invoked to demonstrate that statute is not ambiguous and that court has properly interpreted it	45-34
45.1353	Legislative history may be examined to determine plain meaning of statute (see sec. 45.1124)	45-34
45.136	Impact of split of judicial authority regarding statute's meaning on question of ambiguity	45-34
45.1361	Split in other jurisdictions on meaning of similar statute evidences statute's ambiguity	45-34
45.1362	Division of judicial authority over the proper construction of a statute does not necessarily render it ambiguous	45-34
45.1363	When courts or judges disagree about interpretation of law, law is, by definition, ambiguous	45-34
45.137	Other matters relating to ambiguity	45-34
45.1371	Title of statute cannot be used to create ambiguity	45-34
45.1372	Propriety of resort to legislative history even in absence of ambiguity	45-34
45.1373	Effect of statutory definition of word or phrase	45-34
45.1374	Depending on facts of case, same statute may be found ambiguous in one setting and unambiguous in another (see sec. 45.3198)	45-35
45.138	Other matters relating to ambiguity (cont'd)	45-35
45.1381	Provision that statute is to be liberally construed to effectuate remedial purpose can only serve as aid for resolving ambiguity not as basis for creating ambiguity	45-35
45.1382	Statute unambiguous on its face may be ambiguous when applied to particular set of facts	45-35
45.1383	Statute plain on its face may be rendered ambiguous by context in which it is sought to be applied	45-35
45.1384	Statute may be plain and unambiguous when applied to one set of facts but yield an ambiguity when applied to another	45-35
45.1385	Before it will find statute ambiguous, court will first examine statutory definitions of words and phrases	45-35
45.1386	Extrinsic sources may be considered to assure that court's interpretation gives effect to intent of legislature	45-35
45.1387	Clear and unambiguous statute may be construed if literal application would lead to absurd or unreasonable result	45-35
45.1388	Ambiguity may be created by statute's placement in statute book	45-35

45.1389	Ambiguity not required before court may examine scope, context, or purpose of statute as long as the scope, context, and purpose are ascertainable from text and structure of statute itself, rather than extrinsic sources, such as legislative history (see sec. 45.3194)	45-35
45.139	Other matters relating to ambiguity (cont'd)	45-35
45.1391	Statute not rendered ambiguous simply because its provisions are difficult to apply to facts of particular case	45-35
45.1392	Use of word "any" may render statute unambiguous and susceptible to only one interpretation	45-36
45.1393	Ambiguity may be created by absence of explicit sanction of, or prohibition on, particular action that party argues is prohibited by statute	45-36
45.1394	Word is not ambiguous merely because it is general enough to encompass more than one set of circumstances	45-36
45.1395	Need to resort to dictionary to ascertain meaning of word does not render word ambiguous	45-36
45.1396	Fact that same conduct may be violation of two statutes does not mean that those statutes are ambiguous	45-36
45.1397	Statute need not be ambiguous before court may resort to dictionary for definition of its terms (see sec. 45.2122)	45-36
45.1398	Ambiguity not created by failure to address every interpretative theory	45-36
45.1399	Fact that statute can be applied in situations not expressly anticipated by legislature does not demonstrate ambiguity; it demonstrates breadth	45-36
45.14	Determining Which Controls When Statutes Conflict	45-36
45.141	Specific provision is controlling over general one	45-36
45.1411	In general	45-36
45.1412	Prosecutor may charge more general statute proscribing defendant's criminal conduct even though more specific statute exists	45-38
45.1413	Rule only applies where legislative intent cannot be discerned from pertinent provisions and provisions are in irreconcilable conflict	45-38
45.1414	Exception: when application of rule creates absurd result	45-38
45.1415	Rule applies only when general statute is ambiguous	45-38
45.1416	Rule does not apply if it appears that legislature intended general statute to prevail	45-38
45.142	Later provision is controlling over earlier one	45-38
45.15	When Strict Construction Required	45-38
45.151	Penal statute (rule of lenity)	45-38
45.1511	In general	45-38
45.1512	Rule is limited to penal statutes	45-42
45.1513	Rule only applies when statute is ambiguous; rule does not apply if statute is unambiguous	45-42
45.1514	Rule applies to ambiguous statute only if court cannot clarify intent of legislature by resort to legislative history or other extrinsic sources of interpretation, or to traditional canons of statutory construction	45-42

45.1515	Application of rule of lenity in determining whether multiple prosecutions under particular statutory provision are permitted	45-42
45.1516	Rule of strict construction of penal statute does not mean that only narrowest possible construction must be adopted in disregard of statute's purpose	45-42
45.1517	Rule of lenity has no application outside realm of statutory construction	45-43
45.1518	Other matters	45-43
45.15181	Rule of lenity does not require giving statute narrowest possible construction if doing so would run contrary to legislature's intent	45-43
45.15182	Rule of lenity should not be applied when it would defeat true meaning and intent of statute	45-43
45.15183	Rule of lenity applies only when there is grievous ambiguity or uncertainty in statute	45-43
45.15184	Rule of strict construction of penal statute cannot be used to circumvent purpose of statute	45-43
45.152	Statute in derogation of common law	45-43
45.153	Statute creating privilege (see sec. 17.94)	45-43
45.154	Costs statute	45-43
45.155	Strict construction should not defeat legislative intent	45-43
45.16	When Liberal Construction Required	45-43
45.161	Remedial statute	45-43
45.1611	In general	45-43
45.1612	Particular remedial statute	45-43
45.16121	Interstate agreement on detainers (see sec. 6.24955)	45-43
45.162	When statute provides that it is to be liberally construed (see sec. 45.1983)	45-43
45.17	What Construction Should Avoid	45-43
45.171	Unreasonable or absurd results	45-43
45.1711	In general	45-43
45.1712	Court's reading of words into statute in order to avoid absurd result	45-48
45.1713	Fact that plain language of statute creates unreasonable or absurd result does not give court right to change that language by judicial fiat; unreasonable or absurd results created by plain language of statute present problem for legislature to address	45-48
45.1714	Fact that interpretation not supported by statutory language is more reasonable than interpretation supported by statutory language does not necessarily make latter interpretation unreasonable or absurd	45-48
45.1715	When faced with plain language, court applies plain language unless such application would lead to absurd or unreasonable results (see sec. 45.123)	45-48
45.172	Conflict with other statutes	45-49
45.1721	In general	45-49

45.1722	Where no conflict exists, court will not look beyond plain words of statute in construing it	45-49
45.173	Rendering any part of statute superfluous, inoperative, or meaningless; court's duty to give effect, where possible, to every sentence, clause and word of statute	45-49
45.1731	In general	45-49
45.1732	Interpretation of statute that renders element of crime easy to prove does not render that element superfluous	45-51
45.174	Creation of anomaly	45-51
45.175	Rendering statute unconstitutional (see secs. 47.45, 47.46, and 47.47)	45-51
45.176	Rendering another or related statute inoperative (see sec. 45.1987)	45-51
45.177	Rendering a term redundant	45-51
45.178	Rendering statute internally inconsistent or illogical	45-51
45.179	Other results that should be avoided	45-52
45.1791	Tortured and twisted wheel-spinning requirement that lacks substantive rationale	45-52
45.1792	Leaving gaps in statute (see sec. 45.19987)	45-52
45.18	What Should Be Considered In Construing Statute	45-52
45.181	Entire statute or section; statute is to be read as a whole	45-52
45.182	Surrounding and closely-related sections or statutes	45-54
45.183	Other statutes dealing with same or similar subject	45-55
45.184	Position of statutory subsection	45-56
45.185	Different language in different statutes	45-56
45.186	Language included in one section of statute but omitted in another	45-56
45.187	Language deleted from earlier version of bill	45-56
45.188	Title of statute (see sec. 45.43)	45-56
45.189	Other matters	45-56
45.1891	Prior statutes on same subject (see sec. 45.1961)	45-56
45.1892	Associated words (noscitur a sociis) (see sec. 45.24)	45-56
45.1893	Limiting phrase	45-56
45.1894	Statute to which reference is made in statute being construed	45-56
45.1895	Terms that were considered and rejected in drafting of statute	45-56
45.1896	Context and structure of statute	45-56
45.1897	Overall intent of statutory scheme	45-56
45.19	Other Principles Of Construction	45-56
45.191	Statute should be interpreted with common sense; statute should be given its common sense meaning	45-57
45.192	Construction should produce harmony	45-57
45.1921	In general	45-57
45.1922	Statutes dealing with same subject matter should be construed together and harmonized	45-57
45.1923	Statutes on same subject matter should be construed in manner that harmonizes them and gives each full force and effect	45-59
45.1924	Each part of statute should be construed in connection with every other part to produce harmonious whole	45-59
45.1925	Apparently conflicting provisions of law should be construed so as to harmonize them	45-59

45.1926	Interrelated statutes should be construed to produce a harmonious whole	45-59
45.1927	When statutes conflict, court is to harmonize them in a manner that serves each statute's purpose	45-59
45.1928	Conflicts between statutes are not favored and will not be held to exist if statute may be reasonably interpreted otherwise	45-59
45.1929	Construction should reconcile legislature's goals in statutes under review	45-59
45.193	Statutory construction and the constitution	45-60
45.1931	Construction so as to render statute constitutional (see sec. 47.46)	45-60
45.1932	Construction so as to avoid constitutional issue (see sec. 47.45)	45-60
45.1933	Supplying constitutional deficiencies to save statute (see sec. 47.47)	45-60
45.194	Presumptions utilized in construing statutes	45-60
45.1941	Presumption that legislature, in enacting statutes, is aware of existing law	45-60
45.19411	In general	45-60
45.19412	Existing case law	45-60
45.1942	Presumption that legislature knows that in the absence of amendment of statute, construction put on it by court will remain unchanged	45-61
45.1943	Presumption against implied repeal of statute (see sec. 45.441)	45-61
45.1944	Presumption that legislature chose terms carefully and precisely to convey intended meaning	45-61
45.1945	Presumption that legislature employs terminology consistent with that used by courts (see sec. 45.2941)	45-61
45.1946	Presumptions relating to legislature's enactment of statute modeled on federal statute, but containing different language	45-62
45.19461	Presumption that, when state legislature has deliberately departed from broader language of federal statute that served as model for state statute, state legislature made deliberate choice to constrict statute's operation	45-62
45.19462	Presumption that, when state legislature has deliberately adopted different language than that found in federal statute that served as model for state statute, state legislature made deliberate choice to create statute with different meaning	45-62
45.1947	Presumption that when legislature alters the words of a statute, it must intend to change the statute's meaning	45-62
45.1948	Other presumptions (cont'd)	45-62
45.19481	Presumption that legislature intended to pass constitutional law	45-62

45.19482	Presumption that legislature intends for statute to be interpreted in manner that advances purposes of statute	45-62
45.19483	Presumption that legislature says what it means and means what it says	45-62
45.1949	Other presumptions (cont'd)	45-62
45.19491	Presumption that legislature intended to adopt common law definition of statutory term	45-62
45.19492	Presumption that adoption of wording of statute from another jurisdiction carries with it previous judicial interpretations of the wording	45-62
45.19493	Presumption that, when Legislature uses different terms in statute, it intends terms to have different meanings	45-62
45.19494	Presumption that legislature intended same word used in related statutes to have same meaning in both provisions	45-63
45.19495	Presumption that Congress unlikely to have intended radical departure from past practice without expressly saying so	45-63
45.19496	Presumption that legislature deliberately chose language it used in statute	45-63
45.19497	Where particular language is included in one section of statute but omitted in another section of same statute, it is generally presumed that the legislature acted intentionally and purposely in the disparate inclusion or exclusion	45-63
45.19498	Presumption that where legislature adopts new law incorporating sections of prior law, legislature had knowledge of interpretation given to incorporated law	45-63
45.19499	Presumption that, when legislature enacts verbatim provision of uniform act, intent of legislature is intent of drafters of uniform act	45-63
45.195	Legislative acquiescence in judicial or administrative interpretation of statute	45-63
45.1951	Judicial interpretation	45-63
45.19511	In general	45-63
45.19512	By failing to pass proposed legislation that would have overturned judicial interpretation	45-64
45.19513	When appellate court has authoritatively construed statute, chosen construction becomes part of statute and is to be maintained unless and until the legislature either amends or repeals statute	45-64
45.19514	Construction given to statute by supreme court becomes a part of statute unless legislature subsequently amends statute to effect change in it (see sec. 45.4795)	45-64

	45.19515	Presumption that legislature knows that, in the absence of amendment of statute, construction put on it by court will remain unchanged (see sec. 45.1942)	45-64
	45.1952	Administrative interpretation	45-64
	45.1953	Attorney general's interpretation	45-64
45.196		Other principles of construction	45-64
	45.1961	New statutes construed in light of prior statutes on same subject	45-64
	45.1962	Construction to be made in light of evil sought to be remedied	45-65
	45.1963	When statute contains particular provision, omission of such provision from similar statute concerning related subject shows that different intention existed with respect to that similar statute	45-65
	45.1964	Propriety of considering later-enacted laws (e.g., later amendments or additions to statute under consideration)	45-65
	45.1965	Amendment of statute that neither declares meaning of, nor seeks to clarify, earlier law fails to provide interpretive guidance	45-65
	45.1966	In absence of limiting language, court will not restrict unqualified language	45-65
	45.1967	When legislature has wanted list in statute to be non-exclusive, it has so stated in statute	45-65
	45.1968	Legislation must be interpreted in light of common law and scheme of jurisprudence existing at time of enactment	45-65
	45.1969	Determining reach or effect of qualifying or limiting words and phrases	45-65
	45.19691	In general	45-65
	45.19692	Principle of "last preceding antecedent" or "rule of last antecedent": qualifying or limiting words and phrases are deemed to refer only to immediately preceding antecedent, unless contrary legislative intent appears	45-66
	45.19693	Use of principles stated in LRB's Bill Drafting Manual to determine reach or effect of qualifying or limiting words or phrases	45-66
	45.19694	Reach or effect of adverb modifying transitive verb with object (see sec. 45.1978)	45-66
45.197		Other principles of construction (cont'd)	45-66
	45.1971	Adoption of wording of statute from another jurisdiction carries with it previous judicial interpretations of the wording (see sec. 45.19492)	45-66
	45.1972	Liberal construction of statute should not be adopted if it defeats legislative purpose	45-66
	45.1973	Effect of differences in statutes under consideration as part of same legislation	45-66
	45.1974	When description of obligation imposed by statute contains word "including," obligation extends beyond that described following the word "including"	45-66

45.1975	When same word is used in neighboring subsections, it should generally be given same meaning in each subsection (see sec. 45.298)	45-66
45.1976	Words or phrases appearing in the same statute should be given the same meaning each time they are used (see sec. 45.297)	45-66
45.1977	Statute must be read in its proper context	45-66
45.1978	Where transitive verb in statute has object, adverb that modifies transitive verb applies to entire action described in statute including object of verb	45-66
	45.19781 In general	45-66
	45.19782 When adverb is "knowingly" (see sec. 31.133)	45-66
45.1979	Party contending that legislative action has changed settled law has burden of showing that legislature intended such change	45-66
45.198	Other principles of construction (cont'd)	45-67
	45.1981 Implied repeal not favored (see sec. 45.441)	45-67
	45.1982 Lack of limiting language in statute precludes court from imposing limitations on its scope or application	45-67
	45.1983 Effect of statutory provision providing that statute is to be liberally construed	45-67
	45.1984 Effect of legislature's failure to enact particular provision	45-67
	45.1985 When legislature has demonstrated that it is capable of imposing certain requirement in other statutory provisions, absence of language imposing that requirement in statute under construction indicates legislature did not intend to impose such requirement in statute under construction	45-67
	45.1986 Court may not rewrite statute	45-67
	45.1987 Construction should not render another or related statute inoperative or meaningless	45-67
	45.1988 Verb tense is significant in construing statute	45-67
	45.1989 Should not lightly be assumed that legislature intended to depart from a long established policy when it amended statute	45-67
45.199	Other principles of construction (cont'd)	45-67
	45.1991 Construction of statutory reference to statutory section that does not exist	45-67
	45.1992 Spirit and intention of statute should govern over literal meaning	45-67
	45.1993 Need to conform statute to sound public policy	45-68
	45.1994 Refusal to assume major policy change from silent statute	45-68
	45.1995 Court will not read into statute what is not there; court ordinarily resists reading words or elements into statute that do not appear on its face	45-68
	45.1996 Statutes not to be read in derogation of common law, unless intent to change common law clearly expressed (see sec. 45.152)	45-68
	45.1997 Court's right to rewrite statute to effectuate legislative intent	45-68

45.19971	Court's right to reject words, or read words into statute, in order to effectuate legislative intent (see sec. 45.1113)	45-68
45.19972	Court's right to disregard grammatical errors or mistakes in statutes in order to effectuate legislative intent (see sec. 45.1116)	45-68
45.1998	Other principles	45-68
45.19981	Court should give effect, if possible, to every clause and word of statute	45-68
45.19982	Canons of statutory construction are not mandatory rules, but guides designed to determine legislative intent; other circumstances evincing legislative intent can overcome their force	45-68
45.19983	Statute should be interpreted to fulfill objectives of statute	45-68
45.19984	Fact that statute was enacted in response to particular situation or circumstance does not necessarily mean that its application is limited to that situation or circumstance	45-68
45.19985	Preamble to act does not necessarily control meaning of act; remedy enacted often extends beyond particular act or mischief which first suggested necessity of law	45-69
45.19986	Court applies statutes as they are written	45-69
45.19987	Construction to dispense with mens rea unwarranted in absence of some indication of legislative intent	45-69
45.19988	Statute should be construed to avoid leaving gaps in it	45-69
45.1999	Other principles (cont'd)	45-69
45.19991	Plain, obvious and rational meaning of statute is always to be preferred to any curious, narrow, or hidden sense	45-69
45.19992	It is policy of state legislature and not United States Congress that court must follow when it interprets Wisconsin statutes	45-69
45.19993	Plain meaning of statute takes precedence over all extrinsic sources and rules of construction (see sec. 45.125)	45-69
45.19994	When faced with plain language, court applies plain language unless such application would lead to absurd or unreasonable results (see sec. 45.123)	45-69
45.19995	Court will not read words into statute if statute has clear meaning on its face (see sec. 45.126)	45-69
45.19996	Use of LRB's Bill Drafting Manual to determine meaning of statute	45-69
45.19997	Court should not add words to a statute to give it a certain meaning	45-69

45.19998	Congress generally legislates with domestic concerns in mind	45-69
45.19999	Statutes should not be read as series of unrelated and isolated provisions	45-70
45.2	Meaning Of Language	45-70
45.21	Words To Be Given Common, Ordinary, and Accepted Meaning	45-70
45.211	In general	45-70
45.212	Use of dictionary definition	45-74
45.2121	In general	45-74
45.2122	Statute need not be ambiguous before court may resort to dictionary for definition of its terms	45-76
45.2123	Dictionary cannot always be relied on to supply meaning of term; focus must remain on ascertaining definition consistent with legislative intent	45-76
45.2124	When word has multiple dictionary definitions, applicable definition depends on context in which word is used, including purpose of statute in which it is used (see sec. 45.214)	45-76
45.213	Use of legal dictionary to determine meaning	45-76
45.214	When word has multiple common, ordinary, and accepted meanings, applicable meaning depends on context in which word is used, including purpose of statute in which it is used	45-77
45.215	Common, ordinary and accepted meaning can be established by case law	45-77
45.216	Statutory codification of this principle (Wis. Stat. § 990.01(1))	45-77
45.22	Technical Words Or Phrases	45-77
45.23	When Word Defined By Statute	45-78
45.231	In general	45-78
45.232	When definition contains word "includes"	45-78
45.233	Use of definition of word that legislature has specifically provided for that word when it is used in related statute (i.e., statute in same chapter as statute under consideration) to determine meaning of word when used in statute under consideration (see sec. 45.2983)	45-79
45.24	Words To Be Interpreted In Light Of Associated Words (Noscitur A Sociis)	45-79
45.241	In general	45-79
45.242	This canon of statutory construction does not apply when specific words listed do not have similar meaning	45-79
45.25	Meaning To Be Derived From Statute As A Whole, i.e., From Statutory Context In Which Words Are Placed	45-79
45.251	In general	45-80
45.252	When word has multiple meanings, applicable definition depends on context in which word is used	45-81
45.253	Because statutes are not read as collection of isolated phrases, word in statute may or may not extend to outer limits of its definitional possibilities (see sec. 45.2984)	45-81
45.26	Expressio Unius Est Exclusio Alterius	45-81
45.261	In general	45-81

45.262	When legislature specifically enumerates certain exceptions to statute, court will conclude that legislature intended to exclude any other exception	45-82
45.27	General Word, When Following Or Preceding List Of Specifics, Is Interpreted To Include Only Items Of Same Type As Those Listed (Ejusdem Generis)	45-82
45.271	In general	45-82
45.272	When specific terms do not suggest particular class, rule of ejusdem generis does not apply	45-82
45.28	Meaning Of Particular Words	45-82
45.281	Everyday words commonly interpreted as part of statutory construction	45-82
45.2811	"Shall"; is it mandatory or directory?	45-82
45.2812	"May"	45-83
45.2813	"And"	45-84
45.28131	In general	45-84
45.28132	May be given disjunctive meaning; may be read as "or"	45-84
45.2814	"Or"	45-84
45.2815	"Includes" or "including"	45-84
45.2816	"Any"	45-84
45.2817	"So as"	45-84
45.2818	"Result"	45-84
45.2819	Other words	45-84
45.28191	"Purpose"	45-85
45.28192	"Means"	45-85
45.282	Words relating to crimes and criminal liability in general (see sec. 31.5)	45-85
45.283	Words relating to crimes against children (see sec. 38.61)	45-85
45.284	Words relating to procedural matters (see sec. 12.71)	45-85
45.285	Words relating to gambling crimes (see sec. 38.52)	45-85
45.286	Words relating to traffic offenses (see sec. 37.12)	45-85
45.287	Words relating to sentencing (see sec. 9.492)	45-85
45.288	Words relating to sexually violent person (ch. 980) commitments (see sec. 10.791)	45-85
45.289	Words relating to other matters (see sec. 47.871)	45-85
45.29	Other Principles And Matters Relating To Meaning Of Language	45-85
45.291	Words to be given meaning they have in other statutes	45-85
45.292	Singular and plural; singular includes the plural	45-85
45.293	Terms connected by disjunctive "or" to be given separate meanings	45-85
45.294	Presumptions with respect to legislature's use of particular language	45-85
45.2941	Legislature is presumed to employ terminology consistent with that used by courts	45-85
45.2942	When legislature uses similar but different terms in statute, it is presumed that legislature intended those terms to have different meanings (see sec. 45.2962)	45-86
45.2943	Legislature presumed to have intended to adopt common law definition of statutory term (see sec. 45.19491)	45-86
45.2944	Presumption that legislature chose terms carefully and precisely to express its meaning (see sec. 45.1944)	45-86

45.2945	Legislature is presumed to have intended words to have their precise meanings	45-86
45.295	Statutory term is generally accorded common-law meaning	45-86
45.2951	In general	45-86
45.2952	This maxim applies only to a statutory term, not to a statutory scheme that is analogous to a common-law scheme	45-86
45.296	Different words to be given different meanings	45-86
45.2961	In general	45-86
45.2962	When legislature uses similar but different terms in statute, it is presumed that legislature intended those terms to have different meanings	45-86
45.297	Words or phrases appearing in several places in same statute should be given same meaning each time they appear	45-86
45.298	Other principles and matters	45-87
45.2981	When same word or same language is used in neighboring subsections, it should generally be given same meaning in each subsection	45-87
45.2982	Use of case law from other states to determine meaning of words in statute	45-87
45.2983	When judicial interpretations have settled on meaning of existing statutory provision, subsequent repetition of same language in new statute indicates, as general matter, legislature's intent to incorporate those judicial interpretations as well	45-87
45.2984	Because statutes are not read as collection of isolated phrases, word in statute may or may not extend to outer limits of its definitional possibilities	45-87
45.2985	Use of definition of word that legislature has specifically provided for that word when it is used in related statute (i.e., statute in same chapter as statute under consideration) to determine meaning of word when used in statute under consideration	45-87
45.29851	In general	45-87
45.29852	But legislature's failure to specify that term used in one statute has same meaning given that term in another statute, when legislature has so specified elsewhere, indicates legislature did not intend term to have that meaning (see sec. 45.2992)	45-87
45.299	Other principles and matters (cont'd)	45-87
45.2991	Construing time limits in statutes as regulatory or directory (see sec. 12.783)	45-87
45.2992	Legislature's failure to specify that term used in one statute has same meaning given that term in another statute, when legislature has so specified elsewhere, indicates legislature did not intend term to have that meaning	45-87
45.2993	Language of one subsection should be construed to be consistent with identical language in other subsections of same statute	45-88

45.2994	Resort to Wisconsin Administrative Code for guidance in defining terms used in statutes	45-88
45.2995	When words of court are used in later statute governing same subject matter, words should be given same meaning in absence of specific direction to the contrary	45-88
45.2996	Meaning of reference to other statutory section	45-88
45.29961	In general	45-88
45.29962	Number of particular section is simply shorthand means of describing or designating substance of that section	45-88
45.29963	Construction of statutory reference to section that does not exist (see sec. 45.1991)	45-88
45.2997	Same word may have different meanings in varying contexts	45-88
45.2998	When word has multiple meanings, applicable definition depends on context in which word is used, including purpose of statute in which it is used (see secs. 45.214 and 45.252)	45-88
45.2999	Propriety of giving same word in statute different meaning in different cases	45-88
45.3	Extrinsic Aids To Interpretation	45-88
45.31	Propriety Of Resort To Extrinsic Aids To Interpretation: Statute Must Be Ambiguous; When Statutory Language Is Plain, It Is Interpreted According To Its Terms	45-88
45.311	General rule	45-88
45.3111	In general	45-88
45.3112	Dissent questioning validity of general rule	45-95
45.3113	Decisions suggesting that resort to extrinsic aids (in particular, legislative history) may be appropriate even in absence of ambiguity	45-95
45.312	When statute is ambiguous: statutory language is ambiguous when it can be understood in two or more senses by reasonably well-informed persons	45-95
45.313	Disagreement on meaning of statute between parties or between party and trial court does not necessarily render statute ambiguous (see sec. 45.133)	45-95
45.314	Cannot resort to notes or comments to statute to override clear meaning of statute	45-95
45.315	Ambiguity may be created by interaction of two related provisions or two separate statutes; interaction of two or more statutes can operate to create ambiguity	45-95
45.316	Court may look to legislative history to reinforce conclusion that statute is indeed unambiguous (see sec. 45.3182)	45-96
45.317	Statute need not be ambiguous before court may resort to dictionary for definition of its terms (see sec. 45.2122)	45-96
45.318	Impact of legislative history of statute on question of ambiguity	45-96
45.3181	Legislative history of statute cannot be used to create ambiguity	45-96

45.3182	Legislative history of statute can be invoked to demonstrate that statute is not ambiguous and that court has properly interpreted it	45-96
45.3183	Legislative history may be examined to determine plain meaning of statute (see sec. 45.1124)	45-96
45.319	Other matters	45-96
45.3191	Statute may be rendered ambiguous by context in which it is sought to be applied	45-96
45.3192	Clear and unambiguous statute may be construed if literal application would lead to absurd or unreasonable result	45-96
45.3193	Ambiguity may be created by statute's placement in statute book	45-96
45.3194	Ambiguity not required before court may examine scope, context, or purpose of statute as long as the scope, context, and purpose are ascertainable from text and structure of statute itself, rather than from extrinsic sources, such as legislative history	45-96
45.3195	LRB's Bill Drafting Manual is not extrinsic aid to interpretation to which resort may only be made when ambiguity exists	45-97
45.3196	Extrinsic sources may be consulted to confirm or verify plain-meaning interpretation of statute	45-97
45.3197	Even when statute is unambiguous, legislative history may be consulted to confirm plain-meaning interpretation of statute	45-97
45.3198	Word is not ambiguous merely because it is general enough to encompass more than one set of circumstances (see sec. 45.1394)	45-97
45.3199	Depending on facts of case, same statute may be found ambiguous in one setting and unambiguous in another	45-97
45.32	Legislative History In General	45-97
45.321	In general	45-97
45.322	Legislative history not anchored to text of statute does not have authoritative weight	45-98
45.323	When more specific statute governs situation, court need not examine legislative history of more general statute	45-98
45.324	Murky legislative history should not be permitted to undermine plain statutory language	45-98
45.325	Court may consult legislative history, even if statute is not ambiguous, to show that it supports court's interpretation of statute otherwise clear on its face (see secs. 45.1352 and 45.3182)	45-98
45.326	If statute is plain and unambiguous on its face, court will not look to legislative history as guide to its meaning	45-98
45.327	Legislative history may be examined to determine plain meaning of statute (see sec. 45.1124)	45-98
45.33	Notes And Comments To Statute	45-98
45.331	In general	45-99
45.3311	Cannot use notes or comments to statute to override clear meaning of statute	45-99
45.332	Advisory committee notes and comments	45-99

	<i>Page</i>	
45.3321	In general	45-99
45.3322	Legislative council's judiciary committee report on 1953 code	45-99
45.3323	Legislative council's judiciary committee report on 1951 code	45-99
45.3324	Legislative council's judiciary committee report on 1949 code	45-99
45.3325	Advisory committee notes to federal rules of evidence (see sec. 20.8677)	45-99
45.333	Legislative council notes and comments	45-99
45.3331	In general	45-99
45.3332	Legislative council's judiciary committee comments on 1949 and 1953 codes (see secs. 45.3322 and 45.3323)	45-100
45.334	Judicial council notes and comments	45-100
45.3341	In general	45-100
45.3342	Judicial council committee's note to 1981 revision of competency statutes	45-100
45.3343	Judicial council comments on 1988 revision of homicide statutes	45-100
45.3344	Judicial council committee's notes to rules of evidence	45-100
45.3345	Judicial council notes to 1969 revision of criminal procedure code	45-100
45.3346	Judicial council committee's notes to rules of appellate procedure	45-100
45.335	Fiscal notes	45-100
45.336	Drafters' notes to uniform acts	45-100
45.337	Revisers' notes	45-100
45.34	Comments Of Persons Involved In Drafting And Passing Statute	45-100
45.341	General principles	45-100
45.3411	In general	45-100
45.3412	Comments made after enactment of statute that could not have had any effect on those who voted for statute	45-100
45.342	Member of committee that drafted statute	45-100
45.3421	In general	45-100
45.3422	Platz law review article on 1955 code	45-101
45.3423	Platz law review article on 1949 code	45-101
45.3424	Martineau and Malmgren book on appellate rules	45-101
45.3425	Minutes of criminal rules committee of judicial council that redrafted criminal procedure statutes in 1969	45-101
45.3426	Minutes of judicial council committee that redrafted homicide law in 1988	45-101
45.3427	Dickey et al. law review article on 1988 revision of homicide statutes	45-101
45.3428	Platz article on "the Wisconsin Bar and the Proposed Criminal Code"	45-101
45.343	Drafter	45-101
45.3431	In general	45-101
45.3432	Drafter of uniform law upon which state statute based	45-101
45.344	Person who proposed legislation	45-101
45.3441	In general	45-101

45.3442	Drafting request (see sec. 45.3512)	45-102
45.345	Legislators involved in introducing and passing statute	45-102
45.3451	In general	45-102
45.3452	Legislator who requested drafting of statute	45-102
45.3453	Sponsor or floor manager	45-102
45.34531	In general	45-102
45.34532	In case of federal statute, sponsor's statement to full House or Senate	45-102
45.3454	Legislator who opposed bill	45-102
45.346	Legislative committee reports	45-102
45.347	Sponsoring agency	45-102
45.35	LRB Records, Analysis, And Other Material	45-102
45.351	Records (e.g., drafting file)	45-102
45.3511	Drafting file in general	45-102
45.3512	Drafting request	45-102
45.3513	LRB attorney's response to drafting request	45-102
45.3514	Letter found in drafting file	45-102
45.352	Analysis	45-102
45.353	Bill Drafting Manual (see sec. 45.19996)	45-103
45.36	Other Legislative History	45-103
45.361	Minutes of criminal code advisory committee	45-103
45.362	Legislative council staff memorandum	45-103
45.363	Legislative council pamphlet	45-103
45.364	Letter from department head to legislator regarding meaning of statute	45-103
45.365	Statement of legislative findings that prefaces enactment	45-103
45.366	Letter found in LRB file (see sec. 45.3514)	45-103
45.367	Report of Criminal Penalties Study Committee	45-103
45.368	View of person involved in drafting or amendment process that was not communicated to others involved in process	45-104
45.37	Attorney General's Interpretation	45-104
45.371	Comment on pending legislation	45-104
45.372	Formal opinion	45-104
45.38	Criminal Jury Instructions Committee Materials	45-104
45.381	In general	45-104
45.382	In interpreting statute with which jury instruction deals, jury instruction is merely persuasive, not precedential	45-104
45.39	Other Extrinsic Aids	45-104
45.391	Judicial interpretation of similar statutes in other jurisdictions	45-104
45.3911	In general	45-105
45.3912	Federal decisions interpreting federal rules of evidence (see secs. 20.8671 and 20.8672)	45-105
45.3913	Federal decisions interpreting RICO (see sec. 35.8171)	45-105
45.3914	Federal decisions interpreting federal statute or rule upon which state statute or rule was based	45-105
45.3915	Decisions interpreting federal statute creating drug-free zone around school	45-105
45.3916	Decisions interpreting uniform act upon which statute being construed was based	45-105
45.39161	In general	45-105

45.39162	Decisions interpreting uniform controlled substances act	45-106
45.3917	Decisions interpreting interstate agreement on detainers (see sec. 6.2394)	45-106
45.3918	Statute that served as model for statute being construed	45-106
45.3919	Federal decisions interpreting federal conspiracy statutes (see sec. 39.297)	45-106
45.392	Administrative agency's interpretation	45-106
45.3921	Of statute	45-106
45.39211	In general	45-106
45.39212	Court not bound by agency's interpretation	45-106
45.39213	Deference owed to agency's interpretation	45-106
45.3922	Of administrative rule (see sec. 47.8764)	45-106
45.3923	Of statute's applicability or inapplicability	45-106
45.393	Dictionary definition of terms (see sec. 45.212)	45-107
45.394	Subsequent legislative history	45-107
45.3941	In general	45-107
45.3942	Subsequently proposed legislation	45-107
45.3943	Subsequent interpretation by legislative agency	45-107
45.3944	Use of provisions of TIS II in interpreting TIS I	45-107
45.395	Legislature's failure to enact particular provision (see sec. 45.1984)	45-107
45.396	Past practice	45-107
45.397	Comments and other material relating to model or uniform act upon which Wisconsin provision at issue based	45-107
45.4	Other Matters Relating To Statutory Construction	45-107
45.41	Appellate Review Of Statutory Construction	45-107
45.411	Construction of statute is question of law subject to independent review by appellate court	45-107
45.412	Application of statute is question of law subject to independent review by appellate court	45-125
45.413	Whether statute is ambiguous is question of law subject to independent review by appellate court	45-130
45.414	Whether particular act is authorized by statute is question of law subject to independent review by appellate court	45-130
45.42	Applicability Of Statute To (1) Past Act Or (2) Pending Prosecution: Does Statute Have Retroactive Application Or Does It Have Prospective Effect Only?	45-130
45.421	General Principles	45-130
45.4211	In general	45-130
45.4212	When act makes particular provision in it retroactive, there is negative inference that other provisions are not retroactive	45-131
45.4213	When application of statute is triggered by commencement of case, relevant case for statute directed to appeals is one initiated in appellate court	45-131
45.4214	When act makes provision applicable to pending proceeding	45-131
45.4215	Procedural statutes are generally given retroactive application	45-131

45.422	Particular applications	45-131
45.4221	Forfeiture of vehicle with removed, altered, or obliterated VIN which was seized before effective date of statute authorizing seizure does not constitute retroactive application of statute (see sec. 47.1922)	45-131
45.4222	Expungement provision of juvenile justice code (see sec. 42.94)	45-131
45.4223	Applying new standard for ch. 980 commitment to trial commenced after effective date of legislation creating that standard even if petition was filed prior to that date (see secs. 10.73532 and 10.73533)	45-131
45.4224	Statute governing access to presentence report	45-131
45.423	Constitutional limitations on retroactive application of statute	45-131
45.4231	Ex post facto limitations (see sec. 30.92)	45-131
45.4232	Due process limitations (see sec. 22.872)	45-131
45.43	Titles, Captions, and Headings in Statutes	45-131
45.431	In general	45-131
45.432	Section title or caption is not part of statute	45-132
45.433	Titles may be considered in resolving doubt as to statute's meaning, but should not be used to create doubt where none would otherwise exist	45-132
45.434	Title of statute cannot limit plain meaning of text	45-132
45.435	To extent they are inconsistent, court must be guided by words of statute, not by common meaning of statute's title	45-132
45.44	Repeal Of Statute	45-132
45.441	Implied repeal of statute	45-132
45.442	Effect of repeal of statute creating criminal liability or penalty for criminal offense (Wis. Stat. § 990.04)	45-133
45.4421	In general	45-133
45.4422	Application of this statute to change in penalty for continuing crime when course of conduct constituting continuing crime commences prior to date of penalty change, but concludes after that date	45-133
45.45	In Construing Statute, Court Is Law Interpreter, Not Lawmaker; It Is Not Court's Role To Legislate	45-133
45.451	In general	45-133
45.452	Power to construe statute does not include power to amend or rewrite it	45-133
45.4521	In general	45-133
45.4522	Court may not rewrite plain language of statute even when plain language appears at odds with general purpose of statute	45-133
45.4523	Court is not free to rewrite statute even though potential for harsh results exists	45-133
45.453	Whether statute is wise or unwise is not for court to say	45-133
45.454	Public policy considerations, and public policy arguments in favor of particular construction, must be addressed to legislature, not to appellate court	45-134
45.455	If statute fails to cover particular situation and omission should be cured, remedy lies with legislature, not the courts	45-134

45.456	Need to conform statute to sound public policy (see sec. 45.1993)	45-134
45.457	Court should not give statute meaning not justified by its language merely to avoid inequitable or unwise results	45-134
45.458	Appellate court will refrain from interpreting or rewriting statute solely to comport with public policy	45-134
45.459	Other principles	45-134
45.4591	Where complex and controversial public policy considerations are at work, it is appropriate for courts to defer to legislature	45-134
45.4592	It is function of legislature, not courts, to remedy effect of judicial practices which may be unwise, yet comply with existing law	45-134
45.4593	Fact that plain language of statute creates unreasonable or absurd result does not give court right to change that language by judicial fiat; unreasonable or absurd results created by plain language of statute presents problem for legislature to address (see sec. 45.1713)	45-135
45.4594	If words of statute do not do what legislature probably meant them to do, it is not appellate court's job to rewrite statute	45-135
45.4595	Job of court is to interpret statute, not to judge wisdom of Legislature	45-135
45.4596	Court should not add words to a statute to give it a certain meaning (see sec. 45.19997)	45-135
45.4597	In construing statute, court gives deference to policy choices made by legislature	45-135
45.46	Decision containing extended discussion identifying methods of statutory interpretation, designating which method is followed in Wisconsin, and describing the "general framework" for statutory construction in Wisconsin	45-135
45.47	Other And Related Matters	45-135
45.471	Construction and interpretation of constitutional provisions (see sec. 21.51)	45-135
45.472	Construction and interpretation of administrative rules	45-135
45.4721	In general (see sec. 47.876)	45-135
45.4722	Administrative rule is construed and its construction is reviewed on appeal the same as a statute (see sec. 47.8763)	45-135
45.473	Construction and interpretation of court rules (see sec. 47.877)	45-135
45.474	Construction of state statute by federal court	45-135
45.475	Construction of federal statute by state court	45-135
45.476	Effect of concession by attorney general as to meaning of statute	45-135
45.477	Construing statute so as to avoid a constitutional issue (see sec. 47.45)	45-136
45.478	Other matters	45-136
45.4781	Effect of legislature's failure to enact particular provision (see sec. 45.1984)	45-136
45.4782	Statute's statement of purpose does not create independent enforceable right since it is not substantive	45-136
45.4783	Stare decisis has special force in area of statutory interpretation where legislature is free to alter construction court has placed on statute (see sec. 11.89472)	45-136
45.479	Other matters	45-136

45.4791	Statutory construction is complex task	45-136
45.4792	Statutes are expressions of policy arising out of specific situations and addressed to the attainment of particular ends	45-136
45.4793	Determining whether statute is mandatory or directory	45-136
45.4794	Statute created by governor's exercise of partial veto power	45-136
45.47941	In general	45-136
45.47942	Irrelevant that statute is result of partial gubernatorial veto	45-136
45.47943	Statute remaining after exercise of partial veto power must be complete, entire, and workable law	45-136
45.4795	Construction given to statute by supreme court or court of appeals becomes part of statute unless legislature subsequently amends statute to effect change	45-136
45.47951	In general	45-136
45.47952	When appellate court may overturn previous construction of statute (see sec. 45.47962)	45-137
45.4796	Adherence to previous interpretation of statute: prior interpretation of statute by supreme court or court of appeals is applied when courts subsequently consider same statute	45-137
45.47961	In general	45-137
45.47962	When appellate court may overturn previous interpretation of statute	45-137
45.4797	Determining whether statutory reference to specifically designated statute also covers former statutory incarnation of designated statute	45-137
45.4798	Adoption in appellate decision of standard or rule (e.g., ABA standard) does not adopt commentary to standard or rule; formal adoption of commentary necessary to make it law (see sec. 11.9536)	45-137
45.4799	Effective date of statute	45-137
45.48	Other And Related Matters (cont'd)	45-137
45.481	Statute does not need to contain its own enabling clause to be valid (see sec. 12.7994)	45-137

Chapter 46

The Press and the Criminal Justice System

46.1	Media Access To Criminal Proceedings	46-1
46.11	In General	46-1
46.12	Pretrial Proceedings	46-1
46.121	In general	46-1
46.122	Hearing on request for permission to file complaint when district attorney refuses to issue it	46-1
46.123	Preliminary examination	46-1
46.124	Suppression hearing	46-1
46.125	Proceedings relating to incompetency of defendant to proceed	46-1

	<i>Page</i>
46.13 Voir Dire Examination Of Jurors	46-1
46.131 In general	46-1
46.132 Trial court's withholding, or barring revelation of, information that would identify jurors	46-2
46.14 Criminal Trial	46-2
46.15 Reexamination Of Person Committed After Finding Of Not Guilty By Reason Of Mental Disease Or Defect	46-2
46.16 Juvenile Delinquency Proceeding	46-2
46.17 Other Proceedings	46-2
46.2 Media Access To Criminal Justice Records	46-2
46.21 General Principles	46-2
46.211 In General	46-2
46.212 Governmental denial of access to information in its possession does not violate First Amendment (see sec. 30.9595)	46-2
46.22 Law Enforcement Agency Records	46-2
46.221 Arrest records	46-2
46.222 Investigative records	46-2
46.223 FBI "rap sheets"	46-2
46.23 Judicial Records And Evidence	46-2
46.231 In general	46-2
46.232 Search warrant	46-3
46.2321 In general	46-3
46.2322 When warrant issued as part of John Doe proceeding (see sec. 4.2353)	46-3
46.233 Proceedings relating to incompetency of defendant to proceed	46-3
46.24 Juvenile Delinquency Records (see secs. 42.46 and 42.93)	46-3
46.25 Other Records	46-3
46.3 Media Access To Criminal Justice Institutions	46-3
46.31 Jails And Prisons	46-3
46.32 Other Institutions	46-3
46.4 Media's Right To Publish Information	46-3
46.41 General Principles	46-3
46.411 In General	46-3
46.412 First Amendment protects publication of communication that was unlawfully obtained by another person in violation of "wiretap" statute, but which publisher lawfully obtained from that other person, and which deals with matter of public interest	46-3
46.42 Juvenile Delinquency Records And Proceedings	46-3
46.421 Disclosure and publication of name of, and other identifying information about, juvenile (see sec. 42.493)	46-3
46.43 Other Information	46-3
46.431 Victim's identity	46-3
46.432 Information regarding confidential proceeding	46-3
46.44 Validity Of Pretrial "Gag" Orders	46-3
46.5 Other Matters Relating To The Press And The Criminal Justice System	46-3
46.51 Matters Covered Elsewhere In This Book	46-3

46.511	Search of media office (see sec. 1.652)	46-3
46.512	Journalist's privilege (see sec. 17.82)	46-4
46.513	Television, radio and photographic coverage of criminal trial (see sec. 8.892)	46-4
46.52	Media Access To Crime Or Disaster Scenes	46-4
46.53	First amendment does not give reporter right to break law to obtain news	46-4

Chapter 47 Other Proceedings and Matters

47.1	Noncriminal Actions And Proceedings Relating To Criminal Activity	47-1
47.11	State Racketeering Activity And Continuing Criminal Enterprise Civil Remedies	47-1
47.111	Criminal prosecutions for state racketeering and continuing criminal enterprise violations	47-1
47.12	Federal Racketeer Influenced And Corrupt Organizations Proceeding	47-1
47.121	What constitutes "pattern of racketeering activity"	47-1
47.122	Prohibition on person conducting affairs of enterprise through pattern of racketeering activity	47-1
47.1221	Requires that person and enterprise be distinct entities	47-1
47.1222	Corporation, and corporate employee who unlawfully conducts affairs of corporation of which he is sole owner, are distinct entities	47-1
47.123	Criminal conviction for predicate acts not prerequisite to civil action	47-1
47.124	No need to establish distinct "racketeering injury" to obtain civil recovery	47-1
47.125	Permits forfeiture of insurance resulting from arson	47-1
47.126	Seizure of bookstore and its contents as civil remedy for racketeering violations not constitutionally permissible without prior judicial determination in adversarial proceeding	47-1
47.127	Forfeiture of property used in, or proceeds from, criminal enterprise (see sec. 35.921)	47-1
47.128	Criminal prosecution under federal organized crime control provisions (see secs. 35.91 and 35.92)	47-1
47.129	Other matters	47-1
47.1291	RICO does not require proof that either the racketeering enterprise or the predicate acts of racketeering were motivated by an economic purpose	47-1
47.1292	Can RICO be used against anti-abortion groups?	47-2
47.1293	Plaintiff may be compelled to arbitrate claims arising under RICO, notwithstanding fact that parties' arbitration agreement may be construed to limit arbitrator's authority to award damages under RICO statute	47-2
47.1294	When extortion in violation of Hobbs Act is alleged racketeering activity, government must show that defendant obtained property (see sec. 35.9114)	47-2

47.1295	When violation of Hobbs Act is alleged racketeering activity, physical violence alleged to violate Hobbs Act must be physical violence related to robbery of extortion (see sec. 35.9115)	47-2
47.13	Actions Relating To Pornography Or Obscenity	47-2
47.131	Action to have printed matter declared obscene or harmful to minors	47-2
47.1311	Constitutionality	47-2
47.1312	Commencement of action	47-2
47.1313	Proof beyond a reasonable doubt standard not required	47-2
47.132	Enjoining operation of adult bookstore on grounds that it is public nuisance	47-2
47.133	Action relating to exhibition of explicit material at outdoor theater	47-2
47.14	Forfeiture Of Property Under Uniform Controlled Substances Act	47-2
47.141	Nature and purpose of proceeding	47-2
47.1411	Purpose of proceeding	47-2
47.142	Procedures	47-2
47.1421	Rules of civil procedure applicable	47-2
47.1422	Action cannot be brought against vehicle as sole defendant	47-2
47.1423	Requirement of service of authenticated copies of papers commencing forfeiture action	47-2
47.1424	Requirement of affidavit of person who seized property	47-3
47.1425	Requirement of hearing within sixty days of service of answer	47-3
47.14251	In general	47-3
47.14252	Must actually hold hearing, not just set case for hearing, within sixty days of answer	47-3
47.14253	Extension of time for holding hearing upon showing of excusable neglect pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 801.15(2)(b)	47-3
47.14254	Extension of time for holding hearing upon showing of excusable neglect pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 801.15(2)(b)	47-3
47.14255	Failure to comply with time limitation results in loss of trial court jurisdiction or competency to proceed	47-3
47.14256	Dismissal because of failure to comply with time limitation must be with prejudice	47-3
47.14257	When forfeiture action dismissed because of failure to comply with time limitation, any new forfeiture action that is commenced based on same facts is a nullity	47-3
47.14258	When there is failure to comply with time limitation, and court consequently lacks jurisdiction or competency to proceed, court has authority to enter order of dismissal	47-3
47.143	Relationship of forfeiture proceeding to criminal charge and conviction	47-3
47.1431	No requirement of criminal charge before forfeiture action instituted	47-3

47.1432	Constitutionality of forfeiting property after defendant convicted of violation of Uniform Controlled Substances Act (see sec. 29.7962)	47-3
47.144	Sufficiency of the evidence	47-4
47.1441	To show the substance was transported for sale	47-4
47.1442	To prove substance was heroin	47-4
47.1443	No need to establish that controlled substance acquired in Wisconsin	47-4
47.145	Other matters	47-4
47.1451	Effect of unperfected security interest	47-4
47.1452	Return of property provision in Wis. Stat. § 961.55(3) can only be triggered by unsuccessful forfeiture action; when forfeiture action not initiated, person claiming right to property seized by authorities is limited to procedures set forth in Wis. Stat. § 968.20	47-4
47.1453	When property subject to both state and federal jurisdiction, only one court may have jurisdiction and therefore first court to obtain jurisdiction maintains it to the exclusion of other court	47-4
47.1454	Dismissal because of failure to comply with time limitation must be with prejudice (see sec. 47.14256)	47-4
47.1455	When forfeiture action dismissed because of failure to comply with time limitation, any new forfeiture action that is commenced based on same facts is a nullity (see sec. 47.14257)	47-4
47.1456	When there is failure to comply with time limitation, and court consequently lacks jurisdiction or competency to proceed, court has authority to enter order of dismissal (see sec. 47.14258)	47-4
47.15	Forfeiture Of Property Used In, Or Proceeds From, Drug Offenses Under Federal Statutes	47-4
47.151	Constitutionality of forfeiture	47-4
47.1511	In general	47-4
47.1512	Constitutionality of forfeiture of property after conviction of drug offenses (see sec. 29.7962)	47-4
47.1513	Forfeiture is monetary punishment and, as such, is subject to excessive fines clause of eighth amendment	47-5
47.1514	Need to afford owner notice and opportunity to be heard before seizure of real property	47-5
47.152	Procedures	47-5
47.1521	Need to afford owner notice and opportunity to be heard before seizure of real property	47-5
47.1522	Time requirements: failure to comply with statutory directives for expeditious prosecution in forfeiture cases not grounds for dismissal if forfeiture action commenced within statute of limitations	47-5
47.153	Property to be used to pay attorney's fees not exempt from forfeiture	47-5
47.154	Jurisdiction over forfeiture action not lost by deposit of subject of forfeiture in United States Treasury	47-5
47.155	"Innocent owner" defense	47-5

47.156	Application of "fugitive disentitlement doctrine" to forfeiture proceeding; granting forfeiture when defendant has fled jurisdiction and is not amenable to criminal prosecution for drug offenses out of which forfeiture proceeding arose	47-5
47.16	Forfeiture Of Property Derived From Crime And Certain Vehicles	47-5
47.161	Constitutionality of forfeiture	47-5
47.1611	Under Eighth Amendment's excessive fines clause	47-5
47.16111	Applicability of clause	47-5
47.16112	Determining when forfeiture violates clause	47-5
47.1612	Forfeiture of innocent owner's interest does not deny due process or violate takings clause of Fifth Amendment	47-5
47.162	Property subject to forfeiture	47-6
47.1621	Vehicle used to transport property used in commission of felony but which itself was not actually used in the commission of the crime	47-6
47.163	When forfeiture must be commenced	47-6
47.1631	In general	47-6
47.1632	In case of forfeiture of vehicle with removed, altered, or obliterated VIN	47-6
47.164	Service of summons and complaint	47-6
47.1641	Service of summons in manner prescribed by statute is condition precedent to valid exercise of personal jurisdiction	47-6
47.1642	Timeliness and sufficiency of objection in trial court to service of summons and complaint	47-6
47.1643	Sufficiency of proof of service	47-6
47.165	Requirement of hearing within 60 days of service of answer	47-6
47.1651	In general	47-6
47.1652	Must actually hold hearing, not just set case for hearing, within 60 days of answer	47-6
47.1653	Extension of time for holding hearing for cause	47-6
47.1654	Extension of time for holding hearing upon showing of excusable neglect pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 801.15(2)(b)	47-6
47.166	Mandatory adjournment, upon request, of proceedings until adjudication of charge concerning crime that was basis for seizure of property	47-6
47.1661	Meaning of "adjudication" in this context: occurs upon finding of guilt or innocence by circuit court; does not encompass appeal of conviction	47-6
47.167	"Innocent owner" defense	47-6
47.1671	In general	47-6
47.1672	Meaning of "owner"	47-6
47.168	Other matters	47-7
47.1681	Forfeiture of vehicle with removed, altered, or obliterated VIN which was seized before effective date of statute authorizing seizure does not constitute retroactive application of statute	47-7

47.1682	Return of property provision in Wis. Stat. § 973.075(5) can only be triggered by unsuccessful forfeiture action; when forfeiture action not initiated, person claiming right to property seized by authorities is limited to procedures set forth in Wis. Stat. § 968.20	47-7
47.1683	When property subject to both state and federal jurisdiction, only one court may have jurisdiction and therefore first court to obtain jurisdiction maintains it to the exclusion of other court	47-7
47.17	Habitual Traffic Offenders Proceeding	47-7
47.171	Determining when one is habitual traffic offender	47-7
47.172	Constitutionality of statute creating this proceeding	47-7
47.173	No need for exercise of discretion in this proceeding	47-7
47.174	Defenses in this proceeding	47-7
47.1741	Estoppel	47-7
47.1742	Delay in initiating proceedings	47-7
47.1743	Attack on underlying traffic convictions	47-7
47.175	Commencement and tolling of five-year suspension	47-7
47.176	No need to inform defendant that traffic violations of which he is convicted can be used to classify him as an habitual traffic offender	47-7
47.177	Prohibition on, and penalty for, operation of motor vehicle by habitual traffic offender	47-7
47.1771	Effect of rescission of HTO status after operation of motor vehicle, but before conviction	47-7
47.178	Recalculation of habitual-traffic-offender status and rescission of it	47-8
47.1781	Effect of in general	47-8
47.1782	Effect of rescission of HTO status after operation of motor vehicle, but before conviction (see sec. 47.1771)	47-8
47.179	Other matters	47-8
47.1791	What constitutes moving violation for purposes of habitual offenders law	47-8
47.1792	Number of offenses committed within five-year period measured by number of violations, not convictions, in such period	47-8
47.18	Other Actions And Proceedings	47-8
47.181	Gambling Forfeitures	47-8
47.1811	Necessity of prior judicial determination that seizure of forfeitable property justified	47-8
47.182	Section 1983 civil rights action (see sec. 47.879)	47-8
47.19	Other Actions And Proceedings	47-8
47.191	Forfeiture of monetary instruments not related to customs service	47-8
47.1911	Timeliness of institution of forfeiture proceeding	47-8
47.192	Forfeiture of vehicle with removed, altered, or obliterated VIN	47-8
47.1921	Procedures for forfeiture in general (see sec. 47.16)	47-8
47.1922	Forfeiture of vehicle with removed, altered, or obliterated VIN which was seized before effective date of statute authorizing seizure does not constitute retroactive application of statute (see sec. 47.1681)	47-8
47.193	Drug house abatement law	47-8

47.1931	Consistency of this law with prohibition on excessive fines (see sec. 30.9325)	47-8
47.194	Sex predator commitment (see sec. 10.7)	47-8
47.195	Injunction under nuisance statute against future exhibition of obscene materials	47-8
47.196	Federal handgun violence prevention act	47-8
47.1961	Constitutionality of this act	47-8
47.197	Action to declare bawdy house nuisance; action for abatement of disorderly house (Wis. Stat. §§ 823.09 to 823.11)	47-9
47.1971	Constitutionality of this proceeding	47-9
47.1972	Requires proof that property owner had knowledge of acts that made property nuisance	47-9
47.1973	Property owner may rebut prima facie evidence of required knowledge of, and acquiescence in, prohibited use of premises	47-9
47.1974	Using this proceeding to enjoin operation of adult book store on grounds that it is public nuisance	47-9
47.1975	Proof of knowledge and specific intent on part of innocent owner is not constitutionally required in order to abate nuisance	47-9
47.1976	Reopening judgment in case based on defendant's excusable neglect	47-9
47.198	Seizure and forfeiture or immobilization of vehicle with multiple OWI offenses under Wis. Stat. § 346.65(6)	47-9
47.1981	Constitutionality of this proceeding	47-9
47.19811	In general	47-9
47.19812	Forfeiture of estate	47-9
47.19813	Double jeopardy	47-9
47.19814	Due process	47-9
47.19815	Overbreadth	47-9
47.1982	Transfer of ownership of, or application for new title for, vehicle subject to this proceeding requires determination of good faith	47-9
47.19821	In general	47-9
47.19822	Requirement does not apply to perfection of security interest, but Uniform Commercial Code's requirement of good faith does	47-9
47.199	Use of statute authorizing enjoining of public nuisance (Wis. Stat. § 823.02) to control activity of prostitutes	47-10
47.1991	Propriety of use of statute	47-10
47.1992	Constitutionality of use of statute	47-10
47.2	Retroactivity Of New Rules Of Law	47-10
47.21	General Principles	47-10
47.211	In general	47-10
47.212	New rule of substantive criminal law is presumptively applied retroactively to all cases, whether on direct appeal or on collateral review	47-11
47.2121	In general	47-11

47.2122	Test for determining when rule works substantive change in law	47-11
47.213	New rule of criminal procedure is applied retroactively to all cases pending on direct review, i.e., cases not yet "final" at time of rule's announcement	47-11
47.2131	In general	47-11
47.2132	When case is "final" for purposes of retroactivity analysis (see sec. 47.2181)	47-11
47.214	New rule of criminal procedure is generally not applied retroactively to cases on collateral review (i.e., cases in which defendant's conviction has become "final")	47-12
47.2141	In general	47-12
47.2142	Determining when defendant's conviction became "final" (see sec. 47.2181)	47-12
47.2143	Determining what constitutes "new rule"	47-12
47.21431	In general	47-12
47.21432	Explicit overruling of earlier holding creates new rule	47-12
47.2144	Exceptions to general rule of nonretroactivity	47-12
47.21441	In general	47-12
47.21442	Specific exception: watershed rule of criminal procedure implicating fundamental fairness and accuracy of criminal proceeding	47-12
47.2145	Where collateral review constitutes only vehicle for creation of new rule	47-13
47.2146	When new rule of criminal procedure has been announced as matter of federal constitutional law, state courts have power to afford rule broader retroactivity in cases on collateral review than that afforded by United States Supreme Court in federal habeas corpus cases (see sec. 47.2188)	47-13
47.215	Retroactivity rules in civil cases	47-13
47.216	Determining whether criminal or civil retroactivity rules apply	47-13
47.217	Limiting new rule to purely prospective application only (i.e., not applying it to case in which it is announced); "sunbursting"	47-13
47.218	Other matters	47-13
47.2181	When case is "final" for purposes of retroactivity analysis	47-13
47.21811	In general	47-13
47.21812	Conviction is final despite possibility that state court might, in exercise of discretion, decline to enforce available procedural bar and choose to apply new rule of law	47-13
47.2182	When statute creating crime of which defendant has been convicted is declared unconstitutional, that declaration is retroactive	47-13
47.2183	When decision can be said to have been "made" retroactive by Supreme Court	47-13
47.2184	State is not constitutionally compelled to make retroactive its new construction of criminal statute	47-14
47.2185	Retroactivity of "supervisory power" determinations	47-14

47.2186	Wisconsin follows retroactivity analysis articulated by United States Supreme Court	47-14
47.2187	Applying rule retroactively so as to assure that similarly situated persons are treated alike	47-14
47.2188	When new rule of criminal procedure has been announced as matter of federal constitutional law, state courts have power to afford rule broader retroactivity in cases on collateral review than that afforded by United States Supreme Court in federal habeas corpus cases	47-14
47.22	Retroactivity Of Particular Rules And Rulings	47-14
47.221	Requirement that juvenile personally withdraw jury demand	47-14
47.222	Miranda rules	47-14
47.2221	Edwards rule	47-14
47.2222	Roberson rule	47-14
47.223	Rules relating to jury selection	47-14
47.2231	Requirement that prosecutor justify strikes removing members of defendant's race from jury (see sec. 8.1691)	47-14
47.2232	Requirement that petit jury represent fair cross-section of community	47-14
47.224	Rule requiring defense counsel to raise question of defendant's competency	47-14
47.225	Rules governing taking of guilty pleas	47-14
47.226	Rule requiring petition to contain statement of facts supporting juvenile waiver request	47-14
47.227	Mullaney rule	47-14
47.228	Fourth amendment rules	47-14
47.2281	In general	47-15
47.2282	Rule restricting standing	47-15
47.2283	Requirement of timely probable cause determination after arrest (see sec. 47.244)	47-15
47.229	Ruling abrogating year-and-a-day rule	47-15
47.23	Retroactivity Of Particular Rules And Rulings (cont'd)	47-15
47.231	Rule requiring interpreter	47-15
47.232	Chambers rule	47-15
47.233	Rule requiring unanimous verdict of six-person juries	47-15
47.234	Rules governing forfeiture of good time credits upon revocation of parole	47-15
47.235	Rule requiring credit for pretrial incarceration	47-15
47.236	Rules creating procedural default precluding appellate review	47-15
47.237	Rule prohibiting imposition of death sentence by sentencer that has been led by prosecutor's closing argument to false belief that responsibility for determining appropriateness of capital sentence rests elsewhere	47-15
47.238	Rule establishing less stringent standard of corroboration when inculpatory hearsay statement offered to exculpate accused	47-15
47.239	Rule proscribing instruction of jury on presumptions that relieve state of burden of persuasion	47-15
47.24	Retroactivity Of Particular Rules And Rulings (cont'd)	47-15

47.241	Ruling that Illinois pattern instructions on murder and manslaughter did not require jury to consider mitigating circumstance that would have reduced murder to manslaughter	47-15
47.242	Rule declaring "heinous, atrocious or cruel" aggravating factor so vague as to render capital sentence arbitrary	47-15
47.243	Rule that out-of-court statements of fellow participant in crime who does not testify at trial may not be admitted at joint trial with defendant even when statements interlock with those made by defendant and instruction is given not to consider statement on question of defendant's guilt	47-16
47.244	Requirement of timely probable cause determination after arrest	47-16
47.245	Bullington rule applying double jeopardy to capital sentencing proceeding	47-16
47.246	Requirement that jury, not judge, determine issue when "materiality" is element of offense	47-16
47.247	Rule that, if sentencing judge in a "weighing" state is required to give deference to jury's advisory sentencing recommendation, neither jury nor judge is constitutionally permitted to weigh invalid aggravating circumstance	47-16
47.248	Rule requiring nexus requirement for penalty enhancer for using dangerous weapon (see sec. 31.65314)	47-16
47.249	Declaration that statute creating crime of failure to pay tax on controlled substances is unconstitutional	47-16
47.25	Retroactivity Of Particular Rules And Rulings (cont'd)	47-16
47.251	Ruling that statutory provision providing for six-person juries in criminal misdemeanor cases violates Wisconsin Constitution	47-16
47.252	Rule in sex predator proceeding requiring state to prove beyond reasonable doubt at trial that respondent was within 90 days of release date at time petition was filed	47-16
47.253	Rule that jury instruction is unconstitutional if there is a reasonable likelihood that the jury understood it to allow conviction without proof beyond a reasonable doubt	47-16
47.254	Rule tolling running of time from date pro se prisoner delivers correctly addressed petition for review to proper prison authorities for mailing to supreme court (see sec. 11.21222)	47-16
47.255	Rule requiring vacation of judgment of conviction when trial court has failed to inform pleading defendant of immigration consequences of plea, overruling prior law subjecting that failure to harmless error analysis	47-17
47.256	Head ruling that unnecessary defensive force does not require that defendant have threshold reasonable belief that he was preventing or terminating an unlawful interference with his person (see sec. 32.53214)	47-17
47.257	Rule granting criminal defendants right to counsel on petitions for habeas corpus review	47-17
47.258	Rule tolling running of time for filing certiorari petition from date petitioner's attorney promised petitioner that petition would be filed (see sec. 41.52334)	47-17

47.259	Rule prohibiting state from requiring jurors unanimously to agree that particular mitigating circumstance exists before they consider that circumstance (see sec. 9.52822)	47-17
47.26	Retroactivity Of Particular Rules And Rulings (cont'd)	47-17
47.261	Rule recognizing defendant's right in death penalty case to adduce evidence that life imprisonment is without possibility of parole to meet state's claim of future dangerousness	47-17
47.262	Requirement that jury make findings that are prerequisite to imposition of death sentence (see sec. 9.51832)	47-17
47.263	Crawford rule regarding controlling analysis for determining when admission of hearsay evidence is consistent with confrontation clause (see secs. 27.22135 and 27.22136)	47-17
47.27	Other Matters	47-17
47.271	Appellate review of retroactivity issue	47-17
47.2711	Standard of review	47-17
47.28	Related Matters	47-17
47.281	Ex post facto limitations on making new rule of law retroactive (see sec. 30.92)	47-17
47.282	Retroactive application of statutes (see sec. 45.42)	47-17
47.3	Contempt Of Court	47-17
47.31	General Principles	47-17
47.311	Power of court to punish for contempt	47-18
47.312	Nature of contempt proceeding in general; is it civil or criminal?	47-18
47.32	What Constitutes Contempt	47-18
47.321	In general	47-18
47.322	One directly violates court order, and thus is in contempt of court, by having others do things prohibited by order even if those others are not bound by order	47-18
47.323	Particular conduct as contempt	47-18
47.3231	Appearance in court in drunken state	47-18
47.3232	Attorney's stating "ridiculous" to client following imposition of sentence	47-18
47.33	Sanctions Authorized	47-18
47.331	In general	47-18
47.332	Remedial sanction	47-18
47.3321	In general	47-18
47.3322	Must contain purge provision that is within power of contemnor to perform	47-19
47.3323	Failure to testify at pretrial motion hearing does not trigger sanction provided in Wis. Stat. § 972.08(2)	47-19
47.333	Punitive sanction	47-19
47.3331	In general	47-19
47.3332	When authorized	47-19
47.3333	Cannot impose punitive sanction in remedial proceeding	47-19
47.3334	Procedures required for imposition of punitive sanction (see sec. 47.3412)	47-19
47.34	Procedure	47-19
47.341	Nonsummary procedure	47-19
47.3411	Procedure for imposition of remedial sanction	47-19

	47.34111	In general	47-19
	47.34112	Cannot impose punitive sanction in remedial proceeding	47-19
	47.34113	Defendant has right to counsel (see sec. 47.3662)	47-19
	47.34114	Court must inform unrepresented litigant brought before court in civil or remedial contempt proceeding by state and whose liberty is threatened that he or she has right to appointed counsel if he or she cannot afford one	47-20
	47.3412	Procedure for imposition of punitive sanction	47-20
	47.34121	In general	47-20
	47.34122	Need for jury trial	47-20
	47.3413	Failure to testify at pretrial motion hearing does not trigger procedures of Wis. Stat. § 972.08(2)	47-20
	47.3414	Sufficiency of complaint instituting nonsummary procedure	47-20
47.342		Summary procedure	47-20
	47.3421	Constitutionality of	47-20
	47.3422	Prerequisites to use of summary procedure	47-20
	47.34221	In general	47-20
	47.34222	Contempt must have been committed in actual presence of court	47-20
	47.34223	Sanction must be imposed for the purpose of preserving order in the court	47-21
	47.34224	Sanction must be imposed for purpose of protecting authority and dignity of court	47-21
	47.34225	Sanction must be imposed immediately after contempt	47-21
	47.34226	May not be used to hold attorney in contempt for being tardy at court appearances	47-21
	47.3423	Prerequisites to contempt finding	47-21
	47.34231	Requirement that misconduct be "intentional"	47-21
	47.3424	May not be used to hold attorney in contempt for being tardy at court appearance	47-21
	47.3425	Sufficiency of factual findings	47-21
	47.3426	Contemnor's right to allocution	47-22
47.343		Warrant for defendant's arrest for contempt	47-22
	47.3431	When warrant may be issued in general	47-22
47.35		Appellate Review Of Contempt Proceeding	47-22
	47.351	Time of taking appeal	47-22
	47.352	Standard of review	47-22
	47.3521	Whether court has proceeded under proper contempt statute is question of law	47-22
	47.3522	Use of contempt power is reviewed for abuse of discretion	47-22
	47.3523	Finding that person committed contempt upheld unless against great weight and clear preponderance of the evidence	47-22
	47.3524	Review of findings of fact	47-22
	47.3525	Review of whether conduct constitutes contempt	47-22
	47.3526	Review of whether proper provision of contempt statute was utilized by circuit court	47-22

	<i>Page</i>
47.36 Other Matters	47-22
47.361 Use of contempt power by juvenile court to enforce juvenile dispositional order	47-23
47.362 Requirement that statutory procedure be followed in finding person in contempt	47-23
47.363 Contempt in federal court	47-23
47.3631 Federal court may appoint private attorney to institute contempt action, but not attorney for whose benefit contempt action is instituted	47-23
47.3632 Attorney appointed to institute contempt action in federal court may not petition Supreme Court for certiorari without approval of solicitor general	47-23
47.364 Assessing costs and attorney's fees in criminal contempt proceeding	47-23
47.365 Use of contempt power against prosecutor for unprofessional conduct	47-23
47.366 Rights of defendant in contempt proceeding	47-23
47.3661 In general	47-23
47.3662 Right to counsel	47-23
47.3663 Right to allocution (see sec. 47.3426)	47-23
47.367 Effect of setting aside order on the finding of contempt for disobedience thereof	47-23
47.368 Determining whether contempt proceeding is civil or criminal for purposes of protections afforded by federal constitution in criminal cases	47-23
47.369 Other matters	47-23
47.3691 Fact that contemptuous remark was communication between defense counsel and client does not render it a privileged communication that cannot form the basis for a contempt citation	47-23
47.3692 Repeater statute and its sentence-enhancing provisions cannot be used to enhance punitive sanction imposed for contempt of court	47-24
47.3693 Use of contempt against abortion protestor bound by injunction who directs others to violate injunction	47-24
47.4 Principles Governing Adjudication Of Constitutionality Of Statutes	47-24
47.41 Standing To Raise Constitutional Challenge To Statutes	47-24
47.411 In general	47-24
47.412 Standing to challenge statute on grounds of vagueness (see sec. 22.212)	47-24
47.413 Standing to challenge statute on grounds of overbreadth (see sec. 47.482)	47-24
47.414 Court will not resolve constitutional claim that depends on hypothetical or future facts (see sec. 11.9331)	47-24
47.415 Person cannot challenge constitutionality of statute on ground that it might be unconstitutional as applied to others	47-24
47.4151 In general	47-24
47.4152 But person can challenge constitutionality of statute that substantially interferes with third party's first amendment rights, i.e., is overbroad (see sec. 47.48)	47-24

47.42	Court Will Not Reach Constitutional Question Unnecessarily	47-24
47.43	Presumption Of Statute's Constitutionality	47-25
47.431	In general	47-25
47.432	Presumption applies to administrative rules	47-29
47.433	Presumption does not apply when statute challenged on first amendment grounds	47-29
47.434	Presumption applies to statute that predated enactment of constitutional provision it is alleged to violate	47-29
47.44	Burden Of Proof On Constitutional Challenge To Statute	47-29
47.441	In general	47-29
47.442	When challenge made to administrative rule	47-32
47.443	When constitutionality challenged on first amendment grounds	47-32
47.444	When classification in statute is alleged to violate equal protection clause	47-33
47.445	Requirement of proof beyond a reasonable doubt applies not only to facial challenges to statute, but to as-applied challenges as well	47-33
47.45	Construing Statute So As To Avoid Constitutional Issue	47-33
47.451	In general	47-33
47.452	This canon of construction is only applicable when statute is ambiguous	47-34
47.453	This canon of construction is applicable only when there is "serious" concerns about statute's constitutionality	47-34
47.46	Construing Statute So As To Render It Constitutional	47-34
47.461	In general	47-34
47.462	By severing unconstitutional portion and allowing remainder to stand (see sec. 47.492)	47-35
47.463	Reading procedural due process requirement into statute	47-35
47.464	Rule permitting construction of statute so as to render it constitutional does not create license for court to rewrite language enacted by legislature	47-35
47.465	United States Supreme Court has no authority to construe language of state statute more narrowly than construction given by state's highest court	47-35
47.466	Construction to render statute constitutional only appropriate where legislative history or statutory language supports it; court may not rewrite statute to render it constitutional	47-35
47.467	Construction that would render statute constitutional must be reasonable interpretation of statute	47-35
47.468	Construing statute so as to obviate overbreadth of statute (see sec. 47.4832)	47-35
47.47	Supplying Constitutional Deficiencies To Save Statute	47-35
47.48	Attacking Statute As Overbroad	47-35
47.481	Overbreadth in general	47-36
47.482	Standing to raise overbreadth challenge	47-37
47.483	Other principles relating to overbreadth doctrine	47-38
47.4831	Effect on overbreadth challenge of amendment to statute eliminating provision alleged to be overbroad	47-38
47.4832	Eliminating overbreadth problem by narrowing judicial construction	47-38
47.48321	In general	47-38

	47.48322	Allegedly overbroad statute may be narrowed by judicial construction and applied to conduct occurring before the construction, provided such application affords fair warning to the defendant	47-38
	47.4833	Eliminating overbreadth problem by severing portion of statute that creates problem	47-38
	47.4834	Fact that speech may be used to establish elements of crime or to prove motive or intent does not render statute creating crime unconstitutionally overbroad	47-38
	47.4835	Statute that does not regulate conduct or impose sanctions is not subject to overbreadth challenge	47-38
	47.4836	Applicability of overbreadth doctrine outside first amendment context in general	47-38
	47.4837	Alleged overbreadth must infringe on "protected constitutional freedom"	47-39
	47.4838	That coverage is broader than specific concern that led to statute's enactment is not constitutional defect	47-39
47.484		Particular applications of overbreadth doctrine	47-39
	47.4841	Lack of element of intent in statutes proscribing sexual assault by sexual intercourse does not render statute unconstitutionally overbroad	47-39
	47.4842	Statute proscribing exposing child to harmful material is not unconstitutionally overbroad	47-39
	47.4843	Statute proscribing obscene material or performance	47-39
	47.4844	Statute proscribing disorderly conduct is not constitutionally overbroad	47-39
	47.4845	Housing authority's policy limiting access to housing project	47-39
	47.4846	Statute proscribing misconduct in public office (see sec. 35.23312)	47-39
	47.4847	Statute proscribing sexual assault of child but not recognizing victim's affirmative misrepresentation of age as defense	47-39
	47.4848	Statute proscribing possession of firearm by felon is not overbroad (see sec. 38.14113)	47-39
	47.4849	Statute proscribing bomb scares is not unconstitutionally overbroad (see sec. 38.68711)	47-39
47.485		Particular applications of overbreadth doctrine (cont'd)	47-39
	47.4851	Federal statute prohibiting pandering and solicitation of child pornography is not unconstitutionally overbroad (see sec. 38.68711)	47-39
	47.4852	Lack of exemption from definition of sexual intercourse for intrusions that are part of bona fide medical, health care, and hygiene procedures renders definition overbroad, but overbreadth is cured by limiting construction	47-39
47.49		Other Principles And Matters	47-40
	47.491	Appellate review of determination of constitutionality	47-40
	47.4911	Standard of review	47-40
	47.4912	Reviewing court engages in independent review without deference to trial court's determination	47-42

47.492	Remedy when part of statute found unconstitutional	47-42
47.4921	In general	47-42
47.4922	Partial invalidation of statute (severability doctrine)	47-43
47.4923	Construing statute so as to render it constitutional (see sec. 47.46)	47-43
47.4924	Supplying constitutional deficiencies to save statute (see sec. 47.47)	47-43
47.493	Trial court's authority or duty to consider constitutionality of statute issues sua sponte	47-43
47.494	Court should be concerned with substance, not form, of statute	47-43
47.495	Court will sustain statute against constitutional attack if there is any reasonable basis for it	47-43
47.4951	In general	47-43
47.4952	Reasonable basis need not be expressly stated by legislature	47-43
47.4953	If court can conceive of a rational basis for legislation, it will be upheld	47-44
47.4954	If court can conceive of any factual basis for legislation, it will be upheld	47-44
47.4955	Courts accord particular deference to reasonable legislative judgments in mental health arena	47-44
47.496	Attacking statute as underbroad	47-44
47.4961	Underbreadth in general	47-44
47.4962	Particular applications of underbreadth doctrine	47-44
47.49621	Statute proscribing disorderly conduct is not constitutionally underbroad	47-44
47.497	As-applied challenge to statute's constitutionality	47-44
47.4971	In general	47-44
47.4972	What must be shown to succeed on challenge	47-44
47.498	Facial challenge to statute's constitutionality	47-44
47.4981	In general	47-44
47.4982	What must be shown to succeed on challenge	47-44
47.4983	Effect of successful facial challenge	47-45
47.4984	Facial challenge "is uphill endeavor"	47-45
47.4985	Particular facial challenges to statute's constitutionality	47-45
47.49851	Challenge to state statute that limits access to arrest records	47-45
47.49852	Challenge to use of word "likely" in standard for ch. 980 commitment	47-45
47.499	Other matters	47-45
47.4991	Requirement that attorney general be notified when constitutional challenge made	47-45
47.49911	In general	47-45
47.49912	No notification required when challenge to constitutionality of administrative rule made	47-45
47.4992	Constitutional rule to be confined to facts to which it is applied	47-45
47.4993	Effect of statute's unconstitutionality	47-45
47.4994	Waiver of challenge to constitutionality of statute	47-45
47.49941	In general	47-45

47.49942	When statute is unconstitutional on its face, unconstitutionality deprives court of subject matter jurisdiction and challenge to constitutionality cannot be waived (see sec. 12.12522)	47-45
47.49943	When statute is challenged as unconstitutional "as applied," normal waiver rules generally apply (see sec. 12.12523)	47-46
47.4995	When same legislature passes both constitutional provision that statute is claimed to violate and statute that is being attacked, that is indication that statute is consistent with constitutional provision	47-46
47.4996	In assessing constitutionality of statute, reviewing court presumes good faith on the part of the legislature	47-46
47.4997	In adjudicating constitutionality of statute, reviewing court's task is not to search for sinister motives underlying legislature's acts	47-46
47.4998	Principles governing adjudication of constitutionality of administrative rules (see sec. 47.875)	47-46
47.4999	Other principles and matters	47-46
47.49991	Illicit legislative purpose does not necessarily invalidate statute	47-46
47.49992	Even in absence of legislative findings, existence of facts supporting legislative judgment is presumed	47-46
47.49993	That law might have negative side effects does not make it unconstitutional	47-46
47.49994	When conflict between constitutional provision and statute exists, constitutional provision prevails	47-46
47.49995	It is not generally true that proof of congressional jurisdictional basis for federal criminal statute must be element of offense to render statute constitutional	47-46
47.49996	In considering constitutional attack, court not concerned with wisdom of statute	47-46
47.5	Victims Of Crime	47-46
47.51	Awards For Victims Of Crimes	47-47
47.511	Who is victim of crime	47-47
47.5111	Crime may have victim even though victim not necessary to its commission	47-47
47.52	Rights Of Victims Of Crimes	47-47
47.521	General principles	47-47
47.5211	In general	47-47

47.5212	First sentence of state constitutional provision relating to victims of crime (i.e., "This state shall treat crime victims, as defined by law, with fairness, dignity and respect for their privacy") describes policies to be promoted by State and does not provide victim with enforceable, self-executing right	47-47
47.522	Who is victim of crime	47-47
47.5221	Crime may have victim even though victim not necessary to its commission	47-47
47.523	Right of sexual assault victim to have defendant subjected to HIV testing (see sec. 47.542)	47-47
47.524	Right to make statement at sentencing	47-47
47.53	Protective Orders For Victims Of Crimes (see sec. 6.68)	47-47
47.54	Other Matters	47-47
47.541	Constitutionality of special assessment for crime victims established by federal law	47-47
47.542	Right of sexual assault victim to have defendant subjected to HIV testing	47-47
47.5421	Probable cause for testing	47-47
47.54211	When established	47-47
47.54212	Not defeated by defendant's acquittal of sexual assault	47-47
47.6	Immunity From Damage Suits	47-47
47.61	General Principles	47-47
47.611	In general	47-47
47.612	Appellate review of qualified immunity dispositions is to be conducted in light of all relevant precedents, not simply those cited to or discovered by the trial court	47-47
47.613	In resolving questions of qualified immunity, court is not required to first answer threshold question of whether facts alleged show that officer violated constitutional right	47-48
47.614	When deciding party's motion for summary based on qualified immunity, court must view facts and draw reasonable inferences in light most favorable to opposing party	47-48
47.6141	In general	47-48
47.6142	When facts alleged by opposing party are blatantly contradicted by record	47-48
47.615	Unconstitutionality of defendant's action must be clearly established	47-48
47.6151	In general	47-48
47.6152	To be clearly established, there is no need to show that action in question has previously been held unlawful	47-48
47.62	For Judge	47-48
47.63	For Prosecutor	47-48
47.631	General principles	47-48
47.6311	In general	47-48
47.6312	Absolute immunity may not apply when prosecutor is not acting as officer of court, but is instead engaged in other tasks, e.g., investigative or administrative tasks	47-48
47.632	Particular situations	47-48

47.6321	Absolute immunity does not extend to prosecutor's making of false statements of fact in affidavit supporting application for arrest warrant	47-49
47.6322	Absolute immunity does extend to claims that prosecution failed to disclose impeachment material due to (1) failure to properly train prosecutors; (2) failure to properly supervise prosecutors; or (3) failure to establish information system containing potential impeachment material about informants	47-49
47.64	For Defense Counsel	47-49
47.65	For Witnesses	47-49
47.651	In general	47-49
47.652	Private witnesses	47-49
47.653	Police officers	47-49
47.66	For Police Officers	47-49
47.661	In general	47-49
47.662	When sued for perjury allegedly committed at criminal defendant's trial (see sec. 47.653)	47-49
47.663	When sued for Fourth Amendment violation	47-49
47.6631	In general	47-49
47.6632	Excessive use of force in making arrest	47-50
47.6633	Qualified immunity will shield officer who conducted search of automobile incident to arrest based on broad reading of Belton that was widely accepted before its repudiation in Gant	47-50
47.664	Appellate review of qualified immunity dispositions is to be conducted in light of all relevant precedents, not simply those cited to or discovered by the trial court	47-50
47.67	For Public Defender	47-50
47.68	For Persons Involved With Probation And Parole	47-50
47.681	For probation and parole officers	47-50
47.682	For parole board members	47-50
47.683	For officers and officials responsible for continuing to incarcerate defendant after defendant reaches mandatory release date	47-50
47.69	For Others	47-50
47.691	For defense counsel	47-50
47.692	For executive officer	47-50
47.693	For court clerical and administrative personnel	47-50
47.694	For school officials	47-50
47.6941	In general	47-51
47.6942	When sued for Fourth Amendment violation	47-51
47.7	Open Government	47-51
47.71	Open Records Under Federal Freedom Of Information Act	47-51
47.711	Exception for records compiled for law enforcement purposes	47-51
47.712	Exception for records compiled for law enforcement purposes whose release could reasonably be expected to disclose identity of or information provided by "confidential source"	47-51
47.713	FBI "rap sheets" protected from disclosure under Freedom of Information Act	47-51

47.714	State agencies do not fall under federal freedom of information act	47-51
47.72	Open Records Under State Open Records Law (Wis. Stat. §§ 19.21 to 19.39)	47-51
47.721	General principles to be applied in determining whether particular record is subject to open records law and thus is presumptively subject to disclosure	47-51
47.7211	In general	47-51
47.7212	Statutory and common law exceptions exempting records from disclosure under open records law	47-52
47.72121	In general	47-52
47.72122	Particular exception: record containing information that may lead to court or other proceeding (Wis. Stat. § 19.35(1)(am)1.)	47-52
47.72123	Particular exception: record containing information that would endanger individual's life or safety, identify confidential informant, endanger security of prison or other designated institution, or compromise rehabilitation of person in custody of designated entities or institutions (Wis. Stat. § 19.35(1)(am)2.)	47-52
47.72124	Particular exception: record of law enforcement agency that cannot be disclosed without identifying informant (Wis. Stat. § 19.36(8)(b))	47-52
47.72125	Particular exception: when federal law requires that record relating to information obtained for law enforcement purposes be withheld from public disclosure Wis. Stat. § 19.36(2))	47-52
47.72126	Possible exception: when record concerns disciplinary action (Wis. Stat. § 19.85(1))	47-52
47.72127	Particular exception: John Doe proceeding	47-52
47.7213	Not everything public official creates is public record	47-52
47.722	Denying access because presumption of openness under open records law is overcome by other public policy or interest	47-52
47.7221	General principles	47-52
47.7222	Sufficiency of particular reasons for denying access	47-52
47.72221	Concern for reputation of, and embarrassment to, subject of record	47-52
47.72222	Information contained in record was given by informant pursuant to pledge of confidentiality by government agency	47-52
47.72223	Confidentiality of record required to protect safety of persons who provided information in it	47-53
47.723	Procedures for determining whether public access to, i.e., disclosure to requester of, particular records is mandated	47-53
47.7231	Permitting limited attorney access to records for purposes of case preparation	47-53
47.7232	Vaughn indexing	47-53
47.7233	Use of mandamus to compel production of public records	47-53

47.7234	Private records that would not be subject to disclosure under open records law that have come into possession of district attorney by virtue of exercise of district attorney's extraordinary police power are subject to disclosure under open records law	47-53
47.7235	Custodian's duty to conduct required balancing before releasing requested records	47-53
47.7236	Court will not hypothesize reasons or consider reasons for not allowing inspection that were not asserted by custodian	47-53
47.7237	Prosecutor's duty before releasing material to inform subject of material of its requested release	47-53
47.724	Procedures for determining whether public access to particular records is mandated (cont'd)	47-53
47.7241	Right of person adversely affected by disclosure of record to obtain judicial review of custodian's decision to disclose	47-53
47.7242	When request for record made by mail, custodian does not have option of requiring requestor to view and copy record in custodian's office; he or she must photocopy and send the material requested	47-53
47.7243	Requiring requestor to prepay costs of making copies of requested records	47-54
47.7244	Requirement that custodian who denies request for record state specific public-policy reasons for refusal (see sec. 47.726)	47-54
47.7245	Need for in camera inspection of requested records by reviewing court	47-54
47.7246	When record that this sought is recording of human voice on digital audio tape (DAT), requester has right to inspect digital audio tape because it is "material produced as the result of a computer program"; providing analog-tape-recording copy of DAT will not suffice	47-54
47.725	Particular records	47-54
47.7251	Records in possession of district attorney	47-54
47.72511	Prosecutor's file on criminal case after prosecution completed and file closed: exempt from disclosure in general	47-54
47.72512	Prosecutor's file on criminal case after prosecution completed and file closed: exemption only applies to "information gathered in the course of an investigation"	47-54
47.72513	Private records that would not be subject to disclosure under open records law that have come into possession of district attorney by virtue of exercise of district attorney's extraordinary police power are subject to disclosure under open records law (see sec. 47.7234)	47-54
47.72514	Open records requests submitted to district attorney	47-55
47.7252	Records in possession of law enforcement agencies	47-55
47.72521	In general	47-55

47.72522	Law enforcement documents in unresolved criminal investigation	47-55
47.72523	Records relating to closed investigation	47-55
47.72524	Police arrest records	47-55
47.72525	Uniform traffic accident report and associated documents	47-55
47.72526	Mug shot	47-55
47.72527	Digital audio tape recording (DAT) of 911 calls	47-55
47.7253	Public employe disciplinary or personnel records	47-55
47.72531	In general	47-55
47.72532	Of police officers	47-55
47.7254	Other records	47-55
47.72541	Judicial records and evidence	47-55
47.72542	Personal telephone records maintained by telephone company: in general	47-55
47.72543	Personal telephone records maintained by telephone company: when records are in possession of district attorney by virtue of district attorney's exercise of extraordinary police power	47-55
47.72544	Presentence report in possession of division of probation and parole	47-56
47.72545	Records of parole commission	47-56
47.72546	Treatment records of person committed under Wis. Stat. ch. 980	47-56
47.72547	Notes prepared by judge in anticipation of or during judicial proceeding	47-56
47.726	Requirement that custodian who denies request for record must state specific public-policy reasons for refusal	47-56
47.7261	In general	47-56
47.7262	No need for statement of specific reasons where reason for denial is a specific statute that provides an exception to the presumption of open records and does not require balancing of public policy interests	47-56
47.727	Other matters	47-56
47.7271	Meaning of "record"	47-56
47.7272	Determining when petitioner has prevailed in mandamus action and is entitled to recover damages and costs	47-56
47.7273	Petitioner not entitled to attorney fees when he has represented himself	47-56
47.7274	Differences between access to records under state open records law and under state records inspection law	47-56
47.7275	Appellate review of decision whether to grant mandamus to compel release of records	47-56
47.7276	Case law interpreting federal freedom of information act is persuasive authority for Wisconsin's open records law	47-57
47.73	Open Records Under State Records Inspection Law (Wis. Stat. § 59.14)	47-57
47.731	In general	47-57
47.732	Does not require sheriff to allow access to inventory of his arsenal	47-57
47.733	Differences between access to records under state open records law and under state records inspection law	47-57

47.74	Open Meetings Law	47-57
47.8	Other Matters	47-57
47.81	Miscellaneous Legal And Other Maxims, Aphorisms And Principles	47-57
47.811	Exaltation of form over substance to be avoided	47-57
47.812	Distinction between substance and procedure (see sec. 12.6886)	47-57
47.813	Defendant is entitled to fair trial, not perfect one	47-57
47.814	Duty of prosecutor to see justice done, not to win case	47-57
47.815	The dead hand of precedent	47-58
47.816	Danger in complicating law unnecessarily	47-58
47.817	Other maxims, aphorisms and principles	47-58
47.8171	Labeling an error constitutional does not make it so (see sec. 21.583)	47-58
47.8172	Court may not accomplish indirectly what it may not achieve directly	47-58
47.8173	Supreme Court "is forever adding new stories to the temples of constitutional law, and the temples have a way of collapsing when one story too many is added"	47-58
47.818	Other maxims, aphorisms and principles (cont'd)	47-58
47.8181	An appellate court is not a "performing bear" (see sec. 11.8974)	47-58
47.8182	Defendant is entitled to an adequate lawyer, not the best lawyer (see sec. 25.2198)	47-58
47.8183	Making of inconsistent claims may "border on chutzpah"	47-58
47.8184	Persons should be careful what they ask for	47-58
47.8185	Use of the superlative "best" does not imply exclusion of merely "good"	47-58
47.8186	Hobson's choice	47-58
47.8187	"Between the rock and the whirlpool"	47-58
47.8188	Law generally prefers that controversies once decided on their merits remain in repose	47-58
47.8189	Presumption that courts know and follow the law	47-58
47.819	Other maxims, aphorisms and principles (cont'd)	47-58
47.8191	Prosecutor permitted to strike hard blows, but not foul ones	47-58
47.8192	No harm, no foul	47-59
47.8193	Defendant cannot take advantage of situation he caused by being less than candid with court	47-59
47.8194	Law is not a science, and courtroom is not a laboratory	47-59
47.8195	Law is a craft, not a science	47-59
47.8196	Administration of justice is and should be search for truth	47-59
47.8197	While some may say that law and common sense are not synonymous, they should not be opposites	47-59
47.8198	Prosecutors are in business of justice, not in business of convictions	47-59
47.8199	Justice must satisfy appearance of justice	47-59
47.82	Comity	47-59
47.83	Remedies For Unprofessional Conduct By Prosecutors	47-59
47.84	Regulation Of Sale Of Drug Paraphernalia	47-59
47.841	State regulation of sale of drug paraphernalia	47-59

47.8411	Constitutionality of statute regulating sale of drug paraphernalia	47-59
47.842	Federal regulation of sale of drug paraphernalia	47-59
47.8421	Constitutionality of statute regulating sale of drug paraphernalia	47-59
47.8422	Scienter required for violation of regulation of sale of drug paraphernalia	47-59
47.85	Attorney General's Opinion	47-60
47.851	Persuasiveness Of	47-60
47.852	Use of in interpreting meaning of statutes (see sec. 45.37)	47-60
47.86	Law Of The Case	47-60
47.861	General principles	47-60
47.8611	In general	47-60
47.8612	Lower court bound by appellate court rulings	47-60
47.8613	Law of the case doctrine expresses common judicial practice; it does not limit court's power; it may be disregarded in appropriate case	47-61
47.8614	When party fails to appeal from portion of circuit court's decision, does that portion of decision become law of the case?	47-61
47.8615	Party's concession can constitute law of the case	47-61
47.862	Exceptions	47-61
47.8621	In general	47-61
47.8622	Manifest injustice exception	47-61
47.8623	When facts have changed	47-61
47.8624	When controlling law has changed	47-61
47.8625	When cogent, substantial and proper reasons exist	47-61
47.8626	Where appellate court failed to fully examine circumstances before issuing earlier ruling	47-61
47.863	Application to state's attempt to contend that element set forth in charge and/or jury instructions to which no objection made was not really element of offense	47-61
47.864	Trial court's right to change its prior rulings	47-61
47.865	Fact that appellate court did not give reasons for ruling does not mean ruling is not law of the case	47-61
47.866	Deciding whether appellate decisions that deal with discretionary rulings of trial court constitute law of the case	47-62
47.8661	Decision affirming discretionary ruling	47-62
47.8662	Decision reversing discretionary ruling	47-62
47.867	Appellate review of question whether prior decision is law of the case	47-62
47.87	Other Matters	47-62
47.871	Meaning of words and phrases	47-62
47.8711	Relating to matters covered elsewhere in this compilation	47-62
47.87111	Relating to crimes and criminal liability in general (see sec. 31.5)	47-62
47.87112	Relating to crimes against children (see sec. 38.61)	47-62
47.87113	Relating to procedural matters (see sec. 12.71)	47-62
47.87114	Relating to gambling crimes (see sec. 38.52)	47-62
47.87115	Relating to traffic offenses (see sec. 37.12)	47-62

47.87116	Relating to sentencing (see sec. 9.492)	47-62
47.87117	Relating to sexually violent person (ch. 980) commitments (see sec. 10.791)	47-62
47.87118	Relating to everyday words commonly interpreted as part of statutory construction (see sec. 45.28)	47-62
47.8712	Relating to other matters	47-62
47.87121	"Crime prevention organization"	47-62
47.87122	"Youth center"	47-62
47.87123	"Day care center"	47-62
47.87124	"Recreational"	47-62
47.87125	"Social"	47-62
47.87126	"Probable" (see sec. 31.5971)	47-62
47.87127	"Substantial" and "substantially" (see sec. 31.5972)	47-63
47.87128	"Owner"	47-63
47.87129	"Plausible"	47-63
47.8713	Relating to other matters (cont'd)	47-63
47.87131	"Prisoner"	47-63
47.87132	"Custody"	47-63
47.87133	"Contraband"	47-63
47.87134	"Treatment records"	47-63
47.87135	"Vicinity"	47-63
47.87136	"9-1-1"	47-63
47.87137	"Discretion"	47-63
47.87138	"Parent"	47-63
47.87139	"Monetary"	47-63
47.8714	Relating to other matters (cont'd)	47-63
47.87141	"Transfer"	47-63
47.87142	"Ownership"	47-63
47.87143	"Preliminary"	47-63
47.87144	"Fail"	47-63
47.87145	"Use"	47-63
47.87146	"Police power"	47-64
47.87147	"Locomotion"	47-64
47.87148	"Security"; "secure"	47-64
47.87149	"Assertion"	47-64
47.8715	Relating to other matters (cont'd)	47-64
47.87151	"Service of process"	47-64
47.87152	"Fee"	47-64
47.87153	"Contact"	47-64
47.87154	"Similarly situated parties"	47-64
47.87155	"Criminal prosecution"	47-64
47.87156	"Informed"	47-64
47.87157	"Inquiry"	47-64
47.87158	"Rescind"; "Rescission"	47-64
47.87159	"Act"	47-64
47.8716	Relating to other matters (cont'd)	47-64
47.87161	"The"	47-64
47.87162	"Involving"	47-64
47.87163	"Perpetrate"	47-64

47.87164	"With"	47-64
47.87165	"Made"	47-64
47.87166	"Context"	47-65
47.87167	"Fee"	47-65
47.87168	"Disbursement"	47-65
47.87169	"Dating"; "dating relationship"	47-65
47.8717	Relating to other matters (cont'd)	47-65
47.87171	"Sufficient"	47-65
47.87172	"Privilege"	47-65
47.87173	"Confidential"	47-65
47.87174	"Require"	47-65
47.87175	"Material fact"	47-65
47.87176	"Incident"	47-65
47.87177	"Parole"	47-65
47.87178	"Occur"	47-65
47.87179	"Exist"	47-65
47.8718	Relating to other matters (cont'd)	47-65
47.87181	"Impede"	47-65
47.87182	"Inference"	47-65
47.87183	"Examine"; "examination"	47-65
47.87184	"Suspicion"	47-65
47.87185	"Alibi" (see sec. 6.87212)	47-65
47.87186	"Patdown"	47-65
47.87187	"Continuous"	47-65
47.87188	"Restore"	47-65
47.87189	"Otherwise"	47-66
47.8719	Relating to other matters	47-66
47.87191	"Procure"; "procurement"	47-66
47.87192	"Contrive"; "contrivance"	47-66
47.87193	"Duplex"	47-66
47.87194	"Webcam"	47-66
47.87195	"E-ticket"	47-66
47.87196	"Enterprise"	47-66
47.87197	"Structure"	47-66
47.87198	"Association"	47-66
47.872	Sex offender reporting requirement and registry	47-66
47.8721	In general	47-66
47.8722	Constitutionality of requirement	47-66
47.87221	Constitutionality of allowing juveniles convicted of sexual contact with child who was not more than four years younger than the offender to seek to be excused from registration, but not allowing juvenile convicted of false imprisonment of victim who was not more than four years younger than offender to seek to be excused from registration	47-66
47.87222	Constitutionality of publicly disclosing sex offender registry without affording registrants pre-disclosure hearing on whether they are likely to be currently dangerous	47-66

47.87223	Consistency with prohibition on ex post facto laws of applying sex offender reporting requirement when convictions that subject person to sex offender reporting requirement occurred before statute creating requirement was enacted	47-67
47.87224	Constitutionality of requiring person convicted of crime that lacks sexual element (e.g., false imprisonment of minor) to comply with sex offender reporting requirement	47-67
47.8723	Purposes of requirement	47-67
47.8724	Probation officer may not impose condition of probation that circumvents statutory limitations on access to sex offender registration information by forcing defendant, as condition of probation, to divulge his convicted sex offender status (court of appeals' holding, reversed by supreme court)	47-67
47.8725	Probation officer's imposition of condition of probation that requires defendant to divulge sex offender status does not circumvent statutory limitations on access to sex offender registration information (supreme court's holding, reversing court of appeals' holding)	47-67
47.8726	Sex offender reporting requirement is not direct consequence of guilty plea, of which trial court required to inform defendant at time of plea-taking (see sec. 7.21947)	47-67
47.8727	For juveniles adjudicated delinquent (see sec. 42.963)	47-67
47.8728	Court may not order sex-offender registration as condition of probation for defendant who has not been convicted and sentenced for crime enumerated in sex-offender registration statute or its counterpart in sentencing code	47-67
47.8729	Other matters	47-67
	47.87291 Sex offender reporting requirement is not criminal penalty	47-67
47.873	Administrative agencies and their rules relating to, or otherwise affecting, criminal justice system	47-68
47.8731	Validity of rules in general	47-68
47.8732	One administrative agency cannot promulgate rules that bind another agency unless expressly authorized by statute to do so	47-68
47.8733	Administrative rule that contravenes unambiguous statute is invalid	47-68
47.8734	Circuit court review of validity of rule	47-68
47.8735	Principles governing adjudication of constitutionality of administrative rules (see sec. 47.875)	47-68
47.8736	Construction and interpretation of administrative rules and regulations (see sec. 47.876)	47-68
47.8737	Administrative rule interpreting issuing agency's own ambiguous regulation is entitled to substantial deference	47-68
47.8738	Administrative rule interpreting ambiguous statute is entitled to substantial deference	47-68

47.874	Principles governing adjudication of constitutionality of administrative rules	47-68
47.8741	Presumption of rule's constitutionality	47-68
47.8742	Burden of proof on constitutional challenge to rule	47-68
47.875	Construction and interpretation of administrative rules and regulations	47-68
47.8751	In general	47-68
47.8752	Administrative rules should be construed together with the statute authorizing them	47-68
47.8753	In interpreting administrative regulation, court generally uses same rules of construction and interpretation as applicable to statutes	47-68
47.8754	Administrative construction of rules and regulations	47-69
47.87541	In general	47-69
47.87542	Agency's own interpretation of its regulations is controlling in determining their meaning unless plainly erroneous or inconsistent with the regulations	47-69
47.8755	Goal of interpretation is to discern intent of rule maker	47-69
47.8756	Construction of ambiguous rule or regulation	47-69
47.8757	Appellate review of construction of administrative rule	47-69
47.876	Construction and interpretation of court rules	47-69
47.8761	In general	47-69
47.8762	Court rule is construed and its construction is reviewed on appeal the same as a statute	47-69
47.8763	In interpreting court rule, appellate court seeks to reach result consistent with manifest intent of supreme court	47-69
47.8764	If intent of supreme court is clear from plain language of rule, appellate court gives effect to that intent and looks no further	47-69
47.8765	If procedural rule is ambiguous, appellate court construes it liberally so as to encourage resolution of controversy on merits	47-69
47.8766	Court will construe words of court rule according to their ordinary and plain meaning, which may be determined from definition provided by recognized dictionary	47-70
47.8767	Court assumes that its intent is expressed in language of rule	47-70
47.8768	Construction begins with language of rule	47-70
47.8769	Interpretation of court rule is question of law that appellate court reviews independently without deference to lower court	47-70
47.877	Construction, interpretation, and implementation of treaties	47-70
47.8771	In general	47-70
47.8772	Remedies for violation of treaty's provisions	47-70
47.8773	Determining whether treaty automatically has domestic legal effect	47-70
47.878	Vienna Convention on Consular Relations	47-70
47.8781	Construction and interpretation in general	47-70

47.8782	Does convention create private rights that foreign national can enforce in state criminal proceeding?	47-70
47.8783	Provision requiring notice to arrestee, who is foreign national, of right to contact his nation's consulate	47-70
47.87831	Requirement in general	47-70
47.87832	Does provision create private right that foreign national can enforce in state criminal proceeding?	47-71
47.87833	Does foreign national have standing to assert violation of requirement in domestic criminal case?	47-71
47.87834	Effect of violation of requirement: in general	47-71
47.87835	Effect of violation of requirement: does not require suppression of statement given after notice requirement violated	47-71
47.87836	Enforceability, as matter of domestic law, of decision of International Court of Justice rendered under this provision	47-71
47.879	Section 1983 civil rights action	47-71
47.8791	In general	47-71
47.8792	Determining when § 1983 must yield to federal habeas corpus statute and its requirements as vehicle for relief sought	47-71
47.87921	General principles	47-71
47.87922	Section 1983 action may be used to challenge method of execution in capital case	47-71
47.87923	Section 1983 action may be used to raise constitutional challenges to state parole grant procedures (e.g., eligibility for parole, standards for granting parole, etc.)	47-71
47.87924	Supreme Court assumes, without deciding, that § 1983 may be used to claim due process right to postconviction DNA testing to prove innocence	47-71
47.88	Other Matters (cont'd)	47-72
47.881	Common Law Rules In Wisconsin (see sec. 31.741)	47-72
47.882	Exhaustion Of Administrative Remedies Doctrine	47-72
47.8821	Nature and purpose of exhaustion requirement in general	47-72
47.8822	Deciding whether to apply exhaustion requirement	47-72
47.883	Federal Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and Americans with Disabilities Act	47-72
47.8831	In general	47-72
47.8832	Applicability to arrests (see sec. 1.95197)	47-72
47.8833	Applicability to deaf driver subjected to implied consent law	47-72
47.884	Treaties with foreign nations and the criminal justice system	47-72
47.8841	Upon ratification, treaty becomes law of the land	47-72
47.8842	Interpretation of treaty	47-72
47.88421	Meaning given by governmental department particularly charged with its negotiation and enforcement is given great weight	47-72

47.88422	Presents question of law that appellate court reviews de novo	47-72
47.8843	Determining whether individual foreign national has standing to assert violation of treaty in domestic criminal case	47-72
47.8844	Particular treaties	47-72
47.88441	Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (see sec. 47.878)	47-72
47.885	Other matters	47-72
47.8851	Construction and interpretation of constitutional provisions (see sec. 21.51)	47-72
47.8852	Police power of state	47-72
47.88521	In general	47-72
47.88522	Statute proscribing operation of motor vehicle with detectable amount of restricted controlled substance in one's blood does not exceed police power of legislature (see sec. 37.2212)	47-73
47.88523	Statute proscribing causing injury by operation of motor vehicle with detectable amount of restricted controlled substance in one's blood does not exceed police power of legislature (see sec. 33.3412)	47-73
47.8853	Adoption in appellate decision of standard or rule (e.g., ABA standard) does not adopt commentary to rule; formal adoption of commentary necessary to make it law (see sec. 11.9536)	47-73
47.8854	Use of "and/or"	47-73
47.8855	Requirement that attorney general be notified when constitutional challenge made (see sec. 47.4991)	47-73
47.8856	Sovereign immunity	47-73
47.8857	Reopening judgment in civil case	47-73
47.88571	Based on ground of mistake	47-73
47.88572	Based on ground of excusable neglect	47-73
47.8858	Construction of contract	47-73
47.88581	General principles	47-73
47.88582	When contract clear and unambiguous, court will construe contract as it stands	47-73
47.88583	Determining whether contract is ambiguous	47-74
47.88584	Ambiguous language in contract is construed against drafter	47-74
47.88585	Court is not free to revise unambiguous contract to relieve party of disadvantageous terms to which party has agreed	47-74
47.88586	Appellate review of construction of contract	47-74
47.8859	Restoration of civil rights of convicted defendant	47-74
47.886	Other Matters (cont'd)	47-74
47.8861	Federal assimilative crimes provision	47-74
47.8862	Separation of powers doctrine (see sec. 30.986)	47-74

47.8863	Adoption in appellate decision of standard or rule (e.g., ABA standard) does not adopt commentary to rule; formal adoption of commentary necessary to make it law (see sec. 11.9536)	47-74
47.8864	Common law right to change name through continuous and consistent use of new name, so long as name change is not effected for fraudulent purpose	47-74
47.8865	Creation of conflict of interest for personnel in criminal justice system because state retirement system invests in private prison corporations who run prisons housing Wisconsin prisoners (see sec. 12.7978)	47-74
47.8866	Judicial review of administrative agency decision	47-74
47.88661	Standard of review in general	47-74
47.88662	Standard of review of agency's interpretation of statute	47-74
47.88663	Standard of review of agency's interpretation of constitutional provision	47-75
47.8867	Attorney has ethical obligation not to make arguments before court that attorney believes to be frivolous	47-75
47.8868	Statute does not need to contain its own enabling clause to be valid (see sec. 12.7994)	47-75
47.887	International Court of Justice	47-75
47.8871	In general	47-75
47.8872	Enforceability, as matter of domestic law, of decisions	47-75
47.89	Other Matters (cont'd)	47-75
47.891	Rules of Professional Conduct for Attorneys (SCR ch. 20)	47-75
47.8911	Rule prohibiting attorney's communication with party who is represented by counsel	47-75
47.89111	Applicability of this rule to investigative stage of criminal case	47-75
47.89112	Violation of this rule as ground for suppressing defendant's statement (see sec. 2.543)	47-75
47.892	Courts-martial	47-75
47.8921	Jurisdiction of military courts	47-75
47.89211	In general	47-75
47.89212	Military court has jurisdiction to entertain petition for writ of error coram nobis	47-75
47.89213	Military appellate court has jurisdiction to entertain petition for writ of error coram nobis to challenge earlier, final decision affirming criminal conviction	47-75
47.8922	Constitutionality of President's establishing aggravating factors for purposes of imposing capital punishment	47-75
47.8923	Appointment of appellate judges	47-75
47.8924	Jurisdiction and authority of Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces to grant extraordinary relief under Federal All Writs Act	47-75
47.893	Wisconsin statutory provision requiring parental consent prior to abortion and prescribing judicial waiver procedure (Wis. Stat. § 48.375) (see sec. 30.9858)	47-76

	<i>Page</i>
47.8931	Constitutionality of this provision 47-76
47.8932	Appropriate remedy when particular aspect of this provision is found to be unconstitutional 47-76
47.894	Religious Freedom Restoration Act (see sec. 30.9858) 47-76
47.895	Administrative agency; administrative law 47-76
47.8951	Powers of administrative agency 47-76
47.8952	Administrative rules relating to criminal justice system (see sec. 47.873) 47-76
47.8953	Principles governing adjudication of constitutionality of administrative rules and regulations (see sec. 47.874) 47-76
47.8954	Construction and interpretation of administrative rules and regulations (see sec. 47.875) 47-76
47.8955	Judicial review of administrative agency decision (see sec. 47.8866) 47-76
47.8956	Use of administrative agency's interpretation of statute in construction of statute (see sec. 45.3921) 47-76